A 5-HT2A/2C receptor agonist, 1-(2,5-dimethoxy-4-iodophenyl)-2-aminopropane, mitigates developmental neurotoxicity of ethanol to serotonergic neurons

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Full title:

A 5-HT$_{2A/2C}$ receptor agonist, 1-(2,5-dimethoxy-4-iodophenyl)-2-aminopropane, mitigates developmental neurotoxicity of ethanol to serotonergic neurons

First author's surname: Ishiguro

Short title: DOI mitigates DNT of EtOH to 5-HT cells

Authors:

Tsukasa Ishiguro, Hiromi Sakata-Haga, and Yoshihiro Fukui

Affiliation

Department of Anatomy and Developmental Neurobiology, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Tokushima University Graduate School, Tokushima 770-8503, Japan

Correspondence: Hiromi Sakata-Haga, Ph D, Department of Anatomy and Developmental Neurobiology, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Tokushima University Graduate School, 3-18-15 Kuramoto-cho, Tokushima 770-8503, Japan. Tel.: +81-88-633-7052; fax: +81-88-633-7053. Email: h_sakata@tokushima-u.ac.jp

1

ABSTRACT   Prenatal ethanol exposure causes the reduction of serotonergic (5-HTergic) neurons in the midbrain raphe nuclei. In the present study, we examined whether an activation of signaling via 5-HT_{2A} and 5-HT_{2C} receptors during the fetal period is able to prevent the reduction of 5-HTergic neurons induced by prenatal ethanol exposure. Pregnant Sprague-Dawley rats were given a liquid diet containing 2.5 to 5.0% (w/v) ethanol on gestational days (GDs) 10 to 20 (Et). As a pair-fed control, other pregnant rats were fed the same liquid diet except that the ethanol was replaced by isocaloric sucrose (Pf). Each Et and Pf group was subdivided into two groups; one of the groups was treated with 1 mg/kg (i.p.) of 1-(2,5-dimethoxy-4-iodophenyl)-2-aminopropane (DOI), an agonist for $5\text{-HT}_{2A/2C}$ receptors, during GDs 13 to 19 (Et-DOI or Pf-DOI), and another was injected with saline vehicle only (Et-Sal or Pf-Sal). Their fetuses were removed by cesarean section on GD 19 or 20, and fetal brains were collected. An immunohistological examination of 5-HTergic neurons in the fetuses on embryonic day 20 using an antibody against tryptophan hydroxylase revealed that the number of 5-HTergic neurons in the midbrain raphe nuclei was significantly reduced in the Et-Sal fetuses compared to that of the Pf-Sal and Pf-DOI fetuses, whereas there were no significant differences between Et-DOI and each Pf control. Thus, we concluded that the reduction of 5-HTergic neurons that resulted in prenatal ethanol exposure could be alleviated by the enhancement of signaling via $5\text{-HT}_{2A/2C}$ receptors during the fetal period.

Key Words: 1-(2,5-dimethoxy-4-iodophenyl)-2-aminopropane, fetal ethanol, rat, 5-HTergic neuron, midbrain raphe nuclei

INTRODUCTION

Ethanol is one of the best-known substances capable of inducing developmental

abnormalities in the central nervous system (CNS). In fact, dysfunctions of the CNS,

such as intellectual disability, learning disorder, hyperactivity, and coordination defect,

have been reported in children with a history of exposure to ethanol *in utero*. Now, it is

widely recognized that ethanol is a main cause of intellectual disability among those of

a known etiology, and the developmental abnormalities with functional defects of the

CNS caused by prenatal ethanol exposure are referred to as fetal alcohol syndrome

(FAS, Rosett 1980) or fetal alcohol spectrum disorders (FASD, Sokol et al. 2003; see

Riley et al. 2011, for a review).

The serotonergic (5-HTergic) system is one of the places targeted by the

developmental neurotoxicity of ethanol. It has been widely accepted that prenatal

ethanol exposure causes a reduction of 5-HTergic neurons in the midbrain raphe nuclei

(Ohta et al. 2010; Tajuddin and Druse 1999, 2001; Sari and Zhou 2004; Zhou et al. 2001,

2002, 2008). Although it is obvious that ethanol exposure during the fetal period

induces a reduced number of 5-HTergic neurons in the midbrain raphe nuclei, its

mechanism is still incompletely understood.

Serotonin (5-HT) is a monoamine neurotransmitter related to many behaviors,

physiological functions, and psychiatric disorders, such as aggression, anxiety, stress

response, sexual behavior, and depression (Oliver 2015), while it has been expected to

play important roles in the immature brain (see Bonnin and Levitt 2011;

Whitaker-Azumita et al. 1996, for reviews). For example, 5-HT inhibits growth corn

motility and synaptogenesis (Haydon et al. 1984) and modulates responsiveness of

thalamocortical axons to a axonal guidance cue (Bonnin et al. 2007), and lack of 5-HT

altered serotonergic innervations in the suprachiasmatic nucleus, praraventricular

nucreus, nucleus accumbens, and hippocampus (Migliarini et al. 2013). Activation of

5-HT receptors enhance neurite outgrowth and lead to neuronal survival (Fricker et al.

2005; Lotto et al. 1999). Thus, disrupted 5-HTergic signaling during the prenatal period

has been thought to be the possible cause of abnormal brain function in adulthood

(Bonnin and Levitt 2011).

Seven families of the 5-HT receptor, comprising a total of 14 subtypes, have been

identified (see Hoyer et al. 2002 for review), some of which already appear in the brain

from the fetal period (Hellendall et al. 1993; Hillion et al. 1993; Johnson and

Heinemann 1995; Bolaños-Jiménez et al. 1997). Especially, $5\text{-}HT_{1A}$ receptor, which was

identified in the cell bodies of 5-HTergic neurons in the embryonic midbrain (Héry et al.

1999; Hillion et al. 1994), has been strongly suggested to play important roles in the

development of the 5-HTergic neurons. Interestingly, it has been reported that $5\text{-}HT_{1A}$

receptor is not only located on neurons but also on astrocytes (Azmitia et al. 1996) and

it would mediate some neurotrophic effects of 5-HT (Whitaker-Azmitia et al. 1990).

Previously, it was demonstrated that maternal treatment with a $5\text{-}HT_{1A}$ receptor agonist,

ipsapirone, during the peak of differentiation of 5-HTergic neurons prevented the

ethanol-associated reduction of 5-HTergic neurons and astrocytes in the raphe region of

the developing rat brain (Tajuddin et al. 2003; Tajuddin and Druse 1999, 2001). Also, *in

vitro* studies showed that ipsapirone prevents the ethanol-associated increase of

apoptosis in 5-HTergic and other neurons in the rhombencephalon (Druse et al. 2004,

2005). Thus, it is considered that a facilitated 5-HT action via $5\text{-}HT_{1A}$ receptor could

protect 5-HTergic neurons from ethanol toxicity in fetal brain.

4

Also other subtypes of 5-HT receptors have been considered to play key roles in brain development, because they were identified in the fetal brain (Gaspar et al. 2003) and suggested to mediate trophic effects of 5-HT (Lotto et al. 1999; Persico et al. 2006). Thus, it will be interesting to see if the agonists for these 5-HT receptors can prevent ethanol toxicity in the development of 5-HTergic neurons. Among 5-HT receptors, the 5-HT_{2A} receptor is the most widely distributed in the brain. The expression of 5-HT_{2A} receptors in the fetal brain gradually increases from embryonic days (EDs) 11 to 21, with a dramatic increase to a peak at ED 13, and it then decreases by ED 17 (Wu et al. 1999). The predominant 5-HT_2 receptors in the neonatal period are 5-HT_{2C} receptors, while 5-HT_{2A} receptors become predominant as the animal ages (Ike et al. 1995). In the midbrain raphe nuclei of adult rats, 5-HT_{2C} receptors have been identified in the majority of GABAergic neurons, even if not in 5-HTergic neurons (Serrats et al. 2005), and the GABAergic neurons input to 5-HTergic neurons of DR (Wang et al. 1992). In addition, the possibility that a $5\text{-HT}_{2A/2C}$ receptor agonist promotes growth of cultured embryonic brainstem 5-HT cells was suggested (Whitaker-Azmita et al. 1996). Thus, it is of interest whether the enhancement of signaling via $5\text{-HT}_{2A/2C}$ receptors during the fetal period has the potential to protect 5-HTergic neurons from the developmental toxicity of ethanol.

In the present study, we examined whether a $5\text{-HT}_{2A/2C}$ receptor agonist, 1-(2,5-dimethoxy-4-iodophenyl)-2-aminopropane (DOI), has a preventive effect against the reduction of 5-HTergic neurons in the midbrain raphe nuclei resulting in prenatal ethanol exposure in rats. We also measured monoamines and their metabolite levels using high-precision liquid chromatography (HPLC), and determined the expression level of genes involved in the generation and differentiation of 5-HTergic neurons using

a real-time reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) in the whole brain

of fetuses prenatally exposed to ethanol.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals, diets, and treatment

All animal procedures were conducted according to the Guide for the Care and Use of

Laboratory Animals, and were reviewed by the Institutional Animal Care and Use

Committee of the University of Tokushima. Great care was taken to minimize the

number of animals used, and their suffering.

Pregnant Sprague-Dawley rats were obtained from Japan SLC (Hamamatsu, Japan).

All of the rats used for the present study were maintained under 12-hour/12-hour of

light and dark cycle. The presence of a vaginal plug was referred to as gestational day

(GD) 0. At first, the pregnant dams were divided into two groups; prenatal

ethanol-exposed (Et) and pair-fed control (Pf) groups. The same procedure as described

in our previous study (Ohta et al. 2010) was used to prepare the rats of each

experimental group. Briefly, pregnant rats of the Et group were allowed free access to a

liquid diet (Oriental Yeast Co., Tokyo, Japan) containing ethanol during GDs 10 to 20,

corresponding to the second-trimester of pregnancy in human. The ethanol

concentration of the diet was gradually raised: 2.5% (w/v) on GDs 10 to 12, 4.0% (w/v)

on GDs 13 to 15, and 5.0% (w/v) on GDs 16 to 20, to avoid a diminishing maternal

intake and body weight gain (Sakata-Haga et al. 2002). In our preliminary study, the

blood ethanol concentration (BEC) at the end of dark-phase during this administration

regimen was measured in non-pregnant female rats (n=6) by AM1 Alcohol Analyzer

(Analox Instrument, London, UK). During the 1st to 3rd day, the period rats fed a diet

containing 2.5% ethanol, the mean of BEC was 94.5 ± 8.5 mg/dl. During the 4th to 6th

day, the period rats fed a diet containing 4.0% ethanol, the mean of BEC was $182.3 \pm$

9.8 mg/dl. During the 7th to 10th day, the period rats were fed a diet containing 5.0%

ethanol, and the mean of BEC was 266.0 ± 9.8 mg/dl. Pregnant rats of the Pf group

were given an equivalent amount of the same liquid diet consumed by Et dams on a

daily basis, except that the ethanol was replaced by isocaloric sucrose. Calorie content

of both liquid diets was 1 kcal/ml. Each day between GDs 13 and 19, approximately

half of the Pf and Et dams were given an intraperitoneal injection of a DOI solution,

containing 1 mg of DOI hydrochloride (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) in 1 ml of saline,

once a day at a daily dose of 1 mg/kg/day. Other dams of each Pf and Et group received

only saline vehicle at the equivalent dose. DOI has a higher affinity for both $5-HT_{2A}$ and

$5-HT_{2C}$ (*Ki* value is 0.7 nM and 2.4 nM, respectively) receptors than for $5-HT_{2B}$

receptor (*Ki* value is 20 nM) (Nelson et al. 1999), and it has been widely used as an

agonist for $5-HT_{2A/2C}$ receptors. DOI also has been used to examine the effect of the

activation of $5-HT_{2A/2C}$ receptors during the fetal period, because it crosses the placental

barrier (Bou-Flores et al. 2000; Bras et al. 2008). The four treatment groups, Pf-Sal

(*n*=7) Pf-DOI (*n*=8), Et-Sal (*n*=8), and Et-DOI (*n*=6), were established in the present

study by the combination of maternal diet and drug treatment. On GD 19 or 20,

pregnant dams were anesthetized and their fetuses were removed by cesarean section.

Although it has been reported that there was a gender difference in effects of prenatal

ethanol exposure on the development of the 5-Hergic system (Hofmann et al., 2007),

only male fetuses were used for the present study. The brains from ED 19 fetuses, which

were collected within 4 h after a final injection of saline or DOI to their dams, were

used for the observation using quantitative real-time PCR. To avoid miscount of

5-HTergic neurons by direct effect of DOI on TPH expression and also to understand a

change of monoamines status consequent to the DOI treatment, the brains used for the

immunohistological detection of 5-HTergic neurons and quantification of monoamines

and their metabolite contents were obtained from ED 20 fetuses, which were collected

at least 24 h after a final injection of saline or DOI.

Immunohistochemistry for 5-HTergic neurons in midbrain raphe nuclei

For an immunohistological observation of 5-HTergic neurons, seven fetuses from three

of Pf-Sal dams, seven fetuses from four of Pf-DOI dams, ten fetuses from four of Et-Sal

dams, and seven fetuses from two of Et-DOI dams were used. The fetal brains were

removed and immersed into 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4)

just after removal from the skull. The brains were embedded in paraffin and serially

sliced along the frontal plane at 5-μm thickness. The sections were irradiated with

microwaves for 5 min in 10 mM citrate buffer (pH 6.0), and then incubated with mouse

anti-tryptophan hydroxylase (TPH) antibody (1:1000, Oncogene Research Products,

San Diego, CA, USA) for 24 h at 4°C. Next, the sections were incubated with

horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG antibody (1:400, MBL, Nagoya,

Japan) for 2 h at 37°C. The immunoreactivity was then visualized with a solution

containing 0.035 g 3,3-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (Nakarai, Kyoto, Japan),

and 2.5 g nickel ammonium sulfate hexahydrate (Nakarai, Kyoto, Japan) in 100 ml of

0.1 M acetate buffer for 5 min at 37°C in the presence of 0.003% H_2O_2.

TPH-immunoreactive (TPH-ir) cells were counted in the dorsal raphe (DR) and the

median raphe (MR) nuclei. At first, the most rostral section containing both DR and MR

was identified as the first section, and every 5 sections (a total of five sections) were

picked and supplied for a TPH-ir cell counting procedure (Ohta et al. 2010). TPH is a

rate-limiting enzyme for 5-HT biosynthesis and is widely used as a marker of 5-HTergic

neurons. The monoclonal antibody used in the present study has been used to describe

the distribution of TPH-containing neuron and to classified 5-HTergic neurons if the

neuron was immunoreactive for TPH (Zhang et al. 2006; Zhang and Hammond 2009).

Thus, TPH-ir neurons were considered as 5-HTergic neurons.

Quantitative measurement of monoamines and their metabolites in whole brains

For a quantification of monoamines, sixteen fetuses from two of Pf-Sal dams, eleven

fetuses from two of Pf-DOI dams, eleven fetuses from two of Et-Sal dams, and eight

fetuses from two of Et-DOI dams were used. Brains were quickly weighed after

removal from the skull, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -30°C until use. Each

brain was homogenized with 1 ml of 0.2 M perchloric acid (Wako, Osaka, Japan)

containing 100 μM EDTA, and then 1 μl of isoproterenol (ISO) solution (100 ng/μl) was

added to the homogenate as an internal standard. The homogenates were incubated for

30 min on ice and centrifuged for 15 min at 20,000 ×g at 0°C. Each supernatant was

corrected, pH was adjusted to 3.0 with 1 M sodium acetate, and filtered with a 0.45 μm

pore-size filter (Millipore, USA). Each 10 μl of the aliquot was injected into the HPLC

system (HTEC-500, Eicom, Kyoto, Japan) with an electrochemical detector (ECD-300,

Eicom, Kyoto, Japan) and a reverse-phase column (EICOMPAK SC-50DS, φ3

mm×150 mm, Eicom, Kyoto, Japan). As a mobile phase, a solution composed of 0.1 M

sodium acetate-citric acid buffer with 190 mg/l sodium 1-octanesulfonate, 5 mg/l

EDTA-2Na and 15% (vol/vol) methanol (pH 3.5), was used, and the flow rate was

maintained at 0.5 ml/min. The detector response was plotted and measured using an

9

HPLC chromatogram analysis software Power Chrom (ver. 2.3.1, eDAQ Japan, Nagoya, Japan). A standard solution, containing 5-HT and its metabolite, 5-HIAA; dopamine (DA) and its metabolite, 3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid (DOPAC); and ISO at each concentration of 10 pg/μl was prepared, and 10 μl of the standard solution was applied to obtain a chromatogram before sample analysis. The amount of each monoamine was calculated from the integrated chromatographic peak area and expressed as ng/g wet tissue. As an index of DA and 5-HT turnover, the DOPAC/DA and 5-HIAA/5-HT ratios were also calculated.

Quantitative real-time PCR

Total RNA was isolated from frozen brains of 18, 11, 8 and 13 fetuses from two dams each in the Pf-Sal, Pf-DOI, Et-Sal and Et-DOI groups according to the manufacturer's instructions with Isogen (Nippon Gene Inc., Tokyo, Japan) and quantitated by a spectrophotometer (Smartspec 3000, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA; 260/280 nm ratio). The integrity of the RNA was checked by agarose gel electrophoresis. First-strand cDNA was synthesized from 1 μg of total RNA using oligo (dT) and Super Script III First-strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen Life Tech., CA, USA). Real-time PCR was performed on an ABI 7500 instrument and its associated software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). PCR reactions were performed in 20 μl solutions containing 2 μl of cDNA, 200 nM primer pair, and 1× SYBR green PCR master mix in 96-well plates. The primer sets for the PCR reactions are listed in Table 1. The PCR cycling conditions were 95°C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 30 s, and the appropriate annealing temperature for the primer set under study was for 30 s and 72°C for 40 s (see Table 1 for annealing temperatures). For each reaction, a standard curve

10

was obtained by analyzing a dilution series of pooled cDNA samples. To correct for

sample-to-sample variation, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*Gapdh*) was

used as an internal standard for RT-PCR. The expression of each target gene was

quantified as a relative expression against the *Gapdh* expression. PCR product purity

was confirmed by dissociation curve analysis for each gene at the end of the PCR

reaction.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS 16.1J (IBM Japan, Tokyo, Japan). Data

of the cell counts, body weight, brain weight, monoamine content, and RNA expression

were analyzed using a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with factors Food and

Treatment. When an interaction reached significance, a simple main effect test was used

to confirm a simple main effect of each factor, while an interaction was not significant,

Tukey test (for data sets with equal variances) or Dunnett's T3 test (for data sets with

unequal variance) was performed as Post-hoc analysis. Homogeneity variances were

analyzed with Levene's test. Results are expressed as mean ± SD. Statistical

significance was considered at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Body weight and brain weight of fetuses

Body weight, brain weight, and brain to body weight ratio of fetuses at ED 20 were

shown in Table 2. In the body weight of pups, no interaction was detected between Food

and Treatment, and a significant main effect of Food was significant. Post-hoc analysis

revealed that there were significant differences between PF-sal and Et-sal or Et-DOI.

11

Thus, it was suggested that prenatal ethanol exposure induced growth deficiency of fetuses regardless of DOI treatment. On the other hand, a significant Food and Treatment interaction was detected in the brain weight of pups. A simple main effect of Food was significant in the saline-treated group (Pf-Sal and Et-Sal) and also that of Treatment was significant in the non ethanol-exposed group (Pf-Sal and Pf-DOI). Thus, it was suggested that prenatal ethanol exposure inhibited brain growth, and the inhibitory effect of ethanol on fetal brain growth was not prevented by DOI. In the ratio of brain weight to body weight, the main effect of Food was significant, whereas the main effect of Treatment was not, and no interaction was detected between Food and Treatment. Post-hoc analysis revealed that there were significant differences between PF-Sal and Et-Sal or Et-DOI. Thus, it was suggested that prenatal ethanol exposure altered the ration of brain weight to body weight regardless of DOI treatment.

Number of TPH-ir cells in raphe nuclei

In all four experimental groups, TPH-ir cells were mainly distributed in the dorsal and the median raphes (DR and MR, respectively) of the midbrain (Figs. 1A and B). Fig. 2 shows the relative numbers of these cell in each group (based on that in Pf-Sal). In both the DR and MR, a significant interaction of Food and Treatment was detected. A simple main effect of Food was confirmed in only the saline-treated group and that of Treatment was significant in the ethanol-exposed group in both nuclei. Thus, the present study suggested that prenatal ethanol exposure induced a significant decrease in the number of THP-ir cells in both DR and MR, while the inhibitory effect of ethanol on the number of 5-HTergic neurons was attenuated by co-treatment with DOI (Figs. 1 and 2).

Monoamine contents and their turnover in fetal brains

Contents of DA, 5-HT, and their metabolites in whole fetal brain were shown in Table 3.

For the contents of 5-HT or 5-HIAA in the whole fetal brains, the main effect of Food

was significant and no interaction was detected between Food and Treatment. Post-hoc

analysis revealed that there were significant differences between Pf-Sal and Et-Sal or

Et-DOI and also between Pf-DOI and Et-Sal or Et-DOI. Thus, it was suggested that

prenatal ethanol exposure induced a reduction of 5-HT content in the whole fetal brain

regardless of DOI treatment. On the other hand, significant differences of 5-HIAA

content were detected between Pf-Sal and Et-Sal, but not between Pf-Sal and Et-DOI.

There results suggested that prenatal ethanol exposure without DOI treatment reduced

5-HIAA content, but DOI treatment mitigated the ethanol-induced reduction of 5-HIAA

content in the fetal whole brain. The turnover ratio of 5-HT was not altered by any

factors of Food or Treatment. For the contents of DA in the whole fetal brains, the main

effect of Food was significant and no interaction was detected between Food and

Treatment. However, a significant difference was never detected between any couple of

two experimental groups. Significant interactions between Food and Treatment were

shown in the content of DOPAC and the turnover ratio of DA. For both DOPAC content

and the turnover ration of DA, a simple main effect of Treatment was significant in the

pair-fed group, but not in ethanol-exposed group. Thus it was suggested maternal DOI

treatment facilitated turnover of DA in the fetal brain, but the prenatal ethanol-exposure

interrupted the DOI efficacy.

Expression of genes related to generation and differentiation of 5-HTergic neurons

Changes of gene expression in whole fetal brain on GD 19 were shown in Fig. 3. For

expression of *Phox2b* and *Lmx1b*, a significant interaction between Food and Treatment

was detected. Whereas a simple main effect of Food was confirmed in both the saline-

or DOI-treated groups, a simple main effect of Treatment was significant only the

ethanol-exposed group. For relative expression of *Lmx1b*, a simple main effect of Food

was significant in the saline-treated group, and also that of Treatment was significant

only the ethanol-exposed group. It was suggested that prenatal ethanol-exposure

suppressed relative expressions of *Phox2b* and *Lmx1b,* however, the ethanol-induced

reductions of each *Phox2b* and *Lmx1b* expression were completely prevented by

co-treatment of dams with DOI. For expression of *Fgf8*, the main effect of Food was

significant and no interaction was detected between Food and Treatment. However, a

significant difference was never detected between any couple of two experimental

groups in post-hoc analysis. For expression of *Nkx2.2*, the main effect of Treatment was

significant and no interaction was detected between Food and Treatment. Post-hoc

analysis revealed that there were significant differences between Et-DOI and PF-Sal or

Et-Sal. Thus, it was suggested that DOI treatment reduced relative expression of *Nkx2.2,*

regardless ethanol exposure *in utero*.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we found that a 5-HT$_{2A/2C}$ receptor agonist, DOI, had the ability to

prevent a reduction in 5-HTergic neurons in the DR and MR induced by prenatal

ethanol treatment. Previously, Tajuddin and colleagues reported that maternal treatment

with a 5-HT$_{1A}$ receptor agonist, ipsapirone, during the peak of differentiation of

5-HTergic neurons prevented the ethanol-associated reduction of 5-HTergic neurons in

the midbrain raphe nuclei (Tajuddin and Druse 1999, 2001; Tajuddin et al. 2003). The

present study suggested that also an agonist of 5-HT$_{2A/2C}$ receptors also protect midbrain

5-HTergic neurons from developmental neurotoxicity of ethanol.

A mechanism of the protective effect of DOI against the developmental toxicity of

ethanol might be more complicated than that of 5-HT$_{1A}$ receptor agonists, because

5-HT$_{2A}$ and 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptors have never been identified on 5-HTergic neurons in the

immature mesencephalon, and the distribution of these receptors during brain

development remains incompletely defined, unlike that of 5-HT$_{1A}$ receptor. In addition,

prenatal ethanol exposure has no apparent effect on expression of mRNA for 5-HT$_{2A}$ or

5-HT$_{2C}$ receptors in rats (Kim et al. 1997; Hofmann et al. 2007), whereas ethanol

exposure *in utero* impairs the development of 5-HT$_{1A}$ receptor-expressing neurons in

the parietal and frontal cortex. However, 5-HT signaling via 5-HT$_{2A}$ and 5-HT$_{2C}$

receptors must be important for normal brain development, as evidenced by the fact that

both receptors are expressed in immature brain with developmental stage-related

changes (Ike et al. 1995; Wu et al. 1999) and would mediate trophic effects of 5-HT

(Persico et al. 2006).

It was reported that mRNA of both 5-HT2A and 5-HT2C receptors are expressed in

the DR and periaqueductal gray, that is adjacent to the DR, in adult rats (Wright et al.

1995). Subsequently, it was demonstrated that 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptors were mainly distributed

in GABAergic neurons in the midbrain raphe nuclei, even if they were not in 5-HTergic

cells (Serrats et al. 2005). 5-HTergic neurons of the DR receive input from GABAergic

neurons via GABA$_A$ receptors (Wang et al. 1992), and an activation of 5-HT$_{2A}$ and

5-HT$_{2C}$ receptors by DOI administration increases inhibitory input from GABAergic

neurons to 5-HTergic neurons of the DR (Liu et al. 2000). It was reported that GABA

acted as a neurotrophic factor against immature monoamine neurons, including

5-HTergic neurons, and regulated their survival in an *in vitro* study using primary

dissociated cells from rat fetuses on ED 14 (Liu et al. 1997). Therefore, the protective

effect of DOI against the reducing of 5-HTergic cells by prenatal ethanol exposure

might be exerted through activation of GABA neurons in the raphe nuclei.

In quantitative measurement of their monoamines, it was revealed that prenatal

ethanol administration induced decreases in the content of both 5-HT and 5-HIAA in the

whole brain. DOI supplementation tended to mitigate the reduction of 5-HT content,

however this DOI effect was not statistically significant. On the other hand, DOI

treatment improved 5-HIAA content in the ethanol-exposed fetus. Thus, it was

suggested that DOI treatment was effective to protect developing 5-HTergic system

from ethanol toxicity, while it might be not enough.

Quantitative real-time PCR revealed the expression status of genes involved

serotonergic differentiation on ED 19. In rats, the peak of 5-HTergic differentiation

takes place at EDs 15 to16, and shows an assembled distribution of 5-HTergic neurons

with adult by ED 19. Hence, differentiation into 5-HTergic neurons is due to be

completed by ED 19 in rats. Thus, these gene expressions in rats at ED 19 may show the

results of the altered differentiation into 5-HTergic neurons. Et-Sal rats displayed

significantly lower levels of *Phox2b* mRNA expression than both Pf-Sal and Et-DOI.

Phox2b is a molecule that suppresses the premitotic cell differentiation into 5-HTergic

neurons and expression of *Phxo2b* is suppressed in differentiating 5-HTergic neurons

(Pattyn et al. 2003). Thus, the changes in relative expression of *Phox2b* seen in our

study might reflect the changes in the number of differentiated 5-HTergic neurons

depending on foods and treatments. Also, *Lmx1b*, which was expressed in postmitotic 5-HTergic neurons (Hendricks et al. 1999, 2003), showed parallel changes with the number of 5-HTergic neurons. As expected, relative expression of *Lmx1b* in Et-Sal rats was significantly lower than that of Pf-Sal or Et-DOI. Expression of *Fgf8*, which reported a reduced expression after prenatal ethanol exposure, was reduced in fetal brain by prenatal ethanol exposure. On the other hand, expression of *Shh*, which also reported prenatal ethanol-related change, was not affected in the present study. However, a time series comparison from earlier stage of development and observation of 5-HTergic cell specific changes would be required to understand the correlation of these genes in the ethanol-induced reduction of 5-HTergic neurons and its prevention by DOI.

In the present study, we suggested that enhancement of signaling via 5-HT_{2A} and/or 5-HT_{2c} receptors could possibly prevent the reduction of 5-HTergic neurons induced by prenatal ethanol exposure. The protective effects of the $5\text{-HT}_{2A\ /2C}$ agonist on the ethanol-induced reduction of 5-HTergic neurons would be exerted through direct and/or indirect actions on 5HTergic neurons, such as GABAergic neurons and/or astrocytes. To further understand these mechanisms, it is also important to know in detail the roles of each 5-HT_{2A} or 5-HT_{2C} receptor in brain development, particularly in development of the 5-HTergic system.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

DISCLOSURES

The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

Azmitia EC, Gannon PJ, Kheck NM, Whitaker-Azmitia PM. 1996. Cellular localization

of the 5-HT$_{1A}$ receptor in primate brain neurons and glial cells.

Neuropsychopharmacology 14:35-46.

Bolaños-Jiménez F, Choi DS, Maroteaux L. 1997. Preferential expression of 5-HT$_{1D}$

over 5HT$_{1B}$ receptors during early embryogenesis. Neuroreport 8:3655-3660.

Bonnin A, Levitt P. 2011. Fetal, maternal and placental sources of serotonin and new

implication for developmental programming of the brain. Neuroscience 197:1-7.

Bonnin A, Torii M, Wang L, Takic P, Levitt P. 2007. Serotonin modulates the response

of embryonic thalamocortical axons to netrin-1. Nature Neurosci 10:588-597.

Bou-Flores C, Lajard AM, Monteau R, De Maeyer E, Seif I, Lanoir J, Hilaire G. 2000.

Abnormal phrenic motoneuron activity and morphology in neonatal monoamine

oxiase A-dificient transgenic mice: possible role of a serotonin excess. J Neurosci

20:4646-4656.

Bras H, Gaytán SP, Zanella S, Pásaro R, Coulon P, Hilaire G. 2008. Prenatal activation

of 5-HT$_{2A}$ receptor induces expression of 5-HT$_{1B}$ receptor in phrenic motoneurons

and alters the organization of their premotor network in newborn mice. Eur J

Neurosci 28:1097-1107.

Druse MJ, Tajuddin NF, Gillespie RA, Le P. 2005. Signaling pathways involved with

serotonin$_{1A}$ agonist-mediated neuroprotection against ethanol-induced apoptosis of

fetal rhombencephalic neurons. Brain Res Dev Brain Res 159:18-28.

Druse MJ, Tajuddin NF, Gillespie RA, Dickson E, Atieh M, Pietrzak CA, Le PT. 2004.

The serotonin-1A agonist ipsapirone prevents ethanol-associated death of total

rhombencephalic neurons and prevents the reduction of fetal serotonin neurons.

18

Brain Res Dev Brain Res 150:79-88.

Foster GA. 1998. Cheminal Neuroanatomy of the Prenatal Rat Brain: A Developmental

Atlas (New York: Oxford University Press).

Fricker AD, Rios C, Devi LA, Gomes I. 2005. Serotonin receptor activation leads to

neurite outgrowth and neuronal survival. Mol Brain Res 138:228-235.

Gaspar P, Cases O, Maroteauz L. 2003. The developmental role of serotonin: news from

mouse molecular genetics. Nat Rev Neurosci 4:1002-1012.

Haydon PG, McCobb DP, Kater SB. 1984. Serotonin selectively inhibits growth cone

motility and synaptogenesis of specific identified neurons. Science 226:561-564.

Hellendall RP, Schambra UB, Liu JP, Lauder JM. 1993. Prenatal expression of 5-HT$_{1C}$

and 5-HT$_2$ receptors in the rat central nervous system. Exp Neurol 120:186-201.

Hendricks T, Francis N, Fyodorov D, Deneris ES. 1999. The ETS domain factor Pet-1 is

an early and precise marker of central serotonin neurons and interacts with conserved

element in serotonergic genes. J Neurosci 19:10348-10356.

Hendricks TJ, Fyodorov DV, Wegman LJ et al. 2003. Pet-1 ETS gene plays a critical

role in 5-HT neuron development and is required for normal anxiety-like and

aggressive behavior. Neuron 37:233-247.

Héry F, Boulenguez P, Sémont A, Héry M, Becquet D, Faudon M, Deprez P, Fache MP.

1999. Identification and role of serotonin 5-HT$_{1A}$ and 5-HT$_{1B}$ receptors in primary

cultures of rat embryonic rostral raphe nucleus neurons. J Neurochem 72:1791-1801.

Hillion J, Catelon J, Raid M, Hamon M, De Vitry F. 1994. Neuronal localization of

5-HT$_{1A}$ receptor mRNA and protein in rat embryonic brain stem cultures. Brain Res

Dev Brain Res 79:195-202.

Hillion J, Milne-Edwards JB, Catelon J, de Vitry F, Gros F, Hamon M. 1993. Prenatal

developmental expression of rat brain 5-HT$_{1A}$ receptor gene followed by PCR.

Biochem Biophys Res Commun 191:991-997.

Hofmann CE, Ellis L, Yu WK, Weinberg J. 2007. Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal

responses to 5-HT$_{1A}$ and 5-HT$_{2A/C}$ agonists are differentially altered in female and

male rats prenatally exposed to ethanol. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 31:345-355.

Hoyer D, Hannon JP, Martin GR. 2002. Molecular, pharmacological and functional

diversity of 5-HT receptors. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 71:533-554.

Ike J, Canton H, Sanders-Bush E. 1995. Developmental switch in the hippocampal

serotonin receptor linked to phosphoinositide hydrolysis. Brain Res 678:49-54.

Johnson DS, Heinemann SF. 1995. Embryonic expression of the 5-HT$_3$ receptor subunit,

5-HT$_3$R-A, in the rat: an in situ hybridization study. Mol Cell Neurosci 6:122-138.

Kim JA, Gillespie RA, Druse MJ. 1997. Effects of maternal ethanol consumption and

buspirone treatment on 5-HT$_{1A}$ and 5-HT$_{2A}$ receptors in offspring. Alcohol Clin Exp

Res 21:1169-1178.

Liu J, Morrow AL, Devaud L, Grayson DR, Lauder JM. 1997. GABA$_A$ receptors

mediate trophic effects of GABA on embryonic brainstem monoamine neurons in

vitro. J Neurosci 17:2420-2428.

Liu R, Jolas T, Aghajanian G. 2000. Serotonin 5-HT$_2$ receptors activate local GABA

inhibitory input to serotonergic neurons of the dorsal raphe nucleus. Brain Res

873:34-45.

Lotto B, Upton L, Price DJ, Gaspar P. 1999. Serotonin receptor activation enhances

neurite outgrowth of thalamic neurons in rodents. Neurosci Lett 269:87-90.

Migliarini S, Pacini G, Pelosi B, Lunardi G, Pasqualetti M. 2013. Lack of brain

serotonin affects postnatal development and serotonergic neuronal circuitry

formation. Mol Psychiatry 18:1106-1118.

Nelson DL, Lucaites VL, Wainscott DB, Glennon RA. 1999. Comparisons of

hallucinogenic phenylisopropylamine binding affinities at cloned human 5-HT$_{2A}$,

5-HT$_{2B}$ and 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptors. Naunyn Schmiedeberg Arch Pharmacol 359:1-6.

Ohta K, Sakata-Haga H, Fukui Y. 2010. Alteration in anxiety-related behaviors and

reduction of serotonergic neurons in raphe nuclei in adult rats prenatally exposed to

ethanol. Congenit Anom (Kyoto) 50:105-110.

Oliver B. 2015. Serotonin: a never-ending story. Eur J Pharmacol 753:2-18.

Pattyn A, Vallstedt A, Dias JM, Samad OA, Krumlauf R, Rijli FM, Brunet JF, Ericson J.

2003. Coordinated temporal and spatial control of motor neuron and serotonergic

neuron generation from a common pool of CNS progenitors. Genes Dev 17:729-737.

Persico AM, Di Pino G, Levitt P. 2006. Multiple receptors mediate the trophic effects of

serotonin on ventroposterior thalamic neurons in vitro. Brain Res 1095: 17-25.

Riley EP, Infante MA, Warren KR. 2011. Fetal alcohol spectrum disorders: an overview.

Neuropsychol Rev 21:73-80.

Rosett HL. 1980. A clinical perspective of the Fetal Alcohol Syndrome. Alcohol Clin

Exp Res 4:119-122.

Sakata-Haga H, Sawada K, Hisano S, Fukui Y. 2002. Administration schedule for an

ethanol-containing diet in pregnancy affects types of offspring brain malformations.

Acta Neuropathol 104:305-312.

Sari Y, Zhou FC. 2004. Prenatal alcohol exposure causes long-term serotonin neuron

deficit in mice. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 28:941-948.

Serrats J, Mengod G, Cortés R. 2005. Expression of serotonin 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptors in

GABAergic cells of the anterior raphe nuclei. J Chem Neuroanat 29:83-91.

Sokol RJ, Delaney-Black V, Nordstrom B. 2003. Fetal alcohol spectrum disorder.

JAMA 290:2996-2999.

Tajuddin NF, Druse MJ. 1999. In utero ethanol exposure decreased the density of

serotonin neurons. Maternal ipsapirone treatment exerted a protective effect. Brain

Res Dev Brain Res 117:91-97.

Tajuddin NF, Druse MJ. 2001. A persistent deficit of serotonin neurons in the offspring

of ethanol-fed dams: protective effects of maternal ipsapirone treatment. Brain Res

Dev Brain Res 129:181-188.

Tajuddin NF, Orrico LA, Eriksen JL, Druse MJ. 2003. Effects of ethanol and ipsapirone

on the development of midline raphe glial cells and astrocytes. Alcohol 29:157-164.

Wang QP, Ochiai H, Nakai Y. 1992. GABAergic innervation of serotonergic neurons in

the dorsal raphe nucleus of the rat studied by electron microscopy double

immunostaining. Brain Res Bull 29:943-948.

Whitaker-Azmitia PM, Druse M, Walker P, lauder JM. 1996. Serotonin as a

developmental signal. Behav Brain Res 73:19-29.

Whitaker-Azmitia PM, Murphy R, Azmitia EC. 1990. Stimulation of astroglial 5-HT$_{1A}$

receptors releases the serotonergic growth factor, protein S-100, and alters astroglial

morphology. Brain Res 528:155-158.

Wright DE, Seroogy KB, Lundgren KH, Davis BM, Jennes L. 1995. Comparative

localization of serotonin 1A, 1C, and 2 receptor subtype mRNAs in rat brain. J Comp

Neurol 351:357-373.

Wu C, Dias P, Kumar S, Lauder JM, Singh S. 1999. Differential expression of serotonin

5-HT$_2$ receptors during rat embryogenesis. Dev Neurosci 21:22-28.

Zhang L, Hammond DL. 2009. Substance P enhances excitatory synaptic transmission

on spinally projecting neurons in the rostral ventromedial medulla after inflammatory

Zhang L, Sykes KT, Buhler AV, Hammond DL. 2006. Electrophysiological

heterogeneity of spinally projecting serotonergic and nonserotonergic neurons in the

rostral bentromedial medulla. J Neurophysiol 95:1853-1863.

Zhou FC, Fang Y, Goodlett C. 2008. Peptidergic agonists of activity-dependent

neurotrophic factor protect against prenatal alcohol-induced neural tube defects and

serotonin neuron loss. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 32:1361-1371.

Zhou FC, Sari Y, Li TK, Goodlett C, Azmitia EC. 2002. Deviations in brain early

serotonergic development as a result of fetal alcohol exposure. Neurotox Res

4:337-342.

Zhou FC, Sari Y, Zhang JK, Goodlett CR, Li T. 2001. Prenatal alcohol exposure retards

the migration and development of serotonin neurons in fetal C57BL mice. Brain Res

Dev Brain Res 126:147-155.

Fig. 1   A: Sketch of a frontal plane containing the dorsal raphe (DR) and the median

raphe (MR). B: Distribution of TPH-ir cells in the midbrain raphe nuclei on a

frontal section of rat fetus on GD 20 (A scale bar = 400 μm). The DR and MR

of rat fetus on prenatal day 20 were identified by reference to an atlas for

prenatal rat brain (Foster 1998). C and D: Comparison of TPH-ir cell

distribution in the DR and MR among experimental groups, respectively (Scale

bars = 100 μm). In both the DR and MR, Et-DOI fetuses showed a pronounced

reduction in TPH-ir cells compared to all other groups. Aq, aqueduct; mlf,

medial longitudinal fasciculus; xscp, decussation of the superior cerebellar

peduncle.

Fig. 2   Comparison of TPH-ir cell numbers in DR and MR among experimental groups.

Values are represented as mean + SD of percentage of relative expression in

Pf-Sal. $^{\bullet\bullet}p < 0.01$ for a significant simple main effect of Food. $^{\blacktriangle}p < 0.05$ or

$^{\blacktriangle\blacktriangle}p < 0.01$ for a significant simple main effect of Treatment.

     The number of TPH-ir cells in both the DR and MR showed a significant

Food and Treatment interaction [$F_{(1, 27)} = 5.54$, $p < 0.05$ and $F_{(1, 27)} = 5.31$, $p <$

0.05, respectively]. A simple main effect of Food in the saline-treated group

(Pf-sal and Et-sal) was significant in both DR and MR [$F_{(1, 27)} = 19.83$, $p < 0.01$

and $F_{(1, 27)} = 27.01$, $p < 0.01$, respectively]. Also, a simple main effects of

Treatment was significant in the ethanol-exposed group (Et-Sal and Et-DOI) in

both DR and MR [$F_{(1, 27)} = 6.17$, $p < 0.05$ and $F_{(1, 27)} = 10.17$, $p < 0.01$,

respectively]. Thus, it was suggested that DOI treatment could protect

ethanol-induced reduction of 5-HTergic neurons in both DR and MR.

Fig. 3 Gene expression of *Shh*, *Fgf8*, transcription factors involved in serotonergic

neuron development (*Phox2b*, *Nkx2.2*, *Gata2*, *Lmx1b* and *Pet1*) in whole fetal

brains. Values are represented as mean + SD of percentage of relative

expression in Pf-Sal. •$p < 0.05$ or ••$p < 0.01$ for a significant simple main effect

of Treatment. ▲$p < 0.05$ or ▲▲$p < 0.01$ for a significant simple main effect of

Treatment. *$p < 0.05$ compared to Pf-Sal. #$p < 0.05$ compared to Et-Sal.

For expression of *Phox2b* and *Lmx1b*, a significant interaction between

Food and Treatment was detected [$F_{(1, 46)} = 16.95$, $p < 0.01$ and $F_{(1, 46)} = 6.53$, p

< 0.05, respectively]. For *Phox2b* expression, a simple main effect of Food in

both saline treated groups (Pf-sal and Et-sal) and DOI treated groups (Pf-DOI

and Et-DOI) was significant [$F_{(1, 46)} = 11.40$, $p < 0.01$ and $F_{(1, 46)} = 5.90$, $p <$

0.05, respectively] , and a simple main effects of Treatment was significant in

ethanol-exposed groups (Et-Sal and Et-DOI) was significant [$F_{(1, 46)} = 17.29$, p

< 0.01]. For *Lmx1b* expression, a simple main effect of Food in saline treated

groups (Pf-sal and Et-sal) was significant [$F_{(1, 46)} = 7.01$, $p < 0.05$] , and a

simple main effects of Treatment was significant in ethanol-exposed groups

(Et-Sal and Et-DOI) was significant [$F_{(1, 46)} = 5.22$, $p < 0.05$]. For expression

of *Fgf8*, the main effect of Food was significant [$F_{(1, 46)} = 6.48$, $p < 0.05$],

whereas that of Treatment was not, and interaction of Food and Treatment was

also not significant. Post-hoc analysis showed no significant differences

between any two groups. On the other hand, expression of *Nkx2.2* was

significantly affected by Treatment [$F_{(1, 46)} = 9.98$, $p < 0.01$], but not by Food,

and interaction of Food and Treatment was also not significant. Post-hoc

analysis detected significant differences between Et-DOI and Pf-Sal or Et-Sal ($p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.05$, respectively). For expressions of *Shh*, *Gata2*, and *Pet1*, the main effects of each Food and Treatment were not significant, and interactions of Food and Treatment were also not significant.

Table 1   List of primer pairs used in quantitative real-time PCR

Genes		Sequence 5'→3'	Amplicon size (bp)	Annealing temperature (°C)
Gapdh	Forward Reverse	AGACAGCCGCATCTTCTTGT TGATGGCAACAATGTCCACT	142	58
Shh	Forward Reverse	AGGCTGGATTCGACTGGGTCTA AACTTGGTGCCACCCTGCTC	142	64
Fgf8	Forward Reverse	CATCAACGCCATGGCAGAA CAGCACGATCTCCGTGAACA	187	64
Phox2b	Forward Reverse	TACGCCGCAGTTCCATACAAACTC TCTTTGAGCTGCGCGCTTGTGAAG	104	56
Nkx2.2	Forward Reverse	CATGTCGCTGACCAACACAAAG TCGCTGCTGTCGTAGAAAGGA	210	56
Gata2	Forward Reverse	GTGGAACGTACTCTTGGCTCCTG TCCAAACAAACACTGTCCGTGAA	196	64
Lmx1b	Forward Reverse	TCAGTGTGCGTGTGGTCCAG TCTGCTGCTCTTGCTGTTGC	93	64
Pet1	Forward Reverse	CCCTGCTGATCAACATGTACCTACC CAGCTCCAGTAGAAACTGCCACAA	143	60

27

Table 2 Body weight, brain weight, and brain to body weight ratio of fetuses

	Pf-Sal ($n = 16$)	Pf-DOI ($n = 11$)	Et-Sal ($n = 11$)	Et-DOI ($n = 8$)
Body weight (g)	3.987 ± 0.413	3.671 ± 0.166	3.440 ± 0.222**	3.409 ± 0.196**
Brain weight (g)	0.181 ± 0.007••,▲	0.175 ± 0.008▲	0.170 ± 0.004••	0.172 ± 0.007
Brain/Body weight ratio (%)	4.59 ± 0.39	4.76 ± 0.12	4.96 ± 0.27*	5.06 ± 0.29*

Values are reported as mean ± SD. *$p < 0.05$ or **$p < 0.01$ compared to Pf-Sal. ••$p < 0.01$ for a significant simple main effect of Food between the saline-treated groups. ▲$p < 0.05$ for a significant simple main effect of Treatment between the pair-fed controls. In the body weight of pups, no interaction was detected between Food and Treatment, and a significant main effect of Food [$F_{(1, 42)}, = 20.72, p < 0.01$], but not of Treatment, was detected. Post-hoc analysis revealed there were significant differences between Pf-Sal and Et-Sal ($p < 0.01$) or Et-DOI ($p < 0.01$), respectively. In the brain weight of pups, a significant Food and Treatment interaction was detected. A simple main effect of Food in the saline-treated group (Pf-Sal and Et-Sal) [$F_{(1, 42)}, = 19.12, p < 0.01$] and that of Treatment in the pair-fed control (Pf-Sal and Pf-DOI) [$F_{(1, 42)}, = 7.06, p < 0.05$], was significant. In the brain/body weight ratio, no interaction was detected between Food and Treatment, and a significant main effect of Food [$F_{(1, 42)}, = 14.04, p < 0.01$], but not of Treatment, was detected. Post-hoc analysis revealed there were significant differences between Pf-Sal and Et-Sal ($p < 0.05$) or Et-DOI ($p < 0.05$), respectively.

Table 3 Monoamine levels and their turnover ratios in whole brain of rat fetuses

		Pf-Sal ($n = 16$)	Pf-DOI ($n = 11$)	Et-Sal ($n = 11$)	Et-DOI ($n = 8$)
Contents of monoamine (ng/g wet tissue)	5-HT	193.4 ± 17.9	194.5 ± 20.2	$152.0 \pm 22.1^{**,\,\#\#}$	$168.5 \pm 23.2^{*,\,\#}$
	5-HIAA	313.7 ± 62.3	339.5 ± 86.3	$252.7 \pm 43.7^{*}$	290.1 ± 75.5
	DA	139.0 ± 23.9	154.1 ± 17.8	134.3 ± 22.4	131.5 ± 18.0
	DOPAC	$23.4 \pm 13.8^{\blacktriangle}$	$37.6 \pm 15.2^{\blacktriangle}$	30.8 ± 17.9	23.4 ± 13.8
Turnover ratio	5-HIAA/5-HT	1.61 ± 0.19	1.73 ± 0.33	1.67 ± 0.19	1.70 ± 0.26
	DOPAC/DA	$0.16 \pm 0.07^{\blacktriangle}$	$0.24 \pm 0.08^{\blacktriangle}$	0.22 ± 0.10	0.17 ± 0.08

Values are reported as mean ± SD. $*p < 0.05$ or $**p < 0.01$ compared to Pf-Sal. $^{\#}p < 0.05$ or $^{\#\#}p < 0.01$ compared to Pf-DOI. $^{\blacktriangle}p < 0.05$ for a significant simple main effect of Treatment in the pair-fed group (Pf-Sal and Pf-DOI). For the contents of 5-HT or 5-HIAA in the whole fetal brains, no interaction was detected between Food and Treatment, and the main effect of Food was significant [$F_{(1, 42)} = 29.43, p < 0.01$ and $F_{(1, 42)} = 7.24, p < 0.05$, respectively], whereas the main effect of Treatment was not significant. Post-hoc analysis revealed there were significant differences of 5-HT content between Pf-Sal and Et-Sal ($p < 0.01$) or Et-DOI ($p < 0.05$), respectively, and between Pf-DOI and Et-Sal ($p < 0.01$) or Et-DOI ($p < 0.05$), respectively. Meanwhile, a significant difference of 5-HIAA content was detected only between Pf-Sal and Et-Sal ($p < 0.05$). For the turn over ratio of 5-HT, the main effects of Food or Treatment were not significant, and no interaction was detected between Food and Treatment. For the contents of DA, no interaction was detected between Food and Treatment, and the main effect of Food was significant [$F_{(1, 42)} = 4.46, p < 0.05$], whereas the main effect of Treatment was not significant. However, there were no significant differences between any two groups. On the other hand, significant interactions between Food and Treatment were shown in the content of DOPAC and the turnover ratio of DA [$F_{(1, 42)} = 5.49, p < 0.05$, or $F_{(1, 42)} = 6.83, p < 0.05$, respectively]. A simple main effect of Treatment was significant in the pair-fed group [$F_{(1, 42)} = 5.72, p < 0.05$, or $F_{(1, 42)} = 6.50, p < 0.05$, respectively].

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Fig. 1.

209x297mm (150 x 150 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Fig. 2

209x297mm (150 x 150 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Fig. 3

209x297mm (150 x 150 DPI)