1	Effects of the neonicotinoid pesticide thiamethoxam at field-realistic levels
2	on microcolonies of <i>Bombus terrestris</i> worker bumble bees
3	
4	Ian Laycock ^{a,*} , Katie C. Cotterell ^a , Thomas A. O'Shea-Wheller ^a , James E. Cresswell ^a
5	
6	^a College of Life & Environmental Sciences, Biosciences, University of Exeter, Hatherly
7	Laboratories, Prince of Wales Road, Exeter, EX4 4PS, UK
8	* Corresponding author (Ian Laycock), Email: il219@exeter.ac.uk; Tel: 01392 723779
9	
10	
11	NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in
12	Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety. Changes resulting from the publishing process,
13	such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control
14	mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this
15	work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published
16	in Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety at:
17	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2013.10.027
18	
19	

19 Abstract

20 Neonicotinoid pesticides are currently implicated in the decline of wild bee populations. 21 Bumble bees, *Bombus* spp., are important wild pollinators that are detrimentally affected by 22 ingestion of neonicotinoid residues. To date, imidacloprid has been the major focus of study 23 into the effects of neonicotinoids on bumble bee health, but wild populations are increasingly 24 exposed to alternative neonicotinoids such as thiamethoxam. To investigate whether 25 environmentally realistic levels of thiamethoxam affect bumble bee performance over a 26 realistic exposure period, we exposed queenless microcolonies of Bombus terrestris L. workers to a wide range of dosages up to 98 μ g kg⁻¹ in dietary syrup for 17 days. Results 27 showed that bumble bee workers survived fewer days when presented with syrup dosed at 98 28 μ g thiamethoxam kg⁻¹, while production of brood (eggs and larvae) and consumption of 29 30 syrup and pollen in microcolonies were significantly reduced by thiamethoxam only at the two highest concentrations (39, 98 μ g kg⁻¹). In contrast, we found no detectable effect of 31 thiamethoxam at levels typically found in the nectars of treated crops (between 1 and 11 ug 32 kg⁻¹). By comparison with published data, we demonstrate that during an exposure to field-33 34 realistic concentrations lasting approximately two weeks, brood production in worker bumble 35 bees is more sensitive to imidacloprid than thiamethoxam. We speculate that differential sensitivity arises because imidacloprid produces a stronger repression of feeding in bumble 36 37 bees than thiamethoxam, which imposes a greater nutrient limitation on production of brood. 38

39 Keywords

40 bee health; *Bombus*; field-realistic; imidacloprid; neonicotinoid; thiamethoxam

41 **1. Introduction**

42 The pollination services of wild bees help to maintain plant species in natural ecosystems and 43 are worth billions of dollars annually to agriculture (Williams and Osborne, 2009; Winfree, 44 2010). Evidence of declining wild bee populations (Biesmeijer et al., 2006) and the 45 extirpation of certain species (Burkle et al., 2013) are therefore issues of increasing concern 46 (Vanbergen and IPI, 2013). It is widely acknowledged that several factors are driving 47 declines in wild bees (Williams and Osborne, 2009; Potts et al., 2010). However, a group of 48 neurotoxic pesticides, the neonicotinoids, have specifically been singled out for blame 49 (Shardlow, 2012), which has lead to calls for restrictions on their use in agricultural (EFSA, 50 2013a; Maxim and van der Sluijs, 2013) that have recently been implemented across the 51 European Union (European Commission, 2013). The neonicotinoids, which include 52 imidacloprid, thiamethoxam and clothianidin, are systemic and so the pesticide is distributed 53 throughout plant tissues to control sucking insect pests (Elbert et al., 2008). Consequently, 54 trace residues can appear in nectar and pollen (Blacquière et al., 2012) and bees are exposed 55 to dietary neonicotinoids by foraging from the flowers of treated agricultural crops (Elbert et 56 al., 2008).

57

58 Bumble bees are important wild pollinators that are detrimentally affected by neonicotinoids 59 in laboratory studies, where dietary residues reduce food consumption and brood production 60 of Bombus terrestris L. workers (Tasei et al., 2000; Mommaerts et al., 2010; Cresswell et al., 61 2012; Laycock et al., 2012), and in semi-field studies, where B. terrestris colonies under 62 exposure exhibit reduced production of brood, workers and queens (Gill et al., 2012; 63 Whitehorn et al., 2012). The majority of these studies focus solely on imidacloprid, which 64 has historical relevance because it was the first neonicotinoid in widespread use (Elbert et al., 65 2008) and was identified publicly as a potential threat to bee health in 1999 (Maxim and van

der Sluijs, 2013). However, newer neonicotinoid varieties, such as thiamethoxam and its
toxic metabolite clothianidin, are increasingly preferred to imidacloprid in crop protection.
For example, in 2011 imidacloprid made up just 10 % of the total 80,000 kg of neonicotinoid
applied to UK crops (FERA, 2013). Consequently wild bumble bees are at increased risk of
exposure to these alternative neonicotinoids. We therefore chose to further investigate the
effects of dietary thiamethoxam on bumble bees.

72

Residues of thiamethoxam ranging from 1 to 11 μ g kg⁻¹ (= parts per billion or ppb) have been 73 74 detected in nectar from treated crops including alfalfa, oilseed rape, pumpkin, sunflower, squash and Phacelia tanacetifolia (Dively and Kamel, 2012; EFSA, 2012; Stoner and Eitzer, 75 2012). In pollen, residues are typically higher, ranging from to 1 to 12 μ g kg⁻¹ in sunflower, 76 oilseed rape and squash, but reaching 39, 51 and 95 µg kg⁻¹ in *Phacelia*, alfalfa, and 77 78 pumpkin, respectively (Dively and Kamel, 2012; EFSA, 2012; Stoner and Eitzer, 2012). For bees, exposure to residues such as these probably occurs in transient pulses; for example, 79 80 during the mass-flowering of treated oilseed rape that lasts for approximately one month and 81 peaks over a period of around two weeks (Hoyle et al., 2007; Westphal et al., 2009). Detrimental effects on honey bees of dietary thiamethoxam at 67 μ g L⁻¹ have already been 82 83 demonstrated (Henry et al., 2012), but the effects on bumble bees in a similar dosage range are unclear. For example, in one *B. terrestris* microcolony study 100 µg kg⁻¹ thiamethoxam 84 85 presented to workers in sugar solution increased mortality and reduced drone production while residues at 10 µg kg⁻¹ had no detectable effect (Mommaerts et al., 2010). However, in 86 another study 10 µg kg⁻¹ thiamethoxam reduced workers' production of drone brood (the 87 workers' eggs and larvae), while microcolony feeding rates were reduced at both 1 and 10 µg 88 kg⁻¹ (Elston et al., 2013). With evidence of thiamethoxam's effects currently inconsistent, it 89 90 remains uncertain whether environmentally realistic residues are capable of having a

91 detrimental impact on bumble bee populations. We therefore present an experiment designed
92 to test the performance of bumble bees presented with dietary thiamethoxam at a wide range
93 of concentrations, including dosages within the field-realistic range for nectar.

94

95 In this study, we made use of the reproductive capacity of *B. terrestris* workers in queenless 96 microcolonies to investigate the effects of thiamethoxam on bumble bee performance. In 97 microcolonies, small groups of bumble bee workers are maintained in the absence of a queen 98 and, over a period of days, a dominant worker lays eggs that will develop into drones while 99 the others forage and care for brood (Tasei et al., 2000). In a recent guidance document for 100 risk assessment of plant protection products on bees (EFSA, 2013b), the use of microcolonies 101 was recommended as part of 'higher tier' risk assessment studies in bumble bees. Using B. 102 terrestris microcolonies, we characterised dose-response relationships that described 103 thiamethoxam's effects on brood (eggs and larvae) production, food consumption and days 104 survived by workers (Laycock et al., 2012) over an exposure lasting 17 days. Following 105 laboratory exposure periods of similar length, imidacloprid produced substantive sublethal 106 effects on feeding and brood production in *B. terrestris* microcolonies (Laycock et al., 2012) 107 and reduced colony growth and production of new queens in queenright colonies allowed to 108 develop for a further six weeks in pesticide-free conditions (Whitehorn et al., 2012). Here we 109 applied dosages and some endpoints that were adopted in the imidacloprid microcolony study 110 (i.e. Laycock et al., 2012) to enable us to compare the relative sensitivity of bumble bees to 111 the two neonicotinoids.

112 **2. Materials and methods**

113 2.1 Microcolonies

114 We obtained four colonies of *B. terrestris* (subspecies *audax*) (Biobest, Westerlo, Belgium)

115 each consisting of a queen and approximately 150 workers. One hundred queenless

116 microcolonies were established by placing 400 individual workers (100 from each queenright

117 colony) into softwood boxes ($120 \times 120 \times 45$ mm) in groups of four. The allocation of

118 workers to boxes was randomized, but each microcolony contained workers from the same

119 queenright colony. Each box was fitted with two 2 mL microcentrifuge tubes (Simport,

120 Beloeil, Canada) that were punctured so as to function as syrup (artificial nectar) feeders. We

121 maintained microcolonies for 18 days under semi-controlled conditions (23–29 °C, 20–40 %

122 relative humidity) and in darkness except during data collection. Specifically, all

123 microcolonies were acclimatised to experimental conditions by feeding *ad libitum* on

124 undosed control syrup (Attracker: 1.27 kg L⁻¹ fructose/glucose/saccharose solution; Koppert

125 B.V., Berkel en Rodenrijs, Netherlands) for 24 h prior to 17 days of exposure to

thiamethoxam. A single bee that died during acclimatisation was replaced with a worker fromits queenright source colony.

128

129 2.2 Thiamethoxam dosages

130 To produce a primary thiamethoxam stock solution ($10^5 \mu g$ thiamethoxam L⁻¹), we dissolved

131 5 mg thiamethoxam powder (Pestanal[®]; Sigma-Aldrich, Gillingham, UK) in 50 mL purified

132 water. Primary stock solution was further diluted (to $10^4 \,\mu g \, L^{-1}$) in purified water and an

133 aliquot of diluted stock was mixed into feeder syrup to produce our most concentrated dietary

- solution of 125 µg thiamethoxam L^{-1} (or 98.43 µg kg⁻¹ = ppb). By serial dilution from the
- 135 highest concentration we produced nine experimental dosages at the following
- 136 concentrations: 98.43, 39.37, 15.75, 6.30, 2.52, 1.01, 0.40, 0.16, 0.06 μg thiamethoxam kg⁻¹.

137 Following acclimatisation, microcolonies were fed ad libitum for 17 days with undosed 138 pollen balls (ground pollen pellets, obtained from Biobest, mixed with water; mean mass = 139 5.3 g, SE = 0.1 g) and either undosed control syrup (19 control microcolonies) or syrup dosed with thiamethoxam (9 dosed microcolonies per thiamethoxam concentration, listed above). 140 141 This level of replication (i.e. a minimum of nine replicates per concentration) is consistent 142 with similar microcolony studies (Mommaerts et al., 2010; Laycock et al., 2012; Elston et al., 143 2013). Pollen balls were weighed before and after placement into microcolonies to quantify 144 pollen consumption and syrup feeders were weighed each day to measure syrup consumption. 145 We corrected for evaporation of water from syrup and pollen based on the mass change of 146 syrup feeders and pollen balls maintained under experimental conditions, but not placed into 147 microcolonies. Additionally, where syrup or pollen was collected by bees but not consumed, 148 for example where syrup was stored in wax honey pots, its mass was determined and 149 subtracted from consumption accordingly. We monitored microcolonies daily for individual 150 worker mortality and the appearance of wax covered egg cells that indicate the occurrence of 151 oviposition. To assess brood production, at the end of the experiment we freeze-killed 152 workers in their microcolony boxes and collected all laid eggs and larvae from the nests. In 153 our previous microcolony study (Laycock et al., 2012), we also investigated the effect of 154 imidacloprid on ovary development because imidacloprid produced a dose-dependent decline 155 in workers' brood production. Except at the highest dosages, thiamethoxam had no effect on 156 brood production (i.e. microcolonies laid eggs at a statistically equivalent rate, see section 3) 157 and we therefore chose not to measure ovary development here. The experiment was 158 conducted in two replicate trials between October and December 2012. Each trial comprised 159 50 microcolonies and dosage groups were approximately equally represented in both. The 160 results of the two trials were qualitatively similar and so data were pooled for further 161 analysis.

163	We verified the concentration of thiamethoxam in our doses using solid phase extraction
164	(SPE) and liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LCMS) as follows. First, we dissolved
165	our dosed syrups in LCMS-grade water (Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, UK). To extract
166	thiamethoxam from syrup, the diluted samples were processed through 1 mL Discovery®
167	DSC-18 SPE tubes (Sigma-Aldrich, Gillingham, UK) under positive pressure. Specifically,
168	we conditioned the SPE tube with 1 mL LCMS-grade methanol (Fisher Scientific,
169	Loughborough, UK) followed by 1 mL LCMS-grade water, prior to passing through a 1 mL
170	diluted sample. The tube was washed with 1 mL LCMS-grade water and the thiamethoxam
171	was eluted from the column with three separate, but equivalent, aliquots of LCMS-grade
172	methanol, totalling 450 μ L. Methanol was removed by evaporation in a ScanSpeed MaxiVac
173	Beta vacuum concentrator (LaboGene ApS, Lynge, Denmark) and the remaining
174	thiamethoxam was dissolved in 500 μ L of LCMS-grade water. Extracted thiamethoxam
175	samples were analysed in an Agilent 1200 series liquid chromatograph interfaced via an
176	electrospray ionisation source to an Agilent 6410 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer
177	(Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA), along with a calibration curve consisting of
178	nine known thiamethoxam concentrations that ranged from 0.1 to 125 μ g L ⁻¹ , using methods
179	described in Laycock et al. (2012). The instrument response was linear over the range 0.1-
180	125 μ g L ⁻¹ , with the relationship of the calibration curve given by <i>instrument response</i> =
181	228.42 × <i>thiamethoxam concentration</i> + 265.87, $R^2 > 0.99$). We used the calibration equation
182	to determine the concentration values of our extracted samples and found that all dosages
183	contained appropriate levels of thiamethoxam (<i>measured thiamethoxam</i> = $1.16 \times nominal$
184	$dosage + 1.57, R^2 > 0.99).$

186 2.3 Statistical analyses

In our experiments, endpoints responded only to the two highest dosages of thiamethoxam (see section 3). We therefore analysed the variation in food consumption and days survived by workers in microcolonies that was due to thiamethoxam using one-way ANOVA, with *dosage* (dosage of thiamethoxam in μ g kg⁻¹) treated as a categorical variable, and compared the highest dosage groups to those below using orthogonal contrasts.

192

We tested whether the two highest thiamethoxam dosages were associated with an increased frequency of oviposition failure (zero brood produced) using a 2 × 2 contingency table and Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction.

196

197 To determine whether brood production was dose-dependent below the two highest dosages, 198 we used zero-inflated Poisson regression (ZIP) because of an excess of zero counts in our 199 data (Lambert, 1992). We tested the appropriateness of the ZIP model by comparing it to a 200 standard Poisson model using a Vuong non-nested test and confirmed that the ZIP model was 201 the superior choice (*Vuong test statistic* = -5.17, P < 0.001). 202 203 In our analysis, the total number of eggs and larvae produced in microcolonies during the 17day exposure period represents brood (brood were not produced during pre-dose 204 205 acclimatisation). Where necessary, we log-transformed *dosage* to log(dosage + 1) to meet test 206 assumptions. All statistical analyses were conducted in R v3.0.0 (Ihaka and Gentleman,

208

207

1996).

3. Results

209 Per capita consumption of syrup and pollen in microcolonies was significantly affected by

- thiamethoxam (ANOVA: syrup consumption, $F_{9,90} = 9.29$, P < 0.001; pollen consumption,
- 211 $F_{9,90} = 15.14, P < 0.001$; Fig. 1). Specifically, a significant reduction in food consumption
- 212 was evident only in microcolonies exposed at the two highest dosages, $39 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$ and $98 \ \mu g$
- 213 kg⁻¹ (orthogonal contrast: *syrup consumption*, $F_{9,90} = 9.29$, t = -8.87, P < 0.001; *pollen*
- 214 *consumption*, $F_{9,90} = 15.14$, t = -11.22, P < 0.001). No dose-dependent variation was
- 215 detectable among microcolonies exposed to dosages $\leq 16 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$ (ANOVA: *syrup*
- 216 consumption, $F_{7,74} = 0.39$, P = 0.91; pollen consumption, $F_{7,74} = 0.90$, P = 0.51). Despite
- consuming less syrup, microcolonies exposed to higher dosages nevertheless ingested largeramounts of thiamethoxam (Table 1).
- 219
- 220 In microcolonies, the frequency of oviposition failure at the two highest thiamethoxam
- dosages (94% failure) was greater than at lower dosages (48%) and these frequencies differed
- significantly (Chi-squared contingency table analysis: $X^2 = 11.33$, df = 1, P < 0.001; Fig. 2).
- 223 Excluding the two highest dosages, thiamethoxam did not significantly affect the number of
- brood produced (ZIP regression: *brood count*, z = -1.26, P = 0.21; zero brood production, z = -1.26; P = 0.21; zero
- 225 0.45, *P* = 0.65; Fig. 1).
- 226
- Among microcolonies that produced brood, there was no effect of dosage on the number of
- brood produced or on the timing of first oviposition (Spearman's correlation: *brood vs.*

229 $dosage, \rho = -0.03, N = 44, P = 0.85; days until oviposition vs. dosage, \rho = 0.08, N = 44, P = 230 0.63; Table 1).$

- 232 The number of days survived by workers in microcolonies varied significantly with
- thiamethoxam dosage (ANOVA: $F_{9,90} = 27.43$, P < 0.001; Fig. 1), but it was reduced only at
- 234 98 μ g kg⁻¹ (orthogonal contrast: $F_{9,90} = 27.43$, t = -15.44, P < 0.001) and did not differ at
- 235 lower dosages (ANOVA: $F_{8,82} = 1.25$, P = 0.28).
- 236

236 **4. Discussion**

237 4.1 Thiamethoxam effects

238 We found that thiamethoxam reduced feeding and brood production in *B. terrestris*

239 microcolonies that fed on syrup with a dietary concentration of 39 μ g kg⁻¹ or above for 17

240 days. At lower dosages, microcolonies consumed syrup and pollen at normal control rates

and brood production was not detectably dose-dependent. These results are consistent with

those of a previous *B. terrestris* microcolony study in which dietary thiamethoxam produced

an EC₅₀ for drone production of 35 μ g kg⁻¹ and had no observable effect on workers at 10 μ g

 kg^{-1} (Mommaerts et al., 2010). However, another recent study reported that 10 μ g kg⁻¹

thiamethoxam was capable of reducing syrup feeding and brood production in microcolonies

246 (Elston et al., 2013). These contrasting results may have arisen because bumble bees

247 consumed different amounts of thiamethoxam in nominally equivalent treatment groups, with

Elston et al. (2013) having dosed both syrup and pollen at $10 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$, whereas Mommaerts et

al. (2010), like us, dosed only syrup. Additionally, our results correspond with studies of

250 clothianidin, which is thiamethoxam's primary toxic metabolite and becomes active during

251 thiamethoxam exposure (Nauen et al., 2003). Specifically, dietary clothianidin at 38 μg kg⁻¹

negatively influenced honey bee foraging behaviour (Schneider et al., 2012), but lower

253 dosages had no adverse effects on colonies of *Bombus impatiens* Cresson bumble bees

254 (Franklin et al., 2004).

255

248

Where thiamethoxam was presented to microcolonies at 39 µg kg⁻¹ or above, we observed an association between impaired feeding on syrup and pollen and failure to produce brood. A similar association was observed in *B. terrestris* microcolonies fed imidacloprid across a range of dosages (Laycock et al., 2012). The hypothesis proposed by Laycock et al. (2012), that nutrient limitation imposed by an imidacloprid-induced reduction of feeding may be

responsible for repression of brood production in bumble bees, can also be applied in our
current study to explain thiamethoxam's detrimental effect on brood production at higher
dosages. We therefore postulate that the capacity to impair bumble bee feeding behaviour is
common amongst neonicotinoids, particularly at high dosages, and this may provide a
general mechanism for reduced brood production (Gill et al., 2012; Laycock et al., 2012;
Elston et al., 2013).

267

Consistent with previous findings (Mommaerts et al., 2010), the number of days survived by
workers was significantly reduced in microcolonies fed approximately 100 µg kg⁻¹
thiamethoxam. For honey bees, relatively large dosages of thiamethoxam (67 µg L⁻¹) also
impact on worker survival (Henry et al., 2012). Apparently, these relatively high
concentrations of dietary thiamethoxam are highly toxic to bees in general.

273

4.2 Differential sensitivity of bumble bees to thiamethoxam and imidacloprid

275 In other toxicology studies the biological efficacy of thiamethoxam is said to be comparable 276 to other neonicotinoids (Nauen et al., 2003), but relative toxicity is somewhat inconsistent 277 among studies and species. For example, the LD₅₀ for bees was lower for imidacloprid than 278 thiamethoxam in topical and oral toxicity studies (Iwasa et al., 2004; Mommaerts et al., 279 2010), but higher when other beneficial arthropods and pest species were tested (Magalhaes 280 et al., 2008; Prabhaker et al., 2011). Our study indicates that bumble bees may be less 281 sensitive to thiamethoxam than imidacloprid at dosages in the realistic range typically found in nectars of treated crops (approximately 1–11 µg kg⁻¹; Dively and Kamel, 2012; EFSA, 282 2012; Stoner and Eitzer, 2012). Whereas we found no detectable effect on B. terrestris 283 284 microcolonies of thiamethoxam in this range, a previous study conducted under 285 approximately identical conditions found that dietary imidacloprid was capable of

286 substantively reducing brood production and food consumption in microcolonies at concentrations as low as 1.0 and 2.5 µg kg⁻¹, respectively (Laycock et al., 2012). Similar 287 differences in sensitivity have been demonstrated in aphids, Myzus spp., with imidacloprid 288 repressing feeding at concentrations as low as 6 μ g L⁻¹ (Nauen, 1995; Devine et al., 1999) 289 290 and thiamethoxam failing to repress feeding even at higher dosages (Cho et al., 2011). 291 However, we note that the *B. terrestris* microcolony studies offer only an approximate 292 comparison. For example, in the present study brood production was lower overall than that 293 observed by Laycock et al. (2012), perhaps because of the intrinsic variation in reproductive 294 success that exists between bumble bee colonies (Müller and Schmid-Hempel, 1992). In 295 future work it will be important to compare the sensitivity of bumble bees from the same 296 colony.

297

298 Differential sensitivity may be due to imidacloprid producing a stronger repression of feeding 299 in bumble bees than thiamethoxam at field-realistic dosages (Cresswell et al., 2012; Laycock 300 et al., 2012). Such differences perhaps arise because of thiamethoxam binding to target sites 301 that are distinct from those of imidacloprid (Kayser et al., 2004; Wellmann et al., 2004; 302 Thany, 2011) or because imidacloprid has a greater affinity for insect nicotinic acetylcholine 303 receptors (nAChRs) (Wiesner and Kayser, 2000). However, while imidacloprid is only a 304 partial agonist of native nAChRs in several insects including honey bees (Déglise et al., 2002; Brown et al., 2006; Ihara et al., 2006), clothianidin is a 'super' agonist of Drosophila 305 306 nAChRs (Brown et al., 2006) and has a higher agonist efficacy than imidacloprid in 307 cockroach nAChRs (Ihara et al., 2006). We assume that thiamethoxam is metabolised to 308 clothianidin in bumble bees as it is in other organisms (Nauen et al., 2003), but whether the 309 metabolite is a superior agonist of bumble bee nAChRs is currently unknown. If clothianidin 310 has the higher agonist efficacy in bumble bees, the differential sensitivity we observe may be

attributable to the superior hydrophobicity of imidacloprid (Ihara et al., 2006), which could
determine the neonicotinoids' accessibility to the receptor and therefore its insecticidal
potency (Ihara et al., 2006). While our results show that differential sensitivity of bumble
bees to neonicotinoids is possible, further research is required to understand the mechanistic
basis of this phenomenon.

316

317 *4.3 Environmental relevance*

In our study, realistic dietary residues of thiamethoxam between 1 and 11 $\mu g~kg^{\text{-1}}$ had no 318 319 detectable effect on the performance of bumble bee workers in microcolonies. We extrapolate 320 our results to wild bumble bee populations with caution because additional work is clearly 321 necessary to determine the impact of thiamethoxam on bumble bee queens and their colonies. 322 We also note that our study considers only the effects of dietary thiamethoxam in nectar and 323 not pollen. Furthermore, we test an exposure period of 17 days, whereas environmental 324 exposure could extend across a month or more as bumble bees forage on mass-flowering 325 crops throughout their bloom (Westphal et al. 2009). Consequently, we may underestimate 326 the effects of field-realistic exposures. However, our failure to detect an effect in this range is 327 consistent with a recent field study in which *B. terrestris* colonies reproduced new gueens successfully despite being found to contain stored forage comprising thiamethoxam at an 328 average of 2.4 μ g kg⁻¹ in nectar and 0.7 μ g kg⁻¹ in pollen (Thompson et al., 2013). 329

330

Our findings suggest that environmentally realistic residues of imidacloprid have the
potential to make a greater impact on bumble bees than residues of thiamethoxam, which
could have important implications for future neonicotinoid usage in agriculture. However,
further research is required to establish thiamethoxam's impact on queenright colonies in
wild populations.

336

337 Acknowledgements

338 Ian Laycock was supported by a studentship from the Natural Environment Research Council

339 (NERC).

340

341 **Disclosure statement**

- 342 Research into bee health in the J.E.C. laboratory, unrelated to the work presented here, is
- 343 funded in part by Syngenta.

344 **References**

- 345 Biesmeijer, J.C., Roberts, S.P.M., Reemer, M., Ohlemüller, R., Edwards, M., Peeters, T.,
- 346 Schaffers, A.P., Potts, S.G., Kleukers, R., Thomas, C.D, Settele, J., Kunin, W.E., 2006.
- 347 Parallel declines in pollinators and insect-pollinated plants in Britain and the Netherlands.
- 348 Science 313, 351–354.
- 349 Blacquière, T., Smagghe, G., Gestel, C.A.M., Mommaerts, V., 2012. Neonicotinoids in bees:
- a review on concentrations, side-effects and risk assessment. Ecotoxicology 21, 973–992.
- Brown, L.A., Ihara, M., Buckingham, S.D., Matsuda, K., Sattelle, D.B., 2006. Neonicotinoid
- 352 insecticides display partial and super agonist actions on native insect nicotinic
- acetylcholine receptors. J. Neurochem. 99, 608–615.
- Burkle, L.A., Marlin, J.C., Knight, T.M., 2013. Plant-pollinator interactions over 120 years:
 loss of species, co-occurrence and function. Science 339, 1611–1615.
- 356 Cho, S.-R., Koo, H.-N., Yoon, C., Kim, G.-H., 2011. Sublethal effects of flonicamid and
- 357 thiamethoxam on green peach aphid, *Myzus persicae* and feeding behavior analysis. J.
- 358 Korean Soc. Appl. Biol. Chem. 54, 889–898.
- 359 Cresswell, J.E., Page, C.J., Uygun, M.B., Holmbergh, M., Li, Y., Wheeler, J.G., Laycock, I.,
- 360 Pook, C.J., Hempel de Ibarra, N., Smirnoff, N., Tyler, C.R., 2012. Differential sensitivity
- 361 of honey bees and bumble bees to a dietary insecticide (imidacloprid). Zoology 115, 365–
 362 371.
- 363 Déglise, P., Grünewald, B., Gauthier, M., 2002. The insecticide imidacloprid is a partial
- agonist of the nicotinic receptor of honeybee Kenyon cells. Neurosci. Lett. 321, 13–16.
- 365 Devine, G.J., Harling, Z.K., Scarr, A.W., Devonshire, A.L., 1999. Lethal and sublethal
- 366 effects of imidacloprid on nicotine-tolerant *Myzus nicotianae* and *Myzus persicae*. Pestic.
- 367 Sci. 48, 57–62.

- 368 Dively, G.P., Kamel, A., 2012. Insecticide residues in pollen and nectar of a cucurbit crop
- and their potential exposure to pollinators. J. Agric. Food Chem. 60, 4449–4456.
- 370 EFSA, 2012. Statement on the findings in recent studies investigating sub-lethal effects in
- bees of some neonicotinoids in consideration of the uses currently authorised in Europe.
- 372 EFSA J. 10, 2752.
- 373 EFSA, 2013a. EFSA press release EFSA identifies risks to bees from neonicotinoids.
- European Food Safety Authority website, URL:
- 375 http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/press/news/130116.htm. Accessed 11 September 2013.
- 376 EFSA, 2013b. EFSA Guidance Document on the risk assessment of plant protection products
- on bees (*Apis mellifera*, *Bombus* spp. and solitary bees). EFSA J. 11, 3295.
- 378 Elbert, A., Haas, M., Springer, B., Thielert, W., Nauen, R., 2008. Applied aspects of
- neonicotinoid uses in crop protection. Pest Manag. Sci. 64, 1099–1105.
- 380 Elston, C., Thompson, H.M., Walters, K.F.A., 2013. Sub-lethal effects of thiamethoxam, a
- 381 neonicotinoid pesticide, and propiconazole, a DMI fungicide, on colony initiation in
- 382 bumblebee (*Bombus terrestris*) micro-colonies. Apidologie 44, 563–574.
- 383 European Commission, 2013. EUROPA press release Bees & pesticides: commission to
- 384 proceed with plan to better protect bees. EUROPA website, URL:
- 385 http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_IP-13-379_en.htm. Accessed 11 September 2013.
- 386 FERA, 2013. Pesticide usage statistics. Food and Environment Research Agency website,
- 387 URL: http://pusstats.fera.defra.gov.uk. Accessed 11 September 2013.
- 388 Franklin, M.T., Winston, M.L., Morandin, L.A., 2004. Effects of clothianidin on Bombus
- 389 *impatiens* (Hymenoptera: Apidae) colony health and foraging ability. J. Econ. Entomol.
- **390 97, 369–373**.
- 391 Gill, R.J., Ramos-Rodriguez, O., Raine, N.E., 2012. Combined pesticide exposure severely
- affects individual-and colony-level traits in bees. Nature 491, 105–108.

- Henry, M., Béguin, M., Requier, F., Rollin, O., Odoux, J.-F., Aupinel, P., Aptel, J.,
- Tchamitchian, S., Decourtye, A., 2012. A common pesticide decreases foraging success
 and survival in honey bees. Science 336, 348–350.
- 396 Hoyle M., Hayter K., Cresswell, J.E., 2007. Effect of pollinator abundance on self-
- fertilization and gen flow: application to GM canola. Ecol. Appl. 17, 2123–2135.
- 398 Ihaka, R., Gentleman, R., 1996. R: a language for data analysis and graphics. J. Comput.
- 399 Graph. Stat. 5, 299–314.
- 400 Ihara, M., Brown, L.A., Ishida, C., Okuda, H., Sattelle, D.B., Matsuda, K., 2006. Actions of
- 401 imidacloprid, clothianidin and related neonicotinoids on nicotinic acetylcholine receptors
- 402 of American cockroach neurons and their relationships with insecticidal potency. J. Pestic.
- 403 Sci. 31, 35–40.
- 404 Iwasa, T., Motoyama, N., Ambrose, J.T., Roe, R.M., 2004. Mechanism for the differential
 405 toxicity of neonicotinoid insecticides in the honey bee, *Apis mellifera*. Crop Prot. 23, 371–
 406 378.
- 407 Kayser, H., Lee, C., Decock, A., Baur, M., Haettenschwiler, J., Maienfisch, P., 2004.
- 408 Comparative analysis of neonicotinoid binding to insect membranes: I. A structure–
- 409 activity study of the mode of [³H] imidacloprid displacement in *Myzus persicae* and *Aphis*
- 410 *craccivora*. Pest Manag. Sci. 60, 945–958.
- 411 Lambert, D., 1992. Zero-inflated poisson regression, with an application to defects in
- 412 manufacturing. Technometrics 34, 1–14.
- 413 Laycock, I., Lenthall, K.M., Barratt, A.T., Cresswell, J.E., 2012. Effects of imidacloprid, a
- 414 neonicotinoid pesticide, on reproduction in worker bumble bees (*Bombus terrestris*).
- 415 Ecotoxicology 21, 1937–1945.

- 416 Magalhaes, L.C., Hunt, T.E., Siegfried, B.D., 2008. Development of methods to evaluate
- 417 susceptibility of soybean aphid to imidacloprid and thiamethoxam at lethal and sublethal
- 418 concentrations. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 128, 330–336.
- 419 Maxim, L., van der Sluijs, J., 2013. Seed-dressing systemic insecticides and honeybees, in:
- 420 European Environment Agency, (Ed.), Late lessons from early warnings: Science,
- 421 precaution, innovation. URL: http://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/late-lessons-2.
- 422 Accessed 11 September 2013.
- 423 Mommaerts, V., Reynders, S., Boulet, J., Besard, L., Sterk, G., Smagghe, G., 2010. Risk
- 424 assessment for side-effects of neonicotinoids against bumblebees with and without
- 425 impairing foraging behavior. Ecotoxicology 19, 207–215.
- 426 Müller, C.B., Schmid-Hempel, P., 1992. Correlates of reproductive success among field
- 427 colonies of *Bombus lucorum*: the importance of growth and parasites. Ecol. Entomol. 17,
 428 343–353.
- 429 Nauen, R., 1995. Behaviour modifying effects of low systemic concentrations of
- 430 imidacloprid on *Myzus persicae* with special reference to an antifeeding response. Pestic.
- 431 Sci. 44, 145–153.
- 432 Nauen, R., Ebbinghaus-Kintscher, U., Salgado, V.L., Kaussmann, M., 2003. Thiamethoxam
- 433 is a neonicotinoid precursor converted to clothianidin in insects and plants. Pestic.
- 434 Biochem. Physiol. 76, 55–69.
- 435 Potts, S.G., Biesmeijer, J.C., Kremen, C., Neumann, P., Schweiger, O., Kunin, W.E., 2010.
- 436 Global pollinator declines: Trends, impacts and drivers. Trends Ecol. Evol. 25, 345–353.
- 437 Prabhaker, N., Castle, S.J., Naranjo, S.E., Toscano, N.C., Morse, J.G., 2011. Compatibility of
- 438 two systemic neonicotinoids, imidacloprid and thiamethoxam, with various natural
- 439 enemies of agricultural pests. J. Econ. Entomol. 104, 773–781.

- 440 Schneider, C.W., Tautz, J., Grünewald, B., Fuchs, S., 2012. RFID tracking of sublethal
- 441 effects of two neonicotinoid insecticides on the foraging behavior of *Apis mellifera*. PLoS
 442 One 7, e30023.
- Shardlow, M., A review of recent research relating to the impact of neonicotinoids on the
 environment. Buglife, URL: http://smallbluemarble.org.uk/wp-
- 445 content/uploads/2012/12/Buglife-A-review-of-recent-research-relating-to-the-impact-of-
- 446 neonicotinoids-on-the-environment.pdf.. Accessed 11 September 2013.
- 447 Stoner, K.A., Eitzer, B.D., 2012. Movement of soil-applied imidacloprid and thiamethoxam
 448 into nectar and pollen of squash (*Cucurbita pepo*). PLoS ONE 7, e39114.
- 449 Tasei, J.-N., Lerin, J., Ripault, G., 2000. Sub-lethal effects of imidacloprid on bumblebees,
- 450 *Bombus terrestris* (Hymenoptera: Apidae), during a laboratory feeding test. Pest. Manag.
- 451 Sci. 56, 784–788.
- 452 Thany, S.H., 2011. Thiamethoxam, a poor agonist of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors
- 453 expressed on isolated cell bodies, acts as a full agonist at cockroach cercal afferent/giant
- 454 interneuron synapses. Neuropharmacology 60, 587–592.
- 455 Thompson, H., Harrington, P., Wilkins, S., Pietravalle, S., Sweet, D., Jones, A., (FERA)
- 456 2013. Effects of neonicotinoid seed treatments on bumble bee colonies under field
- 457 conditions. Food and Environment Research Agency website, URL:
- 458 http://www.fera.defra.gov.uk/scienceResearch/scienceCapabilities/chemicalsEnvironment/
- documents/reportPS2371V4a.pdf. Accessed 11 September 2013.
- 460 Vanbergen, A.J., Insect Pollinators Initiative (IPI), 2013. Threats to an ecosystem service:
- 461 pressures on pollinators. Front. Ecol. Environ. 11, 251–259.
- 462 Wellmann, H., Gomes, M., Lee, C., Kayser, H., 2004. Comparative analysis of neonicotinoid
- binding to insect membranes: II. An unusual high affinity site for [³H] thiamethoxam in
- 464 *Myzus persicae* and *Aphis craccivora*. Pest Manag. Sci. 60, 959–970.

Westphal, C., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Tscharntke, T., 2009. Mass flowering oilseed rape
improves early colony growth but not sexual reproduction of bumblebees. J. Appl. Ecol.

467 46, 187–193.

- 468 Whitehorn, P.R., O'Connor, S., Wackers, F.L., Goulson, D., 2012. Neonicotinoid pesticide
- reduces bumble bee colony growth and queen production. Science 336, 351–352.
- 470 Wiesner, P., Kayser, H., 2000. Characterization of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors from the
- 471 insects *Aphis craccivora*, *Myzus persicae*, and *Locusta migratoria* by radioligand binding
- 472 assays: relation to thiamethoxam action. J. Biochem. Mol. Toxicol. 14, 221–230.
- 473 Williams, P.H., Osborne, J.L., 2009. Bumblebee vulnerability and conservation world-wide.
- 474 Apidologie 40, 367–387.
- Winfree, R., 2010. The conservation and restoration of wild bees. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 1195,
 169–197.
- 477

477	Table 1 Frequency of successful oviposition in Bombus terrestris bumble bee microcolonies,
478	with the number of brood (eggs and larvae) produced by successful ovipositors and the time
479	at which first oviposition occurred. Microcolonies ($N = 100$) were presented with
480	thiamethoxam (TMX) in feeder syrup at given dosages for 17 days (replicates per dosage
481	group: control, $N = 19$; dosage treatments, $N = 9$ per concentration). <i>Per capita</i> consumption
482	of TMX in microcolonies is provided for each dosage treatment. Only data from the 44%
483	(44/100) of microcolonies that produced brood is shown in successful oviposition, brood
484	given oviposition and day of first oviposition columns. Except for successful oviposition,
485	data represent the mean \pm SE. We found no detectable effect of dosage on brood production
486	or timing of oviposition in successfully ovipositing microcolonies (Spearman's correlation, P
487	> 0.05).

TMX dosage	TMX consumed	Successful	Brood, given	Day of first
$(\mu g \ kg^{\text{-}1} \ / \ ppb)$	$(ng bee^{-1} day^{-1})$	oviposition (%)	oviposition	oviposition
Control	0.000 ± 0.000	63	5.4 ± 1.1	10.7 ± 0.7
0.1	0.021 ± 0.002	67	4.3 ± 1.8	11.0 ± 0.5
0.2	0.051 ± 0.003	78	5.7 ± 1.6	13.1 ± 1.5
0.4	0.131 ± 0.004	22	11.0 ± 3.2	9.8 ± 3.5
1.0	0.324 ± 0.027	22	3.0 ± 0.0	9.5 ± 1.5
2.5	0.777 ± 0.068	33	5.3 ± 1.8	11.3 ± 3.6
6.3	1.809 ± 0.085	44	3.3 ± 1.0	12.8 ± 2.6
15.7	5.101 ± 0.509	67	5.0 ± 1.6	11.3 ± 0.6
39.4	7.379 ± 0.602	11	5.0 ± 0.0	12.0 ± 0.0
98.4	14.785 ± 2.076	0	_	_
	All ovipositing mid	crocolonies	5.3 ± 0.6	11.4 ± 0.5



490

491 Fig. 1. Daily *per capita* feeding rates, days survived by workers and brood production in 492 Bombus terrestris bumble bee microcolonies following 17 days of exposure to thiamethoxam in dosed syrup ($\mu g kg^{-1} = parts per billion$). (A) Daily *per capita* consumption of dosed syrup; 493 494 (B) daily per capita consumption of undosed pollen; (C) number of days workers survived 495 while under exposure (maximum = 17 days); and (D) brood production (eggs and larvae 496 produced; data includes microcolonies that failed to oviposit). Data represent the means and 497 error bars indicate \pm SE (replicates per dosage group: control, N = 19 microcolonies; dosage treatments, N = 9 microcolonies per concentration). Control data (zero µg kg⁻¹) are displayed 498 499 slightly displaced on the x-axis for ease of inspection.



500

Fig. 2. Frequency of oviposition failure and success in Bombus terrestris bumble bee 501 microcolonies presented for 17 days with thiamethoxam in dosed syrup ($\mu g k g^{-1} = parts per$ 502 503 billion). Low dosage group (N = 82) and high dosage group (N = 18) consist of microcolonies 504 exposed to dietary thiamethoxam at concentrations of ≤ 16 and $\geq 39 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$, respectively. 505 Open bars represent failure to produce brood (zero brood produced) and filled bars represent 506 success (\geq one brood individual produced). Frequency of oviposition failure in the high 507 dosage group (94%) differed significantly from that in low dosage group (48%; Chi-squared 508 contingency table analysis, P < 0.001).