

# Repeated total en bloc spondylectomy for spinal metastases at different sites in one patient

|                              |  |
|------------------------------|--|
| 著者                           | Sugita Shurei, Murakami Hideki, Demura Satoru, Kato Satoshi, Yoshioka Katsuhito, Yokogawa Noriaki, Tanaka Sakae, Tsuchiya Hiroyuki |
| journal or publication title | European Spine Journal   |
| volume                       | 24   |
| number                       | 10   |
| page range                   | 2196-2200  |
| year                         | 2015-10-01   |
| URL                          | <a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2297/44887">http://hdl.handle.net/2297/44887</a>  |

doi: 10.1007/s00586-015-4091-y

Title: Repeated total *en bloc* spondylectomy for spinal metastases at different sites in one patient.

Shurei Sugita, M.D., Ph.D.,<sup>1</sup> Hideki Murakami M.D., Ph.D.,<sup>1</sup> Satoru Demura, M.D., Ph.D.,<sup>1</sup>

Satoshi Kato M.D., Ph.D.,<sup>1</sup> Katsuhito Yoshioka M.D., Ph.D.,<sup>1</sup> Noriaki Yokogawa M.D.,<sup>1</sup>

Sakae Tanaka M.D., Ph.D.,<sup>2</sup> and Hiroyuki Tsuchiya M.D., Ph.D.<sup>1</sup>

1) Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa, Japan

2) Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, the University of Tokyo,  
Tokyo, Japan

Correspondence should be addressed to:

Shurei Sugita, M.D., Ph.D.

13-1, Takaramachi, Kanazawa, Ishikawa, 920-8641, Japan

Tel: +81762652374 Fax: +81762344261

email: [ssugita-ky@umin.ac.jp](mailto:ssugita-ky@umin.ac.jp)

Conflict of interest; none

Title: Repeated total *en bloc* spondylectomy for spinal metastases at different sites in one patient.

Keywords:

spinal metastases; total en bloc spondylectomy; preoperative artery embolization; nerve root ligation and cut; repeated times

Abstract

Purpose: Total *en bloc* spondylectomy (TES) is accompanied by preoperative embolization of segmental arteries, which is limited to three consecutive levels to avoid the risk of spinal cord ischemia. We retrospectively examined the efficacy and safety of repeated TES with embolization of more than three levels of segmental arteries.

Methods: Seven patients underwent TES twice for spinal metastases at different levels.

Every patient underwent embolization of the bilateral segmental arteries before each surgery. We assessed the total number of segmental arteries embolized, the existence of Adamkiewicz arteries during the embolization procedure, intraoperative blood loss and the motor function of the lower limbs, using the American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) motor score.

Results: No patient experienced any motor deficit after embolization. During the

embolization procedure, an Adamkiewicz artery was depicted in five patients, which precluded embolization at that level. The median number of segmental arteries embolized in total was 9 (9-11). Intraoperative blood loss (median, IQR) was 480 (420 – 630) g during the first surgery and 520 (280 – 600) g during the second surgery. The ASIA motor scores (median, IQR) were as follows; 100 (98 – 100) (first admission), 100 (100 – 100) (first discharge), 100 (98 – 100) (second admission), and 97 (94 – 100) (second discharge). No patients had developed statistically significant neurological deterioration, and there had been no local recurrence after a median follow-up of 17.8 months (range 1 - 51 months). Conclusion: Repeated TES procedures can be performed safely even if more than three levels of segmental arteries are embolized.

## Introduction

Spinal metastases is a clinically challenging condition, with a morbidity rate of approximately 30% among cancer patients [1]. Additionally, 5% of cancer patients will develop neurological deterioration during their clinical course [2-5]. The resulting clinical burden of these conditions is substantial due to the increasing life expectancy accompanying the recent advances in oncological therapies.

The purposes of treatment for spinal metastases are to prevent tumor recurrence, to decompress the spinal cord to avoid paralysis, and to restore the mechanical stability of the spine. To achieve these purposes, we developed a new procedure, total *en bloc* spondylectomy, which aims to provide a the local cure for the spinal metastases [6]. This technique of *en bloc* resection has proved to be effective in improving the prognosis of both primary and metastatic bone tumors of the spine [7, 8]. To prevent massive intraoperative bleeding, we routinely embolize the segmental artery at the level of the affected vertebrae one or two days before surgery [9]. However, the extent of embolization is limited up to three levels to help prevent spinal cord ischemia [10, 11].

In some cases, after the first TES, another spinal metastasis emerges at a different level, and it is sometimes necessary to perform another TES procedure. As part of this procedure, we have also had to embolize the segmental arteries, corresponding to the newly-emerged spinal metastases. As a result, the total number of embolized arteries exceeded three levels, which could potentially induce spinal cord ischemia, leading to neurologic compromise

The purpose of this study was to retrospectively examine the efficacy and safety of repeated TES, with embolization of more than three levels of segmental arteries.

## Materials and methods

### Patients

We retrospectively reviewed the records of seven patients (four males and three females, median age: 51 years old, (IQR 42 – 57) Table 1) who underwent TES twice for spinal metastases at different levels and times within study period between April 2010 and December 2014. The cases consisted of four patients with renal cell carcinoma, two with thyroid carcinoma and one with chondrosarcoma.

We collected the data regarding arterial embolization (the total number of segmental arteries embolized, the existence of an Adamkiewicz artery depicted during the embolization procedure), the surgical procedure (intraoperative blood loss, months between the first and second operation) and the clinical outcome (motor function of the lower limbs, using the American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) motor score, local recurrence during the study period)

### Embolization procedure

Before each TES procedure was accompanied by preoperative embolization of segmental arteries. The patients underwent diagnostic angiography and embolization under local anesthesia using a femoral approach. Selective catheterization of the corresponding

segmental arteries, including one level above and one below the tumor site, was performed followed by selective angiography of suspected tumor feeder arteries to the tumor. Coils were used in combination with polyvinyl alcohol as the embolic material. If an Adamkiewicz artery was depicted during angiography procedure embolization at the origin of this segmental artery was avoided.

#### Surgical procedure

All patients underwent the routine surgical procedure as has been described in the literature [6]. Decompression of the spinal cord was accomplished by *en bloc* corpectomy following *en bloc* laminectomy. The anterior column was then reconstructed by inserting a vertebral spacer packed with autograft bone. During each surgery, posterior fixation extended two levels above and two below the tumor site. If the proposed levels of fixation in the second surgery were to overlap the fixation placed during the first surgery, rod connectors or converting rods were used.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the JMP<sup>®</sup> version 11 software program (SAS, Cary, NC) Descriptive statistics were used to analyze clinical information, demographic factors and other test data. Continuous variables are expressed as the medians and

interquartile range (IQR). The differences in the motor scores before and after treatment were examined using Mann-Whitney *U* test. A *P* value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

## Results

### Embolization

Every patient underwent preoperative embolization of the segmental arteries before each surgery. The mean total number of segmental arteries embolized was nine (range 8-11).

Detailed data about embolization procedure are shown in Table 2. During the embolization procedure, an Adamkiewicz artery was depicted in five patients (Table 2), and embolization at the origin of this segmental artery was avoided in these cases. No patient experienced deterioration of his or her baseline neurologic status post-embolization.

### Surgery

Detailed data about the surgical procedure are shown in Table 3. The median interval between the first and second surgeries (median, IQR) was 21 (5 – 49.1) months. In the thoracic spine, the nerve roots corresponding to the tumor level were ligated and cut; as necessary, nerve roots one level above and/or below were also ligated and cut. In the lumbar spine, the nerve roots below the L3 level were not cut, but only dissected from



surrounding tissues. If the corresponding nerve roots were at L1 or L2, we also ligated and cut these roots. Based on our experience, sacrificing the nerve roots above the L1 or L2 level does not lead to a significant loss of neurologic function.

The intraoperative blood loss (median, IQR) was 480 (420 – 630) g during the first surgery, and 520 (280 – 600) g during the second surgery. Only one patient needed a blood transfusion postoperatively.

#### Outcomes

The ASIA motor scores of the lower limbs are shown in Fig. 1. No patients had developed statistically significant neurological deterioration and all were free from local recurrence after a median follow-up of 17.8 months (range 1- 51 months). Significant surgical complications were two hardware failures which required revision surgery, and a case of postural hypotension of unknown etiology.

#### Illustrative case

Case.7 was a 68-year-old male with spinal metastases from renal cell carcinoma to T7 who presented at our hospital. After embolization of the bilateral segmental arteries from T6 to T8, he underwent TES of T7 and experienced no perioperative complications (Fig. 2a). However, 20 months later, rod breakage was found on follow-up radiographs and newly

emerged metastases at T8 and T9 were discovered on MRI (Fig. 2b). A second TES procedure was planned and during preoperative embolization, a communicating branch had developed from the T9 segmental artery to the T8 segmental artery (Fig. 2c). The patient underwent a second TES procedure at T8 and T9 without complications (Fig. 2d). Since surgery, no local recurrence has been observed during the 17-month follow-up period.

## Discussion

In our study, repeated TES and preoperative embolization caused no neurological deterioration arising from spinal cord ischemia or the surgical procedure. The local control of the affected spine was favorable.

Preoperative embolization to prevent excessive intraoperative bleeding when surgically treating metastatic spinal tumors is now widely used [12]. At our institution, the extent of embolization has been limited up to three levels to prevent spinal cord ischemia [10, 11]. In this case series, though embolization was performed twice at separate times, the total number of embolized arteries exceeded three levels, which may increase the risk of neurological deterioration due to decreased blood flow to the spinal cord. However the outcomes with regard to the neurological function did not worsen even after the second TES. There have been several studies which have indicated that occlusion of the segmental

arteries leads to the development of collateral pathways of intraspinal and paraspinal arteries and arterioles [13-16], and these collateral pathways developed within five days after occlusion in those cases. These studies may explain the reasons for the preservation of the neurological function after the multiple-level embolization of the segmental arteries in our case series. The time interval between the first and second embolizations was long enough to allow the development of a collateral artery network. An example of this is seen in case 7, where collateral blood flow from the T9 segmental artery to the T8 segmental artery was depicted during the second embolization procedure (Fig. 2).

Regarding the surgical procedure, nerve roots at the operative level had to be sacrificed if the level was above L3. In this case series, the number of sacrificed nerve roots was multiple and often exceeded three levels. Despite this, neurological function remained preserved. We previously reported that ligation of nerve roots in the thoracic region did not lead to worsening of the neurological function [17]. We have also observed that neurologic function is also preserved following the ligation and transection of the L1 or L2 nerve roots. Further studies will be needed to confirm these studies, but they support our experiences indicating that repeat TES is safe.

The TES procedures in this case series were without any perioperative

complications. The intraoperative blood loss was minimized, and only one of the seven patients required a blood transfusion, which indicates that the embolization had succeeded in limiting the intraoperative blood loss, as reported previously[9, 12, 18, 19].

There are several limitations associated with this study that should be kept in mind when interpreting the results. First, the number of cases in this study was relatively small (seven) and we are unable to extrapolate the result of this study to future cases. Secondly, we had two cases of hardware failure in these seven cases, both of which required revision surgery. Furthermore, improvements in reconstruction after the resection of tumor-containing vertebra are needed.

## Conclusion

Repeated TES procedures can be performed safely and can provide good surgical outcomes, even if preceded by embolization of segmental arteries in more than three levels.

## References

1. Ortiz Gomez JA (1995) The incidence of vertebral body metastases. *International orthopaedics* 19:309-311
2. Black P (1979) Spinal metastasis: current status and recommended guidelines for

management. *Neurosurgery* 5:726-746

3. Rades D, Blach M, Nerreter V, Bremer M, Karstens JH (1999) Metastatic spinal cord compression. Influence of time between onset of motoric deficits and start of irradiation on therapeutic effect. *Strahlentherapie und Onkologie : Organ der Deutschen Rontgengesellschaft [et al]* 175:378-381
4. Constans JP, de Divitiis E, Donzelli R, Spaziante R, Meder JF, Haye C (1983) Spinal metastases with neurological manifestations. Review of 600 cases. *J Neurosurg* 59:111-118. doi: 10.3171/jns.1983.59.1.0111
5. Bach F, Larsen BH, Rohde K, Borgesen SE, Gjerris F, Boge-Rasmussen T, Agerlin N, Rasmussen B, Stjernholm P, Sorensen PS (1990) Metastatic spinal cord compression. Occurrence, symptoms, clinical presentations and prognosis in 398 patients with spinal cord compression. *Acta neurochirurgica* 107:37-43
6. Tomita K, Kawahara N, Baba H, Tsuchiya H, Nagata S, Toribatake Y (1994) Total en bloc spondylectomy for solitary spinal metastases. *Int Orthop* 18:291-298
7. Boriani S, Bandiera S, Donthineni R, Amendola L, Cappuccio M, De Iure F, Gasbarrini A (2010) Morbidity of en bloc resections in the spine. *Eur Spine J* 19:231-241. doi: 10.1007/s00586-009-1137-z
8. Kato S, Murakami H, Demura S, Yoshioka K, Kawahara N, Tomita K, Tsuchiya H (2014) More than 10-year follow-up after total en bloc spondylectomy for spinal tumors. *Annals of surgical oncology* 21:1330-1336. doi: 10.1245/s10434-013-3333-7
9. Guzman R, Dubach-Schwizer S, Heini P, Lovblad KO, Kalbermatten D, Schroth G, Remonda L (2005) Preoperative transarterial embolization of vertebral metastases. *Eur Spine J* 14:263-268. doi: 10.1007/s00586-004-0757-6
10. Fujimaki Y, Kawahara N, Tomita K, Murakami H, Ueda Y (2006) How many ligations of bilateral segmental arteries cause ischemic spinal cord dysfunction? An experimental study using a dog model. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 31:E781-789. doi: 10.1097/01.brs.0000238717.51102.79
11. Kato S, Kawahara N, Tomita K, Murakami H, Demura S, Fujimaki Y (2008) Effects on spinal cord blood flow and neurologic function secondary to interruption of bilateral segmental arteries which supply the artery of Adamkiewicz: an experimental study using a dog model. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 33:1533-1541. doi: 10.1097/BRS.0b013e318178e5af
12. Pikiş S, Itshayek E, Barzilay Y, Hasharoni A, Kaplan L, Gomori M, Cohen JE (2014) Preoperative embolization of hypervascular spinal tumors: current practice and center experience. *Neurological research* 36:502-509. doi: 10.1179/1743132814y.0000000361

13. Etz DC, Luehr M, Aspern KV, Misfeld M, Gudehus S, Ender J, Koelbel T, Debus ES, Mohr FW (2014) Spinal cord ischemia in open and endovascular thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repair: new concepts. *The Journal of cardiovascular surgery* 55:159-168
14. Luehr M, Salameh A, Haunschild J, Hoyer A, Girrbach FF, von Aspern K, Dhein S, Mohr FW, Etz CD (2014) Minimally invasive segmental artery coil embolization for preconditioning of the spinal cord collateral network before one-stage descending and thoracoabdominal aneurysm repair. *Innovations (Philadelphia, Pa)* 9:60-65. doi: 10.1097/imi.0000000000000038
15. Etz CD, Kari FA, Mueller CS, Brenner RM, Lin HM, Griep RB (2011) The collateral network concept: remodeling of the arterial collateral network after experimental segmental artery sacrifice. *The Journal of thoracic and cardiovascular surgery* 141:1029-1036. doi: 10.1016/j.jtcvs.2010.06.017
16. Geisbusch S, Schray D, Bischoff MS, Lin HM, Griep RB, Di Luozzo G (2012) Imaging of vascular remodeling after simulated thoracoabdominal aneurysm repair. *The Journal of thoracic and cardiovascular surgery* 144:1471-1478. doi: 10.1016/j.jtcvs.2012.08.062
17. Murakami H, Kawahara N, Demura S, Kato S, Yoshioka K, Tomita K (2010) Neurological function after total en bloc spondylectomy for thoracic spinal tumors. *Journal of neurosurgery Spine* 12:253-256. doi: 10.3171/2009.9.spine09506
18. Kato S, Murakami H, Minami T, Demura S, Yoshioka K, Matsui O, Tsuchiya H (2012) Preoperative embolization significantly decreases intraoperative blood loss during palliative surgery for spinal metastasis. *Orthopedics* 35:e1389-1395. doi: 10.3928/01477447-20120822-27
19. Kato S, Hozumi T, Takaki Y, Yamakawa K, Goto T, Kondo T (2013) Optimal schedule of preoperative embolization for spinal metastasis surgery. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 38:1964-1969. doi: 10.1097/BRS.0b013e3182a46576

Figure legends.

Figure 1.

The ASIA motor scores in this case series.

Figure 2.


(a) A postoperative radiograph of the thoracic spine after first surgery.

(b) MRI images showing recurring metastasis at T8,9 (a high intensity area around the pedicle screw in the axial image)


(c) The angiography findings before the second operation. The right T8 segmental artery with a collateral pathway to T9 was depicted during angiography of the T9 artery (arrow).

(d) A postoperative radiograph of the thoracic spine after the second surgery.

Fig.1









a

b


Fig.2



c



L



d

Table 1. The demographic data of the seven patients included in this study

| Case | Age | Gender | Tumor          |
|------|-----|--------|----------------|
| 1    | 48  | M      | RCC            |
| 2    | 39  | M      | Thyroid CA.    |
| 3    | 57  | F      | Thyroid CA.    |
| 4    | 42  | M      | RCC            |
| 5    | 51  | F      | RCC            |
| 6    | 54  | F      | Chondrosarcoma |
| 7    | 68  | F      | RCC            |

RCC: Renal Cell Carcinoma, Thyroid CA: Thyroid Carcinoma

Table 2. Detailed data about the embolization procedures

| Case | Embolized segmental arteries (1st) | Embolized segmental arteries (2nd) | Total number of embolized arteries | Origin of Adamkiewicz artery |
|------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1    | Lt T3, bil T4, 5                   | Bil T11,12, L1                     | 11                                 | Rt T3                        |
| 2    | Lt T3, bil T4, Lt T5               | Bil L3, 4                          | 8                                  | Not visualized               |
| 3    | Bil T4, 5, 6                       | Bil L1, rt L3, L4                  | 10                                 | Not visualized               |
| 4    | Bil T7, 8, rt T9                   | Bil L3, 4                          | 9                                  | Lt T9                        |
| 5    | Bil T9, 10, 11                     | Bil L1, 3, rt L2                   | 11                                 | Lt L2                        |
| 6    | Bil T7, T8, lt T9                  | Bil T12, L2                        | 9                                  | Rt T9                        |
| 7    | Bil T6, 7, 8                       | Bil T9, rt T10                     | 9                                  | Lt T10                       |

Table 3. Detailed data about surgical procedure.

| Case | First operation   |                  |                | Second operation |                  |                | Months between surgeries |
|------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
|      | Excised vertebrae | Sacrificed roots | Blood loss (g) | Excised vertebra | Sacrificed roots | Blood loss (g) |                          |
| 1    | T4                | Bil T4           | 480            | T12, L1          | Bil T12, L1      | 420            | 12.9                     |
| 2    | T4                | Bil T3, T4       | 860            | L4               | none             | 950            | 1.3                      |
| 3    | T5, 6             | Bil T5, T6       | 630            | L1               | Bil L1           | 280            | 30.0                     |
| 4    | T7, 8             | Bil T7, T8       | 480            | L4               | none             | 600            | 5.0                      |
| 5    | T9, 10, 11        | Bil T9, T10, T11 | 340            | L2, 3            | Bil L2           | 570            | 54.2                     |
| 6    | T7, 8, 9          | Bil T7, T8, T9   | 420            | L1               | Bil L1           | 180            | 49.1                     |
| 7    | T7                | Bil T7           | 550            | T8, 9            | Bil T8, T9       | 520            | 21.0                     |

