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#### Abstract

Platelet-derived growth factor receptor $\beta$ (PDGFR $\beta$ ) is a transmembrane tyrosine kinase receptor and it is upregulated in various malignant tumors. Radiolabeled PDGFR $\beta$ inhibitors can be a convenient tool for the imaging of tumors overexpressing PDGFR $\beta$. In this study, $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]-1-\{5$-iodo-2-[5-(2-methoxyethoxy)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-1-yl]quinoline-8-yl $\}$ piperidin-4-amine ([ $\left.{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP $)$ and $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]-\mathrm{N}$-3-iodobenzoyl-1-\{2-[5-(2-methoxyethoxy)-1 H -benzo[d]imidazol-1-yl]quinolin-8-yl\}-piperidin-4-amine ([ $\left.{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IB-IQP) were designed and synthesized, and their potential as PDGFR $\beta$ imaging agents was evaluated. In cellular uptake experiments, $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IIQP}$ and [ $\left.{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IB-IQP showed higher uptake by PDGFR $\beta$-positive cells than by PDGFR $\beta$ negative cells, and the uptake in PDGFR $\beta$-positive cells was inhibited by co-culture with PDGFR $\beta$ ligands. The biodistribution of both radiotracers in normal mice exhibited hepatobiliary excretion as the main route. In mice inoculated with BxPC3luc (PDGFR $\beta$-positive), the tumor uptake of radioactivity at 1 h after the injection of $\left[{ }^{125}\right.$ I]IIQP was significantly higher than that after the injection of $\left[{ }^{125}\right.$ I]IB-IQP. These results indicated that $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP can be a suitable PDGFR $\beta$ imaging agent. However, further modification of its structure will be required to obtain a more appropriate PDGFR $\beta$-targeted imaging agent with a higher signal / noise ratio.
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## 1. Introduction

PDGFR $\beta$ belongs to a subfamily of receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs). It possesses an outer membrane with a PDGF ligand binding site and an inner membrane tyrosine kinase (TK) domain with an adenosine triphosphate (ATP) binding site. ${ }^{1}$ Binding of PDGF ligands to the extracellular binding domain triggers PDGFR $\beta$ dimerization, thus inducing phosphorylation of its intracellular regions due to an activated ATP-binding domain. This is followed by the activation of signaling pathways that regulate important cellular functions. ${ }^{2}$ The expression of PDGFR $\beta$ is highly restricted in most normal cells; however, upregulation of PDGFR $\beta$ in numerous human tumors and its relationship with tumor progression features such as cell migration, metastasis, angiogenesis, and proliferation, have been reported. ${ }^{3-5}$ Therefore, PDGFR $\beta$ can be an attractive target not only for cancer therapy but also for developing tumor-imaging agents. ${ }^{6-8}$

Nuclear medicine has been a revolutionary invention in the field of diagnostic imaging because it is noninvasive and facilitates continuous monitoring of disease progression or treatment response., ${ }^{9,10}$ Moreover, the imaging modalities in nuclear medicine, such as single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) and positron emission tomography (PET) can quantify receptor density and molecular target expression in patients, thus becoming a useful tool in drug development. ${ }^{10-12}$ Previous studies have reported imaging agents, including radiolabeled protein, ${ }^{13,14}$ aptamer, ${ }^{15}$ affibody molecules, ${ }^{16,17}$ and peptide ${ }^{18,19}$ that target the extracellular parts of PDGFR $\beta$. Although the imaging of intracellular parts of PDGFR $\beta$, particularly its ATP-binding site, has hardly been reported, ${ }^{8}$ the ATP-binding is very important for
following signal transductions and growth of cancer cells. Therefore, the ATPbinding site can be a target for TK inhibitors (TKIs). Meanwhile, mutations of the ATP-binding site have frequently been found ${ }^{20,21}$. The mutation status often affects therapeutic effects of TKIs. Thus, the information of the ATP-binding site, containing the mutation status, is useful for the prediction of therapeutic effects of TKIs. Other radiolabeled TK inhibitors (TKIs) ${ }^{22-26}$ and their potential advantages, such as assessment of drug sensitivity ${ }^{20}$, mutation status ${ }^{21}$, and usefulness in drug screening ${ }^{11,27,28}$ have been demonstrated.

1-\{2-[5-(2-Methoxyethoxy)-1 H -benzo[d]imidazol-1-yl]quinolin-8-yl\}piperidin-4-amine (CP-673451, IQP) (Figure 1) is a highly selective benzimidazole inhibitor of PDGFR $\beta\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ over PDGFR $\alpha\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=10 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. Importantly, it is 1,000 times selective compared with many other RTKs. It competitively inhibits ATP-binding to the ATP-binding site of PDGFR $\beta$ and blocks phosphorylation. ${ }^{29,30}$ High binding affinity and high selectivity to the molecular target are important for a lead compound of imaging probes. IQP can be an attractive compound in an attempt to image the ATP binding site of PDGFR $\beta$. Therefore, we speculated that radiolabeled IQP derivatives that bind the ATP-binding site may be useful imaging probes.

To develop novel radiolabeled molecular probes for PDGFR $\beta$ imaging, we chose radioiodine as a radionuclide in this study because ${ }^{123} \mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=13.2 \mathrm{~h}\right)$ and ${ }^{124} \mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=\right.$ 4.2 d) are useful radionuclides for SPECT and PET, respectively. We did not have sufficient information about the introduction of radioiodine into IQP structure to retain high affinity for PDGFR $\beta$. To evaluate the feasibility of IQP derivatives as

PDGFR $\beta$ imaging probes, we designed and synthesized two different types of iodine labeled IQP, 1-\{5-iodo-2-[5-(2-methoxyethoxy)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-1-yl]quinolin-8-yl\}piperidin-4-amine (IIQP) and $N$-3-iodobenzoyl-1-\{2-[5-(2-methoxyethoxy)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-1-yl]-quinolin-8-yl $\}$-piperidin-4-amine (IBIQP). In IIQP, iodine was directly introduced to C-5 of the quinoline group of IQP because it is a reactive site for halogenation of IQP. In IB-IQP, iodine was indirectly introduced by conjugation of 3-iodo benzoyl group using SIB ( $N$-succinimidyl-3iodobenzoate) with the primary amine group of IQP. We then examined the binding affinities of two derivatives for PDGFR $\beta$ s. Subsequently, radiotracers $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] I I Q P$ and $\left[{ }^{125}\right.$ I]IB-IQP (Figure 1) were synthesized and evaluated in vitro and in vivo to investigate their usefulness as PDGFR $\beta$ imaging agents. Although we are interested in developing imaging probes for SPECT and PET, ${ }^{125}$ I was used as an alternative radionuclide in these initial studies because of its long half-life $\left(\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=59.4 \mathrm{~d}\right)$.


Figure 1. Chemical structures of IQP, $\left[{ }^{[125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IIQP}$, and $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IB}-\mathrm{IQP}$.

## 2. Material and methods

### 2.1. General

Commercial reagents and solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), Wako Pure Chemical Industries (Osaka, Japan), Nacalai Tesque,

Inc., (Kyoto, Japan), Tokyo Chemical Industry, Co., Ltd., (Tokyo, Japan) and Kanto Chemical, Co., Inc. (Tokyo, Japan) and used without further purification unless otherwise stated.
$\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ Sodium iodide ( $644 \mathrm{GBq} / \mathrm{mg}$ ) was purchased from Perkin Elmer (Waltham, MA, USA). The radioactivity was measured by an Auto Gamma System ARC-7010B (Hitachi, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

Bicinchoninic Acid (BCA) Protein Assay Kit and Cell Counting Kit (CCK) were purchased from Nacalai Tesque, Inc. and Dojindo (Kumamoto, Japan), respectively. Recombinant murine platelet-derived growth factor-BB (PDGF-BB) was purchased from PeproTech (Rocky Hill, NJ, USA). TR-PCT1 rodent brain pericyte cell line was a generous gift from Dr. Emi Nakashima (Keio University, Tokyo, Japan), ${ }^{31}$ MCF7 breast cancer cell lines were purchased from DS Pharma Biomedical (Osaka, Japan), and BxPC3-luc pancreatic cell line was purchased from JCRB Cell Bank (Ibaraki, Japan).

Proton and carbon nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\right)$ spectra were obtained with JEOL JNM-ECS400 (JEOL Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). Direct analysis in real time mass spectra (DART-MS) and Electrospray ionization mass spectra (ESIMS) were obtained with JEOL JMS-T100TD (JEOL Ltd). Purification were conducted using reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RPHPLC) system. TLC analysis were performed with silica plates (Art 5553, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Optical density in WST-8 assay was obtained using Infinite ${ }^{\circledR}$ F200 Pro microplate reader (TECAN, Männedorf, Switzerland).

### 2.2. Synthesis of reference compounds and precursors

Intermediate and reference compounds, IQP, ATE, and SIB, were synthesized according to the reported studies, with a slight modification. ${ }^{32-37}$ Detailed procedures were shown in the Supporting Information.

### 2.2.1. 1-\{5-Iodo-2-[5-(2-methoxyethoxy)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-1-yl]quinolin-8-yl\}piperidin-4-amine (IIQP) (13)

A mixture of $N$-chlorosuccinimide (NCS) ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$.$) and sodium$ iodide ( NaI ) ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$.) in acetic acid ( 5 mL ) was added to $\mathbf{1 2}$ (209 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.$) in acetic acid ( 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h under nitrogen atmosphere. After adjusting pH to 9.0 using saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate $\left(\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}\right)$, the reaction mixture was extracted with dichloromethane ( 3 x 30 mL ). The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (chloroform/methanol = 50/1) to afford 13 (217 $\mathrm{mg}, 80 \%)$ as a pale yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.76-1.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.01-2.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.85-2.96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.50(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.82-3.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.99(2 \mathrm{H}$, br d), $4.23-4.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.39(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $156.05,150.46,147.08,145.51,144.70,142.19,141.34,137.42,129.16,126.68$, $119.56,114.91,114.35,113.68,103.52,88.63,71.08,67.77,59.26,51.44$ (2C), 48.73,
36.28 (2C). HRMS (ESI + ) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]: m / z=544.1204$, found 544.1166.





Scheme 1. Synthesis of reference compound IQP (a) sodium tungstate dihydrate, hydrogen peroxide, $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $5 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{~b})$ acetic anhydrate, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 7.5 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{c}) \mathrm{HCl}, 95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{~d})$ benzyl bromide, potassium carbonate, reflux, $6 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{e}) \mathrm{POCl}_{3}$, reflux, $16 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{f})$ cesium carbonate, reflux, $3 \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{~g})$ palladium acetate, DIPHOS, cesium carbonate, phenyl boronic acid, $115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~d}$ (h) (i) palladium chloride, TEA, formic acid, $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$ (ii) formamidine acetate, reflux, overnight (i) $N$-phenylbis(trifluoromethanesulfonimide), room temperature, 2 d (j) cesium carbonate, rac-BINAP, tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium $(0)$, reflux, $3 \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{TFA}$, room temperature, 15 min .

### 2.2.2. tert-Butyl (1-\{5-iodo-2-[5-(2-methoxyethoxy)-1H-

benzo[d]imidazol-1-yl]quinolin-8-yl\}piperidin-4-yl)carbamate (14)
A mixture of $\mathbf{1 3}$ ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.), triethylamine (TEA) ( $56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.4$ mmol, 2.0 eq.) and di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) ( $109 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ eq.) in dry dichloromethane ( 2 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 3 days under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane and washed successively with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine. The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford 14 $(100 \mathrm{mg})$ as a pale yellow solid. The product was used in the following reaction without further purification.
2.2.3. tert-Butyl (1-\{2-[5-(2-methoxyethoxy)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-1-yl]-5-(tributylstannyl)quinolin-8-yl\}piperidin-4-yl)carbamate (tin-IQP) (15)

A mixture of 14 ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 72.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.), hexabutyldistannane ( $73 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 144.6$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 2.0$ eq. $)$, and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) $\operatorname{Pd}\left[\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right]_{4}(4 \mathrm{mg}$, $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \% \mathrm{eq}$.$) in dry toluene ( 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was refluxed for 24 h under nitrogen atmosphere. After removing the catalyst by filtration through a pad of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ and washing with toluene, the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by HPLC with mobile phase system methanol (A) (with $0.05 \%$ TEA) and water (B) (with $0.05 \%$ TEA), A : $97.5-100 \%$, 20 min using 5C ${ }_{18}$ MSII (10ID x 250 $\mathrm{mm})$ column, flow rate $4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, to afford $15(28 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%)$ as a pale yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): \delta 0.82-0.86(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.11-1.21(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.26-$ $1.35(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.43(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.47-1.59(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.83-1.88(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.74-2.79(2 \mathrm{H}$, m), $3.35(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 3.72-3.74(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}), 4.19-4.21(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 7.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.17-8.23(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 155.66,154.99,149.57,146.49,145.02,142.18,141.49,141.45$, $135.50,134.54,132.47,126.25,118.10,116.49,114.49,112.23,102.50,77.51,70.53$, $67.21,58.19,51.30(2 \mathrm{C}), 47.61,32.67$ (2C), 28.69 (3C), 28.32 (3C), 26.66 (3C), 13.52 (3C), 10.01 (3C). HRMS (ESI + ), calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Sn}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]: m / z=808.3818$, found 808.3846 .
2.2.4. N-3-iodobenzoyl-1-\{2-[5-(2-methoxyethoxy)-1H-
benzo[d]imidazol-1-yl]-quinolin-8-yl\}-piperidin-4-amine (IB-IQP) (18)

A mixture of 12 ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 30.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}.), N, N$-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) ( $6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 33.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$.$) , and 17(11 \mathrm{mg}, 33.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$.$) in dry$ dichloromethane $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h under nitrogen atmosphere. After completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane and washed with water. The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (chloroform/methanol $=100 / 1)$ to afford $\mathbf{1 8}(15 \mathrm{mg}$, $77 \%$ ) as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta 2.01-2.06(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.86-2.91(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.32(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.71-3.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.87(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}), 4.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s), $4.19-4.21(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.31-7.38(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 164.41,155.56,149.04,146.97,145.08,142.42,140.50,140.34$, $139.66,136.95,135.67,130.48,127.34,126.97,126.40,126.31,121.63,118.35$, $116.37,114.21,112.45,102.76,94.65,70.52,67.26,58.22,51.40(2 \mathrm{C}), 47.18,32.11$ (2C). HRMS (ESI + ), calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{IN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]: m / z=648.1466$, found 648.1468.
2.2.5. N-3-(tributylstannyl)-benzoyl-1-\{2-[5-(2-methoxyethoxy)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-1-yl]-quinolin-8-yl\}-piperidin-4-amine (ATE-IQP)

The mixture of $12(27 \mathrm{mg}, 73.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.$) , DIPEA ( 15 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 80.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.1$ eq.), and 16 ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 80.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$.$) in dry dichloromethane ( 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h under nitrogen atmosphere. After completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane and washed with water. The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product purified by column chromatography on silica gel (chloroform/methanol $=100 / 1)$ to afford $19(33 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%)$ as a pale yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 0.84-0.88(9 H, m), 1.01-1.18(6 H, m), 1.26-1.35(6 H, m), 1.45-$ $1.60(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.03-2.06(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.86-2.91(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.31(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.66-3.72(2 \mathrm{H}$, m), $3.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ d $), 4.03(1 \mathrm{H}$, br s), $4.17-4.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.30-7.38(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.46$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 166.56,155.56,149.08,146.94,145.12,142.39,141.39$, $140.50,140.31,138.81,135.13,134.47,127.60,127.33,126.94,126.35,126.29$, $121.56,118.27 .116 .30,114.26,112.43,102.68,70.51,67.21,58.13,51.40$ (2C), 47.01, 32.21 (2C), 28.58 (3C), 26.68 (3C), 13.53 (3C), 9.21 (3C). HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]: m / z=812.3556$, found 812.3573).

### 2.3. Cell viability assays

TR-PCT1 cells were seeded on 96-well culture plates ( $5 \times 10^{3}$ cells/well) and cultured in DMEM medium containing $2 \%$ FBS and $20 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ PDGF-BB at $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ atmosphere. Cells were treated with each compound for 72 h
and cell viability was assessed using the Cell Counting Kit following the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, cells were incubated with WST-8 at $33{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ atmosphere for 30 min , followed by measuring optical density at 450 nm .

### 2.4. Radiolabeling

Radiotracers, $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP and $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IB-IQP, were synthesized by an iododestannylation reaction of the corresponding tributylstannyl precursors ( $\mathbf{1 5}$ or 19) using NCS as an oxidizing agent. The radiotracers were isolated by RP-HPLC using a Cosmosil $5 \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{MSII}$ column (4.6 ID $\times 150 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) at the flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ with a gradient mobile phase of $70 \%$ methanol in water with $0.05 \%$ TEA to $90 \%$ methanol in water with $0.05 \%$ TEA for 20 min . The column temperature was maintained at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Radiochemical yield and purity were determined by an auto well gamma counter.

### 2.4.1. Synthesis of $\left[{ }^{125} I\right] I I Q P$ (20)

A solution of [ $\left.{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{NaI}$ in 0.1 M NaOH aqueous solution (non-carrier added, 370 $\mathrm{kBq}, 2 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was charged into a sealed vial containing $5(1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, acetic acid $(5 \%, 30 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, acetonitrile $(55 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, and $\mathrm{NCS}(5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, 10 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. The mixture was shaken at room temperature for 15 min , quenched by addition of sodium hydrogensulfite ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, 10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and the solvent was removed by nitrogen gassing. TFA was added to the residue and the shaking was allowed to continue for 30 min . After removing TFA by nitrogen gassing, the residue was mixed with initial mobile
phase of HPLC. The reaction mixture was shaken for some minutes, filtered, and analyzed by HPLC.


Scheme 2. Synthesis of reference compound, precursor, and radioiodinated compound ${ }^{[125}$ I]IIQP (a) NCS, NaI, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$ (b) $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, TEA, room temperature, 3 d (c) hexabutyldistannane, $\operatorname{Pd}\left[P\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right]_{4}$, reflux, 24 h (d) $\left[^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{NaI}$, NCS, acetic acid, room temperature, 15 min (e) TFA, room temperature, 30 min .

### 2.4.2. Synthesis of $\left[{ }^{125} I\right] I B-I Q P$ (21)

A solution of $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{NaI}$ in 0.1 M NaOH aqueous solution (non-carrier added, 370 $\mathrm{kBq}, 2 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added in a sealed vial containing $19(1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, acetic acid $(5 \%, 10 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, acetonitrile $(10 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, and NCS $(5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, 15 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. The mixture was shaken at room temperature for 15 min , quenched by addition of sodium hydrogensulfite ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, 15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and purified by HPLC.


Scheme 3. Synthesis of reference compound, precursor, and radioiodinated compound $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IB-IQP (a) $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{NaI}$, NCS, acetic acid, room temperature, 15 min.

### 2.5. Determination of partition coefficients

Partition coefficients of [ $\left.{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP and $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IB-IQP into $n$-octanol and 0.1 M phosphate buffer ( PB ) pH 7.4 were measured as described previously with a slight modification. ${ }^{38}$ Briefly, $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP or $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] I B-I Q P$ was added to the mixture of $n$ octanol ( 3.0 mL ) and $\mathrm{PB}(3.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a test tube. The test tube was vortexed ( 1 min ), left at room temperature ( 10 min ), and centrifuged ( $5 \mathrm{~min}, 3,060 \mathrm{~g}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). $n$-Octanol layer ( 2.0 mL ) was transferred into new test tube followed by addition of fresh $n$ octanol (1.0 mL) and PB (3.0 mL). After repeating vortex, standing, and centrifuge, radioactivity of each layer, $n$-octanol $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{PB}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, was counted using an auto well gamma counter $(\mathrm{n}=4)$.

### 2.6. In vitro stability assay

The stability of radiotracers, $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP and $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IB-IQP, were analyzed as described previously with a slight modification. ${ }^{39}$ Briefly, $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP or $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IB}-\mathrm{IQP}$ solution $(50 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in sealed tube containing 0.1 M phosphate buffered saline (PBS) pH $7.4(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1,3,6$, and 24 h . After incubation, the purities of radiotracers were analyzed by TLC using chloroform $/$ methanol $=5 / 1$ and 20/1 for $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP and $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IB-IQP, respectively as a developing solvent and the results were confirmed by HPLC.

### 2.7. Cellular uptake study

BxPC3-luc or MCF7 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium containing 10\% FBS and penicillin (100 IU/mL)-streptomycin $(100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ on 6-well culture plates (containing $2 \times 10^{5}$ cells/well) for 24 h using a humidified atmosphere ( $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) incubator at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After removal of medium, a solution of $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IIQP}$ or $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IB}-\mathrm{IQP}$ $(3.7 \mathrm{kBq} /$ well $)$ in medium without FBS was added. After incubation for $0.5,1,2$, and 4 h , the medium from each well was removed and the cells were washed once with ice-cold PBS (1 mL). The cells were dissolved using 1 M NaOH aqueous solution $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and wells were washed with 1 M NaOH aqueous solution $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The radioactivity of pooled basic fractions was determined using an auto well gamma counter. The total cell protein was quantified using a BCA Protein Assay Kit following the manufacturer's recommendations and bovine serum albumin as a protein standard. All data were expressed as percent dose per microgram protein (\%dose $/ \mu \mathrm{g}$ protein).

In blocking experiments, inhibitors (IQP, IIQP, or IB-IQP with final concentration $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) in 1 mL of medium without FBS were added to wells containing $2 \times 10^{5}$ cells/well. After incubation for $10 \mathrm{~min},\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP or $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IB}-\mathrm{IQP}$ (3.7 $\mathrm{kBq} /$ well) in 1 mL of medium without FBS was added to each well. Radioactivity and protein concentration in cells were determined using the same method abovementioned.

### 2.8. Competitive binding assay using BxPC3-luc cells

BxPC3-luc cells in RPMI 1640 medium containing 10\% FBS and penicillin (100 $\mathrm{IU} / \mathrm{mL})$-streptomycin $(100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ were seeded on 96-well plates (containing 5,000 cells/wells) and incubated for 24 h using a humidified atmosphere $\left(5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP and nine concentrations (ranging from 1 pM to 1 mM ) of displacing nonradiolabeled ligands (IQP, IIQP and IB-IQP) in assay buffer ( 25 mM HEPES, pH adjusted to 7.4) were added. After incubation at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 min , the cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS $(150 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ to remove the unbound radioligand. The cells were dissolved using 1 M NaOH aqueous solution $(150 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and wells were washed with 1 M NaOH aqueous solution $(150 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. The bound radioactivity was determined using an auto well gamma counter. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were calculated by GraphPad Prism 5.0 software (La Jolla, CA, USA).

### 2.9. Animals

All animal handling procedures were approved by the Kanazawa University Animal Care Committee (Permit Number: AP-132633). Experiments with animals
were conducted in accordance with the Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of Kanazawa University. The animals were housed with free access to food and water at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a 12 h light/dark schedule. Six week-old male ddY (27-30 g ) and four week-old female BALB/c $n u / n u$ mice $(12-17 \mathrm{~g})$ were purchased from Japan SLC Inc. (Hamamatsu, Japan). For preparing tumor-bearing model, $5 \times 10^{6}$ BxPC3-luc cells were subcutaneously inoculated into left shoulder of BALB/c $n u / n u$ mice. The tumor reached palpable size after 2 weeks of the inoculation.

### 2.9.1. Biodistribution study

A saline solution of $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP or $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IB-IQP $(74 \mathrm{kBq}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ containing $1 \%$ tween-80 and $10 \%$ ethanol was injected intravenously into the tail of the mice. The ddY mice were sacrificed at $2,10 \mathrm{~min}, 1,4$, and 24 h , meanwhile the tumor-bearing mice were sacrificed at 1 h and $4 \mathrm{~h}\left(\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]\right.$ IIQP $)$ postinjection.

For in vivo blocking studies, female BALB/c $n u / n u$ mice were intraperitoneally injected with IQP ( $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) as a blocking agent 1 h prior to intravenously injection of $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP $(37 \mathrm{kBq}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. The mice were sacrificed at 1 h after administration of radiotracer.

Tissues of interest were removed and weighed. The radioactivity of the tissues was determined using an auto well gamma counter, and counts were corrected for background radiation. The data were expressed as percent injected dose per gram tissue ( $\% \mathrm{ID} / \mathrm{g}$ ).

All data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 5.0 software and displayed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD). Significance for in vitro blocking studies was calculated using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Dunnett's post hoc test compared to the control group. Significance in cell viability assays was determined using ANOVA followed by Tukey's post hoc test. Significant differences of biodistribution in normal mice and tumor-bearing mice between [ ${ }^{125}$ I]IIQP and [ ${ }^{125}$ I]IB-IQP groups were determined using Student's $t$-test (unpaired, two-tailed). Significance for in vivo blocking studies between control and blocking groups were calculated using Student's $t$-test (unpaired, two-tailed). Results were considered statistically significant at $p<0.05$.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Synthesis of reference compounds and precursors

Lead compound 12 (Scheme 1) and the non-radioactive iodinated reference compounds, 13 and 18, were easily obtained. Iodine was incorporated to the C-5 of quinoline core of IQP using mixture reagents of NCS and NaI with stirring at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h in acetic acid to obtain compound $\mathbf{1 3}$ (Scheme 2). On the other hand, compound $\mathbf{1 8}$ was synthesized by stirring the mixture of synthesized SIB and IQP at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h in a basic solution (Scheme 3). Retention time for compounds 13 and 18 on RP-HPLC were 11.7 and 12.5 min , respectively (Supporting Information). For synthesis of tin precursor 15, utilizing the $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ catalyst, tri-butyl tin group was introduced into IQP instead of iodine in $\mathbf{1 4}$ to obtain compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ in $48 \%$ yield after
purification using RP-HPLC. Meanwhile, compound 19 was obtained by the reaction between ATE and IQP with 56\% yield.

### 3.2. Cell viability assays

To assess the binding potential of IIQP and IB-IQP to the ATP-binding site of PDGFR $\beta$, TR-PCT1 cells were cultured in the presence of various concentration of IQP, IIQP, or IB-IQP ( $1-1000 \mathrm{nM}$ ). As displayed in Figure 2, the effects of IB-IQP were similar to those of IQP; however, IIQP was more effective than IQP in reducing the viability of TR-PCT1 cells.


Figure 2. Cell viability after exposure to IQP, IIQP, and IB-IQP by WST-8 assay. Data are presented as mean $\pm$ SD for three samples. Significance was determined using a one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post hoc test ( ${ }^{*} p<0.05,{ }^{* *} p<0.01,{ }^{* * *} p<0.001$ ).

### 3.3. Radiolabeling

Two novel ${ }^{125}$ I labeled IQP, $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IIQP}$ and $\left[{ }^{[125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IB}-\mathrm{IQP}$, were synthesized by an iododestannylation reaction with the corresponding tributyltin precursors, as outlined in Schemes 2 and 3, respectively. Both these radiotracers were synthesized using NCS
as an oxidizing agent in an acidic solution at room temperature in high radiochemical yield (95 and 85\%, respectively). After purification using RP-HPLC, the radiochemical purities were over $99 \%$.

### 3.4. Partition coefficient

The $n$-octanol/PB partition coefficients for $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP and $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IB-IQP were 2.71 $\pm 0.03$ and $3.19 \pm 0.17$, respectively. Lipophilicity of $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IB}-\mathrm{IQP}$ was higher than that of $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP.

### 3.5. In vitro stability assay

The stability of radiotracers in 0.1 M PBS ( pH 7.4 ) was evaluated using TLC analysis and confirmed using HPLC. After incubation for 24 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the purity of the radiotracers remained high, and $93.9 \pm 0.4 \%$ of $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right.$ ]IIQP and $94.1 \pm 0.7 \%$ of [ ${ }^{125}$ I]IB-IQP remained intact.

### 3.6. Cell uptake study

The in vitro cell uptake experiments of $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IIQP}$ and $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IB}-\mathrm{IQP}$ were performed using two types of cell lines: PDGFR $\beta$-positive BxPC3-luc cells and PDGFR $\beta$-negative MCF7 cells. ${ }^{15,18,40}$ As shown in Figure 3, BxPC3-luc cells showed higher uptake of $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP and $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IB-IQP then that by MCF7 cells. The uptake of [ ${ }^{125}$ I]IIQP in BxPC3-luc cells reached $2.34 \%$ dose $/ \mu \mathrm{g}$ protein after 4 h incubation, whereas that of $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IB-IQP reached $0.95 \%$ dose $/ \mu \mathrm{g}$ protein.
(a)

(b)


Figure 3. Cellular uptake study. Time-dependent accumulation of (a) $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IIQP}$ and (b) $\left[{ }^{125}\right.$ I]IB-IQP in BxPC3-luc (closed circles) and MCF7 (open circles) cells. Data were presented as mean $\pm$ SD for three samples.

In the blocking studies, the uptakes of $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IIQP}$ and $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IB}-\mathrm{IQP}$ in $\mathrm{BxPC} 3-l u c$ cells were significantly reduced by the pretreatment of excess amount of a PDGFR $\beta$ ligand, IQP, or non-radiolabeled compounds, IIQP or IB-IQP (Figure 4).
(a)

(b)

$\square$ Control

- IQP
- (a) IIQP or (b) IB-IQP

Figure 4. In vitro blocking study of (a) $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP and (b) [ $\left.{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IB}-\mathrm{IQP}$ in BxPC3-luc cells. Data were presented as mean $\pm$ SD for three samples. Significance was determined using a one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's post hoc test ( ${ }^{*} p<0.001$, vs control [ $\left.{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IIQP}$ or $\left.\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IB}-\mathrm{IQP}\right)$.

### 3.7. Competitive binding assay using BxPC3-luc cells

The competitive binding of $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP to BxPC3-luc cells was investigated in the presence of PDGFR $\beta$ ligand, IQP, IIQP, and IB-IQP. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were found to be $311 \pm 82 \mathrm{nM}$ for IQP, $44 \pm 17 \mathrm{nM}$ for IIQP, and $422 \pm 54 \mathrm{nM}$ for IB-IQP.

### 3.8. Biodistribution studies

Biodistribution of $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] I I Q P$ and $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IB}-\mathrm{IQP}$ in normal mice is summarized in Table 1. High accumulation of radioactivity after administration in liver, small intestine, and large intestine were shown. It was excreted into feces from these tissues during 24 h . It indicated that main excretion route of both $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP and $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IB}-\mathrm{IQP}$
was hepatobiliary. It was confirmed that the radioactivity in feces excreted during 24 h after injection of $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP and $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IB}-\mathrm{IQP}$ (75.93 and $68.75 \% \mathrm{ID}$, respectively) were much higher than the radioactivity in urine ( 3.79 and $13.48 \%$ ID, respectively). Additionally, radioactivity was hardly observed in any tissues after 24 h evaluation for both radiotracers.

Biodistribution of $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IIQP}$ and $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IB}-\mathrm{IQP}$ in BxPC3-luc tumor-bearing mice is summarized in Table 2. Accumulation of radioactivity in tumor at 1 h postinjection of [ $\left.{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP $(1.29 \% \mathrm{ID} / \mathrm{gram})$ was significantly higher than that of [ $\left.{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IB-IQP ( $0.52 \% \mathrm{ID} / \mathrm{gram}$ ) in biodistribution experiments using BxPC3-luc tumor-bearing mice. Accumulation of radioactivity in tumor at 4 h postinjection of $\left[{ }^{[25} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP was $0.45 \% \mathrm{ID} / \mathrm{gram}$, which was lower than that at 1 h postinjection.

In in vivo blocking studies, the effect of IQP, a high affinity ligand for PDGFR $\beta$, on tumor uptake of $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP at 1 h after administration is shown in Figure 5. In this case, the radioactivity level in the blood after injection of $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IIQP}$ was significantly increased by pretreatment of IQP. The values of $\%$ injected dose per gram of control group and IQP pretreatment group were $0.69 \pm 0.10$ and $3.16 \pm 0.15$, respectively. Thus, the figures are shown as not only \% injected dose per gram but also as tumor / blood ratio. The result demonstrated that pretreatment of an excess amount of IQP resulted in a significant decrease in tumor uptake and the uptake ratio of tumor to blood at 1 h postinjection of $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP.


Figure 5. Tumor uptake and tumor/blood uptake ratios of [ $\left.{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] I \mathrm{IQP}$ at 1 h postinjection with IQP ( $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) (blocking group) or control group. Data were presented as means $\pm \mathrm{SD}$ for three or four mice. Significance was determined using an unpaired Student's $t$-test $\left({ }^{*} p<0.05,{ }^{* *} p<0.001\right)$.

## 4. Discussion

To develop probes for PDGFR $\beta$ imaging, the affinity of newly synthesized IQP analogs, which contain iodine molecules, for PDGFR $\beta$, was evaluated using WST- 8 assay. WST-8 assay determines cell viability; therefore, it cannot directly be used for affinity studies. A negative correlation is speculated between the PDGFR $\beta$-analog affinity and TR-PCT1 cell viability because TR-PCT1 cells express PDGFR $\beta$, and they die when the receptor signaling pathway is interrupted. ${ }^{41,42}$ Therefore, WST-8 assay was used as an index to evaluate affinity changes between the ligand and PDGFR $\beta$ by introducing an iodine molecule in IQP. IB-IQP and IQP displayed similar
affinity for PDGFR $\beta$ as a molecular target, whereas IIQP displayed a higher affinity than that of IQP (Figure 2). A competitive binding assay was also performed to evaluate the affinity of ligands for PDGFR $\beta$. The competitive binding of $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP to BxPC3-luc cells was investigated in the presence of PDGFR $\beta$ ligands, IQP, IIQP, and IB-IQP. In this assay, $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP do not always bind to only PDGFR $\beta$ in tumor cells. Thus, the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of the experiments may not indicate the affinity for PDGFR $\beta$ completely. However, the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values should be an index of the affinity. As the results, the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of IIQP (44 nM) was lower than those of IQP (311 nM) and IB-IQP (422 nM), indicating that IIQP possesses higher affinity than IQP and IB-IQP. It was consistent with WST-8 assay. Generally, the introduction of certain molecules, functional groups or elements into lead compounds can reduce their affinity for target molecules. However, in this study, the introduction of iodine into IQP increased the affinity of IIQP for PDGFR $\beta$. This result is extremely favorable for developing molecular probes for PDGFR $\beta$ imaging.

Iodogen, chloramine-T (CAT), NCS, and peracetic acid (PAA) are commonly used as oxidizing agents for the preparation of radioiodinated compounds. [ ${ }^{125} \mathrm{I}$ ]IIQP and [ ${ }^{125}$ I]IB-IQP were synthesized using an iododestannylation technique without the addition of a carrier and using NCS as an oxidizing agent with excellent radiochemical yields. We also tried to synthesize [ ${ }^{125} \mathrm{I}$ ]IIQP and $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IB}-\mathrm{IQP}$ using CAT and PAA as oxidizing agents; however, the radiochemical yields were $<50 \%$. These results indicated that NCS is the most suitable oxidizing agent for compounds with oligo arene, such as IIQP and IB-IQP.

In cellular uptake experiments for $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IIQP}$ and $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IB}-\mathrm{IQP}$, these radiotracers showed higher accumulation in PDGFR $\beta$-positive tumor cells (BxPC3-luc) than in PDGFR $\beta$-negative tumor cells (MCF7). [ ${ }^{125}$ I]IIQP, which had the highest affinity for PDGFR $\beta$ in the competitive binding assay and WST-8 assay, showed higher accumulation in PDGFR $\beta$-positive tumor cells than that of $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IB-IQP (Figure 3). In in vivo experiments, $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP showed significantly higher accumulation in BxPC3luc tumor than that of [ $\left.{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IB-IQP (Table 2). Moreover, the uptake of $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IIQP}$ in PDGFR $\beta$-positive tumor cells was inhibited by treatment with an excess amount of PDGFR $\beta$ ligand both in the cellular uptake study (Figure 4) and in the in vivo blocking experiments using tumor-bearing mice (Figure 5). These results indicated that the uptake of $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP in tumors is PDGFR $\beta$-specific, and it must bind the ATP-binding site of PDGFR $\beta$ in the tumor cell.

Small molecule TKIs, including $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP and $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IB-IQP, target the intracellular domain of RTKs, and for this binding, they should diffuse through the cellular membrane. The $\log P$ values of the compounds are an important factor to penetrate the cellular membrane. It has been shown that a $\log P$ value of 1 to 3.5 is required to passively penetrate cellular membrane. ${ }^{43-46}$ In this study, $\log P$ values of $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP and $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IB-IQP were 2.71 and 3.19 , respectively. This suggested that the lipophilicity of both the radiotracers was suitable for them to be used as PDGFR $\beta$ imaging probes.

In the biodistribution experiments, $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP and $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IB}-\mathrm{IQP}$ were mainly excreted through the hepatobiliary pathway, which is often observed for small molecule radiotracers directed to the ATP-binding site of TKs. ${ }^{20,23,47,48}$ This excretion
route may be due to the moderately high lipophilicities of these radiotracers. Meanwhile, it has been known that free iodine accumulates in the stomach and thyroid; this is used as an index of deiodination of radioiodine-labeled tracers in vivo. In this study, the accumulation in the stomach was not high, suggesting that both $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP and $\left[{ }^{[25} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IB-IQP are highly stable not only in vitro but also in vivo. In the case of $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP, the biodistribution study in tumor-bearing mice at 4 h postinjection was performed because we expected more effective tumor detection derived from higher accumulation ratios of tumor / non-target tissues. The results showed that the tumor accumulation decreased; however, tumor to blood and tumor to muscle ratios at 4 h postinjection were higher than those at 1 h postinjection because radioactivity in the blood and muscle was prominently decreased. Many studies have reported that P glycoprotein (P-gp), breast cancer resistant protein (BCRP), and/or other drug efflux transporters can hinder tumor uptake of anticancer drugs. ${ }^{49,50}$ Planar structure, high lipophilicity, and neutral or positive charges are some of requirements for P-gp substrates. ${ }^{51}$ Because $\left[{ }^{[25}\right.$ ]IIIQP possesses these characteristics, it can be a substrate for P-gp and therefore, its accumulation may have decreased in tumor cells. However, further research is warranted to prove whether $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IIQP}$ is a P-gp substrate. Another possibility is the presence of radioactive metabolites that have less or no affinity to the molecular target. Rapid metabolism leads to the loss of affinity for target molecules and resulting low tumor uptake. ${ }^{52}$ Although [ ${ }^{[25}$ I]IIQP showed high stability both in vitro and in vivo, this possibility cannot be completely excluded because we did not perform a metabolic study.

## 5. Conclusion

In this study, we synthesized the radiolabeled compounds [ $\left.{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP and $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IBIQP using an iododestannylation reaction under non-carrier added condition with high radiochemical yields and high purities. This method can also be used to synthesize other radioiodinated compounds containing quinoline-benzimidazole derivatives. The present study showed that radioiodinated IIQP could be a promising imaging agent compared with radioiodinated IB-IQP. However, modification of its structure may be needed to obtain appropriate PDGFR $\beta$-targeted imaging agents, which have higher tumor uptake and tumor to background ratios.
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Table 1. Biodistribution of $\left[{ }^{[25} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IIQP}$ and $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] I \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{IQP}$ at $2,10 \mathrm{~min}, 1,4$, and 24 h after i.v. injection in ddY mice.

| Tissues | Time after injection |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 min | 10 min | 1 h | 4 h | 24 h |
| $\left.{ }^{[25} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blood | 3.54 (0.21) | 1.05 (0.15) | 0.32 (0.03) | 0.11 (0.01) | 0.02 (0.02) |
| Liver | 13.28 (1.16) | 10.29 (0.86) | 3.60 (0.59) | 1.49 (0.42) | 0.25 (0.04) |
| Kidney | 14.85 (0.28) | 15.09 (1.41) | 9.54 (1.40) | 3.55 (0.93) | 0.16 (0.04) |
| Small intestine | 2.91 (0.13) | 11.19 (1.40) | 28.53 (2.30) | 6.89 (1.52) | 0.06 (0.01) |
| Large intestine | 0.84 (0.07) | 0.75 (0.07) | 1.59 (0.33) | 52.43 (1.70) | 0.17 (0.05) |
| Spleen | 6.78 (0.96) | 4.79 (0.49) | 1.28 (0.13) | 0.28 (0.09) | 0.03 (0.00) |
| Pancreas | 5.46 (0.41) | 3.81 (0.41) | 2.45 (0.24) | 1.09 (0.13) | 0.03 (0.01) |
| Lung | 23.65 (1.45) | 9.81 (1.54) | 6.66 (2.29) | 2.87 (0.54) | 0.09 (0.00) |
| Heart | 10.14 (0.75) | 3.98 (0.28) | 0.78 (0.11) | 0.22 (0.01) | 0.03 (0.00) |
| Stomach ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 1.11 (0.16) | 1.30 (0.09) | 1.45 (0.29) | 1.09 (0.20) | 0.09 (0.03) |
| Bone | 2.21 (0.29) | 1.36 (0.15) | 0.73 (0.05) | 0.11 (0.00) | 0.05 (0.01) |
| Muscle | 3.46 (0.42) | 1.96 (0.26) | 0.65 (0.12) | 0.18 (0.02) | 0.01 (0.00) |
| Brain | 0.19 (0.02) | 0.06 (0.00) | 0.03 (0.00) | 0.02 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) |
| Urine |  |  |  |  | 3.79 (0.71) |
| Feces |  |  |  |  | 75.93 (4.13) |
| $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IB}-\mathrm{IQP}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blood | 2.18 (0.22) ${ }^{* *}$ | 1.15 (0.17) | 0.29 (0.03) | 0.07 (0.01) ${ }^{* *}$ | 0.02 (0.00) |
| Liver | 21.11 (1.02)** | 18.99 (1.32)** | 3.93 (0.38) | 0.57 (0.06)** | 0.07 (0.00)** |
| Kidney | $9.30(1.15)^{* *}$ | 5.67 (0.73)** | $1.48(0.45)^{* *}$ | 0.39 (0.06) ${ }^{* *}$ | $0.04(0.00)^{* *}$ |
| Small intestine | 2.72 (0.40) | 11.55 (3.07) | 31.10 (2.25) | 0.72 (0.10) ${ }^{* *}$ | 0.01 (0.00) ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Large intestine | 0.75 (0.02) | 0.95 (0.04)** | 1.20 (0.25) | 16.53 (3.01)** | 0.07 (0.00)* |
| Spleen | 3.25 (0.47) ${ }^{* *}$ | $2.09(0.33)^{* *}$ | $0.51(0.05)^{* *}$ | $0.09(0.01)^{* *}$ | $0.01(0.00)^{* *}$ |
| Pancreas | 3.64 (0.37) ${ }^{* *}$ | 2.71 (0.54)* | $0.94(0.15)^{* *}$ | 0.16 (0.01) ${ }^{* *}$ | 0.00 (0.00) ${ }^{*}$ |
| Lung | 4.98 (1.83)** | 2.02 (0.28)** | $1.15(0.25)^{* *}$ | 0.63 (0.05) ${ }^{* *}$ | 0.03 (0.01) ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Heart | $5.95(0.44)^{* *}$ | $1.84(0.30)^{* *}$ | $0.50(0.04)^{* *}$ | 0.09 (0.01) ${ }^{* *}$ | $0.00(0.00)^{* *}$ |
| Stomach ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 0.92 (0.03) | 2.03 (0.89) | 1.65 (0.38) | 0.19 (0.09) ${ }^{* *}$ | $0.01(0.00)^{* *}$ |
| Bone | 1.52 (0.26)* | 0.81 (0.16) ${ }^{* *}$ | 0.31 (0.04)** | 0.06 (0.01) ${ }^{* *}$ | 0.00 (0.00) ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Muscle | $1.89(0.37)^{* *}$ | $1.09(0.15)^{* *}$ | 0.33 (0.01) ${ }^{* *}$ | $0.08(0.03){ }^{* *}$ | 0.01 (0.00) |
| Brain | $0.30(0.06){ }^{*}$ | 0.20 (0.04)** | 0.05 (0.00) ${ }^{*}$ | 0.02 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) |
| Urine |  |  |  |  | $13.48(0.43)^{* *}$ |
| Feces |  |  |  |  | 68.75 (6.44) |

Data were presented as \%injected dose / gram tissue. Each value represent mean $\pm$ SD for three or four mice. Significance was determined using an unpaired Student's $t$-test $\left(^{*} p<0.05,{ }^{* *} p<0.01\right.$ vs.
$\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP).
${ }^{\dagger}$ presented as \%ID/organ.

Table 2. Biodistribution of [ $\left.{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IIQP and $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IB}-\mathrm{IQP}$ at 1 h and 4 h after i.v. injection in BxPC3luc tumor-bearing mice.

| Tissues | $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{IIQP}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 h | $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{h}-\mathrm{IQP}$ |  |
| Blood | $0.69(0.10)$ | $0.15(0.01)$ | $0.23(0.03)^{* *}$ |
| Liver | $11.10(2.64)$ | $1.48(0.10)$ | $4.81(0.66)^{*}$ |
| Kidney | $15.60(2.05)$ | $2.65(0.37)$ | $1.13(0.09)^{* *}$ |
| Small intestine | $37.37(3.11)$ | $3.98(0.44)$ | $45.53(2.53)^{*}$ |
| Large intestine | $3.98(1.35)$ | $22.09(2.87)$ | $7.88(3.74)$ |
| Spleen | $3.46(0.45)$ | $0.35(0.09)$ | $0.61(0.06)^{* *}$ |
| Pancreas | $7.67(2.50)$ | $2.20(0.20)$ | $1.23(0.16)^{*}$ |
| Lung | $12.28(0.94)$ | $2.73(0.44)$ | $1.16(0.23)^{* *}$ |
| Heart | $1.37(0.13)$ | $0.29(0.07)$ | $0.44(0.02)^{* *}$ |
| Stomach ${ }^{\dagger}$ | $2.99(1.09)$ | $0.20(0.03)$ | $0.26(0.06)^{*}$ |
| Bone | $1.37(0.13)$ | $0.03(0.05)$ | $0.29(0.03)^{* *}$ |
| Muscle | $1.14(0.25)$ | $0.13(0.01)$ | $0.38(0.03)^{* *}$ |
| Brain | $0.14(0.02)$ | $0.03(0.00)$ | $0.05(0.00)^{* *}$ |
| BxPC3-luc tumor | $1.29(0.43)$ | $0.45(0.04)$ | $0.52(0.09)^{*}$ |

Data were presented as means $\pm \mathrm{SD}$ of \%injected dose / gram of tissue for three or four mice.
Significance was determined using an unpaired Student's $t$-test $\left({ }^{*} p<0.05,{ }^{* *} p<0.01\right.$ vs. [ ${ }^{125}$ I]IIQP). ${ }^{\dagger}$ presented as \%ID/organ.

