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ABSTRACT<br>A Laboratory Study of Streambed Stability in Bottomless Culverts<br>\section*{by}<br>Brian Mark Crookston, Master of Science<br>Utah State University, 2008<br>Major Professor: Dr. Blake P. Tullis<br>Department: Civil and Environmental Engineering

Traditional culvert designs, in many cases, have become habitat barriers to aquatic animal species. In response, environmentally sensitive culvert designs have been developed to function as ecological bridges. Bottomless and buried invert culverts are examples of such designs and are commonly used for fish passage. Additional design guidance specific to streambed stability in buriedinvert or bottomless culverts under high flow events is needed. This study investigated incipient motion conditions for four substrate materials in a $2-\mathrm{ft}$ (0.61-m) diameter circular bottomless arch culvert and in a $1-\mathrm{ft}(0.30-\mathrm{m})$ wide rectangular flume in a laboratory setting. General scour of the streambed within the bottomless arch culvert was also investigated under partially pressurized and non-pressurized flow conditions.

This thesis discusses the experimental methods used to determine incipient motion conditions and analyses of incipient motion prediction methods. This thesis also presents the experimental results obtained from both test
facilities with the results of other published incipient motion studies on gravel streambeds. Finally, the prediction efficiency of eight stone sizing methods (open channel and culvert application) applied to the experimental results was analyzed, which may be useful for determining stable stone diameters to be used as riprap in simulated streambeds through bottomless culverts.
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## NOMENCLATURE

$A_{r} \quad$ Rahmeyer curve fit coefficient
$a \quad$ Shields parameter coefficient originally proposed by Andrews
$B_{r} \quad$ Rahmeyer curve fit coefficient
$b \quad$ Shields parameter coefficient originally proposed by Andrews
$C \quad$ Safety factor with published values specific to channel geometry and severity of attack by current
$C_{r} \quad$ Rahmeyer curve fit coefficient
$C_{s} \quad$ Stability coefficient for incipient failure
$C_{T} \quad$ Thickness coefficient
$C_{u} \quad$ Uniformity coefficient
$C_{V} \quad$ Vertical velocity distribution coefficient
$C_{z} \quad$ Coefficient of curvature
$D \quad$ Distance between the pre-scour culvert streambed invert and the culvert crown
$D_{p} \quad$ Pipe diameter
$D_{r} \quad$ Rahmeyer curve fit coefficient
$d_{s} \quad$ A representative particle size diameter where $s$ represents the percent of material in a sample that is smaller than the representative particle size (i.e., s=30, 40, 50 ...)
$\delta^{\prime} \quad$ Thickness of the viscous sublayer
$E_{r} \quad$ Rahmeyer curve fit coefficient
$F_{d} \quad$ Drag force
$F_{n} \quad$ External forces acting upon the submerged particle
$F_{r} \quad$ Rahmeyer curve fit coefficient
$F_{v} \quad$ Viscous shear force
$f \quad$ Overall Darcy-Weisbach roughness coefficient
$f_{b} \quad$ Darcy-Weisbach roughness coefficient for bed region
$f_{w} \quad$ Darcy-Weisbach roughness coefficient for wall region
$\varphi \quad$ Angle of side slope to horizontal, in degrees
$g \quad$ Acceleration constant of gravity
$\gamma \quad$ specific weight of water
$\gamma_{s} \quad$ average specific weight of substrate material
$H_{w} \quad$ Total upstream headwater measures relative to the inlet pre-scour culvert invert
$H_{w} / D \quad$ Headwater to culvert height ratio
$K \quad$ Side slope correction factor
$k_{s} \quad$ Height of roughness element
$L \quad$ Characteristic length
$\mu \quad$ Absolute or dynamic viscosity
$v \quad$ Kinematic viscosity
$P_{w_{-} \text {bed }} \quad$ Wetted perimeter of bed region
$P_{w \_ \text {wall }} \quad$ Wetted perimeter of wall region
$\pi \quad 3.141592$
$R_{e} \quad$ Reynolds number
$R_{e}{ }^{*} \quad$ Grain Reynolds number
$R_{h} \quad$ Hydraulic radius of the channel

| $R_{h_{-} \text {bed }}$ | Hydraulic radius of bed region |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\rho$ | Mass density of fluid |
| $\rho_{c}$ | Coefficient for material placement |
| $S$ | The slope of the channel |
| SF | Safety factor, ratio of $d_{\text {s_predicted }}$ to $d_{\text {s_actual }}$ |
| $S F^{\prime}$ | Safety factor (USACE) |
| $S G$ | Specific gravity of riprap material |
| $S_{f}$ | The slope of the energy gradeline |
| $\psi$ | Side slope angle in degrees |
| $\tau_{c}$ | Critical viscous shear stress |
| $\tau_{o}$ | Average viscous shear stress |
| $\theta$ | Shields parameter |
| V | Average cross-sectional culvert velocity at location of interest |
| $V^{*}$ | Shear velocity |
| $V_{\text {a_ent }}$ | Average velocity at the culvert entrance |
| $V_{\text {eff }}$ | Effective local bed velocity |
| $\chi$ | Einstein multiplication factor |
| $x$ | $\log \left(R_{e}{ }^{*}\right)$ |
| $Y$ | Local flow depth |
| $Y_{\text {ent }}$ | Depth of flow at the culvert entrance |
| $y$ | Distance measurement from a rigid boundary |

## INTRODUCTION

## Background and Motivation

In general, traditional culvert designs have focused on passing the maximum design discharge while maintaining sufficient freeboard. Increased concern for and interest in better facilitating fish migration and sediment transport through culverts have fostered alternative culvert designs. These alternative designs feature culvert flow velocities favorable to local fish species and continuity between the existing natural channel and the culvert. Culverts designed for fish passage are commonly sized such that the width of the culvert spans the natural channel under base flow conditions. This is done to prevent contraction of the flow entering the culvert, which typically causes local turbulence, scour, and higher culvert flow velocities. Such culvert designs commonly use "Buried-Invert" or "Bottomless" culverts, sometimes referred to as "D" shaped culverts. Buried-Invert culverts consist of culverts with traditional cross-sections that are installed with the culvert barrel invert below the natural grade of the channel and the barrel is partially filled with substrate material. Bottomless culverts are typically arch culverts with no bottom section, usually placed on strip footings. The goal of buried-invert and bottomless culverts is to simulate, to the extent possible, the naturally occurring streambed adjacent to the culvert in order to facilitate fish passage, prevent debris barriers, and facilitate naturally occurring sediment transport.

There is currently limited information available in published literature regarding the required substrate characteristics necessary to prevent or limit (within acceptable levels) the amount of scour that occurs in buried-invert and bottomless culverts. The artificial streambed must be resilient to scour and channel degradation to ensure structural stability and stream integrity. Many traditional culverts have become fish barriers due to excessive channel degradation at the exit, resulting in a perched culvert (the invert of the culvert exit is above the tailwater). A practical requirement for buried-invert or bottomless culverts should be that the bed material within the culvert barrel remains stable up to a specific return period storm event. This should include the stability of the streambed material in the culvert as well as in the vicinity of the culvert inlet and outlet. Existing riprap design methods and criteria do not specifically address streambed material stability in a buried-invert or bottomless culvert applications.

In this study, general scour behavior in a 2-ft diameter circular shaped bottomless arch culvert was evaluated. In addition, incipient motion of the tested substrate materials were also evaluated in a 1-ft wide rectangular flume. The parameters of interest to this study included: incipient motion velocities, scour depth variations, influence of culvert entrance configurations, upstream driving heads, and substrate material characteristics.

## Research Objectives

In an effort to develop a better understanding of the scour potential in 2-ft
diameter bottomless arch culverts, this study with the following objectives was undertaken.

1. Determine the incipient motion velocities (in the culvert facility and the laboratory flume) associated with four substrate materials, namely pea gravel, 0.75 -inch angular gravel, 2 -inch angular rock, and 2-inch rounded cobbles in an effort to identify geometric influences on incipient motion.
2. Determine the maximum depth of scour and the extent of scour within the culvert and near the culvert inlet and outlet for all substrate materials.
3. Examine the effects of various culvert entrance conditions (i.e., channel to culvert contraction ratios) on culvert scour.
4. Compare experiment results with previous scour studies and rip-rap design guidelines for channels in an effort to extend these experimental results to larger bottomless culverts.

This study was conducted at the Utah Water Research Laboratory (UWRL) located on the Utah State University Campus in Logan, Utah. A bottomless arch culvert test facility was constructed at the UWRL specifically for this research project.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

## Fish Passage in Culverts

Design criteria for fish passage bottomless culverts, buried-invert culverts, arch or 'D' shaped culverts with simulated streambeds have been developed or adopted by various state and federal agencies, such as the state of California Department of Fish and Game (2002) and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (Bates et al., 2003). Some of the general guidelines include matching the culvert slope as well as the entrance and exit elevations with the adjacent stream channel. Culvert skew should be minimized to prevent headcutting and accelerated flow paths and the culvert width or diameter should be equal to or greater than the base flow channel width. The bottomless culvert geometries provide wide, low velocity channels at base-flow conditions (see Figure 1). Substrate materials similar to those in the adjacent stream reaches should be incorporated into the simulated culvert streambed to maintain bed continuity. An ecological culvert design should consider species and life stages of fish present and associated flow depth and velocity requirements, etc. Finally, though the culvert is designed for base flow conditions, it should have an adequate flood discharge capacity; the streambed substrate material should be sized to minimize scour at high flow rates to maintain structural integrity and decrease maintenance.


Figure 1. Example of a buried-invert culvert.

## Initiation of Motion of Substrate Particle

When the flow-induced bed shear stresses reaches or exceeds a critical value, particles within the flow will begin to move; this critical limit is defined as incipient motion. Though Brahms suggested in 1753 that the velocity for incipient motion is proportional to the grain weight raised to the sixth power, this condition is more appropriately analyzed from the concept of a balance of forces acting upon a particle. Incipient motion, however, has further complexities due to the fluctuating characteristics (turbulence) of the flow over a bed of sediment, composed of innumerable particles of various shapes, sizes, orientation, specific weight, protrusion, roughness, and location (Kirchner et al., 1990). Also, incipient motion is influenced by material gradation, friction angle, sorting, and armoring of
the bed (Forchheimer, 1914; Buffington, Dietrick, and Kirchner, 1992). Therefore, the forces acting upon a particle must be approximated and will vary spatially and temporally, resulting in the incipient motion phenomenon that is probabilistic (stochastic) in nature (Chien, Wan, and McKnown, 2003).

Water flowing over a bed of sediment exerts forces on the particles of sediment that may result in movement or entrainment (Vanoni, 2004). Such forces acting on a particle in a bed of relatively uniform, non-cohesive sediment are: the fluid forces acting on the surface of the particle (form drag, viscous shear, and buoyant), gravity, and external forces acting at the points of contact between neighboring particles.

The form drag force relationship, written in terms of shear velocity is presented as Equation (1).

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{d}=d_{s}^{2} \gamma\left(\frac{V^{* 2}}{g}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation (1), $F_{d}$ is defined as the drag force, $d_{s}$ is the diameter of the particle, $\gamma$ is the specific weight of water, and $g$ is the acceleration of gravity. $V *$ is the shear velocity and is presented as Equation (2).

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{*}=\sqrt{\frac{\tau_{o}}{\rho}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation (2), $\tau_{0}$ is the average viscous shear stress and $\rho$ is the mass density of the fluid. The equation generally accepted for average viscous shear stress for laminar flow is presented as Equation (3).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{o}=\mu \frac{d V}{d y} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation (3), $\mu$ is the dynamic or absolute viscosity of the fluid and $d V / d y$ is the rate of strain where $V$ refers to the fluid velocity and $y$ refers to a distance measured normal from a rigid boundary, such as a wall. Equation (3) is an idealized representation when grain protrusions destroy the viscous sublayer. Simplifying Equations (2) and (3) for rectangular channels and applied to turbulent flow conditions results in Equation (4), as defined by von Karman (1930).

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{*}=\sqrt{g R_{h} S_{f}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation (4), $R_{h}$ is the hydraulic radius of the channel, and $S_{f}$ is the slope of the energy grade line, which is equal to the slope of the channel bed $(S)$ for uniform flow conditions. Prandtl (1925) studied time-averaged mean velocities in two dimensions in turbulent flow and proposed to characterize turbulence with a "mixing length". As a result of his work and work by Von Karman, the velocity distribution, mean velocity, and resistance to flow are incorporated into a logarithmic relationship for shear velocity. This relationship, rearranged in terms of shear velocity and incorporating a multiplication factor developed by Einstein (1950) is presented as Equation (5).

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{*}=\frac{V}{5.75 \log _{10}\left(\frac{12.27 \chi R_{h}}{d_{s}}\right)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation (5), $\chi$ is the Einstein multiplication factor for logarithmic velocity equations and was presented graphically as a function of the ratio of the roughness height to the viscous sublayer thickness. However, a curve-fit by Rahmeyer (1989) closely approximates this curve for computer use and is presented as Equation (6).

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi \approx A_{r}+ & B_{r} \log \left(\frac{k_{s}}{\delta^{\prime}}\right)+C_{r}\left(\log \left(\frac{k_{s}}{\delta^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{2}+D_{r}\left(\log \left(\frac{k_{s}}{\delta^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{3} \\
& +E_{r}\left(\log \left(\frac{k_{s}}{\delta^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{4}+F_{r}\left(\log \left(\frac{k_{s}}{\delta^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{5} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

In Equation (6), $A_{r}, B_{r}, C_{r}, D_{r}, E_{r}$ and $F_{r}$ are all empirical coefficients defined as $A_{r}=1.622653, B_{r}=0.099472, C_{r}=-2.83296, D_{r}=1.189237, E_{r}=2.566298, F_{r}=-1.64$. Equation (6) is appropriate for $0 \leq k_{s} / \delta^{\prime} \leq 8$. For $k_{s} / \delta^{\prime} \geq 8, \chi=1.00 . k_{s}$ is the height of the roughness element and $\delta^{\prime}$ is the thickness of the viscous sublayer and can be calculated using Equation (7).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\prime}=\frac{11.6 v}{V^{*}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation (7), $v$ is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid. Equation (7) is for hydraulically smooth boundary turbulent flow where the velocity distribution, mean velocity, and flow resistance are dependent on fluid viscosity and not boundary roughness of the bed. Shear velocity now appears on both sides of Equation (5), requiring iterations until the solution converges.

The second fluid force acting upon a particle is the viscous shear force described by Equation (8).

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{v}=d_{s}^{2} \tau_{0} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation (8), $F_{v}$ is defined as the viscous shear force.
The external forces acting upon the submerged particle (gravity force buoyant force) on a spherical particle are presented in Equation (9).

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}=d_{s}^{3}\left(\gamma_{s}-\gamma\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation (9), $F_{n}$ is defined as the external forces acting upon the submerged particle and $\gamma_{s}$ is the specific weight of the particle.

Shields (1936) applied dimensional analysis to the forces acting upon a particle to determine incipient motion. The ratio of forces tending to move the particle to the forces resisting movement, developed by Shields, is presented as Equation (10).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F_{d}}{F_{n}}=\frac{d_{s}^{2} \gamma\left(\frac{V_{*}^{2}}{g}\right)}{d_{s}^{3}\left(\gamma_{s}-\gamma\right)}=\frac{\tau_{0}}{\left(\gamma_{s}-\gamma\right) d_{s}}=\theta \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation (10), $\theta$ is defined as the Shields Parameter. When motion is impending, the viscous shear stress $\left(\tau_{0}\right)$ acting on the particle of sediment reaches a critical value $\left(\tau_{c}\right)$ which is of sufficient magnitude to rotate the particle about its point of support or lift it from its position. This critical value of shear stress is also termed the critical tractive force. A generally accepted expression of the average bottom shear stress is presented in Equation (11).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{0}=\gamma R_{h} S \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In equation (11), $S$ is defined as the slope of the channel, though the energy gradeline would be preferred. Shields parameter is dependent on the shape of the grain and the Reynolds number of the flow. However, if the grains
are spherical in shape then Shields parameter only depends on what is referred to as the Grain Reynolds Number. The formula Shields used to represent the forces acting on a particle is presented in Equation (12).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\tau_{0}}{\left(\gamma_{s}-\gamma\right) d_{s}}=f\left(\frac{V^{*} d_{s}}{v}\right)=f\left(R_{e}^{*}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation (12), $V^{*} d_{s} / v$ is the Grain Reynolds number, and is often represented by $R_{e}{ }^{*}$. The form of the function $f$ in Equation (12) must be determined experimentally.

Shields conducted experiments on fine grain sediments with four specific weights. He measured sediment transport at decreasing levels of bed shear stress and extrapolated to zero (incipient motion). The representative particle diameter selected was the median grain diameter, or $d_{50}$. The results he obtained are presented in Figure 2, which are similar to results obtained by Nikuradse (1933), indicating regions of hydraulically smooth, transitional, and turbulent flow (Colebrook and White, 1937). Shields originally reported that for turbulent flow, the value of the critical dimensionless shear stress for the median particle size in a streambed $(\theta)$ is approximately 0.06 . He reported a single value to eliminate curve fitting to obtain a solution.

Nevertheless, Shields did not account for bed forms that developed with sediment transport nor non-uniform bed materials. Also, Shields' work is based upon the average transport of material and not sporadic movement, which is the common movement behavior of gravels and cobbles.


Figure 2. Shields relation for beginning of motion.

There have been various revisions to Shields Curve since its original publication. Gessler (1971) reanalyzed Shields relationship to account for bed forms (correction for sidewall effects and form drag) and found $\theta$ to vary with Reynolds number. However, he also suggested one value, $\theta=0.045$ (lower than 0.06), which is commonly used in practice, as shown in Figure 2. Meyer-Peter and Müller (1948) and Gessler (1971) determined from their data sets that the critical Shields parameter for sediment mixtures was 0.047 (USACE, 1989). Buffington and Montgomery (1997), however, analyzed over 600 studies spanning eight decades and reported that the Shields parameter was not limited to 0.045 but had a range from 0.030 to 0.086 .

The curve in Figure 2 shows Shields Curve for turbulent flow. Shields parameter is plotted on the ordinate and the Grain Reynolds number on the abscissa. Incipient motion is shown as a line, but in reality incipient motion
would be better represented by a band or range due to the inherent variability of results. Scatter can be caused by approximations made in the balance of forces acting upon a particle, the random or statistical nature of incipient motion, inconsistencies in the definition of incipient motion, the experimental methods utilized by researchers, site conditions or the experiment facility used, and the type and gradation of the bed material (Sturm, 2004). This relationship is more accurate under clearwater conditions, in uniform sands and small gravels (cohesionless) of uniform gradation, and the specific gravity of the material of approximately 2.65 . As previously mentioned, incipient motion is inherently a statistical problem and therefore there is a frequency distribution of dimensionless critical shear stresses for each particle size of interest (Buffington and Montgomery, 1997).

Equation (12) can be calculated without directly measuring shear stress at the location and time period of interest. Equations (2) and (11) calculate an average shear stress of the entire channel boundary; therefore a method for separating the shear stress on the bed from the shear stresses on other physical boundaries should be implemented. A flow resistance sidewall correction method developed by Vanoni and Brooks (1957), which makes use of the DarcyWeisbach formulation to estimate flow resistance, is presented as Equation (13).

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\frac{8 g R_{h} S_{f}}{V^{2}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation (12), $f$ is the overall Darcy-Weisbach roughness coefficient, which is dependent on the Reynolds number presented as Equation (14).

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{e}=\frac{V L}{V} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation (13), $R_{e}$ is the Reynolds number and $L$ is a characteristic length. For closed conduits or full pipe flow, the pipe diameter, $D_{p}$, is often used. For open channel flow, $4 R_{h}$ is often used as the characteristic length. Vanoni and Brooks used a procedure which consists of partitioning the cross-section of the flow into two non-interacting parts, referred to as the wall and bed regions. Therefore, with this estimation, Equation (13) translates into Equation (15), which can be used to iteratively calculate the roughness coefficient for the wall region with a smooth hydraulic boundary (Wong and Parker, 2006).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{R_{e}}{f}=\frac{\left.10^{\left(\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{f_{w}}}+0.40\right.}\right)}{f_{w^{\frac{3}{2}}}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation (15), $f_{w}$ is the friction factor for the wall region. By calculating $f_{w}$ and using a water continuity equation, the friction factor for the bed can be calculated, as presented in Equation (16).

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{b}=f+\frac{P_{w_{-} \text {wall }}}{P_{w_{-} \text {bed }}}\left(f-f_{w}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation (16), $f_{b}$ is the friction factor for the bed region, $P_{w_{-} w a l l}$ is the wetted perimeter of the wall region and $P_{w_{-} b e d}$ is the wetted perimeter of the bed region. Combining Equations (13) and (16) results in Equation (17).

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{b}=\frac{8 g R_{h_{-} \text {bed }} S_{f}}{V^{2}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (17) is used to calculate $R_{h_{-} b e d}$, which is the hydraulic radius of the bed region, based upon the bed friction factor. $R_{h_{-} \text {bed }}$ can be substituted for $R_{h}$ in Equations (4) and (5) to calculate shear velocity, which can be used to calculated the average shear stress of the bed to be used in Equation (10).

## Incipient Motion of Bed

Great care is needed when defining incipient motion of the bed. The magnitude of turbulent fluctuations and frequency of fluctuations can cause relatively uncommon particle motion events (dependent upon the hydraulic conditions) and as a result increase subjectivity among investigators. Neill and Yalin (1969) attributed the wide range of scatter of experimental data points on Shields diagram, in part, to subjective definitions of incipient motion and proposed a quantitative definition for the beginning of motion. Buffington and Montgomery (1997) outlined four common methods for defining incipient motion to aid in categorizing studies of incipient motion and understanding data point stratification.

When the shear stress is of sufficient magnitude to cause general incipient movement of the sediment or substrate material in a channel, individual sediment particles should be observed to move at random locations and at random time intervals. It is common for groups of particles to move simultaneously. Such movement of particles is effected by particle size, shape, orientation, and turbulence. Cluster movement caused by the removal of intergranular forces present between larger particles can be referred to as the locking effect. When
one particle is dislodged, its associates are exposed to an increase in external forces and there are fewer points of contact to resist movement (the particles are no longer locked together), thus several more particles are removed, leading to the mobilization of pockets of material.

Turbulent flow at the bed is much more likely than laminar flow. Fluctuations or pulses cause fluctuations in shear stresses exerted upon the bed material and increases in movement. Even with a relatively smooth bed and the presence of a laminar sub-layer, vorticity or eddies from the main region of flow will enter the boundary layer and cause movement fluctuations (Chien, Wan, and McKown 2003).

The resisting forces or the particles ability to resist movement varies according to particle size, density, shape, friction angles, packing, and material gradation (Miller and Byrne, 1966; Li and Komar, 1986). Larger particle sizes generally require more energy to move, but mass density or specific weight is also an important factor. A large, low mass density material such as pumice requires less energy for motion to occur than a smaller particle of higher mass density, such as granite. Particle shape can make a significant contribution to movement resistance. Rounded particles such as river cobbles roll more readily compared to a very angular or non-spherical particle. Particle shape is also related to the amount of drag force experienced by the particle. A smooth, rounded particle will experience a drag force of a lesser magnitude than a rough or angular particle, for a given flow condition. A correlation between the physical characteristics of a particle on a plane bed and Shields parameter were
investigated by White (1970) but multiple regression analyses showed no relationship nor with the depth of flow or the water surface slope.

Due to the difficulty of quantifying shear stress in the field, relationships based upon velocity for incipient motion and particle size have been developed as an alternative to Shields relationship. Various research studies on noncohesive materials have been collected by the Federal Highway Administration (HIRE) and are presented in Figure 3 (Richardson, Simons, and Julien, 1990).

Figure 3 is a graphical method for predicting the required velocity (critical velocity) to begin motion of a stone of a specific diameter or weight. Other investigators have explored the relationship between critical velocity and stone size (Li, 1959; Zeng and Wang, 1963; Fortier and Scobey; 1926, Keown, 1983). However, the depth of flow is an important factor for predicting motion if the average column velocity is selected.

The observed velocities corresponding to the initiation of substrate motion (incipient motion velocity) and corresponding substrate particle diameters from this study were compared with the relationships presented in Figure 3. This comparison was made in an effort to identify a conservative relationship for predicting incipient motion for the various bottomless arch culvert substrates tested and may assist the selection of riprap materials in larger bottomless culvert applications.


Figure 3. Critical velocity as a function of stone size (Richardson, Simons, and Julien, 1990).

## Previous Bottomless Culvert Scour Studies

Scour associated with traditional circular culverts has been of interest for decades. Investigations regarding impinging jets (Abt, Kloberdanz, and Mendoza, 1984); cohesive (Abt, 1980) and cohesionless streambed materials (Chien, Wan, and McNown, 2003); tailwater, discharge, and culvert cross-section influences (Bohan, 1970; Abida and Townsend, 1991); culvert slope (Abt, Ruff, and Doehring, 1985b); and headwall and wingwall effects (Mendoza, Abt, and Ruff, 1983) have been undertaken in an effort to predict and control the amount
of scour occurring at non-embedded culvert inlets, outlets, and adjacent regions (Abt and Thompson, 1996). Riprap protection (FHWA, 1983) and incipient motion relationships for impinging jets (Shafai-Bajestan and Albertson, 1993) at culvert outlets have been developed to protect against perched outlets and possible failure of the structure. Many established riprap design methods, however, have been developed for subcritical flow in trapezoidal channels. The applicability of such methods may be limited for other channel geometries or flow conditions, (i.e., supercritical or highly turbulent flow).

Several recent culvert studies have focused on bottomless culvert scour. The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) conducted a two-phase study exploring bottomless culvert inlet scour. Phase 1 (FHWA, 2003) tested 0.6-m wide scale models of four commercially available bottomless culvert shapes using four different uniformly graded sands $\left(d_{50}=0.05-\mathrm{in}\right.$ to $\left.0.1-\mathrm{in}\right)$ as the substrate material. Various methods were used to calculate representative velocities in the flow contraction area near the culvert inlet, which were correlated with measured scour depths. After developing a maximum scour depth vs. velocity relationship for the test sand, the results were extrapolated for larger substrate materials using the following riprap sizing formula based on the Ishbash method (Ishbash, 1936).

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{50}=\frac{0.69 V_{e f f}^{2}}{2 g(S G-1)} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation (18), $V_{\text {eff }}$ is an effective local bed velocity (ft/s) at the upstream corner of a culvert inlet, $d_{50}$ is the minimum stone diameter (ft) that resist incipient
motion, $g$ is the acceleration of gravity $\left(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right)$, and $S G$ is the specific gravity of the riprap material.

Phase 2 (FHWA-HRT-07-026) explored several scour countermeasures in a rectangular bottomless culvert (2-ft wide and $0.5-\mathrm{ft}$ high) with vertical wing walls using two uniformly graded gravels $\left(\mathrm{d}_{50}=0.5-\mathrm{in}\right.$ and $\left.0.6-\mathrm{in}\right)$. Clear-water, fixedbed flow conditions were evaluated for submerged and unsubmerged entrance conditions. The experimental results of Phase 1 and 2 were used to develop Equation (19).

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{50}=\frac{0.38 Y_{e n t}}{S G-1}\left(\frac{V_{a-e n t}^{2}}{g Y_{e n t}}\right)^{0.33} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation (19), $Y_{\text {ent }}$ is the depth of flow (ft) at the culvert entrance and $V_{a_{-} e n t}$ is the average velocity ( $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ ) at the culvert entrance. The unsubmerged inlet conditions produced more scour at the culvert outlet; the submerged inlet produced greater scour at the inlet. Recommendations for additional research from Phase 2 included developing riprap sizing relationship safety factors for bottomless culvert applications; testing non-rectangular, bottomless culverts with various wall roughness (smooth to corrugated); and testing longer culvert lengths with larger substrate mobile-beds modeled. Size-scaling issues should also be addressed.

An evaluation of several well-established riprap design methods (FHWA, 1989; Blodgett and McConaughy, 1986; Maynord, 1979, 1987) developed for traditional culvert design applications was conducted, using data collected at field sites in Minnesota featuring a concrete arch on spread footings (Halvorson and

Laumann, 1996). The following riprap sizing relationship for protecting footings for a 100-year flood event was developed and tentatively proposed.

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{50}=0.01 V^{2.44} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation (20), $V$ is the average cross-sectional culvert velocity at the location of interest in the channel. The fourth riprap stone sizing method (FHWA, 1989) is as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{50}=\frac{C V^{3.95}}{Y^{1.06}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation (21), $Y$ is the local flow depth (ft) and $C$ is a safety factor with published values specific to channel geometry and the severity of attack by the current. For use in the current study, a $C$ value of 0.00117 was used, which corresponds to the most aggressive scour scenario (i.e., a curved channel with a 2:1 side slope, and a total depth of flow less than 10 feet).

The fifth method [Equation (22)] is from the California Department of Public Works, Division of Highways (1970).

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{50}=\left(\frac{0.00002 V^{6} S G}{(S G-1)^{3} \sin \left(\rho_{c}-\psi\right)} * \frac{6}{\pi \gamma_{s}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation (22), $\gamma_{s}$ is the average specific weight $\left(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}\right)$ of the substrate material, $\rho_{c}$ is $70^{\circ}$ for randomly placed material, and $\psi$ is the side slope in degrees. It should be noted that this equation has been modified from predicting stone weight to a spherical stone size. The sixth method [Equation. (23)] comes from the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers (USACE, 1994).

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{30}=S F^{\prime} C_{s} C_{V} C_{T} Y\left[\left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma_{s}-\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{V}{\sqrt{K g Y}}\right]^{2.5} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation (23), $S F^{\prime}$ is defined as a safety factor, assumed to be 1.0 for this study; $C_{s}$ is a stability coefficient for incipient failure equal to the ratio of $d_{84} / d_{16} ; C_{V}$ is a vertical velocity distribution coefficient, and $C_{T}$ is a thickness coefficient equal to $d_{100}$ or $1.5 d_{50}$, which ever is greater; $\gamma$ is the specific weight $\left(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}\right)$ of water; and $K$ (assumed to be 1.0 for this study), is a side slope correction factor. User-defined coefficients have a significant impact on the accuracy of this method and should be based on site-specific field observations and good engineering judgment.

The seventh stone sizing method is from ASCE Manual 54 (Vanoni, 2004) and is presented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{50}=\left(\frac{0.000041 S G V^{6}}{(S G-1)^{3} \cos ^{3} \varphi} * \frac{6}{\pi \gamma_{s}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation (24), $\varphi$ is the angle of the side slope of the streambed to horizontal, in degrees. For this study, the streambed was horizontal and therefore an angle of $0^{\circ}$ was used. This equation has been modified to calculate a spherical size of stone instead of a stone weight. The eighth method (Equation (25)) was developed by the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBR, 1962) by Blodgett and McConaughy specifically for scour protection downstream of stilling basins.

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{40}=0.0105(V)^{2.6} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The objectives of this study were to observe the response of various substrate materials inside a 2 -ft (0.61-m) diameter bottomless arch culvert to pressurized and non-pressurized conditions and various inlet geometries; observations included particle transport, bedforms, and scour depths. In addition, incipient motion of the same substrate materials and inlet geometries were evaluated inside the bottomless arch culvert and in a $1-\mathrm{ft}(0.30-\mathrm{m})$ wide rectangular laboratory flume, for comparison. Using the incipient velocity, stone size, and other necessary system parameters, the required stable substrate material size was estimated using each riprap stone size predictive method [Equations (18)-(25)] and compared with the corresponding experimental substrate size parameter. The ratio of the predicted to actual stone size values for each method was calculated for each method and represents a stone-size prediction factor of safety.

## Related Sediment Transport Studies

A broader search of scour and sediment transport of gravels and cobbles in channels was conducted for additional information, background, and guidance for experiments in bottomless culverts with larger substrates. Each of following publications has directly or indirectly influenced this study.

One such publication is entitled "Highways in the River Environment" (HIRE), which was published by the Federal Highway Administration (Richardson, Simons, and Julian, 1990). This document contains a comprehensive academic background on alluvial channel flow, scour, and
sediment transport. Of particular interest to this study are the recommendations regarding incipient motion velocities versus equivalent stone diameters (see Figure 3, Critical velocity as a function of stone size (Richardson, Simons, and Julian, 1990).

Wilcock (1988) explored methods for estimating the critical shear stress for different size fractions in non-uniform or mixed-size sediments. He considered two established methods for estimating critical shear stress for a particular size fraction: [1] low transport rate and [2] largest grain displacement. He stated that "the practical considerations involved in determining initial motion for mixed-size sediment suggest strongly that the low transport method is preferable to the largest-grain method." Unfortunately, he also stated that scaling is still difficult and no universal method exists for predicting incipient motion for a large range of practical particle sizes.

A study entitled "Effects of hydraulic roughness on surface textures of gravel-bed rivers" (Buffington, 1995) investigated gravel-bed rivers in Alaska and Washington. Field studies found that for a given shear stress, reaches with relatively high hydraulic roughness experience a textural fining. Rough bed surfaces transform into finer bed surfaces due to channel irregularities such as bars, vegetation, bank boundary aberrations. These irregularities decrease bed shear stresses and sediment transport capacities, therefore allowing finer material to be deposited. The biological implications for salmonid species are that forested river reaches with coarse, unsuitable gravel beds may transform into finer, suitable areas for spawning. Buffington found that observed $d_{50}$ values
were as much as $90 \%$ smaller than those predicted by Shields equation. This phenomenon may prove advantageous for bottomless culvert installations that may require riprap stone sizes that are ecologically unsuitable (too large).

Investigations by Lisle et al. (2000) on six gravel-bed channels with varying sediment yield also found a poor correlation between values of shear stress at bank-full discharge and measured surface $d_{50}$ stone sizes. Local variations in boundary shear stress acting on the particles were noted to control sediment transport patterns. Buffington and Montgomery conclude that shear stresses obtained from Shields equation to predict particle mobility should be used as a first-order assessment tool; this method showed reasonable agreement if placed in context by means of sediment budgeting.

A publication entitled "Concept of Critical Shear Stress in Loose Boundary Open Channels" studied existing concepts of incipient motion of particles in a 3-ft (0.91-m) wide rectangular laboratory flume (Paintal, 1971). Three uniform sand and gravel materials $\left(\mathrm{d}_{50}=0.098-\mathrm{in}\right.$ or $2.49-\mathrm{mm}, 0.313$-in or $7.95-\mathrm{mm}, 0.874$-in or $22.2-\mathrm{mm}$ ) and two mixtures of the materials were analyzed, with adjustments made for sidewall effects using the Johnson method (Johnson, 1942). Ten previous sediment transport studies were compared with results from the experimental data and the following two conclusions were made: [1] A distinct condition for incipient motion does not exist. [2] Experimental results of critical shear stress and mean stone size correlated very well with the ten bed load transport rates evaluated.

Critical shear stress equations were first developed for sand in laboratory flumes. However, discrepancies arose as these equations were applied to gravel beds due to several factors that are insignificant for fine material: particle shape, imbrication, cluster movement, and grain protrusion. A recent investigation of shields method for predicting motion on gravels was conducted by Petit (1994). Dimensionless critical shear stress was evaluated for four different gravel beds $\left(d_{50}=0.47-\mathrm{in}, 0.77-\mathrm{in}, \quad 0.95-\mathrm{in}, 1.54-\mathrm{in}\right)$ placed in a $1.65-\mathrm{ft}(0.50-\mathrm{m})$ wide rectangular flume. Incipient motion or critical shear stress was defined as the condition when $20 \%$ of the particles were set in motion. Shields curve was found to correlate well with the smaller particles, with shields parameters ranging from 0.056 to 0.079 , illustrating the range of results frequently collected from laboratory experiments. Petit states that this method did not correlate with experimental results for larger particles and therefore ceases to be applicable (Petit, 1994). An alternative equation for Shields parameter proposed previously by Andrews (1983) was found to be applicable and the form Andrews suggested is presented as Equation (25).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=a\left(\frac{d_{s}}{d_{50}}\right)^{b} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation (24), $a$ and $b$ are coefficients found through experiment for a stone size $\left(d_{s}\right)$ of interest. Still, Petit found values of $a$ and $b$ that were significantly lower than those originally proposed by Andrews but were similar in magnitude to those proposed by Li and Komar (1986) whose results are based upon natural rivers.

Bathurst, Graf, and Cao (1987) investigated the influence of channel slope to predicting incipient motion using Shields diagram. He stated that several recent studies concluded the invalidity of Shields diagram for slopes greater than $5 \%$ that were composed of coarse bed materials (gravels and boulders). He investigated an alternative method proposed by Schoklitsch (1962) via a combination of field and flume research. Bathurst found that for increasing slopes, a trend of separate but approximately parallel lines roughly bisected Shields curve. This trend may not be readily noticeable at mild bed slopes and could be mistaken for data scatter. Also, flow velocity instead of shear stress seemed to better represent incipient motion conditions for this study.

Fenton and Abbott (1977) explored grain protrusion effects on incipient motion and state that Shields diagram "implicitly contains variation with protrusion between two extremes; [1] large grains, large Reynolds numbers, low protrusion and [2] small grains, low Reynolds numbers and large protrusions." Fenton and Abbott state that sediment characteristics and bed preparation can greatly influence the degree of protrusion of individual particles and therefore influence incipient motion of the particles and cause discrepancies when using Shields curve, due to the fact that no protrusion parameter is included. For beds with protruding particles (such as natural streambeds with varying particle sizes) Shields diagram becomes less and less applicable with increasing grain Reynolds numbers.

Experiments conducted by White (1940) demonstrated that uncertainties with Shields diagram were as much as $\pm 25 \%$ and that the shear stress
experienced by a particle increases as the particle diameter increases, other conditions being held constant. He also concluded that from the various forces acting upon a particle, form drag enables a streambed to withstand a greater drag whereas nonuniform flow conditions and turbulence facilitate grain movement.

A mobile gravel bed without bedforms (flat) experiences not only bed movement effects but permeability effects, which presents a different boundary condition when compared to fixed-bed laboratory studies (Nikora and Goring, 2000). This difference in boundary conditions may alter the flow structure and therefore directly affect particle mobility and incipient motion results.

Incipient motion methods developed in flumes and natural channels and the experimental findings of other research studies on sediment transport of gravel beds, such as those discussed above, should be applied to scour in bottomless culverts to validate which methods are suitable for bottomless culverts and which needs to be adapted for this application. Open channel flow and full pressurized flow conditions should be explored in addition to investigating size scaling techniques for riprap sizing for a range of culvert sizes.

## Facilities

All research was conducted at the Utah Water Research Laboratory (UWRL) located on the Utah State University Campus in Logan, Utah. Two facilities at the UWRL were utilized for this study-a bottomless culvert facility and a rectangular flume.

The bottomless arch culvert test facility constituted a $2-\mathrm{ft}$ ( $0.61-\mathrm{m}$ ) diameter bottomless circular arch culvert, a head box, and a tail box, all of which were fabricated specifically for this study. The head box measured 8 -ft (2.44-m) wide, $3.5-\mathrm{ft}(1.07-\mathrm{m})$ deep and $17.5-\mathrm{ft}(5.33-\mathrm{m})$ long. The tail box measured $8-\mathrm{ft}$ ( $2.44-\mathrm{m}$ ) wide, $12-\mathrm{ft}(3.66-\mathrm{m})$ long and $3.5-\mathrm{ft}(1.07-\mathrm{m})$ deep. The culvert included only the top half (i.e., half a cylinder) of a circular culvert, fabricated of clear acrylic for flow visualization. The $16-\mathrm{ft}(4.88-\mathrm{m})$ long culvert was installed on top of a $2-\mathrm{ft}$ wide by 13 -in deep ( $0.61-\mathrm{m}$ by $0.33-\mathrm{m}$ ) steel box with a rectangular cross-section, which housed the streambed material. An overview of the test facility is shown in Figures 4 and 5 . The $8-\mathrm{ft}(2.44-\mathrm{m})$ wide approach channel (the width of the head box) allowed for a reasonable amount of flow contraction entering the culvert. The approach flow contraction was adjustable using movable guide walls. Some specific details pertaining to the bottomless culvert test facility design and operation are presented in the following list.

1. The acrylic culvert was mechanically fastened to the steel box for access to the streambed in the culvert for inspection.
2. The culvert had a uniform cross-section along the entire length and projected $2-\mathrm{ft}(0.61-\mathrm{m})$ into both the head and tail box.
3. The culvert slope was approximately $0.01 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$.
4. A $3.5-\mathrm{ft}(1.07-\mathrm{m})$ by $8-\mathrm{ft}(2.44-\mathrm{m})$ sheet of acrylic was placed in a side wall in both the head and tail boxes for visual inspection throughout the system.
5. A stop log assembly was installed in the tailbox to permit tailwater control. The rectangular laboratory flume facility used for this study is $3-\mathrm{ft}(0.91-\mathrm{m})$ wide, $2-\mathrm{ft}(0.61-\mathrm{m})$ deep, and $30-\mathrm{ft}(9.14-\mathrm{m})$ in overall length. It consists of clear acrylic panels for the walls and floor, and a reinforcing steel frame. Flow enters the facility from the floor of the headbox, passes through a perforated aluminum plate to straighten flow lines and decrease turbulence, and exits the flume by spilling over a hinge gate into the tailbox, which conveys the flow through an opening in the floor into a drain channel the laboratory. This rectangular flume facility was modified from the above description for incipient motion investigations. An overview of the modified flume is presented in Figure 6; the modifications are listed as follows:
6. The flume width was decreased from 3-ft (0.91-m) to $1-\mathrm{ft}(0.30-\mathrm{m})$ via a contraction and a partition wall, all constructed from lumber.
7. Two false floors, spanning the entire width of the flume and 4 inches (101.6-mm) deep, were place at the upstream and downstream ends of the flume to create a $17-\mathrm{ft}(5.18-\mathrm{m})$ long recess for the placement of the substrate materials.


Figure 5. Overview of bottomless culvert test facility.
8. The false floors and prepared substrate bed decreased the overall depth of the flume from 24 inches to 20 inches (609.6-mm to $508-\mathrm{mm}$ ).

## Substrate Materials

The four substrate materials tested were pea gravel, 0.75 -inch angular gravel, 2-inch cobbles (rounded) and 2-inch angular rock. These materials were selected to represent armored, non-cohesive streambeds. Sieve analyses were conducted for each substrate material; the sieve analyses results are summarized in Table 1 and Figure 7. Detailed results, including material gradation and standard deviation, are presented in Appendix G Substrate Properties. The average density of each material was also determined; the results are presented in Table 2.

## Bottomless Culvert Testing Procedure

For the bottomless culvert test facility, each substrate material was placed upstream, inside, and downstream of the culvert. The elevation of the top of the material was meticulously graded to correspond with the elevation of the top of the steel box or (the interface between the steel box and the acrylic culvert) or the springline of the culvert. Four 4-inch high cross-flow anchors attached to the bottom of the steel box were used to keep the gravel substrate in place and prevented the formation of an artificial shear failure plane at the interface between the substrate material and the bottom of the culvert. Each tested

Table 1. Substrate sieve analyses results

|  | Pea Gravel |  | $0.75-i n c h ~ A n g u l a r ~$ <br> Gravel |  | 2-inch Cobbles |  | 2-inch Angular Rock |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(\mathrm{in})$ | $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $(\mathrm{in})$ | $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $(\mathrm{in})$ | $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $(\mathrm{in})$ | $(\mathrm{ft})$ |
| $\mathrm{D}_{16}$ | 0.169 | 0.014 | 0.502 | 0.042 | 1.055 | 0.088 | 0.951 | 0.079 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{35}$ | 0.228 | 0.019 | 0.579 | 0.048 | 1.201 | 0.100 | 1.252 | 0.104 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{50}$ | 0.266 | 0.022 | 0.636 | 0.053 | 1.299 | 0.108 | 1.471 | 0.123 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{65}$ | 0.307 | 0.026 | 0.685 | 0.057 | 1.417 | 0.118 | 1.684 | 0.140 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | 0.260 | 0.022 | 0.632 | 0.053 | 1.371 | 0.114 | 1.463 | 0.122 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{84}$ | 0.370 | 0.031 | 0.807 | 0.067 | 1.713 | 0.143 | 1.958 | 0.163 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{90}$ | 0.396 | 0.033 | 0.866 | 0.072 | 1.969 | 0.164 | 2.090 | 0.174 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{95}$ | 0.441 | 0.037 | 0.921 | 0.077 | 2.205 | 0.184 | 2.264 | 0.189 |

Table 2. Substrate density analyses results

| Substrate | Rock <br> Weight <br> $(\mathrm{lb})$ | Rock <br> Volume <br> $(\mathrm{ft} \wedge 3)$ | $\gamma$ | $\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}$ | SG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pea <br> Gravel | 35.25 | 0.23 | 62.4 | 154.80 | 2.48 |
| 0.75 -inch <br> Angular Gravel | 37.20 | 0.24 | 62.4 | 153.44 | 2.46 |
| 2-inch <br> Cobbles | 43.20 | 0.27 | 62.4 | 160.90 | 2.58 |
| 2-inch <br> Angular Rock | 33.95 | 0.23 | 62.4 | 150.78 | 2.42 |

material was placed on top of a 1 -inch ( $25.4-\mathrm{mm}$ ) thick layer of pea gravel substrate. This substrate helped keep the streambed materials in place during testing. The incipient motion velocity of 2-inch cobbles, for example, placed on a smooth surface, such as steel or acrylic, would likely be smaller than for the same material resting on a rough surface, such as gravel.

For each substrate material, five entrance configurations were tested. The entrance configurations consisted of three different channel to culvert contraction ratios of $0 \%, 33 \%$ and $75 \%$ for both projecting and non projecting (headwall)


Figure 7. Sieve size vs. \% finer for tested substrate materials.
entrance conditions (see Table 3 and Figures 8-10). The 0\% contraction configuration was limited to the non-projecting (headwall) condition.

Each of the five entrance configurations were to be tested at two headwater depths. Headwater depths were measured as a dimensionless parameter expressed as the headwater depth relative to the pre-scour invert at invert at the culvert entrance over the distance from the culvert invert to the crown dimension, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{D}$ (see Figure 11).

The location of measurement (in the headbox) for the headwater depth was a sufficient distance from the culvert entrance where the measured velocity from the velocity probe was less than $0.5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$. Therefore, the velocity head was a relatively insignificant portion of the total energy head; the headwater depth, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}}$, also represents the total energy head at the culvert entrance.

Table 3. Bottomless culvert test matrix

| Culvert Inlet Type | Contraction Ratio (approach channel to culvert diameter) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Square-edged <br> with headwall | $0 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Projecting | x | $33 \%$ | $75 \%$ |

*All tests included incipient motion velocities, scour depths, and extent of scour.

The two $H_{w} / D$ values tested for 2-inch cobbles and 2-inch angular rock were 1.0 and 1.5 (see Figures 13 and 14). According to Mark Miles of the Alaskan Department of Transportation and Public Facilities (ADOT\&PF), a typical design $H_{w} / D$ for bottomless culverts in Alaska is approximately 1.0 at maximum discharge conditions. The $1.5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{D}$ test provides additional information for culverts that may be undersized. Preliminary testing of the pea gravel at 1.0 \& $1.5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{D}$ and 0.75 -inch angular gravel at $1.5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{D}$ resulted in rapid and excessive bed degradation; therefore the test $H_{w} / D$ ratios were modified. The pea gravel was primarily tested at $0.5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{D}$ (see Figure 12); the 0.75 -inch angular gravel was primarily tested at $1.0 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{D}$ (see Table 4 and Figure 13). There was no controlled tailwater at the exit of the culvert and all tests consisted of a $2-\mathrm{ft}(0.61-\mathrm{m})$ projecting end treatment with a $400 \%$ expansion from the culvert into the tailbox. Thirty-five separate tests were conducted from the combination of contraction ratios, tested headwater depths, and streambed materials.


Figure 8. 0\% Contraction with headwall.


Figure 9. $33 \%$ Contraction, with headwall (A), projecting inlet $(B)$.


Figure 10. 75\% Contraction, with headwall (A), projecting inlet (B).


Figure 11. Headwater depth dimensionless parameter, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{D}$.

## Bottomless Culvert Data Collection

Flow to the headbox and culvert was supplied by either an 8 -inch (20.3-cm) or an 18-inch (45.7-cm) poly vinyl chloride (PVC) pipeline (see Figure 4). Each pipeline contained a calibrated orifice plate and was connected to differential manometry to monitor flow rates. Water entered the headbox through a diffuser and a baffle (synthetic mesh), which created a relatively uniform flow pattern approaching the culvert.

The period of duration for a specific headwater or $H_{w} / D$ test was

Table 4. Bottomless culvert $H_{w} / D$ test matrix

| Hw/D | Pea Gravel | 0.75-inch <br> Angular <br> Gravel | 2-inch <br> Cobbles | 2-inch Angular <br> Rock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.5 | x |  |  |  |
| 1.0 |  | x | x | x |
| 1.5 |  |  | x | x |

approximately 2 hours, which is referred to as a duration test. This was based upon test periods used for other relatively similar scour studies and preliminary test investigations for this study, which observed scour rates, scour equilibrium, and any formation and migration of bedforms.

Each test began by slowly increasing the flow rate to the system. This slow increase in flow rate prevented any premature scouring and permitted monitoring of the bed for incipient motion. When incipient motion was reached, the flow rate was held constant and measurements were taken. Generally, from the start of a test, 30 minutes would elapse before incipient motion conditions were reached and measurements were obtained. Subsequently, incremental increases of the flow rate were resumed until the head water depth reached the desired $H_{w} / D$ ratio for the particular duration test. The flow rate was held constant and measurements were taken at 30-minute intervals during a two-hour period. The measurements taken and data collected during each test (incipient motion and duration) included:

1. Culvert flow rate

(A)

(B)

Figure 12. $0.5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{D}$ with headwall $(\mathrm{A})$, projecting inlet $(\mathrm{B})$.

(A)

(B)

Figure 13. $1.0 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{D}$ with headwall $(\mathrm{A})$, projecting inlet $(\mathrm{B})$.

(A)

(B)

Figure 14. $1.5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{D}$ with headwall $(\mathrm{A})$, projecting inlet $(B)$.
2. Head water elevation (total energy)
3. Tail water elevation
4. Approximate depth of flow or water surface profile in the culvert
5. Local velocities at the entrance and exit of the culvert
6. Video and photographic documentation
7. General scour observations such as localized scour \& bed formations

Velocity measurements were made using a Global Water Velocity Probe (model FP 201) with precision of $\pm 0.005 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$. The probe was placed on top of the substrate at five locations or points (A, B, C, D, E, see Figure 15) at measurement cross section stations 0 and 16 (see Figure 5) and an average local velocity was measured and recorded. The duration of time for determining the average velocity at each measurement location ranged between 15 and 120 seconds, depending upon the time required for the average velocity measurement to become stable. Depth measurements (flow and scour) were taken in the headbox, tailbox, and at stations $0,4,8,12$, and 16 using a staff gauge with precision of $\pm 0.0026 \mathrm{ft}$ (see Figure 4). The station numbers represent the distance in feet from the culvert inlet to the exit.

After each test was completed, the system was slowly drained of water for final scour measurements to be taken. Scour depths were taken within the culvert at stations $0,1,2,4,6,8,10,12,14$, and 16 ; at five locations $(A, B, C, D$, E) across the cross-section of the culvert (see Figures 5 \& 15). Geometries (shape, widths, and depths) were also taken at other locations where scour occurred (see Figure 16).

## Rectangular Flume Testing Procedure

Each tested substrate material was placed in a 4-in (101.6-mm) deep recess in the laboratory flume. The elevation of the top of the material was meticulously graded to correspond to the height of the false floors and the slope of the laboratory floor.

For each substrate material, three bed slopes were tested; $0.005 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$, $0.010 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$, and $0.015 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$. The second tested slope corresponded with the fixed slope of the bottomless culvert facility, for comparison of incipient motion experimental results. Each individual test was duplicated to investigate the


Figure 15. Culvert cross-section looking downstream.


Figure 16. Example of scour geometry measurements at entrance.
variability and repeatability of incipient motion conditions; there was no tailwater control.

## Rectangular Flume Data Collection

Incipient motion of each substrate material was investigated for comparison with the bottomless culvert experimental results of this study and for future comparisons with other incipient motion studies on gravel beds.

Flow to the headbox of the flume was supplied by a 12-inch poly vinyl chloride (PVC) pipeline. The pipeline contained a calibrated orifice plate and was connected to differential manometry to monitor flow rates. Water entered the headbox through a diffuser and passed through a baffle (perforated aluminum plate) before entering the flume. Prior to beginning a set of tests, the hinge gate located at the exit of the flume was fully raised and the flume slowly filled with
water. The water supplied to the UWRL from the Logan River is much colder than the air temperature of the laboratory; filling the flume with water and allowing it to cool for 30 to 45 minutes ensured that the flume was cool and not experiencing thermal contraction while data was being collected. After the flume was cooled and ready for testing, the supply flow was turned off and the hinge gate slowly lowered to drain the flume. As soon as the flume was sufficiently drained, testing would commence.

Each test began by slowly increasing the flow rate to the system. As with the bottomless culvert facility, this slow increase in flow rate was necessary to ensure that incipient motion conditions were correctly obtained and not surpassed or falsified by flow surges. When incipient motion was reached, the flow rate was held constant and measurements were taken. Generally, from the start of a test, approximately 20 minutes would elapse before incipient motion conditions were created and measurements were obtained. The measurements taken and data collected during each incipient motion test included:

1. Flume flow rate
2. Approximate depth of flow or water surface profile of the flume
3. Local velocities at locations of incipient motion
4. Video and photographic documentation.

Velocity measurements were made using the same velocity probe used during testing of the bottomless culvert (model FP 201) with precision of $\pm 0.005$ $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$. The probe was placed as close to the substrate particles as possible (less than $0.75-\mathrm{in}$ ) at measurement cross section stations 0,4 , and 8 (see Figure 6)
and an average velocity was recorded (3 stations total). The duration of time for determining the average velocity at each measurement location ranged between 15 and 30 seconds, depending upon the time required for the average velocity measurement to become stable. Depth measurements were taken using a point gage (precision of $\pm 0.0005$-ft) on a rolling carriage, placed upon guide rails that were fastened to the top of the flume walls.

It should be noted that at high flow rates there were prominent surface waves due to the contracting approach flow section. Also, the flow near the exit of the flume exhibited a pronounced S2-type gradually varied flow surface profile. Therefore, the first $5-\mathrm{ft}(1.52-\mathrm{m})$ and last $4-\mathrm{ft}(1.22-\mathrm{m})$ of linear bed length were discounted for incipient motion (see Figure 6). The station numbers represent the distance in feet from the beginning of the investigated bed area to the end of the flume, in 1-ft ( $0.30-\mathrm{m}$ ) increments. After each test was completed, the system was allowed to drain and the substrate material was prepared for the next test.

## Data Analyses Programs

Many short functions were programmed in Visual Basic to be used in calculations and analyses conducted in Microsoft Excel. The actual code used for the bottomless culvert and the rectangular flume is presented in Appendix H Visual Basic Code Used for Calculations for Bottomless Arch Culvert in Microsoft Excel and Appendix I Visual Basic Code Used for Calculations for Rectangular Flume in Microsoft Excel, respectively. The reference formulas utilized to
calculate hydraulic radii, wetted perimeters are presented in Appendix J. To facilitate the use of the computer, several curve fit approximations were developed.

A curve fitting was conducted for three physical water properties that change with temperature. These physical properties assume water as a Newtonian fluid and exposed to atmospheric pressure. The data points used as a basis for the curve fitting came from R. E. Bolz and G. L. Tuve (1973) Handbook of Tables for Applied Engineering Science. Curve fitting was conducted using XLfit by IDBS (www.idbs.com) and the results are presented in Table 5 and Figure 17.

No curve fit was conducted for the mass density of water due to the very small incremental change of the physical property over the range of water temperatures $\left(\sim 32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right.$ to $\left.\sim 50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ measured at the UWRL during testing; it was assumed to be constant at 1.94 slugs $/ \mathrm{ft}^{3}$.

Shields diagram for turbulent flow presented by Chien, Wan, and McNown (2003) (see Figure 18) was curve fit to facilitate plotting of experimental data on Shields curve. A sixth order polynomial, was developed, with the log of the Grain Reynolds number $\left(\log R e^{*}\right)$ as the independent variable (see Figure 19). The polynomial equation used to approximate Shields curve for turbulent flow is presented as Equation (26).

$$
\begin{gather*}
\theta=-0.0009 x^{6}+0.0083 x^{5}-0.027 x^{4}+0.0211 x^{3}  \tag{26}\\
+0.0703 x^{2}-0.1403 x+0.0999
\end{gather*}
$$

In Equation (26), $x$ represents the log of the grain Reynolds number $\left(\log R e^{*}\right)$.

Table 5. Curve fit equations for physical properties of water ${ }^{\dagger}$

| Physical Property | Equation Type | Equation | Curve Fit <br> Constants |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dynamic Viscosity | Reciprocal Quadratic | $\mu=\frac{1}{a+b\left({ }^{\circ} F\right)+c\left({ }^{\circ} F\right)^{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=10865.95 \\ & \mathrm{~b}=441.7072 \\ & \mathrm{c}=1.467279 \end{aligned}$ |
| Kinematic Viscosity | Vapor Pressure Model | $v=\exp ^{\left(a+\frac{b}{\left({ }^{(F)}+\right.}+\ln \left({ }^{( } F\right)\right)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & a=-5.511624 \\ & b=-26.35435 \\ & c=-1.310849 \end{aligned}$ |
| Specific Weight | Sinusoidal Fit | $\left.\gamma=a+b \cos \left(c\left({ }^{\circ} F\right)+d\right)\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & a=59.36498 \\ & b=3.075081 \\ & c=0.007833 \\ & d=-0.243022 \end{aligned}$ |

$\dagger^{\circ} F$ is a variable; it is the measured temperature of water in degrees Fahrenheit

Equation (26) is limited to grain Reynolds numbers between 1 and 1,000. Equations (26) had correlation coefficient values $\left(R^{2}\right)$ exceeding 0.999. An example of Shields diagram utilizing this equation is presented as Figure 20. Though the traditional Shields diagram is limited to grain Reynolds numbers less than 1,000 , as illustrated in Figure 20, he theorized that the curve would continue at a constant value of 0.06 . His theory has been used as guidance for extrapolating Shields curve for higher grain Reynolds numbers and therefore was adopted for this research study.


Figure 17. Approximation of physical properties of water.


Figure 18. Shields diagram (Chien, Wan, McNown, 2003).


Figure 19. Reproduction of Chien, Wan, and McNown (2003) shields diagram, based on curve fit.

## BOTTOMLESS CULVERT INCIPIENT MOTION RESULTS

## General Velocity Observations

During testing, several general behavior characteristics were observed concerning local velocities upstream, within, and downstream of the 2-ft (0.61-m) diameter circular bottomless culvert for all four tested substrate materials. First, measured velocities varied in magnitude both spatially and temporally. Measured velocities were lowest upstream of the culvert entrance where the depth of flow was greatest. Velocities increased in the downstream direction, with the highest measured values at the exit of the culvert. After exiting the culvert, the flow was free to expand (400\% channel width expansion) in the tailbox and depths rapidly decreased, resulting in a further increase of velocities and in the formation of a channel with deposition along the edges (see Figure 22). In the more resilient materials, an oval scour hole was observed to form instead of a channel (see Figure 23).

Generally, entrance configurations with a $33 \%$ or $75 \%$ contraction ratio and $H_{w} / D$ ratios of 1.0 or less resulted in lower bed velocities at points $B, C$, and $D$ and higher bed velocities at points $A$ and $E$ (at station 0 ) where the flow contracted to enter the culvert (see figure 12). For $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{D}$ ratios greater than 1.0, lower bed velocities remained at points $B$ and $D$, with higher bed velocities occurring at $A, C$, and $E$ (at station 0 ). However, as the substrate material was eroded, local velocities would decrease, as expected. Where the eroded material was deposited inside the culvert, local bed velocities would increase.

Also, measured velocities at individual locations were observed to fluctuate with time. Generally, these fluctuations were $\pm 0.5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(0.152 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ or less, and may be a result of general flow turbulence.

## Incipient Motion Velocities

Incipient motion was interpreted as the commencement of sporadic movement of particles of substrate, occurring at an average rate of several incidents per minute. When incipient conditions were reached, bed velocity measurements were taken. In addition, flow conditions, such as flow rate and flow depths, were recorded to calculate an average cross-sectional velocity at each location within the culvert (see Figure 4). To verify that incipient motion was occurring and not a prelude seating effect, the headwater was slightly increased after measurements were taken. If this increase resulted in an increased rate of sporadic movement, the incipient motion conditions and corresponding data were confirmed to be accurate. The headwater was then increased to the desired depth for duration testing.

Incipient motion occurred very suddenly during testing of the pea gravel substrate material. Incipient motion was observed to occur at the entrance of the culvert and adjacent areas, along the entire length of the culvert, and in the tailbox. Incipient motion velocities were not identified for the pea gravel substrate due to the ease with which it moved, resulting in difficulties in differentiating between incipient motion and general movement by observation. The results of
average culvert velocities at tested $H_{w} / D$ ratios for pea gravel are summarized in Figure 20; Figure 20 only presents motion data at tested $H_{w} / D$ ratios, and are average velocities for the entire culvert length. Measured and calculated velocities are summarized in Appendix A, Bottomless Arch Culvert Velocity Results.

Incipient motion for the 0.75 -inch angular gravel occurred at higher velocities than the approximate values identified for the pea gravel substrate. Initial movement was observed to commence at the exit of the culvert where velocities were greatest. However, prior to movement, individual substrate particles were observed to vibrate or shudder at various locations where small gaps between particles were present but the forces acting upon the particle were not large enough to cause rotation. This vibration or shudder shall be referred to


Figure 20. Velocity vs. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{D}$ ratio for pea gravel substrate.
as the "shudder effect" and its observed intensity proved an excellent indicator of proximity to incipient motion. After data were collected for incipient motion, the $H_{w} / D$ ratio was increased as conducted with the pea gravel substrate. The relationship between calculated and observed velocities and $H_{w} / D$ ratios is summarized in Figure 21. As Figure 21 illustrates, incipient motion frequently occurred at approximately $0.75 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{D}$. Measured bed velocities during incipient motion conditions ranged from $2.7 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ to $3.9 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$; average culvert velocities ranged from $1.7 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ to $2.8 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$. Measured and calculated velocities are summarized in Appendix A.

The previously defined shudder effect was observed during testing of incipient motion for the 2 -inch cobbles. However, an additional event also occurred prior to incipient motion, a seating effect. A few substrate particles were observed to roll a short distance prior to finding a stable position in an


Figure 21. Velocity vs. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{D}$ ratio for 0.75 -inch angular gravel.
indentation or gap. This seating effect was differentiable from incipient motion since after the seating effect concluded, an increase in the flow rate did not result in an increase in the rate of sporadic movement of particles. A quiescent period was observed to occur between the conclusion of the seating event and the beginning of incipient motion.

Incipient motion for the 2-inch cobbles also began at the exit of the culvert where velocities were greatest. This substrate material was approximately twice as large as the 0.75 -inch angular gravel substrate; the $d_{50}$ of the 0.75 -inch angular gravel was 0.64 inches and the $\mathrm{d}_{50}$ of the 2 -inch cobbles was 1.30 inches. However, the average culvert velocities were approximately $3.0 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ to $4.7 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$, only 1.1 to 1.2 times larger. In contrast, the average velocities measured at the bed were approximately 1.7 to 2.5 times larger, ranging from $4.2 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ to 4.9 $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$. It can be concluded that the measured bed velocity under incipient motion conditions will increase in relation to the size of the material and is a better indicator than an average water column velocity. The relationship between averaged measured velocities and calculated velocities for the entire culvert barrel and $H_{w} / D$ ratios is summarized in Figure 22. Incipient motion occurred over a range of 1.25 to $1.42 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{D}$. Measured and calculated velocities are summarized in 2-inch Cobbles Velocity Results, Appendix A.

The shudder effect and seating effect were also observed during testing of the 2-inch angular rock. This angular substrate proved more resilient to motion and scour than the three previously tested materials, resulting in higher critical velocities and higher corresponding $H_{w} / D$ ratios, confirming that angular riprap is
preferred for protection. As previously mentioned, the shudder effect and seating effect were both observed; however, differences between the 2-inch cobbles were noted. The shuddering of particles seemed more pronounced and less seating occurred relative to the 2 -inch cobbles. These observed differences may be attributed to the differences in material shape and gradation.

Incipient motion velocity results for the 2-inch angular rock substrate were observed to occur at the entrance of the culvert when the flow was contracted from a contraction ratio and at the exit of the culvert where velocities were greatest. The $H_{w} / D$ ratio present when motion began was slightly higher than the 2-inch cobbles (see Appendix B). A comparison of the 0.75 -angular gravel and the 2 -inch angular rock present two points of interest: the $d_{50}$ of the 2-inch angular material is approximately twice as large as the $\mathrm{d}_{50}$ of the 0.75 -inch


Figure 22. Velocity vs. $H_{w} / D$ ratio for 2-inch cobbles.
angular gravel; the average $H_{w} / D$ ratio when incipient motion occurred is approximately twice as large as the average $H_{w} / D$ ratio when incipient motion occurred in the 0.75 -inch angular gravel. The relationship between calculated and observed velocities and $H_{w} / D$ ratios for this substrate material is summarized in Figure 23.

The critical velocity results for the 0.75 -inch angular gravel, the 2 -inch cobbles, and the 2 -inch angular rock have been compared to the results of previous research studies on non-cohesive materials, collected by the Federal Highway Administration (Richarson, Simons, and Julien, 1990). This comparison is presented in Figures 24 and 25; Figure 24 uses the average measured bed velocity as a function of stone size and Figure 25 uses the average calculated culvert velocity as a function of stone size. Each figure has a series of parallel, diagonal lines which


Figure 23. Velocity vs. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{D}$ ratio for 2-inch angular rock.
are identified or named to represent the type of substrate tested or a particular application, or the author of the study (such as channel cobbles, small stilling basins, or Ishbash).

The results of average measured bed velocities for the 0.75 -inch angular gravel substrate correspond to critical velocities for channel cobbles (see Figure 24). However, using the results of average culvert velocities results in lower values for incipient motion and correspond to critical velocities for small turbulent stilling basins (see Figure 25). The results of average measured bed velocities for 2-inch cobble substrate and 2-inch angular rock substrate correspond to critical velocities found by Ishbash. However, using the average culvert velocity results in a less conservative correlation with channel cobbles for the 2-inch angular rock, whereas the 2-inch cobbles continued to correspond with Ishbash.

## Shields Relation for Beginning of Motion in a Bottomless Culvert

Due to the impracticality of quantifying shear stress in the field, shear stress was not measured during testing of the four substrate materials. Four methods were used to calculate shear velocities for each test at stations $0,4,8,12$, and 16 ; Equations (4) (Von Karman) and (5) (Prandtl with Einstein correction factor) are generally accepted as acceptable shear velocity approximations for onedimensional and two-dimensional flows, respectively. Equations (4) and (5) were modified by utilizing a sidewall correction developed in rectangular laboratory flumes, Equation (17), resulting in two standard and two corrected shear


Figure 24. Critical average bed velocity as a function of stone size $\ddagger$.


Figure 25. Critical average culvert velocity as a function of stone size $\ddagger$. $\ddagger$ adapted from Richarson, Julien, and Simons, 1990
velocities at a particular station and time during testing, which are presented in the following list:

1. Shields No Correction
2. Shields Correction
3. Prandtl - Einstein No Correction
4. Prandtl - Einstein Correction.

For each method listed above, equation (2), Lotter Equation, was used to calculate shear stresses from corresponding shear velocities, which in turn were used in Equation (10) to calculate Shields parameters. Equation (12) was utilized to calculate corresponding Grain Reynolds' numbers to be plotted with Shields relation for the beginning of motion (previously presented in Figure 2). Equation (12) required the previously obtained shear velocities and the $d_{50}$ from the sieve analyses conducted on the substrate materials (see Figure 5 and Appendix D, Substrate Properties). The results of station 16, utilizing the Shields No Correction method for each test conducted on the four substrate materials are presented in Figure 26. For individual plots of each substrate material, see Appendix C, Shields Relation for Bottomless Arch Culverts. Figure 26 illustrates the calculated values of the Grain Reynolds' number and Shields parameter and is a compilation of the incipient motion tests and the duration tests, presenting the substrate behavior regarding no movement, incipient motion, and movement. Though it may be argued that the $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{m}}$ or $\mathrm{d}_{65}$ may be a more representative particle diameter, the $d_{50}$ was selected to correlate with Shields work. The results of each shear velocity method for all four substrate materials are presented in

Appendix C Shields Relation for Bottomless Arch Culverts. Figures 27 to 32 illustrate how well each method corresponded to Shields Relation for Incipient Motion at the culvert entrance and exit. The Grain Reynolds' number is placed on the abscissa, and the ratio of a methods' predicted Shields parameter to the Shields parameter predicted from Shields Relation (actual) pertains to the ordinate. Figure 27 to 28 corresponds to the 0.75 -inch Angular Gravel, Figure 29 to 30 corresponds to the 2-inch Cobble Substrate, and Figure 31 to 32 corresponds to the 2-inch Angular Rock Substrate. There is no figure for the smallest material, due to the fact that incipient motion conditions were not quantified for the Pea Gravel Substrate. The results for stations $0,4,8,12$, and 16 are presented in Appendix D.

Despite the fact that Shields relation was developed using materials classified as sands, Shields Relation for Motion appears to apply to the pea gravel substrate ( $\mathrm{d}_{50}=0.27$ inches) due to the fact that all motion points exceeded the beginning of motion curve; calculated Shields parameters predicted motion, which was in agreement with observations during testing. Shields relation under predicted incipient motion of the 0.75 -inch angular gravel substrate $\left(d_{50}=0.64\right.$ inches), notwithstanding some of the calculated Reynolds' numbers exceeded the known range for this relation $\left(R_{e} * \leq 1,000\right)$. As shown in Figures 27 and 28, there is scatter to the data and though there appears to be no clear separation between no movement, incipient motion, and movement, one must remember

Figure 26. Four tested substrates in bottomless culvert plotted on Shields relation for incipient motion.


Figure 27. Method correlation to shields relation for incipient motion for 0.75-in angular gravel at the culvert entrance.


Figure 28. Method correlation to shields relation for incipient motion for 0.75 -in angular gravel at the culvert exit.


Figure 29. Method correlation to shields relation for incipient motion for 2-in cobble substrate at the culvert entrance.


Figure 30. Method correlation to shields relation for incipient motion for 2-in cobble substrate at the culvert exit.


Figure 31. Method correlation to shields relation for incipient motion for 2-in angular rock substrate at the culvert entrance.


Figure 32. Method correlation to shields relation for incipient motion for 2-in angular rock substrate at the culvert exit.

The calculated Grain Reynolds' numbers for the 2-inch cobbles ranged from approximately 2,000 to 3,000 , which exceeds the upper limit of published data in the traditional Shields diagram $\left(R_{e}{ }^{*} \leq 1,000\right)$. If Shields curve, representing beginning of motion, is extrapolated to approach a Shields parameter of 0.06 as suggested by Shields (1936), Meyer-Peter and Müller (1948), and Gessler (1971) proposed from their data sets (0.047), then it appears that a one-dimensional method for calculating Shields parameter does not apply to this larger substrate material $\left(\mathrm{d}_{50}=1.30\right.$ inches $)$ for this diameter of bottomless culvert. All methods under predicted incipient motion at the culvert entrance and inside the culvert barrel, both for pressurized and non-pressurized conditions. However, incipient motion was approximated at the culvert exit by means of the Prandtl-Einstein Correction method (two-dimensional flows, sidewall correction). It should be noted that the culvert exit was never submerged and that the point where the water surface detached from the culvert crown fluctuated between stations 4 and 14 during testing.

The calculated Reynolds numbers for the 2-inch angular rock resulted in even higher values than the 2 -inch cobbles, ranging from 2,000 to 4,000 . As shown in Figures 29 and 30, calculated prediction ratios range from 0.2 to 0.8 at the culvert entrance and inside the barrel, slightly larger than the values calculated for the 2-inch cobbles. Extrapolating the curve for beginning of motion as done previously, Shields relation does not apply to this substrate material $\left(d_{50}=1.47\right.$ inches). However, the Prandtl-Einstein Correction method also approximated incipient motion at the culvert exit for this material.

A comparison of the incipient motion shear stresses of the 2-inch cobbles (0.21 $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{\wedge} 2$ ) and the 2-inch angular rock ( $0.20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{\wedge} 2$ ) revealed a minor difference in magnitude. The differences in the materials' shapes (angular vs. round) and gradation appear to have a small influences on critical shear stress values calculated during this study, which are not parameters included in the shear velocity equations utilized in this study.

Though it is generally understood that incipient motion is an event which is best represented by enveloping curves (a range instead of a thin line) on Shields relation for incipient motion, this method appears to best apply to smaller substrate materials, such as sands and fine gravels.

## RECTANGULAR FLUME INCIPIENT MOTION RESULTS

## General Velocity Observations

During data collection, several general observations were made regarding hydraulic conditions in the rectangular laboratory flume. First, the flow depth was not identical but very similar at each of the measurement stations, attributed to the gradually varied water surface profile and surface turbulence. Secondly, due to the width of the flume and the relative size of the impeller located at the sampling end of the velocity probe, no variation in bed velocities across a cross section were discernable, therefore the bed velocity at a station was sampled at the midpoint and assumed to be representative of the entire cross-section.

During testing in the rectangular flume, the tested substrate materials behaved similarly to testing conducted in the bottomless culvert; the same seating, vibrations, and shuddering effects noted prior to and at incipient motion conditions were observed. Generally, incipient motion was observed to occur simultaneously at stations 0,4 , and 8 (see Figure 6). Finally, measured velocities were observed to fluctuate in magnitude. Generally, these fluctuations were $\pm 0.5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(0.152 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ or less, and may be a result of general flow turbulence.

## Incipient Motion Velocities

The standard established for incipient motion in the bottomless culvert was also applied to the laboratory flume. Incipient motion was interpreted as the commencement of sporadic movement of particles of substrate, occurring at an
average rate of several times per minute. When incipient movement was believed to occur, bed velocity measurements were taken. Also, flow conditions, such as flow rate and flow depths, were recorded to calculate an average crosssectional velocity at each location along the length of the rectangular flume (see Figure 6). The same procedure to verify incipient motion conditions in the bottomless culvert was also followed during testing in the rectangular flume. To verify that incipient motion was occurring and not a prelude seating effect, the upstream flow depth was slightly increased after measurements were taken by means of increasing the flow rate to the flume. If this small incremental increase resulted in an increased rate of sporadic movement, measured critical velocities were confirmed to be accurate. No duration tests were conducted within the rectangular flume, facilitating the duplication of test runs. Once an incipient motion test was concluded, the flume was prepared and the test repeated to observe variability associated with incipient motion. Also, as described previously, the slope of the flume (bed slope) was not a constant parameter, as was implemented during testing of the bottomless culvert. The three slopes that were tested resulted in three general groupings for incipient motion.

An example of the influence of slope for the pea gravel substrate is presented in 33; as shown, small differences existed between computed average water column velocities and measured velocities at the stream bed. Also, there is not a linear relationship between flow depth and velocity; as velocities became larger and larger, the incremental increase in flow depth became less and less. For a bed slope of $0.005 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$, incipient motion velocities occurred within the range
of 2.5 and $3.0 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$, with the flow depths not exceeding 6 inches. For a slope of $0.01 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$, the flow depth decreased significantly with incipient motion velocities occurring at approximately $2.5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$. An increase of bed slope to $0.015 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ also resulted in incipient motion velocities of approximately $2.5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ and measured flow depths of approximately 3 inches.

Testing of the 0.75 -inch angular gravel substrate found minor differences between average water column velocities and velocities measured at the streambed. However, the influence of bed slope affected incipient motion velocities differently than observed in the pea gravel substrate. There is a smaller distinction or data cluster separation for bed slopes of $0.005 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ and 0.01 $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$. For a bed slope of $0.005 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ and $0.1 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$, incipient motion velocities occurred approximately at $5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$, with the flow depth being the largest measured, at approximately 1.1 inches (see Figure 34 ). A bed slope to $0.015 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ resulted in incipient motion velocities of approximately $4.5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ and measured flow depths of approximately 9-inches.

Incipient motion for the 2-inch cobble substrate did not begin until the depth of flow exceeded 1 ft , with velocities ranging from $5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ to $6 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$. The influence of bed slope resulted in three vertical bands or clusters of data points, the steepest slope oriented left or with lower flow depths, and the mildest slope oriented to the right (largest flow depths). Velocities and flow depths prior to and during incipient motion are presented in Figure 35.


Figure 33. Method correlation to shields relation for incipient motion for pea gravel substrate at the culvert exit.


Figure 34. Method correlation to shields relation for incipient motion for 0.75 -in angular gravel substrate at the culvert exit.

Incipient motion conditions were not obtained for the 2-inch angular rock substrate, see Figure 36 . The maximum flow rate available to the flume is
approximately 8 cfs, limited by the size of the orifice plate utilized for flow measurements. In addition, flow depths exceeding 1.75-ft would overtop the flume walls. However, testing did determine that flow velocities must exceed 1.5 $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ for each bed slope tested. When juxtaposed with the 2-inch cobble data, the differences in particle shape and material gradation appear to provide a difference of at least $0.5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ for incipient motion velocities, underscoring the influence of the physical characteristics of a substrate material on incipient motion velocities and scour.

Shields Relation for Beginning of Motion in a Rectangular Flume

The methods used to calculate Shields parameter and the Grain


Figure 34. Method correlation to shields relation for incipient motion for 2-in cobble substrate at the culvert exit.


Figure 35. Method correlation to shields relation for incipient motion for 2-in angular rock substrate at the culvert exit.

Reynolds number in the bottomless culvert were also used to evaluate incipient motion in the rectangular laboratory flume. The four methods used to calculate shear velocities for each test at stations 0, 8, and 12 are presented below.

1. Shields No Correction
2. Shields Correction
3. Prandtl - Einstein No Correction
4. Prandtl - Einstein Correction

Equation (2), Lotter Equation, was used to calculate shear stresses from corresponding shear velocities, which in turn were used in Equation (10) to calculate Shields parameters. Equation (12) was utilized to calculate corresponding Grain Reynolds' numbers to be plotted with Shields relation for the beginning of motion. Equation 12 required the previously obtained shear
velocities and the $d_{50}$ from the sieve analyses conducted on the substrate materials (see Figure 5 and Appendix D, Substrate Properties). The results of all three stations, utilizing the Prandtl-Einstein (PE) Correction Method for each test conducted on the four substrate materials are presented in Figure 37. For individual plots of each substrate material, see Rectangular Flume Shields Relation, Appendix D. Figure 37 illustrates the calculated values of the Grain Reynolds' number and Shields parameter presenting the substrate behavior regarding no movement and incipient motion.

Figures 38 to 40 illustrate how well each method corresponded to Shields Relation for Beginning of Motion in the rectangular flume. The Grain Reynolds' number is placed on the abscissa, and the ratio of a methods' predicted Shields parameter to the Shields parameter predicted from Shields Relation (actual) pertains to the ordinate. Figure 38 corresponds to the pea gravel substrate, Figure 39 corresponds to the 0.75 -inch angular gravel substrate, and Figure 40 corresponds to the 2 -inch cobble substrate. There is no figure for the 2 -inch Angular Rock substrate, due to the fact that the capacity of the flume was reached before the development of incipient motion.

The Shields Correction method most poorly predicted Shields curve for the pea gravel substrate. Grain Reynolds numbers were approximately between 200 and 400. The Shields method and the corrected and non-corrected PrandtlEinstein methods approximated Shields curve with approximately $\pm 20 \%$. The Prandtl-Einstein Corrected method best approximated Shields curve for the 0.75in angular gravel substrate, but consistently over predicted Shields parameter by

Figure 37. Four tested substrates in rectangular flume plotted on Shields relation for incipient motion.


Figure 38. Method correlation to shields relation for incipient motion for pea gravel substrate in the rectangular flume.


Figure 39. Method correlation to shields relation for incipient motion for 2-in cobble substrate in the rectangular flume.


Figure 40. Method correlation to shields relation for incipient motion for 2-in angular rock substrate in the rectangular flume.

20\%, on average. Finally, both Prandtl-Einstein methods best approximated Shields curve. Applying the sidewall correcting resulted in under-predicting Shields parameters, without the Prandtl-Einstein method over-predicted; the amount of error is shown in Figure 40.

## SCOUR IN A BOTTOMLESS ARCH CULVERT

## Bottomless Culvert General Scour Observations

For engineering purposes, there are two sources of sediment transported by a natural stream: bed material that composes the streambed and the fine material from the banks and watershed (Rahmeyer, 1989). Material moving along the streambed (sliding, rolling, saltating) shall be referred to as bed load. Suspended materials e.g., (dissolved material, clay, silt, and fine sands) shall be referred to as washload. In this test facility, there was no appreciable washload present except during testing of the 0.75 -inch angular gravel and the 2 -inch cobbles (testing occurred during spring runoff). During spring runoff, water was an opaque brown and visibility was low. Testing of the other two substrate materials was conducted in clear water conditions with high visibility. Yang and Simões (2005) suggests that suspended or washload materials can influence scour, however, the effects of washload were assumed negligible for this study and were not a controlled parameter.

The following discussion summarizes the general scour or bed response observations of the four tested substrate materials inside the bottomless arch culvert.

Substrate particles for all tested materials were observed to move by means of rolling and saltating along the streambed. At higher flow rates, the pea gravel particles did not exclusively experience brief suspension at the culvert entrance where flow contraction and vortices were observed, but also at random
locations inside the culvert barrel. No suspension was observed for the other three substrate materials.

For all tested substrate materials, scour was most severe at the entrance and exit of the culvert, however, for certain test scenarios particle movement was observed along the entire length of the culvert. Local scour holes were observed to occur at the edges of the culvert entrance, and generally were conical in shape (see Figure 41). Material removed from the culvert entrance was generally deposited between stations 1 and 6 (see Figure 4) and at cross-section locations B, C, and D (see Figure 16). Particles transported inside the culvert barrel for the 0.75-inch angular gravel, 2-inch cobbles, and 2-inch angular rock often resulted in the deepest scour depths near the middle of the cross section (see Figure 16) and the maximum overall depth of scour at or near the culvert exit. As the flow exited the culvert, material in the tailbox was removed and a channel was created with material being deposited along the edges (see Figure 42), or in the more resilient substrate materials, an oval scour hole was formed (see Figure 43).

Finally, test scenarios that included pressurized inlet conditions resulted in an unstable or fluctuating point where the water surface detached from the culvert crown. As previously mentioned, the detachment location would fluctuate between stations 4 and 14 with residence times ranging approximately 2 to 5 minutes before shifting.


Figure 41. Examples of scour at entrance of culvert for pea gravel (A), 0.75-inch angular gravel (B), 2-inch cobbles (C), and 2-inch angular rock (D).

## Extent of Scour

The pea gravel, the 0.75 -inch angular gravel, the 2 -inch cobbles, and the 2-inch angular rock substrate material are classified as armored beds due to the absence of finer materials, such as sands and silts. The three larger substrate materials are also classified as plane or flat bedforms; the flat graded bed


Figure 42. Example of scoured channel at culvert exit with bank deposition.


Figure 43. Example of oval scour hole at culvert exit.
created prior to the commencement of each test did not metamorphose into other bedform types. However, bedforms were observed to form in the pea gravel; therefore it is classified as a moveable bed, constantly changing in response to the hydraulic conditions.

For entrance configurations with a $33 \%$ or $75 \%$ contraction, the pea gravel substrate particles were briefly suspended in vortices at the edges of the culvert entrance (locations A and E), resulting from flow contraction. Bedforms developed parallel to the flow (longitudinal) at $H_{w} / D=0.5$. Testing at $\mathrm{Hw} / \mathrm{D}=1$ (stopped after 30-min) resulted in the formation of antidunes, as shown in Figure 44. Antidunes form as a series or train of in-phase (coupled) symmetrical sediment and water waves (Rahmeyer, 1989). They gradually build up from a plane bed and a plane water surface. Although antidunes can remain stationary or migrate up or downstream, in this study antidunes were observed to form at the exit of the culvert and migrate upstream (see Figure 44). The average extent of scour for each individual test is presented in General Scour Data, Appendix C.

As expected, the depth of scour was observed to increase as the $H_{w} / D$ ratio was increased. Due to the accelerated rate of scour for $H_{w} / D$ ratios larger than 0.5 for the pea gravel substrate, duration tests were confined to $0.5 \mathrm{H}_{w} / \mathrm{D}$. The rate of scour decreased with time during the 2-hour duration tests, resulting in little to no movement of material at the conclusion of the tests (approximate equilibrium). The average depth of scour at stations $0,4,8,12$, and 16 of the culvert (average depth of points A through E) for each test and the corresponding $H_{w} / D$ ratio are presented in Figure 45 . The average culvert scour depths that


Figure 44. Example of antidunes in pea gravel substrate.
occurred at $0.5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{D}$ ranged from 0 to 4 inches with a large fraction less than 2 inches. However, actual measured depths of scour at each location ranged from 0 to 5.25 inches. Apart from general bed degradation, local pier-type inlet scour was observed to occur for the $33 \%$ and $75 \%$ contraction ratios. Measured depths of scour are presented in Streambed Response in Bottomless Arch Culvert, Appendix E.

The results of the average amount of scour that occurred at the culvert inlet and outlet (stations 0 and 16) and the corresponding average culvert velocities for testing of the pea gravel substrate are presented in Figure 46. As scour occurred, the local velocities would slowly decrease until the bed material became stable and reached equilibrium. For each test, the maximum depths of
scour and corresponding velocities at the inlet and outlet of the culvert are presented in Figure 47.


Figure 45. Average scour vs. $\mathrm{H}_{w} / D$ ratio for pea gravel substrate.


Figure 46. Average inlet/outlet scour vs. corresponding average culvert velocities for pea gravel substrate.


Figure 47. Max depth of scour at inlet and outlet for pea gravel.

The 0.75 -inch angular gravel substrate, as expected, was more resistant to scour than the pea gravel substrate. Substrate particles moved by sliding or saltating; no particles were observed to become suspended. Local scour holes, though smaller than those occurring during testing of the pea gravel substrate, were formed at the entrance of the culvert in response to the entrance configurations with a $33 \%$ or $75 \%$ inlet contraction. In addition, maximum depths of scour occurred at the exit region of the culvert and flow exiting the culvert scoured a shallow channel in the tailbox (see Figure 48). The bedform inside the culvert remained a flat plane bedform during testing. Average scour depths at each station were observed to increase as the $H_{w} / D$ ratio was increased; average scour at stations $0,4,8,12$, and 16 are presented in Figure 49.

The time duration for exploratory duration tests of larger $H_{w} / D$ ratios was truncated due to the intensity and rate of scour; testing at $1.25 \mathrm{H}_{w} / \mathrm{D}$ ratio was 70 minutes, resulting in an average depth of scour of 6 inches at the exit. The time duration for the $1.5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{D}$ ratio was 11 minutes, resulting in average depths of scour exceeding 8 inches at the exit. Therefore, testing of this substrate material focused on $1.0 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{D}$ with a 2-hour testing period; average depths of scour ranged 1.0 to 3.25 inches at the entrance and 3.0 to 4.0 inches at the exit of the culvert. Measured depths of scour are summarized in Depths of Scour Measurements, 0.75 -inch Angular Gravel, Appendix C. The average culvert velocities associated with depths of scour for this material at stations 0 and 16 are presented in Figure 50. For each test, the maximum depths of scour and
corresponding velocities at the inlet and outlet of the culvert are presented in
Figure 51.


Figure 48 . Scour of 0.75 -inch angular gravel looking upstream from exit, $1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{D}$.


Figure 49. Average Scour vs. $H_{w} / D$ ratio for 0.75 -inch angular gravel substrate.


Figure 50. Average inlet/outlet scour vs. corresponding average culvert velocities for 0.75 -inch angular gravel substrate.

The 2-inch cobble substrate particles were observed to roll in clusters or groups and not individually, which is attributed to the rounded shape of the substrate and interlocking of the particles. Incipient motion was observed to occur between $1.25 \leq \mathrm{H}_{w} / \mathrm{D} \leq 1.42$. No appreciable amount of scour was observed to occur below $1.4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{D}$. Measured depths of scour are summarized in Depths of Scour Measurements, 2-inch Cobbles, Appendix C. A summary of the average depths of scour that occurred at tested $H_{w} / D$ ratios is presented in Figure 52.

Small localized scour holes at the entrance of the culvert began to form at an $H_{w} / D$ ratio of 1.0, generally of a depth equal to one particle diameter. Larger scour holes formed at $1.5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{D}$, depositing material downstream between

Figure 51. Max depth of scour at inlet and outlet for 0.75-inch angular gravel substrate.


Figure 52. Average Scour vs. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}} / D$ ratio for 2-inch cobble substrate.
stations 1 and 6. The most considerable scour occurred at the exit of the culvert, generally beginning at station 16 and migrating upstream (see Figure 52). This scour was observed to be general bed scour and not localized scour since degradation was observed across the entire width of the bed. However, during pressurized test scenarios the streambed profile had a slight wave due to the water surface profile and the deposition of substrate material from the culvert inlet. During scour, bed velocities measured at station 16 ranged from $4.6 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ to $6.0 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$, with average culvert flow velocities ranging from $5.1 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ to $6.8 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$. For a summary of velocities during testing of 2-inch cobbles, see 2-inch Cobble Velocity Results, Appendix A. The relationship between average culvert velocities and the average amount of scour that occurred at the culvert entrance and exit (stations 0 and 16) is presented in Figure 53.


Figure 53. Scour of 2-inch cobbles looking upstream from exit.


Figure 54. Average inlet/outlet scour vs. corresponding average culvert velocities for 2 -inch cobble substrate.

As shown in Figure 54, appreciable scour depths began to occur when the average culvert velocity approached or exceeded $3.75 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$. These velocities were observed to occur at the exit of the culvert. Corresponding average culvert velocities at the entrance of the culvert ranged from $2.5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ to $3.5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$. These velocities were insufficient to cause appreciable scour except for two entrance conditions, $33 \%$ contraction projecting and $75 \%$ contraction projecting. These two entrance conditions resulted in flow vortices at cross-section locations $A$ and E (see Figure 12 and 13), resulting in measured bed velocities at each point ranging from $3.5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ to $4.2 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$. For each test, the maximum depths of scour and corresponding velocities at the inlet and outlet of the culvert are presented in Figure 36. These results illustrate that bed velocities may be larger at locations of potential scour (such as at entrance contractions or exiting jets) than average flow velocities and should be taken into consideration. Areas of potential scour are larger if pressurized flow conditions are likely to occur and it may be beneficial to use larger materials at such locations and smaller material where acceptable.

The substrate material that was the most resistant to scour was the 2-inch angular rock. Movement was observed to commence at slightly higher $H_{w} / D$ ratios (1.3 to 1.5) than the 2-inch cobble substrate. Though incipient motion conditions were similar for both substrates, the extent of scour that occurred was much less for the angular bed material. A comparison of the most pronounced scour that occurred during testing of the 2-inch cobbles and 2-inch angular rock is given in Figure 56. The results show that for the 2-inch angular substrate, 50\%

Figure 55. Max depth of scour at inlet and outlet for 2-inch cobble substrate.
of the bed inside the culvert remained unchanged whereas $100 \%$ of the bed composed of the 2-inch cobbles was altered. Measured depths of scour are summarized in Depths of Scour Measurements, 2-inch Angular Rock, Appendix C. The range of average depths of scour was from 0 to 4 inches, with a maximum deposition depth of approximately 1.75 inches, see Figure 57. The area where scour was most severe was at the culvert exit, at locations B, C, and D, see Figure 58.

The bed velocities, which were measured when incipient motion occurred, ranged from $3.5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ to $4.2 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ at the entrance and $4.5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ to $6.0 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ at the exit.


Figure 56. Comparison of extent of average cross-sectional scour in 2-inch angular rock and 2-inch cobbles.


Figure 57. Average Scour vs. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{D}$ ratio for 2-inch angular rock substrate.

Average culvert velocities and the depth of scour that occurred are presented in Figure 59. Generally, scour would become appreciable when average culvert velocities exceeded $4.5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$.

Though the 2-inch cobble substrate and 2-inch angular rock had similar $d_{50}$ sizes, reached incipient motion under similar $H_{w} / D$ ratios, attained similar maximum depths of scour inside the culvert barrel, and similar velocities were present during movement, two influential characteristics created a relatively large difference in the extent of scour inside the culvert, angularity and gradation. A much larger percentage of the 2-inch angular substrate inside the culvert remained unchanged compared to the 2-inch cobbles. For each test, the maximum depths of scour and corresponding velocities at the inlet and outlet of the culvert are presented in Figure 60. The scour results from these two


Figure 58. Scour of 2-inch angular rock looking upstream from exit.


Figure 59. Average inlet/outlet scour vs. corresponding average culvert velocities for 2-inch angular rock substrate.

Figure 60. Max depth of scour at inlet and outlet for 2-inch angular substrate.
substrates suggest that the most resilient material to scour would be a relatively large, angular, well-graded material. However, angular material may not be ecologically acceptable, less practical, or more costly for streambed simulation as naturally occurring streambed material is generally rounded.

## STONE SIZING ANALYSES

A means for scaling the experimental incipient motion results from this study to larger bottomless culvert applications would be beneficial, as the use of a 2-ft (0.61-m) diameter bottomless culverts is unlikely for fish passage; larger bottomless culverts would be more effective and practical. For example, the State of California Department of Fish and Game specify a minimum culvert diameter of 6 -ft for their streambed simulation design method. Consequently, a literature review produced eight riprap sizing techniques. The first two techniques listed below were developed for bottomless culverts; the other six methods were developed for open channel applications.

1. FHWA Phase 1
2. FHWA Phase 2
3. Halvorson
4. HEC-11
5. Cal B\&SP
6. USACE EM-1601
7. ASCE Manual 54
8. USBR EM-25

Two recently published FHWA methods (Equations (18) and (19)) and six well-established stone or riprap sizing methods (Equations (20)-(25)) were evaluated based on their ability to predict the minimum particle or stone size required for a non-erodible substrate, using the experimentally determined
average cross-sectional bed velocity at incipient motion as the independent variable. The objective was to evaluate the applicability of Equations (18) though (25) as potential size-scaling relationships for bottomless culvert substrate stone sizing. The results of the predicted and experimental stable $d_{s}$ values are presented in terms of a factor of safety, which is defined as the ratio of $d_{s}$ predicted over $d_{s}$ actual. Factors of safety (SF) for each substrate material tested in both the bottomless culvert and the rectangular flume were calculated. For the bottomless culvert, Figures 61-63 present the calculated factors of safety (SF) and the corresponding $H_{w} / D$ ratios. Figures $64-66$ present the calculated factors of safety and corresponding flow depths for the rectangular flume. More detailed information is presented in Appendix F.


Figure 61. Comparison of riprap safety factors for 0.75-inch angular gravel in a bottomless arch culvert.


Figure 62. Comparison of riprap safety factors for 2-inch cobbles in a bottomless arch culvert.


Figure 63. Comparison of riprap safety factors for 2-inch angular rock in a bottomless arch culvert.


Figure 64. Comparison of riprap safety factors for pea gravel in a rectangular flume.


Figure 65. Comparison of riprap safety factors for 0.75 -inch angular gravel in a rectangular flume.


Figure 66. Comparison of riprap safety factors for 2-inch cobbles in a rectangular flume.

As can be seen from comparing Figures. 64 to 66, the performance of some of the riprap stone sizing methods are application specific (i.e., bottomless culvert vs. the rectangular flume). In general, SF increased with increasing velocity for most methods in both the bottomless culvert and the rectangular flume.

The USACE-EM-1601 riprap sizing method (Equation 23) under predicted stone sizes for the smaller substrate materials (Pea gravel and 0.75-inch angular gravel), with SF ranging from 0.8 to 1 for the culvert and 0.5 to 1.5 for the rectangular flume data. For the larger substrate materials (2-inch cobbles and 2inch angular rock), SF ranged from 1 to 2 for the culvert and flume data. It is important to note that in order to determine a $d_{30}$ riprap stone size distribution
using this method, flow velocity and flow depth data are required, along with five condition-specific empirical coefficients, which must be determined or estimated.

The USBR-EM-25 riprap sizing method (Equation 25) produced SF values ranging from approximately 3 to 6 in the laboratory flume and 2 to 4 for the 2 -inch cobbles and the 2-inch angular rock. The Halvorson riprap sizing method (Equation 20) produced SF values ranging from approximately 4 to 10 in the laboratory flume and approximately 4 to 6 in the 2-inch cobbles and 2-inch angular rock substrate materials in the bottomless culvert. The large SF values suggest that this method may be overly conservative.

HEC 11 (Equation 21) was the most conservative method (SF often exceeding 10) and produced the most scatter, with the stone size increasing sharply with increasing velocity. This method may be too conservative for bottomless culvert applications. The Cal B\&SP riprap sizing method (Equation 22) predicted SF values ranging from approximately 2 to 4 in the laboratory flume, but only 1 to 2 in the bottomless culvert. The ASCE Manual 54 riprap sizing method (Equation 24) produced very similar results to the CAL B\&SP stone prediction method.

The results of each FHWA method were very similar and predicted factors of safety comparable to ASCE Manual 54 and Cal B\&SP methods in the bottomless culvert. The FHWA methods, however, under predicted stone sizes in the laboratory flume and therefore appear to be specific to culvert applications. When selecting a stone sizing method for an application (e.g., bottomless culvert, trapezoidal channel, etc.) it is important to have a general sense of performance
as some appear to be best suited for culverts and others for trapezoidal crosssections.

When implementing a riprap design method, it is important to refer to the original publication for any additional guidelines, limitations, or additional information. Site-specific information should also be obtained, where possible, to identify specific hydraulic conditions the riprap will experience. The accuracy of each riprap design method hinges on the correct estimation of input parameters.

## CONCLUSIONS

An evaluation of the scour potential of four substrate materials in a $2-\mathrm{ft}$ (0.61-m) diameter bottomless circular culvert and a $1-\mathrm{ft}(0.30-\mathrm{m})$ wide rectangular flume was conducted in a research laboratory. The substrate materials were pea gravel (0.25-in), 0.75-inch angular gravel, 2-inch cobbles, and 2-inch angular rock. For the bottomless culvert, each substrate material was tested for incipient motion conditions and streambed response at various $H_{w} / D$ values (partially pressurized and non-pressurized culvert flow) for both projecting and nonprojecting entrance conditions and three inlet contraction ratios. The bed slope was fixed at $0.01 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$, there was no controlled tailwater at the exit of the culvert, and all tests consisted of a $2-\mathrm{ft}(0.61-\mathrm{m})$ projecting end treatment with a $400 \%$ channel width expansion from the culvert to the tailbox; the culvert exit was never submerged. Testing in the rectangular flume investigated incipient motion conditions and three bed slopes were evaluated, $0.005 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}, 0.01 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$, and 0.015 $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$. Incipient motion results of the four tested substrates were compared to predicted results of eight published riprap stone sizing methods in an effort to scale the experimental results of this study to larger bottomless culvert diameters.

Incipient motion velocities could not be quantified for the pea gravel substrate in the bottomless culvert test facility due to the highly erodible nature of the material; there was no observed differentiation between the commencement of motion and considerable movement. In addition, incipient motion velocities
were never attained for the 2-inch angular rock due to flow and depth limitations of the rectangular flume. Incipient motion velocities for the 2-inch cobbles and the 2-inch angular substrate were approximately the same magnitude and exceeded those of the 0.75 -inch angular gravel, which exceeded incipient motion velocities of the pea gravel. The incipient motion velocities for the two larger materials occurred between $1.25<H_{w} / D<1.5$ in the bottomless culvert. The Incipient motion velocity corresponds to the condition where materials first began to move and, as such, are not necessarily a good indicator of the extent of scour. Similar flow velocities were observed for the 0.75 -inch angular gravel and 2-inch cobbles in the rectangular flume.

Four methods were used to calculated Shields parameters and Grain Reynolds' numbers for comparison to Shields relation for the beginning of motion for the substrate materials tested. The Von Karman equation was utilized with and without a sidewall correction (one-dimensional flow) and Prandtl equation with the Einstein modification was utilized with and without a sidewall correction. The 1-dimensional methodologies appeared to apply reasonably well to the pea gravel substrate; however, they under predicted incipient motion conditions for the 0.75-inch angular gravel. If Shield's curve is assumed to remain constant for $R_{e}{ }^{*}$ values exceeding 1,000, these two methods appear to under-predict incipient motion for the 2-inch cobbles and the 2-inch angular rock. The two-dimensional methodologies appear to be better predictors of incipient motion for the three larger substrates when using Shields relation. The data had varying degrees of
scatter, reiterating that Shields curve would be more accurate as an upper and lower bound pair of curves instead of a line.

Scour evaluations in the bottomless arch culvert observed scour occurring along the entire length of the culvert for certain test scenarios. The most severe scour occurred primarily at the entrance and exit regions of the culvert. Flow contraction caused local pier-type scour holes at the culvert entrance. For pressurized tests bed degradation also occurred at the culvert entrance. Due to the fact that there was no controlled tailwater (unsubmerged outlet) the highest velocities and deepest scour depths were recorded at the outlet.

The pea gravel proved to erode more readily than the other tested substrate materials as expected. The 2-inch cobble and 2-inch angular rock substrates scoured the least; the depth of scour and incipient motion velocities were similar. However, the extent of scour (the area over which scour occurred) was greater in the 2-inch cobble substrate; angularity and gradation decrease the extent of scour inside the culvert barrel, compared to rounded cobbles.

In an effort to scale the results of this study to larger bottomless culverts and substrate materials, eight riprap sizing methods were applied to the experimental results of the 0.75 -inch angular gravel substrate, the 2 -inch cobble substrate and the 2-inch angular rock substrate. The two FHWA published stone sizing methods produced safety factors ranging from 1 to 3 in the culvert and 0 to 0.3 in the rectangular flume. The Halvorson method was more conservative, producing SF values ranging from 3 to 8 in the culvert and 3 to 10 in the rectangular flume. The stone sizing method of HEC 11 produced the most
conservative and scattered SF values in the bottomless culvert, and slightly less conservative values in the rectangular flume, at 4 to 28 and 6 to 18, respectively. Methods by Cal. B\&SP, ASCE Manual 54, and USBR-EM-25 produced similar SF values ranging from 1 to 4 in the culvert and 2 to 6 in the flume. Finally, the USACE EM-1601 stone sizing method produced SF values of 0.5 to 2 in the culvert and rectangular flume.

Lack of conservatism for HEC-11 may be attributed to the application of a 1 -dimensional modeling tool to a 2 and 3 -dimensional flow conditions. Also, the two FHWA published methods were less conservative in the rectangular flume as each was developed for culverts. Methods by USACE, Cal B\&SP, ASCE Manual 54, and FHWA all produced factors of safety approximately between 1 and 2 in the larger tested substrate materials in the bottomless culvert, with factors of safety slightly increasing with increasing bed velocities. These methods appear to be the best for designers as they would be able to choose their own factors of safety for larger installations. Methods by the USBR and Halvorson appear to contain factors of safety in the larger materials of 2 to 4 and 4 to 8 , respectively.

Additional research in this area should included: testing larger bottomless culvert diameters; determining the influence of sidewall roughness, such as corrugations, on bottomless culverts scour; evaluate larger stone sizes; and streambed materials with fine material present (non-armored beds), and submerged culvert outlets. This additional research would help to identify the most appropriate scaling methods as well as limitations for determining stable bottomless culvert streambed material sizes for field applications.

By creating favorable hydraulic conditions for aquatic life and simulating the natural streambed, bottomless culverts can be acceptable ecological passageways. Bottomless culverts should be designed not only for base flow conditions, but also for high flow events. Knowledge of the scour behavior of bottomless culverts under pressurized and non-pressurized conditions should aid in bottomless culvert design. Riprap is a viable option for protecting streambeds through bottomless culverts during high flow events; relative conservativeness or factors of safety of available stone sizing methods will assist a designer in selecting a stone sizing method.
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## APPENDICES

## Appendix A

Bottomless Arch Culvert Velocity Data

Table A1. Pea gravel substrate velocity results

| Run <br> (\#) | Hw/D <br> () | Control (Inlet,Outlet) | Flow (cfs) | Depth <br> (ft) | Measured Avg. Velocity @ Bed (ft/s) | Mean Velocity (ft/s) | Froude \# () | Reynolds \# <br> () |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a incipient | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1a incipient | 0.50 | Inlet | 1.689 | $0.36 / 0.13$ | 2.77 / 0.11 | 2.52 / 0.87 | 0.49 / 0.1 | 148452 / 16305 |
| 1b incipient | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1b duration | 1.00 |  | 4.407 | Excessive degradation - discard |  |  |  |  |
| 2 incipient | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 duration | 0.50 | Inlet | 1.721 | $0.37 / 0.14$ | 2.42 / 0.36 | 2.54 / 0.94 | $0.57 / 0$ | 158463 / 19114 |
| 3 incipient | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3 duration | 0.50 | Outlet | 1.789 | 0.39 / 0.14 | 2.43 / 0.43 | 2.48 / 0.86 | $0.57 / 0$ | 157815 / 19030 |
| 4 incipient | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4 duration | 0.50 | Outlet | 1.516 | 0.43 / 0.17 | 2.62 / 0.32 | 1.94 / 0.74 | 0.46 / 0 | 118660 / 15377 |
| 5 incipient | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5 duration | 1.00 |  | 4.174 | Excessive degradation - discard |  |  |  |  |
| 6 incipient | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6 duration | 0.50 | Outlet | 1.712 | $0.4 / 0.13$ | 2.47 / 0.51 | 2.33 / 0.76 | 0.54 / 0 | 151197 / 16372 |
| 7 incipient | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7 duration | 1.00 | Outlet | 4.345 | 0.84 / 0.19 | $2.9 / 0.14$ | 2.95 / 0.25 | 0.87 / 0 | 273582 / 62084 |

Table A2. 0.75 -inch angular gravel substrate velocity results

| Run <br> $(\#)$ | Hw/D <br> () | Control <br> (Inlet,Outlet) | Flow <br> $(\mathrm{cfs})$ | Depth <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Measured Avg. <br> Velocity @ Bed <br> $(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s})$ | Mean <br> Velocity <br> $(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s})$ | Froude \# <br> () | Reynolds \# <br> () |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 incipient | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8 duration | 1.00 | Inlet | 4.432 | $0.68 / 0.38$ | $3.7 / 0.42$ | $4.11 / 1.92$ | $0.86 / 0.43$ | $307028 / 91360$ |
| 9 incipient | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 9 duration | 1.50 | Inlet | 7.911 | $0.78 / 0.3$ | - | $6.17 / 1.61$ | $1.76 / 0$ | $503749 / 170783$ |
| 10 incipient | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10 duration | 1.25 | Inlet | 7.977 | $0.85 / 0.2$ | $4.66 / 0.16$ | $5.63 / 0.79$ | $1.47 / 0$ | $473971 / 120535$ |
| 11 a | 0.50 | Outlet | 2.360 | $0.39 / 0.14$ | $3.14 / 0.88$ | $3.28 / 1.16$ | $0.66 / 0.13$ | $214004 / 23101$ |
| 11 b | 0.75 | Outlet | 3.090 | $0.55 / 0.22$ | $3.74 / 1.3$ | $3.17 / 1.16$ | $0.7 / 0.19$ | $249488 / 42961$ |
| 12 incipient | 0.75 |  | 3.257 | $0.62 / 0.11$ | $3.88 / 1.41$ | $2.84 / 0.45$ | $0.66 / 0.09$ | $252120 / 22787$ |
| 12 duration | 1.00 | Outlet | 4.489 | $0.71 / 0.19$ | $3.65 / 0.34$ | $3.57 / 0.73$ | $0.81 / 0.21$ | $322749 / 50204$ |
| 13 incipient | 0.75 |  | 3.224 | $0.59 / 0.04$ | $3.1 / 1.1$ | $2.9 / 0.18$ | $0.68 / 0.03$ | $258192 / 8672$ |
| 13 duration | 1.00 | Inlet | 4.679 | $0.75 / 0.23$ | $3.54 / 0.57$ | $3.66 / 0.84$ | $0.8 / 0.28$ | $330708 / 66377$ |
| 14 incipient | 0.72 |  | 2.355 | $0.58 / 0$ | $2.66 / 0.65$ | $2.14 / 0$ | $0.5 / 0$ | $186552 / 0$ |
| 14 duration | 1.00 | Outlet | 4.774 | $0.83 / 0.02$ | $3.5 / 0.33$ | $3.17 / 0.11$ | $0.77 / 0.11$ | $317780 / 20480$ |
| 15 incipient | 1.00 |  | 3.149 | $0.65 / 0.16$ | $3.04 / 1.15$ | $2.68 / 0.54$ | $0.62 / 0.12$ | $237566 / 29945$ |
| 15 duration | 1.04 | Inlet | 4.594 | $0.77 / 0.2$ | $3.34 / 0.64$ | $3.56 / 0.41$ | $0.96 / 0$ | $299715 / 85968$ |

Table A3. 2-inch cobble substrate velocity results

| Run <br> (\#) | Hw/D <br> ( ) | Control (Inlet,Outlet) | Flow <br> (cfs) | Depth <br> (ft) | Measured Avg. <br> Velocity @ Bed <br> (ft/s) | Mean Velocity (ft/s) | Froude \# () | Reynolds \# () |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 a | 0.50 | Outlet | 1.154 | 0.54 / 0 | 2.45 / 0.91 | 1.11 / 0 | 0.26 / 0 | 100844 / 0 |
| 16 b | 0.75 | Outlet | 2.925 | 0.79 / 0 | 3.5 / 1.13 | 2.05 / 0 | 0.49 / 0 | 211563 / 0 |
| 16 incipient | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 16 duration | 1.00 | Outlet | 4.220 | $0.85 / 0.24$ | 3.36 / 1.37 | $2.58 / 1.91$ | 0.64 / 0.27 | 290662 / 64457 |
| 17 incipient | 1.31 |  | 6.634 | $0.84 / 0.16$ | 4.48 / 1.52 | 4.73 / 0.64 | $1.17 / 0.26$ | 419625 / 86025 |
| 17 duration | 1.50 | Inlet | 7.246 | 0.84 / 0.19 | 4.71 / 0.64 | 5.16 / 0.8 | 1.37 / 0.21 | 466090 / 96053 |
| 18 incipient | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 18 duration | 1.00 | Inlet | 4.059 | $0.72 / 0.17$ | 3.86 / 0.8 | 3.3 / 0.87 | 0.74 / 0.18 | 314162 / 46128 |
| 19 incipient | 1.25 |  | 6.594 | $0.82 / 0.21$ | 3.96 / 0.95 | 4.79 / 1.14 | 1.31 / 0.22 | 447065 / 104973 |
| 19 duration | 1.50 | Inlet | 7.480 | $0.8 / 0.18$ | 4.28 / 0.61 | 5.41 / 0.83 | $1.53 / 0.12$ | 513265 / 107659 |
| 20 incipient | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 20 duration | 1.00 | Inlet | 4.159 | 0.72 / 0.17 | 4.07 / 0.94 | $3.31 / 0.74$ | 0.76 / 0.18 | 314244 / 43237 |
| 21 incipient | 1.35 |  | 6.714 | $0.87 / 0.16$ | 4.87 / 1.34 | 4.56 / 0.59 | 1.16 / 0.26 | 439890 / 88832 |
| 21 duration | 1.44 | Inlet | 7.060 | $0.84 / 0.19$ | 4.32 / 0.47 | 4.93 / 0.68 | 1.4 / 0.16 | 477961 / 103142 |
| 22 incipient | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 22 duration | 1.01 | Inlet | 4.364 | $0.19 / 0.35$ | 4.07 / 1.11 | $2.11 / 1.82$ | $0.8 / 0.17$ | 326883 / 61710 |
| 23 incipient | 1.38 |  | 6.473 | $0.88 / 0.2$ | 4.44 / 1.58 | 4.39 / 0.74 | $1.15 / 0.29$ | 446298 / 99094 |
| 23 duration | 1.50 | Inlet | 7.282 | $0.88 / 0.21$ | 3.87 / 0.91 | 4.85 / 0.73 | 1.4 / 0.13 | 505799 / 108209 |
| 24 incipient | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 24 duration | 1.00 | Outlet | 4.411 | $0.18 / 0.32$ | $3.98 / 0.98$ | 2.12 / 1.77 | 0.77 / 0.17 | 366069 / 42022 |
| 25 incipient | 1.42 |  | 6.674 | 0.89 / 0.23 | 4.76 / 1.78 | 4.55 / 1.01 | 1.19/0.27 | 459283 / 104657 |
| 25 duration | 1.49 | Inlet | 7.480 | 0.86 / 0.23 | 4.15 / 0.73 | 4.8 / 1.62 | 1.45 / 0.19 | 531214 / 113925 |

Table A4. 2-inch angular rock substrate velocity results

| Run <br> (\#) | Hw/D () | Control (Inlet,Outlet) | Flow (cfs) | Depth <br> (ft) | Measured Avg. Velocity @ Bed (ft/s) | Mean <br> Velocity (ft/s) | Froude \# () | Reynolds \# () |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 a | 0.50 | Outlet | 1.468 | 0.39 / 0.08 | 2.11 / 1.07 | 1.95 / 0.39 | 0.4 / 0.04 | 158440 / 9190 |
| 26 b | 0.75 | Outlet | 2.780 | 0.55 / 0.11 | 3.01 / 1.57 | 1.98 / 0.95 | 0.38 / 0.08 | 163988 / 43337 |
| 26 incipient | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 26 duration | 1.00 | Inlet | 4.227 | $0.72 / 0.15$ | 3.67 / 1.81 | $3.34 / 0.65$ | 0.77 / 0.16 | 356427 / 45912 |
| 27 incipient | 1.29 |  | 6.136 | $0.86 / 0.18$ | 4.45 / 1.54 | 4.26 / 0.67 | 1.06 / 0.28 | 451024 / 95858 |
| 27 duration | 1.50 | Inlet | 7.533 | 0.85 / 0.18 | 4.44 / 0.55 | 5.06 / 0.68 | 1.49 / 0.06 | 576117 / 115881 |
| 28 incipient | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 28 duration | 1.00 | Inlet | 4.634 | 0.77 / 0.19 | 3.43 / 0.91 | $3.48 / 0.75$ | $0.78 / 0.22$ | 367174 / 56573 |
| 29 incipient | 1.46 |  | 7.060 | $0.97 / 0.17$ | 4.41 / 0.73 | 4.49 / 0.61 | 1.41 / 0 | 420235 / 91646 |
| 29 duration | 1.50 | Inlet | 7.707 | 0.82 / 0.21 | 4.32 / 0.77 | 5.56 / 0.94 | 1.63 / 0.14 | 538436 / 126826 |
| 30 incipient | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 30 duration | 1.00 | Outlet | 4.339 | $0.8 / 0.18$ | 3.76 / 0.92 | 3.12 / 0.7 | 0.72 / 0.18 | 345974 / 49392 |
| 31 incipient | 1.38 |  | 6.513 | 0.91 / 0.17 | 4.84 / 1.36 | $4.23 / 0.59$ | 1.07 / 0.25 | 459984 / 91318 |
| 31 duration | 1.50 | Inlet | 7.300 | 0.89 / 0.19 | 4.56 / 0.62 | 4.86 / 0.97 | 1.34 / 0.26 | 525627 / 110684 |
| 32 incipient | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 32 duration | 1.00 | Outlet | 4.308 | $0.76 / 0.15$ | $3.68 / 1.02$ | 2.04 / 1.74 | 0.74 / 0.17 | 354888 / 46264 |
| 33 incipient | 1.46 |  | 6.554 | $0.88 / 0.16$ | 4.5 / 1.64 | 4.4 / 0.55 | 1.09 / 0.23 | 474010 / 91473 |
| 33 duration | 1.50 | Inlet | 7.172 | 0.9 / 0.15 | 4.53 / 0.79 | 4.76 / 0.47 | 1.34 / 0.16 | 502244 / 100560 |
| 34 incipient | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 34 duration | 1.00 | Outlet | 4.152 | 0.22 / 0.37 | 3.81 / 1.26 | 1.94 / 1.65 | $0.68 / 0.2$ | 334919 / 48435 |
| 35 incipient | 1.50 |  | 6.594 | 0.94 / 0.19 | 4.96 / 1.42 | $4.37 / 0.75$ | 1.41 / 0 | 420653 / 101088 |
| 35 duration | 1.50 | Inlet | 6.594 | 0.92 / 0.18 | 4.75 / 1.12 | 4.3 / 0.57 | $1.24 / 0.12$ | 443019 / 91481 |

## Appendix B

## Rectangular Flume Velocity Data

Table B1. Pea gravel substrate velocity results

| Run <br> (\#) | Motion? | Station | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{o}} \\ (\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ | Point Gage False Floor (ft) | Point Gage W.S. <br> (ft) | Depth <br> (ft) | $\mathrm{D}_{50} /$ Depth | Measured Avg. <br> Velocity @ Bed <br> (ft/s) | Mean Velocity (ft/s) | Froude \# <br> () | Reynolds \# <br> () |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 106 | yes | 8 | 0.0108 | 0.003 | 0.227 | 0.22 | 0.10 | 2.67 | 2.78 | 1.035 | 110699 |
| 107 | yes | 4 | 0.0108 | 0.043 | 0.236 | 0.19 | 0.11 | 3.00 | 3.22 | 1.294 | 115651 |
| 108 | yes | 0 | 0.0108 | 0.026 | 0.273 | 0.25 | 0.09 | 2.45 | 2.52 | 0.894 | 107291 |
| 109 | no | 8 | 0.0108 | 0.005 | 0.167 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 2.25 | 2.06 | 0.904 | 65071 |
| 110 | no | 4 | 0.0108 | 0.030 | 0.168 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 2.32 | 2.42 | 1.150 | 67519 |
| 111 | no | 0 | 0.0108 | 0.025 | 0.183 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 2.10 | 2.12 | 0.939 | 65467 |
| 112 | no | 8 | 0.0108 | 0.022 | 0.152 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 1.61 | 1.75 | 0.855 | 46453 |
| 113 | no | 4 | 0.0108 | 0.044 | 0.151 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 1.62 | 2.12 | 1.145 | 48213 |
| 114 | no | 0 | 0.0108 | 0.023 | 0.130 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 1.85 | 2.12 | 1.145 | 48213 |
| 115 | yes | 8 | 0.0108 | 0.017 | 0.213 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 2.33 | 2.41 | 0.961 | 87529 |
| 116 | yes | 4 | 0.0108 | 0.037 | 0.221 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 2.75 | 2.57 | 1.057 | 89065 |
| 117 | yes | 0 | 0.0108 | 0.016 | 0.196 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 2.76 | 2.63 | 1.092 | 89589 |
| 118 | no | 8 | 0.0148 | 0.010 | 0.149 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 1.87 | 2.50 | 1.181 | 65983 |
| 119 | no | 4 | 0.0148 | 0.038 | 0.160 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 2.15 | 2.85 | 1.436 | 67786 |
| 120 | no | 0 | 0.0148 | 0.017 | 0.126 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 2.24 | 3.18 | 1.701 | 69233 |
| 121 | yes | 8 | 0.0148 | 0.018 | 0.161 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 2.41 | 2.51 | 1.171 | 67874 |
| 122 | yes | 4 | 0.0148 | 0.028 | 0.174 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 2.45 | 2.46 | 1.136 | 67559 |
| 123 | yes | 0 | 0.0148 | 0.005 | 0.160 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 2.48 | 2.32 | 1.038 | 66630 |
| 124 | no | 8 | 0.0148 | 0.017 | 0.152 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 2.26 | 2.57 | 1.234 | 66398 |
| 125 | no | 4 | 0.0148 | 0.031 | 0.165 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 2.31 | 2.59 | 1.248 | 66503 |
| 126 | no | 0 | 0.0148 | 0.011 | 0.151 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 2.45 | 2.48 | 1.168 | 65880 |
| 127 | yes | 8 | 0.0148 | 0.015 | 0.188 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 2.64 | 2.52 | 1.066 | 78535 |
| 128 | yes | 4 | 0.0148 | 0.027 | 0.191 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 2.67 | 2.65 | 1.155 | 79599 |
| 129 | yes | 0 | 0.0148 | 0.002 | 0.179 | 0.18 | 0.13 | 2.66 | 2.46 | 1.030 | 78071 |
| 130 | no | 8 | 0.0052 | 0.015 | 0.180 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 2.09 | 1.86 | 0.809 | 55748 |
| 131 | no | 4 | 0.0052 | 0.024 | 0.204 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 2.01 | 1.71 | 0.710 | 54518 |
| 132 | no | 0 | 0.0052 | 0.000 | 0.207 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 2.02 | 1.49 | 0.576 | 52436 |
| 133 | yes | 8 | 0.0052 | 0.008 | 0.355 | 0.35 | 0.06 | 3.04 | 2.97 | 0.887 | 146360 |
| 134 | yes | 4 | 0.0052 | 0.017 | 0.403 | 0.39 | 0.06 | 2.79 | 2.67 | 0.756 | 139918 |
| 135 | yes | 0 | 0.0052 | 0.005 | 0.406 | 0.40 | 0.06 | 2.84 | 2.57 | 0.714 | 137588 |
| 136 | no | 8 | 0.0052 | 0.013 | 0.250 | 0.24 | 0.09 | 2.59 | 2.44 | 0.885 | 94715 |
| 137 | no | 4 | 0.0052 | 0.038 | 0.261 | 0.22 | 0.10 | 2.58 | 2.60 | 0.970 | 96549 |
| 138 | no | 0 | 0.0052 | 0.016 | 0.300 | 0.28 | 0.08 | 2.22 | 2.04 | 0.675 | 89037 |
| 139 | yes | 8 | 0.0052 | 0.009 | 0.354 | 0.35 | 0.06 | 2.98 | 2.83 | 0.850 | 139366 |
| 140 | yes | 4 | 0.0052 | 0.040 | 0.370 | 0.33 | 0.07 | 2.93 | 2.96 | 0.909 | 141885 |
| 141 | yes | 0 | 0.0052 | 0.007 | 0.432 | 0.43 | 0.05 | 2.64 | 2.30 | 0.622 | 127313 |

Table B2. 0.75-inch angular gravel substrate velocity results

| Run (\#) | Motion? | Station | $\underset{(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{tt})}{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{o}}}$ | Point Gage False Floor (ft) | Point Gage W.S. <br> (ft) | Depth <br> (ft) | $\mathrm{D}_{50} /$ Depth | Measured Avg. Velocity @ Bed (tt/s) | Mean Velocity ( $\mathrm{tt} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | Froude \# ( ) | Reynolds \# () |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | no | 8 | 0.0103 | 0.050 | 0.217 | 0.17 | 0.32 | 1.99 | 2.61 | 1.124 | 74434 |
| 53 | no | 4 | 0.0103 | 0.000 | 0.223 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 1.88 | 1.95 | 0.729 | 68669 |
| 54 | no | 0 | 0.0103 | 0.008 | 0.193 | 0.19 | 0.29 | 2.51 | 2.35 | 0.964 | 72478 |
| 55 | no | 8 | 0.0103 | 0.050 | 0.427 | 0.38 | 0.14 | 3.23 | 3.52 | 1.012 | 172809 |
| 56 | no | 4 | 0.0103 | 0.000 | 0.455 | 0.46 | 0.12 | 2.69 | 2.92 | 0.763 | 158694 |
| 57 | no | 8 | 0.0103 | 0.017 | 0.394 | 0.38 | 0.14 | 3.32 | 3.52 | 1.012 | 172809 |
| 58 | yes | 8 | 0.0103 | 0.045 | 0.925 | 0.88 | 0.06 | 4.72 | 5.05 | 0.949 | 367302 |
| 59 | yes | 4 | 0.0103 | 0.030 | 0.917 | 0.89 | 0.06 | 4.54 | 5.01 | 0.938 | 365448 |
| 60 | yes | 0 | 0.0103 | -0.010 | 0.836 | 0.85 | 0.06 | 4.88 | 5.25 | 1.007 | 376580 |
| 61 | no | 8 | 0.0103 | 0.000 | 0.286 | 0.29 | 0.19 | 3.15 | 3.36 | 1.106 | 138965 |
| 62 | no | 4 | 0.0103 | 0.025 | 0.340 | 0.32 | 0.17 | 2.85 | 3.05 | 0.957 | 134020 |
| 63 | no | 0 | 0.0103 | 0.000 | 0.322 | 0.32 | 0.16 | 2.77 | 2.98 | 0.926 | 132879 |
| 64 | no | 8 | 0.0103 | 0.000 | 0.728 | 0.73 | 0.07 | 3.66 | 4.58 | 0.945 | 308700 |
| 65 | no | 4 | 0.0103 | 0.028 | 0.770 | 0.74 | 0.07 | 3.82 | 4.49 | 0.919 | 305221 |
| 66 | no | 8 | 0.0103 | 0.005 | 0.693 | 0.69 | 0.08 | 4.07 | 4.84 | 1.029 | 319094 |
| 67 | yes | 8 | 0.0103 | 0.015 | 0.853 | 0.84 | 0.06 | 4.04 | 5.00 | 0.963 | 356627 |
| 68 | yes | 4 | 0.0103 | 0.006 | 0.840 | 0.83 | 0.06 | 4.68 | 5.03 | 0.970 | 357696 |
| 69 | yes | 0 | 0.0103 | 0.000 | 0.823 | 0.82 | 0.06 | 4.48 | 5.09 | 0.990 | 360670 |
| 70 | no | 8 | 0.0046 | 0.000 | 0.657 | 0.66 | 0.08 | 3.31 | 3.59 | 0.781 | 239014 |
| 71 | no | 4 | 0.0046 | 0.008 | 0.692 | 0.68 | 0.08 | 2.75 | 3.45 | 0.735 | 233563 |
| 72 | no | 0 | 0.0046 | 0.029 | 0.703 | 0.67 | 0.08 | 3.22 | 3.50 | 0.752 | 235553 |
| 73 | no | 8 | 0.0046 | 0.000 | 0.823 | 0.82 | 0.06 | 3.94 | 4.02 | 0.781 | 293092 |
| 74 | no | 4 | 0.0046 | 0.018 | 0.874 | 0.86 | 0.06 | 3.43 | 3.87 | 0.737 | 285959 |
| 75 | no | 8 | 0.0046 | 0.030 | 0.814 | 0.78 | 0.07 | 3.68 | 4.22 | 0.840 | 301995 |
| 76 | yes | 8 | 0.0046 | 0.005 | 1.096 | 1.09 | 0.05 | 4.92 | 4.71 | 0.794 | 378192 |
| 77 | yes | 4 | 0.0046 | 0.018 | 1.079 | 1.06 | 0.05 | 5.10 | 4.84 | 0.828 | 385461 |
| 78 | yes | 0 | 0.0046 | 0.005 | 0.989 | 0.98 | 0.05 | 5.02 | 5.22 | 0.927 | 405461 |
| 79 | no | 8 | 0.0046 | 0.000 | 0.680 | 0.68 | 0.08 | 2.84 | 3.44 | 0.736 | 222085 |
| 80 | no | 4 | 0.0046 | 0.003 | 0.705 | 0.70 | 0.08 | 2.89 | 3.33 | 0.701 | 218020 |
| 81 | no | 0 | 0.0046 | 0.001 | 0.740 | 0.74 | 0.07 | 2.96 | 3.17 | 0.649 | 211509 |
| 82 | no | 8 | 0.0046 | 0.000 | 0.850 | 0.85 | 0.06 | 3.70 | 4.38 | 0.837 | 308539 |
| 83 | no | 4 | 0.0046 | 0.000 | 0.859 | 0.86 | 0.06 | 3.83 | 4.33 | 0.824 | 306496 |
| 84 | no | 8 | 0.0046 | 0.002 | 0.841 | 0.84 | 0.06 | 4.06 | 4.43 | 0.853 | 311074 |
| 85 | yes | 8 | 0.0046 | 0.000 | 1.050 | 1.05 | 0.05 | 4.69 | 4.54 | 0.782 | 344751 |
| 86 | yes | 4 | 0.0046 | 0.004 | 0.961 | 0.96 | 0.06 | 4.98 | 4.99 | 0.898 | 366756 |
| 87 | yes | 0 | 0.0046 | 0.035 | 0.951 | 0.92 | 0.06 | 4.83 | 5.21 | 0.959 | 377376 |
| 88 | no | 8 | 0.0149 | 0.010 | 0.451 | 0.44 | 0.12 | 3.36 | 3.75 | 0.996 | 222421 |
| 89 | no | 4 | 0.0149 | 0.020 | 0.420 | 0.40 | 0.13 | 3.56 | 4.14 | 1.153 | 232554 |
| 90 | no | 0 | 0.0149 | 0.010 | 0.395 | 0.39 | 0.14 | 3.42 | 4.30 | 1.221 | 236496 |
| 91 | no | 8 | 0.0149 | 0.000 | 0.631 | 0.63 | 0.08 | 4.04 | 4.34 | 0.963 | 306146 |
| 92 | no | 4 | 0.0149 | 0.014 | 0.593 | 0.58 | 0.09 | 3.93 | 4.73 | 1.095 | 320900 |
| 93 | no | 8 | 0.0149 | 0.006 | 0.582 | 0.58 | 0.09 | 4.31 | 4.75 | 1.104 | 321795 |
| 94 | yes | 8 | 0.0149 | 0.000 | 0.701 | 0.70 | 0.08 | 4.52 | 4.99 | 1.051 | 368601 |
| 95 | yes | 4 | 0.0149 | 0.020 | 0.732 | 0.71 | 0.07 | 4.44 | 4.91 | 1.027 | 365255 |
| 96 | yes | 0 | 0.0149 | 0.008 | 0.708 | 0.70 | 0.08 | 4.72 | 5.00 | 1.053 | 368908 |
| 97 | no | 8 | 0.0149 | 0.000 | 0.466 | 0.47 | 0.11 | 3.53 | 3.55 | 0.917 | 214215 |
| 98 | no | 4 | 0.0149 | 0.000 | 0.444 | 0.44 | 0.12 | 3.46 | 3.73 | 0.986 | 219207 |
| 99 | no | 0 | 0.0149 | 0.001 | 0.440 | 0.44 | 0.12 | 3.49 | 3.77 | 1.003 | 220375 |
| 100 | no | 8 | 0.0149 | 0.000 | 0.680 | 0.68 | 0.08 | 3.96 | 4.32 | 0.924 | 311633 |
| 101 | no | 4 | 0.0149 | 0.005 | 0.678 | 0.67 | 0.08 | 4.40 | 4.37 | 0.939 | 313493 |
| 102 | no | 8 | 0.0149 | 0.000 | 0.642 | 0.64 | 0.08 | 4.68 | 4.58 | 1.008 | 322003 |
| 103 | yes | 8 | 0.0149 | 0.000 | 0.783 | 0.78 | 0.07 | 4.94 | 4.82 | 0.961 | 368164 |
| 104 | yes | 4 | 0.0149 | 0.030 | 0.807 | 0.78 | 0.07 | 3.88 | 4.86 | 0.972 | 369894 |
| 105 | yes | 0 | 0.0149 | -0.200 | 0.716 | 0.92 | 0.06 | 4.91 | 4.12 | 0.759 | 333584 |

Table B3. 2-inch cobble substrate velocity results

| Run <br> (\#) | Motion? | Station | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{o}} \\ (\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ | Point Gage False Floor <br> (ft) | Point Gage W.S. <br> (ft) | Depth <br> (ft) | $\mathrm{D}_{50} /$ Depth | Measured Avg. <br> Velocity @ Bed <br> (ft/s) | Mean Velocity (ft/s) | Froude \# <br> () | Reynolds \# <br> () |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | no | 8 | 0.0141 | 0.000 | 0.778 | 0.78 | 0.14 | 3.25 | 4.28 | 0.856 | 292361 |
| 2 | no | 4 | 0.0141 | 0.000 | 0.835 | 0.84 | 0.13 | 3.30 | 3.99 | 0.770 | 279878 |
| 3 | no | 0 | 0.0141 | 0.000 | 0.802 | 0.80 | 0.13 | 3.47 | 4.15 | 0.818 | 286971 |
| 4 | no | 8 | 0.0141 | 0.000 | 0.754 | 0.75 | 0.14 | 3.30 | 4.36 | 0.885 | 300282 |
| 5 | no | 4 | 0.0141 | 0.000 | 0.793 | 0.79 | 0.14 | 3.59 | 4.15 | 0.821 | 291225 |
| 6 | no | 0 | 0.0141 | 0.000 | 0.749 | 0.75 | 0.14 | 4.06 | 4.39 | 0.894 | 301484 |
| 7 | yes | 8 | 0.0141 | 0.000 | 1.228 | 1.23 | 0.09 | 6.30 | 5.68 | 0.904 | 462605 |
| 8 | yes | 4 | 0.0141 | 0.000 | 1.212 | 1.21 | 0.09 | 5.30 | 5.76 | 0.922 | 466929 |
| 9 | yes | 0 | 0.0141 | 0.000 | 1.275 | 1.28 | 0.08 | 4.95 | 5.47 | 0.855 | 450356 |
| 10 | no | 8 | 0.0141 | 0.000 | 0.614 | 0.61 | 0.18 | 3.04 | 5.39 | 1.213 | 341921 |
| 11 | no | 4 | 0.0141 | 0.000 | 0.652 | 0.65 | 0.17 | 3.01 | 5.08 | 1.108 | 330642 |
| 12 | no | 0 | 0.0141 | 0.000 | 0.589 | 0.59 | 0.18 | 3.06 | 5.62 | 1.291 | 349770 |
| 13 | no | 8 | 0.0141 | 0.000 | 0.861 | 0.86 | 0.13 | 4.49 | 5.23 | 0.995 | 381202 |
| 14 | no | 4 | 0.0141 | 0.000 | 1.004 | 1.00 | 0.11 | 4.30 | 4.49 | 0.790 | 344958 |
| 15 | no | 8 | 0.0141 | 0.000 | 0.987 | 0.99 | 0.11 | 4.81 | 4.57 | 0.810 | 348901 |
| 16 | yes | 8 | 0.0141 | 0.000 | 1.250 | 1.25 | 0.09 | 5.41 | 5.75 | 0.906 | 472398 |
| 17 | yes | 4 | 0.0141 | 0.000 | 1.261 | 1.26 | 0.09 | 5.62 | 5.70 | 0.894 | 469447 |
| 18 | yes | 0 | 0.0141 | 0.000 | 1.242 | 1.24 | 0.09 | 6.05 | 5.78 | 0.915 | 474567 |
| 19 | no | 8 | 0.0154 | 0.000 | 0.785 | 0.79 | 0.14 | 4.23 | 4.41 | 0.877 | 310776 |
| 20 | no | 4 | 0.0154 | 0.000 | 0.778 | 0.78 | 0.14 | 3.48 | 4.44 | 0.888 | 312478 |
| 21 | no | 0 | 0.0154 | 0.000 | 0.811 | 0.81 | 0.13 | 3.62 | 4.26 | 0.835 | 304612 |
| 22 | yes | 8 | 0.0154 | 0.000 | 1.096 | 1.10 | 0.10 | 6.54 | 5.91 | 0.995 | 468517 |
| 23 | yes | 4 | 0.0154 | 0.000 | 1.114 | 1.11 | 0.10 | 5.97 | 5.81 | 0.971 | 463291 |
| 24 | yes | 0 | 0.0154 | 0.000 | 1.108 | 1.11 | 0.10 | 5.55 | 5.84 | 0.979 | 465020 |
| 25 | no | 8 | 0.0154 | 0.000 | 0.688 | 0.69 | 0.16 | 4.03 | 4.68 | 0.995 | 310560 |
| 26 | no | 4 | 0.0154 | 0.000 | 0.676 | 0.68 | 0.16 | 3.78 | 4.76 | 1.022 | 313729 |
| 27 | no | 0 | 0.0154 | 0.000 | 0.753 | 0.75 | 0.14 | 4.02 | 4.28 | 0.869 | 294450 |
| 28 | no | 8 | 0.0154 | 0.000 | 0.979 | 0.98 | 0.11 | 5.26 | 5.22 | 0.929 | 395519 |
| 29 | no | 4 | 0.0154 | 0.000 | 0.960 | 0.96 | 0.11 | 5.48 | 5.32 | 0.957 | 400666 |
| 30 | no | 8 | 0.0154 | 0.000 | 0.989 | 0.99 | 0.11 | 5.07 | 5.16 | 0.915 | 392862 |
| 31 | yes | 8 | 0.0154 | 0.000 | 1.112 | 1.11 | 0.10 | 5.21 | 6.21 | 1.038 | 490732 |
| 32 | yes | 4 | 0.0154 | 0.000 | 1.114 | 1.11 | 0.10 | 5.54 | 6.20 | 1.035 | 490124 |
| 33 | yes | 0 | 0.0154 | 0.000 | 1.117 | 1.12 | 0.10 | 5.67 | 6.18 | 1.031 | 489215 |
| 34 | no | 8 | 0.0049 | 0.050 | 0.514 | 0.46 | 0.23 | 2.78 | 3.06 | 0.792 | 172641 |
| 35 | no | 4 | 0.0049 | 0.093 | 0.523 | 0.43 | 0.25 | 2.50 | 3.30 | 0.888 | 178953 |
| 36 | no | 0 | 0.0049 | 0.038 | 0.565 | 0.53 | 0.21 | 2.31 | 2.69 | 0.654 | 162051 |
| 37 | no | 8 | 0.0049 | 0.042 | 1.063 | 1.02 | 0.11 | 3.98 | 4.82 | 0.841 | 379038 |
| 38 | no | 4 | 0.0049 | 0.093 | 1.070 | 0.98 | 0.11 | 3.93 | 5.04 | 0.898 | 390330 |
| 39 | no | 8 | 0.0049 | 0.030 | 1.091 | 1.06 | 0.10 | 4.16 | 4.64 | 0.794 | 369325 |
| 40 | no | 8 | 0.0049 | 0.033 | 1.361 | 1.33 | 0.08 | 5.07 | 5.59 | 0.855 | 475568 |
| 41 | no | 4 | 0.0049 | 0.065 | 1.490 | 1.43 | 0.08 | 4.77 | 5.21 | 0.769 | 451605 |
| 42 | no | 0 | 0.0049 | 0.002 | 1.527 | 1.53 | 0.07 | 5.49 | 4.86 | 0.694 | 429303 |
| 43 | no | 8 | 0.0103 | 0.055 | 0.915 | 0.86 | 0.13 | 4.03 | 4.81 | 0.915 | 358518 |
| 44 | no | 4 | 0.0103 | 0.010 | 0.906 | 0.90 | 0.12 | 3.78 | 4.62 | 0.861 | 349273 |
| 45 | no | 0 | 0.0103 | 0.014 | 0.888 | 0.87 | 0.12 | 4.02 | 4.74 | 0.893 | 354865 |
| 46 | no | 8 | 0.0103 | 0.020 | 1.177 | 1.16 | 0.09 | 5.26 | 5.04 | 0.826 | 414391 |
| 47 | no | 4 | 0.0103 | 0.061 | 1.180 | 1.12 | 0.10 | 5.48 | 5.21 | 0.868 | 424117 |
| 48 | no | 8 | 0.0103 | 0.027 | 1.240 | 1.21 | 0.09 | 5.07 | 4.81 | 0.769 | 400844 |
| 49 | yes | 8 | 0.0103 | 0.035 | 1.508 | 1.47 | 0.07 | 5.21 | 5.56 | 0.807 | 488513 |
| 50 | yes | 4 | 0.0103 | 0.024 | 1.382 | 1.36 | 0.08 | 5.54 | 6.03 | 0.912 | 518749 |
| 51 | yes | 0 | 0.0103 | 0.033 | 1.545 | 1.51 | 0.07 | 5.67 | 5.41 | 0.776 | 479044 |

Table B4. 2-inch angular rock substrate velocity results

| Run <br> (\#) | Motion? | Station | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{o}} \\ (\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ | Point Gage False Floor (ft) | Point Gage W.S. <br> (ft) | Depth <br> (ft) | $\mathrm{D}_{50} /$ Depth | Measured Avg. <br> Velocity @ Bed (ft/s) | Mean Velocity (ft/s) | Froude \# () | Reynolds \# <br> ( ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 142 | no | 8 | 0.0052 | 0.010 | 1.408 | 1.40 | 0.09 | 5.50 | 5.62 | 0.838 | 500876 |
| 143 | no | 4 | 0.0052 | 0.020 | 1.543 | 1.52 | 0.08 | 5.47 | 5.16 | 0.737 | 469927 |
| 144 | no | 0 | 0.0052 | 0.003 | 1.510 | 1.51 | 0.08 | 5.58 | 5.21 | 0.748 | 473674 |
| 145 | no | 8 | 0.0052 | 0.008 | 1.413 | 1.41 | 0.09 | 5.52 | 5.62 | 0.835 | 501362 |
| 146 | no | 4 | 0.0052 | 0.022 | 1.550 | 1.53 | 0.08 | 5.45 | 5.16 | 0.736 | 470954 |
| 147 | no | 8 | 0.0052 | 0.000 | 1.523 | 1.52 | 0.08 | 5.64 | 5.18 | 0.740 | 472118 |
| 148 | no | 8 | 0.0102 | 0.010 | 1.382 | 1.37 | 0.09 | 5.46 | 5.92 | 0.891 | 527045 |
| 149 | no | 4 | 0.0102 | 0.023 | 1.489 | 1.47 | 0.08 | 5.37 | 5.54 | 0.807 | 501845 |
| 150 | no | 0 | 0.0102 | 0.021 | 1.543 | 1.52 | 0.08 | 5.66 | 5.34 | 0.763 | 487946 |
| 151 | no | 8 | 0.0102 | 0.010 | 1.380 | 1.37 | 0.09 | 5.52 | 5.95 | 0.896 | 529333 |
| 152 | no | 4 | 0.0102 | 0.025 | 1.495 | 1.47 | 0.08 | 5.39 | 5.54 | 0.806 | 502464 |
| 153 | no | 8 | 0.0102 | 0.005 | 1.545 | 1.54 | 0.08 | 5.61 | 5.29 | 0.752 | 485222 |
| 154 | no | 8 | 0.0151 | 0.008 | 0.807 | 0.80 | 0.15 | 3.62 | 5.09 | 1.005 | 384332 |
| 155 | no | 4 | 0.0151 | 0.044 | 0.744 | 0.70 | 0.18 | 3.74 | 5.81 | 1.225 | 416040 |
| 156 | no | 0 | 0.0151 | 0.002 | 0.770 | 0.77 | 0.16 | 4.11 | 5.30 | 1.066 | 393728 |
| 157 | no | 8 | 0.0151 | 0.046 | 1.329 | 1.28 | 0.10 | 4.98 | 6.28 | 0.978 | 554503 |
| 158 | no | 4 | 0.0151 | 0.039 | 1.359 | 1.32 | 0.09 | 4.91 | 6.11 | 0.937 | 543230 |
| 159 | no | 8 | 0.0151 | 0.060 | 1.328 | 1.27 | 0.10 | 5.42 | 6.36 | 0.995 | 559207 |
| 160 | no | 8 | 0.0151 | 0.000 | 1.422 | 1.42 | 0.09 | 5.14 | 5.65 | 0.835 | 511019 |
| 161 | no | 4 | 0.0151 | 0.016 | 1.337 | 1.32 | 0.09 | 5.27 | 6.08 | 0.933 | 539362 |
| 162 | no | 0 | 0.0151 | 0.040 | 1.300 | 1.26 | 0.10 | 5.81 | 6.38 | 1.001 | 558056 |

## Appendix C

Shields Relation for Bottomless Arch Culvert

Figure C1. Pea gravel substrate at station 0 in bottomless arch culvert plotted on shields relation for incipient motion

Figure C2. Pea gravel substrate at station 16 in bottomless arch culvert plotted

Figure C3. 0.75-inch angular gravel substrate at station 0 in bottomless arch culvert plotted


Figure C5. 2-inch cobble substrate at station 0 in bottomless arch culvert plotted

Figure C6. 2-inch cobble substrate at station 4 in bottomless arch culvert plotted

Figure C7. 2-inch cobble substrate at station 8 in bottomless arch culvert plotted

Figure C8. 2-inch cobble substrate at station 12 in bottomless arch culvert plotted


Figure C10. 2-inch angular rock substrate at station 0 in bottomless arch culvert plotted

Figure C11. 2-inch angular rock substrate at station 4 in bottomless arch culvert plotted
on shields relation for incipient motion

Figure C12. 2-inch angular rock substrate at station 8 in bottomless arch culvert plotted

Figure C13. 2-inch angular rock substrate at station 12 in bottomless arch culvert plotted
on shields relation for incipient motion

Figure C14. 2-inch angular rock substrate at station 16 in bottomless arch culvert plotted
on shields relation for incipient motion

## Appendix D

Shields Relation for Rectangular Flume

Figure D1. Pea gravel substrate in rectangular flume plotted on shields relation for incipient motion

Figure D2. 0.75 -inch angular gravel substrate in rectangular flume plotted
on shields relation for incipient motion

Figure D3. 2-inch cobble substrate in rectangular flume plotted on shields relation for incipient motion

Figure D4. 2-inch angular rock substrate in rectangular flume plotted on shields relation for incipient motion

## Appendix E

Streambed Response in Bottomless Arch Culvert

Table E1. Pea gravel substrate measured depths of scour in inches

|  | Entrance Configuration |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Hw} / \mathrm{D} \\ (\mathrm{)} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Run Duration } \\ (\mathrm{min}) \end{array}$ | Station | Location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Run (\#) |  | Projecting <br> (yes or no)Contraction <br> $(0 \%, 33 \%, 75 \%)$ |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| 1 | no 0\% |  | 0.50 | 123.00 | A | -0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.25 | -0.50 | -1.50 |
|  |  |  | B |  | -0.75 | -0.75 | -1.00 | -1.00 | -0.75 | -1.00 | -1.38 | -1.50 | -1.63 | -1.75 |
|  |  |  | C |  | 0.00 | -0.50 | -1.00 | -1.38 | -1.75 | -0.50 | -1.75 | -1.88 | -1.88 | -1.88 |
|  |  |  | D |  | -0.63 | -0.50 | -0.88 | -1.00 | -1.50 | -1.63 | -1.50 | -1.50 | -1.75 | -1.88 |
|  |  |  | E |  | -0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.75 |
|  |  |  | Average |  | -0.35 | -0.35 | -0.58 | -0.75 | -0.78 | -0.63 | -0.93 | -1.03 | -1.15 | -1.55 |
|  |  |  | Min |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.75 |
|  |  |  | Max |  | -0.75 | -0.75 | -1.00 | -1.38 | -1.75 | -1.63 | -1.75 | -1.88 | -1.88 | -1.88 |
| 2 | no | 33\% |  | 0.50 | 125.00 | A | -3.50 | -1.50 | -0.25 | -1.25 | -0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.25 | -1.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | B | -0.75 |  | -0.50 | -0.88 | -1.25 | -1.75 | -2.00 | -1.88 | -2.00 | -1.75 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | c |  | -0.63 -0.50 | -0.50 | -1.13 | -1.50 | -1.88 | -1.88 | -1.75 | -1.88 | -1.75 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D | -2.00 | $\begin{aligned} & -0.50 \\ & -1.13 \end{aligned}$ | -0.50 | -0.75 | -0.63 | -0.88 | -1.25 | -1.50 | -1.75 | -1.75 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E | -4.00 | $\begin{aligned} & -1.13 \\ & -2.25 \end{aligned}$ | -1.50 | -0.50 | -0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.25 | -0.75 | -1.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Average | -2.05 |  | -0.65 | -0.90 | -0.73 | -0.90 | -1.03 | -1.08 | -1.33 | -1.45 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Min | 0.00 | -1.20 -0.50 | -0.25 | -0.50 | -0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.25 | -1.00 |
|  |  |  | Max |  |  | -4.00 | -2.25 | -1.50 | -1.25 | -1.50 | -1.88 | -2.00 | -1.88 | -2.00 | -1.75 |
| 3 | no | 75\% | 0.50 | 137.00 | A <br> B <br> C <br> D <br> E <br> Average <br> Min <br> Max | -4.25 | -1.63 | -1.00 | 0.00 | -0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.50 | -1.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | -1.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.25 | -0.88 | -1.13 | -1.50 | -1.38 | -1.75 | -1.88 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00-1.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.25 | -0.63 | -1.25 | -1.50 | -1.75 | -2.13 | -2.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -1.00 | -0.25 | -1.00 | -1.50 | -1.38 | -1.50 | -1.38 | -1.50 | -1.75 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -1.75 \\ & -4.13 \end{aligned}$ | -2.13 | -2.00 | -1.25 | -0.75 | -0.88 | -0.50 | -0.50 | -0.75 | -1.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -4.13 \\ & -2.33 \end{aligned}$ | -0.95 | -0.65 | -0.55 | -0.85 | -0.93 | -1.00 | -1.00 | -1.33 | -1.58 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.50 | -1.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | -4.25 | -2.13 | -2.00 | -1.25 | -1.50 | -1.38 | -1.50 | -1.75 | -2.13 | -2.00 |
| 4 | yes $33 \%$*Excessive scour, approximate dep |  | $\begin{gathered} 1.00 \\ \text { of }-8 \text { enterer } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{10.00}$ | A <br> B <br> C <br> D <br> E <br> Average <br> Min <br> Max | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-8.00 \\ & -8.00 \\ & -8.00 \\ & -8.00 \\ & -8.00 \\ & -8.00 \\ & -8.00 \\ & -8.00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-8.00 \\ & -8.00 \\ & -8.00 \\ & -8.00 \\ & -8.00 \\ & -8.00 \\ & -8.00 \\ & -8.00 \end{aligned}$ | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 |
|  |  |  | -8.00 |  |  |  |  | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 |
|  |  |  | -8.00 |  |  |  |  | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 |
|  |  |  | -8.00 |  |  |  |  | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 |
|  |  |  | -8.00 |  |  |  |  | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 |
|  |  |  | -8.00 |  |  |  |  | $-8.00$ | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 |
|  |  |  | -8.00 |  |  |  |  | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 |
|  |  |  | -8.00 |  |  |  |  | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 |
| 5 | yes | 33\% |  | 0.50 | 120.00 | A | -1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.13 | -0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.75 | -0.25 | -1.13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | B | -0.25 | -0.44 | -0.75 | -0.75 | -0.75 | -1.38 | -1.63 | -2.00 | -2.25 | -2.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C | -0.75 | -0.25 | -0.44 | -0.63 | -0.75 | -1.13 | -1.44 | -1.75 | -1.88 | -2.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D | -1.00 | -0.44 | -0.63 | -1.00 | -0.88 | -1.00 | -1.25 | -1.38 | -1.38 | -1.88 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E | -3.00 | -1.25 | -0.75 | -0.50 | -0.50 | -0.44 | -0.75 | -0.63 | -0.88 | -0.63 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Average | -1.20 | -0.48 | -0.51 | -0.60 | -0.63 | -0.79 | -1.01 | -1.30 | -1.33 | -1.58 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Min | -0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.13 | -0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.63 | -0.25 | -0.63 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | -3.00 | -1.25 | -0.75 | -1.00 | -0.88 | -1.38 | -1.63 | -2.00 | -2.25 | -2.25 |
| 6 | yes | 75\% | 0.50 | 120.00 | A | -5.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.25 | 0.00 | -0.25 | -0.25 | -0.50 | -1.00 | -2.38 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | -3.00 | 0.25 | 0.00 | -1.00 | -1.13 | -1.75 | -2.25 | -2.25 | -2.13 | -2.38 |
|  |  |  |  |  | C | -0.75 | 0.75 | -0.75 | -1.25 | -1.50 | -2.25 | -2.13 | -1.50 | -1.63 | -2.38 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | -3.50 | -1.00 | -1.38 | -2.25 | -2.00 | -1.50 | -1.38 | -1.38 | -0.50 | -2.38 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | -5.75 | -2.00 | -2.00 | -1.75 | -0.88 | -0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.13 | -2.38 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | -3.63 | -0.40 | -0.83 | -1.30 | -1.10 | -1.25 | -1.20 | -1.13 | -1.08 | -2.38 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | -0.75 | 0.75 | 0.00 | -0.25 | 0.00 | -0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.13 | -2.38 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | -5.75 | -2.00 | -2.00 | -2.25 | -2.00 | -2.25 | -2.25 | -2.25 | -2.13 | -2.38 |
| 7 | yes | 75\% | 1.00 | 15.00 | A | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 |
|  | *Excessive scour | approximate dep | of -8 entere |  | B | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | C | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 |



Figure E1. Pea gravel substrate average streambed profile (a)


Figure E2. Pea gravel substrate average streambed profile (b)


Figure E3. Pea gravel substrate average streambed profile (c)


Figure E4. Pea gravel substrate average streambed profile (d)


Figure E5. Pea gravel substrate average streambed profile (e)


Figure E6. Pea gravel substrate average streambed profile (f)


Figure E7. Pea gravel substrate average streambed profile (g)

Table E2. 0.75-inch angular gravel substrate measured depths of scour in inches

|  | Entrance Configuration |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Hw} / \mathrm{D} \\ (\mathrm{)} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Run Duration$(\mathrm{min})$ | Station | Location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Run } \\ (\#) \end{gathered}$ | Projecting (yes or no) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Contraction } \\ (0 \%, 33 \%, 75 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| 8 | no | 0\% | 1.00 | 128.00 | A | -0.88 | -1.00 | -1.00 | -1.00 | -1.13 | -1.50 | -1.75 | -2.00 | -2.75 | -2.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | -0.75 | -1.13 | -1.88 | -1.75 | -2.25 | -2.38 | -3.00 | -3.25 | -3.63 | -4.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | C | -1.63 | -2.63 | -2.50 | -2.75 | -3.25 | -3.25 | -3.38 | -3.50 | -3.75 | -4.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | -1.00 | -2.13 | -2.38 | -2.38 | -2.75 | -2.88 | -3.13 | -3.00 | -3.25 | -3.38 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | -0.88 | -1.25 | -1.00 | -1.50 | -2.00 | -2.38 | -1.50 | -2.00 | -2.50 | -2.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | -1.03 | -1.63 | -1.75 | -1.88 | -2.28 | -2.48 | -2.55 | -2.75 | -3.18 | -3.23 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | -0.75 | -1.00 | -1.00 | -1.00 | -1.13 | -1.50 | -1.50 | -2.00 | -2.50 | -2.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | -1.63 | -2.63 | -2.50 | -2.75 | -3.25 | -3.25 | -3.38 | -3.50 | -3.75 | -4.00 |
| 9 \& 10 | $\begin{gathered} \text { no } \\ \text { ad runs - little d } \end{gathered}$ | 0\% | 1.25 \& 1.5 | 70 \& 11 | A <br> B <br> C <br> D <br> E <br> Average <br> Min <br> Max | -4.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -6.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | -4.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -6.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | -4.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -6.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | -4.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -6.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | -4.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -6.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | -4.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -6.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | -4.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -6.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | -4.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -6.00 |
| 11 | no | 33\% | 0.75 | 90.00 | A | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | c | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.25 |
| 12 | no | 33\% | 1.00 | 78.00 | A | -3.00 | 0.50 | 0.00 | -1.00 | -1.13 | -2.00 | -1.50 | -2.00 | -3.00 | -2.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | 0.00 | -0.50 | 0.13 | -0.63 | -1.31 | -2.13 | -2.25 | -2.25 | -3.63 | -3.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | C | 0.25 | -1.50 | -1.75 | -2.50 | -2.38 | -2.63 | -2.38 | -3.25 | -4.38 | -4.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | 0.00 | -1.50 | -1.13 | -2.00 | -1.50 | -2.00 | -2.50 | -2.38 | -2.75 | -3.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | -2.50 | -1.13 | -0.63 | -1.50 | -1.25 | -1.00 | -1.50 | -2.00 | -1.50 | -2.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | -1.05 | -0.83 | -0.68 | -1.53 | -1.51 | -1.95 | -2.03 | -2.38 | -3.05 | -3.05 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | 0.25 | 0.50 | $0.13$ | $-0.63$ | $-1.13$ | $-1.00$ | -1.50 | -2.00 | $-1.50$ | -2.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | -3.00 | -1.50 | $-1.75$ | -2.50 | -2.38 | -2.63 | -2.50 | -3.25 | -4.38 | -4.00 |
| 13 | no | 75\% | 1.00 | 130.00 | A | -4.75 | -2.38 | -1.75 | -2.25 | -1.88 | -2.00 | -3.38 | -3.00 | -3.25 | -3.13 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | -3.50 | -2.75 | -1.13 | -2.00 | -1.63 | -2.25 | -2.75 | -3.00 | -3.00 | -3.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | C | -0.25 | -2.00 | -1.50 | -2.00 | -2.00 | -2.25 | -2.75 | -2.75 | -3.63 | -3.75 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | -3.00 | -1.88 | -1.00 | -0.88 | -1.38 | -2.13 | -2.50 | -2.75 | -3.50 | -3.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | -3.00 | -1.25 | -0.38 | -0.63 | -0.50 | -1.63 | -2.63 | -3.00 | -3.50 | -3.75 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | -2.90 | -2.05 | -1.15 | -1.55 | -1.48 | -2.05 | -2.80 | -2.90 | -3.38 | -3.43 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | -0.25 | -1.25 | -0.38 | -0.63 | -0.50 | -1.63 | -2.50 | -2.75 | -3.00 | -3.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | -4.75 | -2.75 | -1.75 | -2.25 | -2.00 | -2.25 | -3.38 | -3.00 | -3.63 | -3.75 |
| 14 | yes | 33\% | 1.00 | 128.00 | A | -6.13 | -3.00 | -2.50 | -2.25 | -1.50 | -2.00 | -2.13 | -2.50 | -2.75 | -3.88 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | $-4.38$ | -3.50 | -2.75 | -2.38 | -2.25 | -2.25 | -2.63 | -3.50 | -3.75 | -4.13 |
|  |  |  |  |  | C | -1.75 | -1.00 | -2.00 | -2.63 | -3.13 | -4.00 | -3.75 | -4.50 | -4.13 | -4.38 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | -1.13 | -1.50 | -1.50 | -2.50 | -2.38 | -3.00 | -3.13 | -3.75 | -3.88 | -4.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | -3.50 | -2.75 | -2.63 | -2.50 | -2.75 | -2.00 | -1.88 | -2.75 | -3.00 | -3.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | -3.38 | -2.35 | -2.28 | -2.45 | -2.40 | -2.65 | -2.70 | -3.40 | -3.50 | -3.98 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | -1.13 | -1.00 | -1.50 | -2.25 | -1.50 | -2.00 | -1.88 | -2.50 | -2.75 | -3.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | -6.13 | -3.50 | -2.75 | -2.63 | -3.13 | -4.00 | -3.75 | -4.50 | -4.13 | -4.38 |
| 15 | yes | 75\% | 1.00 | 1047.00 | A | -7.50 | -5.25 | -3.25 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.00 | -0.25 | -1.75 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | -8.75 | -6.00 | -4.00 | -1.25 | -0.25 | -1.00 | -0.25 | -0.88 | -1.63 | -2.13 |
|  |  |  |  |  | C | -9.50 | -6.88 | -4.25 | -2.38 | -3.00 | -3.00 | -3.25 | 3.50 | -3.75 | -4.13 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | -8.00 | -5.75 | -3.00 | -2.75 | -2.63 | -4.13 | -4.38 | -4.00 | -4.25 | -4.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | -12.00 | $-3.75$ | -1.25 | -2.38 | -3.00 | -4.50 | -3.88 | $-3.75$ | -3.63 | -4.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | -9.15 | -5.53 | -3.15 | -1.75 | -1.68 | -2.53 | -2.25 | -1.03 | -2.70 | -3.30 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | $-7.50$ | $-3.75$ | -1.25 -4.25 | 0.00 -2.75 | $0.50$ | $0.00$ | 0.50 -4.38 | $3.50$ | $-0.25$ | -1.75 -4.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | -12.00 | -6.88 | -4.25 | -2.75 | -3.00 | $-4.50$ | -4.38 | -4.00 | -4.25 | -4.50 |



Figure E8. 0.75 -inch angular gravel substrate average streambed profile (a)


Figure E9. 0.75-inch angular gravel substrate average streambed profile (b)


Figure E10. 0.75 -inch angular gravel substrate average streambed profile ©


Figure E11. 0.75 -inch angular gravel substrate average streambed profile (d)


Figure E12. 0.75 -inch angular gravel substrate average streambed profile (e)


Figure E13. 0.75-inch angular gravel substrate average streambed profile (f)


Figure E14. 0.75 -inch angular gravel substrate average streambed profile (g)

Table E3. 2-inch cobble substrate measured depths of scour in inches

|  | Entrance Configuration |  | Hw/D <br> () | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Run Duration } \\ & (\mathrm{min}) \end{aligned}$ | Station | Location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Run <br> (\#) | Projecting (yes or no) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Contraction } \\ (0 \%, 33 \%, 75 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| 16 | no | 0\% | 1.00 | 125.00 | A | -0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | C | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.75 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | -1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | -0.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.75 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | -1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.00 |
| 17 | no | 0\% | 1.50 | 130.00 | A | -1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.50 | -1.44 | -3.13 | -3.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | -0.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.50 | -1.75 | -3.50 | -4.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | C | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.38 | -1.13 | -3.25 | -4.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | -0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.75 | -2.63 | -2.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | -2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.25 | -0.88 | -3.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | -0.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.28 | -1.06 | -2.68 | -3.45 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.25 | -0.88 | -2.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | -2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.50 | -1.75 | -3.50 | -4.25 |
| 18 | no | 33\% | 1.00 | 120.00 | A | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | C | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 19 | no | 33\% | 1.50 | 120.00 | A | -2.50 | -0.50 | 1.25 | 1.00 | -0.50 | 0.75 | -4.00 | -2.25 | -2.25 | -3.38 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | -1.00 | -0.75 | 1.00 | 1.50 | 0.00 | -2.00 | -3.50 | -2.25 | -2.25 | -3.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | C | 0.75 | -1.13 | 2.00 | 3.00 | -0.63 | -1.00 | -3.75 | -2.50 | -2.50 | -3.13 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | -1.63 | 0.50 | 1.50 | 2.00 | 1.13 | -0.88 | -3.00 | -2.75 | -2.75 | -3.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | -3.25 | -0.75 | 1.75 | 1.50 | 2.00 | -0.50 | -1.75 | -3.50 | -3.50 | -2.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | -1.53 | -0.53 | 1.50 | 1.80 | 0.40 | -0.73 | -3.20 | -2.65 | -2.65 | -3.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | 0.75 | 0.50 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 0.75 | -1.75 | -2.25 | -2.25 | -2.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | -3.25 | -1.13 | 1.00 | 1.00 | -0.63 | -2.00 | -4.00 | -3.50 | -3.50 | -3.50 |
| 20 | no | 75\% | 1.00 | 125.00 | A | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | C | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 21 | no | 75\% | 1.50 | 130.00 | A | -3.50 | 1.50 | 1.25 | 0.00 | -1.00 | 0.00 | -1.00 | -2.00 | -2.13 | -3.13 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | -2.50 | 0.75 | 1.50 | 0.50 | 0.00 | -1.25 | -1.13 | -3.13 | -4.00 | -3.63 |
|  |  |  |  |  | C | -1.75 | 1.13 | 0.00 | 0.13 | -1.00 | 0.00 | -2.13 | -4.00 | -4.00 | -3.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | -1.13 | 0.00 | 0.75 | 0.00 | -0.75 | -0.75 | -0.75 | -3.00 | -3.75 | -4.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | -3.50 | 1.25 | 2.00 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 1.00 | -2.25 | -3.75 | -3.75 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | -2.48 | 0.93 | 1.10 | 0.28 | -0.55 | -0.30 | -0.80 | -2.88 | -3.53 | -3.60 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | -1.13 | 1.50 | 2.00 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 1.00 | -2.00 | -2.13 | -3.13 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | -3.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.00 | -1.25 | -2.13 | -4.00 | -4.00 | -4.00 |
| 22 | yes | 33\% | 1.00 | 35.00 | A | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | C | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 23 | yes | 33\% | 1.50 | 135.00 | A | -3.88 | 1.00 | 1.75 | 1.00 | 1.25 | -0.75 | -0.75 | -2.00 | -3.25 | -3.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | -1.75 | 1.75 | 2.25 | 2.00 | 2.00 | -1.50 | -1.50 | -3.00 | -2.25 | -3.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | c | -2.25 | -0.50 | 0.00 | 1.13 | 0.25 | -1.00 | -1.00 | -1.75 | -2.00 | -4.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | -4.50 | -2.00 | -0.25 | 1.00 | 0.00 | -1.25 | -2.00 | -2.75 | -3.13 | -4.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | -5.75 | -1.25 | 0.50 | 1.50 | 1.00 | -0.75 | -2.25 | -2.50 | -3.50 | -3.75 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | -3.63 | -0.20 | 0.85 | 1.33 | 0.90 | -1.05 | -1.50 | -2.40 | -2.83 | -3.80 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | -1.75 | 1.75 | 2.25 | 2.00 | 2.00 | -0.75 | -0.75 | -1.75 | -2.00 | -3.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | -5.75 | -2.00 | -0.25 | 1.00 | 0.00 | -1.50 | -2.25 | -3.00 | -3.50 | -4.50 |
| 24 | yes | 75\% | 1.00 | 55.00 | A | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | C | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 25 | yes | 75\% | 1.50 | 129.00 | A | -5.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 1.50 | -2.50 | -3.25 | -1.75 | -3.50 | -3.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | -4.50 | -3.25 | -0.25 | 1.75 | 2.00 | -3.75 | -3.00 | -1.50 | -2.75 | -3.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | C | -3.75 | -4.00 | -1.50 | 2.00 | 2.25 | -3.50 | -4.00 | -2.25 | -3.88 | -4.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | -4.25 | -2.00 | -0.75 | 1.50 | 2.00 | -2.00 | -2.75 | -2.00 | -2.75 | -5.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | -5.50 | -0.25 | 0.75 | 0.75 | -0.50 | -2.00 | -3.00 | -3.00 | -1.75 | -3.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | -4.60 | -1.90 | -0.35 | 1.60 | 1.45 | -2.75 | -3.20 | -2.10 | -2.93 | -3.90 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | -3.75 | 0.00 | 0.75 | 2.00 | 2.25 | -2.00 | -2.75 | -1.50 | -1.75 | -3.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | -5.50 | -4.00 | -1.50 | 0.75 | -0.50 | -3.75 | -4.00 | -3.00 | -3.88 | -5.00 |



Figure E15. 2-inch cobble substrate average streambed profile (a)


Figure E16. 2-inch cobble substrate average streambed profile (b)


Figure E17. 2-inch cobble substrate average streambed profile (c)


Figure E18. 2-inch cobble substrate average streambed profile (d)


Figure E19. 2-inch cobble substrate average streambed profile (e)


Figure E20. 2-inch cobble substrate average streambed profile (f)


Figure E21. 2-inch cobble substrate average streambed profile (g)


Figure E22. 2-inch cobble substrate average streambed profile (h)


Figure E23. 2-inch cobble substrate average streambed profile (i)


Figure E24. 2-inch cobble substrate average streambed profile (j)

Table E4. 2-inch angular gravel substrate measured depths of scour in inches

|  | Entrance Configuration |  | Hw/D <br> () | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Run Duration } \\ & (\min ) \end{aligned}$ | Station | Location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Run <br> (\#) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Projecting } \\ & \text { (yes or no) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Contraction } \\ (0 \%, 33 \%, 75 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| 26 | no | 0\% | 1.00 | 107.00 | A | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | c | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 27 | no | 0\% | 1.50 | 122.00 | A <br> B <br> C <br> D <br> E <br> Average <br> Min <br> Max | -1.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.50 | -2.00 | -3.25 | -3.25 | -2.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -2.75 | -4.25 | -4.75 | -4.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.50 | -0.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.75 | -3.38 | -4.38 | -4.38 | -4.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | -1.00 | -0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.68 | -1.50 | -3.00 | -3.50 | -3.13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | -1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -2.00 | -3.50 | -3.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.85 | -0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.39 | -1.93 | -3.38 | -3.88 | -3.43 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -2.00 | -3.25 | -2.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | -1.75 | -0.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.75 | -3.38 | -4.38 | -4.75 | -4.25 |
| 28 | no | 33\% | 1.00 | 115.00 | A | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | c | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 29 | no | 33\% | 1.50 | 120.00 | A | -4.00 | 0.50 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.50 | -2.38 | -2.75 | -3.00 | -4.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | -2.50 | -2.00 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 0.50 | -1.00 | -2.75 | -3.50 | -3.50 | -4.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | c | -1.50 | -2.13 | -0.50 | 0.00 | 0.75 | -0.88 | -1.50 | -4.00 | -3.50 | -2.75 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | -1.25 | -1.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.75 | -0.75 | -4.00 | -2.25 | -2.75 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | -2.25 | -1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.25 | -0.50 | -0.50 | -2.75 | -2.25 | -3.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | -2.30 | -1.23 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.20 | -0.73 | -1.58 | -3.40 | -2.90 | -3.45 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | -1.25 | 0.50 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 0.75 | -0.50 | -0.50 | -2.75 | -2.25 | -2.75 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | -4.00 | -2.13 | -0.50 | 0.00 | -0.25 | -1.00 | -2.75 | -4.00 | -3.50 | -4.25 |
| 30 | no | 75\% | 1.00 | 41.00 | A | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | c | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 31 | no | 75\% | 1.50 | 140.00 | A | -3.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -2.75 | -4.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | -1.50 | 0.00 | 1.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -2.00 | -3.50 | -5.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | C | -1.25 | -2.75 | -1.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -2.25 | -4.50 | -3.38 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | -2.50 | -1.88 | -0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.50 | -2.00 | -4.00 | -4.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | -4.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.75 | -2.75 | -4.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | -2.45 | -0.93 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.30 | -1.60 | -3.50 | -4.28 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | -1.25 | 0.00 | 1.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -2.75 | -3.38 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | -4.00 | -2.75 | -1.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.50 | -2.25 | -4.50 | -5.25 |
| 32 | yes | 33\% | 1.00 | 30.00 | A | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | c | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 33 | yes | 33\% | 1.50 | 120.00 | A | -2.75 | -1.75 | 1.75 | 0.00 | -1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | -2.00 | -2.25 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.00 | -1.00 | $-3.00$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | C | 0.00 | -2.00 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.00 | -1.00 | -3.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | -3.00 | -0.50 | 1.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | -0.75 | -2.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | -4.00 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 1.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | -2.35 | -1.15 | 0.85 | 0.40 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.45 | -0.55 | -1.70 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | 0.00 | 0.75 | 1.75 | 1.00 | 1.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | -4.00 | -2.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.00 | -1.00 | -3.50 |
| 34 | yes | 75\% | 1.00 | 30.00 | A | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | C | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 35 | yes | 75\% | 1.50 | 120.00 | A | -3.50 | -1.50 | 1.50 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | -2.00 | -1.75 | 1.50 | 2.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.25 | -3.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | C | -2.38 | -2.50 | -1.50 | 1.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.00 | -4.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | -3.00 | -2.25 | -2.25 | 1.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -3.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | -4.00 | 0.00 | 1.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | -2.98 | -1.60 | 0.20 | 1.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.45 | -2.40 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | -2.00 | 0.00 | 1.75 | 2.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max | -4.00 | -2.50 | -2.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.25 | -4.50 |



Figure E25. 2-inch angular rock substrate average streambed profile (a)


Figure E26. 2-inch angular rock substrate average streambed profile (b)


Figure E27. 2-inch angular rock substrate average streambed profile (c)


Figure E28. 2-inch angular rock substrate average streambed profile (d)


Figure E29. 2-inch angular rock substrate average streambed profile (e)


Figure E30. 2-inch angular rock substrate average streambed profile (f)


Figure E31. 2-inch angular rock substrate average streambed profile (g)


Figure E32. 2-inch angular rock substrate average streambed profile (g)


Figure E33. 2-inch angular rock substrate average streambed profile (i)


Figure E34. 2-inch angular rock substrate average streambed profile (j)

## Appendix F

Riprap Analyses Results
Table F1. 0.75 -inch angular gravel substrate in bottomless arch culvert riprap results

Table F4. Pea gravel substrate in rectangular flume riprap results

Table F5. 0.75-inch angular gravel substrate in rectangular flume riprap results

Table F6. 2-inch cobble substrate in rectangular flume riprap results


## Appendix G

Substrate Properties


Figure G1. Sieve distribution of pea gravel substrate


Figure G2. Sieve distribution of 0.75 -inch angular gravel substrate


Figure G3. Sieve distribution of 2-inch cobble substrate


Figure G4. Sieve distribution of 2-inch angular rock substrate

Figure G5. Sieve distribution of the four tested substrate materials

Figure G6. Sieve analysis of pea gravel substrate

Figure G7. Sieve analysis of 0.75-inch angular gravel substrate

Figure G8. Sieve analysis of 2-inch cobble substrate

Figure G9. Sieve analysis of 2-inch angular rock substrate

Table G1. Specific weight analyses results

| Substrate | Rock <br> Weight <br> (lb) | Rock <br> Volume <br> $(\mathrm{ft} \wedge 3)$ | $\gamma$ | $\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}$ | SG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pea <br> Gravel | 35.25 | 0.23 | 62.4 | 154.80 | 2.48 |
| 0.75 -inch <br> Angular Gravel | 37.20 | 0.24 | 62.4 | 153.44 | 2.46 |
| 2-inch <br> Cobbles | 43.20 | 0.27 | 62.4 | 160.90 | 2.58 |
| 2-inch <br> Angular Rock | 33.95 | 0.23 | 62.4 | 150.78 | 2.42 |

Table G2. Substrate properties in metric units

|  | Pea <br> Gravel <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 0.75-in <br> Angular <br> Gravel <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 2-in <br> Cobbles <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 2-in <br> Angular <br> Rock <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{16}$ | 4.30 | 12.75 | 26.80 | 24.17 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{35}$ | 5.80 | 14.70 | 30.50 | 31.81 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{50}$ | 6.75 | 16.15 | 33.00 | 37.37 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{65}$ | 7.80 | 17.40 | 36.00 | 42.78 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | 6.60 | 16.05 | 34.82 | 37.16 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{84}$ | 9.40 | 20.50 | 43.50 | 49.72 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{90}$ | 10.05 | 22.00 | 50.00 | 53.08 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{95}$ | 11.20 | 23.40 | 56.00 | 57.50 |
| Cu | 1.81 | 1.36 | 1.34 | 1.77 |
| Cz | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.98 |
| Y | 154.80 | 153.44 | 160.90 | 150.78 |
| SG | 2.48 | 2.46 | 2.58 | 2.42 |

## Appendix H

Visual Basic Code Used for Calculations for
Bottomless Arch Culvert in Microsoft Excel

```
Option Explicit
'Automatic couter of test runs
Function RUNCOUNTER(wii)
RUNCOUNTER = wii + 1
End Function
'Temperature Conversion & Check
Function TEMPERATURE(wdTemp)
    If wdTemp <= 32 Then
    MsgBox ("Water is either frozen or not entered in Fahrenheit.")
    Exit Function
    Elself wdTemp >= 70 Then
    MsgBox ("Most unlikely, please double check.")
    End If
TEMPERATURE = 5/ 9 * (wdTemp - 32)
End Function
'Orifice Flow Calculations
Function FLOW(strPipe, str
Dim wdDOrifice As Double
Dim wdDPipe As Double
Dim wdDeltaH As Double
Dim wdPi As Double
Dim wdA1 As Double
Dim wdA2 As Double
Dim wsK As Single 
Dim wsGammaM As Sin
Dim wsG As Single
'Specific weight of Manometer
    'Specific weight of Manometer Fluid
wdPi=3.14159265359 'Define Constant
wsG=32.174 'Define Constant
    'Pipe Check
    If strPipe = "8" Then
    wdDOrifice = 6.004 / 12 'Data from calibration, separate excel file
    wdDPipe = 7.9183 / 12
    wsK = 0.6856
Elself strPipe = "18" Then
    wdDOrifice = 10.9944 / 12 'Data from calibration, separate excel file
    wdDOrifice = 10.9944 / 12
    wdDPipe = 17.9266 / 12
    wsK=0.6785
Else
    MsgBox ("Pipe diameter of Inflow entered incorrectly. Please enter 8 or 18.")
    End lf
Calculate deltaH from manometer
If strFluid = "Blue" Then
    lf strFluid = "Blue" Then
    wsGammaM = 1.75 
\mp@code{Elself strFluid = "Hg" Then}
Mlse
    MsgBox ("Manometer fluid entered incorrectly, please use 'Blue' or 'Hg'.")
    Exit Function
End If
wdManomH = wdManomH * 0.0328083989501 'cm to ft conversion
wdDeltaH = wdManomH * (wsGammaM - 1)
'Calculate Cross Sectional Areas
wdA1 = 0.25 * wdPi * wdDPipe ^ 2
wdA2 = 0.25 * wdPi * wdDOrifice ^ 2
FLOW = wsK * wdA2 * (2 * wsG * wdDeltaH) ^ (1 / 2) 'Venturi equation wdQ = wsk * wdA2 * ((2 * wsG * wdDeltaH)) ^ 0.5
End Function
'Calculate Specific Weight of Water as a function of Temperature (Fahrenheit)
Function GAMMAH2O(wdTemp)
Function GAMMAH2O(wdTemp)
    GAMMAH2O = 59.364982 + 3.0750805 * Cos(0.0078331697 * (wdTemp) - 0.24302151)
    'Slight adjustment of ga
    GAMMAH2O = 62.43
    Elself wdTemp = 50 Then
        GAMMAH2O = 62.4
    End If
End Function
'Calculate Dynamic Viscosity of Water as a functio of Temperature (fahrenheit)
Function MUH2O(wdTemp)
    MUH2O = 1/(10865.946 + 441.70715 * (wdTemp) + 1.4672793 * (wdTemp) ^ 2)
    'Slight adjustment of mu to match values given in Engineering Fluid Mechanics 7th edition by Crowe, Elger, Roberson
    If wdTemp = 40 Then
    MUH2O = 0.0000323
    Elself wdTemp = 50 Then
    MUH2O = 0.0000273
    End If
End Function
```

'Calculate kinematic viscosity of Water as a function of Temperature (Fahrenheit)
Function NUH2O(wdTemp)

```
NUH2O = Exp(-5.5116242-26.354346 / wdTemp - 1.3108492 * (Log(wdTemp) / Log(2.71828182846)))
'Slight adjustment of nu to match values given in Engineering Fluid Mechanics 7th edition by Crowe, Elger, Roberson
    If wdTemp = 40 Then
    NUH2O = 0.0000166
    Elself wdTemp = 50 Then
    NUH2O = 0.0000141
    End If
End Function
'Calculate Density of Water as a function of Temperature (Fahrenheit)
Function RHOH2O(wdTemp)
    If wdTemp > 32 And wdTemp < 80 Then
    RHOH2O = 1.94
    Else
    MsgBox ("Water Temperature Invalid")
    End If
End Function
```

'Calculate Reynolds Number at entrance
Function REA(wdDbed, wdWs, wdFlow, wdHwd, wdr, wdboxw, wdnu)
Dim wdYbox As Double 'Depth of Flow in box
Dim wdYculv As Double 'Depth of Flow in Culvert
Dim wdBoxArea As Double
Dim wdTArea As Double
Dim wdTArea As Double
Dim wdPi As Double 'Area of flow in box

Dim wdbeta As Double 'Total area of flow in culvert

Dim wdCulvArea As Double 'Pi Constant

Dim wdCulvArea2 As Double 'Cross-sectional area of Riprap above box below Culvert
Dim wdL As Double
Dim wdL As Double 'Length of Culvert
Dim wdWetPerim As Double 'Wetted Perimeter
Dim wsForbeta As Single '(1-Y/R) term in beta
Dim wsG As Single 'Acceleration of Gravity
Dim wdPw As Double 'wetted perimeter in culvert
Dim wdPw2 As Double 'wetted perimeter of riprap above box below culvert
Dim wdPwbox As Double 'wetted perimeter in box
Dim wdPwbox As Double $\quad$ 'wetted perimeter in box
Dim wdTPw As Double $\quad$ 'Total wetted perimeter
Dim wdTPw As Double
Dim wdRh As Double
Dim wdRh As Double
Dim wdTw As Double
wsG $=32.174$
$w d P i=3.14159265359$
$w d C u l v A r e a 2=0$
'Inches to feet conversion
$\mathrm{wdr}=\mathrm{wdr} / 12$
wdboxw = wdboxw $/ 12$
'check for submergence
If wdHwd < 1.01 Then 'Unsubmerged
'Calculate Depths
wdYbox = wdDbed - wdr
If wdYbox <= 0 Then
wdYculv $=-1$ * wdYbox
wsForbeta $=-(w d Y c u l v / w d r)$
wdbeta $=\operatorname{Atn}(-$ wsForbeta $/$ Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta +1$))+2$ * Atn(1)
wdCulvArea2 $=\left(\text { wdr }^{\wedge} 2\right)^{*}\left(\right.$ wdbeta $\left.-\operatorname{Sin}(w d b e t a)^{*} \operatorname{Cos}(w d b e t a)\right)-w d P i / 2$ wdPw2 $=2^{*}$ wdbeta * wdr - wdr * wdPi
$w d T w=2{ }^{*} w d r * \operatorname{Sin}(w d b e t a)$
$w d Y b o x=0$
End If
wdYculv $=w d r-w d W s$
If wdYculv > wdr Then REA = "error" Exit Function End If
'box cross-sectional area
wdBoxArea $=$ wdboxw * wdYbox
'bdBoxArea = wabox
'box wetted perimeter
If wdYbox $=0$ Then
If wdYbox $=0$ Then
wdPwbox $=w d T w$
End If
If wdYbox >0 Then
wdPwbox $=2$ * wdYbox + wdboxw
End If
'culvert cross-sectional area
If wdYculv >0 Then
wsForbeta $=-($ wdYculv $/ \mathrm{wdr})$

```
If wdYculv = wdr Then
    wdbeta = wdPi
    wdCulvArea = ((wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta))) / 2
    wdPw = wdPi * wdr
    Else
    wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
    wdCulvArea = (wdr^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
    wdPw = 2 * wdr * wdbeta - wdPi * wdr
End lf
End If
Total Cross-Sectional Area
    wdTArea = wdBoxArea + wdCulvArea - wdCulvArea2
Total Wetted perimeter
wdTPw = wdPw - wdPw2 + wdPwbox
End If
If wdHwd >= 1.01 Then 'Submerged
'Calculate Depths
    wdYbox = wdDbed - (wdr + wdWs)
    If wdYbox < 0.0001 Then
    wdYculv = -1 * wdYbox
    wsForbeta = -(wdYculv / wdr)
    wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
    wdCulvArea2 = (wdr ^ 2)* (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta)* Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
    wdPw2 = 2 * wdr * wdbeta - wdPi * wdr
    wdTw =2* wdr* Sin(wdbeta)
    wdYbox = 0
    End If
wdYculv = wdr
    If wdYculv > wdr Then
    REA = "error"
    Exit Function
    End If
'box cross-sectional area
    wdBoxArea = wdboxw * wdYbox
    box wetted perimeter
    If wdYbox = 0 Then
    wdPwbox = wdTw
End If
If wdYbox > 0 Then
wdPwbox = 2* wdYbox + wdboxw
End If
'culvert cross-sectional area
    If wdYculv > 0 Then
    wsForbeta = -(wdYculv / wdr)
    If wdYculv = wdr Then
    If wdYculv = wdr 
        wdbeta = wdPi 
    wdPw = 2 * wdbeta * wdr - wdPi * wdr
    Else
        wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
        wdCulvArea = (wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
        wdPw = 2 * wdbeta * wdr - wdPi * wdr
    End If
End If
'Total Cross-Sectional Area
wdTArea = wdBoxArea + wdCulvArea - wdCulvArea2
'Total Wetted Perimeter
    wdTPw = wdPw - wdPw2 + wdPwbox
End If
wdRh = wdTArea / wdTPw
REA = 4 * wdFlow * wdRh / (wdTArea * wdnu) 'open channel
```

End Function
'Calculate Reynolds Number in culvert
Function RE(wdDbed, wdWs, wdFlow, wdr, wdboxw, wdnu)
Dim wdYbox As Double 'Depth of Flow in box
Dim wdYculv As Double 'Depth of Flow in Culvert
Dim wdBoxArea As Double
Dim wdTArea As Double
Dim wdPi As Double 'Pi Constant
'Area of flow in box
'Total area of flow in culvert
Dim wdbeta As Double 'angle in radians

```
Dim wdCulvArea As Double 'Cross-sectional area of Culvert
Dim wdCulvArea2 As Double 'Cross-sectional area of Riprap above box below Culvert
Dim wdL As Double 'Length of Culvert
Dim wdWetPerim As Double 'Wetted Perimeter
Dim wsForbeta As Single (1-Y/R) term in beta
Dim wsG As Single 'Acceleration of Gravity
Dim wdPw As Double 'wetted perimeter in culvert
Dim wdPw2 As Double 'wetted perimeter of riprap above box below culvert
Dim wdPwbox As Double 'wetted perimeter in box
Dim wdTPw As Double 'Total wetted perimeter
Dim wdRh As Double 'Hydraulic Radius
Dim wdTw As Double
wsG = 32.174
wdPi = 3.14159265359
wdCulvArea2 = 0
'Inches to feet conversion
    wdr = wdr / 12
    wdboxw = wdboxw / 12
'Calculate Depths
    wdYbox = wdDbed - wdr
    If wdYbox <= 0 Then
        wdYculv = -1 * wdYbox
        wsForbeta = -(wdYculv / wdr)
        wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
        wdCulvArea2 = (wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
        wdPw2 = 2 * wdbeta * wdr - wdr * wdPi
        wdTw = 2 * wdr * Sin(wdbeta)
        wdYbox = 0
    End If
    wdYculv = wdr - wdWs
        If wdYculv > wdr Then
        RE = "error"
        'Exit Function
        End If
    box cross-sectional area
    wdBoxArea = wdboxw * wdYbox
    'box wetted perimeter
    If wdYbox =0 Then
    wdPwbox = wdTw
    End If
    If wdYbox > 0 Then
    wdPwbox = 2 * wdYbox + wdboxw
    End If
    'culvert cross-sectional area
    If wdYculv > 0 Then
        wsForbeta = -(wdYculv / wdr)
        If wdYculv = wdr Then
        wdbeta = wdPi
        wdCulvArea = ((wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta))) / 2
        wdPw = wdPi * wdr
        Else
        wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
        wdCulvArea = (wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
        wdPw = 2 * wdr * wdbeta - wdPi * wdr
        End If
    End If
    If wdYculv < 0 Then
        wdPw = 0
        wdCulvArea = 0
    End If
    'Total Cross-Sectional Area
    wdTArea = wdBoxArea + wdCulvArea - wdCulvArea2
    'total wetted perimeter
    wdTPw = wdPw - wdPw2 + wdPwbox
wdRh = wdTArea / wdTPw
RE = 4 * wdFlow * wdRh / (wdTArea * wdnu) 'closed conduit form
End Function
Function LTFLOW(wdRe)
If wdRe \(<=2000\) Then
LTFLOW \(=\) "Laminar"
Elself wdRe \(>2000\) Then
LTFLOW \(=\) "Turbulent"
End If
End Function

End Function
'Froude \# in Bottomless Culvert Model (Closed Conduit and Open Channel Case) Function FROUDEA(wdDbed, wdWs, wdFlow, wdHwd, wdr, wdboxw)
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
Dim wdYbox As Double & 'Depth of Flow in box \\
Dim wdYculv As Double & 'Depth of Flow in Culvert \\
Dim wdBoxArea As Double & 'Area of flow in box \\
Dim wdTArea As Double & 'Total area of flow in culvert \\
Dim wdPi As Double & 'Pi Constant
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{lc}\text { Dim wdPi As Double } & \text { 'Pi Constant } \\ \text { Dim wdbeta As Double } & \text { 'angle in rad }\end{array}\)
Dim wabeta As Double angle in radians
Dim wdCulvArea As Double 'Cross-sectional area of Culvert
Dim wdCulvArea2 As Double 'Cross-sectional area of Riprap above box below Culvert
Dim wdL As Double 'Length of Culvert
Dim waWetPerim As Double 'Wetted Perimeter
Dim wsForbeta As Single '(1-Y/R) term in beta
Dim wsG As Single 'Acceleration of Gravity
Dim wdTwSurface As Double 'Top Width of water surface
Dim wdTw As Double
Dim wdPwbox As Double
Dim wdPw2 As Double
Dim wdPw As Double
Dim wdTPw As Double
wsG \(=32.174\)
\(w d P i=3.14159265359\)
\(w d C u l v A r e a 2=0\)
Inches to feet conversion
\(\mathrm{wdr}=\mathrm{wdr} / 12\)
wdboxw = wdboxw / 12
'check for submergence
If wdHwd < 1.01 Then 'Unsubmerged
'Calculate Depths
wdYbox = wdDbed - wdr
If wdYbox <= 0 Then wdYculv \(=-1\) * wdYbox wsForbeta \(=-(\) wdYculv \(/ \mathrm{wdr})\)
wdbeta \(=\) Atn(-wsForbeta \(/\) Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta +1 )) +2 * Atn(1) \(w_{d C u l v A r e a 2}=\left(w^{*}{ }^{\wedge} 2\right)^{*}(w d b e t a-\operatorname{Sin}(w d b e t a) * \operatorname{Cos}(w d b e t a))-w d P i / 2\) \(w d T w=2\) * \(w d r\) * \(\operatorname{Sin}(w d b e t a)\) \(w d Y b o x=0\)

End If
\(w d Y c u l v=w d r-w d W s\)
If wdYculv > wdr Then
FROUDEA = "error"
'Exit Function
End If
'box cross-sectional area
wdBoxArea \(=\) wdboxw * wdYbox
'box wetted perimeter
If \(w d Y b o x=0\) Then
\(w d P w b o x=w d T w\)
End If
If wdYbox \(>0\) Then
wdPwbox = 2 * wdYbox + wdboxw
End If
'culvert cross-sectional area
If wdYculv > 0 Then wsForbeta \(=-(w d Y c u l v / w d r)\)

If \(w d Y c u l v=w d r\) Then \(\mathrm{wdbeta}=\mathrm{wdPi}\)
        Else
            wdbeta \(=\) Atn(-wsForbeta \(/\) Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta +1\())+2\) * Atn(1)
            wdCulvArea \(=\left(\right.\) wdr \(\left.^{\wedge} 2\right) *(\) wdbeta \(-\operatorname{Sin}(w d b e t a) * \operatorname{Cos}(w d b e t a))-w d P i / 2\)
            End If
    End If
    'Total Cross-Sectional Area
wdTArea \(=\) wdBoxArea + wdCulvArea - wdCulvArea2
End If
If wdHwd >= 1.01 Then 'Submerged
'Calculate Depths
wdYbox = wdDbed - (wdr + wdWs)
If \(w d Y b o x<0.0001\) Then
wdYculv \(=-1\) * \(w d Y b o x\)
wsForbeta \(=-(\) wdYculv \(/ \mathrm{wdr})\)
wdbeta \(=\operatorname{Atn}(-\) wsForbeta \(/\) Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta +1\())+2\) * Atn(1)
wdCulvArea2 \(=\left(w d r{ }^{\wedge} 2\right)\) * \(\left(w d b e t a-\operatorname{Sin}(w d b e t a){ }^{*} \operatorname{Cos}(w d b e t a)\right)-w d P i / 2\)
wdPw2 \(=2^{*}\) wdr * wdbeta \(-w d P i{ }^{*}\) wdr
wdTw = 2 * wdr * Sin(wdbeta)
\(w d Y b o x=0\)
End If
\(w d Y c u l v=w d r\)
If wdYculv > wdr Then
FROUDEA = "error"
'Exit Function
End If
'box cross-sectional area
wdBoxArea \(=\) wdboxw * wdYbox
box wetted perimete
If \(w d Y b o x=0\) Then
wdPwbox = wdTw
End If
If wdYbox > 0 Then
wdPwbox \(=2\) * wdYbox + wdboxw
End If
'culvert cross-sectional area
If wdYculv > 0 Then
wsForbeta \(=-(\mathrm{wdYculv} / \mathrm{wdr})\)
If \(w d Y c u l v=w d r\) Then
wdbeta \(=\mathrm{wdPi}\)
wdCulvArea \(=\left(\left(w^{\wedge}{ }^{\wedge} 2\right)^{*}\left(\right.\right.\) wdbeta \(\left.\left.-\operatorname{Sin}(\text { wdbeta })^{*} \operatorname{Cos}(w d b e t a)\right)\right) / 2\)
Else
wdbeta \(=\operatorname{Atn}(-\) wsForbeta \(/\) Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta +1\())+2\) * Atn(1)
wdCulvArea \(=\left(\text { wdr }^{\wedge} 2\right)^{*}\left(\right.\) wdbeta \(-\operatorname{Sin}(\text { wdbeta })^{*} \operatorname{Cos}(\) wdbeta \(\left.)\right)-\) wdPi \(/ 2\)
End If
End If
'Total Cross-Sectional Area
wdTArea = wdBoxArea + wdCulvArea - wdCulvArea2
'Total wetted perimeter
\(w d T P w=w d P w-w d P w 2+w d P w b o x\)
End If
wdTwSurface \(=2\) * \(w d r\) * \(\operatorname{Sin}(w d b e t a)\)
If wdTwSurface < 0.01 Then
FROUDEA = "-"
Exit Function
End If
FROUDEA \(=\) wdFlow \(/\left(\text { wdTArea }{ }^{*} w s G / \text { wdTwSurface }\right)^{\wedge} 0.5\)

\section*{End Function}
'Froude \# in Bottomless Culvert Model (Closed Conduit and Open Channel Case) Function FROUDE(wdDbed, wdWs, wdFlow, wdr, wdboxw)

Dim wdYbox As Double
Dim wdYculv As Double
Dim wdBoxArea As Double
Dim wdTArea As Double
Dim wdPi As Double
Depth of Flow in box
Depth of Flow in Culvert 'Area of flow in box
'angle in radians
'Cross-sectional area of Culvert
Dim wdCulvArea2 As Double 'Cross-sectional area of Riprap above box below Culvert
Dim wdL As Double 'Length of Culvert
Dim wdWetPerim As Double 'Wetted Perimeter
Dim wsForbeta As Single (1-Y/R) term in beta
Dim wsG As Single 'Acceleration of Gravity
Dim wdTw As Double 'Top Width
\(w s G=32.174\)
\(\mathrm{wdPi}=3.14159265359\)
\(w d C u l v A r e a 2=0\)
```

'Inches to feet conversion
wdr = wdr / 12
wdboxw = wdboxw / 12
'check for submergence
'Calculate Depths
wdYbox = wdDbed - wdr
If wdYbox <= 0 Then
wdYculv = -1 * wdYbox
wsForbeta = -(wdYculv / wdr)
wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
wdCulvArea2 = (wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
wdYbox = 0
End If
wdYculv = wdr - wdWs
If wdYculv > wdr Then
FROUDE = "error"
'Exit Function
End If
box cross-sectional area
wdBoxArea = wdboxw * wdYbox
'culvert cross-sectional area
If wdYculv > 0 Then
wsForbeta = -(wdYculv / wdr)
If wdYculv = wdr Then
wdbeta = wdPi
wdCulvArea = ((wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta))) / 2
Else
wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
wdCulvArea = (wdr^^2)* (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta)**Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
End If
End If
If wdYculv < 0 Then
wdCulvArea =0
End If
'Total Cross-Sectional Area
wdTArea $=$ wdBoxArea + wdCulvArea - wdCulvArea2
wdTw = 2 * wdr * $\operatorname{Sin}(w d b e t a)$
If wdTw < 0.01 Then
FROUDE = "-"
Exit Function
End If

```

FROUDE \(=w d F l o w /(w d T A r e a * w s G / w d T w) \wedge 0.5\)
End Function
'Calculated mean velocity at entrance of wing walls
Function VContraction(wdDepth, wdContraction, wdFlow)
Dim wdWidth As Double


VContraction = wdFlow / (wdDepth * wdWidth)
End Function
Function AVelocity(wdDbed, wdWs, wdFlow, wdHwd, wdr, wdboxw)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Dim wdYbox As Double & 'Depth of Flow in box \\
Dim wdYculv As Double & 'Depth of Flow in Culvert
\end{tabular}
```

Dim wdBoxArea As Double 'Area of flow in box
Dim wdTArea As Double 'Total area of flow in culvert
Dim wdPi As Double 'Pi Constant
Dim wdbeta As Double 'angle in radians
Dim wdCulvArea As Double 'Cross-sectional area of Culvert
Dim wdCulvArea2 As Double 'Cross-sectional area of Riprap above box below Culvert
Dim wdL As Double 'Length of Culvert
Dim wdWetPerim As Double 'Wetted Perimeter
Dim wsForbeta As Single '(1-Y/R) term in beta
Dim wsForbeta As Single '(1-Y/R) term in beta
Dim wsG As Single
Dim wdTw As Double
wsG = 32.174
wdPi = 3.14159265359
wdCulvArea2 = 0
'Inches to feet conversion
wdr = wdr / 12
wdboxw = wdboxw / 12
'check for submergence
If wdHwd < 1.01 Then 'Unsubmerged
'Calculate Depths
wdYbox = wdDbed - wdr
If wdYbox <= 0 Then
wdYculv = -1 * wdYbox
wsForbeta = -(wdYculv / wdr)
wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
wdCulvArea2 = (wdr ^2)* (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
wdTw = 2 * wdr * Sin(wdbeta)
wdYbox = 0
End If
wdYculv = wdr - wdWs
If wdYculv > wdr Then
AVelocity = "error"
'Exit Function
End If
'box cross-sectional area
wdBoxArea = wdboxw * wdYbox
'culvert cross-sectional area
If wdYculv > 0 Then
wsForbeta = -(wdYculv / wdr)
If wdYculv = wdr Then
wdbeta = wdPi
wdCulvArea = ((wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta))) / 2
Else
wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
wdCulvArea = (wdr^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta)*Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
End If
End If
Total Cross-Sectional Area
wdTArea = wdBoxArea + wdCulvArea - wdCulvArea2
End If
If wdHwd >= 1.01 Then 'Submerged
'Calculate Depths
wdYbox = wdDbed - (wdr + wdWs)
If wdYbox < 0.0001 Then
wdYculv = -1 * wdYbox
wsForbeta = -(wdYculv / wdr)
wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
wdCulvArea2 = (wdr^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta)*Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
wdYbox = 0
End If
wdYculv = wdr
If wdYculv > wdr Then
AVelocity = "error" ' AVelocity = "error"
'Exit Function
End If

```
```

'box cross-sectional area
wdBoxArea = wdboxw * wdYbox
'culvert cross-sectional area
If wdYculv > 0 Then
wsForbeta = -(wdYculv / wdr)
If wdYculv = wdr Then
wdbeta = wdPi
wdCulvArea = ((wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta))) / 2
Else
wdbeta =Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
wdCulvArea = (wdr^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta)* Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
End If

```
End If
'Total Cross-Sectional Area
wdTArea \(=\) wdBoxArea + wdCulvArea - wdCulvArea2
End If

AVelocity \(=\) wdFlow \(/ \mathrm{wdTA}\) Tea
End Function
Function BCDEVelocity(wdDbed, wdWs, wdFlow, wdr, wdboxw)

'Calculate Depths
    wdYbox \(=\) wdDbed - wdr
    If wdYbox <= 0 Then
    wdYculv = -1 * wdYbox
        wsForbeta \(=-(\) wdYculv \(/ \mathrm{wdr})\)
        wdbeta \(=\) Atn(-wsForbeta \(/\) Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta +1\())+2\) * Atn(1)

        \(w d Y b o x=0\)
    End If
    \(w d Y c u l v=w d r-w d W s\)
    If wdYculv > wdr Then
        BCDEVelocity = "error"
        'Exit Function
        End If
'box cross-sectional area
    wdBoxArea \(=\mathrm{wdboxw}\) * wdYbox
'culvert cross-sectional area
If wdYculv > 0 Then
    wsForbeta \(=-(w d Y c u l v / w d r)\)
    If \(w d Y c u l v=w d r\) Then
        \(w d b e t a=w d P i\)
        wdCulvArea \(=\left(\left(\right.\right.\) wdr \(\left.^{\wedge} 2\right) *(\) wdbeta \(-\operatorname{Sin}(\) wdbeta \() * \operatorname{Cos}(\) wdbeta \(\left.))\right) / 2\)
        Else
        wdbeta \(=\) Atn(-wsForbeta \(/\) Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta +1\())+2\) * Atn(1)
        wdCulvArea \(=(w d r \text { ^ } 2)^{*}(\) wdbeta \(-\operatorname{Sin}(w d b e t a) * \operatorname{Cos}(w d b e t a))-\) wdPi \(/ 2\)
        End If
End If
If wdYculv \(<0\) Then
    wdCulvArea \(=0\)

\section*{End If}
'Total Cross-Sectional Area
wdTArea \(=\) wdBoxArea + wdCulvArea - wdCulvArea2
BCDEVelocity \(=\) wdFlow \(/ \mathrm{wdTArea}\)
End Function
'Calculate \(\mathrm{V}^{*}\) * Ds/nu at entrance of culvert
Function ShieldsXA(wdDbed, wdWs, wdFlow, wdHwd, wdr, wdboxw, wdnu, wdDs, wds)

```

If wdYculv = wdr Then
wdbeta = wdPi
wdCulvArea = ((wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta))) / 2
wdPw = wdPi * wdr
Else
wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
wdCulvArea = (wdr^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
wdPw = 2 * wdr * wdbeta - wdPi * wdr
End lf
End If
Total Cross-Sectional Area
wdTArea = wdBoxArea + wdCulvArea - wdCulvArea2
'Total Wetted perimeter
wdTPw = wdPw - wdPw2 + wdPwbox
End If
If wdHwd >= 1.01 Then 'Submerged
'Calculate Depths
wdYbox = wdDbed - (wdr + wdWs)
If wdYbox < 0.0001 Then
wdYculv = -1 * wdYbox
wsForbeta = -(wdYculv / wdr)
wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
wdCulvArea2 = (wdr ^ 2)* (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta)* Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
wdPw2 = 2 * wdr * wdbeta - wdPi * wdr
wdTw =2* wdr* Sin(wdbeta)
wdYbox =0
End If
wdYculv = wdr
If wdYculv > wdr Then
ShieldsXA = "error"
Exit Function
End If
'box cross-sectional area
wdBoxArea = wdboxw * wdYbox
box wetted perimeter
If wdYbox = 0 Then
wdPwbox = wdTw
End If
If wdYbox > 0 Then
wdPwbox = 2* wdYbox + wdboxw
End If
'culvert cross-sectional area
If wdYculv > 0 Then
wsForbeta = -(wdYculv / wdr)
If wdYculv = wdr Then
If waYculv = wdr
wdCulvArea = ((wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta))) / 2
wdCulvArea = ((wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Si
Else
wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
wdCulvArea = (wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
wdPw = 2 * wdbeta * wdr - wdPi * wdr
End If
End If
'Total Cross-Sectional Area
wdTArea = wdBoxArea + wdCulvArea - wdCulvArea2
'Total Wetted Perimeter
wdTPw = wdPw - wdPw2 + wdPwbox
End If
wdRh = wdTArea / wdTPw
wdVstar = (wsG * wdRh * wds) ^(1 / 2)
ShieldsXA = wdVstar * wdDs / wdnu

```
End Function
'Calculate V * * Ds/nu at entrance using Modified Prandt/Einstein
Function ShieldsPEA(wdDbed, wdWs, wdFlow, wdHwd, wdr, wdboxw, wdnu, wdDs, wds, wdD65, wdVmean)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Dim wdYbox As Double & 'Depth of Flow in box \\
Dim wdYculv As Double & 'Depth of Flow in Culvert \\
Dim wdBoxArea As Double & 'Area of flow in box \\
Dim wdTArea As Double & 'Total area of flow in culver
\end{tabular}

```

End If
End If
'Total Cross-Sectional Area
wdTArea = wdBoxArea + wdCulvArea - wdCulvArea2
'Total Wetted perimeter
wdTPw = wdPw - wdPw2 + wdPwbox
End If
If wdHwd >= 1.01 Then 'Submerged
'Calculate Depths
wdYbox = wdDbed - (wdr + wdWs)
If wdYbox < 0.0001 Then
wdYculv = -1 * wdYbox
wsForbeta = -(wdYculv / wdr)
wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
wdCulvArea2 = (wdr^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
wdPw2 = 2 * wdr * wdbeta - wdPi * wdr
wdTw = 2 * wdr * Sin(wdbeta)
wdYbox = 0
End If
wdYculv = wdr
If wdYculv > wdr Then
ShieldsPEA = "error"
Exit Function
End If
box cross-sectional area
wdBoxArea = wdboxw * wdYbox
box wetted perimeter
If wdYbox = 0 Then
wdPwbox = wdTw
waPw
If wdYbox > 0 Then
wdPwbox = 2 * wdYbox + wdboxw
End If
'culvert cross-sectional area
If wdYculv > 0 Then
wsForbeta = -(wdYculv / wdr)
If wdYculv = wdr Then
wdbeta = wdPi
wdCulvArea = ((wdr^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta))) / 2
wdPw = 2 * wdbeta * wdr - wdPi * wdr
Else
wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
wdCulvArea = (wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
wdPw = 2 * wdbeta * wdr - wdPi * wdr
End If
End If
'Total Cross-Sectional Area
wdTArea = wdBoxArea + wdCulvArea - wdCulvArea2
'Total Wetted Perimeter
wdTPw = wdPw - wdPw2 + wdPwbox
End If
wdRh = wdTArea / wdTPw
wdX = 1
wdDeltaX = 0.05
wdVstar = wdVmean / (5.75 * (Log(12.27 * wdX * wdRh / wdD65)) / Log(10))
wdDeltaPrime = 11.6 * wdnu / wdVstar
If wdD65 / wdDeltaPrime <= 8 Then
Do While wdDeltaX >= 0.0001
wdVstar = wdVmean / (5.75 * (Log(12.27 * wdX * wdRh / wdD65)) / Log(10))
wdDeltaPrime = 11.6 * wdnu / wdVstar
wdPhi = (Log(wdD65 / wdDeltaPrime)) / Log(10)
wdPhi = (Log(wdD65 / wdDeltaPrime))/Log(10)
wdXnew = 1.62265 + 0.09947
wdDeltaX = wdX
Loop
If wdD65 / wdDeltaPrime > 8 Then
wdX = 1
wdVstar = wdVmean / (5.75 * (Log(12.27 * wdX * wdRh / wdD65)) / Log(10))
End If
ShieldsPEA = wdVstar * wdDs / wdnu

```

End Function
'Calculate sidewall correction by Vanoni \& Brooks (1957)
'Calculate \(\mathrm{V}^{*}\) * Ds/nu at entrance using Modified Prandt//Einstein
Function ShieldsPEACorr(wdDbed, wdWs, wdFlow, wdHwd, wdr, wdboxw, wdnu, wdDs, wds, wdD65, wdVmean, wdRe)

wsG \(=32.174\)
\(\mathrm{wdPi}=3.14159265359\)
\(w d C u l v A r e a 2=0\)
'Inches to feet conversion
    \(\mathrm{wdr}=\mathrm{wdr} / 12\)
    wdboxw \(=\) wdboxw \(/ 12\)
'check for submergence
    If wdHwd < 1.01 Then 'Unsubmerged
    'Calculate Depths
    wdYbox = wdDbed - wdr
    If wdYbox <= 0 Then
    wdYculv = -1 * wdYbox
    wsForbeta \(=-(\) wdYculv \(/ \mathrm{wdr})\)
    wdbeta \(=\) Atn(-wsForbeta \(/\) Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta +1\())+2\) * Atn(1)

    wdCulvArea2 \(=\left(\mathrm{wdr}^{\wedge} 2\right)^{*}(\) wdbeta -Sin
\(\mathrm{wdPw} 2=2{ }^{*}\) wdbeta * \(\mathrm{wdr}-\mathrm{wdr}{ }^{*} \mathrm{wdPi}\)
    \(w d P w 2=2^{*} w d b e t a *\) wdr \(-w d r\)
\(w d T w=2{ }^{*} w d r * \operatorname{Sin}(w d b e t a)\)
    \(w d Y b o x=0\)
    End If
    \(w d Y c u l v=w d r-w d W s\)
        If wdYculv > wdr Then
        ShieldsPEACorr = "error"
        Exit Function
        End If
box cross-sectional area
    wdBoxArea \(=\) wdboxw * wdYbox
    'box wetted perimeter
    If wdYbox \(=0\) Then
    wdPwbox = wdTw
    End If
    If wdYbox >0 Then
    wdPwbox \(=2\) * wdYbox + wdboxw
    End If
'culvert cross-sectional area
```

    If wdYculv > 0 Then
    wsForbeta = -(wdYculv / wdr)
    If wdYculv = wdr Then
    wdbeta = wdPi
    wdCulvArea = ((wdr^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta))) / 2
    wdPw = wdPi * wdr
    Else
    wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
        wdCulvArea = (wdr^2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta)* Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
        wdPw = 2 * wdr * wdbeta - wdPi * wdr
    End If
    End If
Total Cross-Sectional Area
wdTArea = wdBoxArea + wdCulvArea - wdCulvArea2
wdTArea = wdBoxArea
'Total Wetted perimeter
End If
If wdHwd >= 1.01 Then 'Submerged
'Calculate Depths
wdYbox = wdDbed - (wdr + wdWs)
If wdYbox < 0.0001 Then
wdYculv = -1 * wdYbox
wsForbeta = -(wdYculv / wdr)
wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
wdCulvArea2 = (wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
wdPw2 = 2 * wdr * wdbeta - wdPi * wd
wdTw = 2 * wdr * Sin(wdbeta)
wdYbox = 0
End If
wdYculv = wdr
If wdYculv > wdr Then
ShieldsPEACorr = "error"
Exit Function
End If
'box cross-sectional area
wdBoxArea = wdboxw * wdYbox
'box wetted perimeter
If wdYbox = 0 Then
wdPwbox = wdTw
End If
If wdYbox > 0 Then
wdPwbox = 2 * wdYbox + wdboxw
End If
'culvert cross-sectional area
If wdYculv > 0 Then
wsForbeta = -(wdYculv / wdr)
If wdYculv = wdr Then
wdbeta = wdPi
wdCulvArea = ((wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta))) / 2
wdPw = 2 * wdbeta * wdr - wdPi * wd
Else
wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
wdCulvArea = (wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
wdPw = 2 * wdbeta * wdr - wdPi * wdr
End If

```
    End If
Total Cross-Sectional Area
    wdTArea = wdBoxArea + wdCulvArea - wdCulvArea2
'Total Wetted Perimeter
wdTPw \(=\mathrm{wdPw}-\mathrm{wdPw} 2+\mathrm{wdPwbox}\)
End If
wdRh = wdTArea \(/ \mathrm{wdTP} w\)
'Separate shear to shear on riprap and shear on model boundaries
wdf \(=8\) * wsG * wdRh * wds / (wdVmean) ^ 2
wdRe_f = wdRe / wdf
wdfw = 0.01 'guess
Do
wdRew_fw \(=\left(10^{\wedge}\left(1 /\left(2^{*} w d f w \wedge(1 / 2)\right)+0.4\right)\right) / w d f w^{\wedge}(3 / 2)\)
wdDeltaRew_fw = wdRe_f -wdRew_fw
```

wdderRew_fw = Exp(1.15129/wdfw^^(1 / 2)) * (-3.76783 * wdfw ^ (1 / 2) - 1.44596)/wdfw ^ 3
wdChange = wdDeltaRew_fw / wdderRew_fw
wdfw = wdfw + wdChange
If Abs(wdChange) < 0.000001 Then
Exit Do
End If
Loop
If wdPwbox >= 0 Then
wdPwb = wdboxw
End If
If wdPw2 > 0 Then
wdPwb = wdTw
End If
wdPwwalls = wdTPw - wdPwb
wdfb = wdf + wdPwwalls / wdPwb * (wdf - wdfw)
wdfb = wdf + wdPwwalls / wdPwb * (wdf - wdfw)
wdRhb = wdAreab / wdPwb
wdX = 1
wdDeltaX = 0.05
wdVstar = wdVmean / (5.75 * (Log(12.27 * wdX * wdRhb / wdD65)) / Log(10))
wdDeltaPrime = 11.6 * wdnu / wdVstar
If wdD65 / wdDeltaPrime <= 8 Then
Do While wdDeltaX >= 0.0001
wdVstar = wdVmean / (5.75 * (Log(12.27 * wdX * wdRhb / wdD65)) / Log(10))
wdDeltaPrime = 11.6 * wdnu / wdVstar
wdPhi = (Log(wdD65 / wdDeltaPrime)) / Log(10)
wdXnew = 1.62265 + 0.09947 * wdPhi - 2.833 * wdPhi^ 2 + 1.18924* wdPhi^ 3 + 2.5663 * wdPhi^ ^ 4-1.64 * wdPhi^ 5
wdDeltaX = wdX - wdXnew
wdX = wdXnew
Loop
End lf
If wdD65 / wdDeltaPrime > 8 Then
wdX = 1
wdVstar = wdVmean / (5.75 * (Log(12.27 * wdX * wdRhb / wdD65)) / Log(10))
End If
ShieldsPEACorr = wdVstar * wdDs / wdnu
End Function
'Calculate sidewall correction by Vanoni \& Brooks (1957)
'Calculate V* * Ds/nu at entrance of culvert
Function ShieldsXACorr(wdDbed, wdWs, wdFlow, wdHwd, wdr, wdboxw, wdnu, wdDs, wds, wdVmean, wdRe)
Dim wdYbox As Double 'Depth of Flow in box
Dim wdYculv As Double 'Depth of Flow in Culvert
Dim wdBoxArea As Double 'Area of flow in box
Dim wdTArea As Double 'Total area of flow in culvert
TArea As Double
Dim wdPi As Double
'Pi Constant
Dim wdbeta As Double 'angle in radians
Dim wdCulvArea As Double 'Cross-sectional area of Culvert
Dim wdCulvArea2 As Double 'Cross-sectional area of Riprap above box below Culvert
Dim wdL As Double 'Length of Culvert
Dim wdWetPerim As Double 'Wetted Perimeter
Dim wsForbeta As Single '(1-Y/R) term in beta
Dim wsG As Single 'Acceleration of Gravity
Dim wdPw As Double 'wetted perimeter in culvert
Dim wdPw2 As Double 'wetted perimeter of riprap above box below culvert
Dim wdPw2 As Double
Dim wdTPw As Double
Dim wdRh As Double
Dim wdVstar As Double }\quad\mathrm{ 'Hydraulic Radius
Dim wdTw As Double
Dim wdPwwalls As Double
Dim wdf As Double
Dim wdfw As Double
Dim wdRe f As Double
Dim wdRew fw As Double
Dim wdRew_fw As Double
Dim wdDeltaRew_fw As Double
Dim wdderRew_fw As Doubl
Dim wdPwb As Double
Dim wdfb As Double
Dim wdAreab As Double
Dim wdRhb As Double

```
wdCulvArea2 \(=0\)
```

'Inches to feet conversion
wdr = wdr / 12
wdboxw = wdboxw / 12
'check for submergence

```
    If wdHwd < 1.01 Then 'Unsubmerged
    'Calculate Depths
    wdYbox = wdDbed -wdr
    If wdYbox <= 0 Then
        wdYculv \(=-1\) * wdYbox
        wsForbeta \(=-(\) wdYculv \(/ \mathrm{wdr})\)
        wdbeta \(=\) Atn(-wsForbeta \(/\) Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta +1\())+2\) * Atn(1)

        wdCulvArea2 \(=\left(w d r{ }^{\wedge} 2\right)^{*}(\) wdbeta -Sin
\(\mathrm{wdPw} 2=2{ }^{*}\) wdbeta * \(\mathrm{wdr}-\mathrm{wdr}{ }^{*}\) wdPi
        \(w d P w 2=2{ }^{*} w d b e t a * w d r-w d\)
\(w d T w=2{ }^{*} w d r * \operatorname{Sin}(w d b e t a)\)
        \(\mathrm{wdTw}=2^{*} \mathrm{wd}\)
\(\mathrm{wdYbox}=0\)
    End If
    \(w d Y c u l v=w d r-w d W s\)
        If wdYculv > wdr Then
            ShieldsXACorr = "error"
        Exit Function
        End If
    'box cross-sectional area
    wdBoxArea \(=\) wdboxw * wdYbox
    box wetted perimeter
    If \(w d Y b o x=0\) Then
        wdPwbox = wdTw
    End If
    End If
    f wdYbox > 0 Then
    wdPwbox \(=2\) * wdYbox + wdboxw
    End If
    'culvert cross-sectional area
    If wdYculv > 0 Then
        wsForbeta \(=-(w d Y c u l v / w d r)\)
        If \(w d Y c u l v=w d r\) Then
        \(w d b e t a=w d P i\)
        wdCulvArea \(=\left(\left(w_{d r}^{\wedge} 2\right)^{*}(\right.\) wdbeta \(\left.-\operatorname{Sin}(w d b e t a) * \operatorname{Cos}(w d b e t a))\right) / 2\)
        \(w d P w=w d P i * w d r\)
        Else
        wdbeta \(=\) Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta +1 )) +2 * Atn(1)
        wdCulvArea \(=(w d r \wedge 2)\) * \((w d b e t a-\operatorname{Sin}(w d b e t a) ~ * C o s(w d b e t a))-w d P i ~ / ~ 2\)
        \(w d P w=2\) * \(w d r\) * \(w d b e t a-w d P i ~ * ~ w d r ~\)
        End If
    End If
    'Total Cross-Sectional Area
    wdTArea \(=\) wdBoxArea + wdCulvArea - wdCulvArea2
    'Total Wetted perimeter
    wdTPw \(=\mathrm{wdPw}-\mathrm{wdPw} 2+\mathrm{wdPwbox}\)
    End If
    If wdHwd >= 1.01 Then 'Submerged
    'Calculate Depths
    \(w d Y b o x=w d D b e d-(w d r+w d W s)\)
    If wdYbox \(<0.0001\) Then
        \(w d Y c u l v=-1 *\) wdYbox
        wsForbeta \(=-(w d Y c u l v / w d r)\)
        wdbeta \(=\) Atn(-wsForbeta \(/\) Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta +1 )) +2 * Atn(1)
        wdCulvArea2 \(=(w d r \wedge 2)^{*}(w d b e t a-\operatorname{Sin}(w d b e t a) * \operatorname{Cos}(w d b e t a))-w d P i / 2\)
        \(w d P w 2=2{ }^{*}\) wdr * wdbeta \(-w d P i^{*}\) wdr
        \(w d P w 2=2 * w d r * * d b e t a-w d ~\)
\(w d T w=2{ }^{*} w d r \operatorname{Sin}^{*}(w d b e t a) ~\)
        \(\mathrm{wdTw}=2^{*} \mathrm{wd}\)
\(\mathrm{wdYbox}=0\)
        wdYb
End If
    \(w d Y c u l v=w d r\) 'sometimes observed true, other times observed differently...this is approximation
        If wdYculv > wdr Then
        ShieldsXACorr = "error"
        Exit Function
        End If
'box cross-sectional area
    wdBoxArea \(=\) wdboxw * \(w d\) Ybox
```

'box wetted perimeter
If wdYbox = 0 Then
wdPwbox = wdTw
End If
If wdYbox > 0 Then
wdPwbox = 2 * wdYbox + wdboxw
End If
'culvert cross-sectional area
If wdYculv > 0 Then
wsForbeta = -(wdYculv / wdr)
If wdYculv = wdr Then
wdbeta = wdPi
wdCulvArea = ((wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta))) / 2
wdPw = 2 * wdbeta * wdr - wdPi * wdr
Else
wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
wdCulvArea = (wdr^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
wdPw = 2 * wdbeta * wdr - wdPi * wdr
End If

```
    End If
    Total Cross-Sectional Area
    wdTArea = wdBoxArea + wdCulvArea - wdCulvArea2
    'Total Wetted Perimeter
    wdTPw \(=\mathrm{wdPw}-\mathrm{wdPw} 2+\mathrm{wdPwbox}\)
End If
\(w d R h=w d T A r e a / w d T P w\)
'Separate shear to shear on riprap and shear on model boundaries
wdf \(=8\) * wsG * wdRh * wds / (wdVmean) ^2
wdRe_f = wdRe / wdf
\(w d f w=0.01\) 'guess
Do
wdRew_fw \(=\left(10^{\wedge}\left(1 /\left(2^{*} w d f w^{\wedge}(1 / 2)\right)+0.4\right)\right) / w d f w^{\wedge}(3 / 2)\)
\(w d\) DeltaRew_fw \(=w d R e \_f-w d R e w \_f w\)
wdderRew_fw \(=\operatorname{Exp}\left(1.1 \overline{5} 129 / \mathrm{wdfw}^{\wedge}(1 / 2)\right)^{*}\left(-3.76783^{*} \mathrm{wdfw}^{\wedge}(1 / 2)-1.44596\right) / \mathrm{wdfw}^{\wedge} 3\)
wdCh ange \(=\mathrm{wdDeltaRew} \mathrm{f} \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{wdderRew} \mathrm{f} \mathrm{f}\)
wdfw = wdfw + wdChange
If Abs(wdChange) < 0.000001 Then
Exit Do
End If
Loop
If wdPwbox >=0 Then
wdPwb = wdboxw
End If
If wdPw2 > 0 Then
wdPwb = wdTw
End If
wdPwwalls \(=w d T P w-w d P w b\)
\(w d f b=w d f+w d P w w a l l s / w d P w b *(w d f-w d f w)\)
\(w d f b=w d f+w d P w w a l l s / w d P w b *(w d f-w d f w)\)
\(w d A r e a b=w d f b * w d V m e a n ~\)
^ \(2{ }^{*}\) wdPwb / ( \(8^{*}\) wsG * wds)
wdRhb = wdAreab / wdPwb
wdVstar \(=\left(w s G{ }^{*}\right.\) wdRhb * wds) ^ (1 / 2)
ShieldsXACorr \(=\) wdVstar * wdDs \(/ \mathrm{wdnu}\)
End Function
'Calculate \(\mathrm{V}^{*}\) * Ds/nu inside barrel of culvert
Function ShieldsX(wdDbed, wdWs, wdFlow, wdr, wdboxw, wdnu, wdDs, wds)
Dim wdYbox As Double 'Depth of Flow in box
Dim wdYculv As Double 'Depth of Flow in Culvert
Dim wdBoxArea As Double 'Area of flow in box
Dim wdTArea As Double 'Total area of flow in culvert
Dim wdPi As Double 'Pi Constant
Dim wdbeta As Double 'angle in radians
Dim wdCulvArea As Double 'Cross-sectional area of Culvert
Dim wdCulvArea2 As Double 'Cross-sectional area of Riprap above box below Culvert
Dim wdCulvArea2 As Double 'Cross-sectiona'
Dim wdL As Double 'Length of Culvert
Dim wdL As Double \(\quad\) 'Length of Culvert
Dim wdWetPerim As Double \(\quad\) Wetted Perimeter
Dim wdWetPerim As Double 'Wetted Perimeter
Dim wsForbeta As Single '(1-Y/R) term in beta
Dim wsG As Single 'Acceleration of Gravity
Dim wdPw As Double 'wetted perimeter in culvert
Dim wdPw2 As Double 'wetted perimeter of riprap above box below culvert
Dim wdPwbox As Double 'wetted perimeter in box
Dim wdTPw As Double 'Total wetted perimeter
Dim wdRh As Double 'Hydraulic Radius
Dim wdVstar As Double 'Shear Velocity
Dim wdTw As Double
```

wsG = 32.174
wdPi = 3.14159265359
wdCulvArea2 = 0
'Inches to feet conversion
wdr = wdr / 12
wdboxw = wdboxw / 12
'check for submergence
'Calculate Depths
wdYbox = wdDbed - wdr
If wdYbox <= 0 Then
wdYculv = -1 * wdYbox
wsForbeta = -(wdYculv / wdr)
wsForbeta = -(wdYculv/wdr)
wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
wdCulvArea2 = (wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin
wdPw2 = 2 * wdbeta * wdr - wd
wdTw = 2* wd
End If
wdYculv = wdr - wdWs
If wdYculv > wdr Then
ShieldsX = "error"
Exit Function
End If
box cross-sectional area
wdBoxArea = wdboxw * wdYbox
'box wetted perimeter
If wdYbox = 0 Then
wdPwbox = wdTw
End If
If wdYbox > 0 Then
wdPwbox = 2 * wdYbox + wdboxw
End If
'culvert cross-sectional area
If wdYculv > 0 Then
wsForbeta = -(wdYculv / wdr)
If wdYculv = wdr Then
wdbeta = wdPi
wdCulvArea = ((wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta))) / 2
wdPw = wdPi * wdr
Else
wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
wdCulvArea = (wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
wdPw = 2 * wdr * wdbeta - wdPi * wdr
End If
End If
If wdYculv < 0 Then
wdPw = 0
wdCulvArea = 0
End If
'Total Cross-Sectional Area
wdTArea = wdBoxArea + wdCulvArea - wdCulvArea2
'Total Wetted perimeter
wdTPw = wdPw - wdPw2 + wdPwbox
wdRh = wdTArea / wdTPw
wdVstar = (wsG * wdRh * wds)^(1 / 2)
ShieldsX = wdVstar * wdDs / wdnu
End Function
'Calculate V* * Ds/nu inside barrel using Modified Prandtl/Einstein

```

Function ShieldsPE(wdDbed, wdWs, wdFlow, wdr, wdboxw, wdnu, wdDs, wds, wdD65, wdVmean)

Dim wdYbox As Double
Dim wdYculv As Double
Dim wdBoxArea As Double
Dim wdTArea As Double
Dim wdPi As Double
Dim wdbeta As Double
Dim wdCulvArea As Double
'Depth of Flow in box
'Depth of Flow in Culvert
'Area of flow in box
'Total area of flow in culvert
'Pi Constant
'angle in radians
'Cross-sectional area of Culvert
```

Dim wdCulvArea2 As Double 'Cross-sectional area of Riprap above box below Culver
Dim wdL As Double 'Length of Culvert
Dim wdWetPerim As Double 'Wetted Perimeter
Dim wsForbeta As Single '(1-Y/R) term in beta
Dim wsG As Single 'Acceleration of Gravity
Dim wdPw As Double 'wetted perimeter in culvert
Dim wdPw2 As Double 'wetted perimeter of riprap above box below culvert
Dim wdPwbox As Double 'wetted perimeter in box
Dim wdTPw As Double 'Total wetted perimeter
Dim wdRh As Double 'Hydraulic Radius
Dim wdVstar As Double 'Shear Velocity
Dim wdTw As Double
Dim wdX As Double
Dim wdDeltaX As Double
Dim wdDeltaPrime As Doubl
Dim wdPhi As Double
Dim wdXnew As Double
wsG = 32.174
wdPi = 3.14159265359
wdCulvArea2 =0
'Inches to feet conversion
wdr = wdr / 12
wdboxw = wdboxw / 12
'check for submergence
'Calculate Depths
wdYbox = wdDbed - wdr
If wdYbox <= 0 Then
wdYculv = -1 * wdYbox
wsForbeta = -(wdYculv / wdr)
wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
wdCulvArea2 = (wdr^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
wdCulvArea2 = (wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin
wdPw2 = 2 * wdbeta * wdr - wdr
wdYbox = 0
End If
wdYculv = wdr - wdWs
If wdYculv > wdr Then
ShieldsPE = "error"
Exit Function
End If
'box cross-sectional area
wdBoxArea = wdboxw * wdYbox
box wetted perimeter
If wdYbox = 0 Then
wdPwbox = wdTw
End If
If wdYbox > 0 Then
wdPwbox = 2 * wdYbox + wdboxw
End If
culvert cross-sectional area
If wdYculv > 0 Then
wsForbeta = -(wdYculv / wdr)
If wdYculv = wdr Then
wdbeta = wdPi
wdCulvArea = ((wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta))) / 2
wdPw = wdPi * wdr
Else
wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
wdCulvArea = (wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
wdPw = 2 * wdr * wdbeta - wdPi * wdr
End If
End If
If wdYculv < 0 Then
wdPw = 0
wdCulvArea = 0
End If
'Total Cross-Sectional Area
wdTArea $=$ wdBoxArea + wdCulvArea - wdCulvArea2
'Total Wetted perimeter
wdTPw $=w d P w-w d P w 2+w d P w b o x$

```
```

wdRh = wdTArea / wdTPw
wdX = 1
wdDeltaX = 0.05
wdVstar = wdVmean / (5.75 * (Log(12.27 * wdX * wdRh / wdD65)) / Log(10))
wdDeltaPrime = 11.6 * wdnu / wdVstar
If wdD65 / wdDeltaPrime <= 8 Then
Do While wdDeltaX >= 0.0001
wdVstar = wdVmean / (5.75 * (Log(12.27 * wdX * wdRh / wdD65)) / Log(10))
wdDeltaPrime = 11.6 * wdnu / wdVstar
wdPhi = (Log(wdD65 / wdDeltaPrime)) / Log(10)
wdXnew = 1.62265 + 0.09947 * wdPhi - 2.833 * wdPhi ^ 2 + 1.18924 * wdPhi^ 3 + 2.5663 * wdPhi ^ 4-1.64 * wdPhi^ 5
wdDeltaX = wdX - wdXnew
wdX = wdXnew
Loop
End If
If wdD65 / wdDeltaPrime > 8 Then
wdX = 1
wdVstar = wdVmean / (5.75 * (Log(12.27 * wdX * wdRh / wdD65)) / Log(10))
End If
ShieldsPE = wdVstar * wdDs / wdnu

```

End Function
'Calculate sidewall correction by Vanoni \& Brooks (1957)
'Calculate \(\mathrm{V}^{*}\) * Ds/nu inside barrel of culvert using Modified Prandt//Einstein
Function ShieldsPECorr(wdDbed, wdWs, wdFlow, wdr, wdboxw, wdnu, wdDs, wds, wdD65, wdVmean, wdRe)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Dim wdYbox As Double & 'Depth of Flow in box \\
\hline Dim wdYculv As Double & 'Depth of Flow in Culvert \\
\hline Dim wdBoxArea As Double & 'Area of flow in box \\
\hline Dim wdTArea As Double & 'Total area of flow in culvert \\
\hline Dim wdPi As Double 'P & 'Pi Constant \\
\hline Dim wdbeta As Double & 'angle in radians \\
\hline Dim wdCulvArea As Double & e 'Cross-sectional area of Culvert \\
\hline Dim wdCulvArea2 As Double & 'Cross-sectional area of Riprap above box below Culvert \\
\hline Dim wdL As Double 'L & 'Length of Culvert \\
\hline Dim wdWetPerim As Double & le 'Wetted Perimeter \\
\hline Dim wsForbeta As Single & '(1-Y/R) term in beta \\
\hline Dim wsG As Single 'A & 'Acceleration of Gravity \\
\hline Dim wdPw As Double & 'wetted perimeter in culvert \\
\hline Dim wdPw2 As Double & 'wetted perimeter of riprap above box below culvert \\
\hline Dim wdPwbox As Double & 'wetted perimeter in box \\
\hline Dim wdTPw As Double & 'Total wetted perimeter \\
\hline Dim wdRh As Double & 'Hydraulic Radius \\
\hline Dim wdVstar As Double & 'Shear Velocity \\
\hline Dim wdTw As Double & \\
\hline Dim wdPwwalls As Double & \\
\hline Dim wdf As Double & \\
\hline Dim wdfw As Double & \\
\hline Dim wdRe_f As Double & \\
\hline Dim wdderRew_fw As Double & uble \\
\hline Dim wdRew_fw As Double & \\
\hline Dim wdDeltaRew_fw As Doub & ouble \\
\hline Dim wdChange As Double & \\
\hline Dim wdPwb As Double & \\
\hline Dim wdfb As Double & \\
\hline Dim wdAreab As Double & \\
\hline Dim wdRhb As Double & \\
\hline Dim wdX As Double & \\
\hline Dim wdDeltaX As Double & \\
\hline Dim wdDeltaPrime As Double & \\
\hline Dim wdPhi As Double & \\
\hline Dim wdXnew As Double & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
wsG \(=32.174\)
\(w d P i=3.14159265359\)
wdCulvArea2 \(=0\)
'Inches to feet conversion
    \(\mathrm{wdr}=\mathrm{wdr} / 12\)
    wdboxw = wdboxw / 12
    'Calculate Depths
    wdYbox \(=\) wdDbed \(-w d r\)
    If wdYbox <= 0 Then
    wdYculv \(=-1\) * wdYbox
```

    wsForbeta = -(wdYculv / wdr)
    wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
    wdCulvArea2 = (wdr^2)* (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta)* Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
    wdPw2 = 2 * wdbeta * wdr - wdr * wdPi
    wdTw = 2 * wdr * Sin(wdbeta)
    wdYbox = 0
    End If
wdYculv = wdr - wdWs
If wdYculv > wdr Then
ShieldsPECorr = "error"
Exit Function
End If
'box cross-sectional area
wdBoxArea = wdboxw * wdYbox
'box wetted perimeter
If wdYbox =0 Then
wdPwbox = wdTw
End If
If wdYbox > 0 Then
wdPwbox = 2 * wdYbox + wdboxw
End If
'culvert cross-sectional area
If wdYculv > 0 Then
wsForbeta = -(wdYculv / wdr)
If wdYculv = wdr Then
wdbeta = wdPi
wdCulvArea = ((wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta))) / 2
wdPw = wdPi * wdr
Else
wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
wdCulvArea = (wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
wdCulvArea = (wdr ^ 2)* (wdbeta - Sin
NdPw
End If
Total Cross-Sectional Area
wdTArea = wdBoxArea + wdCulvArea - wdCulvArea2
'Total Wetted perimeter
wdTPw = wdPw - wdPw2 + wdPwbox
wdRh = wdTArea / wdTPw
'Separate shear to shear on riprap and shear on model boundaries
wdf = 8 * wsG * wdRh * wds / (wdVmean) ^2
wdRe_f = wdRe / wdf
wdfw = 0.01 'guess
Do
wdRew_fw = (10^(1 / (2 * wdfw ^ (1 / 2)) + 0.4)) / wdfw ^ (3 / 2)
wdDeltaRew_fw = wdRe_f - wdRew_fw
wdderRew_fw = Exp(1.15129/wdfw^^(1/2)) * (-3.76783 * wdfw ^ (1/2) - 1.44596) / wdfw ^ 3
wdChange = wdDeltaRew_fw / wdderRew_fw
wdfw = wdfw + wdChange
If Abs(wdChange) < 0.000001 Then
Exit Do
End If
Loop
If wdPwbox >= 0 Then
wdPwb = wdboxw
End If
If wdPw2 > 0 Then
wdPwb = wdTw
End If
wdPwwalls = wdTPw - wdPwb
wdfb = wdf + wdPwwalls / wdPwb * (wdf - wdfw)
wdAreab = wdfb * wdVmean ^ 2 * wdPwb / (8* wsG * wds)
wdRhb = wdAreab / wdPwb
wdX = 1
wdDeltaX = 0.05
wdVstar = wdVmean / (5.75 * (Log(12.27 * wdX * wdRhb / wdD65)) / Log(10))
wdDeltaPrime = 11.6 * wdnu / wdVstar
If wdD65 / wdDeltaPrime <= 8 Then
If wdD65 / wdDeltaPrime <= 8 Th
Do While wdDeltaX >= 0.0001
wdVstar = wdVmean / (5.75 * (Log(12.27 * wdX * wdRhb / wdD65)) / Log(10))
wdDeltaPrime = 11.6 * wdnu / wdVstar

```
```

    wdPhi = (Log(wdD65 / wdDeltaPrime)) / Log(10)
    wdXnew = 1.62265 + 0.09947 * wdPhi - 2.833 * wdPhi^ 2 + 1.18924 * wdPhi^ 3 + 2.5663 * wdPhi ^ 4-1.64 * wdPhi^ 5
    wdDeltaX = wdX - wdXnew
    wdX = wdXnew
    End If
If wdD65 / wdDeltaPrime > 8 Then
wdX = 1
wdVstar = wdVmean / (5.75 * (Log(12.27 * wdX * wdRhb / wdD65)) / Log(10))
End If
ShieldsPECorr = wdVstar * wdDs / wdnu
End Function
'Calculate V* * Ds/nu at entrance of culvert
Function ShieldsXCorr(wdDbed,wdWs,wdFlow, wdr, wdboxw, wdnu,wdDs, wds, wdVmean,wdRe)
Dim wdYbox As Double 'Depth of Flow in box
Dim wdYculv As Double 'Depth of Flow in Culvert
Dim wdBoxArea As Double 'Area of flow in box
Dim wdTArea As Double 'Total area of flow in culver
Dim wdPi As Double 'Pi Constant
Dim wdbeta As Double 'angle in radians
Dim wdCulvArea As Double 'Cross-sectional area of Culvert
Dim wdCulvArea2 As Double 'Cross-sectional area of Riprap above box below Culvert
Dim wdCulvArea2 As Double 'Cross-sectiona'
Dim wdL As Double 'Length of Culvert
Dim wdWetPerim As Double'Wetted Perimeter
Dim wsForbeta As Single '(1-Y/R) term in beta
Dim wsG As Single 'Acceleration of Gravity
Dim wdPw As Double 'wetted perimeter in culvert
Dim wdPw2 As Double 'wetted perimeter of riprap above box below culvert
Dim wdPwbox As Double 'wetted perimeter in box
Dim wdTPw As Double 'Total wetted perimeter
Dim wdTPw As Double
Dim wdRh As Double 'Hydraulic Radius
Dim wdVstar As Double 'Shear Velocity
Dim wdTw As Double
Dim wdPwwalls As Double
Dim wdf As Double
Dim wdfw As Double
Dim wdRe_f As Double
Dim wdRew_fw As Double
Dim wdDeltaRew_fw As Double
Dim wdderRew fw As Double
Dim wdderRew_fw As Doubl
Dim wdChange As Double
Dim wdPwb As Double
Dim wdfb As Double
Dim wdAreab As Double
Dim wdRhb As Double
wsG = 32.174
wdPi = 3.14159265359
wdCulvArea2 = 0
'Inches to feet conversion
wdr = wdr / 12
wdboxw = wdboxw / 12
'Calculate Depths
wdYbox = wdDbed - wdr
If wdYbox <= 0 Then
wdYculv = -1 * wdYbox
wsForbeta = -(wdYculv / wdr)
wsForbeta = -(wdYculv / wdr)
wdCulvArea2 = (wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
wdPw2 = 2 * wdbeta * wdr - wdr * wdPi
wdTw =2 * wdr* Sin(wdbeta)
wdYbox = 0
End If
wdYculv = wdr - wdWs
If wdYculv > wdr Then
ShieldsXCorr = "error"
Exit Function
End If
box cross-sectional area
wdBoxArea = wdboxw * wdYbox
'box wetted perimeter
If wdYbox = 0 Then
If wdYbox = 0 Th
wdPwbox = wdTw
If wdYbox > 0 Then
wdPwbox = 2 * wdYbox + wdboxw

```
```

End If
'culvert cross-sectional area
If wdYculv > 0 Then
wsForbeta = -(wdYculv / wdr)
If wdYculv = wdr Then
wdbeta = wdPi
wdCulvArea = ((wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta))) / 2
wdPw = wdPi * wdr
Else
wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
wdCulvArea = (wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
wdPw = 2 * wdr * wdbeta - wdPi * wdr
End If
End If
'Total Cross-Sectional Area
wdTArea = wdBoxArea + wdCulvArea - wdCulvArea2
Total Wetted perimeter
wdTPw = wdPw - wdPw2 + wdPwbox
wdRh = wdTArea / wdTPw
Separate shear to shear on riprap and shear on model boundaries
wdf = 8 * wsG * wdRh * wds / (wdVmean) ^ 2
wdRe f = wdRe / wdf
wdfw= 0.01 'guess
Do
wdRew_fw = (10^(1 / (2 * wdfw ^ (1 / 2)) + 0.4)) / wdfw ^ (3 / 2)
wdDeltaRew_fw = wdRe_f - wdRew fw
wdderRew fw = Exp(1.15129 / wdfw ^ (1 / 2)) * (-3.76783 * wdfw ^ (1 / 2) - 1.44596) / wdfw ^ 3
wdChange = wdDeltaRew_fw/wdderRew_fw
wdfw = wdfw + wdChange
If Abs(wdChange) < 0.000001 Then
Exit Do
End If
Loop
If wdPwbox >= 0 Then
wdPwb = wdboxw
End If
If wdPw2 > 0 Then
wdPwb = wdTw
End If
wdPwwalls = wdTPw - wdPwb
wdfb = wdf + wdPwwalls / wdPwb * (wdf - wdfw)
wdAreab = wdfb * wdVmean ^2 * wdPwb / (8 * wsG * wds)
wdRhb = wdAreab / wdPwb
wdVstar = (wsG * wdRhb * wds) ^ (1 / 2)
ShieldsXCorr = wdVstar * wdDs / wdnu
End Function
'Calculate Specific Head at Critical Depth
'Only used for unsubmerged, inlet control equation form 1
Function SHEADCRIT(wdFlow, wdRip1D, wdRip2D)
Dim wdYc As Double
Dim wdboxw As Double
Dim wdr As Double
Dim wdYbox As Double
Dim wdYculv As Double
Dim wdTArea As Double
Dim wdYoxmax As 'Total Cross-Sectional Area
Dim wdTw As Double 'Width of Channel at Wor box section
Dim wdVc As Double
Dim wdBoxArea As Double
Dim wdBoxArea As Double
Dim wdPi As Double 'Cross-section
Dim wdbeta As Double 'Pi Constant
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { Dim wsForbeta As Single } & \text { '(1-Y/R) term in beta } \\ \text { Dim wsG As Single } & \text { 'Acceleration of Gravity } \\ \text { 'Dim wdRip1D As Double } & \text { 'Riprap to be scoured depth in box }\end{array}$
'Dim wdRip2D As Double 'Pea Gravel Substrate (1 in ideal)
wsG $=32.174$
$w \mathrm{dPi}=3.14159265359$
Cell Input
wdboxw $=$ Cells(5, 14).Value

```
```

wdr = Cells(8, 14).Value
wdr = Cells(8, 14).Value
Calculate Critical Depth
wdYc = (wdFlow ^ 2 / wsG)^(1 / 3)
'Inches to feet conversion
wdboxw = wdboxw / 12
wdr = wdr / 12
wdYboxmax = wdYboxmax / 12
wdRip1D = wdRip1D / 12
wdRip2D = wdRip2D / 12
'Calculate Cross-Sectional Area
split into two sections, box \& culvert
wdYbox = wdYboxmax - wdRip1D - wdRip2D
If wdYbox < 0.0001 Then
wdYbox = 0
End If
wdYculv = wdYc - wdYbox
If wdYculv > wdr Then
SHEADCRIT = "-"
Exit Function
End If
'Box cross-sectional area
wdBoxArea = wdboxw * wdYbox
wdBoxArea = wdboxw * wd
'Culvert cross-sectiona
wsForbeta = (wdYculv / wdr) -1
If wdYculv = wdr Then
wdbeta = wdPi
wdCulvArea = ((wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta))) / 2
Else
wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
wdCulvArea = (wdr ^2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
End If
End If
Total Cross-Sectional Area
wdTArea = wdBoxArea + wdCulvArea
'Calculate Top Width (Width of Channel at Water Surface)
lf wdYculv = 0 Then
wdTw = wdboxw
Elself wdYculv = wdr Then
wdTw = 0
Elself wdYculv > 0 And wdYculv < wdr Then
wdTw = 2 * wdr * Sin(wdbeta)
wdYculv = wdYc - wdYbox
End If
'Calculate Critical Velocity
wdVc = (wsG * wdTArea / wdTw)^ 0.5
SHEADCRIT = wdYc + wdVc ^ 2 / (2 * wsG)
End Function

```
'Calculate Q/AD^. 5 (Unsubmerged Case)
Function QUAD(wdFlow, wdHw, wdRip1D, wdRip2D) 'wdRip are substrate depths
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Dim wdboxw As Double & 'Width of box \\
\hline Dim wdr As Double & 'culvert radius \\
\hline Dim wdYbox As Double & 'Depth of Flow in box \\
\hline Dim wdYculv As Double & 'Depth of Flow in Culvert \\
\hline Dim wdTArea As Double & 'Total Cross-Sectional Area \\
\hline Dim wdYboxmax As Double & 'max possible depth of box section \\
\hline Dim wdBoxArea As Double & 'Cross-sectional area of Box \\
\hline Dim wdPi As Double & 'Pi Constant \\
\hline Dim wdbeta As Double & 'angle in radians \\
\hline Dim wdCulvArea As Doub & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Dim wsForbeta As Single '(1-Y/R) term in beta
Dim wsG As Single 'Acceleration of Gravity
wsG \(=32.174\)
\(\mathrm{wdPi}=3.14159265359\)
Cell Input
    wdboxw = Cells(5, 14).Value
    wdr \(=\) Cells(8, 14).Value
    wdYboxmax \(=\) Cells(6, 14).Value
'inches to feet conversion
    wdboxw = wdboxw / 12
    \(w d r=w d r / 12\)
    \(w d H w=w d H w / 12\)
```

    wdYboxmax = wdYboxmax / 12
    wdRip1D = wdRip1D / 12
    wdRip2D = wdRip2D / 12
    'Calculate Cross-Sectional Area
'split into two sections, box \& culvert
wdYbox = wdYboxmax - wdRip1D - wdRip2D
If wdYbox < 0.0001 Then
wdYbox = 0
End If
wdYculv = wdHw - wdYbox
If wdYculv > wdr Then
QUAD = "-"
Exit Function
End If
'box cross-sectional area
wdBoxArea = wdboxw * wdYbox
wdBoxArea = wdboxw * wdYbox
'culvert cross-sectional a
wsForbeta = (wdYculv / wdr) -1
If wdYculv = wdr Then
wdbeta = wdPi
wdCulvArea = ((wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta))) / 2
Else
wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
wdCulvArea = (wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
End If
End If
Total Cross-Sectional Area
wdTArea = wdBoxArea + wdCulvArea
Calculate QUAD Function
QUAD = wdFlow / (wdTArea * wdr ^ 0.5)
End Function
'Calculate (Q/AD^.5)^2
Function QUAD2(wdFlow, wdHw, wdRip1D, wdRip2D)

| Dim wdboxw As Double | 'Width of box |
| :---: | :---: |
| Dim wdr As Double 'cur | 'culvert radius |
| Dim wdYbox As Double | 'Depth of Flow in box |
| Dim wdYculv As Double | 'Depth of Flow in Culvert |
| Dim wdTArea As Double | 'Total Cross-Sectional Area |
| Dim wdYboxmax As Double | le 'max possible depth of box section |
| Dim wdBoxArea As Double | e 'Cross-sectional area of Box |
| Dim wdPi As Double | 'Pi Constant |
| Dim wdbeta As Double | 'angle in radians |
| Dim wdCulvArea As Double | le 'Cross-sectional area of Culvert |
| Dim wsForbeta As Single | '(1-Y/R) term in beta |
| Dim wsG As Single 'A | 'Acceleration of Gravity |
| wsG $=32.174$ |  |
| wdPi $=3.14159265359$ |  |
| 'Cell Input |  |
| wdboxw = Cells(5, 14).Value |  |
| $w d r=\operatorname{Cells}(8,14)$. Value |  |
| wdYboxmax $=$ Cells( 6,14 ).V | .Value |

'inches to feet conversion
wdboxw = wdboxw / 12
wdr = wdr / 12
wdHw = wdHw / 12
wdYboxmax = wdYboxmax / 12
wdRip1D = wdRip1D / 12
wdRip2D = wdRip2D / 12
'Calculate Cross-Sectional Area
'split into two sections, box \& culvert
wdYbox = wdYboxmax - wdRip1D - wdRip2D
If wdYbox < 0.0001 Then
wdYbox = 0
End If
wdYculv = wdHw - wdYbox
If wdYculv > wdr Then
QUAD2 = "-"
Exit Function
End If
'box cross-sectional area
wdBoxArea = wdboxw * wdYbox
wdBoxArea = wdboxw * wdYb
culvert cross-sectiona
wsForbeta = (wdYculv / wdr) - 1

```
```

    If wdYculv = wdr Then
    wdbeta = wdP
    wdCulvArea = ((wdr ^2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta))) / 2
    Else
    wdbeta = Atn(-wsForbeta / Sqr(-wsForbeta * wsForbeta + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1)
        wdCulvArea = (wdr ^ 2) * (wdbeta - Sin(wdbeta) * Cos(wdbeta)) - wdPi / 2
    End If
    End If
    Total Cross-Sectional Area
Total Cross-Sectional Area
Calculate QUAD Function
QUAD2 = (wdFlow / (wdTArea * wdr ^ 0.5)) ^ 2
End Function
'Calculate Hw/D - Hc/D +.5S Ratio
Function RATIO(wdHw, wdHc)
Dim wdr As Double 'culvert radius
Dim wds As Double 'Slope
Cell Input
wdr = Cells(8, 14).Value
wds = Cells(6, 18).Value
ConConvert from inches to feet
wdr = wdr / 12
wdr = wdr / 12
f wdHc= "-" Then
RATIO = "_"
Exit Function
End If
RATIO = wdHw/wdr - wdHc / wdr + 0.5 * wds
End Function

```

\footnotetext{
Appendix
Visual Basic Code Used for Calculations for
Rectangular Flume in Microsoft Excel
}


Function NUH2O(wdTemp)
NUH2O = Exp(-5.5116242-26.354346 / wdTemp-1.3108492 * (Log(wdTemp) / Log(2.71828182846)))
Slight adjustment of nu to match values given in Engineering Fluid Mechanics 7th edition by Crowe, Elger, Roberson
If \(w d\) Temp \(=40\) Then
NUH2O = 0.0000166
Elself wdTemp \(=50\) Then
NUH2O \(=0.0000141\)
End If
End Function
'Calculate Density of Water as a function of Temperature (Fahrenheit)
Function RHOH 2 O (wdTemp)
If wdTemp > 32 And wdTemp < 80 Then
RHOH2O = 1.94
Else
MsgBox ("Water Temperature Invalid")
End If
End Function
'Calculate Mean Cross-section water column velocity
Function MVelocity(wdY, wdflumeW, wdflow)
Dim wdArea As Double 'Cross-sectional Area
wdArea \(=w d Y\) * wdflumeW
MVelocity = wdflow / wdArea
End Function
'Calculate Reynolds Number
Function RE(wdY, wdflumeW, wdflow, wdNu)

Dim wsG As Single
Dim wdArea As Double
Dim wdPw As Double
Dim wdRh As Double
'Acceleration of Gravity
'Cross-sectional Area
'wetted perimeter in culvert 'Hydraulic Radius
\(w s G=32.174\)
\(w d\) Area \(=w d Y\) * \(w d f l u m e W\)
\(w d P w=w d Y * 2+w d f l u m e W\)
\(w d R h=w d A r e a / w d P w\)
RE = 4 * wdflow * wdRh / (wdArea * wdNu) 'Closed Conduit form - for consistancy
End Function
'State Laminar or Turbulent Flow
Function LTFLOW(wdRe)
If \(\mathrm{wdRe}<=2000\) Then
LTFLOW = "Laminar"
Elself wdRe > 2000 Then
LTFLOW = "Turbulent"
End If
End Function
'Froude \# in Flume (Open Channel Case)
Function FROUDE(wdY, wdflumeW, wdflow)
Dim wsG As Single
Dim wdArea As Double
Dim wdTw As Double
Acceleration of Gravity 'Cross-sectional Area 'Top width of cross-section
wsG \(=32.174\)
\(w d T w=w d f l u m e W\)
wdArea \(=w d Y *\) wdflumeW
FROUDE \(=\) wdflow \(/\left(\right.\) wdArea \(\left.{ }^{\wedge} 3 * w s G / w d T w\right) \wedge 0.5\)
End Function
Function M_Velocity(wdY, wdflumeW, wdflow)
Dim wdArea As Double 'Cross-sectional Area
\(w d\) Area \(=w d Y\) * \(w d f l u m e W\)
M_Velocity = wdflow / wdArea
End Function
'Calculate \(\mathrm{V}^{*}\) * \(\mathrm{Ds} / \mathrm{nu}\) in flume
Function Shields X (wdY, wdflumeW, wdflow, wdNu, wdDs, wdS)
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
Dim wdArea As Double & 'Cross-sectional area \\
Dim wsG As Single & 'Acceleration of Gravity \\
Dim wdPw As Double & 'Wetted perimeter \\
Dim wdRh As Double & 'Hydraulic Radius \\
Dim wdVstar As Double & 'Shear Velocity
\end{tabular}
```

wsG=32.174
wdArea = wdY * wdflumeW
wdPw = wdY * 2 + wdflumeW
wdRh = wdArea / wdPw
wdVstar = (wsG * wdRh * wdS) ^ (1 / 2)
ShieldsX = wdVstar * wdDs / wdNu

```
End Function
'Calculate \(\mathrm{V}^{*}\) * Ds/nu in Flume using Modified Prandt//Einstein
Function ShieldsPE(wdY, wdflumeW, wdflow, wdNu, wdDs, wdD65, wdS, wdVmean)
Dim wdArea As Double
Dim wsG As Single
Dim wdPw As Double
Dim wdRh As Double
Dim wdVstar As Double
Dim wdX As Double
Dim wdDeltaX As Double
Dim wdDeltaPrime As Double
Dim wdPhi As Double
Dim wdXnew As Double
\(w s G=32.174\)
    \(w d\) Area \(=w d Y *\) wdflumeW
    wdPw \(=\mathrm{wdY}\) * \(2+\) wdflumeW
    \(w d R h=w d A r e a / w d P w\)
    \(w d X=1\)
    wdDeltaX \(=0.05\)
    wdVstar \(=\) wdVmean \(/\left(5.75\right.\) * \(\left(\log \left(12.27^{*}\right.\right.\) wdX * wdRh / wdD65) \(\left.) / \log (10)\right)\)
    wdDeltaPrime \(=11.6^{*} \mathrm{wdNu} / \mathrm{wdVsta}\)
    If wdD65 / wdDeltaPrime <= 8 Then
    Do While wdDeltaX >= 0.0001
        wdVstar \(=\) wdVmean / (5.75 * (Log(12.27 * wdX * wdRh / wdD65)) / Log(10)
        wdDeltaPrime \(=11.6^{*} \mathrm{wdNu} / \mathrm{wdVstar}\)
        wdPhi \(=(\) Log \((w d D 65 / w d D e l t a P r i m e)) ~ / L o g(10)\)
        wdXnew \(=1.62265+0.09947\) * wdPhi \(-2.833^{*} \mathrm{wdPhi}^{\wedge} 2+1.18924\) * \(\mathrm{wdPhi}^{\wedge} 3+2.5663\) * wdPhi ^ \(4-1.64\) * \(\mathrm{wdPhi} \wedge 5\)
        wdXnew \(=1.62265+0.09947\)
\(w d D e l t a X=\) wdX - wdXnew
        \(w d D e l t a X=w d X\)
\(w d X=w d X n e w\)
    Loop
    End If
    If wdD65 / wdDeltaPrime > 8 Then
    wdX = 1
    wdVstar \(=\) wdVmean / (5.75 * (Log(12.27 * wdX * wdRh / wdD65)) / Log(10))
    End If
    ShieldsPE \(=\mathrm{wdV}\) star * \(\mathrm{wdDs} / \mathrm{wdNu}\)
End Function
'Calculate sidewall correction by Vanoni \& Brooks (1957)
'Calculate Shields Parameters using Modified Prandtle Einstein
Function ShieldsPECorr(wdW, wdDs, wdD65, wdY, wdVmean, wdRe, wdS, wdNu)
Dim wdRh As Double
Dim wdArea As Double
Dim wdPw As Double
Dim wdf As Double
Dim wdfw As Double
Dim wdfwnew As Double
Dim wdDeltaRew_fw As Double
Dim wdfb As Double
Dim wdRhb As Double
Dim wdAreab As Double
Dim wdPwb As Double
Dim wdVstar As Double
Dim wdShearb As Double
Dim wdX As Double
Dim wdDeltaX As Double
Dim wdDeltaPrime As Double
Dim wdPhi As Double
Dim wdXnew As Double
Dim wdChange As Double
Dim wdRe f As Double
Dim wdRew_fw As Double
Dim wdderRew_fw As Double
```

wdG = 32.174
wdArea = wdW * wdY
wdPw = wdW + wdY * 2
wdRh = wdArea / wdPw
wdf = 8* wdG * wdRh * wdS / (wdVmean) ^2
wdRe f = wdRe / wdf
wdfw=0.01 'guess
Do
wdRew_fw = (10^ (1 / (2 * wdfw ^ (1 / 2)) + 0.4)) / wdfw ^ (3 / 2)
wdDeltaRew_fw = wdRe_f - wdRew_fw
wdderRew_fw = Exp(1.15129 / wdfw ^ (1 / 2)) * (-3.76783 * wdfw ^ (1 / 2) - 1.44596) / wdfw ^ 3
wdChange = wdDeltaRew_fw / wdderRew_fw
wdfw = wdfw + wdChange
If Abs(wdChange) < 0.000001 Then
Exit Do
Exit Do
Loop
wdfb = wdf + 2 * wdY/wdW * (wdf - wdfw)
wdPwb = wdW
wdAreab = wdfb * wdVmean ^ 2 * wdPwb / (8 * wdG * wdS)
wdRhb = wdAreab / wdPwb
wdVstar = (wdG*wdRhb*wdS)^(1/2)'von karmon
wdX = 1
wdDeltaX = 0.05
wdVstar = wdVmean / (5.75 * (Log(12.27 * wdX * wdRhb / wdD65)) / Log(10))
wdDeltaPrime = 11.6 * wdNu / wdVstar
If wdD65 / wdDeltaPrime <= 8 Then
Do While wdDeltaX >= 0.0001
wdVstar = wdVmean / (5.75 * (Log(12.27 * wdX * wdRhb / wdD65)) / Log(10))
wdDeltaPrime = 11.6 * wdNu / wdVstar
wdPhi = (Log(wdD65 / wdDeltaPrime)) / Log(10)
wdXnew = 1.62265 + 0.09947 * wdPhi - 2.833 * wdPhi^ 2 + 1.18924 * wdPhi^ 3 + 2.5663 * wdPhi^ 4-1.64 * wdPhi^ 5
wdDeltaX = wdX - wdXnew
wdX = wdXnew
Loop
End If
If wdD65 / wdDeltaPrime > 8 Then
wdX = 1
wdVstar = wdVmean / (5.75 * (Log(12.27 * wdX * wdRhb / wdD65)) / Log(10))
End If
ShieldsPECorr = wdVstar * wdDs / wdNu
End Function
'Calculate sidewall correction by Vanoni \& Brooks (1957)
'Calculate Shields Parameters using Von Karmon
Function ShieldsVKCorr(wdW, wdDs, wdD65,wdY, wdVmean, wdRe, wdS, wdNu)

```
Dim wdRh As Double
Dim wdArea As Double
Dim wdPw As Double
Dim wdf As Double
Dim wdfw As Double
Dim wdfwnew As Double
Dim wdDeltaRew_fw As Double
Dim wdfb As Double
Dim wdRhb As Double
Dim wdAreab As Double
Dim wdPwb As Double
Dim wdVstar As Double
Dim wdShearb As Double
Dim wdChange As Double
Dim wdRe f As Double
Dim wdRew_fw As Double
Dim wdderRew_fw As Double
Dim wdG As Single
\(w d G=32.174\)
\(w d A r e a=w d W{ }^{*} w d Y\)
\(w d P w=w d W+w d Y^{*} 2\)
\(w d P w=w d W+w d Y * 2\)
\(w d R h=w d A r e a / w d P w\)
\(w d R h=w d A r e a / w d P w\)
\(w d f=8 * w^{*} w d R h ~ * ~ w d S ~^{*}(\text { wdVmean })^{\wedge} 2\)
\(w d R e \_f=w d R e / w d f\)
wdfw = 0.01 'guess
wdDeltaRew_fw = wdRe_f-wdRew_fw
\[
\text { wdderRew_fw }=\operatorname{Exp}\left(1.15129 / \mathrm{wdfw}^{\wedge}(1 / 2)\right)^{*}\left(-3.76783^{*} \mathrm{wdfw}^{\wedge}(1 / 2)-1.44596\right) / \mathrm{wdfw}^{\wedge} 3
\]
wdChange = wdDeltaRew_fw / wdderRew_fw
wdfw = wdfw + wdChange

If Abs(wdChange) \(<0.000001\) Then Exit Do
End If
Loop
\(w d f b=w d f+2\) * wdY / wdW * (wdf - wdfw)
\(w d P w b=w d W\)
wdAreab \(=\) wdfb * wdVmean ^ 2 * wdPwb / (8 * wdG * wdS)
wdRhb = wdAreab / wdPwb
wdVstar \(=(w d G * w d R h b * w d S)^{\wedge}(1 / 2)\) 'von karmon
ShieldsVKCorr \(=\mathrm{wdVstar} * \mathrm{wdDs} / \mathrm{wdNu}\)

\section*{Appendix J}

Channel Cross-section Formulas

\[
\begin{aligned}
& A=(Y \cdot b)+\left(\frac{Y^{2}}{2} m_{L}\right)+\left(\frac{Y^{2}}{2} m_{R}\right) \\
& P=b+\left(Y \cdot \sqrt{1+m_{L}^{2}}\right)+\left(Y \cdot \sqrt{1+m_{R}^{2}}\right) \\
& T_{W}=b+Y \cdot\left(m_{L}+m_{R}\right) \\
& A \cdot h_{c}=\left(\frac{Y^{2} \cdot b}{2}\right)+\left(\frac{Y^{3}}{6} m_{L}\right)+\left(\frac{Y^{3}}{6} m_{R}\right)
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
A=(Y \cdot b)+\left(Y^{2} \cdot m\right)
\]
\[
P=b+\left(2 \cdot Y \cdot \sqrt{1+m^{2}}\right)
\]
\[
T_{W}=b+(2 \cdot Y \cdot m)
\]
\[
R_{h}=\frac{A}{P} \quad E=Y+\frac{Q^{2}}{2 g \cdot A^{2}}+\Delta z
\]
\[
M=\left(A \cdot h_{c}\right)+\frac{Q^{2}}{g \cdot A}
\]
\(A \cdot h_{c}=\left(\frac{Y^{2} \cdot b}{2}\right)+\left(\frac{Y^{3} \cdot m}{3}\right)\)

\[
\begin{gathered}
\beta=a \cos \left(1-\frac{Y}{R}\right) \quad \text { in radians } \\
A=R^{2} \cdot(\beta-\cos (\beta) \cdot \sin (\beta)) \\
P=2 \cdot R \cdot \beta \\
T_{W}=2 \cdot R \cdot \sin (\beta) \\
A \cdot h_{c}=R \cdot\left(\frac{2}{3} R^{2} \cdot \sin (\beta)^{3}-A \cdot \cos (\beta)\right)
\end{gathered}
\]
(Rahmeyer, 2003)```

