

KITopen (2018), 6 pp.  
DOI: 10.5445/IR/1000085437

## Solutions of ordinary differential equations in closed subsets of a Banach space

Gerd Herzog and Peter Volkmann

*Dedicated to Professor Karol Baron on his 70th Birthday*

**1. Notations and main result.** Let  $E$  be a real Banach space. For  $x \in E$  and  $\emptyset \neq B \subseteq E$  we write

$$\text{dist}(x, B) = \inf\{\|x - y\| \mid y \in B\}.$$

For bounded sets  $B \subseteq E$  we define

$$\text{diam}B = \sup\{\|x - y\| \mid x, y \in B\}$$

( $\text{diam} \emptyset = 0$ ) and furthermore

$$\alpha(B) = \inf\{\delta \geq 0 \mid B \subseteq B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_n, \text{diam}B_\nu \leq \delta \ (\nu = 1, \dots, n), n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

where  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ ;  $\alpha(B)$  is the Kuratowski measure of non-compactness of  $B$  (cf. Kuratowski [1]).

Finally we use the notation

$$[x, y]_- = \lim_{h \searrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \{\|x + hy\| - \|x\|\} \quad (x, y \in E).$$

**Theorem 1** *Suppose  $T > 0$  and  $f = g + k$ , where  $g, k : [0, T] \times E \rightarrow E$  are continuous and bounded functions,  $g$  satisfying the one-sided Lipschitz condition*

$$[x - y, g(t, x) - g(t, y)]_- \leq L\|x - y\| \quad (0 \leq t \leq T; x, y \in E)$$

*and  $k$  the  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz condition*

$$\alpha(k([0, T] \times B)) \leq K\alpha(B) \quad (B \subseteq E, B \text{ bounded});$$

*here  $K, L$  are given non-negative numbers.*

*Moreover let  $M$  be a closed subset of the Banach space  $E$  such that*

$$(1) \quad \liminf_{h \searrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \text{dist}(x + hf(t, x), M) = 0 \quad (0 \leq t \leq T, x \in M).$$

*Then for every  $(\tau, a) \in [0, T[ \times M$  the initial value problem (i.v.p.)*

$$(2) \quad u(\tau) = a, \quad u'(t) = f(t, u(t)) \quad (\tau \leq t \leq T)$$

*has a solution  $u : [\tau, T] \rightarrow M$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality (w.l.o.g.) we assume  $L > 0$ . We choose  $l \in ]0, T - \tau]$  according to

$$(3) \quad \frac{1}{L}(e^{Ll} - 1) \leq \frac{1}{4(2K + 1)}.$$

The function  $f : [0, T] \times E \rightarrow E$  being continuous, bounded and having property (1), there exist  $C^1$ -functions  $u_n : [\tau, \tau + l] \rightarrow E$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) such that

$$u_n(\tau) = a, \quad \|u_n'(t) - f(t, u_n(t))\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad (\tau \leq t \leq \tau + l),$$

$$(4) \quad \text{dist}(u_n(t), M) \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad (\tau \leq t \leq \tau + l);$$

the proof of this will be given in the next section.

Schmidt's proof of her Satz 2.3 in [3] shows that a subsequence of  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  uniformly converges to a solution  $u : [\tau, \tau + l] \rightarrow E$  of the i.v.p. given by (2). As a consequence of (4) we also get  $u : [\tau, \tau + l] \rightarrow M$ .

If  $\tau + l = T$ , then we are done. If  $\tau + l < T$ , then it is sufficient to repeat the foregoing reasoning finitely many times in a standard way.

**Remark.** Schmidt's form of inequality (3) is a bit different, but in her Satz 2.3 she rather uses the Hausdorff measure of non-compactness instead of  $\alpha$ . The here given inequality (3) is appropriate for applying Schmidt's results to our case.

**2. Existence of approximate  $C^1$ -solutions.** The existence of the functions  $u_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) in the proof of Theorem 1 is a consequence of the following Theorem 2.

**Theorem 2** *Let  $f : [\tau, T] \times E \rightarrow E$  be a bounded continuous function, where  $E$  is a Banach space and  $\tau, T$  are reals,  $\tau < T$ . Let  $M$  be a closed subset of  $E$  and suppose*

$$\liminf_{h \searrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \text{dist}(x + hf(t, x), M) = 0 \quad (\tau \leq t \leq T, x \in M).$$

*Finally let  $a \in M$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then there exists a  $C^1$ -function  $u : [\tau, T] \rightarrow E$  such that*

$$(5) \quad u(\tau) = a, \quad \|u'(t) - f(t, u(t))\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \text{dist}(u(t), M) \leq \varepsilon \quad (\tau \leq t \leq T).$$

*Proof.* 1. W.l.o.g. we suppose  $\varepsilon \leq 1$ . According to Martin [2] there is a polygonal line  $p : [\tau, T] \rightarrow E$  satisfying

$$(6) \quad p(\tau) = a, \quad \|p'_\pm(t) - f(t, p(t))\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \quad \text{dist}(p(t), M) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \quad (\tau \leq t \leq T),$$

where  $p'_+, p'_-$  mean right- and left-hand derivatives (with the natural exceptions of  $p'_-(\tau), p'_+(T)$ ).

2. Let  $(s, c)$  be a corner of  $p$ , where  $\tau < s < T$ ,  $c = p(s)$ . Then in a small interval  $]s - \beta, s + \beta[$  (where  $\beta > 0$ ) there is no second corner of  $p$ . We shall show that for sufficiently small positive  $\eta < \frac{\beta}{2}$  there is a  $C^1$ -function  $u : ]s - \beta, s + \beta[ \rightarrow E$  which coincides with  $p$  on  $]s - \beta, s - \eta] \cup [s + \eta, s + \beta[$  and fulfils the inequalities

$$(7) \quad \|u'(t) - f(t, u(t))\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \text{dist}(u(t), M) \leq \varepsilon \quad (s - \eta \leq t \leq s + \eta).$$

When changing  $p$  in a neighborhood of every corner  $(s, c)$  according to the just given description into a  $C^1$ -function  $u$ , then we get a  $C^1$ -function  $u : [\tau, T] \rightarrow E$  satisfying (5).

3. Now we like to prove the statements of the preceding paragraph. So let  $(s, c)$  be a corner of  $p$ , w.l.o.g. we assume  $s = 0$ . Then in a small interval  $[-\beta, \beta]$  the function  $p$  has the form

$$p(t) = \begin{cases} c + tb_1 & (0 \leq t \leq \beta) \\ c + tb_2 & (-\beta \leq t \leq 0), \end{cases}$$

where  $\beta > 0$  and  $b_1, b_2 \in E$ . With  $v = \frac{1}{2}(b_1 + b_2), w = \frac{1}{2}(b_1 - b_2)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} p(t) &= \begin{cases} c + tv + tw & (0 \leq t \leq \beta) \\ c + tv - tw & (-\beta \leq t \leq 0), \end{cases} \\ p'(t) &= \begin{cases} v + w & (0 < t < \beta) \\ v - w & (-\beta < t < 0). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (6) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \|v + w - f(t, c + tv + tw)\| &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \quad (0 \leq t \leq \beta), \\ \|v - w - f(t, c + tv - tw)\| &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \quad (-\beta \leq t \leq 0). \end{aligned}$$

Using these inequalities for  $t = 0$  implies

$$2\|w\| = \|v + w - f(0, c) - (v - w - f(0, c))\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

hence

$$\|w\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \quad \|v - f(0, c)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

The continuity of  $f$  at  $(0, c)$  shows the existence of an  $\eta \in ]0, \min\{1, \frac{\beta}{2}\}[$  such that

$$(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times E, \quad |t| \leq \eta, \quad \|x\| \leq \eta \Rightarrow \|f(t, c + tv + x) - f(0, c)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Hence we have

$$(8) \quad |t| \leq \eta, \|x\| \leq \eta \Rightarrow \|f(t, c + tv + x) - v\| \leq \frac{3\varepsilon}{4}.$$

We define  $u : ] - \beta, \beta[ \rightarrow E$  by

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} c + tv + tw & (\eta \leq t < \beta) \\ c + \left(\frac{1}{2\eta}t^2 + \frac{\eta}{2}\right)w + tv & (|t| \leq \eta) \\ c + tv - tw & (-\beta < t \leq -\eta). \end{cases}$$

This  $u$  is a  $C^1$ -function and it coincides with  $p$  on  $] - \beta, -\eta] \cup [\eta, \beta[$ . We finally have to verify (7) (with  $s = 0$ ). For  $|t| \leq \eta$  we get

$$u'(t) = \frac{t}{\eta}w + v,$$

hence

$$(9) \quad \|u'(t) - v\| \leq \|w\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Furthermore

$$\|u(t) - c - tv\| = \left(\frac{t^2}{2\eta} + \frac{\eta}{2}\right) \|w\| \leq \eta \|w\| \leq \eta \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \leq \eta.$$

Using (8), we get

$$\|f(t, u(t)) - v\| \leq \frac{3\varepsilon}{4}.$$

From this and (9) we derive

$$\|u'(t) - f(t, u(t))\| \leq \varepsilon \quad (\text{for } |t| \leq \eta),$$

which is the first inequality in (7). Concerning the second one, we observe for  $|t| \leq \eta$  that

$$p(t) - u(t) = |t|w - \left(\frac{1}{2\eta}t^2 + \frac{\eta}{2}\right)w,$$

hence

$$\|p(t) - u(t)\| \leq 2\eta \|w\| \leq 2\eta \frac{\varepsilon}{4},$$

and thus

$$\text{dist}(u(t), M) \leq \text{dist}(p(t), M) + \|p(t) - u(t)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + 2\eta \frac{\varepsilon}{4} = \frac{\varepsilon}{4}(1 + 2\eta) \leq \varepsilon.$$

**3. A local result.** Let us consider Theorem 1 for  $M = E$ . Then (1) holds for every function  $f : [0, T] \times E \rightarrow E$ . In this case Theorem 1 gives a solution  $u : [\tau, T] \rightarrow E$  of the i.v.p. (2), which means that we find back Satz 2.3 of Schmidt [3].

As a consequence of Satz 2.3, Schmidt proves a local version of it. Now we are doing the same with our Theorem 1.

**Theorem 3** Suppose  $T > 0$  and  $M \subseteq D \subseteq E$ , where  $M$  is a closed and  $D$  an open subset of the Banach space  $E$ . Consider  $f = g + k$ , where  $g, k : [0, T] \times D \rightarrow E$  are continuous and such that

$$\begin{aligned} [x - y, g(t, x) - g(t, y)]_- &\leq L\|x - y\| \quad (0 \leq t \leq T; x, y \in D), \\ \alpha(k([0, T] \times B)) &\leq K\alpha(B) \quad (B \subseteq D, B \text{ bounded}). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $f$  satisfy condition (1). Then for every  $a \in M$  there exists a  $\tilde{T} \in ]0, T]$  such that the i.v.p.

$$(10) \quad u(0) = a, \quad u'(t) = f(t, u(t)) \quad (0 \leq t \leq \tilde{T})$$

has a solution  $u : [0, \tilde{T}] \rightarrow M$ .

*Proof.* We simply follow the proof of Satz 2.4 in [3]: We fix  $a \in M$  and we choose  $T_0, r > 0$  such that  $g, k$  are defined and bounded on

$$[0, T_0] \times \{x \mid x \in E, \|x - a\| \leq r\};$$

let  $\mu$  be a positive bound for their norms. We take

$$\tilde{T} = \min\left\{T_0, \frac{r}{4\mu}\right\}.$$

We define  $p : [0, \infty[ \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by

$$p(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & (0 \leq s \leq \frac{r}{2}) \\ 2 - \frac{2}{r}s & (\frac{r}{2} \leq s \leq r) \\ 0 & (s \geq r). \end{cases}$$

Then we define  $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{k} : [0, \tilde{T}] \times E \rightarrow E$  by

$$(11) \quad \tilde{f}(t, x) = \begin{cases} p(\|x - a\|)f(t, x) & (0 \leq t \leq \tilde{T}, \|x - a\| \leq r) \\ 0 & (\|x - a\| \geq r), \end{cases}$$

and  $\tilde{g}, \tilde{k}$  in an analogous way. We can apply Theorem 1 with  $T, f, g, k$  replaced by  $\tilde{T}, \tilde{f}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{k}$ . Especially (1) holds after this replacement also for  $\tilde{f}$ , because in (11) we have  $p(\|x - a\|) \geq 0$ .

We thus get a solution  $u : [0, \tilde{T}] \rightarrow M$  of the i.v.p.

$$u(0) = a, \quad u'(t) = \tilde{f}(t, u(t)) \quad (0 \leq t \leq \tilde{T}).$$

Because of  $\|u(t) - a\| \leq 2\mu\tilde{T} \leq \frac{r}{2}$ , the function  $u$  also solves (10).

## References

- [1] Casimir Kuratowski: *Sur les espaces complets*. Fundamenta Math. **15**, 301-309 (1930).
- [2] Robert H. Martin, Jr.: *Differential equations on closed subsets of a Banach space*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **179**, 399-414 (1973).
- [3] Sabina Schmidt: *Existenzsätze für gewöhnliche Differentialgleichungen in Banachräumen*. Diss. Univ. Karlsruhe 1989; has appeared in Funkcialaj Ekvac. **35**, 199-222 (1992).

*Authors' address:* Institut für Analysis, KIT, 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

*First author's e-mail:* gerd.herzog2@kit.edu