Expression of 20-hydroxyecdysone-induced genes in the silkworm brain and their functional analysis in post-embryonic development

著者	Monwar Hossain, Shimizu Sakiko, Matsuki Masahiko, Imamura Masanori, Sakurai Sho, Iwami Masafumi
journal or	Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology
publication title	
volume	38
number	11
page range	1001-1007
year	2008-11-01
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2297/12347

doi: 10.1016/j.ibmb.2008.08.006

Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology

Expression of 20-hydroxyecdysone-induced genes in the silkworm brain and their functional analysis in post-embryonic development

Monwar Hossain<sup>a, 1</sup>, Sakiko Shimizu<sup>a</sup>, Masahiro Matsuki<sup>b</sup>, Masanori Imamura<sup>b, 2</sup>, Sho Sakurai<sup>a, b</sup>, and Masafumi Iwami<sup>a, b</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Division of Life Sciences, Graduate School of Natural Science and Technology, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa 920-1192, Japan

<sup>b</sup>Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa 920-1192, Japan

\*Corresponding author. Tel: +81 76 264 6251; fax: +81 76 264 6255. E-mail address: masafumi@kenroku.kanazawa-u.ac.jp (M. Iwami)

<sup>1</sup>Present address: Department of Zoology, Jahangirnagar University, Savar, Dhaka 1342, Bangladesh

<sup>2</sup>Present address: Institute for Frontier Medical Sciences, Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan

#### 1 Abstract

2

3 The insect brain is the center of developmental control, from which ecdysone governs brain 4 morphogenesis and regulates gene-expression cascades associated with molting and 5 metamorphosis. In order to identify the 20-hydroxyecdysone (20E)-inducible genes responsible 6 for molting and metamorphosis, we constructed a 20E-induced subtraction complementary DNA 7 library from the fifth instar larval brain of the silkworm Bombyx mori. We isolated 10 genes, 8 designated as *bombeil-1* to *bombeil-10*, three of which did not show any sequence similarity to 9 previously identified *Bombyx* genes. Whole-mount *in situ* hybridization revealed that all of these 10 bombeil messenger RNAs were exclusively located in two pairs of lateral neurosecretory cells in 11 the larval brain, known as prothoracotropic hormone (PTTH)-producing cells. RNA-interference 12 knockdown targeting bombeil-2 resulted in larval-pupal molt defects, and adult wing and leg 13 malformations. These results, together with the cell-specific co-localization of *bombeil* transcripts with PTTH, suggest that bombeil genes play important roles during larval-pupal-adult 14 15 development.

16

17 Keywords: 20-hydroxyecdysone; brain; metamorphosis; molting; prothoracotropic hormone;
18 RNA interference; silkworm.

#### 1 **1. Introduction**

2

3 Ecdysone is an insect steroid hormone that is synthesized in, and released from, the prothoracic 4 glands (PGs) upon stimulation by the brain neuropeptide, prothoracicotropic hormone (PTTH) 5 (Gilbert, et al., 2002; Kawakami et al., 1990). The active form of ecdysone, 20-hydroxyecdysone 6 (20E), controls various physiological and developmental processes underlying insect molting and 7 metamorphosis (Riddiford, 1993; Riddiford et al., 2003). PTTH, which is the primary stimulator 8 of ecdysteroidogenesis, is produced by two pairs of lateral neurosecretory cells (LNCs) in the 9 brain (Agui et al., 1979; Kawakami et al., 1990; Mizoguchi et al., 1990). Besides PTTH, the brain 10 produces a variety of other neuropeptides that control molting and metamorphosis. During insect 11 post-embryonic development, 20E governs the morphological changes of the brain (Champlin 12 and Truman, 1998; Kraft et al., 1998) by regulating the gene-expression cascade associated with 13 molting and metamorphosis (Beckstead et al., 2005). The insect brain is thus the control center of 14 insect growth and development, and plays a key role in post-embryonic development.

15 The 20E signal is transduced by the ecdysteroid receptor (EcR)–ultraspiracle (USP) complex 16 (Koelle et al., 1991; Thomas et al., 1993; Yao et al., 1992, 1993). This receptor complex directly 17 induces the transcription of the primary-response genes, known as early genes (Dibello et al., 18 1991; Segraves and Hogness, 1990; Thummel, 1995; Thummel et al., 1990). The early-gene 19 products transduce and amplify the 20E signal by controlling the expression of the secondary-20 response late genes (Jiang et al., 2000; Thummel, 1996; White et al., 1997); these, in turn, appear 21 to direct appropriate stage-specific and tissue-specific developmental responses to 20E. We 22 recently demonstrated exclusive expression of EcR in the PTTH cells of the Bombyx brain 23 (Hossain et al., 2006). A comprehensive analysis of ecdysone-inducible gene expression in the 24 brain should therefore clarify the mechanisms of ecdysone action in development. To identify 25 ecdysone-inducible genes in the brain, we prepared a brain-subtraction complementary DNA 26 (cDNA) library, and identified 10 novel genes, all of which were expressed in the PTTH cells of

1 the brain. We also applied the RNA-interference (RNAi) gene-knockdown technique to elucidate

2 the role of the 20E-induced genes identified.

3

#### 4 **2. Materials and methods**

5

#### 6 2.1. Animals and hormones

7 *Bombyx* eggs of the racial hybrid Kinshu  $\times$  Showa were obtained from Ueda Sanshu (Ueda, 8 Japan). The larvae were reared on an artificial diet (Silkmate II, Nihon Nousan Kogyo, 9 Yokohama, Japan) under a 12-h light/12-h dark photoperiod at  $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C. Newly molted fifth 10 instar larvae were fed from the beginning of the photophase following the scotophase during 11 which they molted to fifth instars. The 24-h period of the photophase following the scotophase 12 during which the fourth instar larva molted was designated day 0 of the fifth instar (V0). 13 Similarly, the 24-h period of the photophase following the scotophase during which the fifth 14 instar larva pupated was designated day 0 of the pupal stage (P0). Days 1–10 after V0 and days 15 1–2 after P0 were denoted V1–V10 and P1–P2, respectively. The 20E solution (Sigma, St. Louis, 16 MO, USA) for injection was prepared as described elsewhere (Hossain et al., 2006).

17

#### 18 2.2. Subtraction of cDNA and construction of cDNA library

19 Half of V2 larvae were injected with 0.5 µg of 20E and the other half of V2 larvae were injected 20 with 1 µg of 20E. We took two doses of 20E for the construction of the subtraction library to 21 cover all 20E-induced genes.  $Poly(A)^+$  RNA was prepared from brains dissected 2 h after 22 injection of the 20E or control insect Ringer's solution (130 mM NaCl, 4.7 mM KCl, and 1.9 mM 23 CaCl<sub>2</sub>) using a Quick Prep Micro mRNA purification kit (GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, 24 England). Subtraction of cDNA was performed with a PCR-Select cDNA subtraction kit 25 (Clontech, Mountain View, CA). The subtracted cDNA was cloned into the pGEM-T vector 26 (Promega, Madison, WI). About 10,000 clones of the resulting cDNA library were screened by

colony hybridization with reverse-transcribed poly(A)<sup>+</sup> RNA prepared from 20E-treated V2 brains as a probe, and 1,736 clones were isolated. About 5,000 clones were screened with the subtracted cDNA as a probe, and 451 clones were isolated. A second screening against the 2,187 positive clones was performed by Southern hybridization with the same subtracted cDNA as a probe. The inserts of the 1,442 positive clones were sequenced, and the positive clones were found to consist of more than 300 independent clones. RT-PCR against these clones identified 10 genes up-regulated by 20E in the brain.

8

#### 9 2.3. RNA isolation and semi-quantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA was purified from V2 brains 2 h after injection of 20E (1 μg/larva; +20E) and insect Ringer's solution (-20E), as described previously (Tsuzuki et al., 2001). Total RNA was purified successively from V0 to V10 larval brains and from P0 to P2 pupal brains. Semi-quantitative RT-PCR was performed as described previously (Hossain et al., 2006) using gene-specific primers and the annealing temperatures shown in Table 1.
PCR involved 20–40 cycles of amplifications in a thermo-cycler (Gene Amp PCR System 9700,

Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) using a thermal cycle of 94°C for 30 s, 60°C for 30 s and 72°C for 30 s. The PCR products were separated on a 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel and visualized by ethidium bromide staining. No amplification occurred in the absence of reverse transcriptase even after 40 cycles of PCR (data not shown).

20

#### 21 **2.4. Whole-mount in situ hybridization**

Whole-mount *in situ* hybridization of brains was performed as described previously (Hossain et al., 2006; Iwami et al., 1996). In brief, after with the application of fixative (85% [v/v] ethanol, 4% [w/v] formaldehyde, and 5% [v/v] acetic acid) on ice for 40 min, the brains were treated with proteinase K (0.05 mg/mL) at 37°C for 40 min, followed by re-fixation with 3% (w/v) paraformaldehyde at room temperature for 20 min. The brains were hybridized with 60 ng

1 *bombeil* probes and *PTTH* probes 3'-labeled with digoxigenin (Table 1, reverse sequences) using 2 a Dig-labeling kit (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). Hybridization was carried out at 3 37°C for 20–48 h in 100  $\mu$ l hybridization solution (50% [v/v] formamide, 5× standard sodium 4 citrate [SSC; 0.15 m NaCl, and 0.015 M sodium citrate], and 5% (w/v) dextran sulfate. After 5 several washes at room temperature, the brains were treated with 5% (v/v) sheep serum 6 (Rockland, Gilbertsville, PA, USA) at 4°C for 15–20 h, followed by 2 h incubation with alkaline 7 phosphatase-conjugated anti-digoxigenin immunoglobulin G (IgG; Roche Diagnostics) at room 8 temperature. Color was developed with 4-nitroblue-tetrazolium chloride and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-9 indolyl phosphate in the presence of 1 mM levamisole, as described elsewhere (Hossain et al., 10 2006). Negative controls omitted the labeled probes and used sense dig-labeled probes, and no 11 signals were detected (data not shown).

12

#### 13 2.5. Preparation of double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) and RNAi

14 Plasmid DNA was purified from a clone containing a 482 base pair (bp) fragment of the *bombeil*-15 2 transcript isolated from the subtraction cDNA library. The plasmid was linearized with *Pst*I and 16 *NcoI*. Sense and antisense RNAs were synthesized *in vitro* using T7 RNA polymerase (Takara, 17 Otsu, Japan) and SP6 RNA polymerase (Takara), respectively. To generate dsRNA, equal 18 amounts of sense and antisense RNAs were mixed, heated at 95°C for 5 min, and gradually 19 cooled to 25°C. The solution was then treated with RNaseA (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan) and 20 DNase RQ1 (Promega) for 45 min at 37°C. The dsRNA was purified with phenol/chloroform 21 followed by ethanol precipitation, and then dissolved in water. A 722-bp fragment of dsEGFP 22 was used as a control. The enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) sequence was derived 23 from the pEGFP-N3 vector (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA), sub-cloned into the pGEM-7Zf(+) vector 24 (Promega), and the dsRNA was prepared according to the protocol described above.

A 5-μg sample of the dsRNA of *bombeil-2* (dsbombeil-2) in 10 μl insect Ringer's solution was
injected into V2 larvae through the first pro-leg. Ringer's solution and dsEGFP (5 μg) in Ringer's

1	solution were injected as controls. After injection, the larvae were maintained under normal
2	rearing conditions until adult eclosion. To examine the effects of bombeil-2 RNAi on brain
3	development during the larval-pupal transformation, the brains were dissected at stage P2. To
4	evaluate the knockdown effect of RNAi, total RNA was purified from the brains, the wing discs
5	(WDs), and leg discs (LDs) of V4 larvae. A 1-µg sample of total RNA was reverse-transcribed,
6	and the resulting cDNA was amplified for 30 or 35 cycles using a thermal cycle as follows: 94°C
7	for 30 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s for <i>EcR-A</i> , <i>EcR-B1</i> , and <i>RpL32</i> ; 94°C for 30 s, 64°C for
8	30 s, and 72°C for 30 s for <i>bombeil-2</i> ; and 94°C for 30 s, 59°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 45 s for
9	PTTH. The primers for PCR were designed from the nucleotide sequences (Table 1). There was
10	no amplification without reverse transcriptase, even after 40 cycles of PCR (data not shown).
11	
12	3. Results
13	
13 14	3.1. 20E-induced gene expression in the brain
13 14 15	<ul><li>3.1. 20E-induced gene expression in the brain</li><li>We identified 10 20E-induced genes, designated as <i>bombeil-1</i> to <i>bombeil-10</i> (GenBank accession</li></ul>
13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>3.1. 20E-induced gene expression in the brain</li> <li>We identified 10 20E-induced genes, designated as <i>bombeil-1</i> to <i>bombeil-10</i> (GenBank accession nos. <u>AB372866</u>, <u>AB372867</u>, <u>AB372868</u>, <u>AB372869</u>, <u>AB372870</u>, <u>AB372871</u>, <u>AB372872</u>,</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>3.1. 20E-induced gene expression in the brain</li> <li>We identified 10 20E-induced genes, designated as <i>bombeil-1</i> to <i>bombeil-10</i> (GenBank accession nos. <u>AB372866</u>, <u>AB372867</u>, <u>AB372868</u>, <u>AB372869</u>, <u>AB372870</u>, <u>AB372871</u>, <u>AB372872</u>, <u>AB372873</u>, <u>AB372874</u>, and <u>AB372875</u>), in a brain-subtraction cDNA library. The <i>bombeil</i> genes</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>3.1. 20E-induced gene expression in the brain</li> <li>We identified 10 20E-induced genes, designated as <i>bombeil-1</i> to <i>bombeil-10</i> (GenBank accession nos. <u>AB372866</u>, <u>AB372867</u>, <u>AB372868</u>, <u>AB372869</u>, <u>AB372870</u>, <u>AB372871</u>, <u>AB372872</u>, <u>AB372873</u>, <u>AB372874</u>, and <u>AB372875</u>), in a brain-subtraction cDNA library. The <i>bombeil</i> genes differed in their responses to 20E (Fig. 1). Transcripts of <i>bombeil-5</i> and <i>bombeil-8</i> were detected</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>3.1. 20E-induced gene expression in the brain</li> <li>We identified 10 20E-induced genes, designated as <i>bombeil-1</i> to <i>bombeil-10</i> (GenBank accession nos. <u>AB372866</u>, <u>AB372867</u>, <u>AB372868</u>, <u>AB372869</u>, <u>AB372870</u>, <u>AB372871</u>, <u>AB372872</u>, <u>AB372873</u>, <u>AB372874</u>, and <u>AB372875</u>), in a brain-subtraction cDNA library. The <i>bombeil</i> genes differed in their responses to 20E (Fig. 1). Transcripts of <i>bombeil-5</i> and <i>bombeil-8</i> were detected after 20 cycles of amplification, indicating relatively high levels of induction by 20E (+20E)</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>3.1. 20E-induced gene expression in the brain</li> <li>We identified 10 20E-induced genes, designated as <i>bombeil-1</i> to <i>bombeil-10</i> (GenBank accession nos. <u>AB372866</u>, <u>AB372867</u>, <u>AB372868</u>, <u>AB372869</u>, <u>AB372870</u>, <u>AB372871</u>, <u>AB372872</u>, <u>AB372873</u>, <u>AB372874</u>, and <u>AB372875</u>), in a brain-subtraction cDNA library. The <i>bombeil</i> genes differed in their responses to 20E (Fig. 1). Transcripts of <i>bombeil-5</i> and <i>bombeil-8</i> were detected after 20 cycles of amplification, indicating relatively high levels of induction by 20E (+20E) compared with the control (-20E). <i>Bombeil-1, bombeil-2, bombeil-3, bombeil-4, bombeil-6</i>, and</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ol>	<b>3.1. 20E-induced gene expression in the brain</b> We identified 10 20E-induced genes, designated as <i>bombeil-1</i> to <i>bombeil-10</i> (GenBank accession nos. <u>AB372866</u> , <u>AB372867</u> , <u>AB372868</u> , <u>AB372869</u> , <u>AB372870</u> , <u>AB372871</u> , <u>AB372872</u> , <u>AB372873</u> , <u>AB372874</u> , and <u>AB372875</u> ), in a brain-subtraction cDNA library. The <i>bombeil</i> genes differed in their responses to 20E (Fig. 1). Transcripts of <i>bombeil-5</i> and <i>bombeil-8</i> were detected after 20 cycles of amplification, indicating relatively high levels of induction by 20E (+20E) compared with the control (–20E). <i>Bombeil-1, bombeil-2, bombeil-3, bombeil-4, bombeil-6</i> , and <i>bombeil-7</i> transcripts were detected after 30 cycles of amplification, indicating moderate levels of
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	<b>3.1. 20E-induced gene expression in the brain</b> We identified 10 20E-induced genes, designated as <i>bombeil-1</i> to <i>bombeil-10</i> (GenBank accession nos. <u>AB372866</u> , <u>AB372867</u> , <u>AB372868</u> , <u>AB372869</u> , <u>AB372870</u> , <u>AB372871</u> , <u>AB372872</u> , <u>AB372873</u> , <u>AB372874</u> , and <u>AB372875</u> ), in a brain-subtraction cDNA library. The <i>bombeil</i> genes differed in their responses to 20E (Fig. 1). Transcripts of <i>bombeil-5</i> and <i>bombeil-8</i> were detected after 20 cycles of amplification, indicating relatively high levels of induction by 20E (+20E) compared with the control (-20E). <i>Bombeil-1, bombeil-2, bombeil-3, bombeil-4, bombeil-6</i> , and <i>bombeil-7</i> transcripts were detected after 30 cycles of amplification, indicating moderate levels of induction. <i>Bombeil-9</i> and <i>bombeil-10</i> transcripts only appeared after 40 cycles of amplification,
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	<b>3.1. 20E-induced gene expression in the brain</b> We identified 10 20E-induced genes, designated as <i>bombeil-1</i> to <i>bombeil-10</i> (GenBank accession nos. <u>AB372866</u> , <u>AB372867</u> , <u>AB372868</u> , <u>AB372869</u> , <u>AB372870</u> , <u>AB372871</u> , <u>AB372872</u> , <u>AB372873</u> , <u>AB372874</u> , and <u>AB372875</u> ), in a brain-subtraction cDNA library. The <i>bombeil</i> genes differed in their responses to 20E (Fig. 1). Transcripts of <i>bombeil-5</i> and <i>bombeil-8</i> were detected after 20 cycles of amplification, indicating relatively high levels of induction by 20E (+20E) compared with the control (–20E). <i>Bombeil-1, bombeil-2, bombeil-3, bombeil-4, bombeil-6</i> , and <i>bombeil-7</i> transcripts were detected after 30 cycles of amplification, indicating moderate levels of induction. <i>Bombeil-9</i> and <i>bombeil-10</i> transcripts only appeared after 40 cycles of amplification, indicating low levels of induction. Ribosomal protein L32 ( <i>RpL32</i> ) was used as an internal
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	<b>3.1. 20E-induced gene expression in the brain</b> We identified 10 20E-induced genes, designated as <i>bombeil-1</i> to <i>bombeil-10</i> (GenBank accession nos. <u>AB372866</u> , <u>AB372867</u> , <u>AB372868</u> , <u>AB372869</u> , <u>AB372870</u> , <u>AB372871</u> , <u>AB372872</u> , <u>AB372873</u> , <u>AB372874</u> , and <u>AB372875</u> ), in a brain-subtraction cDNA library. The <i>bombeil</i> genes differed in their responses to 20E (Fig. 1). Transcripts of <i>bombeil-5</i> and <i>bombeil-8</i> were detected after 20 cycles of amplification, indicating relatively high levels of induction by 20E (+20E) compared with the control (–20E). <i>Bombeil-1, bombeil-2, bombeil-3, bombeil-4, bombeil-6</i> , and <i>bombeil-7</i> transcripts were detected after 30 cycles of amplification, indicating moderate levels of induction. <i>Bombeil-9</i> and <i>bombeil-10</i> transcripts only appeared after 40 cycles of amplification, indicating low levels of induction. Ribosomal protein L32 ( <i>RpL32</i> ) was used as an internal standard, and showed no differences of expression in the +20E and –20E samples.

**3.2. Cell-specific expression of bombeil gene** 

We determined the spatial localization of the *bombeil* transcripts by whole-mount *in situ* hybridization in the larval brains at V2, day 2 of the fifth instar. (Fig. 2). All of the transcripts were restricted to two pairs of LNCs. The localization of the *bombeil*-positive cells appeared to be the same as that of the PTTH cells (Fig. 2K). To confirm this finding, we performed *in situ* hybridization using a mixture of *bombeil* and *PTTH* probes. Hybridization signals were detected exclusively in the two pairs of LNCs (Fig. 2L-U), indicating that the *bombeil* genes were expressed in the PTTH cells of the fifth instar larval brain.

8

#### 9 **3.3.** Stage-specific expression of bombeil genes during development

10 All bombeil gene expression in the brain (Fig. 3A) was found to be correlated with the 11 endogenous hemolymph ecdysone titer (Fig. 3B): the fluctuations of *bombeil* expression levels in 12 larval brains were correlated with the changes in 20E titer. Bombeil-1 expression occurred 13 transiently at V4, began to rise at V7, and reached a maximum level at V9 and V10, followed by 14 a sharp decrease at P0. Bombeil-2, bombeil-5, bombeil-6, bombeil-7, and bombeil-8 showed 15 similar expression profiles, and were not expressed at the pupal stage. Bombeil-3 expression was observed initially at V7 and continued until V10. By contrast, bombeil-4 expression was detected 16 17 at V4 and was maintained at a similar level until V10, with the exception of a drop at V9. The 18 expression profiles of *bombeil-9* and *bombeil-10* differed from those of the other genes. *Bombeil-*19 9 and *bombeil-10* were expressed only at V9 and V10, respectively. No expression of *bombeil* 20 genes was detected at P0 and P2 (Fig. 3).

21

#### 22 **3.4. RNAi of bombeil-2**

DsRNA synthesized from *bombeil-2* cDNA was injected into V2 larvae. After 2 days, the semiquantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) revealed a reduced level of the transcript in the RNAi sample compared with the control (Ringer's solution; Fig. 4A). This indicated that the injected dsRNA effectively silenced *bombeil-2* in the brain.

1 The *bombeil*-2 RNAi caused abnormal molts in 36 of 90 larvae (40%) at pupation (Fig. 4B). The 2 remainder (60%) of the larvae pupated successfully and underwent adult eclosion. However, 3 these adults exhibited prominent defects of the legs and wings and, to a lesser extent, of the 4 cuticle (Fig. 4E, F). The controls developed into normal adults (Fig. 4G for Ringer's solution, and 5 Figure 4H for dsRNA of dsEGFP). Bombeil-2 RNAi also affected brain development. Among 60 6 P2 brains, 46 (77%) showed normal morphology (Fig. 4I), while 14 (23%) had abnormalities, 7 including lateral compression and compaction (Fig. 4J). This result indicates that bombeil-2 8 RNAi might also influence brain morphogenesis during the larval-pupal transformation. 9 Because EcR is expressed exclusively in V2 larval brains (Hossain et al., 2006) and bombeil-2 10 expression is localized in PTTH cells, it was possible that down-regulation of *bombeil-2* 11 influenced the expression of *EcR* and *PTTH*. To address this issue, we injected *bombeil-2* dsRNA 12 into V2 larvae, and examined PTTH and EcR messenger RNA (mRNA) levels in their brains (Fig. 13 4). The dsRNA caused a dramatic decrease in the *EcR-A* mRNA level, and weakly affected *EcR*-14 B1 expression (Fig. 4A). RpL32 expression was not altered by the dsRNA. 15 RNAi of *bombeil-2* led to adult wing and leg defects, suggesting the involvement of *bombeil-2* in 16 the differentiation of these appendages. We therefore measured endogenous *bombeil-2* expression 17 levels by RT-PCR using total RNA from WDs and LDs of V4 larvae. We observed a low level of 18 expression of *bombeil-2* in the WDs and a high level in the LDs (Fig. 4L). Injection of *bombeil-2* 19 dsRNA resulted in reduced expression of *bombeil-2* in both WDs and LDs, indicating that it 20 effectively silenced bombeil-2 in these organs (Fig. 4M). RNAi did not affect RpL32 expression 21 (Fig. 4M). Among the EcR isoforms in the WDs and LDs, bombeil-2 RNAi caused a large 22 decrease in the EcR-B1 mRNA level only in the LDs; no such decrease was noted for EcR-A in 23 WDs and LDs, or for *EcR-B1* in WDs (Fig. 4M).

- 24
- 25 **4. Discussion**
- 26

We isolated 10 20E-induced genes, designated as *bombeil-1* to *bombeil-10*, from a subtraction cDNA library of the *Bombyx* larval brain. The expression of these genes was restricted exclusively to the PTTH cells (Fig. 2). In addition, the knockdown of *bombeil-2* by RNAi suggested that it played a critical role in larval–pupal–adult development (Fig. 4).

5 The fluctuations of *bombeil* expression levels in larval brains (Fig. 3) were correlated with the 6 changes in 20E titer (Kaneko et al., 2006). Bombeil-9 and bombeil-10 were transcribed at a low 7 level, while the other *bombeil* genes were transcribed at a high level (Fig. 1). Bombeil-2, bombeil-8 4, bombeil-5, bombeil-6, bombeil-7, and bombeil-8 were expressed at a detectable level at V4, 9 which is a crucial stage when dynamic cell proliferation occurs in WDs (Kawasaki et al., 2004). 10 All of the *bombeil* genes, with the exceptions of *bombeil-9* and *bombeil-10*, were expressed at V7 11 (Fig. 3); this suggested their involvement in cell proliferation and differentiation at a time when 12 the growth of many of the internal tissues and imaginal discs depends not on feeding but on 13 hormone-regulated events (Nijhout et al., 2006).

14 KAIKOBLAST BLAST available silkworm analysis of the databases, 15 (http://kaikoblast.dna.affrc.go.jp/) and SilkBase (http://morus.ab.a.u-tokyo.ac.jp/), revealed 16 homologs of bombeil-1, bombeil-4, bombeil-6, bombeil-7, bombeil-8, bombeil-9, and bombeil-10, but not bombeil-2, bombeil-3, and bombeil-5, indicating that the last three are novel genes. The 17 18 open reading frame of the isolated *Bombeil-1* clone encodes a 175 amino acids protein. This 19 region of bombeil-1 showed 98% identity with a clone (wds30400 of silkbase) that had 65% 20 identity with a cytochrome P450 18a1 of Drosophila. This gene is thought to encode ecdysone 21 26-hydroxylase and to be involved in the 20E-inactivation pathway in Drosophila (Bassett et al., 22 1997). The most conserved region of cytochrome P450 is its heme-binding domain 23 (PFXXGXRXCXG). A homology search for the P450 signature domain of *bombeil-1* revealed 24 100% identity with CYP18a1 of Drosophila and Spodoptera (Fig. 5). Bombeil-1 showed a low 25 degree of identity with the Bombyx CYP306a1, CYP302a1, CYP315a1, and CYP314a1 genes, 26 which are involved in ecdysteroid biosynthesis (Figure 5), indicating that *bombeil-1* encodes a

1 26-hydroxylase-like enzyme. Moreover, its unique expression in PTTH cells, where 20E acts 2 (Hossain et al., 2006), and its temporal expression profile in the brain (that is, elevation at V9 and 3 V10), strongly indicate its involvement in the 20E-inactivation pathway (Bassett et al., 1997; 4 Davies et al., 2006). Bombeil-4, bombeil-6, bombeil-8, and bombeil-10 showed high similarity 5 with four clones in the Silkworm database, although the functions of these homologous genes is 6 not known. Bombeil-7 showed 98% identity with a clone (SilkBase FWDP07 FL5 B21) with 7 sequence identity to glutaminyl-transfer RNA (tRNA) synthetase, and bombeil-9 showed 8 significant identity with several clones (SilkBase FWDP14 FL5 C24, FWDP16 FL5 C11, pg-9 0985.Seq, and tesS0580) related to lysyl-tRNA synthetase.

10 Bombeil-2 might be involved in larval-pupal development. RNAi against bombeil-2 resulted in 11 defects in larval-pupal molt, and malformations of adult wings and legs (Fig. 4). 20E plays 12 critical roles during development, and its precursor is synthesized in, and released from, PTTH-13 stimulated PGs (Gilbert et al., 2002). In the brain, bombeil-2 RNAi reduced PTTH and EcR 14 expression (Fig. 4A), which was indicative of the down-regulation of PTTH synthesis, resulting 15 in an inadequate arrest of stimulation of PGs and thereby causing a shortage of ecdysteroids. As a 16 consequence, down-regulation of *EcR* expression might occur in the brain, and could ultimately 17 lead to the defects in the larval-pupal transition observed in the present study (Fig. 4). In 18 Drosophila, mutations of the brain tumor gene brat resulted in defects in several ecdysone-19 regulated developmental processes, and altered the expression of key 20E-regulatory genes 20 (Beckstead et al., 2005; Sonoda and Wharton, 2001). This pattern of gene regulation was similar 21 to the present findings for *bombeil-2*. By contrast, the knockdown of *BR-C* by RNAi in *Bombyx* 22 caused the complete failure of pupation, or in later the morphogenetic defects, including 23 differentiation of adult compound eyes, legs and wings from their larval progenitors (Uhlirova et 24 al., 2003). The effects of BR-C RNAi were consistent with those of bombeil-2 RNAi, with the 25 exception of the eye defects in adults (Fig. 4). The morphogenesis of adult organs derived from 26 the imaginal discs is regulated by 20E and 20E-inducible gene products (Bayer et al., 2003;

1 Natzle, 1993; Oberlander, 1985), as well as by brain-derived factors (Nijhout et al., 2006). In the present study, *bombeil-2* RNAi not only lowered the number of *bombeil-2* transcripts in the WDs 2 3 and LDs, but also affected *EcR* gene expression (Fig. 4M); this indicated that *bombeil*-2 might act 4 up-stream of steroid-dependent gene regulation in the imaginal discs (Kawasaki et al., 2004; 5 Kamimura et al., 1996; Matsuoka and Fujiwara, 2000; Ote et al., 2004; Zhao et al., 2001). 6 During larval-pupal development, tremendous morphogenetic changes are involved in the 7 transition from the larval brain to the adult brain, including the formation of the optic lobes and 8 mushroom bodies, as well as adult-specific sensory structures derived by neurogenesis (Champlin 9 and Truman, 1998; Farris et al., 1999; Kraft et al., 1998; Vafopoulou et al., 2007). In the present 10 study, RNAi of *bombeil-2* caused pupal brain morphological changes (23%) during the larval-11 pupal transformation (Figure 4J, K). Most of these events are regulated by 20E that induces a 12 wide range of cellular responses including neuronal differentiation, maturation, and cell death. 13 20E thus leads a remodeling of larval neurons into their adult forms during metamorphic 14 reorganization in the insect post-embryonic development (Beckstead et al., 2005; Champlin and 15 Truman, 1998; Farris et al., 1999; Kraft et al., 1998; Schubiger et al., 1998; Vafopoulou et al., 16 2007). Moreover, we show that *bombeil-2* RNAi reduced *PTTH* and *EcR* expressions in the brain 17 (Fig. 4A), which was indicative of the down-regulation of PTTH synthesis and the shortage of 18 ecdysteroids. As a consequence, the down-regulation of EcR expression might occur in the brain 19 and could ultimately lead to the defects in the brain morphology, as observed in the previous studies (Schubiger et al., 1998; Truman et al., 1994). Until now, little information has been 20 21 available about gene expression in the brain in relation to adult development. Hence, functional analysis of the 10 bombeil genes identified in the present study should improve our understanding 22 23 of the complex gene hierarchies underlying pupal-adult development.

24

#### 25 Acknowledgements

26

1	We are grateful to K. Koyama and Y. Kaneko for discussions. This work was supported by
2	Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (15580039 and 18380040) from the Japan Society for the
3	Promotion of Science.
4	
5	References
6	Agui, N., Granger, N. A., Gilbert, L. I., Bollenbacher, W. E., 1979. Cellular localization of the
7	insect prothoracicotropic hormone: in vitro assay of a single neurosecretory cell. Proc. Natl.
8	Acad. Sci. U S A 76, 5694–5698.
9	
10	Bassett, M. H., McCarthy, J. L., Waterman, M. R., Sliter, T. J., 1997. Sequence and
11	developmental expression of Cyp18, a member of a new cytochrome P450 family from
12	Drosophila. Mol. Cell Endocrinol. 131, 39–49.
13	
14	Bayer, C. A., Halsell, S. R., Fristrom, J. W., Kiehart, D. P., von Kalm, L., 2003. Genetic
15	interactions between the RhoA and Stubble-stubbloid loci suggest a role for a type II
16	transmembrane serine protease in intracellular signaling during Drosophila imaginal disc
17	morphogenesis. Genetics 165, 1417-1432.
18	
19	Beckstead, R. B., Lam, G., Thummel, C. S., 2005. The genomic response to 20-hydroxyecdysone
20	at the onset of Drosophila metamorphosis. Genome Biol. 6, R99.
21	
22	Champlin, D. T., Truman, J. W., 1998. Ecdysteroid control of cell proliferation during optic lobe
23	neurogenesis in the moth Manduca sexta. Development 125, 269-277.
24	

1	Davies, L., Williams, D. R., Turner, P. C., Rees, H. H., 2006. Characterization in relation to
2	development of an ecdysteroid agonist-responsive cytochrome P450, CYP18A1, in Lepidoptera.
3	Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 453, 4-12.
4	
5	Dibello, P. R., Withers, D. A., Bayer, C. A., Fristom, J. W., Guild, G. M., 1991. The Drosophila
6	Broad-Complex encodes a family of related proteins containing, zinc finger. Genetics 129, 385-
7	397.
8	
9	Farris S. M., Robinson G. E., Davis R.L., Fahrbach S. E., 1999. Larval and pupal development of
10	the mushroom bodies in the honey bee, Apis mellifera. J. Comp. Neurol. 414, 97-113.
11	
12	Gilbert, L. I., Rybczynski, R., Warren, J. T., 2002. Control and biochemical nature of the
13	ecdysteroidogenic pathway. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 47, 883-916.
14	
15	Hossain, M., Shimizu, S., Fujiwara, H., Sakurai, S., Iwami, M., 2006. EcR expression in the
16	prothoracicotropic hormone-producing neurosecretory cells of the Bombyx mori brain. FEBS J.
17	273, 3861-3868.
18	
19	Iwami, M., Tanaka, A., Hano, N., Sakurai, S., 1996. Bombyxin gene expression in tissues other
20	than brain detected by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and in situ
21	hybridization. Experientia 52, 882-887.
22	
23	Jiang, C., Lamblin, AF. J., Steller, H., Thummel, C.S., 2000. A steroid-triggered transcriptional
24	hierarchy controls salivary gland cell death during Drosophila metamorphosis. Mol. Cell 5, 445-
25	455.
26	

1	Kamimura, M., Tomita, S., Fujiwara, H., 1996. Molecular cloning of an ecdysone receptor (B1
2	isoform) homologue from the silkworm, Bombyx mori, and its mRNA expression during wing
3	disc development, Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 113B, 341-347.
4	
5	Kaneko, Y., Takaki, K., Iwami, M., Sakurai, S., 2006. Developmental profile of annexin IX and
6	its possible role in programmed cell death of the Bombyx mori anterior silk gland. Zool. Sci. 23,
7	533–542.
8	
9	Kawasaki, H., Ote, M., Okano, K., Shimada, T., Guo-Xing, Q., Mita, K., 2004. Change in the
10	expressed gene patterns of the wing disc during the metamorphosis of Bombyx mori. Gene 343,
11	133-142.
12	
13	Kawakami, A., Kataoka, H., Oka, T, Mizoguchi, A., Kimura-Kawakami, M., Adachi, T., Iwami,
14	M., Nagasawa, H., Suzuki, A., Ishizaki, H., 1990. Molecular cloning of the Bombyx mori
15	prothoracicotropic hormone. Science 247, 1333-1335.
16	
17	Koelle, M. R., Talbot, W. S., Segraves, W. A., Bender, M. T., Cherbas, P., Hogness, D. S., 1991.
18	The Drosophila EcR gene encodes an ecdysone receptor, a new member of the steroid receptor
19	superfamily. Cell 67, 59-77.
20	
21	Kraft, R., Levine, R. B., Restifo, L. L., 1998. The steroid hormone 20-hydroxyecdysone enhances
22	neurite growth of Drosophila mushroom body neurons isolated during metamorphosis. J.
23	Neurosc. 18, 8886-8899.

1	Matsuoka, T., Fujiwara, H., 2000. Expression of ecdysteroid-regulated genes is reduced
2	specifically in the wing discs of the wing-deficient mutant (fl) of Bombyx mori, Dev. Genes Evol.
3	210, 120–128.
4	
5	Mizoguchi, A., Oka, T., Kataoka, H., Nagasawa, H., Suzuki, A., Ishizaki, H., 1990.
6	Immunohistochemical localization of prothoracicotropic hormone-producing neurosecretory cells
7	in the brain of <i>Bombyx mori</i> . Dev. Growth Differ. 32, 591–598.
8	
9	Natzle, J, E., 1993. Temporal regulation of Drosophila imaginal disc morphogenesis: a hierarchy
10	of primary and secondary 20-hydroxyecdysone-responsive loci. Dev. Biol. 155, 516-532.
11	
12	Nijhout, H. F., Smith, W. A., Schachar, I., Subramanian, S., Tobler, A., Grunert, L. W., 2006.
13	The control of growth and differentiation of the wing imaginal disks of Manduca sexta. Dev.
14	Biol. 302, 569-576.
15	
16	Oberlander, H., 1985. The imaginal discs In: Kerkut G.A. and Gilbert L.I. (Eds.), Comprehensive
17	Insect Physiology, Biochemistry, and Pharmacology vol. 2. Pergamon, Oxford, pp. 151–182.
18	
19	Ote, M., Mita, K., Kawasaki, H., Seki, M., Nohata, J., Kobayashi, M., Shimada, T., 2004.
20	Microarray analysis of gene expression profiles in wing discs of Bombyx mori during pupal
21	ecdysis. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 34, 775-784.
22	
23	Riddiford, L. M., 1993. Hormone receptors and the regulation of insect metamorphosis. Receptor
24	3, 203–209.
25	

1	Riddiford, L. M., Hiruma, K., Zhou, X., Nelson, C. A., 2003. Insights into the molecular basis of
2	the hormonal control of molting and metamorphosis from Manduca sexta and Drosophila
3	melanogaster. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 33, 1327-1338.
4	
5	Schubiger, M., Wade, A. A., Carney, G. E., Truman, J. W., Bender, M., 1998. Drosophila EcR-B
6	ecdysone receptor isoforms are required for larval molting and for neuron remodeling during
7	metamorphosis. Development. 125, 2053-2062.
8	
9	Segraves, W. A., Hogness, D. S., 1990. The E75 ecdysone -inducible gene responsible for the
10	75B early puff in <i>Drosophila</i> encodes two new members of the steroid receptor super family.
11	Genes Dev. 4, 204–219.
12	
13	Sonoda, J., Wharton, R. P., 2001. Drosophila Brain Tumor is a translational repressor. Genes
14	Dev. 15, 762–773.
15	
16	Thomas, H. E., Stunnenberg, H. G., Stewart, A. F., 1993. Heterodimerization of the Drosophila
17	ecdysone receptor with retinoid X receptor and ultraspiracle. Nature 362, 471-475.
18	
19	Thummel, C. S., 1995. From embryogenesis to metamorphosis: the regulation and function of
20	Drosophila nuclear receptor superfamily members, Cell 83, 871-877.
21	
22	Thummel, C. S., 1996. Files on steroids-Drosophila metamorphosis and the mechanisms of
23	steroid hormone action. Trends Genet. 12, 306–310.
24	
25	Thummel, C. S., Burtis, K. C., Hogness, D. S., 1990. Spacial and temporal patterns of E 74
26	transcription during Drosophila development. Cell 61, 101-111.

1	
2	Truman, J. W., Talbot, W. S., Fahrbach, S. E., Hogness, D. S., 1994. Ecdysone receptor
3	expression in the CNS correlates with stage-specific responses to ecdysteroids during Drosophila
4	and Manduca development. Development 120, 219–234.
5	
6	Tsuzuki, S., Iwami, M., Sakurai, S., 2001. Ecdysteroid-inducible genes in the programmed cell
7	death during insect metamorphosis. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 31, 321-331.
8	
9	Uhlirova, M., Foy, B. D., Beaty, B. J., Olson, K. E., Riddiford, L. M., Jindra, M., 2003. Use of
10	Sindbis virus-mediated RNA interference to demonstrate a conserved role of Broad-Complex in
11	insect metamorphosis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A 100, 15607-15612
12	
13	Vafopoulou, X., Steel, C. G. H., Terry, K. L., 2007. Neuroanatomical relations of
14	prothoracicotropic hormone neurons with the circadian timekeeping system in the brain of larval
15	and adult Rhodnius prolixus (Hemiptera). J. Comp. Neurol. 503, 511-524.
16	
17	White, K. P., Hurban, P., Watanabe, T., Hogness, D. S., 1997. Coordination of Drosophila
18	metamorphosis by two ecdysone-induced nuclear receptors, Science 276, 114-117.
19	
20	Yao, T. P., Segraves, W. A., Oro, A. E., Mckeown, M., Evans, R. M., 1992. Drosophila
21	ultraspiracle modulates ecdysone function via heterodimer formation. Cell 71, 63-72.
22	
23	Yao, T. P., Forman, B. M., Jlang, Z., Cherbas, L., Chen, J. D., McKeown, M., Cherbas, P., Evans,
24	R. M., 1993. Functional ecdysone receptor is the product of EcR and Ultraspiracle genes. Nature
25	366, 476–479.
26	

- 1 Zhao, X., Mita, K., Shimada, T., Okano, K., Quan, G-X., Kanke, E., Kawasaki, H., 2001.
- 2 Isolation of a 20-hydroxyecdysone inducible neprilysin (neutral endopeptidase 24.11)-like gene
- 3 and its expression in wing disc during the metamorphosis of *Bombyx mori*, Insect Biochem. Mol.
- 4 Biol. 31, 1213–1219.

#### 1 Figure Legends

2

Fig. 1. RT-PCR analysis of 20E-induced gene expression in day-2 fifth instar larval brains
following injection of 20E (20E+) or Ringer's solution (20E-). The housekeeping gene *RpL32*was used as a control. The number of PCR cycles is indicated above the panel.

6

Fig. 2. Localization of *bombeil* mRNAs revealed by whole-mount *in situ* hybridization. *Bombeil-1* to *bombeil-10* mRNAs were detected in two pairs of LNCs in the day-2 fifth instar larval brain (A–J, respectively; black arrows). *PTTH* mRNA was detected in day-2 fifth instar larval brains (K; black arrows). *Bombeil* and *PTTH* probes were used for simultaneous hybridization, and the positive signals were detected exclusively in two pairs of LNCs of the day-2 fifth instar larval brains (L-U; black arrows). Panels show only the anterior portions of the larval brains. Scale bar = 100  $\mu$ m.

14

Fig. 3 Temporal expression of bombeil genes. A) RT-PCR was performed on brain RNAs from fifth instar larvae to pupae. RpL32 was used as an internal standard. The number of PCR cycles was 30 in all cases except bombeil-9 and bombeil-10, which underwent 35 cycles. B) Developmental changes of ecdysteroid titer in hemolymph ecdysteroid concentration from V5 to P0 depicted from Kaneko et al. (2006). The titer from V0 to V4 is kept lower than that at V5. Concentrations are expressed in  $\mu$ M 20E-equivalents. Each data point (every 6 h interval) represents the mean ±SD (n=5).

22

Fig. 4. Knockdown effects of *bombeil-2* RNAi on development. A: RT-PCR was performed on brain RNAs from the day-4 fifth instar larval brains after the injection of dsbombeil-2 or Ringer's solution into day-2 fifth instar larvae. Effects of *bombeil-2* RNAi; Phenotype of pupae (B: dsbombeil-2; C: Ringer's solution, D: dsEGFP) and adults (E, F: dsbombeil-2, G: Ringer's

20

1 solution, H: dsEGFP) injected as day-2 fifth instar larvae with dsbombeil-2, Ringer's solution, or 2 dsEGFP. The adult phenotypes of the dsbombeil-2-treated organisms included severe wing 3 defects (E and F, red arrows) and undeveloped prothoracic legs (F, black arrow), as well as 4 malformed tarsi (F, bracket). Morphology of day-2 pupal brains that were injected with either 5 dsbombeil-2 (I, J) or Ringer's solution (K) as day-2 fifth instar larvae. RT-PCR analysis of 6 bombeil-2 expression in WDs and LDs in day-4 fifth instar larvae; (L) Bombeil-2 endogenous 7 expression; (M) Expression of *bombeil-2* and *EcR* in dsbombeil-2 and control (Ringer's solution) 8 samples. *RpL32* was used as an internal standard.

9

Fig. 5. Comparison of the amino-acid sequences of the heme-binding domain (P450-signature) of bombeil-1 and the P450 enzymes of *Bombyx* and other insects. Conserved amino acids are underlined. The percentage amino-acid identity to bombeil-1 is indicated in parenthes.

Gene Forward		Reverse	Annealing temp (°C)
Bombeil-1	CGTCACCTTCAGCCATTTCC	TCCGAATCTCTGGGATGAACC	63
Bombeil-2	TCAATTAATCCGTGCTCTCGC	GAAGCGCGTGCATTTAG	64
Bombeil-3	CGTCTCTCCGCGACTTCATAT	TCCCCTAGCCCAGCTAACAG	63
Bombeil-4	CAGGTACACCCGCAAATGGT	CGCAGCTCGGTTTTGAGAAT	63
Bombeil-5	TTTTTCGAGACCGCTACTCCA	CGCGGAGCCTATATACACAGC	64
Bombeil-6	TCCTGCGAAGCAAGAGAGTCTT	CAGACTCATCACCATCTTCCTCG	60
Bombeil-7	TGGGACCTAAGACTGAGGCTG	TCTCCCCTGGTGCATGAAAG	60
Bombeil-8	TCGTATACATGGTGTCCGCGT	TTACGGAAACGTTGCGAGC	64
Bombeil-9	GGCCGAGGTACCATACCAGAC	AGGTACATGCGAATAGCTCCTGA	60
Bombeil-10	ACATGGCGCATTACGAAGC	TTGTCACTGGCGGATTTTTG	64
EcR-A	TGGAGCTGAAACACGAGGTGGC	TCCCATTAGGGCTGTACGGACC	60
EcR-B1	ATAACGGTGGCTTCCCGCTGCG	CGGTGTTGTGGGAGGCATTGGTA	60
PTTH	CAGTTGAGTTATCCAGCATTCCC	CGGAACAAATCATCAGGCG	59
RpL32	GAGGACGAAGAGATTTATCAGGCA	CGAAGAGACACCATGAGCGAT	60

## Table 1. Oligonucleotide primers for PCR and in situ hybridization

Fig. 1



Fig. 2









## Fig. 5

Bombeil-1	(Bombyx)	PEGVGREMCLGDVLAR	
CYP18a1 CYP18a1 CYP306a1 CYP302a1 CYP315a1 CYP314a1	(Drosophila) (Spodoptera) (Bombyx) (Bombyx) (Bombyx) (Bombyx)	PFGVGRRMCLGDVLAR PFGVGRRMCLGDVLAR PFQTGKRMCPGDELSR PFGHGPRSCIARRLAE PFAFGARSCIGKKMAM PFGRGRRMCPGKRFVE	(100%) (100%) (62%) (50%) (44%) (50%)