Construction of Knut Vik Designs and Orthogonal Knut Vik Designs

By

Toru Ishihara

Faculty of Integrated Arts and Sciences, The University of Tokushima, Minamijosanjima, Tokushima 770-8502, JAPAN e-mail adress: ishihara@ias.tokushima-u.ac.jp (Received September 29, 2006)

Abstract

Following Euler's method, A. Hedayat constructs some Knut Vik designs. We call them Knut Vik designs of Hedayat in this note. We give Knut Vik designs of Hedayat explicitly and decide when Knut Vik designs of Hedayat are mutually orthogonal.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 05B15

Introduction

Let A be a Latin square of order n, that is, an $n \times n$ array in which n distinct symbols are arranged so that each symbol occurs once in each row and column. Index its rows and columns by $1,2,\ldots n$. By the jth right diagonal of A we mean the following n cell of A:

$$(i, j+i-1);$$
 $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n;$ $(\text{mod } n.)$

We define also the jth left diagonal of A to the following n cell of A:

$$(i,j-i);$$
 $i=1,2,\cdots,n;$ \pmod{n} .

Let Σ be a set of n distinct symbols. If we can fill the cells of A by the elements of Σ in such a way that each row, column, right diagonal and left diagonal of A contains all the elements of Σ , we say the resulting structure a Knut Vic design, which we denote by K. It is also called a pandiagonal Latin square [1], [3]. In this paper, we set $\Sigma = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. It is well known that Knut Vic designs of order n exists if and only if n is not divisible by 2 or 3. A. Hedayat [3] showed that n is not divisible by 2 or 3 then $K = (k_{ij})$ with $k_{ij} = \lambda i + j \pmod{n}$ is a Knut Vic design if $\lambda, \lambda - 1, \lambda + 1$ are relatively prime

to n. In this paper, we call these Knut Vic designs as Knut Vic designs of Hedayat, Let n have the prime decomposition

$$n=p_1^{\alpha_1}p_2^{\alpha_2}\cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}.$$

Then also he showed that there are

$$N = p_1^{\alpha_1 - 1} p_2^{\alpha_2 - 1} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r - 1} (p_1 - 3)(p_2 - 3) \cdots (p_r - 3).$$

different choices for λ . In the present note, we define a standard way which gives λ satisfing the condition that $\lambda, \lambda - 1, \lambda + 1$ are relatively prime to n. K. Afsarinejad showed that there exist at least $\min(p_i - 3)$, $(i = 1, 2, \dots, r)$ mutually orthogonal Knut Vik designs of order n. We show that there exist at most $\min(p_i - 3)$, $(i = 1, 2, \dots, r)$ mutually orthogonal Knut Vik designs of Hedayat. We also obtain that to each Knut Vik design of Hedayat, there are

$$p_1^{\alpha_1-1}p_2^{\alpha_2-1}\cdots p_r^{\alpha_r-1}(p_1-4)(p_2-4)\cdots(p_r-4)$$

orthogonal Knut Vik designs of Hedayat.

1. A standard construction of Knut Vik designs of Hedayat

Let n be not divisible by 2 or 3 and have the prime decomposition

$$n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}, \quad (3 < p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_r).$$

If $\lambda, \lambda + 1, \lambda - 1$ are relatively prime to n, then

$$K = (k_{ij})$$
 with $k_{ij} = \lambda i + j \pmod{n}$

is a Knut Vik design of Hedayat. We decide explicitly when $\lambda, \lambda+1, \lambda-1$ are relatively prime to n. Put

$$m_{i_a}^a = i_a + 1, \quad 1 \le a \le r, \quad 1 \le i_a \le p_a - 3.$$

From Chinese remainder theorem, we obtain

Lemma 1. For each $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\}$ with $1 \le i_1 \le p_1 - 3, 1 \le i_2 \le p_2 - 3, \dots, 1 \le i_r \le p_r - 3$, there is a positive integer m satisfies

$$m = m_{i_1}^1 \pmod{p_1}, m = m_{i_2}^2 \pmod{p_2}, \cdots, m = m_{i_r}^r \pmod{p_r}.$$

As this integer is unique on $Z_{(p_1p_2\cdots P_r)}$, we denote it by $m_{i_1i_2\cdots i_r}$. Now, we obtain

Theorem 2 Set $n_1 = p_1^{\alpha_1 - 1} p_2^{\alpha_2 - 1} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r - 1}$. For each $\{t, i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_r\}$ with $0 \le t \le n_1 - 1$, $1 \le i_1 \le p_1 - 3$, $1 \le i_2 \le p_2 - 3$, \cdots , $1 \le i_r \le p_r - 3$, put

$$\lambda(t, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r) = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r t + m_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r},$$

then these λ 's give N different choices for Knut Vik designs of Hedayat.

Following the proof of Chinese remainder theorem, we construct explicitly integers $m_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_r}$ as follows. Put

$$q_1 = p_1^{-1} \pmod{P_2}, q_2 = (p_1 p_2)^{-1} \pmod{P_3}, \dots, q_{r-1} = (p_1 p_2 \dots p_{r-1})^{-1} \pmod{P_r}$$

Now we get inductively,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} m_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_r} &=& m_{i_1}^1 \pmod{p_1}, \\ m_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_r} &=& m_{i_1}^1 + p_1 s_1 = m_{i_2}^2 \pmod{p_2}, \\ s_1 &=& q_1 (m_{i_2}^2 - m_{i_1}^1) + s_2 p_2, \\ m_{i_1 i_2} &=& m_{i_1}^1 + p_1 q_1 (m_{i_2}^2 - m_{i_1}^1), \\ m_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_r} &=& m_{i_1 i_2} + p_1 p_2 s_2 = m_{i_3}^3 \pmod{p_3}, \\ s_2 &=& q_2 (m_{i_3}^3 - m_{i_1 i_2}) + s_3 p_3, \\ m_{i_1 i_2 i_3} &=& m_{i_1 i_2} + p_1 p_2 q_2 (m_{i_3}^3 - m_{i_1 i_2}), \\ m_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_r} &=& m_{i_1 i_2 i_3} + p_1 p_2 p_3 s_3 = m_{i_4}^4 \pmod{p_4}, \\ &\vdots \\ m_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{r-1}} &=& m_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{r-2}} + p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{r-2} q_{r-2} (m_{i_{r-1}}^{r-1} - m_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{r-2}}), \\ m_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_r} &=& m_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{r-1}} + p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{r-1} s_{r-1} = m_{i_r}^r \pmod{p_r}, \\ s_{r-1} &=& q_{r-1} (m_{i_r}^r - m_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{r-1}}) \pmod{(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r)}, \\ m_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_r} &=& m_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{r-1}} + p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{r-1} q_{r-1} (m_{i_r}^r - m_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{r-1}}) m_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{r-1}} \pmod{(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r)}. \end{array}$$

Example 1. Let $n = 5 \times 7$. Then $p_1 = 5$, $p_2 = 7$ and $q_1 = 3 \pmod{7}$. We have

$$m_1^1 = 2, m_2^2 = 3, m_1^2 = 2, m_2^2 = 3, m_3^2 = 4, m_4^2 = 5.$$

Hence, we get

$$m_{i_1 i_2} = m_{i_1}^1 + 15(m_{i_2}^2 - m_{i_1}^1) \pmod{35},$$

= $i_1 + 1 + 15(i_2 - i_1) \pmod{35}, 1 \le i_1 \le 2, 1 \le i_2 \le 4.$

Thus, we obtain

$$m_{11} = 2, m_{12} = 17, m_{13} = 32, m_{14} = 12, m_{21} = 23, m_{22} = 3, m_{23} = 18, m_{24} = 33 \pmod{35}.$$

Example 2. Let $n = 5 \times 7 \times 11$. Then $p_1 = 5, p_2 = 7, p_3 = 11,$, $q_1 = 3 \pmod{7}, q_2 = 6 \pmod{11}$. $m_{i_1}^1, m_{i_2}^2$ are the same as in Example 1, and $m_{i_3}^3 = i_3 + 1, \ 1 \le i_3 \le 8$. It is evident that $m_{i_1 i_2} \ 1 \le i_1 \le 2, \ 1 \le i_2 \le 4$ are also the same as in Example 1. Now we have

$$m_{i_1 i_2 i_3} = m_{i_1 i_2} + 210(m_{i_3}^3 - m_{i_1 i_2}) \pmod{385}.$$

Now we write simply $m_{i_1i_2*}$ for $(i_{i_1i_21}, i_{i_1i_22}, \dots, i_{i_1i_28})$.

$$m_{11*} = (2, 212, 37, 247, 72, 282, 107, 317), m_{12*} = (332, 157, 367, 192, 17, 227, 52, 262),$$

$$m_{13*} = (277, 102, 312, 137, 347, 172, 382, 207), m_{14*} = (222, 47, 257, 82, 292, 117, 327, 152),$$

$$m_{21*} = (233, 58, 268, 93, 303, 128, 338, 163), m_{22*} = (178, 3, 213, 38, 248, 73, 283, 108),$$

$$m_{23*} = (123, 333, 158, 368, 193, 18, 228, 53), m_{24*} = (68, 278, 103, 313, 138, 348, 173, 383).$$

Example 3. Let
$$n=7^2\times 11$$
. Then $p_1=7, p_2=11, q_1=8\pmod {11}$. $m_{i_1}^1=i_1+1, m_{i_2}^2=i_2+1, \ 1\leq i_1\leq 4, \ 1\leq i_2\leq 8$. Hence, we get

$$m_{i_1i_2} = m_{i_1}^1 + 56(m_{i_2}^2 - m_{i_1}^1) = i_1 + 1 + 56(i_2 - i_1) \pmod{77}, \ 1 \le i_1 \le 4, \ 1 \le i_2 \le 8.$$

We write m_{i_1*} for $(i_{i_11}, i_{i_12}, \dots, i_{i_18})$.

$$m_{1*} = (2,58,37,16,72,51,30,9), m_{2*} = (24,3,59,38,17,73,52,31),$$

$$m_{3*} = (46, 25, 4, 60, 39, 18, 74, 53), m_{4*} = (68, 47, 26, 5, 61, 40, 19, 75).$$

Now we obtain

$$\lambda(t, i_1, i_2) = 77t + m_{i_1 i_2}, \quad 0 \le t \le 6, \ 1 \le i_1 \le 4, \ 1 \le i_2 \le 8.$$

2. Orthogonal Knut Vik designs of Hedayat

Let K_1 and K_2 be Knut Vik designs of Hedayat of order n. K_1 and K_2 are said to be *orthogonal* if they are orthogonal in the sense of Latin squares. Using the notations in Theorem 2, assume that $K_1 = (k_{ij}^{(1)})$ and $K_2 = (k_{ij}^{(2)})$ are given by

$$k_{ij}^{(1)} = \lambda(t_1, i_1^{(1)}, i_2^{(1)}, \cdots, i_r^{(1)})i + j, \quad k_{ij}^{(2)} = \lambda(t_2, i_1^{(2)}, i_2^{(2)}, \cdots, i_r^{(2)})i + j,$$

where

$$0 \le t_1 \le n_1 - 1, 1 \le i_1^{(1)} \le p_1 - 3, 1 \le i_2^{(1)} \le p_2 - 3, \dots, 1 \le i_r^{(1)} \le p_r - 3,$$

$$0 \le t_2 \le n_1 - 1, 1 \le i_1^{(2)} \le p_1 - 3, 1 \le i_2^{(2)} \le p_2 - 3, \dots, 1 \le i_r^{(2)} \le p_r - 3.$$

It is known that K_1 and K_2 are orthogonal if and only if $\lambda(t_1, i_1^{(1)}, i_2^{(1)}, \dots, i_r^{(1)}) - \lambda(t_2, i_1^{(2)}, i_2^{(2)}, \dots, i_r^{(2)})$ and n are relatively prime. Hence we have

Lemma 3. Knut Vik designs of Hedayat K_1 and K_2 are orthogonal if and only if

$$i_1^{(1)} \neq i_1^{(2)}, i_2^{(1)} \neq i_2^{(2)}, \cdots, i_r^{(1)} \neq i_r^{(2)}$$

When K_1 and K_2 are orthogonal, we call $(K_1.K_2)$ a orthogonal pair in this note. From Lemma 3, it follows

Theorem 4. For each Knut Vik design of Hedayat, there are

$$p_1^{\alpha_1-1}p_2^{\alpha_2-1}\cdots p_r^{\alpha_r-1}(p_1-4)(p_2-4)\cdots(p_r-4)$$

Knut Vik designs orthogonal to it. There are

$$\frac{1}{2}p_1^{2\alpha_1-2}p_2^{2\alpha_2-2}\cdots p_r^{2\alpha_r-2}(p_1-3)(p_1-4)(p_2-3)(p_2-4)\cdots (p_r-3)(p_r-4)$$

orthogonal pairs of Knut Vik designs of Hedayat.

Let S be a set of Knut Vik designs of order n. We say S to be a set of mutually orthogonal Knut Vik designs of order n if any two Knut Vik designs in S are orthogonal. It is shown by K. Afsarinejad that there are at least p_1-3 mutually orthogonal Knut Vik designs of order n. On the other hand, by Lemma 3, there are at most p_1-3 mutually orthogonal Knut Vik designs of Hedayat. In fact, p_1-3 mutually orthogonal Knut Vik designs are given by $K_1=(k_{ij}^{(1)}), K_2=(k_{ij}^{(2)}), \cdots, K_{p_1-3}=(k_{ij}^{(p_1-3)})$, where

$$k_{ij}^{(1)} = \lambda(t_1, 1, i_2^{(1)}, \cdots, i_r^{(1)})i + j, \quad k_{ij}^{(2)} = \lambda(t_2, 2, i_2^{(2)}, \cdots, i_r^{(2)})i + j, \cdots,$$

$$k_{ij}^{(p_1-3)} = \lambda(t_{p_1-3}, p_1-3, i_2^{(p_1-3)}, \cdots, i_r^{(p_1-3)})i + j,$$

and

$$i_2^{(a)} \neq i_2^{(a)}, i_3^{(a)} \neq i_3^{(b)}, \dots, i_r^{(a)} \neq i_r^{(b)}, \quad \text{for any } a \neq b.$$

Thus, we obtain

Theorem 5. The maximum number of mutually orthogonal Knut Vik designs of Hedayat is $p_1 - 3$. There are

$$(p_1^{\alpha_1-1}p_2^{\alpha_2-1}\cdots p_r^{\alpha_r-1})^{p_1-3}(p_2-3)(p_2-4)\cdots(p_2-p_1+1)(p_3-3)(p_3-4)\cdots(p_3-p_1+1)$$

$$\cdots (p_r-3)(p_r-4)\cdots (p_r-p_1+1)$$

sets of $p_1 - 3$ mutually orthogonal Knut Vik designs of Hedayat.

Example 4. Let n = 35. Then, the maximum possible number of mutually orthogonal Knut Vik designs of Hedayat is 2. The all orthogonal pairs are given by the following pairs of λ 's.

$$(\lambda(1,1)=2,\lambda(2,2)=3),(\lambda(1,1)=2,\lambda(2,3)=18),(\lambda(1,1)=2,\lambda(2,4)=33),$$

$$(\lambda(1,2) = 17, \lambda(2,1) = 23), (\lambda(1,2) = 17, \lambda(2,3) = 18), (\lambda(1,2) = 17, \lambda(2,4) = 33),$$

$$(\lambda(1,3) = 32, \lambda(2,1) = 23), (\lambda(1,3) = 32, \lambda(2,2) = 3), (\lambda(1,3) = 32, \lambda(2,4) = 33),$$

$$(\lambda(1,4) = 12, \lambda(2,1) = 23), (\lambda(1,4) = 12, \lambda(2,2) = 3), (\lambda(1,4) = 12, \lambda(2,3) = 18).$$

Let $n = 5 \times 7 \times 11$. Then, the maximum possible number of mutually orthogonal Knut Vik designs of Hedayat is also 2. There are 672 orthogonal pairs in this case. the maximum possible number of mutually orthogonal Knut Vik designs of Hedayat is also 2.

Let $n = 7^2 \times 11$. In this case, the maximum possible number of mutually orthogonal Knut Vik designs of Hedayat is 4. There are $2^4 \times 3 \times 7^3$ orthogonal pairs and $2^4 \times 3 \times 5 \times 7^5$ sets of 4 mutually orthogonal Knut Vik designs of Hedayat.

References

- [1] K. Afsarinejad On Mutually orthogonal Knut Vik Designes, Statistics and Probability Letters 5(1987), 323-324.
- [2] J. Denes and A.D. Keedwell, Latain Squares New Developmennts in the Theory and Applications, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1991.
- [3] A. Hedayat, A Complete Solution to the Existence and Nonexistence of Knut Vik Designes and orthogonal Knut Vik Designs, J. Combinatorial Theory(A) 22(1977), 331-337.