

ValueTools 2016 - 10th EAI International Conference on Performance Evaluation Methodologies and Tools, 2017, pages 117-124

Quantitative assessment of workflow performance through PH reduction

Distefano S., Scarpa M.

Kazan Federal University, 420008, Kremlevskaya 18, Kazan, Russia

Abstract

Copyright © 2016 EAI. Workflows are logical abstraction of processes widely adopted in several contexts. Design and operation of workflows are critical stages where issues not manifested by the single block arise from compositions. To deal with such issues, proper techniques and tools should be implemented as support for workflow designers and operators. This paper proposes a solution for the evaluation of the workflow performance starting from the components' ones. Based on the stochastic characterization of the workflow tasks, phase type distributions and stochastic workflow reduction rules, our approach allows to overcome the limits of existing solutions, considering general response time distributions while providing parametric analysis on customer usage profiles and design alternatives. To demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed solution an example from literature is evaluated.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.4108/eai.25-10-2016.2266615>

Keywords

Design alternatives, Non-Markovian behaviors, Performance, Phase type, Usage profile, Workflow

References

- [1] A. Bobbio and A. Cumani. ML estimation of the parameters of a PH distribution in triangular canonical form, pages 33-46. Elsevier Science Publishers, 1992.
- [2] A. Bobbio, A. Horvath, M. Scarpa, and M. Telek. Acyclic discrete phase type distributions: properties and a parameter estimation algorithm. *Performance Evaluation*, 54(1):1-32, 2003.
- [3] A. Bobbio and M. Telek. A benchmark for PH estimation algorithms: results for acyclic-PH. *Communications in Statistics. Stochastic Models*, 10(3):661-677, 1994.
- [4] D. Bruneo, S. Distefano, F. Longo, and M. Scarpa. Stochastic Evaluation of QoS in Service-Based Systems. *IEEE Trans. Parallel Distrib. Syst.*, 24(10):2090-2099, 2013.
- [5] J. Cardoso, A. Sheth, J. Miller, J. Arnold, and K. Kochut. Web semantics: Science, services and agents on the world wide web; quality of service for workflows and web service processes. *Journal of Web Semantics*, Elsevier, 1(3):281-308, 2004.
- [6] J. A. Cardoso. Quality of Service and Semantic Composition of Workflows. PhD thesis, Graduate School of the University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia, Aug 2002.
- [7] A. D'Ambrogio and P. Bocciarelli. A model-driven approach to describe and predict the performance of composite services. In *WOSP '07: Proceedings of the 6th international workshop on Software and performance*, pages 78-89, New York, NY, USA, 2007. ACM.

- [8] S. Distefano and G. Serazzi. Performance driven WS orchestration and deployment in service oriented infrastructure. *J. Grid Comput.*, 12(2):347-369, 2014.
- [9] K. H. HAN, S. K. YOO, and B. KIM. Qualitative and quantitative analysis of workflows based on the uml activity diagram and petri net. *WSEAS Transactions on Information Science and Applications*, 6(7):1249-1258, July 2009.
- [10] S.-Y. Hwang, H. Wang, J. Tang, and J. Srivastava. A probabilistic approach to modeling and estimating the qos of web-services-based workflows. *Inf. Sci.*, 177(23):5484-5503, 2007.
- [11] G. Janssens, J. Verelst, B., and Weyn. Techniques for modeling workflows and their support of reuse. In W. Van der Aalst, J. Desel, and A. Oberweis, editors, *Business Process Management: Models Techniques and Empirical Studies-LNCS1806*, pages 2-15, London, UK, 2000. Springer-Verlag.
- [12] K. Kant. *Introduction to Computer System Performance Evaluation*. Mc Graw-Hill, 1992.
- [13] M. Kowal, I. Schaefer, and M. Tribastone. Family-based performance analysis of variant-rich software systems. In *Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Fundamental Approaches to Software Engineering - Volume 8411*, pages 94-108, New York, NY, USA, 2014. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc.
- [14] M. Litoiu, J. Rolia, and G. Serazzi. Designing process replication and activation: a quantitative approach. *Software Engineering, IEEE Transactions on*, 26(12):1168-1178, dec 2000.
- [15] M. Marzolla and R. Mirandola. Performance prediction of web service workflows. In *Proceedings of the Quality of software architectures 3rd international conference on Software architectures, components, and applications, QoSA'07*, pages 127-144, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2007. Springer-Verlag.
- [16] M. Neuts. Probability distributions of phase type. In *Liber Amicorum Prof. Emeritus H. Florin*, pages 173-206. University of Louvain, 1975.
- [17] M. Neuts. *Matrix Geometric Solutions in Stochastic Models*. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 1981.
- [18] S.-C. Oh, D. Lee, and R. T. Kumara. Misq:a framework to analyze and optimize web service composition in business service networks. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CASES ON ELECTRONIC COMMERCE*, 1(4):35-55, 2005.
- [19] R. Sahner, K. Trivedi, and A. Puliafito. *Performance and reliability analysis of computer systems*. MKluwer Academic Publisher, Norwell, Massachusettes, 02061, USA, 1st edition, 1996.
- [20] I. Schaefer. Variability modelling for model-driven development of software product lines. In *VaMoS*, pages 85-92, 2010.
- [21] A. Thummler, P. Buchholz, and M. Telek. A novel approach for phase-type fitting with the em algorithm. *IEEE Transactions on Dependable and Secure Computing*, 3(3):245-258, July 2006.
- [22] W. Van Der Aalst and K. M. Van Hee. *Workflow management: models, methods, and systems*. MIT press, 2004.
- [23] M. Wimmer, M.-C. Albutiu, and A. Kemper. Optimized workflow authorization in service oriented architectures. In *Emerging Trends in Information and Communication Security*, pages 30-44. Springer, 2006.
- [24] H. P. Zhu, S. W. Xiao, and Z. Che. Research on workflow simulation supported business process analysis. *Mini-Micro Systems*, 28(8):1531-1535, 2006.