

Surfaces of Revolution in the Lorentzian 3-Space

BY

TORU ISHIHARA and FUMIMARO HARA

(Received September 14, 1988)

§1. Introduction

Let $L^3 = (R^3, dx^2 + dy^2 - dz^2)$ be the Lorentzian 3-space. Surfaces of revolution are obtained by rotating about their axes the generating curves. There are three types of surfaces of revolution in L^3 , that is, surfaces rotating about a space-like axis, a time-like axis and a null axis. By using a method similar to Kenmotsu's in [7], for a given continuous function $H(s)$, we can solve the differential equations about the generating curves of surfaces of revolution whose mean curvature is $H(s)$.

Using these solutions, we can give a Delaunay's characterization of the surfaces of revolution in L^3 with constant mean curvature, which may be stated roughly as the following; Generating curves of surfaces of revolution in L^3 with constant mean curvature are roulettes of conics. This problem was already studied by Hano and Nomizu in [5]. But they use the method of Hsiang and Yu [6] and treated only space-like surfaces of revolution. On the other hand, in the present paper, we depend on the Kenmotsu's method and deal with space-like and time-like surfaces together. This gives better geometric interpretation of generating curves of surfaces of revolutions.

In the Lorentz 2-space $L^2 = (R^2; dy^2 - dz^2)$, there are two kinds of conics, horizontal conics and vertical conics. Moreover, for a given conic we have its roulettes rolling along a space-like line and along a time-like line. The roulette of a vertical (resp., horizontal) conic generates a space-like (resp., time-like) surface of revolution with constant mean curvature, and the roulette of a conic rolling along a space-like (resp., time-like) line is a generating curve of a surface of revolution in L^3 with constant mean curvature, which rotates about a space-like (resp., time-like) axis (see, for details, Theorem 4).

The Gauss map of a surface in L^3 with constant mean curvature is also a harmonic mapping. Hence the surfaces of revolution with constant curvature constructed in the paper give the harmonic Gauss maps of the surfaces to the sphere S^2 or the hyperbolic space H^2 .

§2. The outline

A surface in L^3 is called a surface of revolution with axis l if it is invariant under

the action of the group of motions in L^3 which fix each point of the line l . A surface of revolution with space-like axis is given by

$$(2.1) \quad S(s, t) = (z(s)\sinh t, y(s), z(s)\cosh t), \text{ (S-axis)},$$

where $(y(s), z(s))$ is a curve in $L^2 = \{R^2, dy^2 - dz^2\}$ which is parametrized by the arc length and defined on some open interval I . Hence it holds

$$(2.2) \quad y'^2 - z'^2 = \varepsilon,$$

where ε is 1 or -1 according to the space-like curve or the time-like curve. The curve is called the generating curve of the surface. A surface of revolution with time-like axis is given by

$$(2.3) \quad T(s, t) = (y(s)\cos t, y(s)\sin t, z(t)), \text{ (T-axis)},$$

where $(y(s), z(s)), s \in I$, is a curve in L^2 satisfying (2.2). A surface of revolution with null axis is given by

$$(2.4) \quad N(s, t) = (y(s) + z(s) - t^2 z(s), -2tz(s), y(s) - z(s) - t^2 z(s)), \text{ (N-axis)},$$

where $(y(s) + z(s), y(s) - z(s))$ is a curve in L^2 with

$$(2.5) \quad 4y'z' = \varepsilon.$$

Though the true generating curve is $(y(s) + z(s), y(s) - z(s))$ in this case, we call simply the curve $(y(s), z(s))$ the generating curve of the surface. In all cases, surfaces of revolution are space-like or time-like according to $\varepsilon = 1$ or $\varepsilon = -1$.

We can solve the differential equations about the generating curves of surfaces of revolution with given mean curvature function $H(s), s \in I$. In particular, concerning surfaces of revolution with constant mean curvature, we have explicit solutions. For a non-zero constant H and non-negative constant d , we put

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{cases} f(s) = 1 + d^2 - 2d \cosh(2Hs), & g(s) = d \cosh(2Hs) - 1, \\ h(s) = 1 - d^2 - 2d \sinh(2Hs), & k(s) = d \sinh(2Hs) - 1, \\ u_1(s) = d \sin^{-1}(s/d), & u_{-1}(s) = d \log(s + \sqrt{d^2 - s^2}), \\ v_1(t) = (t + \log((t-1)/(t+1)))/(2|H|), \\ v_{-1}(t) = (t - 2 \tan^{-1} t)/(2|H|). \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1. *The generating curves $(y(s), z(s))$ of surfaces of revolution with constant mean curvature H are the following, corresponding to rotating about S-axis, T-axis and N-axis:*

$$\text{S-axis, } H=0, S_0(s) = (u_\varepsilon, \sqrt{d^2 - s^2}), \quad (0 \leq s \leq d),$$

$$H \neq 0, S_1(H, d; s) = (\delta \int g/\sqrt{\epsilon f} ds, \sqrt{\epsilon f}/(2|H|)), \text{ if } \epsilon = -1, d \geq 0,$$

$$S_2(H, d; s) = (\delta \int^s k/\sqrt{\epsilon h} ds, \sqrt{\epsilon h}/(2|H|)), \text{ if } \epsilon = -1, d \neq 0,$$

$$S_3(H; t) = (\pm v_{\epsilon}, t/(2|H|)),$$

$$T\text{-axis, } H=0, T_0(s) = (\sqrt{d^2 - s^2}, u_{-\epsilon}), (0 \leq s \leq d),$$

$$H \neq 0, T_1(H, d; s) = (\sqrt{-\epsilon f}/(2|H|), \delta \int^s g/\sqrt{-\epsilon f} ds), \text{ if } \epsilon = 1, d \geq 0,$$

$$T_2(H, d; s) = (\sqrt{-\epsilon h}/(2|H|), \delta \int^s k/\sqrt{-\epsilon h} ds), \text{ if } \epsilon = 1, d \neq 0,$$

$$T_3(H; t) = (t/(2|H|), \pm v_{-\epsilon}),$$

$$N\text{-axis, } H=0, N_0(s) = (\epsilon a^{3/2}/(3d), d s^{1/2}), s > 0, d > 0,$$

$$H \neq 0, N_1(H, d; t) = \left(\frac{\epsilon}{16H^2 d} \left(\frac{2t}{1-t^2} - \log((1-t)/(1+t)) \right), dt \right), d > 0,$$

$$N_2(H, d; t) = \left(\frac{\epsilon}{8H^2 d} \left(\tan^{-1} t - \frac{y^2}{1+y^2} \right), dt \right), d > 0,$$

$$N_3(N, t) = \left(-\epsilon \frac{e^{Ht}}{4H^2}, e^{-Ht} \right),$$

where δ is the sign of H . The arc-length parameter s and the proper parameter t are taken on open intervals so that the functions in consideration have meaning. If $\epsilon = 1$ (resp., -1), the above curves and the corresponding surfaces of revolution are space-like (resp., time-like).

A space-like surface with vanishing mean curvature is said to be a maximal surface. The surfaces corresponding to S_0, T_0 and N_0 are the same as those constructed by O. Kobayashi in [8].

The curve $S_1(H, d; s) = (y(s), z(s))$ satisfies

$$\pm y' = \frac{d \cosh(2Hs) - 1}{2|H|z}, \quad \epsilon(2|H|z)^2 = 1 + d^2 - 2d \cosh(2Hs).$$

From these, we get

$$z^2 \pm \frac{z}{H} \frac{dy}{ds} + \epsilon \frac{d^2 + 1}{4H^2} = 0.$$

For other cases, similarly we can obtain the corresponding differential equations.

i	S	T	N
0	$z = d(\varepsilon(1 - (\frac{dz}{dy})^2))^{1/2}$	$y = d(\varepsilon((\frac{dy}{dz})^2 - 1))^{1/2}$	$\frac{dz}{dx} = \frac{d^2}{y^2}$
1	$z^2 \pm \frac{z}{H}y' + \varepsilon \frac{1-d^2}{4H^2} = 0$	$y^2 \pm \frac{y}{H}z' - \varepsilon \frac{1-d^2}{4H^2} = 0$	$\frac{dy}{dz} = \frac{\varepsilon y^2}{4H^2(d^2 - y^2)^2}$
2	$z^2 \pm \frac{z}{H}y' + \varepsilon \frac{1+d^2}{4H^2} = 0$	$y^2 \pm \frac{y}{H}z' - \varepsilon \frac{1+d^2}{4H^2} = 0$	$\frac{dy}{dz} = \frac{\varepsilon y^2}{4H^2(d^2 - y^2)^2}$
3	$z^2 \pm \frac{z}{H}y' + \frac{\varepsilon}{4H^2} = 0$	$y^2 \pm \frac{y}{H}z' - \frac{\varepsilon}{4H^2} = 0$	$\frac{dy}{dz} = \frac{\varepsilon}{4H^2 y^2}$

Lemma 2. *The generating curves $S_i, T_i, N_i, S'_i, T'_i, N'_i$ ($i=1, 2, 3, 4$) satisfy the following differential equations respectively.*

We will consider the conics in the Lorentz 2-space $L^2 = (R^2; dy^2 - dz^2)$. Let F be a fixed point and D a fixed line in L^2 . Put $E = e, e > 0$ or $E = ie, e \neq 0$. The conic C of focus F , directrix D and eccentricity E is, by definition, the locus of a point such that $\|PF\|_L / \|PD\|_L = E$, where $\|PF\|_L$ is the Lorentzian distance between P and F , and $\|PD\|_L$ is the Lorentzian distance from P to D . Put $A = \|PD\|/2$ for $E=1$ and $A = E\|FD\|_L / |E^2 - 1|$ for other E . C is said to be horizontal (resp., vertical) if A is a real (resp., pure imaginary) number. According to $E=1, 0 < E < 1$ or $1 < E$, the conic is a *parabola*, an *ellipse* or a *hyperbola*. We derive equations describing the roulette of a conic C , that is, the trace of a focus F of a conic C as C rolls along a line. Let s be the arc-length of the roulette. We put

$$(2.7) \quad S = \begin{cases} s & \text{if the roulette is a space-like curve,} \\ is & \text{if the roulette is a time-like curve.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3. *Let C be a conic with E and A . Let Γ_y (resp., Γ_z) be the roulette of the conic C as C rolls along the y -axis (resp., z -axis). The roulette Γ_y and Γ_z are space-like curves if and only if C is vertical (resp., horizontal). If C is a parabola, that is, $E=1$, the roulette Γ_y (resp., Γ_z) satisfies*

$$(2.8) \quad A = iz \frac{dy}{dS} \left(\text{resp., } A = iy \frac{dz}{dS} \right).$$

If $E = e$ ($e > 1$ or $1 > e > 0$) or ie ($e > 0$), the roulette Γ_y (resp., Γ_z) satisfies

$$(2.9) \quad z^2 \pm 2iAz \frac{dy}{dS} + (E^2 - 1)A^2 = 0 \quad (\text{resp., } y^2 \pm 2iAy \frac{dz}{dS} - (E^2 - 1)A^2 = 0).$$

From Theorem 1, Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, we obtain

Theorem 4. *The generating curves of surfaces of revolution with constant mean curvature, rotating about S-axis or T-axis are characterized as follows.*

(I) *Space-like surfaces*

Rotating about S-axis (resp., T-axis)

(1) *The roulette of the vertical parabola rolling along y-axis (resp., z-axis), which is exactly $S_0(s)$ (resp., $T_0(s)$).*

(2) *The undulary, the roulette of an vertical ellipse with $E = d$ ($0 < d < 1$) and $A = i \frac{1}{2H}$, rolling along y-axis (resp., x-axis), which is exactly $S_1(d, H; s)$ (resp., $T_1(d, H; s)$) for $0 < |d| < 1$.*

(3) *The nodary, the roulette of a vertical hyperbola with $E = d$ ($d > 1$) and $A = i \frac{1}{2H}$ rolling along y-axis (resp., x-axis), which is exactly $S_1(d, H; s)$ (resp., $T_1(d, H; s)$) for $1 < |d|$.*

(4) *The roulette of the vertical conic with $E = id$ ($d > 0$) and $A = i \frac{1}{2H}$ rolling along y-axis (x-axis), which is exactly $S_2(d, H; s)$ (resp., $T_2(d, H; s)$).*

(5) *The curve $S_3(d, H; s)$ (resp., $T_3(d, H; s)$).*

Rotating about S-axis,

(6) *The circle $y^2 - z^2 = \left(\frac{1}{H}\right)^2$ with radius H , which is exactly $S_1(1, H; s)$.*

(7) *The line $z = \frac{1}{2H}$, which is exactly $S_1(0, H; s)$.*

(II) *Time-like surfaces*

Rotating about S-axis (resp., T-axis)

If we replace vertical conics by horizontal conics in (1), (2), (3) and (4) of (I) respectively, we get corresponding generating curves of time-like surfaces of revolution. Moreover, we have

(8) *The curve $S'_3(d, H; s)$ (resp., $T'_3(d, H; s)$).*

Rotating about T-axis,

(9) *The circle $y^2 - z^2 = -\left(\frac{1}{H}\right)^2$ with radius iH , which is exactly $T'_1(1, H; s)$.*

(10) *The line $y = \frac{1}{2H}$ which is exactly $T'_1(0, H; s)$.*

§3. Surfaces of revolution

The first and second fundamental forms of the surfaces given by (2.1) are

$$\varepsilon ds^2 + z^2(s)dt^2 \text{ and } (y''(s)z'(s) - y'(s)z''(s))ds^2 - y'(s)z(s)dt^2,$$

respectively. Hence the mean curvature $H(s)$ satisfies

$$(3.1) \quad 2H(s)z(s) + y'(s) + \varepsilon z(s) (y'(s)z''(s) - y''(s)z'(s)) = 0, \text{ (} S\text{-axis)}.$$

Similarly, as the first and second fundamental forms of the surface given by (2.3) are $\varepsilon ds^2 + y^2(s)dt^2$ and $(y'(s)z''(s) - y''(s)z'(s))ds^2 + y(s)z'(s)dt^2$, respectively, we have

$$(3.2) \quad 2H(s)y(s) - z'(s) - \varepsilon y(s) (y'(s)z''(s) - y''(s)z'(s)) = 0, \text{ (} T\text{-axis)}.$$

For the surface given by (2.4), those forms are $\varepsilon ds^2 + 4z^2(s)dt^2$ and $2(y''(s)z'(s) - y'(s)z''(s))ds^2 - 4z(s)z'(s)dt^2$. Hence we get

$$(3.3) \quad 2H(s)z(s) + z'(s) + \varepsilon 2z(s) (y'(s)z''(s) - y''(s)z'(s)) = 0, \text{ (} S\text{-axis)}.$$

From the facts described above, it is evident that surfaces of revolution are space-like (resp., time-like) if and only if their generating curves are space-like (resp., time-like). Multiplying (2.1) by $y'(s)$ and using (1.2), we get

$$2H(s)z(s)y'(s) + (z(s)z'(s))' + \varepsilon = 0.$$

If we multiply (2.1) by $z'(s)$ and use (1.2), we have

$$2H(s)z(s)z'(s) + (z(s)y'(s))' = 0.$$

From these equation, it follows

$$(3.4) \quad u'(s) + 2H(s)u(s) - \varepsilon = 0, \quad v'(s) - 2H(s)v(s) - \varepsilon = 0 \text{ (} S\text{-axis, } T\text{-axis)},$$

where

$$(3.5) \quad u(s) = -z(s) (y'(s) + z'(s)), \quad v(s) = z(s) (y'(s) - z'(s)) \text{ (} S\text{-axis)}.$$

Similarly, from (3.2) and (2.2) it follows that the generating curves of surfaces of revolution with T -axis satisfy the same differential equations (3.4). But in this case, we put

$$(3.6) \quad u(s) = y(s) (y'(s) - z'(s)), \quad v(s) = y(s) (y'(s) + z'(s)) \text{ (} T\text{-axis)}.$$

Using (2.5), we get from (3.3) the following equations.

$$(3.7) \quad u'(s) - 2H(s)u(s) - \varepsilon = 0, \quad v'(s) + 2H(s)v(s) = 0 \text{ (} N\text{-axis)},$$

where

$$(3.8) \quad u(s) = 2z(s)y'(s), \quad v(s) = 2z(s)z'(s).$$

To solve the above differential equations, we introduce the following functions.

$$(3.9) \quad F(s) = \int_0^s \sinh\left(2 \int_0^u H(t) dt\right) du, \quad G(s) = \int_0^s \cosh\left(2 \int_0^u H(t) dt\right) du.$$

The general solutions of (3.4) are given by

$$(3.10) \quad u = (G' - F') (b_1 + \varepsilon(G + F)), \quad v = (G' + F') (b_2 + \varepsilon(G - F)),$$

where b_1 and b_2 are integral constants. Similarly, as the general solutions of (3.7), we have

$$(3.11) \quad u = (G' + F') (b_1 + \varepsilon(G - F)), \quad v = b_2(G' - F').$$

As we get $z^2 = -\varepsilon uv$ and $y' = (v - u)/(2z)$ from (2.2) and (3.5), for the generating curve of a surface with S -axis, by putting $b_1 + b_2 = 2c_1$, $b_1 - b_2 = 2c_2$ and

$$(3.12) \quad H(c_1, c_2) = ((F + c_1)^2 - (G + c_2)^2), \quad I(c_1, c_2) = (F'(G + c_2) - G'(F + c_1)).$$

we have

$$(3.13) \quad y = \int^s I(c_1, c_2) / \sqrt{\varepsilon H(c_1, c_2)} ds, \quad z = \sqrt{\varepsilon H(c_1, c_2)}, \quad (S\text{-axis}).$$

Similarly, the generating curve of a surface with T -axis is given by

$$(3.14) \quad y = \sqrt{-\varepsilon H(c_1, c_2)}, \quad z = \int^s I(c_1, c_2) / \sqrt{-\varepsilon H(c_1, c_2)} ds, \quad (T\text{-axis}).$$

The generating curve of a surface with N -axis has the following expression.

$$(3.15) \quad y = \varepsilon \int^s (G' + F') (c_1 + G - F) / (2\sqrt{K}) ds, \quad z = \sqrt{K}, \quad (N\text{-axis}),$$

where we put $K = c_2 (c_1 + G - F)$ and c_1, c_2 are integral constants. Set

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{cases} S(H, \varepsilon) = \{(c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \varepsilon H(c_1, c_2) > 0 \text{ for all } s \in I\}, \\ T(H, \varepsilon) = \{(c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2, -\varepsilon H(c_1, c_2) > 0 \text{ for all } s \in I\}, \\ N(H, \varepsilon) = \{(c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2, K(c_1, c_2) > 0 \text{ for all } s \in I\}. \end{cases}$$

For a given continuous function $H(s)$ on some interval I , the sets defined in (3.16) may be empty. Now we have the following theorem corresponding to the main result in [7].

Theorem 5. *If the generating curve of a surface of revolution is parametrized by the arc lengths, its mean curvature is a function of the s . The arc length parametrized generating curve $(y(s), z(s))$, $s \in I$, of a surface of revolution with mean curvature $H(s)$ is given by (3.13), (3.14) or (3.15) for some constants c_1, c_2 , according as it rotates about a*

space-like axis, time-like axis or a null axis. A surface of revolution is space-like (resp., time-like) if and only if its generating curve is space-like, that is, $\varepsilon = 1$ (resp., time-like, that is, $\varepsilon = -1$). Conversely, for a given continuous function $H(s)$, $s \in I$ with $S(H, \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$, by taking a point $(c_1, c_2) \in S(H, \varepsilon)$ and using (3.13), we construct a surface of revolution with mean curvature $H(s)$, which is space-like or time-like according to ε . Similarly, if $T(H, \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$ or $N(H, \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$, we construct a surface of revolution by (3.14) or (3.15).

Now, we will show Theorem 1 in §2. At first, we consider surfaces of revolution with vanishing mean curvature, that is, $H = 0$. Then the functions $F(s)$, $G(s)$ given by (3.9) are reduced to $F(s) = 0$, $G(s) = s$. We get the solutions $S_0(s)$, $T_0(s)$ and $N_0(s)$ in Theorem 1, from (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15) respectively. Next, for a constant $H \neq 0$, the functions $F(s)$, $G(s)$ become

$$(3.17) \quad F(s) = \frac{1}{2H} \cosh 2Hs, \quad G(s) = \frac{1}{2H} \sinh 2Hs.$$

Hence, from (3.12), we get, after some parallel translations of the arc length

$$(3.18) \quad H(c_1, c_2) = \begin{cases} (1 + d^2 - 2d \cos h 2Hs)/(4H^2), & \text{if } c_1^2 > c_2^2, \\ (1 - d^2 - 2d \sin h 2Hs)/(4H^2), & \text{if } c_1^2 < c_2^2, \\ (1 + 4Hce^{-2Hs})/(4H^2), & \text{if } c_1 = c_2 = c, \\ (1 + 4Hce^{2Hs})/(4H^2), & \text{if } c_1 = -c_2 = c, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.18) \quad I(c_1, c_2) = \begin{cases} (d \cos h 2Hs - 1)/(2H), & \text{if } c_1^2 > c_2^2, \\ (d \sin h 2Hs - 1)/(2H), & \text{if } c_1^2 < c_2^2, \\ -(1 + 2Hce^{-2Hs})/(2Hs), & \text{if } c_1 = c_2 = c, \\ -(1 + 2Hce^{2Hs})/(2Hs), & \text{if } c_1 = -c_2 = c, \end{cases}$$

where $d = -\operatorname{sgn}(c_1)2H\sqrt{c_1^2 - c_2^2}$ (resp., $-\operatorname{sgn}(c_2)2H\sqrt{c_2^2 - c_1^2}$) if $c_1^2 > c_2^2$ (resp., $c_1^2 < c_2^2$). Using these, we obtain Theorem 1.

§4. The Lorentzian plane

Let L^2 be the Lorentzian plane. At first, we describe some facts about angles in Lorentzian geometry. Details are found in [4]. For a vector $p = (y, z) \in L^2$, the Lorentzian norm $\|p\|_L$ is defined to be $\|p\|$ if p is space-like or null, and $i\|p\|_L$ if p is time-like, where $\|p\|$ is the (absolute) norm of p and $i = \sqrt{-1}$. If p is non-zero and non-null, its polar coordinates R, Ω is defined by $R = \|p\|_L$, $\Omega = \theta + i\omega$, where $y = R \cos(\theta + i\omega)$, $iz = R \sin(\theta + i\omega)$, $\theta = 0, \pi/2, \pi, 3\pi/2$, $-\infty < \omega < \infty$. We call Ω the angle of the vector p . For non-zero and non-null vectors $p, q \in L^2$, let Ω_p and Ω_q be the angles of p and q respectively. The oriented angle from p to q is defined to be $\Omega_q - \Omega_p \pmod{2\pi}$ and denote by \widehat{pq} . If p is

moved to p' counterclockwise with fixing the beginning point of p so that $\widehat{p'q} = 0$, we say that \widehat{pq} is the positively oriented angle. The (unoriented) angle is \widehat{pq} (resp., \widehat{qp}) if pq (resp., qp) is positively oriented. In the Lorentzian plane, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \cos \widehat{pq} = \frac{\langle p, q \rangle}{\|p\|_L \|q\|_L}.$$

For any points $A, B \in L^2$, Let \vec{AB} the corresponding vector. Let ΔABC be a triangle in L^2 with non-null $\vec{AB}, \vec{BC}, \vec{CA}$. If we denote by $\hat{A}, \hat{B}, \hat{C}$ the angles of the triangle, then we have $\hat{A} + \hat{B} + \hat{C} = \pi$. If $\hat{C} = \pi/2$, it follows from (4.1) that $\|\vec{BC}\|_L = \|\vec{AB}\|_L \cos \hat{B}$ and $\|\vec{AC}\|_L = \|\vec{AB}\|_L \sin \hat{B}$.

Next, we investigate rolling curves along a line in L^2 and follow the path of any chosen tracing point on the curve. Let C be a convex curve which can roll along a line l . The tracing point P can be placed inside, on, or outside C . If P is regarded as the origin, then C can be described by polar coordinates R, Ω . Assume the tangent line l is the y -axis. If Φ is the angle between the y -axis and radial line of C , then C traces out the curve Γ given by $y = \sigma - R \cos \Phi$, $iz = R \sin \Phi$, where σ is the arc-length of the curve C . Let C be on the moving plane $\{(u, v)\}$. Let Θ be the angle between the y -axis and the u -axis.

Then as we have $i \frac{dv}{du} = \tan \Theta$ and $\Theta = \pi - \Omega - \Phi$, we get $\tan \Phi = R \frac{d\Omega}{dR}$. Since $ds^2 = dR^2$

$$+ R^2 d\Omega^2 = (1 + \tan^2 \Phi) dR^2, \text{ we may put } \frac{ds}{dR} = \frac{1}{\cos \Phi}. \text{ Thus we obtain } i \frac{dz}{dy} = \cot \Phi.$$

Hence the radial line is normal to Γ . Let s be the arc length of Γ , if Γ is space-like (resp., time-like), we put $dS = ds$ (resp., $dS = ids$). Hence, it follows

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{dy}{dS} = \sin \Phi, \quad i \frac{dz}{dS} = \cos \Phi.$$

If C rolls along the z -line, we have similarly

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{dy}{dS} = \cos \Phi, \quad i \frac{dz}{dS} = \sin \Phi.$$

We describe some properties of conics in L^2 . (a) C is a parabola. The standard equation of a vertical parabola is $y^2 = -4az$. Here $F = (0, a)$, $D: z = -a$ and $A = ia$. Let l be the tangent to C at a point K , which intersects z -axis at P and y -axis at Q . Using (4.1), we have

$$(4.4) \quad \vec{FP} \perp l, \quad \angle FQP = \begin{cases} \angle FKP & \text{for } a \leq |z| \\ \pi - \angle FKP & \text{for } |z| \leq a. \end{cases}$$

$F = (0, -a)$ and $D': z = a$ are regarded as the focus and the directrix of the parabola. The standard equation of a horizontal parabola is given by $z^2 = -4ay$, where $F = (a, 0)$ and

$D: y = -a$. This has the same property as the above.

(b) C is an ellipse. The standard equation of a vertical ellipse with focus $F = (0, ea)$ (resp., $F = (0, -ea)$), directrix $D: z = a/e$ (resp., $D': z = -a/e$), eccentricity $E = e$ and $A = ia$ is $(1 - e^2)z^2 - y^2 = a^2(1 - e^2)$. For a point $K = (y, z)$ on C , we have

$$(4.5) \quad KF \sim KF' = 2a \text{ for } |z| \geq a/e, \quad KF + KF' = 2a \text{ for } a \leq |z| \leq a/e.$$

Take a line l tangent to C at a point $K = (y, z)$. Through F (resp., F') we draw a line perpendicular to l , intersecting l at Q (resp., Q'). Denote by FQ the oriented length of FQ , that is, $FQ = \|FQ\|$ or $-\|FQ\|$ according as FQ is positively oriented or negatively oriented. Now we have

$$(4.6) \quad FQ \cdot FQ' = \begin{cases} (1 - e^2)a^2 & \text{for } a \leq |z| \leq a/e, \\ -(1 - e^2)a^2 & \text{for } a/e \leq |z|. \end{cases}$$

By making use of (4.1), we get

$$(4.7) \quad \angle FKQ = \begin{cases} \angle FKQ' & \text{for } a/e \leq |z|, \\ \pi - \angle FKQ' & \text{for } a \leq |z| \leq a/e. \end{cases}$$

The standard equation of the horizontal ellipse with focus $F = (ea, 0)$ (resp., $(-ea, 0)$), directrix $D: y = a/e$ (resp., $D': y = -a/e$) and $A = a$ is $(1 - e^2)y^2 - z^2 = a^2(1 - e^2)$. This curve has the properties similar to the above.

(c) C is a hyperbola. The standard equation of a vertical hyperbola with focus $F = (0, ea)$ (resp., $F = (0, -ea)$), directrix $D: z = a/e$ (resp., $D': z = -a/e$), eccentricity $E = e$ and $A = ia$ is given by the same equation as one of the ellipse. In this case, we obtain for a point $K = (y, z)$ on C , we have

$$(4.8) \quad KF + KF' = 2a \text{ for } |z| \leq a/e, \quad KF \sim KF' = 2a \text{ for } a/e \leq |z| \leq a.$$

Let l be the tangent line to C at a point $K = (y, z)$. Through F (resp., F') we draw a line perpendicular to l , intersecting l at Q (resp., Q'). Then we have

$$(4.9) \quad FQ \cdot FQ' = \begin{cases} -(1 - e^2)a^2 & \text{for } a/e < |z| < a \\ (1 - e^2)a^2 & \text{for } |z| < a/e. \end{cases}$$

$$(4.10) \quad \angle FKQ = \begin{cases} \angle FKQ' & \text{for } |z| < a/e, \\ \pi - \angle FKQ' & \text{for } a/e < |z| < a. \end{cases}$$

For horizontal hyperbolas, we have the corresponding facts.

(d) C is a conic with imaginary eccentricity. The standard equation of the vertical conic with focus $F = (ea, 0)$ (resp., $F = (-ea, 0)$), directrix $D: y = -a/e$ (resp., $D': y = a/e$), eccentricity $E = ie$ and $A = ia$ is $z^2 - (1 + e^2)y^2 = (1 + e^2)a^2$. In the present case, we obtain for a point $K = (y, z)$ on C

$$(4.12) \quad KF + KF' = 2a \text{ for } |y| < a/e, \quad KF \sim KF' = 2a \text{ for } a/e < |y|.$$

Let l be the tangent line to C at a point $K = (y, z)$. Through F (resp., F') we draw a line perpendicular to l , intersecting l at Q (resp., Q'). Then we have

$$(4.13) \quad FQ \cdot FQ' = \begin{cases} (e^2 + 1)a^2 & \text{for } |y| < a/e \\ -(e^2 + 1)a^2 & \text{for } |y| > a/e \end{cases},$$

$$\angle QKF = \begin{cases} \pi - \angle Q'KF & \text{for } |y| < a/e \\ \angle Q'KF & \text{for } |y| > a/e \end{cases}.$$

Horizontal conics with eccentricity $E = ie$ satisfy properties similar to the above.

§5. The differential equations of rolling curves

Let Γ be the trace of a focus $F = (y, z)$ of a conic which rolls along a line l in $L^2 = \{(y, z)\}$. We will find a differential equation whose solution gives the curve Γ , that is, we will prove Lemma 3.

(a) C is a parabola. (1) l is the y -axis. At first, Let C be the vertical parabola given in (a) of §4. Assume that C is tangent to y -axis at a point K . Let B be the vertex of C and l' be the line through B and perpendicular to the axis of C . Assume that y -axis intersects l' at P and the axis of C at Q . Let Φ be the angle between y -axis and the line through the focus $F = (y, z)$ of C and K . We get from (4.4) $\angle BPF = \angle PQF = \Phi$ (or $\pi - \Phi$). As we have $\|\vec{FB}\|_L = \|\vec{FP}\|_L \sin \Phi$, we may put $a = z \sin \Phi$. Hence from (4.2), it holds $a = z \, dy/ds$, that is,

$$z = a \sqrt{1 - (dz/dy)^2}, \quad dS = ds.$$

Assume next that C is horizontal. Let points K, B, P and Q be taken as similarly as in the above case. Let Φ also the angle between z -axis and the line through the focus F and K . Now we have $\angle FPB = \pi/2 - \angle BFP = \pi/2 - (\angle FQP + \angle QPF) = -\Phi$. Hence, from $\|\vec{BF}\|_L = \|\vec{FP}\|_L \sin \angle FPB$, we get $a = -iz \sin \Phi$. In this case, it should be $dS = ids$ in the formula (4.2). Thus we obtain $a = z(dy/ds)$, $ds^2 = dz^2 - dy^2$. In other word, we show (2.8) for Γ_y , $A = ia$ and $dS = ids$.

(2) l is the z -axis. Let C be the vertical parabola as in (1). Then as similarly as in the above, we obtain the equation $ia = y \sin \Phi$, where Φ is the angle between the z -axis and the tangent line. From (4.2), we get $i(dz/ds) = \cos(\pi - \Phi) = \sin \Phi$. thus we obtain (2.8) for Γ_z , $A = ia$ and $dS = ds$. For the horizontal C , we have $a = y \sin \Phi$. Hence as we must put $dS = ids$ in (4.2), we get (2.8) for Γ_z , $A = a$ and $dS = ids$.

(b) C is an ellipse.

(1) l is the y -axis. Let C be the vertical ellipse given in (b) of §4. Let $F = (y, z)$ and $F' = (y',$

z') be foci of C . The y -line is tangent to C at a point K . Through F (resp., F'), draw a line perpendicular to y -line and intersecting it at Q (resp., Q'). From (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8), we get $FQ \cdot F'Q' = (1 - e^2)a^2$, $KF + KF' = 2a$ and $\angle Q'KF = \pi - \angle QPF$. Using (4.2), we have $\pm z/KF = \sin \angle QKF = dy/dS$ and $\pm z'/KF' = \sin \angle Q'KF = ay/dS$, where the double sign is for $z, z' > 0$ and $z, z' < 0$, respectively. Thus we obtain (2.9) for Γ_y , $E = e$ ($0 < e < 1$), $A = ia$ and $dS = ds$. Assume that C is horizontal. Take points F, F', Q, Q' , and K as above. Then we have $KF \sim KF' = 2a$, $FQ \cdot F'Q' = -(1 - e^2)a^2$, $iz/KF = \pm \sin \angle QKF = dy/dS$ and $\pm iz'/KF' = \sin \angle Q'KF = dy/dS$. Hence, we must put $dS = ids$ and we get $z + z' = \pm 2a dy/ds$ and $zz' = -(1 - e^2)a^2$. Thus we have (2.9) for Γ_y , $E = e$ ($0 < e < 1$), $A = a$ and $dS = ids$.

((2) l is the Z -line. C is vertical. In this case, we have $FQ \cdot F'Q' = -(1 - e^2)a^2$, $KF \sim KF' = 2a$, $y = \pm KF(dz/ds)$ and $y' = \pm KF'(dz/ds)$. Thus we have (2.9) for Γ_z , $E = e$ ($0 < e < 1$), $A = ia$ and $dS = ds$. Similarly, when C is horizontal, we get (2.9) for Γ_z , $E = e$ ($0 < e < 1$), $A = a$ and $dS = ids$.

(c) C is a hyperbola.

(1) l is the y -axis. Let C be vertical. Assume that C is tangent to y -axis at K . Let $F = (y, z)$ and $F' = (y', z')$ be the foci of C . Take points Q and Q' as in (1) of (b). Then we have $FK \sim F'K = 2a$, $FQ \cdot F'Q' = -(e^2 - 1)a^2$, $z/FQ = \pm dy/dS$ and $z'/F'Q' = \pm dy/dS$. Hence we obtain (2.9) for Γ_y , $E = e$ ($1 < e$), $A = ia$ and $dS = ds$. If C is horizontal, it holds that $KF + F'K = 2a$, $FQ \cdot F'Q' = (e^2 - 1)a^2$, $iz/FK = \pm dy/dS$ and $iz'/F'K = \pm dy/dS$. Hence, we get (2.9) for Γ_y , $A = a$, $E = e$ ($e > 1$) and $dS = ids$.

(2) l is the Z -axis. If C is vertical, taking points K, Q and Q' as in (1), we have $KF + KF' = 2a$, $FQ \cdot F'Q' = (e^2 - 1)a^2$, $y/iKF = \pm idz/dS$ and $y'/iKF' = \pm idz/dS$. Hence we get (2.9) for Γ_z , $E = e$ ($1 < e$), $A = ia$ and $dS = ids$. When C is horizontal, we get $KF \sim F'K = 2a$, $FQ \cdot F'Q' = -(e^2 - 1)a^2$, $y/FK = \pm idz/dS$ and $y'/F'K = \pm idz/dS$. Thus we obtain (2.9) for Γ_z , $E = e$ ($1 < e$), $A = a$ and $dS = ids$.

(d) C is a conic with imaginary eccentricity.

(1) l is the y -axis. Let C be vertical. Take points K, Q, Q' as above. Then we have $KF + KF' = 2a$, $FK \cdot F'K = (1 + e^2)a^2$, $z/KF = \pm dy/dS$ and $z'/KF' = \pm dy/dS$. Hence we have (2.9) for Γ_y , $E = ie$, $A = ia$ and $dS = ids$. Similarly, for a horizontal C , we have (2.9) for Γ_y , $E = ie$, $A = a$ and $dS = ids$.

(2) l is the z -axis. For a vertical C , we have $KF \sim KF' = 2a$, $yy' = -(1 + e^2)a^2$, $y/(iKF) = \pm idz/dS$ and $y'/(iKF') = \pm idz/dS$. Thus we have (2.9) for Γ_z , $E = ie$, $A = ia$ and $dS = ds$. Similarly, for a horizontal C , we get (2.9) for Γ_z , $E = ie$, $A = a$ and $dS = ids$.

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences,
Faculty of Integrated Arts and Sciences,
Tokushima University*

References

- [1] J. Eells, On the surfaces of Delaunay and their Gauss maps, Proc. IV Int. Collq. Diff. Geometry, Santiago de Compostela (1978), 97–116.
- [2] S. S. Chern and S. I. Goldberg, On the volume-decreasing property of a class of real harmonic mappings, Amer. J. Math. **97** (1975), 133–147.
- [3] C. Delaunay, Sur la surface de revolution dont la courbure moyenne est constante, J. Math. Pures. Appl., Ser. 1, **6** (1841), 309–320. With a note appended by M. Sturm.
- [4] J. J. Dzan, Gauss-Bonnet formula for general Lorentzian surfaces, Geometriae Dedicata, **15** (1984), 215–231.
- [5] J. Hano and K. Nomizu, Surfaces of revolution with constant curvatures in Lorentz-Minkowski space, Tohoku Math. J. **36** (1984), 427–439.
- [6] W-Y. Hsiang and W-C. Yu, A generalization of a theorem of Delaunay, J. Differential Geometry **16** (1981), 161–177.
- [7] K. Kemmotsu, Surfaces of revolution with prescribed mean curvature, Tohoku Math. J., **32** (1980), 147–153.
- [8] O. Kobayashi, Maximal surfaces in the 3-dimensional Minkowski space L^3 , Tohoku J. Math. **6** (1983), 297–309.