Particle composition of high-pressure SF6 plasma with electron temperature greater than gas temperature

著者	Tanaka Yasunori, Yokomizu Yasunobu, Ishikawa
	Motohiro, Matsumura Toshiro
journal or	IEEE Transaction on Plasma Science
publication title	
volume	25
number	5
page range	991-995
year	1997-10-01
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2297/1802

Particle Composition of High-Pressure SF₆ Plasma with Electron Temperature Greater than Gas Temperature

Yasunori Tanaka, Yasunobu Yokomizu, Member, IEEE, Motohiro Ishikawa, and Toshiro Matsumura, Member, IEEE,

Abstract—Numerical computation was performed to derive particle compositions of high-pressure $\rm SF_6$ plasmas in which electron temperature $T_{\rm e}$ is greater than gas temperature $T_{\rm g}$. The effective excitation temperature $T^{\rm ex}$ for each of particles was proposed to express the distribution of particles in excited states. Furthermore, the relation equations for chemical equilibrium in two-temperature plasma were developed on the basis of the second law of thermodynamics. At fixed $T_{\rm g}$ in the range of 6000–9000 K, the increasing $T_{\rm e}$ from 9000–20000 K encourages the dissociation and ionization of particles, causing the composition of $\rm SF_6$ plasma at 0.1 MPa to differ markedly from the composition under the condition of thermal equilibrium.

Index Terms—Excitation temperature, high-pressure SF $_{6}$ plasma, two-temperature state.

I. INTRODUCTION

In an SF₆ gas-blast circuit breaker, detailed investigations on a post-arc channel with temperatures below 6000 K after current zero are essential for a proper understanding of current interruption process. The post-arc channel is exposed to high electric field, because a transient recovery voltage (TRV) is applied to the circuit breaker during the time after current zero. The high electric field elevates the kinetic energy of electrons in the post-arc channel and then increases the electron temperature $T_{\rm e}$. As a result, $T_{\rm e}$ become higher than gas temperature $T_{\rm g}$ in the post-arc channel [1]. This may change the particle composition of the post-arc channel from that under the condition of thermal equilibrium. It is therefore very important to investigate the particle composition of the post-arc channel in two-temperature states where $T_{\rm e}$ is greater than $T_{\rm g}$.

Several papers have so far dealt with the particle compositions of Ar, H_2 , or N_2 plasma in two-temperature state [2]. In calculating the compositions in some of the papers, multitemperature Saha's equation (MSE) was adopted to express chemical equilibrium in two-temperature state. However, recent work pointed out that MSE is invalid since it is not satisfied with the second law of thermodynamics [3].

The present paper describes calculation results for the particle composition of SF₆ plasmas in two-temperature state

Manuscript received December 6, 1996; revised March 4, 1997. This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) (2) 08555068.

The authors are with the Department of Electrical Engineering, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi, 464-01, Japan (e-mail: tanaka@matsumura.nuee.nagoya-u.ac.jp).

Publisher Item Identifier S 0093-3813(97)07237-8.

at a pressure of 0.1 MPa. First, in order to express the populations of the internal energy states of heavy particles, effective excitation temperature was proposed. Second, using the effective excitation temperature, relation equations for ionization and dissociation equilibriums in two-temperature state were induced on the basis of the second law of thermodynamics. Finally, particle compositions of SF₆ plasma in two-temperature state were theoretically calculated at a fixed $T_{\rm g}$ above 3000 K as a function of $T_{\rm e}$.

II. Equations for Calculating Particle Composition of SF_6 Plasma in Two-Temperature State

In the present calculation, it is assumed that SF_6 plasmas are in the following conditions. 1) The plasma is homogeneous. Although it is possible to expect the disturbance of homogeneity in the SF_6 decomposition products in pratical situation, we deal with homogeneous SF_6 plasmas as the fundamental approach in this paper. 2) Total pressure is 0.1 MPa. In calculating the composition, we took account of 23 species: SF_6 , SF_5 , SF_4 , SF_3 , SF_2 , SF_6 , SF_5 , SF_7 , and electrons. 3) Electrons and heavy particles have Maxwellian energy distribution functions. 4) Temperatures of all the heavy particles are identical to gas temperature T_g . 5) Electron temperature T_g is greater than T_g . 6) The populations of the internal energy states of the heavy particles follow Boltzmann's law. 7) Chemical equilibrium between the particles is established.

A. Effective Excitation Temperature of Heavy Particle

Let us discuss the occupations of the internal energy states of heavy particles such as atoms, molecules, and ions. This is because the internal energy states of the heavy particles have great influence on chemical reactions such as ionization and dissociation. On the basis of Boltzmann's law, the population of the internal energy states of the heavy particle "A" is given by

$$\frac{n_{\mathbf{A}}^{(u)}}{n_{\mathbf{A}}^{(l)}} = \frac{g_u}{g_l} \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon_u - \epsilon_l}{kT_{\mathbf{A}}^{\text{ex}}}\right) \tag{1}$$

where the scripts u and l denote the excitation levels, g is statistical weight, ϵ is excitation potential, and k is Boltzmann constant. The quantity $T_{\rm A}^{\rm ex}$ is the so-called excitation

temperature of the particle "A." The excitation temperature is considered to be close to $T_{\rm e}$ in low-pressure and low-temperature plasmas, where the excitation of the heavy particles results mainly from collisions with electrons. However, in high-pressure and high- $T_{\rm g}$ plasmas, the excitation of the heavy particle highly seems to result from collisions not only with electrons but also with heavy particles. In such plasmas, $T_{\rm A}^{\rm ex}$ depends on both of $T_{\rm e}$ and $T_{\rm g}$. We will therefore express $T_{\rm A}^{\rm ex}$ in terms of $T_{\rm e}$ and $T_{\rm g}$.

In the excitation process, a heavy particle "A" obtains energy of $\frac{3}{2}\,kT_{\rm e}K_{\rm eA}\nu_{\rm eA}$ a second through collisions with electrons, where $K_{\rm eA}$ and $\nu_{\rm eA}$ are the collision loss factor and the collision frequency between an electron and the particle "A," respectively. In a similar manner, the particle "A" also acquires energy of $\Sigma_{j(j\neq \rm e)}\,\frac{3}{2}\,kT_{\rm g}K_{j\rm A}\nu_{j\rm A}$ a second through collisions with various kinds of heavy particles, where $K_{j\rm A}$ and $\nu_{j\rm A}$ are the collision loss factor and the collision frequency between j and "A," respectively. As a result, total energy $E_{\rm A}^{\rm rec}$ that the particle "A" obtains is given by

$$E_{\rm A}^{\rm rec} = \frac{3}{2} k T_{\rm e} K_{\rm eA} \nu_{\rm eA} + \frac{3}{2} k T_{\rm g} \sum_{j(j \neq \rm e)} K_{j{\rm A}} \nu_{j{\rm A}}.$$
 (2)

This total energy $E_{\rm A}^{\rm rec}$ determines $T_{\rm A}^{\rm ex}$ of the particle "A."

Without distinction of electron and heavy particles colliding with "A," we regarded them as one kind of fictitious particle. Assume also that the fictitious particle has kinetic energy $\frac{3}{2}kT_{\rm A}^{\rm ex}$ and collides with "A" with a frequency of $\nu_{\rm eA}+\Sigma_{j(j\neq {\rm e})}\nu_{j{\rm A}}$. The assumptions enable us to set up the following equation:

$$\frac{3}{2}kT_{\rm A}^{\rm ex}K\left(\nu_{\rm eA} + \sum_{j(j\neq \rm e)}\nu_{j\rm A}\right) = E_{\rm A}^{\rm rec} \tag{3}$$

where K is the collision loss factor between fictitious particle and "A." The collision loss factors usually depend on the temperatures and species of interest. However, there have been few experimental data available on the factors so far. Then, for the sake of simplicity, we assumed that $K_{\rm eA}, K_{\rm jA}$, and K are the same, although this assumption is rough and results in underestimation of the effect of collision with electrons. Substituting (2) into (3) and rewriting (3) yields

$$T_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ex}} = \frac{\nu_{\text{eA}} T_{\text{e}} + \sum_{j(j \neq \text{e})} \nu_{j\mathcal{A}} T_{\text{g}}}{\nu_{\text{eA}} + \sum_{j(j \neq \text{e})} \nu_{j\mathcal{A}}}.$$
 (4)

This equation expressing $T_{\rm A}^{\rm ex}$ in terms of $T_{\rm e}$ and $T_{\rm g}$ is newly proposed in the present paper. We named $T_{\rm A}^{\rm ex}$ as "effective excitation temperature." The effective excitation temperature greatly affects chemical reactions, as will be shown later.

B. Equations for Chemical Equilibrium in Two-Temperature State

Table I indicates ten dissociation and ten ionization equilibrium reactions taken into account in the present calculation. Equations for the reactions will be derived below.

TABLE I
CHEMICAL REACTION TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT IN THE CALCULATION.
"M" INDICATES AN ELECTRON OR A HEAVY PARTICLE

$SF_6 + M \rightleftharpoons SF_5 + F + M$	(4.00 eV [4])	(5)
$SF_5 + M \rightleftharpoons SF_4 + F + M$	(2.27 eV [4])	(6)
$SF_4 + M \rightleftharpoons SF_3 + F + M$	(3.47 eV [4])	(7)
$SF_3 + M \rightleftharpoons SF_2 + F + M$	(2.92 eV [4])	(8)
$SF_2 + M \rightleftharpoons SF + F + M$	(4.01 eV [4])	(9)
$SF + M \rightleftharpoons S + F + M$	(3.52 eV [4])	(10)
$SSF_2 + M \rightleftharpoons SF_2 + M$	(3.90 eV [4])	(11)
$FSSF + M \rightleftharpoons SF + SF + M$	(3.71 eV [4])	(12)
$F_2 + M \rightleftharpoons F + F + M$	(1.60 eV [4])	(13)
$S_2 + M \rightleftharpoons S + S + M$	(4.37 eV [4])	(14)
$F^- + M \rightleftharpoons F + e^- + M$	(3.40 eV [4])	(15)
$S^- + M \rightleftharpoons F + e^- + M$	(2.08 eV [4])	(16)
$F + M \rightleftharpoons F^+ + e^- + M$	(17.4 eV [5])	(17)
$S + M \rightleftharpoons S^+ + e^- + M$	(10.4 eV [5])	(18)
$F^+ + M \rightleftharpoons F^{2+} + e^- + M$	(35.6 eV [5])	(19)
$S^+ + M \rightleftharpoons S^{2+} + e^- + M$	(23.4 eV [5])	(20)
$F_2 + M \rightleftharpoons F_2^+ + e^- + M$	(15.8 eV [6])	(21)
$S_2 + M \rightleftharpoons S_2^+ + e^- + M$	(8.30 eV [6])	(22)
$SF + M \rightleftharpoons SF^+ + e^- + M$	(10.1 eV [6])	(23)
$SF^- + M \rightleftharpoons SF + e^- + M$	(2.10 eV [4])	(24)

1) Ionization Equilibrium: Let us discuss the ionization equilibrium in two-temperature state

$$A + M \rightleftharpoons A^{+} + e^{-} + M \tag{5}$$

where M is an electron or a heavy particle colliding with "A." For this ionization equilibrium, the following expression is established on the basis of the second law of thermodynamics [3]:

$$\frac{\mu_{\rm A}}{T_{\sigma}} + \frac{\mu_{\rm M}}{T_{\rm M}} = \frac{\mu_{\rm A^+}}{T_{\sigma}} + \frac{\mu_{\rm e}}{T_{\rm e}} + \frac{\mu_{\rm M}}{T_{\rm M}} \tag{6}$$

where the temperature $T_{\rm M}$ of M is $T_{\rm e}$ when M is an electron, while $T_{\rm M}$ is $T_{\rm g}$ when M is a heavy particle. Also, $\mu_x(x={\rm e,A,A^+}$ or M) is the chemical potential of each species. The chemical potential μ_x is expressed in terms of partition function Z_x , number density n_x , and volume of plasma V

$$\frac{\mu_x}{T_x} = -k \ln\left(\frac{Z_x}{n_x V}\right). \tag{7}$$

We especially paid attention to partition function of "A+" with reference to the ground state of "A." We expressed the partition function as $Z_{A^+} \times \exp\left[-(E_A - \Delta E)/kT_A^{\rm ex}\right]$, where E_A is the ionization potential of "A" and ΔE represents a lowering of ionization potential. This is because the populations of the excited states of "A" follow Boltzmann law for

the temperature of $T_{\rm A}^{\rm ex}$. By substituting (7) into (6), we get

$$\frac{n_{\rm e}n_{\rm A^+}}{n_{\rm A}} = \frac{Z_{\rm e}Z_{\rm A^+}}{Z_{\rm A}V} \exp\left(-\frac{E_{\rm A} - \Delta E}{kT_{\rm A}^{\rm ex}}\right) \tag{8}$$

$$\Delta E \text{ [eV]} = 6.96 \times 10^{-9} (n_e [\text{m}^{-3}])^{1/3}.$$
 (9)

For the derivation of number densities through (8), the calculation of partition functions $Z_{\rm A}, Z_{\rm A^+}$, and $Z_{\rm e}$ is necessary. We will therefore discuss the dependence of the partition functions on $T_{\rm e}, T_{\rm g}$, and $T^{\rm ex}$.

a) Partition function for electron Z_e : Translational motions of electrons depend only on T_e , because T_e indicates the kinetic energy of electrons. Thus, Z_e in two-temperature state is expressed as a function of T_e :

$$Z_{\rm e} = 2Z_{\rm e}^{\rm tr} = 2V \left(\frac{2\pi m_{\rm e} k T_{\rm e}}{h^2}\right)^{3/2}$$
 (10)

where $Z_{\rm e}^{\rm tr}$ is the translational partition function of the electron, h is Planck's constant, and $m_{\rm e}$ is the mass of an electron.

b) Partition function for heavy particle Z_A and Z_{A+} : Heavy particles have three energy states: translational, rotational–vibrational, and electronic states. Translational motions of heavy particles depend on $T_{\rm g}$. Translational partition function $Z_{\rm h}^{\rm tr}$ is thus calculated using $T_{\rm g}$

$$Z_{\rm A}^{\rm tr} = V \left(\frac{2\pi m_{\rm A} k T_{\rm g}}{h^2}\right)^{3/2}.$$
 (11)

We assumed that rotational–vibrational motions of heavy particles depend on $T_{\rm g}$, because these motions are due to the kinetic motions. In this case, rotational–vibrational partition function $Z_{\rm A}^{\rm rv}$ is written by

$$Z_{\rm A}^{\rm rv} = Z_{\rm A}^{\rm rv}(T_{\rm g}). \tag{12}$$

We calculated $Z_{\rm A}^{\rm rv}$ for diatomic molecules and for polyatomic molecules in accordance with the methods suggested by Burhorn [7] and Herzberg [8]. On the other hand, since the internal energy state of "A" is governed by $T_{\rm A}^{\rm ex}$, electronic partition function $Z_{\rm A}^{\rm ele}$ is obtained as a function of $T_{\rm A}^{\rm ex}$

$$Z_{\rm A}^{
m ele} = Z_{\rm A}^{
m ele}(T_{\rm A}^{
m ex}) = \sum_i g_i \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon_i}{kT_{\rm A}^{
m ex}}\right)$$
 (13)

where g_i and ϵ_i are statistical weight and excitation potential, respectively. These values are given in [4]–[6]. Use of (11)–(13) induces us to have

$$Z_{\rm A} = V \left(\frac{2\pi m_{\rm A} k T_{\rm g}}{h^2}\right)^{3/2} Z_{\rm A}^{\rm rv}(T_{\rm g}) Z_{\rm A}^{\rm ele}(T_{\rm A}^{\rm ex}),$$
 (14)

In a similar manner, the partition function for the ion "A+" can be expressed as

$$Z_{\rm A^+} = V \left(\frac{2\pi m_{\rm A^+} k T_{\rm g}}{h^2}\right)^{3/2} Z_{\rm A^+}^{\rm rv}(T_{\rm g}) Z_{\rm A^+}^{\rm ele}(T_{\rm A^+}^{\rm ex}).$$
 (15)

Finally, substitution of (10), (44), and (15) into (8) yields a expression as a function of $T_{\rm e}, T_{\rm g}$, and $T^{\rm ex}$

$$\frac{n_{e}n_{A^{+}}}{n_{A}} = 2\left(\frac{2\pi m_{e}kT_{e}}{h^{2}}\right)^{3/2} \frac{Z_{A^{+}}^{\text{rv}}(T_{g})Z_{A^{+}}^{\text{ele}}(T_{A^{+}}^{\text{ex}})}{Z_{A}^{\text{rv}}(T_{g})Z_{A}^{\text{ele}}(T_{A}^{\text{ex}})} \times \exp\left(-\frac{E_{A} - \Delta E}{kT_{A}^{\text{ex}}}\right).$$
(16)

This is the equation that we newly developed for ionization equilibrium in two-temperature state. Note that (16) includes the effective excitation temperature. Equation (16) was applied to express each of 10 ionization equilibriums (15)–(24) shown in Table I.

2) Dissociation Equilibrium: Let us discuss the following dissociation equilibrium:

$$A + M \rightleftharpoons B + C + M \tag{17}$$

where A is a molecule, B and C are heavy particles, and M is an electron or a heavy particle. In a similar way as the procedure for deriving (15), we can obtain

$$\frac{n_{\rm B}n_{\rm C}}{n_{\rm A}} = \left(\frac{2\pi m_{\rm B}m_{\rm C}kT_{\rm g}}{m_{\rm A}h^2}\right)^{3/2} \times \frac{Z_{\rm B}^{\rm rv}(T_{\rm g})Z_{\rm C}^{\rm rv}(T_{\rm g})}{Z_{\rm A}^{\rm rv}(T_{\rm g})} \frac{Z_{\rm B}^{\rm ele}(T_{\rm B}^{\rm ex})Z_{\rm C}^{\rm ele}(T_{\rm C}^{\rm ex})}{Z_{\rm A}^{\rm ele}(T_{\rm g})} \times \exp\left(-\frac{E_{\rm A}^{\rm d}}{kT_{\rm A}^{\rm ex}}\right) \tag{18}$$

where $E_{\rm A}^{\rm d}$ is the dissociation potential of "A." The above expression was adopted to express each of dissociation equilibriums (5)–(14) shown in Table I.

C. Other Governing Equations for Two-Temperature Plasma

Besides the above-mentioned (16) and (18), three equations for Dalton's law of partial pressure, charge neutrality, and conservation law of stoichiometric equilibrium were set up. The equation for Dalton's law in two-temperature state is written by

$$P + \Delta P = n_{\rm e}kT_{\rm e} + \sum_{j(j \neq \rm e)} n_j kT_{\rm g}$$
(19)

$$\Delta P = \frac{kT_{\rm e}}{24\pi} \left[\frac{e^2}{\varepsilon_0} \left(\frac{n_{\rm e}}{kT_{\rm e}} + \sum_{j(j\neq {\rm e})} z_{{\rm eff}j}^2 n_j kT_{\rm g} \right) \right]^{3/2}$$
(20)

where P is the total pressure, ΔP the pressure correction term resulting from the electrostatic interactions [9], $z_{\text{eff}j}$ the effective charge number, ε_0 the permittivity of vacuum, and e the electronic charge.

III. CALCULATION PROCEDURE

Twenty-three simultaneous equations mentioned above were solved through the following procedures.

1) $T_{\rm e}$ and $T_{\rm g}$ are given.




Fig. 1. Particle composition of two-temperature SF_6 plasma at 0.1 MPa as a function of electron temperature. Gas temperature is fixed at 3000 K.

- 2) Initial values of number densities n_j of each of species are given.
- 3) T_j^{ex} is derived using (4) and ΔE is also calculated by (9).
- 4) The obtained T_j^{ex} and ΔE are substituted into 20 simultaneous equations for ionization and dissociation equilibriums corresponding to (16) and (18).
- 5) All 23 simultaneous equations are solved using the Newton–Raphson method to newly derive number densities n_{ij} .
- 6) Procedures of 3–5 are iterated until T_j^{ex} and ΔE converge.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Fig. 1 shows the calculated particle composition of SF_6 plasma as a function of $T_{\rm e}$ at fixed $T_{\rm g}=3000$ K. The composition at $T_{\rm e}=3000~{\rm K}$ corresponds to that under the condition of thermal equilibrium at 3000 K. An increase in $T_{\rm e}$ from 3000–20000 K brings about the little change in the composition. Fig. 2 shows the derived T_i^{ex} of each of the heavy particles as a function of $T_{
m e}$ under the same condition as that for Fig. 1. All of T_i^{ex} almost equal T_{g} . The results shown in Figs. 1 and 2 arise from the following fact. At $T_{\rm g}=3000$ K, the electron density $n_{\rm e}$ is as low as of the order of $10^{17} \,\mathrm{m}^{-3}$. Thus, collision frequencies between heavy particles and electrons are very much lower than those between heavy particles. In other words, the heavy particles collide more frequently with the other heavy particles than with electrons. As a result, $T^{\rm ex}$ of the heavy particle remain $T_{\rm g}$ regardless of $T_{\rm e}$. As a consequence, $T_{\rm e}$ hardly affects dissociation and ionization reactions, thereby resulting in no change in the composition.

Fig. 3 represents the obtained particle composition at fixed $T_{\rm g}=6000~{\rm K}$ as a function of $T_{\rm e}$. No significant change in composition is found with increasing $T_{\rm e}$ from 6000–9000 K. In contrast to this, raising $T_{\rm e}$ to temperatures above 9000 K leads to marked dissociation and ionization of heavy particles, causing the composition to differ from that under the condition of thermal equilibrium. For example, $n_{\rm e}$ rises from 6.24




Fig. 2. Effective excitation temperature of various species in two-temperature SF_6 plasma at 0.1 MPa as a function of electron temperature. Gas temperature is fixed at 3000 K.





Fig. 3. Particle composition of two-temperature SF_6 plasma at 0.1 MPa as a function of electron temperature. Gas temperature is fixed at 6000 K.

 $\times~10^{20}$ to 9.24 $\times~10^{22}\,\mathrm{m}^{-3}$ with an increase of $T_{\rm e}$ from 6000–12 000 K. It can be also seen in Fig. 3 that $n_{\rm e}$ is almost equal to S⁺ density at $T_{\rm e} < 15\,000$ K. This indicates that the ionization of S mainly produces the electron. Fig. 4 represents derived $T_{\rm g}^{\rm ex}$ as a function of $T_{\rm e}$. Let us discuss $T_{\rm g}^{\rm ex}$ of S that mainly produce the electron. As seen in Fig. 4, $T_{\rm g}^{\rm ex}$ is almost as high as $T_{\rm g}$ at $T_{\rm e} < 8000$ K, while $T_{\rm g}^{\rm ex}$ agrees with $T_{\rm e}$ at $T_{\rm e} > 10\,000$ K. The results shown in Figs. 3 and 4 are interpreted as follows. At $T_{\rm e} < 8000$ K, $n_{\rm e}$ is as low as the order of $10^{20}\,\mathrm{m}^{-3}$ so that S excites or ionizes mainly by collision with heavy particles. Thus, $T_{\rm g}^{\rm ex}$ is kept constant at $T_{\rm g}$, while $n_{\rm e}$ exceeds $10^{22}\,\mathrm{m}^{-3}$ at $T_{\rm e} > 10\,000$ K. Hence, S excites or ionizes mainly by collision with electrons and thus $T_{\rm g}^{\rm ex}$ increases to $T_{\rm e}$. Consequently, the particle composition depends on $T_{\rm e}$ markedly, and differs from that under the condition of thermal equilibrium.

Fig. 5 shows the particle composition at fixed $T_{\rm g} = 9000~{\rm K}$ as a function of $T_{\rm e}$. As can be seen in this figure, an increase in $T_{\rm e}$ induces the dissociation and ionization of all particles, thus causing the number densities of the particle to vary greatly.



excitation Fig. 4. Effective temperature of various two-temperature SF₆ plasma at 0.1 MPa as a function of electron temperature. Gas temperature is fixed at 6000 K.




Fig. 5. Particle composition of two-temperature SF₆ plasma at 0.1 MPa as a function of electron temperature. Gas temperature is fixed at 9000 K.




Fig. 6. Effective excitation temperature of various two-temperature SF₆ plasma at 0.1 MPa as a function of electron temperature. Gas temperature is fixed at 9000 K.

Fig. 6 represents $T_j^{\rm ex}$ at $T_{\rm g}=9000$ K. The effective excitation temperatures of almost all the species rise linearly with $T_{\rm e}$. This indicates the particle composition depends almost only on T_{e} .

V. CONCLUSION

Particle composition of SF₆ plasma at 0.1 MPa in twotemperature state was theoretically calculated, in which electron temperature $T_{\rm e}$ is higher than gas temperature $T_{\rm g}$. In order to simply express the populations of the internal energy states of heavy particles, the effective excitation temperature was proposed considering collision frequency. At fixed $T_{\rm g}$ in the range of 6000-9000 K, a rise of $T_{\rm e}$ from 9000-20 000 K encourages the dissociation and ionization of particles, resulting in the composition of SF₆ plasma to differ markedly from the composition under the condition of thermal equilibrium.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. T. C. Fang, Q. Zhuang, and X. J. Guo, "Current-zero behaviour of an SF₆ gas-blast arc. Part II: Turbulent flow," J. Phys. D, Appl. Phys., vol. 27, pp. 74-83, 1994; J. J. Gonzalez, A. Gleizes, and P. Krenek, "SF₆ circuit breaker arc modeling: Influence of the electric field on the electrical conductivity," J. Phys. D, Appl. Phys., vol. 27, pp. 985–993, 1994.
- D. Kannappan and T. K. Bose, "Transport properties of a two-temperature argon plasma," *Phys. Fluids*, vol. 20, pp. 1668–1673, [2] 1977; K. Chen and T. L. Eddy, "Composition and partition functions of partially ionized hydrogen plasma in nonlocal thermal equilibrium (Non-LthE) and nonlocal chemical equilibrium (Non-LChE)," J. Non-Equilib. Thermodyn., vol. 18, pp. 1-18, 1993; E. Richley and T. Tuma, "On the determination of particle concentrations in multitemperature plasmas," J. Appl. Phys., vol. 53, pp. 8537-8542, 1982.
- M. C. M. van de Sanden, P. P. J. M. Schram, and A. G. Peeters, "Thermodynamics generalization of the Saha equation for a two-temperature plasma," Phys. Rev. A, vol. 40, pp. 5273-5276, 1989; A. Morro and M. Romeo, "The law of mass action for fluid mixtures with several temperatures and velocities," J. Non-Equilib., pp. 339-353, 1988.
- M. W. Chase, Jr., C. A. Davles, J. R. Downey, Jr., D. J. Frurip, R. A. McDonald, and A. N. Syverud, JANAF Thermochemical Tables, 3rd ed. Washington: NBS, 1985, vol. 14, suppl. 1.
- C. E. Moore, Atomic Energy Levels. Washington: NBS, 1949. G. Herzberg, Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure IV Constants of Diatomic Molecules. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1979.
- F. Burhorn and R. Winecke, "Berechnung der inneren Zustandssummen einiger zweiatomiger Moleküle bei höheren Temperaturen," Z. Phys. Chem. Leipzip, vol. 212, pp. 105-117, 1957.
- [8] G. Herzberg, Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure II Infrared and Raman Spectra of Polyatomic Molecules. New York: Van Nostrand, 1966, pp. 501-510.
- M. I. Boulos et al., Thermal Plasmas Fundamentals and Applications, Vol. 1. New York: Plenum Press, 1994, p. 253.

Yasunori Tanaka, for a photograph and biography, see this issue, p. 990.

Yasunobu Yokomizu (M'91), for a photograph and biography, see this issue, p. 990.

Motohiro Ishikawa, for a photograph and biography, see this issue, p. 990.

Toshiro Matsumura (M'95), for a photograph and biography, see this issue,