

L^2 -vector fields on a non-compact Riemannian manifold with boundary

T. Aoki, J. S. Pak and S. Yorozu

(Received April 12, 1988)

1. The results of the study of conformal and Killing vector fields on a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary had been listed in Yano's book [3]. It has been tried by the third named author to generalize to the non-compact case, that is, in [5], the non-existence of L^2 -Killing vector fields on a non-compact Riemannian manifold with boundary was discussed.

The purpose of the present paper is to investigate the properties and the non-existences of L^2 -conformal and Killing vector fields on a non-compact Riemannian manifold with boundary.

We shall be in C^∞ -category. Latin indices run from 1 to $n+1$ and Greek ones from 1 to n . The Einstein summation convention will be used.

2. Let \mathcal{M} be a complete, non-compact, connected and orientable Riemannian manifold of dimension $n+1$ with a Riemannian metric g . Let ∇ be the Riemannian connection on \mathcal{M} associated with g . We take a non-compact manifold $\bar{M} = \partial M \cup M$ such that M is a non-compact, connected and open submanifold of \mathcal{M} and $\partial M = \bar{M} - M$ is an n dimensional, compact, connected submanifold of \mathcal{M} , where \bar{M} denotes the closure of M in \mathcal{M} . Then \bar{M} is an orientable Riemannian manifold with boundary ∂M and the Riemannian metric induced from g on \mathcal{M} . We denote by g the induced Riemannian metric on \bar{M} and by ∇ the Riemannian connection on \bar{M} associated with g . It is trivial that \bar{M} is complete as a metric space with the distance determined by g .

At each point p of ∂M , there exists a coordinate neighborhood system $\{U, (x^i)\}$ of p in \mathcal{M} such that $U \cap \bar{M}$ is represented by $x^{n+1} \geq 0$ and $U \cap \partial M$ is represented by $x^{n+1} = 0$. Such a coordinate neighborhood system is called a boundary coordinated system. And let $\{U \cap \partial M, (x^\alpha)\}$ be the induced coordinate neighborhood system on ∂M . If $\{U, (x^i)\}$ and $\{V, (y^i)\}$ are boundary coordinate systems satisfying $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$, then we have

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{\partial y^{n+1}}{\partial x^{n+1}} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial y^{n+1}}{\partial x^\alpha} = 0 \quad (\text{for any } \alpha)$$

on $\partial M \cap U \cap V$. Since the Jacobian of the coordinate transformation of $\{U, (x^i)\}$ and $\{V, (y^i)\}$ is positive, the Jacobian of the coordinate transformation of $\{U \cap \partial M, (x^\alpha)\}$ and $\{V \cap \partial M, (y^\alpha)\}$ is positive. Thus ∂M is orientable.

Let $i: \partial M \rightarrow \bar{M}$ be the inclusion. Let $\{U, (x^i)\}$ be a boundary coordinate system of $p \in \partial M$ in \mathcal{M} and $\{U', (u^\alpha)\}$ be a coordinate neighborhood system of p in ∂M such that $U' \subset U \cap \partial M$. Then the inclusion i may be represented locally by

$$(2.2) \quad x^i = x^i(u^\alpha).$$

We denote by B the differential of the inclusion i , that is,

$$(2.3) \quad B = (B_\alpha^i) = \left(\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial u^\alpha} \right).$$

The induced metric $\tilde{g} = (\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta})$ on ∂M is given by

$$(2.4) \quad \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} = B_\alpha^i B_\beta^j g_{ij},$$

where $g = (g_{ij})$. We may choose the unit outer normal vector field N on ∂M . Let $\tilde{\nabla}$ be the Riemannian connection on ∂M associated with \tilde{g} . The equations of Gauss and Weingarten are stated as follows:

$$(2.5) \quad \nabla_{i_* X} i_* \tilde{Y} = i_* (\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{Y}) + h(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \cdot N$$

$$(2.6) \quad \nabla_{i_* X} N = i_* (-A\tilde{X})$$

for any vector fields \tilde{X} and \tilde{Y} on ∂M , where h denotes the second fundamental form of ∂M with respect to N and A is defined by $h(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = \tilde{g}(A\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$.

3. Let $\Lambda^s(\bar{M})$ (resp. $\Lambda^s(\partial M)$) be the space of all s -forms on \bar{M} (resp. ∂M). Let d (resp. \tilde{d}) denote the exterior derivative on $\Lambda^s(\bar{M})$ (resp. $\Lambda^s(\partial M)$). An operator δ (resp. $\tilde{\delta}$) is defined by

$$(3.1) \quad \delta = (-1)^m * d * \quad (\text{resp. } \tilde{\delta} = (-1)^m * \tilde{d} *)$$

on $\Lambda^s(\bar{M})$ (resp. $\Lambda^s(\partial M)$), where $m = sn + s + n$ (resp. $m = sn + n + 1$) and $*$ denotes the star operator.

The local scalar product on $V^s(M)$ (or $V^s(\partial M)$) is denoted by \langle, \rangle , and let \ll, \gg be the global scalar product on $A_0^s(M)$ (or $A_0^s(\partial M)$). Here $A_0^s(M)$ (resp. $A_0^s(\partial M)$) denotes the subspace of $V^s(M)$ (resp. $V^s(\partial M)$) composed of forms with compact supports. For example, we have

$$(3.2) \quad \ll \xi, \eta \gg = \int_M \langle \xi, \eta \rangle * 1 = \int_M \xi \wedge * \eta$$

for any $\xi, \eta \in A_0^s(M)$. Then we have

$$(3.3) \quad \ll d\xi, \zeta \gg = \ll \xi, \delta\zeta \gg$$

for any $\xi \in A_0^s(M)$ and $\zeta \in A_0^{s+1}(M)$. Let $L^2_s(M)$ be the completion of $A_0^s(M)$ with respect to the scalar product \ll, \gg . We set $\| \cdot \| = \ll, \gg^{1/2}$. We remark that \ll, \gg is extended to the space of (u, v) -tensor fields on M . For any $(0, 2)$ -tensor fields S and T on M with compact supports, we have that $\ll S, T \gg = \int_M \langle S, T \rangle * 1$ by $\langle S, T \rangle = S^{ij} T_{ij}$. We remark that the local scalar product in [3] is given

for any $\eta \in V^1(M)$ and $\zeta \in V^1(\partial M)$, we define $\langle \eta \in V^1(\partial M), \eta \in V^0(\partial M) \rangle$ and $C\zeta \in V^1(\partial M)$ by

$$(1) \quad \langle \eta \rangle = \eta \quad (2) \quad \langle \zeta \rangle = \zeta$$

$$(3.4) \quad n\eta = \eta(N)$$

$$(3) \quad \langle \zeta \rangle = \zeta \quad (4) \quad \langle \eta \rangle = \eta$$

for any vector field η on ∂M .

For a vector field $X = \xi^i \partial/\partial x^i$ on M , the 1-form ξ dual to X is defined by $\xi = \xi_i dx^i$. We set

$$\Delta^1 = \Delta^{g/\partial x^i} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta^1 = g^{ij} \Delta^j$$

where (g^{ij}) denotes the inverse matrix of (g_{ij}) . Then we have following expression:

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} (d\xi)_i &= \Delta^j \xi_j - \Delta^j \xi_i \\ \delta\xi &= -\Delta^1 \xi \\ (\xi^a)_a &= B^1 \xi \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} n\xi &= \xi_i N^i \\ C(t\xi)_\alpha &= A_\alpha^i B_\beta^j \xi_i \\ \nabla_i \nabla_j \xi^i - \nabla_j \nabla_i \xi^i &= R_{ji} \xi^i \end{aligned}$$

where R_{ji} denote the components of the Ricci tensor field of ∇ ([3], [5]). Moreover, we define $D(\delta\xi)$, $\Delta\xi$ and $\square X$ as follows:

$$(D(\delta\xi))^i = \nabla^i (\delta\xi) = -\nabla^i \nabla^j \xi_j$$

$$(3.6) \quad (\Delta\xi)_i = (d\delta\xi + \delta d\xi)_i = -\nabla^j \nabla_j \xi_i + R_i^j \xi_j$$

$$(\square X)^i = \nabla^j \nabla_j \xi^i + R_i^j \xi^j$$

where $R_i^j = g^{lk} R_{kij}$ ([3], [5]).

Let X be a vector field on \bar{M} and ξ the dual 1-form. Then $X|_{\partial M}$ has the following expression:

$$(3.7) \quad X = i_* \tilde{X} + (n\xi) \cdot N \quad \text{on } \partial M,$$

for some vector field \tilde{X} on ∂M .

DEFINITION 3.1 ([3]). A vector field X on \bar{M} is called *tangential* (resp. *normal*) to ∂M if $n\xi=0$ (resp. $t\xi=0$) for the dual 1-form ξ to X .

By (3.7), we remark that $t\xi=0$ is equivalent to $i_* \tilde{X}=0$.

DEFINITION 3.2. A vector field X on \bar{M} is called a *conformal vector field* if $L_X g = 2\lambda \cdot g$, where L denotes the Lie derivative operator and λ is a function on \bar{M} .

DEFINITION 3.3. A vector field X on \bar{M} is called a *Killing vector field* if $L_X g = 0$.

By local expression, a vector field X on \bar{M} is a conformal (resp. Killing) vector field if

$$(3.8) \quad \nabla_i \xi_j + \nabla_j \xi_i = 2\lambda g_{ij} \quad (\text{resp. } \nabla_i \xi_j + \nabla_j \xi_i = 0),$$

where ξ is the dual 1-form to X .

DEFINITION 3.4 ([4]). A vector field X on \bar{M} has *finite L^2 -norm* if $\xi \in L^{2,1}(\bar{M}) \cap \Lambda^1(\bar{M})$ for the dual 1-form ξ to X . A vector field X on \bar{M} with finite L^2 -norm is called an *L^2 -vector field*.

We remark that, in [4], an L^2 -vector field is called a vector field with finite global norm. An L^2 -vector field X on \bar{M} satisfies $\|X\| < \infty$.

4. For each point p of \bar{M} , we denote by $\rho(p)$ the distance from p to ∂M . Since ∂M is compact and connected, ρ is well-defined. We set

$$(4.1) \quad B(2r) = \{p \in \bar{M} \mid \rho(p) \leq 2r\}$$

for any $r > 0$. A function μ on R satisfies the following properties :

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ll} 0 \leq \mu(t) \leq 1 & \text{on } R \\ \mu(t) = 1 & \text{for } t \leq 1 \\ \mu(t) = 0 & \text{for } t \geq 2. \end{array}$$

Then we define locally Lipschitz continuous functions ω_r on \bar{M} by

$$(4.3) \quad \omega_r(p) = \mu(\rho(p)/r) \quad r=1, 2, \dots$$

for any $p \in \bar{M}$.

LEMMA 4.1 ([1], [4]). *There exists a positive number C , depending only on μ , such that*

$$\|d\omega_r \wedge \eta\|_{B(2r)}^2 \leq (n+1) C r^{-2} \|\eta\|_{B(2r)}^2$$

$$\|d\omega_r \wedge * \eta\|_{B(2r)}^2 \leq (n+1) C r^{-2} \|\eta\|_{B(2r)}^2$$

$$\|d\omega_r \otimes \eta\|_{B(2r)}^2 \leq (n+1) C r^{-2} \|\eta\|_{B(2r)}^2$$

for any $\eta \in \Lambda^s(\bar{M})$, where $\|\cdot\|_{B(2r)}^2 = \langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{B(2r)} = \int_{B(2r)} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle * 1$.

We remark that, for any $\eta \in L^{2,s}(\bar{M}) \cap \Lambda^1(\bar{M})$, $\omega_r \eta \in \Lambda_0^s(\bar{M})$ and $\omega_r \eta \rightarrow \eta$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ in the strong sense.

LEMMA 4.2. For any $\eta \in \Lambda^1(\bar{M})$, it holds that

$$d(\omega_r^2 \eta) = \omega_r^2 d\eta + 2\omega_r d\omega_r \wedge \eta \quad a. e. \text{ on } M$$

$$\delta(\omega_r^2 \eta) = \omega_r^2 \delta\eta - *(2\omega_r d\omega_r \wedge * \eta) \quad a. e. \text{ on } M,$$

where "a. e." means "almost everywhere".

5. By the direct calculation, we have

PROPOSITION 5.1. Let X be a vector field on \bar{M} and ξ the dual 1-form to X . Then it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \square X - (1 - \frac{2}{n+1}) D(\delta\xi), \omega_r^2 X \rangle \\ & + \langle L_X g + \frac{2}{n+1} (\delta\xi) \cdot g, L_{(\omega_r^2 X)} g + \frac{2}{n+1} (\delta(\omega_r^2 \xi)) \cdot g \rangle \\ & = -\delta(i(\omega_r^2 X)(L_X g) + \frac{2}{n+1} (\omega_r^2 \delta\xi) \cdot \xi). \end{aligned}$$

By Stokes' theorem, we have

$$(5.1) \quad \int_{B(2r)} d(*(\omega_r^2 \eta)) = \int_{\partial B(2r)} \omega_r^2 \eta(N) * 1.$$

Since $d(*(\omega_r^2 \eta)) = -*\delta(\omega_r^2 \eta)$ and $\partial B(2r) = \partial M \cup \{p \in \bar{M} \mid \rho(p) = 2r\}$, (5.1) implies

$$(5.2) \quad -\int_{B(2r)} \delta(\omega_r^2 \eta) * 1 = \int_{\partial M} \eta(N) * 1.$$

By Proposition 5.1 and (5.2), we have

PROPOSITION 5.2. Let X be a vector field on \bar{M} and ξ the dual 1-form to X . Then it holds that

$$\langle\langle \square X - (1 - \frac{2}{n+1}) D(\delta\xi), \omega_r^2 X \rangle\rangle_{B(2r)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \ll L_X g + \frac{2}{n+1} (\delta\xi) \cdot g, L_{(\omega^\sharp X)} g + \frac{2}{n+1} (\delta(\omega^\sharp \xi)) \cdot g \gg_{B(2r)} \\
 & = \int_{\partial M} (L_X g + \frac{2}{n+1} (\delta\xi) \cdot g) (X, N) * 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

THEOREM 5.3. *Let X be an L^2 -vector field on \bar{M} and ξ the dual 1-form to X . Then X is an L^2 -conformal vector field on \bar{M} if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\#) \quad & \square X - (1 - \frac{2}{n+1}) D(\delta\xi) = 0 \quad \text{on } \bar{M} \\
 & (L_X g + \frac{2}{n+1} (\delta\xi) \cdot g) (X, N) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial M
 \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. If X satisfies (#), then, by Proposition 5.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 & = \ll L_X g + \frac{2}{n+1} (\delta\xi) \cdot g, L_{(\omega^\sharp X)} g + \frac{2}{n+1} (\delta(\omega^\sharp \xi)) \cdot g \gg_{B(2r)} \\
 & = \|\omega_r (L_X g + \frac{2}{n+1} (\delta\xi) \cdot g)\|_{B(2r)}^2 + 4 \ll \omega_r (L_X g + \frac{2}{n+1} (\delta\xi) \cdot g), d\omega_r \otimes \xi \gg_{B(2r)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have, by Lemma 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|\omega_r (L_X g + \frac{2}{n+1} (\delta\xi) \cdot g)\|_{B(2r)}^2 \\
 & = -4 \ll \omega_r (L_X g + \frac{2}{n+1} (\delta\xi) \cdot g), d\omega_r \otimes \xi \gg_{B(2r)} \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\omega_r (L_X g + \frac{2}{n+1} (\delta\xi) \cdot g)\|_{B(2r)}^2 + 8(n+1) Cr^{-2} \|\xi\|_{B(2r)}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\omega_r (L_X g + \frac{2}{n+1} (\delta\xi) \cdot g)\|_{B(2r)}^2 \leq 8(n+1) Cr^{-2} \|\xi\|_{B(2r)}^2.$$

As $r \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\|L_X g + \frac{2}{n+1} (\delta\xi) \cdot g\|^2 = 0.$$

Therefore X is an L^2 -conformal vector field on \bar{M} . The converse is trivial.

The following theorem is given as corollary of Theorem 5.3.

THEOREM 5.4. *Let X be an L^2 -vector field on \bar{M} and ξ the dual 1-form to X . Then X is an L^2 -Killing vector field on \bar{M} if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} \square X = 0 \text{ and } \delta \xi = 0 & \quad \text{on } \bar{M} \\ (L_X g)(X, N) = 0 & \quad \text{on } \partial M \end{aligned}$$

Let τ be the mean curvature of the boundary ∂M defined by

$$(5.3) \quad \tau = \sum_a h(\tilde{X}_a, \tilde{X}_a),$$

where $\{\tilde{X}_a\}$ denotes the orthonormal local frame on ∂M with respect to \tilde{g} .

LEMMA 5.5. *Let X be a vector field on \bar{M} and ξ the dual 1-form to X . Then, on ∂M , it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_N \xi)(N) &= \tilde{\delta} \tilde{\xi} + \tau (n\xi) - \delta \xi \\ (L_X g)(X, N) &= (\nabla_N \xi)(X) + h(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}) + \tau (n\xi)^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \cdot (n\xi) \cdot \tilde{\delta} \tilde{\xi} - \tilde{\delta} ((n\xi) \tilde{\xi}) - (n\xi) \cdot \delta \xi, \end{aligned}$$

where $X = i_* \tilde{X} + (n\xi) \cdot N$ and $\tilde{\xi}$ denotes the dual 1-form to \tilde{X} .

By Theorems 5.3 and 5.4 and Lemma 5.5, we have

COROLLARY 5.6. *Let X be an L^2 -vector field on \bar{M} tangential (resp. normal) to the boundary ∂M and ξ the dual 1-form to X . Then X is an L^2 -conformal vector field on \bar{M} if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} \square X - \left(1 - \frac{2}{n+1}\right) D(\delta \xi) &= 0 & \text{on } \bar{M} \\ (\nabla_N \xi)(X) + h(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}) &= 0 & \text{on } \partial M \\ (\text{resp. } \tau \cdot (n\xi)^2 - \frac{n-1}{n+1} (n\xi) \cdot \delta \xi) &= 0 & \text{on } \partial M. \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 5.7. *Let X be an L^2 -vector field on \bar{M} tangential (resp. normal) to the boundary ∂M and ξ the dual 1-form to X . Then X is an L^2 -Killing vector field on \bar{M} if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} \square X=0, \quad \delta\xi=0 & \quad \text{on } \bar{M} \\ (\nabla_N \xi)(X)+h(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X})=0 & \quad \text{on } \partial M \\ (\text{resp. } \tau \cdot (n\xi)^2=0 & \quad \text{on } \partial M). \end{aligned}$$

6. Let X be a conformal vector field on \bar{M} , that is, $L_X g=2\lambda \cdot g$, and let ξ be the dual 1-form to X . We define a 1-form η by

$$(6.1) \quad \eta=(\nabla_j \xi_i) \xi^j dx^i.$$

Then we have

LEMMA 6.1. *It holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} -\delta\eta &= \langle \mathcal{R} \xi, \xi \rangle - 2 \langle \nabla \xi, \nabla \xi \rangle + (n+1) \langle d\lambda, \xi \rangle + 2(n+1) \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle \\ -\delta\xi &= (n+1) \lambda \\ \langle N, \eta \rangle &= \langle \bar{d}(n\xi), t\xi \rangle + \langle C(t\xi), t\xi \rangle \\ * (2\omega_r d\omega_r \wedge \eta) &= -2 \langle \omega_r d\omega_r \otimes \xi, \nabla \xi \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $(\xi)_i = R^j_i \xi_j$ and $(\nabla \xi)_{ij} = \nabla_i \xi_j$

PROPOSITION 6.2. *Let X be a conformal vector field on \bar{M} and ξ the dual 1-form to X . Then it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \ll \omega_r \mathcal{R} \xi, \omega_r \xi \gg_{B(2r)} - 2 \ll \omega_r \nabla \xi, \omega_r \nabla \xi \gg_{B(2r)} \\ & + (n+1) \ll \omega_r d\lambda, \omega_r \xi \gg_{B(2r)} + 2(n+1) \ll \omega_r \lambda, \omega_r \lambda \gg_{B(2r)} \\ & - 2 \ll d\omega_r \otimes \xi, \omega_r \nabla \xi \gg_{B(2r)} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_{\partial M} \langle \tilde{d}(n\xi), t\xi \rangle + \langle C(t\xi), t\xi \rangle * 1.$$

PROOF. For a 1-form $\eta = (\nabla_j \xi) \xi^j dx^j$ on \bar{M} , we have

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} d(*(\omega_r^2 \eta)) &= - * \delta(\omega_r^2 \eta) \\ &= *(\omega_r^2(-\delta\eta) + (2\omega_r d\omega_r \Lambda * \eta)). \end{aligned}$$

By Stokes' theorem, we have

$$(6.3) \quad \int_{B(2r)} d(*(\omega_r^2 \eta)) = \int_{\partial B(2r)} \langle N, \omega_r^2 \eta \rangle * 1.$$

By Lemma 6.1, (6.2) and (6.3), we have

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} &\ll \omega_r \mathcal{R} \xi, \omega_r \xi \gg_{B(2r)} - 2 \ll \omega_r \nabla \xi, \omega_r \nabla \xi \gg_{B(2r)} \\ &+ (n+1) \ll \omega_r d\lambda, \omega_r \xi \gg_{B(2r)} + 2(n+1) \ll \omega_r \lambda, \omega_r \lambda \gg_{B(2r)} \\ &- 2 \ll d\omega_r \otimes \xi, \omega_r \nabla \xi \gg_{B(2r)} \\ &= \int_{\partial B(2r)} \omega_r^2 \{ \langle \tilde{d}(n\xi), t\xi \rangle + \langle C(t\xi), t\xi \rangle \} * 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\partial B(2r) = \partial M \cup \{p \in \bar{M} \mid \rho(p) = 2r\}$, $\omega_r = 1$ on ∂M and $\omega_r = 0$ on $\{p \in \bar{M} \mid \rho(p) = 2r\}$, the right hand side of (6.4) is equal to $\int_{\partial M} \langle \tilde{d}(n\xi), t\xi \rangle + \langle C(t\xi), t\xi \rangle * 1$.

LEMMA 6.3. *It holds that*

$$\ll \omega_r d\lambda, \omega_r \xi \gg_{B(2r)} = -(n+1) \ll \omega_r \lambda, \omega_r \lambda \gg_{B(2r)} - \ll \omega_r \lambda, *(2 d\omega_r \Lambda * \xi) \gg_{B(2r)}.$$

Then Proposition 6.2 and Lemma 6.3 imply

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll \omega_r \mathcal{R} \xi, \omega_r \xi \gg_{B(2r)} \\ &= 2 \|\omega_r \nabla \xi\|_{B(2r)}^2 + (n+1)(n-1) \|\omega_r \lambda\|_{B(2r)}^2 + (n+1) \ll \omega_r \lambda, *(2 d\omega_r \Lambda * \xi) \gg_{B(2r)} \\ &+ 2 \ll d\omega_r \otimes \xi, \omega_r \nabla \xi \gg_{B(2r)} + \int_{\partial M} \langle \tilde{d}(n\xi), t\xi \rangle + \langle C(t\xi), t\xi \rangle * 1 \end{aligned}$$

By the Schwarz inequality and Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 | \langle \omega_r \lambda, * (d\omega_r \Lambda * \xi) \rangle_{B(2r)} | \\ & \leq 2 \| \omega_r \lambda \|_{B(2r)} \| d\omega_r \Lambda * \xi \|_{B(2r)} \\ & \leq 2 \| \omega_r \lambda \|_{B(2r)}^2 + (n+1) C \cdot 2^{-1} r^{-2} \| \xi \|_{B(2r)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 | \langle d\omega_r \otimes \xi, \omega_r \nabla \xi \rangle_{B(2r)} | \\ & \leq \| \omega_r \nabla \xi \|_{B(2r)}^2 + (n+1) C r^{-2} \| \xi \|_{B(2r)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \omega_r \mathcal{R} \xi, \omega_r \xi \rangle_{B(2r)} \\ & \geq 2 \| \omega_r \nabla \xi \|_{B(2r)}^2 + (n+1) (n-1) \| \omega_r \lambda \|_{B(2r)}^2 \\ & \quad - 2 (n+1) \| \omega_r \lambda \|_{B(2r)}^2 - (n+1)^2 C \cdot 2^{-1} r^{-2} \| \xi \|_{B(2r)}^2 \\ & \quad - \| \omega_r \nabla \xi \|_{B(2r)}^2 - (n+1) C r^{-2} \| \xi \|_{B(2r)}^2 \\ & \quad + \int_{\partial M} \langle \tilde{d} (n\xi), t\xi \rangle + \langle C (t\xi), t\xi \rangle * 1 \\ & = \| \omega_r \nabla \xi \|_{B(2r)}^2 + (n+1) (n-3) \| \omega_r \lambda \|_{B(2r)}^2 \\ & \quad + \int_{\partial M} \langle \tilde{d} (n\xi), t\xi \rangle + \langle C (t\xi), t\xi \rangle * 1 \\ & \quad - (n+1) (n+3) C \cdot 2^{-1} r^{-2} \| \xi \|_{B(2r)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 6.4. *Let X be an L^2 -conformal vector field on \bar{M} and ξ the dual 1-form to X . If $\dim \bar{M} = n+1 \geq 4$ and $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \langle \omega_r \mathcal{R} \xi, \omega_r \xi \rangle_{B(2r)} < \infty$, then*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \langle \omega_r \mathcal{R} \xi, \omega_r \xi \rangle_{B(2r)}$$

$$\geq \|\nabla \xi\|^2 + (n+1)(n-3)\|\lambda\|^2 + \int_{\partial M} \langle \bar{d}(n\xi), t\xi \rangle + \langle C(t\xi), t\xi \rangle * 1.$$

COROLLARY 6.5 ([5]). *Let X be an L^2 -Killing vector field on \bar{M} and ξ the dual 1-form to X . If $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \langle \omega_r \mathcal{R} \xi, \omega_r \xi \rangle_{B(2r)} < \infty$, then*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \langle \omega_r \mathcal{R} \xi, \omega_r \xi \rangle_{B(2r)} \\ \geq \|\nabla \xi\|^2 + \int_{\partial M} \langle \bar{d}(n\xi), t\xi \rangle + \langle C(t\xi), t\xi \rangle * 1.$$

If the second fundamental form of ∂M with respect to N is non-negative, then we have

$$\int_{\partial M} \langle C(t\xi), t\xi \rangle * 1 \geq 0.$$

Thus, by Proposition 6.4 and Corollary 6.5, we have

THEOREM 6.6. (i) *Suppose that $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \langle \omega_r \mathcal{R} \xi, \omega_r \xi \rangle_{B(2r)} \leq 0$ for any $\xi \in L^{2,1}(\bar{M}) \cap \Lambda^1(\bar{M})$, the second fundamental form on ∂M with respect to the unit outer normal vector field is non-negative, and $\dim \bar{M} = n+1 \geq 4$. Then every L^2 -conformal vector field on \bar{M} tangential to ∂M is parallel. (ii) *Suppose that $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \langle \omega_r \mathcal{R} \xi, \omega_r \xi \rangle_{B(2r)} \leq 0$ for any $\xi \in L^{2,1}(\bar{M}) \cap \Lambda^1(\bar{M})$ and $\dim \bar{M} = n+1 \geq 4$. Then every L^2 -conformal vector field on \bar{M} normal to ∂M is parallel.**

THEOREM 6.7 ([5]). (i) *Suppose that $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \langle \omega_r \mathcal{R} \xi, \omega_r \xi \rangle_{B(2r)} \leq 0$ for any $\xi \in L^{2,1}(\bar{M}) \cap \Lambda^1(\bar{M})$ and the second fundamental form of ∂M with respect to the unit outer normal vector field is non-negative. Then every L^2 -Killing vector field on \bar{M} tangential to ∂M is parallel. (ii) *Suppose that $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \langle \omega_r \mathcal{R} \xi, \omega_r \xi \rangle_{B(2r)} \leq 0$ for any $\xi \in L^{2,1}(\bar{M}) \cap \Lambda^1(\bar{M})$. Then every L^2 -Killing vector field on \bar{M} normal to ∂M is parallel.**

THEOREM 6.8. (i) *Suppose that \bar{M} is of negative Ricci curvature, $\dim \bar{M} = n+1 \geq 4$, and the second fundamental form of ∂M with respect to the unit outer normal vector field is non-negative. Then there are no non-zero L^2 -conformal vector fields on \bar{M} tangential to ∂M . (ii) *Suppose that \bar{M} is of negative Ricci curvature and $\dim \bar{M} = n+1 \geq 4$. Then there are no non-zero L^2 -conformal vector fields on \bar{M} normal to ∂M .**

THEOREM 6.9 ([5]). (i) *Suppose that \bar{M} is of negative Ricci curvature and the second fundamental form of ∂M with respect to the unit outer normal vector field is non-negative. Then there are no non-zero L^2 -Killing vector fields on \bar{M} tangential to ∂M . (ii) *Suppose that \bar{M} is of negative Ricci curvature. Then there are no non-zero L^2 -Killing vector fields on \bar{M} normal to ∂M .**

EXAMPLE 6.10. We set $r = (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{1/2}$ for any point $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and

$$x = r \cos \theta_1 \quad y = r \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 \quad z = r \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2,$$

that is, (θ_1, θ_2, r) is the spherical coordinates in R^3 . For two positive constant numbers a_1 and a_2 ($a_1 < a_2$), we consider a metric ds^2 on R^3 such that

$$ds^2 = r^2 ((d\theta_1)^2 + \sin^2 \theta_1 (d\theta_2)^2) + (dr)^2 \quad r \leq a_1$$

$$ds^2 = r^{-2/3} ((d\theta_1)^2 + \sin^2 \theta_1 (d\theta_2)^2) + (dr)^2 \quad r \geq (a_1 + a_2)/2.$$

Then $(\mathcal{M}, ds^2) = (R^3, ds^2)$ is a complete, non-compact, connected and orientable Riemannian manifold. We set $\bar{M} = \{(\theta_1, \theta_2, r) \in \mathcal{M} \mid r \geq a_2\}$, then \bar{M} is a non-compact, connected and orientable Riemannian manifold with a compact and connected boundary $\partial M = \{(\theta_1, \theta_2, r) \in \mathcal{M} \mid r = a_2\}$. Then $X = r^{-1/3} \partial/\partial r$ is an L^2 -conformal vector field on \bar{M} normal to ∂M .

LEMMA 6.11. *Let W be a Riemannian manifold with a Riemannian metric g_w and X a Killing vector field on W with respect to the metric g_w . If f be a positive function on W such that $X(f) \neq 0$ on W , then X is a conformal vector field on W with respect to the metric $f \cdot g_w$.*

In Lemma 6.11, if g_w is a complete metric on W and f is a bounded function on W , then $f \cdot g_w$ is a complete metric on W ([2]). Thus L^2 -conformal vector fields on \bar{M} tangential to ∂M are given by examples in [5].

REMARK 6.12. If, in Theorems 6.8 and 6.9, we take that \bar{M} is of non-positive Ricci curvature and of infinite volume, then we also have the same conclusion.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Andreotti and E. Vesentini, Carleman estimates for the Laplace-Beltrami equation on complex manifolds, *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.* 25 (1965), 313-362.
- [2] K. Nomizu and H. Ozeki, The existence of complete Riemannian metrics, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 12 (1961), 889-891.
- [3] K. Yano, *Integral Formulas in Riemannian Geometry*, Marcel Dekker, INC, New York, 1970.
- [4] S. Yorozu, Killing vector fields on complete Riemannian manifolds, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 84 (1982), 115-120.
- [5] S. Yorozu, Killing vector fields on non-compact Riemannian manifolds with boundary, *Kodai Math. J.* 5 (1982), 426-433.

Toshihiko Aoki
Department of Liberal Arts
Kanazawa Institute of Technology
Nonoichi-machi, 921 Japan

Jin Suk Pak
Department of Mathematics
Teachers College
Kyungpook National University
Taegu, 635 Korea

Shinsuke Yorozu
Department of Mathematics
College of Liberal Arts
Kanazawa University
Kanazawa, 920 Japan