

Evaluation of the difference-correction effect of the gamma camera systems used by easy Z-score Imaging System (eZIS) analysis

著者	Yamamoto Yasushi, Onoguchi Masahisa, Kawakami Kazunori, Haramoto Masuo, Wake Rei, Horiguchi Jun, Kitagaki Hajime
journal or publication title	Annals of Nuclear Medicine
volume	28
number	3
page range	263-275
year	2014-04-01
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2297/36933

doi: 10.1007/s12149-014-0807-z

Title Page

Type of article: original article

**Evaluation of the difference correction effect of the gamma camera systems used
by easy Z-score Imaging System (eZIS) analysis.**

Short title: Evaluation of difference correction effect of the camera used by eZIS

•Yamamoto *et al.*

Yasushi Yamamoto^{1),2)}, Masahisa Onoguchi²⁾, Kazunori Kawakami³⁾, Masuo Haramoto¹⁾,
Rei Wake³⁾, Jun Horiguchi³⁾, Hajime Kitagaki⁴⁾

- 1) Department of Radiology, Shimane University Hospital
- 2) Department of Health Science, Graduate School of Medical Science, Kanazawa University
- 3) Department of Psychiatry, Shimane University School of Medicine
- 4) Department of Radiology, Shimane University Faculty of Medicine

Corresponding author

Masahisa Onoguchi

Department of Health Sciences, Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kanazawa University, Kodatsuno 5-11-80, Kanazawa, Ishikawa 920-0942, Japan

E-mail: onoguchi@staff.kanazawa-u.ac.jp

Phone & Fax: +81 76 265 2526

Author

Yasushi Yamamoto

Department of Radiology, Shimane University Hospital

89-1 Enya-cho, Izumo 693-8501, Shimane, Japan.

E-mail: yasushi@med.shimane-u.ac.jp

Phone : +81 853 20 2438

Fax : +81 853 20 2438

Kazunori Kawakami

Department of Psychiatry, Shimane University School of Medicine

89-1 Enya-cho, Izumo 693-8501, Shimane, Japan.

E-mail: kazunori@ffri.co.jp

Masuo Haramoto

Department of Radiology, Shimane University Hospital

89-1 Enya-cho, Izumo 693-8501, Shimane, Japan.

E-mail: haramoto@med.shimane-u.ac.jp

Rei Wake

Department of Psychiatry, Shimane University School of Medicine

89-1 Enya-cho, Izumo 693-8501, Shimane, Japan.

E-mail: rei@med.shimane-u.ac.jp

Jun Horiguchi

Department of Psychiatry, Shimane University School of Medicine

89-1 Enya-cho, Izumo 693-8501, Shimane, Japan.

E-mail: jhorigu@med.shimane-u.ac.jp

Hajime Kitagaki,

Department of Radiology, Shimane University Faculty of Medicine

89-1 Enya-cho, Izumo, Shimane, Japan.

E-mail: kitagaki@med.shimane-u.ac.jp

1 *Evaluation of the difference correction effect of the gamma camera systems used by*
2 *easy Z-score Imaging System (eZIS) analysis*

3

4 **Abstract**

5 *Objective:* We examined the difference of the effect by data to revise a gamma camera
6 difference. The difference correction method of the camera is incorporated in eZIS
7 analysis.

8 *Methods:* We acquired SPECT data from the 3D Hoffman brain phantom (Hoffman),
9 the 3D brain phantom (3D brain), the Pool phantom (pool) and from normal subjects
10 (Normal-SPECT) to investigate compensating for a difference in gamma camera
11 systems. We compared SPECT counts of standard camera with the SPECT counts that
12 revised the difference of the gamma camera system (camera). Furthermore, we
13 compared the “Z-score map (Z-score)”. In order to verify the effect of the compensation,
14 we examined digitally-simulated data designed to represent a patient with Alzheimer’s
15 dementia. We carried out both eZIS analysis and “Specific Volume of interest Analysis
16 (SVA)”.

17 *Results:* There was no great difference between the correction effect using Hoffman
18 phantom data and that using 3D-Brain phantom data. Furthermore, it was only over a
19 limited area that a good compensation effect was obtained. The compensation based
20 upon the Pool phantom was found to be the least satisfactory than other compensations
21 according to all result of a measurement examined in the study. The compensation based
22 upon the Normal-SPECT data resulted in a Z-score map (Z-score) for the result that
23 approximated that from the standard camera. Therefore, we concluded that the effect of
24 the compensation based upon Normal-SPECT data was the best of the four methods

1 tested.

2 *Conclusions:* Based upon eZIS analysis, the compensation using the Pool data was
3 inferior to the compensations using the other methods tested. Based upon the results of
4 the SAV analysis, the effect of the compensation using the Hoffman data was better than
5 the effect of the compensation using the 3D-Brain data. By all end-point measures, the
6 compensation based upon the Normal-SPECT data was more accurate than the
7 compensation based upon any of the other three phantoms.

8

9 *Keywords* ^{99m}Tc -ECD • ^{99m}Tc -HMPAO • SPM • eZIS • image compensation

10

11 **Introduction**

12

13 It has been reported that in the interpretation of cerebral blood flow images from
14 either positron emission tomography (PET) or single photon emission computed
15 tomography (SPECT), a diagnostic improvement is realized by statistical image analysis
16 using Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM) [1, 2] or three-dimensional stereotactic
17 surface projections (3D-SSP) [3-5]. For the statistical image analysis, a normal database
18 (NDB) constructed from the images of a group of normal subjects is necessary. It is
19 used to make a reference image to which the image of a patient is compared [6-8].
20 Using a NDB constructed from one gamma camera system (camera) to analyze a patient
21 scan acquired on a different camera would appear to risk error because of differences in
22 the properties of the detectors and in the structure of the collimators used for different
23 cameras.

24 Using 3D-SSP, after a subject's brain image was aligned, spatially normalized into a

1 standard space, and smoothed, we measured counts from each pixel of the cerebral
2 surface for 6 pixels in the vertical direction of the cortex. We then extracted the
3 maximum count to the corresponding cerebral surface and performed imaging [9].
4 Therefore, the difference of the cameras and an anatomical error are thought to be
5 solved problems. Consequently, compensation for the difference in the cameras (the
6 camera which created the NDB and the camera which carried out the clinical study) is
7 not necessary [9].

8 In contrast, in the SPM analysis, the high-frequency component is removed by a
9 smoothing filter [10-11], and we were unable to employ the NDB if we did not
10 compensate for the difference in the cameras. Therefore, Matsuda et al. developed user
11 interface software that incorporated the difference correction method into the SPM2
12 analysis algorithm. It is the analytical method called easy Z-score Imaging System
13 (eZIS analysis) [5, 11-14].

14 Matsuda et al. also developed Alzheimer's dementia diagnosis support software called
15 Specific Volume of interest Analysis (SVA) [15-16]. SVA uses Voxel of interest (VOI)
16 of the disease specific region as a mask (SVA mask), and three diagnosis support indices
17 are calculated by regional Z-score. These indices are usually calculated after a
18 compensation for the difference in the cameras.

19 The difference compensation within the eZIS analysis functions as follows: First, we
20 collect Hoffman Brain phantom (Hoffman) data with the camera which created the
21 NDB and the camera which did the clinical study. Second, we multiply "Count Ratio" in
22 "Subjects data", and correct it so that it is as if the data were collected with the gamma
23 camera which created the NDB [11]. "Count Ratio" is the ratio of the Hoffman data that
24 we collected with the camera which we used for clinical studies and the Hoffman data

1 that we collected with the camera which created the NDB. Therefore, the eventual
2 results of an analysis are thought to depend on the compensation effect (Correction
3 effect of the camera difference). In an eZIS analysis, the Hoffman phantom is usually
4 used to generate difference correction data. However, there have not been reports on the
5 compensation effect when other phantoms are used.

6 7 **Objectives**

8
9 After having confirmed that a SPECT image varied according to a collector, we
10 examined the difference of the correction effect by the data to revise a camera difference,
11 in eZIS analysis. Also, we created data for difference corrections of the camera from the
12 data of normal subject's, and examined it.

13 This study received the approval of the ethics committee of Shimane University
14 School of Medicine.

15 16 **Materials and methods**

17 18 *The construction of the normal subjects' SPECT image data*

19 20 *The background of the normal subjects*

21
22 We recruited normal volunteers who did not suffer from brain disease and performed the
23 cerebral blood flow SPECT examination using ^{99m}Tc -ethyl cysteinate dimer
24 (^{99m}Tc -ECD) on 20 subjects from March 2011 to September 2011. We recruited a

1 healthy volunteer to make normal database. And use it for making data to revise the
2 difference of the camera. The normal subject group consisted of 10 people in their 60s
3 (age 65.7 ± 2.2 , 5 men, 5 women), and 10 people in their 70s (age 74.1 ± 2.2 , 5 men, 5
4 women). The Hasegawa-style simple intelligence study (HDS-R) mean score was 28.5
5 ± 1.1 for those in their 60s, and was 28.4 ± 1.6 for those in their 70s. The Mini-Mental
6 State Examination (MMSE) mean score was 29.2 ± 1.7 for those in their 60s, and was
7 28.9 ± 1.7 for those in their 70s. It was necessary for us to know a subject's mean
8 cerebral blood flow (mCBF: ml/100g/min) to verify the normality of the brain blood
9 flow of people to be included in the normal database. We determined the mean cerebral
10 blood flow by the Patlak Plot method which is a noninvasive cerebral blood flow
11 measurement using non-blood sampling [17]. The mCBF was 43.4 ± 4.2 for those in
12 their 60s, and 41.8 ± 4.4 for those in their 70s.

13 We also recruited 32 other volunteers that were normal in their past brain health
14 examination that had been conducted to check for signs of cerebral infarctions
15 and other problems. These 32 volunteers were needed to allow one of the different
16 compensation methods and also for a NDB, as in the case with ^{99m}Tc -ECD. We acquired
17 cerebral blood flow SPECT images using ^{99m}Tc -hexamethylpropylene amine oxime
18 (^{99m}Tc -HMPAO) of these subjects from March 2010 to December 2010. The
19 normal-subject group was constructed of 10 people in their 50s (mean age 55.2 ± 3.0 , 4
20 men, 6 women), 12 people in their 60s (mean age 63.8 ± 2.9 , 7 men, 5 women), and 10
21 people in their 70s (mean age 73.2 ± 2.4 , 5 men, 5 women). Okabe's Scale totals were
22 48.9 ± 3.0 for those in their 50s, 47.0 ± 5.7 for those in their 60s, and 45.4 ± 6.9 for
23 those in their 70s. The Okabe test is a modified and simplified version of the Wechsler
24 Memory Scale and consists of 4 subscales: information, mental control, digit span, and

1 associative learning. The full scores on these 4 subscales total 60 points. The
2 classification criteria are 10 points, severe dementia; 10~19 points, moderate dementia;
3 20~29 points, mild dementia (or notable mental aging) [18].

4 We again determined the mCBF by the Patlak Plot method; the mCBF was 47.3 ± 5.0
5 for those in their 50s, 43.4 ± 10.4 for those in their 60s, 41.7 ± 4.4 for those in their 70s.

6 In these two cases, there were no cases with abnormalities such as asymptomatic
7 cerebral infarction in MRI examination (MRI: signa HDX 3.0T and signa 1.5T, General
8 Electric), and the atrophy was suitable for their age.

9

10 *A SPECT image collection condition and the reconstruction parameters for normal*
11 *subjects*

12

13 We performed the cerebral blood flow SPECT examination using 740 MBq
14 of ^{99m}Tc -ECD. The cameras used for imaging were a PRISM IRIX/Odyssey FX camera
15 / image processor (Philips Healthcare in Amsterdam, Netherlands) and an E.CAM/e.soft
16 camera/image processor (Siemens Healthcare in Munich, Germany). However, the
17 tracer was administered only once. Firstly, we collected SPECT data using the IRIX.
18 Less than 10 minutes elapsed after collection of the data with the IRIX before we started
19 to collect data with the E.CAM. The acquisition parameters with the IRIX camera:
20 energy window $140 \text{ keV} \pm 7.5\%$, and data sampling angle 5° step with 72 views (35
21 sec/view). A butterworth filter was used as a filtered back projection method for SPECT
22 image reconstruction at 0.75 cycle/cm. Attenuation compensation was performed using
23 Chang's method with 0.1 cm^{-1} . That using E.CAM: camera, $140 \text{ keV} \pm 10\%$, 4° step /
24 90 views (15 sec/view), Butterworth filter 0.45 Nyquist frequency, Filtered Back

1 Projection, attenuation compensation by the Chang's method with 0.1cm^{-1} . The cut-off
2 frequency of the respective Butterworth filters had been set so that the resolution of the
3 image collected by one device was equal to the resolution of the image collected by the
4 other cameras [19]. Both cameras were equipped with low-energy high-resolution
5 collimators.

6 We performed another cerebral blood flow SPECT examination using 740 MBq
7 of $^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}$ -HMPAO. The SPECT image collection condition and reconstruction
8 parameters were the same as those for $^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}$ -ECD.

9

10 ***The acquisition and processing of phantom data to resolve the camera differences***
11 ***(Fig.1)***

12

13 We used the Hoffman, the three-dimensional brain phantom (3D-Brain) and the Pool
14 phantom (Pool) data to construct the respective difference-compensation data. The
15 Hoffman differs from the human cranium and its brain because the phantom is
16 cylindrical and does not have an *ossa cranii*. To resolve these differences, Iida *et al.*
17 developed a phantom called the 3D-Brain. A bone equivalent fluid (K_2HPO_4) is
18 enclosed in the *ossa cranii* of the 3D-Brain. The cerebral parenchyma is constructed
19 from computed tomography (CT) images of normal subjects. A radioactive tracer can be
20 enclosed in the *substantia grisea* [20]. As for the Pool, brain parenchyma of Hoffman is
21 excluded; therefore the Pool is a simpler phantom than the Hoffman. We enclosed
22 0.3MBq/ml of $^{99\text{m}}\text{TcO}_4^-$ in each phantom. We then acquired SPECT images with both
23 the IRIX camera and the E.CAM camera three times for each phantom. The SPECT
24 imaging conditions and image reconstruction conditions were the same as those for the

1 normal subjects. We performed anatomical standardization using a template suitable for
2 each phantom. The data for compensation were constructed from the average of the
3 three data sets.

4 In addition to using each of the three phantoms, we also used live subjects. That is,
5 we acquired images to resolve the differences between the two cameras from the
6 average of a set of normal-subject brain-blood-flow SPECT images. Furthermore, from
7 normal subject's average SPECT image data, we made data (Normal-SPECT data) for
8 difference compensation of the cameras. The procedure was to first realign the image,
9 and then anatomically standardize it using a template for ECD. And we made
10 Normal-SPECT data for both cameras (IRIX and E.CAM).

11 In the case of ^{99m}Tc -HMPAO, we made compensation data (Normal SPECT data)
12 using 22 subjects (12 in their 60s and 10 in their 70s) average SPECT image data
13 similar to the case for ^{99m}Tc -ECD.

14

15 *The difference in SPECT image of the gamma cameras*

16

17 The data that we reconstituted from the normal subjects (n = 20) data that
18 used ^{99m}Tc -ECD obtained with an E.CAM camera used it as reference data (Called in
19 what follows, "E.CAM Ref ECD data"). Similarly, that for the IRIX camera is called
20 "IRIX data". The procedure for producing what we called "E.CAM Ref ECD data" is
21 different from that for the above-mentioned "Normal-SPECT data". We collected the
22 raw data of normal subjects using the E.CAM and carried out attenuation correction and
23 reconstruction. We applied an anatomical standardization and smoothing. The result was
24 called the "E.CAM Ref ECD data" (n = 20). We used eZIS analysis (SPM2) for the

1 anatomical standardization and smoothing. We also collected normal subject raw data
2 with the IRIX and made IRIX data (n=20) in the same manner.

3 We performed a two-sample t-test (height threshold P value = 0.05, uncompensated,
4 P value adjustments to none, extent threshold = 50 voxels) between the E.CAM Ref
5 ECD data and the IRIX data by the statistical mode of SPM8. The SPM8 software is the
6 current version of SPM. We thus assessed the difference in SPECT images (the
7 normalized SPECT counts) acquired by the two cameras. Hereinafter, in all two-sample
8 t-tests, we used the statistical mode of SPM8 and used the same procedure described
9 above.

10

11 *Construction of data that artificially emphasizes the compensation effect.*

12

13 We reconstructed the raw data from the normal subjects acquired using the IRIX
14 without attenuation compensation (hereinafter referred to as IRIX AC⁻ data) in order to
15 clearly examine the effect of the compensation, that is, so that the relative difference in
16 count of the SPECT image data from the two cameras would be increased. We revised
17 the difference of the cameras for IRIX AC⁻ data. We calculate the count ratio of “Data
18 (there is attenuation compensation) to use for the difference compensation of the
19 cameras which we collected in E.CAM” and “Data (there is no attenuation
20 compensation) to use for the difference compensation of the cameras which we
21 collected in IRIX” every voxel. We multiplied every voxel of “IRIX AC⁻ data” in the
22 count ratio. We compared the E.CAM Ref ECD data with the IRIX AC⁻ data which
23 revised the difference of the cameras.

24

1 ***The evaluation of the effect of the compensation by the statistical significance test***
2 ***result images***

3

4 We constructed statistical significance test result images (hereinafter referred to as
5 “Decrease” and “Increase”) by performing a two-sample t-test between the compensated
6 IRIX AC⁻ data and E.CAM Ref ECD data. In IRIX AC⁻ data, we did each compensation
7 methods “Hoffman data, 3D-Brain data, Pool data, Normal-SPECT data” and examined
8 an effect from “Decrease image” and “Increase image” as a reference E.CAM Ref ECD
9 data. The “Decrease image” shows those pixels where counts decreased in a statistically
10 significant way. The “Increase image” shows those pixels where counts increased in a
11 statistically significant way. We then visually examined the effect of the compensation.
12 Also, we compared IRIX AC⁻ data with E.CAM Ref ECD data.

13

14 ***The evaluation of the compensation effect by the Z-score***

15

16 We created a NDB (E.CAN NDB) using the normal subject raw data which we
17 collected using the E.CAM. We acquired a Z-score (map), which we define as a
18 standard Z-score map, by performing the eZIS analysis using the E.CAM NDB with the
19 E.CAM Ref ECD data. And we acquired a Z-score map by eZIS analysis using E.CAM
20 NDB with the compensated IRIX AC⁻ data. Also, we acquired the Z score (map) by an
21 eZIS analysis using E.CAM NDB with IRIX AC⁻ data to not compensate for the
22 difference of the camera. If the difference compensation is appropriate, the Z-score
23 should be similar to that of standard Z-score map. We calculated the average Z-score of
24 the “Decrease and Increase” for the central area (Fig. 3A) and for the marginal area (Fig.

1 3B) and evaluated the correction effect. We performed a multiple comparison by the
2 Tukey-Kramer method to test the statistical significance. A central area and the marginal
3 area divide a significant difference image which we made by two sample t-test between
4 E.CAM Ref ECD data and IRIX AC⁻ data into two regions. The division is made using
5 software for multipurpose image analyses named DRIP.

6

7 ***The evaluation of the correction by the correlation coefficient of the SPECT count***

8

9 We compensated IRIX data by multiplying the original IRIX data by the image of the
10 ratio of counts of difference-compensation data collected by E.CAM and constructed
11 with attenuation compensation (Hoffman data, 3D-Brain data, Pool data,
12 Normal-SPECT data) and counts of difference-compensation data collected by IRIX
13 and constructed with attenuation compensation. We calculated the correlation
14 coefficient of the SPECT count of “E.CAM Ref ECD data” and that of “Adjusted IRIX
15 data”, and thereby evaluated a correction effect. That is we examined how similar the
16 Adjusted IRIX data was to E.CAM Ref ECD data.

17 Also, when we did not revise IRIX data, we examined it. The correlation coefficient
18 was calculated between every region of the same subjects. We set the regions using
19 Level 2 of “voxel-based Analysis Stereotactic Extraction Estimation (vbSEE)” [21]
20 which Mizumura developed; the six regions targeted for evaluation were: All Lobe,
21 Frontal Lobe, Occipital Lobe, Temporal Lobe, Anterior Lobe, Sub-lobar. (We calculated
22 right lobe and left lobe at one time). We used Matlab R2009b (MathWorks, Natick,
23 American MA state) for the calculation of the correlation coefficient. We used a
24 two-way factorial analysis of variance to test the statistical significance of the

1 coefficient of correlation.

2

3 ***The construction of Alzheimer's dementia digital simulation data***

4

5 We constructed a SPECT image simulating Alzheimer's dementia for both cameras
6 (the IRIX AD and the E.CAM AD) from the SPECT images of a single subject (female,
7 63 years old, HDS-R:30 , MMSE:30). IRIX AD data and E.CAM AD decreased 20% of
8 SPECT counts of the SVA mask coordinate, and made it. This procedure was performed
9 by free software Daemon Research Image Processor. (DRIP: multipurpose image
10 analysis software, Fujifilm RI Pharma Ltd in Tokyo, Japan)

11

12 ***Compensation precision criterion by Z-score map where eZIS analyzed Alzheimer's***
13 ***dementia digital simulation data***

14

15 We corrected IRIX AD data, and performed eZIS analysis using E.CAM NDB, and
16 obtained a Z score map. Also, we made IRIX AD data without an attenuation
17 compensation, and acquired a Z score map by eZIS analysis using the E.CAM NDB. We
18 acquired a Z-score map by the eZIS analysis using IRIX NDB on IRIX AD data. We
19 acquired a Z-score map by the eZIS analysis using E.CAM NDB on E.CAM AD data.
20 We then visually examined these Z-score maps. We conducted SVA analysis, and
21 obtained Severity (Se), Extent (Ex) and Ratio. We compared each value, and evaluated a
22 correction effect. We can determine the "Extent" and "Severity" of the cerebral blood
23 flow drop by an SVA analysis. We can also determine the ratio of the brain blood flow
24 drop region over the whole brain by SVA analysis.

1

2 *Examination when healthy subject data group is different from a group constituting*3 *Normal-SPECT data*

4

5 The effect of the compensation using Normal-SPECT data was assessed using the
6 SPECT image data group of ^{99m}Tc -HMPAO as the data group different from
7 Normal-SPECT data constructing group. We performed a two-sample t-test between
8 IRIX data using ^{99m}Tc -HMPAO and the E.CAM Ref data using ^{99m}Tc -HMPAO
9 (hereinafter referred to as E.CAM Ref PAO data), between IRIX AC⁻ data
10 using ^{99m}Tc -HMPAO and E.CAM Ref PAO data, and between “IRIX AC⁻ data
11 using ^{99m}Tc -HMPAO that were compensated using Normal-SPECT data” and E.CAM
12 Ref PAO data. We then constructed the statistical significance test result images and
13 visually assessed the effect of the compensation for difference of cameras. E.CAM Ref
14 PAO data, IRIX data of ^{99m}Tc -HMPAO and IRIX AC⁻ data of ^{99m}Tc -HMPAO were
15 constructed from the 10 normal subjects in their 50s belonging to the group that was not
16 used for constructing Normal-SPECT data of ^{99m}Tc -HMPAO for the compensation of
17 the difference of cameras.

18

19 **Results**

20

21 *The difference in SPECT image of the gamma cameras*

22

23 Fig. 2A, show the results of the two-sample t-test between the E.CAM Ref ECD data
24 and IRIX data of the normal-subject group. “Decrease” means the region in which the

1 counts of the IRIX data are lower than those of the E.CAM Ref data. “Increase” means
2 the region in which the counts of the IRIX data are higher than those of the E.CAM Ref
3 data. The counts of the IRIX data were lower than those of the E.CAM Ref ECD data in
4 the frontal lobe. Conversely, the counts of the IRIX data were higher than those of the
5 E.CAM Ref ECD data in the parietal lobe, temporal lobe and occipital lobe.

6

7 *The evaluation of the effect of the compensation by the statistical significance test*
8 *result images*

9

10 Fig. 2B, show the result of the two-sample t-test between E.CAM Ref ECD data and
11 IRIX AC⁻ data. In the central area, the counts of the IRIX AC⁻ data were significantly
12 lower than those of the E.CAM Ref ECD data. Conversely, in the marginal area, the
13 counts of the IRIX AC⁻ data were significantly higher than those of the E.CAM Ref
14 ECD data. In Fig. 2C – F, we show the results of the two-sample t-test between the
15 E.CAM Ref ECD data and IRIX AC⁻ data that were compensated by respective
16 difference-compensation data. “Increase image” is an image of the area that determined
17 that “IRIX AC⁻ data which compensated the difference of the cameras” is bigger than
18 “E.CAM Ref ECD data”. “Decrease image” is an image of the area that determined that
19 “IRIX AC⁻ data which compensated the difference of the cameras” is smaller than
20 “E.CAM Ref ECD data”. In the “Decrease image” of the central area, the difference in
21 count became less and visualized regions were decreased compared with the case
22 without the compensation (Fig. 2B). Hereby we confirmed the effect of the
23 compensation for difference of cameras. According to the “Increase image” (Fig. 2D),
24 which was compensated using 3D-Brain data, we confirmed that in the frontal lobe

1 there were the regions in which the difference in count increased compared with the
2 case without compensation.

3 From the visual assessment of the statistical significance test result images, it can be
4 seen that the difference in count was the smallest in the compensation using
5 Normal-SPECT data (Fig. 2F).

6

7 *The evaluation of the compensation effect by the Z-score*

8

9 Fig.3 displays the Z-score of the central area of the Decrease and Increase images,
10 and that of the marginal area Decrease and Increase images. (The Z-score is on the
11 vertical axis). A horizontal axis, "IRIX data (No cor)" were case of the IRIX data that
12 does not correct the difference of the camera. "IRIX AC" data (No cor)" were case of the
13 IRIX AC" data that does not correct the difference of the camera. "E.CAM Ref ECD
14 data" was the case of the E.CAM Ref ECD data. "Hoffman data", "3D brain data",
15 "pool data" and "the normal SPECT data" were case of the adjusted IRIX AC" data
16 using each correction data. The NDB to use in all analyses is "E.CAM NDB".

17 Table.1 shows average and standard deviation (mean \pm sd.) of Z-score. In the
18 Decrease side of the central area, Normal-SPECT data (0.7440 ± 0.190) and Hoffman
19 data (0.908 ± 0.168) did not have ECAM Ref ECD data (0.774 ± 0.218) and a
20 significant difference. In the "Decrease" of the central area, the most ineffective was the
21 compensation using 3D-Brain data (1.298 ± 0.160). Only Normal-SPECT data ($-0.683 \pm$
22 0.129) did not have E.CAM Ref ECD data (-0.752 ± 0.122) and the significant
23 difference that was usual in the Increase side. Hoffman data (-1.245 ± 0.167) least had a
24 correction effect. In the marginal area, only Normal-SPECT data (0.741 ± 0.125 , -0.833

1 ± 0.160) did not have a normal value and a significant difference with the Decrease side,
2 Increase side either. In Pool data (1.617 ± 0.133 , 1.450 ± 0.191), the Decrease side of
3 the marginal area and the Increase side of the marginal area had no compensation effect.

4

5 ***The evaluation of the correction by the correlation coefficient of the SPECT count***

6

7 “IRIX data (No cor)” on the horizontal axis in Fig.4 were case of without the
8 compensation for the difference in cameras. “Hoffman data”, “3D-Brain data”, “Pool
9 data”, “Normal-SPECT data” were case of corrected IRIX data that used each
10 correction data. The vertical axis shows the mean of correlation coefficient (Correlation
11 coefficient of each IRIX data SPECT counts and E.CAM Ref ECD data SPECT counts).

12 We show mean and standard deviation (mean \pm sd.) of correlation coefficient in Table
13 2. In all regions, when we used Normal-SPECT data, the correlation coefficient was big
14 (0.963 ± 0.016). The correlation coefficient became smaller in order of IRIX data
15 (0.954 ± 0.019), Hoffman data (0.942 ± 0.025), 3D-Brain data (0.922 ± 0.022), and Pool
16 data (0.917 ± 0.023). We compared the correlation coefficient of every region. In
17 Occipital Lobe (0.963 ± 0.010) and Posterior Lobe (0.965 ± 0.011), the coefficient of
18 correlation is bigger than in other regions, and the standard deviation is small. In
19 Anterior Lobe (0.932 ± 0.027), Sub-lobar (0.926 ± 0.028), the coefficient of correlation
20 is smaller than for other regions, and the standard deviation is big. There was a
21 statistical significance between all difference-correction data. Furthermore, within type
22 of data set, there was a statistical significance between a value differences for all pairs
23 of regions.

24

1 *Compensation precision criteria by Z-score map where eZIS analyzed Alzheimer's*
2 *dementia digital simulation data*

3

4 In Fig. 5, we show the Z-score map of the IRIX AD data and that of E.CAM AD data
5 and the results of the SVA analysis. In order to reduce noise, the minimum threshold
6 value was set to 1.5. Fig. 5A shows the disease specific region which decreased the
7 SPECT counts (SVA mask) in white. Fig. 5B shows the Z-score map which analyzed
8 IRIX AD data in combination with the IRIX NDB. Fig. 5C shows the Z-score map
9 which analyzed E.CAM AD data in combination with the E.CAM NDB (standard
10 Z-score map). The Z-score map varied according to cameras. Fig. 5D shows Z-score
11 map which analyzed IRIX AD data which does not revise the difference of the cameras
12 in combination with the E.CAM NDB. The difference of the cameras compensates IRIX
13 AD data using Fig. 5E Hoffman data, Fig. 5F 3D-Brain data, Fig. 5G Pool data, Fig. 5H
14 Normal SPECT data and shows Z-score map which we analyzed in combination with
15 the E.CAM NDB. SVA analyzes each Z-score map and shows obtained Severity (Se),
16 Extent (Ex), Ratio in Fig. 5, lower section. The difference of the cameras compensates
17 IRIX AD data in "Hoffman data", "3D-Brain data", "Pool data", and Z-score map which
18 analyzed eZIS analysis has a bigger Z-score of the cerebellum region than standard
19 Z-score map, and Z-score of the posterior part of the cingulate gyrus is found to be
20 different. Z-score map which was the nearest to standard Z-score map was Z-score map
21 where the gap between the camera revised IRIX AD data in Normal-SPECT data (Fig.5
22 H). Without the compensation for the difference of the cameras (Fig. 5D), Z-score of the
23 cingulate gyrus rear than standard Z-score map was small, and the depicted range was
24 different.

1 The Z-score map which analyzed E.CAM AD data in combination with the E.CAM
2 NDB. Then, SVA analyzed, calculated Se, Ex and Ratio are standards of this
3 examination. Regarding the scores of the “Se” and “Ex”, the most approximate
4 compensation to the standard of the present study (Fig. 5C: Se = 2.05, Ex= 47.08) was
5 the compensation using Normal-SPECT data (Se = 1.95, Ex =44.01). Followed in order
6 of the compensation using 3D-Brain data (Se = 1.93, Ex = 43.50), the compensation
7 using Hoffman data (Se = 1.79, Ex = 37.32), the compensation using Pool data (Se =
8 1.60, Ex = 29.07), no compensation (Se = 1.38, Ex = 20.68). Regarding the scores of
9 “Ratio”, the most approximate compensation to the standard in the present study (Fig.
10 5C: 15.16) was the compensation using Hoffman data (17.30). When we did not revise
11 the difference of the cameras, the ratio was 18.45. When we used Normal-SPECT data
12 (31.06) for the difference compensation of the cameras, ratio showed a value larger than
13 a normal value. On the contrary, the ”Ratio” of compensation using 3D-Brain data
14 (7.23) and that of compensation using Pool data (8.99) was lower than that of the
15 standard in the present study. Also, “Ratio” acquired by the analysis using IRIX NDB
16 on the IRIX AD data was 2.8 times higher than that of the standard in the present study.

17

18 *Examination when healthy subject data group is different from a group constituting*
19 *Normal-SPECT data*

20

21 Fig.6A, show the results of the two-sample t-test between the E.CAM Ref data
22 of ^{99m}Tc -HMPAO (hereinafter referred to as E.CAM Ref PAO data) and the IRIX data
23 of ^{99m}Tc -HMPAO. “Decrease” means the region in which the counts of IRIX data are
24 lower than those of the E.CAM Ref PAO data. And “Increase” means the region in

1 which the counts of the IRIX data are higher than those of the E.CAM Ref PAO data.
2 The counts of the IRIX data were lower than those of the E.CAM Ref PAO data in the
3 frontal lobe and higher than those of the E.CAM Ref PAO data in the parietal lobe,
4 temporal lobe and occipital lobe.

5 The counts of the IRIX AC⁻ data were significantly lower than those of the E.CAM
6 Ref PAO data in the central area and were significantly higher than those of the E.CAM
7 Ref data in the outside area (Fig.6B). Fig. 6C, show the results of the two-sample t-test
8 between IRIX AC⁻ data that were compensated using Normal-SPECT data and E.CAM
9 Ref PAO data. The difference in count of the “Decrease” in the central area and the
10 difference in count of the “Increase” in the outside area decreased. Therefore, the
11 difference compensation effect of the cameras is good.

12

13 **Discussion**

14

15 There was a statistical significance between the SPECT counts of the same subject
16 depending on the cameras. The statistical significance was not scattered, but occupied a
17 specific region such as the frontal lobe (Fig. 2A). Therefore, some compensation for the
18 difference of cameras is necessary to share an NDB in the eZIS analysis. If a result of
19 the eZIS analysis varies according to the difference of the device and cannot be
20 diagnosed definitely, this is because it is a problem.

21 In the present study, IRIX AC⁻ data approximated the E.CAM Ref ECD data because
22 of compensation. However, according to the examinations using the Hoffman by
23 Yamamoto [22] and Haramoto [23], a satisfactory effect of the compensation was not
24 achieved in all brain regions. In contrast, a satisfactory effect was achieved in the

1 compensation by Normal-SPECT data because the difference in count decreased in
2 “Decrease image” and “Increase image”. A stable compensation effect was provided in
3 Central area and outside area. By the compensation using Phantom data including
4 Hoffman, it has the area where a good compensation effect is not provided.

5 The above result was also proven by the assessment of the effect of the compensation
6 by Z-score (Fig. 3 and Table 1). A satisfactory effect of the compensation could be
7 achieved only in compensation using Normal-SPECT data in both the central area and
8 the marginal area. In the compensations using the other phantom data, the central area
9 was inconsistent with the marginal area and “Decrease” was inconsistent with
10 “Increase”. This is due to the difference of the formulations distribution, which arose
11 from the difference in structure between the phantom data and actual brain tissue
12 because the image reconstruction conditions were set so that the resolutions were the
13 same in each SPECT image of the two cameras [19]. The Z-score acquired by eZIS
14 analysis using the E.CAM NDB on the IRIX data without compensation, IRIX data (No
15 cor), was more approximate to the standard Z-score than the compensation using
16 Phantom data. Therefore, although the cameras differed, if we equalize the image
17 reconstruction conditions of the collection camera and those of the standard camera (the
18 NDB constructing camera), we were able to acquire data that better approximated the
19 E.CAM Ref ECD data than the data acquired by the compensation for difference of
20 cameras using phantom data.

21 Fig.4 and Table.2, show the results of the assessment of correction effect of
22 compensation by the correlation coefficient of SPECT counts, the correlation coefficient
23 between the E.CAM Ref ECD data and IRIX data (No cor) were higher than the
24 correlation coefficient between the E.CAM Ref ECD data and IRIX data compensated

1 by phantom data. Suggested by the examination using Z-score as mentioned above,
2 equalizing the image reconstruction conditions of the collection camera and those of the
3 standard camera (the NDB constructing camera) is more effective than the
4 compensation of the difference of the cameras using phantom data. We do not do the
5 difference compensation of the cameras, but use the NDB.

6 The effect of the compensation using Normal-SPECT data was satisfactory because
7 the correlation coefficients were the highest in all regions. On the contrary, the
8 correlation coefficients of the compensation using Pool data were the lowest in all
9 regions except for the Sub-Lobar. Among these regions, in the anterior lobe, the
10 correlation coefficient was especially low. The anterior lobe was the region in which the
11 difference in count was large in the compensation by Pool data (Fig.2E). This meant
12 that the effect of the compensation by Pool data was found to be restrictive.

13 The eZIS analyzed E.CAM AD data in combination with the E.CAM NDB. Then, we
14 performed SVA analysis. And calculated "Se and Ex" are standards. Se and Ex of the
15 compensation using 3D-Brain data (Fig.5F) were the most approximate to the standard
16 among those of the compensations using Pool data. However, the Ratio was the smallest
17 among all compensations. This meant the existence of many voxels with $Z\text{-score} \geq 2$
18 produced by the compensation in the Z-score map. Therefore, the 3D-Brain data were
19 not appropriate data for the compensation. Similarly, in the compensations using Pool
20 data, Ratios were small; therefore, Pool data were not appropriate data for compensation.
21 In the compensation using Hoffman data (Fig.5E), Se and Ex were approximate to the
22 standard scores. This result was more satisfactory than the case of no compensation
23 (Fig.5D). For the reasons stated above and the result of SVA analysis, among three
24 Phantom data, only Hoffman data were appropriate for the compensation. We are

1 satisfied with the result of the SVA analysis, but are not satisfied with the result of the
2 eZIS analysis. If we use it for difference compensation of the cameras by Phantom data,
3 we think that it is Hoffman data.

4 3D-Brain is the phantom which best simulated the brain of humans, but only the gray
5 matter area that we can contain a radionuclide. On the other hand, Hoffman employs a
6 gap between the plates of the 89 slices but allows for a white matter area and a gray
7 matter area. We infer that it is nearer to the distribution of the brain of humans. In other
8 words the thing more necessary for compensation is distribution rather than perfect
9 structure.

10 In Fig. 6A, we show the differences in the SPECT image due to the camera in the
11 case of ^{99m}Tc -HMPAO. The “Decrease” image and “Increase” image in the case
12 of ^{99m}Tc -HMPAO showed the same tendency as in the case of ^{99m}Tc -ECD (Fig. 2A). It
13 has been reported that both ^{99m}Tc -HMPAO distributions and ^{99m}Tc -ECD distributions in
14 the brain are the same a few hours after administration [24-28]. Therefore, this
15 statistical significance clearly shows the differences in the SPECT image by the camera.
16 When we use Normal-SPECT data for the difference compensation of the cameras:
17 When radiopharmaceutical compares the case of ^{99m}Tc -HMPAO (Fig.6C) and the case
18 of ^{99m}Tc -ECD (Fig. 2F), in the case of ^{99m}Tc -HMPAO, the regions with significant
19 differences were mostly scattered throughout the brain. On the other hand, the statistical
20 significance of the central area (Fig. 6B) disappeared; therefore we were able to obtain a
21 satisfactory compensation effect. However, for the compensation using Normal-SPECT
22 data, we must collect the same normal subject's raw data by both the camera which
23 created the NDB and the camera used for the clinical study. This procedure is more
24 difficult than constructing an NDB.

1 Therefore, in future work, we will study the ways to conveniently construct the data
2 for the compensation of the difference of collection camera by extracting a control
3 group from the clinical data obtained with each camera. This control group should
4 consist of over 25 cases, which are regarded as a large sample.

6 **Conclusions**

7
8 We verified differences in SPECT images of cerebral blood flow caused by a
9 difference in the imaging camera. It was what had been already checked, but we
10 inspected it. There was a difference in the frontal lobe, parietal lobe, temporal lobe, and
11 occipital lobe when we compared the SPECT image of E.CAM with the SPECT image
12 of the IRIX. The difference compensation of the cameras using Pool data in the eZIS
13 analysis was not able to confirm the good compensation effect in all end-points that we
14 examined in this study. The excellent compensation was achieved by using Hoffman
15 data rather than 3D-Brain data. The effect of the difference compensation of the cameras
16 using Normal - SPECT data was superior to the compensation effect using each of the
17 three Phantom data sets.

19 **References**

- 20
21 1. Friston KJ, Holmes AP, Worsley KJ, poline J-P, Frith CD, Frackowiak RSS, et al.
22 Spatial registration and normalization of image. Human Brain Mapping 1995 ; 2 :
23 165-189.
24 2. Friston KJ, Holmes AP, Worsley KJ, poline J-P, Frith CD, Frackowiak RSS, et al.

- 1 Statistical parametric maps in functional imaging : a general linear approach. *Human*
2 *Brain Mapping* 1995 ; 2 : 189-210.
- 3 3. Minoshima S, Foster NL, Kuhl DE. Posterior cingulate cortex in Alzheimer's disease.
4 *Lancet*. 1994 Sep 24; 344 (8926): 895.
- 5 4. Minoshima S, Frey KA, Koeppe RA, Foster NL, Kuhl DE. A diagnostic approach in
6 Alzheimer's disease using three-dimensional stereotactic surface projections of
7 fluorine-18-FDG PET. *J Nucl Med*. 1995 Jul; 36 (7):1238-48.
- 8 5. Yamamoto Y, Onoguchi M. Statistical image analysis in the cerebral Blood Flow
9 SPECTW'A difference and matters that require attention of the handling of eZIS and
10 iSSP. *Nippon Hoshasen Gijutu Gakkai Zashi*. 2011; 67(6): 719-27.
- 11 6. Matsuda H, Mizumura S, Nagao T, Ota T, Iizuka T, Nemoto K, et al. An easy Z-score
12 imaging system for discrimination between very early Alzheimer's disease and
13 controls using brain perfusion SPECT in a multicenter study. *Nucl Med Commun*.
14 2007 Mar;28(3):199-205.
- 15 7. Ishii K, Kono AK, Sasaki H, Miyamoto N, Fukuda T, Sakamoto S, et al. Fully
16 automatic diagnostic system for early- and late-onset mild Alzheimer's disease using
17 FDG PET and 3D-SSP. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2006 May; 33(5): 575-83.
- 18 8. Ishii K, Kanda T, Uemura T, Miyamoto N, Yoshikawa T, Shimada K, et al.
19 Computer-assisted diagnostic system for neurodegenerative dementia using brain
20 SPECT and 3D-SSP. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2009 May; 36(5): 831-40.
- 21 9. Imabayashi E. 3D-SSP: Principle and modality of image statistical analysis. In:
22 Matsuda H, Asada T. *Imaging of dementia*. Tokyo: Nagai Bookstore Co, Ltd; 2004
23 p. 92-94.
- 24 10. Oonishi T. SPM: Principle and modality of image statistical analysis. In: Matsuda H,

- 1 Asada T. Imaging of dementia. Tokyo: Nagai Bookstore Co, Ltd; 2004 p. 82-83.
- 2 11. Yamamoto Y. The basics of Easy Z-score Imaging System: Kawamura S, Yamamoto
3 T. Base and application of the clinical analysis software in nuclear medicine. Tokyo:
4 Nippon Hoshasen Gijyutu Gakkai publication committee; 2011 p. 1-7.
- 5 12. Matsuda H, Mizumura S, Soma T, Takemura N. Conversion of brain SPECT images
6 between different collimators and reconstruction processes for analysis using
7 statistical parametric mapping. Nucl Med Commun. 2004 Jan;25(1):67-74.
- 8 13. Mizumura S, Kumita S. Stereotactic statistical imaging analysis of the brain using
9 the easy Z-score imaging system for sharing a normal database. Radiat Med. 2006
10 Aug; 24(7): 545-52.
- 11 14. Hiroshi M. eZIS: Principle and modality of image statistical analysis. In: Matsuda H,
12 Asada T. Imaging of dementia .Tokyo: Nagai Bookstore Co, Ltd; 2004 p. 96-102.
- 13 15. Matsuda H, Mizumura S, Nagao T, Ota T, Iizuka T, Nemoto K, et al. Automated
14 discrimination between very early Alzheimer disease and controls using an easy
15 Z-score imaging system for multicenter brain perfusion single-photon emission
16 tomography. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2007 Apr; 28(4): 731-6.
- 17 16. Yamamoto Y. The clinical use of Specific VOI analysis (SVA): Kawamura S,
18 Yamamoto T. Base and application of the clinical analysis software in nuclear
19 medicine .Tokyo: Nippon Hoshasen Gijyutu Gakkai publication committee; 2011 p.
20 23-28.
- 21 17. Matsuda H., Tsuji S, Shuke N, Sumiya H, Tonami M, Hisada K. A quantitative
22 approach to technetium-99m hexamethylpropylene amine oxime. Eur J Nucl
23 Med.1992; 19(3): 195-200.
- 24 18. Kobayashi S, Yamaguchi S, Kitani M, Okuda K, Shimote K. Evaluation of practical

- 1 usefulness of the Okabe's mini-mental scale in normal aged. Japanese Journal of
2 Neuropsychology. 1987; 3: 67-72.
- 3 19. Yamamoto Y, Haramoto M, Komatsu A, Kurihara H. A study of normal data base in
4 3D-SSP. Nippon Hoshasen Gijyutu Gakkai Zashi. 2005; 61(4): 529-36 (in Japanese).
- 5 20. Iida H, Hori Y, Ishida K, Tsushima H, Imabayashi E, Matsuda H, Takahashi M, et al.
6 Tree-dimensional brain phantom containing bone and grey matter structures with a
7 realistic head contour. Ann Nucl Med. 2013; 27(1): 25-36.
- 8 21. Mizumura S. Affiliation symptom diagnosis using a function image and a
9 configuration image. Image integration of VSRAD and eZIS/SPM. Rounen Seishin
10 Igaku Zashi. [A commentary / special feature]. 2008; 19 (An extra number I): 41-51.
- 11 22. Yamamoto Y, Oonishi H, Murakami T, Takahashi M, Odajima S, Uchida K.
12 Research Report: accuracy and evaluation of the stereotactic statistical imaging
13 analysis of the brain. Nippon Hoshasen Gijyutu Gakkai Zashi. 2008; 64(6): 752-65.
- 14 23. Haramoto M, Yamamoto Y, Akio K. Fundamental examination of eZIS. Nippon
15 Hoshasen Gishikai Zashi. 2006; 53(1): 26-33.
- 16 24. Matsuda H, Terada H, Higashi S, Sumiya H, Tsuji S, Seki H, et al. Basic and
17 Clinical Studies of Brain Perfusion Scintigraphy Using
18 ^{99m}Tc -d,l-hexamethyl-propyleneamine Oxime. Kaku Igaku.1987.09; 24(9):
19 1329-41.
- 20 25. Tukamoto E, Itoh K, Kamiyama H, Mabuchi S, Furudate M. Clinical Experience of
21 ^{99m}Tc -hexamethylpropyleneamine Oxime(^{99m}Tc -HMPAO) for Imaging of
22 Regional Cerebral Blood Flow. Kaku Igaku.1987.10; 24(10): 1573-9.
- 23 26. Yokota I, Oka H, Ogura H, Hayashi M. Basic and Clinical Evaluation of Cerebral
24 Blood Flow Scintigraphy with Tc-^{99m} d,l-HM-PAO. Nippon Hoshasen Gijyutu

- 1 Gakkai Zashi.1988. 07; 44(7): 750-8.
- 2 27. Matsuda H, Kinuya K, Higashi S, Kawasaki Y, Sumiya H, Shuke N, et al.
- 3 Evaluation of Perfusion SPECT Imaging Using 99mTc-ECD. Kaku Igaku.1991.07;
- 4 28(7): 701-9.
- 5 28. Ichise M, Golan H, Ballinger JR, Vines D, Blackman A, Moldofsky H. Regional
- 6 differences in technetium-99m-ECD clearance on brain SPECT in healthy subjects. J
- 7 Nucl Med. 1997; 38(8): 1253-60.
- 8

1 **Figure Legends**

2

3 **Figure 1**

4 Photographs of three phantoms and its images. A Hoffman phantom and its CT image
5 (A). A 3D-Brain phantom and its CT image (B). A pool phantom (C). All of four images
6 below show the SPECT image for compensations that were obtained by the IRIX
7 camera. The Hoffman data (D), the 3D-Brain data (E), the Pool data (F), and the
8 Normal-SPECT data (G).

9

10 **Figure 2**

11 Maximum intensity projection (MIP) of SPM8 result for each two-sample t-tests on
12 basis of E.CAM Ref ECD data by ^{99m}Tc -ECD SPECT. MIPs show the increase and
13 decrease for IRIX data (A), IRIX AC⁻ data (B), compensated IRIX AC⁻ data by
14 Hoffman (C), compensated IRIX AC⁻ data by 3D-Brain (D), compensated IRIX AC⁻
15 data by Pool (E) and compensated IRIX AC⁻ data by Normal-SPECT (F), respectively.

16

17 **Figure 3**

18 The binarized images of Fig.2 (B) show the Central (A) and Marginal (B). The Z-score
19 below shows both increase and decrease that analyzed by each compensation methods.
20 Each polygonal line of the Z-score corresponds to the same subjects.

21 * n.s.($P \geq 0.05$).

22

23 **Table 1**

24 The average and the standard deviation (mean \pm SD) of the Z-scores in central area (A)

1 and marginal area (B) analyzed by each compensation methods.

2

3 **Figure 4**

4 The correlation coefficient of the Z-scores by each compensation methods using vbSEE
5 (Level 2) that simultaneously analyzed of both right lobe and left lobe. Each polygonal
6 line of the each compensation methods corresponds to the same subjects.

7

8 **Table 2**

9 The average and the standard deviation (mean \pm SD) of the correlation coefficients
10 between Z-scores and each compensation methods on the basis of E.CAM Ref ECD
11 data. All areas were automatically-divided by vbSEE.

12

13 **Figure 5**

14 The above images show the Z-score map calculated by the eZIS analysis. The
15 simulation image (A) which made intentionally-decreased of the SPECT counts using
16 SVA mask processing (as white) for the disease specific regions in order to simulate the
17 AD. Others are the Z-score map images for IRIX AD data using IRIX NDB (B),
18 E.CAM AD data using E.CAM NDB (C), IRIX AD data using E.CAM NDB (D),
19 compensated IRIX AD data by Hoffman data using E.CAM NDB (E), compensated
20 IRIX AD data by 3D-Brain data using E.CAM NDB (F), compensated IRIX AD data by
21 Pool data using E.CAM NDB (G), compensated IRIX AD data by Normal SPECT data
22 using E.CAM NDB (H). Table below shows the index values (Severity, Extent and
23 Ratio) analyzed by SVA from Z-score map.

24

1 Figure 6

- 2 Maximum intensity projection (MIP) of SPM8 result for each two-sample t-tests on
- 3 basis of E.CAM Ref PAO data by ^{99m}Tc -HMPAO SPECT. MIPs show the increase and
- 4 decrease for IRIX data (A), IRIX AC⁻ data (B), compensated IRIX AC⁻ data by
- 5 Normal-SPECT (C), respectively.




Fig.1




Fig.2





Fig.3










Fig. 4



	Severity	Extent	Ratio		Severity	Extent	Ratio
B:IRIX AD data with IRIX NDB	1.67	32.98	42.66	E:Hoffman data	1.79	37.32	17.30
C:ECAM AD data with ECAM NDB	2.05	47.08	15.16	F:3D-Brain data	1.93	43.50	7.23
D:IRIX AD data with ECAM NDB (No cor)	1.38	20.68	18.45	G:Pool data	1.60	29.07	8.99
				H:Normal-SPECT data	1.95	44.01	31.06

Fig.5

Table.1

Correction	A: Central area		B: Marginal area	
	Decrease	Increase	Decrease	Increase
IRIX data(No cor)	1.076±0.221	-0.772±0.135	0.752±0.093	-0.979±0.166
IRIX AC- data(No cor)	1.637±0.245	-0.461±0.113	0.553±0.095	-1.530±0.223
Hoffman data	0.908±0.168	-1.245±0.167	1.275±0.179	-1.108±0.153
3D-Brain data	1.298±0.160	-0.953±0.104	0.918±0.146	-1.332±0.191
Pool data	1.019±0.204	-1.126±0.186	1.617±0.133	-1.450±0.191
Normal-SPECT data	0.744±0.190	-0.683±0.129	0.741±0.125	-0.833±0.160
ECAM Ref data	0.774±0.218	-0.752±0.122	0.770±0.118	-0.761±0.177

mean ± sd

Table.2

Correction	Level2 All	Frontarl	Occipital	Temporal	Anterior	Sub-lober	Mean
IRIX data(No cor)	0.955±0.017	0.949±0.025	0.973±0.008	0.953±0.012	0.953±0.021	0.944±0.023	0.954±0.019
Hoffman data	0.945±0.020	0.946±0.017	0.965±0.009	0.945±0.017	0.932±0.033	0.922±0.036	0.942±0.025
3D-Brain data	0.915±0.018	0.921±0.023	0.953±0.010	0.919±0.020	0.921±0.028	0.903±0.028	0.922±0.022
Pool data	0.925±0.017	0.920±0.018	0.947±0.012	0.914±0.022	0.891±0.034	0.908±0.029	0.917±0.023
Normal-SPECT data	0.964±0.015	0.960±0.020	0.978±0.006	0.962±0.012	0.965±0.017	0.952±0.019	0.963±0.016
Mean	0.941±0.017	0.939±0.021	0.963±0.010	0.939±0.017	0.932±0.027	0.926±0.028	

mean ± sd