

J. Math. Tokushima Univ.
Vol. 29 (1995), 1-7

On the Construction of a Special Divisor of Some Special Curve

Dedicate to Professor Yoshihiro Ichijyô on his 65th birthday

By

Akira Ohbuchi

*Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Faculty of Integrated Arts and Sciences,
The University of Tokushima,
1-1, Minamijosanjima-cho, Tokushima 770, JAPAN
email address: ohbuchi@ias.tokushima-u.ac.jp
(Recieved September 14, 1995)*

Abstract

Let $\pi : X \rightarrow C$ be a triple covering of curves where C is Brill-Noether general. We prove the existence of a base point free pencil of degree $d = g - \lfloor \frac{3h+1}{2} \rfloor - 2$ on a curve X which is not composed with π .

1980 Mathematical Subject Classification (1985 Revision). 14H45, 14H10, 14C20

0 Introduction

Let C be a non-singular curve of genus h and let $\pi : X \rightarrow C$ be a triple covering i.e. $\deg(\pi) = 3$ and X is a non-singular curve. Let g be the genus of X . In this paper, we investigate the problem of the existence of base point free pencils of relatively low degree on X . By a simple application of the Castelnuovo-Severi bound, we have the following:

Lemma A (Castelnuovo-Severi bound) *For any integer $n \geq \frac{g-3h}{2}$, a base point free pencil g_n^1 is a composed with π i.e. the morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ induced by π is always factors through π .*

On the other hand, not many things have been known about this problem. In [3], we prove the following:

Theorem A *If $h \geq 1$ and $g \geq (2\lfloor \frac{3h+1}{2} \rfloor + 1)(\lfloor \frac{3h+1}{2} \rfloor + 1)$ and C is general (in the sense of Brill-Noether), then there exists a base point free pencil of degree $d \geq g - \lfloor \frac{3h+1}{2} \rfloor - 1$ which is not composed with π .*

Our aim is to give an extension of Theorem A. The main result of this paper is to prove the following result.

Theorem B *Under the same assumption of Theorem A, there exists a base point free pencil of degree $d = g - [\frac{3h+1}{2}] - 2$ which is not composed with π .*

NOTATIONS

\mathcal{O}_A : The structure sheaf of a variety A

f^* : The pull back defined by a morphism f

f_* : The direct image defined by a morphism f

$\deg(f)$: The degree of a finite morphism f

$|\mathcal{L}|$: The complete linear system defined by an invertible sheaf \mathcal{L}

ϕ_V : The rational map defined by a linear system V

$\mathcal{O}_A(D)$: The invertible sheaf associated with a divisor D

$\Gamma(A, \mathcal{F})$: The global sections of a sheaf \mathcal{F}

K_A : A canonical divisor on a non-singular variety A

ω_A : The canonical invertible sheaf on a non-singular variety A

$\mathbb{P}(\delta)$: The projective bundle $\text{Proj}(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Sym}^n \delta)$ defined by a locally free sheaf δ

1 The proof of Theorem B

First we prove the following:

Proposition 1 *Under the same assumption of Theorem A, we assume that $h = 2e$. Then there is a component Z of $W_{g-3e-2}^1(X)_{\text{red}}$ such that*

$$Z \not\subset \pi^* W_l^1(C) + W_{g-3e-2-3l}(X)$$

for any $l \geq 1$.

To prove the above result, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1 *Let $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{E}$ and let $\delta = -(C_0^2)$ where C_0 is a minimal section of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Then there are two line bundles \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M} on C such that*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact and

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(\mathcal{M}) &= -\left(\frac{g-3h}{2} + \frac{2-\delta}{2}\right) \\ \deg(\mathcal{L}) &= -\left(\frac{g-3h}{2} + \frac{2+\delta}{2}\right) \\ -h \leq \delta &\leq \frac{g-3h+2}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. See [3].

Q.E.D.

Proof of Proposition 1. Let D be a divisor of degree $5e + 2$ on C . Then

$$\dim\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(\pi^*D)) = \dim\Gamma(C, \mathcal{O}(D)) = 3e + 3$$

$$\dim\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(\pi^*(D + P + Q))) = \dim\Gamma(C, \mathcal{O}(D + P + Q)) = 3e + 5$$

for any $P, Q \in C$ by Lemma 1. Hence we have

$$\dim\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(K_X - \pi^*D)) = g - 12e - 4$$

$$\dim\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(K_X - \pi^*(D) - \pi^*(P + Q))) = g - 12e - 8$$

for any $P, Q \in C$. Now we must prove that there are $P_1, \dots, P_{g-12e-6} \in X$ such that

$$\dim\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(K_X - \pi^*D - P_1 - \dots - P_{g-12e-6})) \geq 2$$

$$\dim\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(K_X - \pi^*D - P_1 - \dots - P_{g-12e-6} - \pi^*(P + Q))) = 0$$

for any $P, Q \in C$. We put

$$X_{g-12e-6} \supset U_{P+Q} = \{F \mid \dim\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(K_X - \pi^*D - \pi^*(P + Q) - F)) = 0\}$$

and put

$$Z_{P+Q} = X_{g-12e-6} \setminus U_{P+Q}.$$

Now we consider $\Phi_{P+Q} : X_{g-12e-6} \rightarrow W_{g-12e-6}(X)$ and $\phi : X_3 \times X_{g-12e-9} \rightarrow X_{g-12e-6}$ by

$$\Phi_{P+Q}(F) = \mathcal{O}(K_X - \pi^*D - \pi^*(P + Q) - F), \phi(A, B) = A + B.$$

Let

$$S = S_{P+Q} = \Phi^{-1}(W_{g-12e-6}^1(X))$$

$$W = W_{P+Q} = X_{g-12e-6} \setminus S_{P+Q}$$

and let $p_2 : X_3 \times X_{g-12e-9} \rightarrow X_{g-12e-9}$ be the second projection. Then

$$p_2(\phi^{-1}(Z_{P+Q})) \supset W.$$

Because $K_X - \pi^*D - \pi^*(P + Q) = F$ is linearly equivalent to an effective divisor E and $\deg(E) = g - 3e - 5 \geq 3$. Therefore we can take an effective divisor $A_1 + A_2 + A_3 \subset E$. Hence

$$(A_1 + A_2 + A_3, F) \in \phi^{-1}(Z_{P+Q}).$$

So we have

$$p_2(\phi^{-1}(Z_{P+Q})) = X_{g-12e-9}.$$

Therefore

$$\dim\phi^{-1}(Z_{P+Q}) = \dim X_{g-12e-9} = g - 12e - 9.$$

We now put

$$Z_{P+Q}^1 = \{F \in Z_{P+Q} \mid \dim \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(K_X - \pi^*D - \pi^*(P+Q) - F)) \geq 2\}.$$

By the same argument of [1] p.163 (1.7) Lemma, we can easily prove that no component of Z_{P+Q} is entirely contained in Z_{P+Q}^1 . So $F \in Z_{P+Q} \setminus Z_{P+Q}^1$ implies $K_X - \pi^*(D) - \pi^*(P+Q) - F$ is linearly equivalent to some effective divisor E_0 such that $\dim \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(E_0)) = 1$. Therefore we can take a divisor $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \subset F$ such that

$$\dim \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + E_0)) = 1.$$

We put $F_0 = F - x_1 - x_2 - x_3$. Then

$$\phi(x_1 + x_2 + x_3, F_0) = F$$

and

$$F_0 \in W.$$

Hence

$$\phi^{-1}(Z_{P+Q} \setminus Z_{P+Q}^1) \cap p_2^{-1}(W) \rightarrow Z_{P+Q}$$

is dominating. So we have a component $T \subset \phi^{-1}(Z_{P+Q})$ such that

$$\phi(T) = Z_{P+Q}, \quad p_2(T) = X_{g-12e-9}.$$

Hence $\dim Z_{P+Q} = g - 12e - 9$. Now we consider the locus

$$\bigcup_{P+Q \in X_2} Z_{P+Q} \subset X_{g-12e-6}.$$

As $\dim Z_{P+Q} = g - 12e - 9$, therefore

$$\dim \overline{\bigcup_{P+Q \in X_2} Z_{P+Q}} \leq g - 12e - 7.$$

Hence

$$\overline{\bigcup_{P+Q \in X_2} Z_{P+Q}} \subsetneq X_{g-12e-6}.$$

So we can take an $F = P_1 + \cdots + P_{g-12e-6} \in X \setminus \overline{\bigcup_{P+Q \in X_2} Z_{P+Q}}$. By the definition of Z_{P+Q} , F satisfies that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(K_X - \pi^*D - F)) &\geq 2 \\ \dim \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(K_X - \pi^*D - F - \pi^*(P+Q))) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for any $P, Q \in C$. This means $\mathcal{O}(K_X - \pi^*D - F) \in W_{g-3e-2}^1(X)$ and $\mathcal{O}(K_X - \pi^*D - F) \notin \pi^*(W_l^1(C)) + W_{g-3e-2}(X)$ for any $l \geq 1$ and $P, Q \in C$. Therefore we have Proposition 1.

Q.E.D.

Next we prove the following:

Proposition 2 *Under the same assumption of Theorem A, we assume that $h = 2e + 1$. Then there is a component Z of $W_{g-3e-4}^1(X)_{red}$ such that*

$$Z \not\subset \pi^*W_l^1(C) + W_{g-3e-4-3l}(X)$$

for any $l \geq 1$.

Proof of Proposition 2. Let D be a divisor of degree $5e + 5$ on C . Then

$$\dim\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(\pi^*D)) = \dim\Gamma(C, \mathcal{O}(D)) = 3e + 5$$

$$\dim\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(\pi^*(D + P + Q))) = \dim\Gamma(C, \mathcal{O}(D + P + Q)) = 3e + 7$$

for any $P, Q \in C$ by Lemma 1. Hence we have

$$\dim\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(K_X - \pi^*D)) = g - 12e - 11$$

$$\dim\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(K_X - \pi^*(D) - \pi^*(P + Q))) = g - 12e - 15$$

for any $P, Q \in C$. Now we must prove that there are $P_1, \dots, P_{g-12e-13} \in X$ such that

$$\dim\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(K_X - \pi^*D - P_1 - \dots - P_{g-12e-13})) \geq 2$$

$$\dim\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(K_X - \pi^*D - P_1 - \dots - P_{g-12e-13} - \pi^*(P + Q))) = 0$$

for any $P, Q \in C$. But the proof is completely the same method of the proof of Proposition 1.

Q.E.D.

We now prove the following result.

Proposition 3 *Under the same assumption of Theorem A, we assume that $h = 2e$. Then every component Z of $W_{g-3e-2}^1(X)_{red}$ whose general element has base point is of the form $\pi^*W_l^1(C) + W_{g-3e-2-3l}(X)$ for some $l \geq 1$.*

To prove the above result, we need the following results.

Theorem 1 *If Y is a general curve of genus g , then*

$$\dim W_d^r(Y) \geq g - (r + 1)(g - d + r).$$

Proof. See [1] p.206 (1.1)Theorem.

Q.E.D.

Theorem 2 Let Y be a curve of genus g , $\mathcal{L} \in W_n^r(Y) \setminus W_n^{r+1}(Y)$ and let $\mu : \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{L}) \otimes \Gamma(Y, \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -1}) \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, \omega_Y)$ be the cup product map. Then

$$T_{\mathcal{L}}(W_n(Y)) \cong (\text{im}(\mu))^{\perp}$$

where $T_{\mathcal{L}}(W_n(Y)) \subset H^1(Y, \mathcal{O})$ is a tangent space of $W_n(Y)$ and $(\text{im}(\mu))^{\perp}$ is a dual space of $\text{im} \mu$ by the Serre duality pairing.

Proof. See [1] p.189 (4.2) Proposition.

Q.E.D.

Theorem 3 Let Y be a non-hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 3$, let d be an integer such that $2 \leq d \leq g - 1$ and let r be an integer such that $0 < 2r \leq d$. Then

$$\dim W_d^r(Y) \leq d - 2r - 1.$$

Proof. See [1] p.191 (5.1) Theorem.

Q.E.D.

Proof of Proposition 3. Let $\Sigma \subset W_{g-3e-2}^1(X)$ be a component whose general element has a base point. Thus

$$\Sigma = \Sigma_{\beta}^1 + W_{g-3e-2-\beta}(X)$$

for some $\beta \geq 1$ where Σ_{β}^1 is a subvariety of $W_{\beta}^1(X)$ whose general element is a base point free. As

$$\dim W_{\beta}^1(X) \geq g - 6e - 6$$

by Theorem 1, we have that

$$\dim \Sigma_{\beta}^1(X) \geq \beta - 3e - 4.$$

By the assumption of Theorem A and Lemma A, $\beta - 3e - 4 \geq 0$. Let $\mathcal{L} \in \Sigma_{\beta}^1$ be a general element and let $\mu : \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}) \otimes \Gamma(X, \omega \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -1}) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \omega_X)$ be the cup product map. Then

$$\dim (\text{im} \mu)^{\perp} = \dim T_{\mathcal{L}}(W_{\beta}^1(X)) \geq \beta - 3e - 4$$

by Theorem 2. By the base point free pencil trick,

$$\dim \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}) - 3 \geq \dim (\text{im} \mu)^{\perp}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \Sigma_{\beta}^1 &\geq \beta - 3e - 4 \\ \dim \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}) &\geq \beta - 3e - 1 \geq 3. \end{aligned}$$

We consider a finite map

$$\phi : \Sigma_{\beta}^1 \rightarrow W_{2\beta}^{\beta-3e-2}(X)$$

by $\phi(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}$. So we have

$$\dim W_{2\beta}^{\beta-3e-2}(X) \geq \beta - 3e - 4.$$

Hence

$$\dim W_{\beta+3e+3}^1(X) = \dim W_{2\beta-(\beta-3e-3)}^1(X) \geq 2(\beta - 3e) - 7.$$

As $\beta \leq g - 3e - 3$, $\beta + 3e + 3 \leq g$. We assume that $\beta + 3e + 3 = g$. We first consider

$$\rho : W_{\beta+3e+3}(X) \rightarrow W_{g-2}(X)$$

by

$$\rho(\mathcal{L}) = \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -1}.$$

This is a finite map. Therefore we have

$$\dim W_{\beta+3e+3}^1(X) = \dim W_{g-2}^1(X) = g - 2.$$

Hence

$$g - 2 \geq 2(\beta - 3e) - 7.$$

By the assumption of Theorem A, this is a contradiction. Now we assume that $\beta + 3e + 3 \leq g - 1$. Then

$$\dim W_{\beta+3e+3}^1(X) \leq \beta + 3e + 3 - 2 - 1$$

by Theorem 3. Hence

$$\beta \leq 9e + 7$$

By the assumption of Theorem A and Lemma A, this is a contradiction.

Q.E.D.

The following result is also proved by the same method of the proof of proposition 3

Proposition 4 *Under the same assumption of Theorem A, we assume that $h = 2e + 1$. Then every component Z of $W_{g-3e-4}^1(X)_{red}$ whose general element has base point is of the form $\pi^*W_l^1(C) + W_{g-3e-4-3l}(X)$ for some $l \geq 1$.*

Proof of Theorem B. By Proposition 2, Proposition 1, Proposition 3 and Proposition 4, we have Theorem B.

Q.E.D.

References

- [1] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P.A. Griffiths and J. Harris, Geometry of Algebraic Curves I, Springer Verlag, 1985
- [2] R.Hartshorne, Algebraic Geometry, Springer Verlag, 1974
- [3] T. Kato, C. Keem, A. Ohbuchi, On triple coverings of irrational curves, preprint