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#### Abstract

Motivated by a recent work by B.-Y. Chen we prove a new estimate for the $\bar{\partial}$-operator, which easily implies the Ohsawa-Takegoshi extension theorem. We essentially only use the classical Hörmander estimate. This method gives the same constant as the one recently obtained by Guan-Zhou-Zhu.


1. Introduction. The Ohsawa-Takegoshi extension theorem [14] turned out to be one of the most important results in complex analysis and geometry. There have been various simplifications of its proof (see e.g. [1]) but the crucial one is due to B.-Y. Chen [8], who recently showed that it follows directly from Hörmander's estimate for the $\bar{\partial}$-equation. Using some of his ideas we obtain a generalization of an estimate due to Berndtsson [1] (see Theorem 1 below), from which the Ohsawa-Takegoshi theorem can be deduced directly.

We are also interested in the conjecture formulated by Suita [15]: for a bounded domain $D$ in $\mathbb{C}$ one has

$$
c_{D}^{2} \leq \pi K_{D}
$$

Here

$$
c_{D}(z)=\exp \left(\lim _{\zeta \rightarrow z}\left(G_{D}(\zeta, z)-\log |\zeta-z|\right)\right),
$$

where $G_{D}(\cdot, z)$ is the (negative) Green function with pole at $z \in D$, and

$$
K_{D}(z)=\sup \left\{|f(z)|^{2}: f \text { holomorphic in } D, \int_{D}|f|^{2} d \lambda \leq 1\right\}
$$

is the Bergman kernel. Its relation to the extension theorem was found by Ohsawa 13 who, using methods of the $\bar{\partial}$-equation, showed the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{D}^{2} \leq C \pi K_{D} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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with $C=750$. It was improved in $\mathbf{6}$ to $C=2$ and recently to $C=1.95388 \ldots$ by Guan-Zhou-Zhu, as announced in $\mathbf{1 1}$. We show, see Theorem 4 below, a result which covers both the Ohsawa-Takegoshi result and (1) with the same constant as in (Theorem 4 below was originally shown in 9 with $C=4$ ).
2. The estimate for the $\bar{\partial}$-equation. Let $\Omega$ be a pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and assume that

$$
\alpha=\sum_{j} \alpha_{j} d \bar{z}_{j} \in L_{l o c,(0,1)}^{2}(\Omega)
$$

satisfies $\bar{\partial} \alpha=0$. We are looking for solutions of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial} u=\alpha \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $L^{2}$-estimates. The classical one is due to Hörmander $\widehat{\mathbf{1 2}}$ : for any plurisubharmonic $\varphi$ in $\Omega$ we can find $u$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} e^{-\varphi} d \lambda \leq \int_{\Omega}|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} e^{-\varphi} d \lambda \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $C^{2}$, strongly plurisubharmonic $\varphi$ we have

$$
|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2}=\sum_{j, k} \varphi^{j \bar{k}} \bar{\alpha}_{j} \alpha_{k}
$$

where $\left(\varphi^{j \bar{k}}\right)$ is the inverse transposed of $\left(\partial^{2} \varphi / \partial z_{j} \partial \bar{z}_{k}\right)$, whereas for $\varphi$ which is only plurisubharmonic the right-hand side of (3) is a bit ambiguous. It makes sense however (and the estimate indeed holds - see [4] or [5) if instead of $|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2}$ we take any $h \in L_{l o c}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with

$$
i \bar{\alpha} \wedge \alpha \leq h i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi
$$

Berndtsson $[\mathbf{1}$ showed another estimate for (2): if in addition $\psi$ is a plurisubharmonic function in $\Omega$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \partial \psi \wedge \bar{\partial} \psi \leq i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $0<\delta<1$, then we can find $u$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} e^{\delta \psi-\varphi} d \lambda \leq \frac{4}{\delta(1-\delta)^{2}} \int_{\Omega}|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi}^{2} e^{\delta \psi-\varphi} d \lambda \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant in 5 was improved in $[\mathbf{3}]$ : it was shown that the optimal $C(\delta)$ satisfies

$$
\frac{4}{(1-\delta)(2-\delta)} \leq C(\delta) \leq \frac{4}{(1-\delta)^{2}}
$$

Then (5) makes sense also for $\delta=0$ : one obtains the following estimate due to Donnelly and Fefferman $[\mathbf{1 0}]$ :

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} e^{-\varphi} d \lambda \leq 4 \int_{\Omega}|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi}^{2} e^{-\varphi} d \lambda
$$

It is also clear that we cannot have a finite constant in (5) $\delta=1$.
Note that in our convention (4) can be written as $|\partial \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi}^{2} \leq 1$. Keeping this in mind we will formulate our main result which can be viewed as a variant of Berndtsson's estimate (5) for $\delta=1$ :

Theorem 1. Assume that $\Omega$ is a pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and take $\alpha \in L_{\text {loc, }(0,1)}^{2}(\Omega)$ with $\bar{\partial} \alpha=0$. Let $\varphi, \psi$ be plurisubharmonic functions in $\Omega$ such that $|\bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi}^{2} \leq 1$ in $\Omega$ and $|\bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi}^{2} \leq \delta<1$ on $\operatorname{supp} \alpha$. Then there exists $u \in L_{\text {loc }}^{2}(\Omega)$ solving $\bar{\partial} u=\alpha$ and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(1-|\bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi}^{2}\right)|u|^{2} e^{\psi-\varphi} d \lambda \leq \frac{1}{(1-\sqrt{\delta})^{2}} \int_{\Omega}|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi}^{2} \psi^{\psi-\varphi} d \lambda . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By standard approximation we may assume that $\varphi, \psi$ are smooth up to the boundary. We now use a trick from [2]. Let $u$ be the minimal solution to $\bar{\partial} u=\alpha$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega, e^{-\varphi}\right)$. This is equivalent to $u$ being perpendicular to ker $\bar{\partial}$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega, e^{-\varphi}\right)$. Therefore, $v:=u e^{\psi}$ is perpendicular to $\operatorname{ker} \bar{\partial}$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega, e^{-\varphi-\psi}\right)$, which means that $v$ is the minimal solution to $\bar{\partial} v=\beta$, where

$$
\beta:=(\alpha+u \bar{\partial} \psi) e^{\psi},
$$

in $L^{2}\left(\Omega, e^{-\varphi-\psi}\right)$. Therefore, by Hörmander's estimate (3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} e^{\psi-\varphi} d \lambda & =\int_{\Omega}|v|^{2} e^{-\varphi-\psi} d \lambda \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}|\beta|_{i \partial \bar{\partial}(\varphi+\psi)}^{2} e^{-\varphi-\psi} d \lambda \leq \int_{\Omega}|\alpha+u \bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi}^{2} e^{\psi-\varphi} d \lambda .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denoting $h:=|\bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi}^{2}$, for any $t>0$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}|\alpha+u \bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi}^{2} e^{\psi-\varphi} d \lambda \\
& \leq\left(1+t^{-1}\right) \int_{\Omega}|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi}^{2} e^{\psi-\varphi} d \lambda+t \int_{\operatorname{supp} \alpha}|u|^{2} h e^{\psi-\varphi} d \lambda+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} h e^{\psi-\varphi} d \lambda \\
& \leq\left(1+t^{-1}\right) \int_{\Omega}|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi}^{2} e^{\psi-\varphi} d \lambda+\delta(t+1) \int_{\operatorname{supp} \alpha}|u|^{2} e^{\psi-\varphi} d \lambda \\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega \backslash \operatorname{supp} \alpha}|u|^{2} h e^{\psi-\varphi} d \lambda .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega \backslash \operatorname{supp} \alpha}(1-h)|u|^{2} e^{\psi-\varphi} d \lambda+(1-\delta(t+1)) \int_{\operatorname{supp} \alpha}|u|^{2} e^{\psi-\varphi} d \lambda \\
\leq\left(1+t^{-1}\right) \int_{\Omega}|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi}^{2} e^{\psi-\varphi} d \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the left-hand side is bounded below by

$$
(1-\delta(t+1)) \int_{\Omega}(1-h)|u|^{2} e^{\psi-\varphi} d \lambda
$$

for $t=\delta^{-1 / 2}-1$ we get (6).
Note that, after replacing $\psi$ by $\delta \psi$, Theorem 1 gives the Berndtsson estimate (5) with the constant

$$
\frac{1}{\delta(1-\delta)(1-\sqrt{\delta})^{2}}
$$

3. The Ohsawa-Takegoshi extension theorem. The following lemma is essentially contained in 8]:

Lemma 2. For $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\zeta| \leq(2 e)^{-1 / 2}$ and $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small, set

$$
\psi(\zeta):=-\log \left[-\log \left(|\zeta|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)+\log \left(-\log \left(|\zeta|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)\right)\right]
$$

Then $\psi$ is subharmonic in $\left\{|\zeta|<(2 e)^{-1 / 2}\right\}$ and there exist constants $C_{1}, C_{2}$, $C_{3}$ such that
i) $\left(1-\frac{\left|\psi_{\zeta}\right|^{2}}{\psi_{\zeta \bar{\zeta}}}\right) e^{\psi} \geq \frac{1}{C_{1} \log ^{2}\left(|\zeta|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)}$ on $\left\{|\zeta| \leq(2 e)^{-1 / 2}\right\}$;
ii) $\frac{\left|\psi_{\zeta}\right|^{2}}{\psi_{\zeta \bar{\zeta}}} \leq \frac{C_{2}}{-\log \varepsilon}$ on $\{|\zeta| \leq \varepsilon\}$;
iii) $\frac{e^{\psi}}{|\zeta|^{2} \psi_{\zeta \bar{\zeta}}} \leq C_{3}$ on $\{\varepsilon / 2 \leq|\zeta| \leq \varepsilon\}$.

Using Theorem 1 and Lemma 2 similarly as in $[8]$ we can easily prove an extended version of the Ohsawa-Takegoshi theorem:

Theorem 3. Assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times\left\{\left|z_{n}\right|<(2 e)^{-1 / 2}\right\}$ is pseudoconvex and let $\varphi$ be a plurisubharmonic function in $\Omega$. Then every holomorphic $f$ in $\Omega^{\prime}:=\Omega \cap\left\{z_{n}=0\right\}$ (we assume that $\Omega^{\prime}$ is not empty) has a holomorphic extension $F$ in $\Omega$ satisfying

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{|F|^{2} e^{-\varphi}}{\left|z_{n}\right|^{2} \log ^{2}\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}} d \lambda \leq C \int_{\Omega^{\prime}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi} d \lambda^{\prime}
$$

where $C$ is a uniform constant.
Proof. We follow the argument from [8]. By standard approximation we may assume that $\Omega$ is bounded with smooth boundary, $\varphi$ is smooth up to the boundary and $f$ is defined in a neighborhood of $\overline{\Omega^{\prime}}$. Let $\chi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $\chi=1$ on $\{t \leq 1 / 2\}$ and $\chi=0$ on $\{t \geq 1\}$. For small $\varepsilon>0$ set

$$
\alpha:=\bar{\partial}\left(f\left(z^{\prime}\right) \chi\left(\left|z_{n}\right|^{2} / \varepsilon^{2}\right)\right)=f\left(z^{\prime}\right) \chi^{\prime}\left(\left|z_{n}\right|^{2} / \varepsilon^{2}\right) z_{n} d \bar{z}_{n} / \varepsilon^{2}
$$

We use Theorem 1 with $\psi$ given by Lemma 2 and $\widetilde{\varphi}=\varphi+2 \log \left|z_{n}\right|$. With $\delta:=-C_{2} / \log \varepsilon$, we get a solution $u=u_{\varepsilon}$ to 2 with

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2} e^{-\varphi}}{\left|z_{n}\right|^{2} \log ^{2}\left(\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)} d \lambda \leq \frac{C_{1}}{(1-\sqrt{\delta})^{2}} \int_{\Omega}|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi}^{2} e^{\psi-\widetilde{\varphi}} d \lambda
$$

We have

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi}^{2} e^{\psi-\widetilde{\varphi}} d \lambda \leq \frac{\left(\sup \chi^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\varepsilon^{4}} \int_{\left\{\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq\left|z_{n}\right| \leq \varepsilon\right\}} \frac{e^{\psi}}{\psi_{\zeta \bar{\zeta}}} d \lambda \sup _{\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq|\zeta| \leq \varepsilon} \int_{\Omega_{\zeta}^{\prime}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi} d \lambda^{\prime}
$$

where $\Omega_{\zeta}^{\prime}=\left\{z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}:\left(z^{\prime}, \zeta\right) \in \Omega\right\}$. It follows that $u=0$ on $\left\{z_{n}=0\right\}$ and

$$
F_{\varepsilon}(z):=f\left(z^{\prime}\right) \chi\left(\left|z_{n}\right|^{2} / \varepsilon^{2}\right)-u_{\varepsilon}(z)
$$

is a holomorphic extension of $f$ satisfying

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|F_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi}}{\left|z_{n}\right|^{2} \log ^{2}\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}} d \lambda \leq C \int_{\Omega^{\prime}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi} d \lambda^{\prime}
$$

The required $F$ is the weak accumulation point of $F_{\varepsilon}$.
4. The Suita conjecture and constants. Similarly as in 11 , we consider a decreasing convex $\eta: \mathbb{R}_{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{-}$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{\prime \prime} \geq \frac{\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\eta+e^{t}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C:=\frac{1}{-\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \eta^{\prime}(t)}<\infty \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

An example of such an $\eta$ is $-a\left(t+t^{b}\right)$, where $0<b<1$ and $a>0$ is sufficiently small. The smallest $C$ that can be obtained this way (numerically with Mathematica) is for $\eta$ satisfying the equality in (7) and the initial condition $\eta(0)=0, \eta^{\prime}(0)=-2.216715 \ldots$; then $C=1.95388 \ldots$

Theorem 4. Assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times D$ is pseudoconvex, where $D$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}$ containing the origin. Then for every plurisubharmonic $\varphi$ in $\Omega$ and $f$ holomorphic in $\Omega^{\prime}:=\Omega \cap\left\{z_{n}=0\right\}$ (we assume that $\Omega^{\prime}$ is not empty) there exists a holomorphic $F$ in $\Omega$ such that $\left.F\right|_{\Omega^{\prime}}=f$ and

$$
\int_{\Omega}|F|^{2} e^{-\varphi} d \lambda \leq \frac{C \pi}{\left(c_{D}(0)\right)^{2}} \int_{\Omega^{\prime}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi} d \lambda^{\prime}
$$

where $C$ is given by (8).

Proof. First assume that $D=\{|\zeta|<1\}$, so that in particular $c_{D}(0)=1$. Let $0<\varepsilon<1$ and set

$$
\alpha:=\bar{\partial}\left(f\left(z^{\prime}\right) \chi\left(-2 \log \left|z_{n}\right|\right)\right)=-f\left(z^{\prime}\right) \chi^{\prime}\left(-2 \log \left|z_{n}\right|\right) \frac{d \bar{z}_{n}}{\bar{z}_{n}}
$$

where $\chi \in C^{0,1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, such that $\chi(t)=0$ for $t \leq M:=-2 \log \varepsilon$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \chi(t)=1$, will be determined later. Further, set $\widetilde{\varphi}:=\varphi+2 \log \left|z_{n}\right|$ and $\psi:=\gamma\left(-2 \log \left|z_{n}\right|\right)$, where a convex decreasing $\gamma \in C^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$will also be determined later. For $u$ given by Theorem 1 we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} e^{-\varphi} d \lambda \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(1-|\bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi}^{2}\right)|u|^{2} e^{\psi-\widetilde{\varphi}} d \lambda \leq \frac{1}{(1-\sqrt{\delta})^{2}} \int_{\Omega}|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi}^{2} e^{\psi-\widetilde{\varphi}} d \lambda
$$

provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\frac{\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\gamma^{\prime \prime}}\right) e^{\gamma+t} \geq 1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$(then the first inequality holds), $\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{2} / \gamma^{\prime \prime} \leq 1$ on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$and $\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{2} / \gamma^{\prime \prime} \leq$ $\delta<1$ on $\{t \geq M\}$ (then the second inequality follows from Theorem 1).

Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3, we have (recall that $\alpha, \widetilde{\varphi}$ and $\psi$ depend on $\varepsilon$ )

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{\Omega}|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi}^{2} e^{\psi-\widetilde{\varphi}} d \lambda \leq \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \mathcal{A}(\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega^{\prime}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi} d \lambda^{\prime}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{A}(\varepsilon)=\int_{\{|\zeta| \leq \varepsilon\}} \frac{\left(\chi^{\prime}(-2 \log |\zeta|)\right)^{2} e^{\gamma(-2 \log |\zeta|)}}{|\zeta|^{2} \gamma^{\prime \prime}(-2 \log |\zeta|)} d \lambda(\zeta)=\pi \int_{M}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)^{2} e^{\gamma}}{\gamma^{\prime \prime}} d t
$$

The optimal choice of $\chi$ with $\int_{M}^{\infty} \chi^{\prime}(t) d t=1$ is

$$
\chi(t):= \begin{cases}0, & t \leq M \\ \frac{1}{c} \int_{M}^{t} w(s) d s, & t>M\end{cases}
$$

where $w=\gamma^{\prime \prime} e^{-\gamma}$ and $c=\int_{M}^{\infty} w(s) d s$. Then

$$
\mathcal{A}(\varepsilon)=\frac{\pi}{\int_{M}^{\infty} \gamma^{\prime \prime} e^{-\gamma} d t}
$$

We now set

$$
\gamma(t):= \begin{cases}-\log (-\eta(t)), & t \leq M \\ -\delta \log (t-M+a)+b, & t>M\end{cases}
$$

where $a, b$ are chosen in such a way that $\gamma \in C^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, that is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=a(M)=\delta \frac{\eta(M)}{\eta^{\prime}(M)} \\
& b=b(M)=-\log (-\eta(M))+\delta \log a
\end{aligned}
$$

We set $\delta=\delta(M):=M^{-1 / 2}$, so that in particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{M \rightarrow \infty} a(M)=\infty \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can easily check that on $\{t \leq M\}$ by (7) $\gamma$ satisfies (9) and $\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{2} / \gamma^{\prime \prime} \leq$ 1. On $\{t>M\}$ we have $\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{2} / \gamma^{\prime \prime}=\delta$ and for sufficiently large $M$, since $-\delta \log (t-M+a)+t$ is increasing in $t$,

$$
\left(1-\frac{\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\gamma^{\prime \prime}}\right) e^{\gamma+t} \geq(1-\delta) e^{-\log (-\eta(M))+M} \geq 1
$$

Moreover,

$$
\int_{M}^{\infty} \gamma^{\prime \prime} e^{-\gamma} d t=\delta e^{-b} \int_{0}^{\infty}(t+a)^{\delta-2} d t=\frac{-\eta^{\prime}(M)}{1-\delta}
$$

and this tends to $1 / \mathrm{C}$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$. Finally, we note that, if $0<\widetilde{\varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\{|\zeta| \leq \widetilde{\varepsilon}\}}\left(1-|\bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi}^{2}\right) e^{\psi-2 \log |\zeta|} d \lambda & =\pi \int_{-2 \log \widetilde{\varepsilon}}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\gamma^{\prime \prime}}\right) e^{\gamma} d t \\
& =\pi(1-\delta) e^{b} \int_{-2 \log \widetilde{\varepsilon}-M}^{\infty}(t+a)^{-\delta} d t \\
& =\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

which ensures that $u=0$ on $\left\{z_{n}=0\right\}$. Defining the extension $F$ as in the proof of Theorem 3 gives the required result when $D=\{|\zeta|<1\}$.

If $D$ is arbitrary we set $G:=G_{D}(\cdot, 0), \alpha$ is defined as before, and we modify the definitions of $\widetilde{\varphi}, \psi$ to $\widetilde{\varphi}:=\varphi+2 G, \psi:=\gamma(-2 G)$. We have to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{\{|\zeta| \leq \varepsilon\}} \frac{\left(\chi^{\prime}(-2 \log |\zeta|)\right)^{2} e^{\gamma(-2 G)-2 G}}{4|\zeta|^{2}\left|G_{\bar{\zeta}}\right|^{2} \gamma^{\prime \prime}(-2 G)} d \lambda(\zeta) \leq \frac{C \pi}{\left(c_{D}(0)\right)^{2}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \sup _{\{|\zeta| \leq \varepsilon\}}|\zeta|^{2} e^{-2 G}=\frac{1}{\left(c_{D}(0)\right)^{2}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can write $G=\log |\zeta|+h$, where $h$ is a harmonic function. Then for some constant $A$ (independent of $\varepsilon$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
4|\zeta|^{2}\left|G_{\bar{\zeta}}\right|^{2}=\left|1+2 \bar{\zeta} h_{\bar{\zeta}}\right|^{2} \geq(1-A \varepsilon)^{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small.

With the notation $t=-2 \log |\zeta|$, we have $|2 G+t| \leq B$ for some constant $B$. We now modify the definition of $M$ to $M:=-2 \log \varepsilon-B$ and define $\gamma$ as before. Then for $t \geq-2 \log \varepsilon$ we have $-2 G \geq t-B \geq M$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma(-2 G) \leq \gamma(t-B) \leq \gamma(t)+\delta \log \frac{a+B}{a}  \tag{14}\\
& \gamma^{\prime \prime}(-2 G) \geq \gamma^{\prime \prime}(t+B) \geq\left(\frac{a-B}{a}\right)^{2} \gamma^{\prime \prime}(t) . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M+B}^{\infty} \gamma^{\prime \prime} e^{-\gamma} d t=\frac{-\eta^{\prime}(M)}{1-\delta}\left(\frac{a+B}{a}\right)^{\delta} \frac{a}{a-B / \eta^{\prime}(M)} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (12)-(16) with (10) we now get (11).
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Remark. After this paper was completed the optimal constant in the Suita conjecture and the Ohsawa-Takegoshi extension theorem was finally obtained in $[7]$ building up on the methods developed here. However, Chen's proof from [8] of the extension theorem without optimal constant, presented here in a slightly different form, is probably the simplest one so far.
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