

# Quantifying the area-at-risk of myocardial infarction in-vivo using arterial spin labeling cardiac magnetic resonance

Dongworth R., Campbell-Washburn A., Cabrera-Fuentes H., Bulluck H., Roberts T., Price A., Hernández-Reséndiz S., Ordidge R., Thomas D., Yellon D., Lythgoe M., Hausenloy D.

Kazan Federal University, 420008, Kremlevskaya 18, Kazan, Russia

---

## Abstract

© The Author(s) 2017. T<sub>2</sub>-weighted cardiovascular magnetic resonance (T<sub>2</sub>-CMR) of myocardial edema can quantify the area-at-risk (AAR) following acute myocardial infarction (AMI), and has been used to assess myocardial salvage by new cardioprotective therapies. However, some of these therapies may reduce edema, leading to an underestimation of the AAR by T<sub>2</sub>-CMR. Here, we investigated arterial spin labeling (ASL) perfusion CMR as a novel approach to quantify the AAR following AMI. Adult B6sv129-mice were subjected to in vivo left coronary artery ligation for 30 minutes followed by 72 hours reperfusion. T<sub>2</sub>-mapping was used to quantify the edema-based AAR (% of left ventricle) following ischemic preconditioning (IPC) or cyclosporin-A (CsA) treatment. In control animals, the AAR by T<sub>2</sub>-mapping corresponded to that delineated by histology. As expected, both IPC and CsA reduced MI size. However, IPC, but not CsA, also reduced myocardial edema leading to an underestimation of the AAR by T<sub>2</sub>-mapping. In contrast, regions of reduced myocardial perfusion delineated by cardiac ASL were able to delineate the AAR when compared to both T<sub>2</sub>-mapping and histology in control animals, and were not affected by either IPC or CsA. Therefore, ASL perfusion CMR may be an alternative method for quantifying the AAR following AMI, which unlike T<sub>2</sub>-mapping, is not affected by IPC.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-02544-z>

---

## References

- [1] Cabrera-Fuentes, H. A. et al. Meeting report from the 2nd International Symposium on New Frontiers in Cardiovascular Research. Protecting the cardiovascular system from ischemia: between bench and bedside. Basic Res Cardiol 111, 7, doi:10.1007/s00395-015-0527-0 (2016).
- [2] Cabrera-Fuentes, H. A. et al. From basic mechanisms to clinical applications in heart protection, new players in cardiovascular diseases and cardiac theranostics: meeting report from the third international symposium on "New frontiers in cardiovascular research". Basic Res Cardiol 111, 69, doi:10.1007/s00395-016-0586-x (2016).
- [3] Botker, H. E., Kaltoft, A. K., Pedersen, S. F. & Kim, W. Y. Measuring myocardial salvage. Cardiovasc Res 94, 266-275, doi:10.1093/cvr/cvs081 (2012).
- [4] Hausenloy, D. J. et al. Translating cardioprotection for patient benefit: position paper from the Working Group of Cellular Biology of the Heart of the European Society of Cardiology. Cardiovasc Res 98, 7-27, doi:10.1093/cvr/cvt004 (2013).
- [5] Crimi, G. et al. Remote ischemic post-conditioning of the lower limb during primary percutaneous coronary intervention safely reduces enzymatic infarct size in anterior myocardial infarction: a randomized controlled trial. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 6, 1055-1063, doi:10.1016/j.jcin.2013.05.011 (2013).

- [6] Ibanez, B. et al. Effect of early metoprolol on infarct size in ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention: the Effect of Metoprolol in Cardioprotection During an Acute Myocardial Infarction (METOCARD-CNIC) trial. *Circulation* 128, 1495-1503, doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.003653 (2013).
- [7] Lonborg, J. et al. Exenatide reduces reperfusion injury in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J* 33, 1491-1499, doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehr309 (2012).
- [8] White, S. K. et al. Remote ischemic conditioning reduces myocardial infarct size and edema in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 8, 178-188, doi:10.1016/j.jcin.2014.05.015 (2015).
- [9] Garcia-Dorado, D., Andres-Villarreal, M., Ruiz-Meana, M., Inserte, J. & Barba, I. Myocardial edema: a translational view. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* 52, 931-939, doi:10.1016/j.yjmcc.2012.01.010 (2012).
- [10] Aletras, A. H. et al. Retrospective determination of the area at risk for reperfused acute myocardial infarction with T2-weighted cardiac magnetic resonance imaging: histopathological and displacement encoding with stimulated echoes (DENSE) functional validations. *Circulation* 113, 1865-1870, doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.105.576025 (2006).
- [11] Beyers, R. J. et al. T(2)-weighted MRI of post-infarct myocardial edema in mice. *Magn Reson Med* 67, 201-209, doi:10.1002/mrm.22975 (2012).
- [12] Ibanez, B. et al. Early metoprolol administration before coronary reperfusion results in increased myocardial salvage: analysis of ischemic myocardium at risk using cardiac magnetic resonance. *Circulation* 115, 2909-2916, doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.679639 (2007).
- [13] Mewton, N. et al. Determination of the myocardial area at risk with pre-versus post-reperfusion imaging techniques in the pig model. *Basic Res Cardiol* 106, 1247-1257, doi:10.1007/s00395-011-0214-8 (2011).
- [14] Berry, C. et al. Magnetic resonance imaging delineates the ischemic area at risk and myocardial salvage in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 3, 527-535, doi:10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.109.900761 (2010).
- [15] Carlsson, M. et al. Myocardium at risk after acute infarction in humans on cardiac magnetic resonance: quantitative assessment during follow-up and validation with single-photon emission computed tomography. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2, 569-576, doi:10.1016/j.jcmg.2008.11.018 (2009).
- [16] Bulluck, H. et al. Quantifying the Area at Risk in Reperfused ST-Segment-Elevation Myocardial Infarction Patients Using Hybrid Cardiac Positron Emission Tomography-Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 9, e003900, doi:10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.115.003900 (2016).
- [17] Wince, W. B. & Kim, R. J. Molecular imaging: T2-weighted CMR of the area at risk-a risky business? *Nat Rev Cardiol* 7, 547-549, doi:10.1038/nrcardio.2010.124 (2010).
- [18] Thuny, F. et al. Post-conditioning reduces infarct size and edema in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 59, 2175-2181, doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2012.03.026 (2012).
- [19] Bulluck, H. et al. T1 mapping and T2 mapping at 3T for quantifying the area-at-risk in reperfused STEMI patients. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 17, 73, doi:10.1186/s12968-015-0173-6 (2015).
- [20] Campbell-Washburn, A. E. et al. Cardiac arterial spin labeling using segmented ECG-gated Look-Locker FAIR: variability and repeatability in preclinical studies. *Magn Reson Med* 69, 238-247, doi:10.1002/mrm.24243 (2013).
- [21] Campbell-Washburn, A. E. et al. Multislice cardiac arterial spin labeling using improved myocardial perfusion quantification with simultaneously measured blood pool input function. *Magn Reson Med* 70, 1125-1136, doi:10.1002/mrm.24545 (2013).
- [22] Vandsburger, M. H. et al. Improved arterial spin labeling after myocardial infarction in mice using cardiac and respiratory gated look-locker imaging with fuzzy C-means clustering. *Magn Reson Med* 63, 648-657, doi:10.1002/mrm.22280 (2010).
- [23] Liehn, E. A. et al. A new monocyte chemotactic protein-1/chemokine CC motif ligand-2 competitor limiting neointima formation and myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury in mice. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 56, 1847-1857, doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2010.04.066 (2010).
- [24] Cabrera-Fuentes, H. A. et al. RNase1 prevents the damaging interplay between extracellular RNA and tumour necrosis factor-alpha in cardiac ischaemia/reperfusion injury. *Thromb Haemost* 112, 1110-1119, doi:10.1160/TH14-08-0703 (2014).
- [25] Price, A. N. et al. Rapid assessment of myocardial infarct size in rodents using multi-slice inversion recovery late gadolinium enhancement CMR at 9.4T. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 13, 44, doi:10.1186/1532-429X-13-44 (2011).
- [26] Bohl, S. & Schulz-Menger, J. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging of non-ischaemic heart disease: established and emerging applications. *Heart Lung Circ* 19, 117-132, doi:10.1016/j.hlc.2009.11.005 (2010).