

***On the  $\lambda$ -Dimension of the Product of Orders***

By

Tosio HIRAGUCHI

(Received October 1, 1956)

The purpose of this note is to demonstrate the following three theorems.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $A$  and  $S$  be enumerably infinite sets. If  $L$  is a linear order defined on the set  $A$ , then  $D_\lambda[L^S] = \aleph_0$ .*

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $S$  is an enumerably set, then  $D_\lambda[\lambda^S] = \aleph_0$ .*

**THEOREM 3.** *The cardinal product  $\prod_s P_s$  ( $|S| \leq \aleph_0$ ) of a system of enumerable number of orders having  $\lambda$ -dimensions has the  $\lambda$ -dimension. And if  $R_s = \{L_{t(s)} | t(s) \in T_s\}$  is a minimal  $\lambda$ -realizer of  $P_s$  and  $\Phi$  is the set of all mappings  $\varphi$  of  $S$  into  $\cup_s R_s$  such that  $\varphi(s) \in R_s$  for all  $s \in S$ , then  $D_\lambda[\prod_s P_s] \leq \sum_{\varphi \in \Phi} D_\lambda[\prod_s \varphi(s)]$ .*

(As to the terminology and the notations see §1.)

THEOREM 2 is nothing but a different formulation of the theorem, first demonstrated by Mr. Ginsburg in [1], that the  $\lambda$ -dimension of  $P'(E_\infty)$  is  $\aleph_0$ . But the proof is less cumbersome, in which THEOREM 1 plays an important role. Previously the author demonstrated that the dimension of a cardinal product of a system of orders does not exceed the sum of the dimensions of the members [2], [3]. THEOREM 3 is an analogous theorem which estimates the  $\lambda$ -dimension of the cardinal product of a system of orders having  $\lambda$ -dimensions.

**1. Preliminary.**

It will be appropriate to give a brief account on the terminology and the notations used in this note. For further details refer to [3].

An *order* defined on a set  $A$  is a subset  $P$  of the Cartesian product  $A \times A$  which satisfies the following conditions:

- 01:  $x \in A$  implies  $(x, x) \in P$ ,
- 02:  $(x, y) \in P$  and  $(y, x) \in P$  imply  $x = y$ ,
- 03:  $(x, y) \in P$  and  $(y, z) \in P$  imply  $(x, z) \in P$ .

A *linear order* defined on a set  $A$  is an order  $L$  which satisfies the condition

04:  $(x,y) \in L$  or  $(y,x) \in L$  for any  $x,y \in A$ .

" $x \leq y(P)$ " means that  $(x,y) \in P$ . " $x < y(P)$ " means that  $(x,y) \in P$  and  $x \neq y$ . " $x$  and  $y$  are incomparable( $P$ )" means that  $(x,y) \notin P$  and  $(y,x) \notin P$ . " $a$  is the least( $P$ ) element of  $A$ " means that  $a \in A$  and  $(a,x) \in P$  for all  $x \in A$ .

Let  $P$  be an order defined on a set  $A$  and  $B$  a subset of  $A$ . The *suborder* of  $P$  restricted on the set  $B$  is the subset  $P(B)$  of  $P$  specified by

$$P(B) = \{(x,y) \mid (x,y) \in P \text{ and } x,y \in B\}$$

An *extension* of an order  $P$  is an order  $Q$  defined on the same set as  $P$  such that  $P \subseteq Q$ . An extension of an order is said a *linear extension* when it is a linear order.  $\lambda$  stands for the linear order defined on the real number system according to magnitude. A linear extension of an order is said a  $\lambda$ -*extension* when it is isomorphic to a suborder of  $\lambda$ .

A *realizer* of an order  $P$  is a set  $R = \{L_s \mid s \in S\}$  of linear extensions  $L_s$  of  $P$  such that  $P = \bigcap_s L_s$ . In particular if  $L_s$  is a  $\lambda$ -extension for every  $s \in S$ , it is said a  $\lambda$ -*realizer* of  $P$ . A *minimal realizer* of an order is a realizer whose cardinality does not exceed the cardinality of any realizer of the order. A *minimal  $\lambda$ -realizer* of an order is defined correspondingly.

A *dimension* of an order is the cardinality of a minimal realizer of the order and a  $\lambda$ -*dimension* that of a minimal  $\lambda$ -realizer. The dimension and the  $\lambda$ -dimension of an order  $P$  are denoted by  $D[P]$  and  $D_\lambda[P]$  respectively. If  $R = \{L_s \mid s \in S\}$  is a minimal realizer ( $\lambda$ -realizer resp.) of  $P$ , then  $D[P]$  ( $D_\lambda[P]$  resp.) is  $|S|$  where  $|\dots|$  stands for the cardinality of the set  $\dots$ .

Let  $\{P_s \mid s \in S\}$  be a system of orders, each member  $P_s$  being defined on a set  $A_s$ , and  $F$  the set of all mappings  $f$  of  $S$  into  $\bigcup_s A_s$  such that  $f(s) \in A_s$  for every  $s \in S$ . The *cardinal product* of the system  $\{P_s \mid s \in S\}$  is the order  $\prod_s P_s$  defined on  $F$  by

$$\prod_s P_s = \{(f,f) \mid f \in F\} \cup \{(f,g) \mid f,g \in F \text{ and } (f(s),g(s)) \in P_s \text{ for all } s \in S\}.$$

Let  $P$  be an order defined on a set  $A$  and  $F$  the set of all mappings of a set  $S$  into  $A$ . The *cardinal power* of  $P$  is the order  $P^S$  defined on  $F$  by

$$P^S = \{(f,f) \mid f \in F\} \cup \{(f,g) \mid f,g \in F \text{ and } (f(s),g(s)) \in P \text{ for all } s \in S\}.$$

## 2. Proof of the theorems.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $L$  be a linear order defined on a set  $A$ . If  $|S| = \aleph_0$ , then  $D[L^S] = \aleph_0$  provided  $|A| \geq 2$ .*

This is a special case of 9.3 THEOREM on p. 18 of [3].

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $P$  be an order defined on a set  $A$  and  $S$  a set such that  $|S \times S| = |S|$ , then  $D[(P^S)^S] = D[P^S]$ . Moreover if  $P$  has the  $\lambda$ -dimension,  $(P^S)^S$  has also the  $\lambda$ -dimension and  $D_\lambda[(P^S)^S] = D_\lambda[P^S]$ .*

This follows immediately from the fact that  $(P^S)^S$  is isomorphic to  $P^{S \times S}$  and the latter in turn to  $P^S$ .

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $A$  and  $S$  be enumerably infinite sets and  $L$  a linear order defined on the set  $A$ . Then there exists a suborder of  $(L^S)^S$  which is isomorphic to  $\lambda^S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $W$  be the linear order defined on the set  $N$  of all natural numbers according to magnitude and  $J$  the linear order defined on the set  $\{x | 0 < x < 1\}$  according to magnitude. Since  $\lambda$  is isomorphic to  $J$  and there exists a suborder of  $L$  isomorphic to  $W$ , there exists a suborder of  $L^S$  isomorphic to  $W^N$ . By the LEMMA 1.1 on p. 591 of [1] there exists a suborder of  $W^N$  isomorphic to  $J$ . Hence there exists a suborder of  $L^S$  isomorphic to  $\lambda$ . Let it be  $Q$ , then  $Q^S$  is isomorphic to  $\lambda^S$ .

*Proof of THEOREM 1.* Consider a well-order  $W$  defined on the set  $S$  and let  $L_s$ , for each element  $s \in S$ , be a subset of  $F \times F$  specified by

$$L_s = \{(f, f) | f \in F\} \cup \{(f, g) | f, g \in F \text{ and } f(s) < g(s)(L)\} \\ \cup \{(f, g) | f, g \in F, f(s) = g(s) \text{ and } f(\sigma) < g(\sigma)(L) \\ \text{for the least}(W) \sigma \in S \text{ such that } f(\sigma) \neq g(\sigma)\}.$$

Then  $R = \{L_s | s \in S\}$  is a  $\lambda$ -realizer of  $L^S$ , hence we have the inequality  $D_\lambda[L^S] \leq |S| = \aleph_0$ . On the other hand we have, by LEMMA 1, the inverse inequality  $D_\lambda[L^S] \geq D[L^S] = \aleph_0$ . Thus we obtain the equality to be demonstrated.

It is not hard to verify that  $L_s$  is a linear order defined on the set  $F$  and a extension of the order  $L^S$  and that  $R$  is a realizer of  $L^S$ . In order to verify that  $L_s$  is isomorphic to a suborder of  $\lambda$  put  $W^* = s + W(S - s)$ ,  $W(S - s)$  being the suborder of  $W$  restricted to the set  $S - s$ , then  $L_s$  will be written as follows:

$$L_s = \{(f, f) | f \in F\} \cup \{(f, g) | f, g \in F \text{ and } f(s) < g(s)(L) \\ \cup \{(f, g) | f, g \in F, f(s) = g(s) \text{ and } f(\sigma) < g(\sigma)(L) \\ \text{for the least}(W^*) \sigma \in S \text{ such that } f(\sigma) \neq g(\sigma)\}.$$

Thus we may take  $A$  as the set  $N$  of all natural numbers,  $L$  as the order defined on  $N$  according to magnitude and  $L_s$  as the lexicographical order  $Q$  defined on the set of all infinite sequences of natural numbers. To be demonstrated is that the order  $Q$  is isomorphic to a suborder of  $\lambda$ .

For a semi-closed interval  $I = [a, b)$ , let  $D_n(I)$  mean the interval  $[b - (b - a)/2^n - 1, b - (b - a)/2^n)$  for each integer  $n$  and let  $I_n$  stand for the interval  $[n, n + 1)$  for every

integer  $n$ . For a given sequence of natural numbers  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k, \dots$ , there is a decreasing sequence of intervals

$$I_{n_1}, I_{n_1 n_2}, \dots, I_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}, \dots,$$

where  $I_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}$  stands for the interval  $D_{n_k}(I_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_{k-1}})$  for  $k \geq 2$ . Since the length of the interval  $I_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}$  converges to 0 as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , this sequence of intervals determines a real number  $a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \dots$ . Letting correspond this to the given sequence  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k, \dots$ , we obtain an isomorphic mapping of  $\mathcal{Q}$  into  $\lambda^S$ .

*Proof of THEOREM 2.* Since  $\lambda^S$  is, by LEMMA 3, isomorphic to a suborder of  $(L^S)^S$  we have, by THEOREM 1 and LEMMA 1, the inequality  $D_\lambda[\lambda^S] \leq D_\lambda[(L^S)^S] = D_\lambda[L^S] = \aleph_0$ . On the other hand we have, by LEMMA 1, the inverse inequality  $D_\lambda[\lambda^S] \geq D[\lambda^S] = \aleph_0$ .

As an immediate result of THEOREM 2 we have the

**COROLLARY.** *If  $L_s$  is, for each  $s \in S$ , a linear order isomorphic to a suborder of  $\lambda$  and  $|S| \leq \aleph_0$ , then  $\prod_s L_s$  has the  $\lambda$ -dimension which does not exceed  $\aleph_0$ .*

*Proof of THEOREM 3.* Put  $P = \prod_s P_s$  and  $Q_\varphi = \prod_s \varphi(s)$ .  $\varphi(s)$  being defined on  $A_s$  and a  $\lambda$ -extension of  $P_s$ ,  $Q_\varphi$  is an order defined on  $F$ ; moreover since  $(f, g) \in P$  implies  $(f(s), g(s)) \in P_s$  for all  $s \in S$ , it implies  $(f(s), g(s)) \in \varphi(s)$ , hence  $P \subseteq Q_\varphi$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}$ . By the COROLLARY to the THEOREM 2,  $Q_\varphi$  has the  $\lambda$ -dimension. Let  $R_\varphi = \{L_{t(\varphi)} \mid t(\varphi) \in T_\varphi\}$  be a minimal  $\lambda$ -realizer of  $Q_\varphi$  for each  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}$ , then  $R = \bigcup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{O}} R_\varphi$  is a  $\lambda$ -realizer of  $P$ . In fact: since  $P \subseteq Q$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}$  and every member of  $R$  is a  $\lambda$ -extension of  $Q_\varphi$  for some  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}$ , each member of  $R$  is a  $\lambda$ -extension of  $P$ . In order to verify that  $R$  is a realizer of  $P$ , let  $f$  and  $g$  be two incomparable( $P$ ) elements of  $F$ . To be shown is that there exist two members  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  of  $R$  such that  $(f, g) \in L_1$  and  $(g, f) \in L_2$ . Assume that  $(f, g) \in L$  for all member  $L$  of  $R$ . Then  $(f, g) \in L_{t(\varphi)}$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}$  and for all  $t(\varphi) \in T_\varphi$ , hence  $(f, g) \in Q_\varphi$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}$ , hence  $(f(s), g(s)) \in \varphi(s)$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}$  and for all  $s \in S$ , hence  $(f(s), g(s)) \in L_{t(s)}$  for all  $s \in S$  and for all  $t(s) \in T_s$ , hence  $(f(s), g(s)) \in P_s$  for all  $s \in S$ , hence  $(f, g) \in P$ . But this contradicts the hypothesis that  $f$  and  $g$  are incomparable( $P$ ). Consequently there exists a member  $L_2$  such that  $(g, f) \in L_2$ , and similarly a member  $L_1$  such that  $(f, g) \in L_1$ . Thus  $R$  is a  $\lambda$ -realizer of  $P$  and  $P$  has the  $\lambda$ -dimension. Clearly we have  $D_\lambda[P] \leq |R| \leq \sum_{\varphi \in \mathcal{O}} |R_\varphi| = \sum_{\varphi \in \mathcal{O}} D_\lambda[Q_\varphi]$ .

#### References

1. S. Ginsburg, "On the  $\lambda$ -dimension and the  $A$ -dimension of partially ordered sets", American Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 76 (1954), pp. 590-598.

- 2 T. Hiraguchi, "A note on Mr. Komm's Theorem", Science Reports of Kanazawa University, Vol. **II**, No. **1** (1953), pp. 1-3.  
 3 T. Hiraguti, "On the dimension of Orders", ib., Vol. **IV** No. **1** (1955), pp. 1-20.

ERRATA

T. Hiraguti, "On the Diemension of Orders", Vol. IV, No. 1 (1955), pp. 1-20.

page	line	read	instead of
2	17	$A_s$	$A$
2	23	into $A(=A_s)$	into $P$
10	8	$A' = A - (B - b_o)$	$A = A - (B - b_o)$
11	17	$L_{t,t(\sigma)}$	$L_{t,t\sigma}$
12	1	$D[P_\sigma] < D[Q]$	$D[P_\sigma] > D[Q]$
13	24	$P_n(A_n - y_{n-1})$	$P_n(A_n - y_n - 1)$
16	32	$ A - a - b $	$ A - a - b$
16	37	$ A /2$	$ A  2$
17	1	$ A /2$	$A /2$
17	20	$D[P] \geq 3$	$D[P] \leq 3$
17	22	$n \geq 3$	$n \leq 3$
17	26	$n \geq 2$	$n \leq 2$
17	36	$ A  \geq 4$	$ A  \leq 4$
18	7	$M_{t(\sigma)}$	$M_{t\sigma}$
18	9	$s < s'(W)$	$s \in s'(W)$
19	8	$ S $	$ S$
19	25	$\{L_s   s \in S\}$	$\{L_s \ s \in S\}$