Bond University Research Repository



Professional development: Whose responsibility should it be?

Kinash, Shelley

Published in: Educational Technology Solutions

Published: 15/03/2012

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication in Bond University research repository.

Recommended citation(APA): Kinash, S. (2012). Professional development: Whose responsibility should it be? *Educational Technology Solutions*, 47, 68-72.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

For more information, or if you believe that this document breaches copyright, please contact the Bond University research repository coordinator.

Bond University ePublications@bond

Learning and Teaching papers

Learning and Teaching

3-15-2012

Professional development: Whose responsibility should it be?

Shelley Kinash Bond University, shelley.kinash@gmail.com

Follow this and additional works at: http://epublications.bond.edu.au/tls Part of the <u>Teacher Education and Professional Development Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Kinash, Shelley, "Professional development: Whose responsibility should it be?" (2012). *Learning and Teaching papers*. Paper 35. http://epublications.bond.edu.au/tls/35

This Popular Press is brought to you by the Learning and Teaching at ePublications@bond. It has been accepted for inclusion in Learning and Teaching papers by an authorized administrator of ePublications@bond. For more information, please contact Bond University's Repository Coordinator.

Professional Development: Whose Responsibility Should It Be?

Shelley Kinash

Evidence is amassing to prove that teaching with technology can improve learning. According to research, education technology designed and optimised in keeping with learning principles leads to student advancement in knowledge, skills and attributes. Students who graduate from technology-infused classrooms have increased application, satisfaction and retention.

In education technology, there is acceleration of hardware, software, application and opportunity. Technology affords learning possibilities that were previously inconceivable. Learning analytics embedded into educational software means that modules, challenges and enhancement can be adaptively released only to students who need it when they need it. Through online technology, students can build upon and extend one another's thinking and writing while maintaining version control. Students can manipulate 3D models and experiment with systems. They can build engaging, multimedia portfolios that extend across courses and allow them to make authentic, respected contributions to the knowledge marketplace. Children are rediscovering the creativity and fun in learning through playing, designing and sharing educational games. The opportunities extend as far as the ideas and principled knowledge and application of the teachers.

When technologies were first brought into schools, there were fears that teachers would be made redundant. This proved not to be the case. Instead, it became increasingly obvious that when learning happens, there is always a skilled teacher on the other side or beside the computer. More now than ever, teachers require targeted education technology knowledge, skills and attributes in order to engage students in learning.

Teachers need to participate in and apply the outcomes of quality professional development

EDUCATION TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS 069

in order to engage the potentials of education technology for their learners. Herein lies the problem. Teacher participation in available professional development is not what it should be. Recent surveys show that a high percentage of teachers feel unprepared to use emerging technologies.

Why is there an education technology professional development problem? The problem is that the Australian teaching profession does not have a continuing education plan and agreement that clearly articulates requirements and responsibility for education technology training. Certification schemes and agreements to mandate and guarantee continuing education have not been finalised. Finally, there are no standards linking professional development and/or performance with pay increases.

Because education technology training is not seen as integral to teachers' professional progression, it is unclear who should be taking responsibility for overseeing the quality of professional development (PD). In many cases, the training is not hitting the mark. Much of the PD is sales by stealth. The workshops are often delivered by vendors, whose main intent is to sell devices and/or licenses. Training focuses on how to navigate and access the features of the product rather than how to apply the technologies within and beyond the classroom to improve learning. Once teachers have made the effort and dedicated the time to professional development and left disappointed, uninspired and frustrated, they are understandably unlikely to return.

There are three domains in which there must be perceived gain if education technology PD is to attract and sustain engagement: Power, face and money. Successful PD must be perceived to enhance teacher power. Applied power means that the teachers are able to capitalise on the affordances of technology to enhance learning. Further, power means that teachers are able to garner respect and sustain motivation of digital-native learners. The second factor, face, is critical. Teachers must save face within the classroom and within the actual training. Teachers report feeling safer keeping technology out of the classroom and thereby avoiding the risk of looking foolish when they cannot operate what they have brought in. Further, many a teacher worries that he or she will be the only workshop participant who won't be able to keep up at the training session. The third preventative factor is money. A common lament is that there is not enough money dedicated to quality professional development. Teachers in some school systems report that professional development costs rather than makes them money. Training costs relief days that might have been used for other purposes. Charges for parking, petrol, accommodation and even registration are often covered by the teachers themselves. Electing to take university subjects online or in the evening, on-campus means registration fees and associated costs such as for babysitting. These costs are most frequently the responsibility of the teacher.

The issue of money segues to presentation of the next challenge in getting teachers to engage in education technology PD. Not only does PD cost teachers money, continuing education is not clearly linked to remuneration, as in many other professional careers. Teachers are expected to participate in continuing education for altruistic or virtuous motivations rather than explicit and concrete career pathways and remuneration grids. The culture of teacher professional development is implicit, optional and under-valued.

The final barrier to teacher PD is lack of clearly articulated responsibility for infrastructure. In order for teachers to close the loop on training, or in other words, bring the training into the classroom to enhance student learning, the technology has to work. Teachers and students need to have appropriate access to the hardware and software.





Upskill in IT@UTS

- > Innovate and drive change in your school
- > Understand how to better integrate technology in your teaching
- > Help your students to learn in the fast-paced and ever changing world of IT
- > Challenge yourself and develop you career

HOW?

PORNOLOGI FORMOLOGI

Phone:

Email:

02 9514 2666

it@uts.edu.au

Enrol in an IT course at UTS. Available courses range from short courses, single subjects, graduate certificates, right up to complete masters programs.

Areas of interest include, networking (CCNA), various programming languages, multimedia, web development and much more.

Postgraduate information evenings are run regularly throughout the year so please enquire about dates or visit our website.

For more information contact the Faculty of Engineering and IT Outreach Office.

it.uts.edu.au

EDUCATION TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS 071

There have to be enough funded licenses. WiFi has to work and firewalls cannot block necessary curricular or process-related materials and functions. This is often not the case. Once teachers get excited about bringing their technology learning back to their students, we cannot risk truncating their efforts and engagement by unsupportive infrastructure.

In summary, the four key challenges to teacher PD in pedagogical use of learning technologies all stem from unarticulated responsibility agreements. First, there is no standard for quality of PD. Second, teachers are expected to participate on the basis of altruism, because there are inadequate rewards in terms of power, face and money. Third, the culture and system does not support teacher continuing education. Fourth, there are not infrastructure standards that allow teachers to implement their training.

The solution to the combined responsibility is problems of PD multifaceted. First, there must be a systemic focus on PD quality. Technology training cannot be considered good enough. PD must walk the talk and provide a quality process to inspire teachers and show them how to use the technologies in their classrooms to inspire their students' learning. Second, in a process most commonly known as work integrated learning, teachers must have access to embedded PD in which they are supported to implement their learning in their classrooms. Human supports situated within or circulating between schools ensure that teachers close the loop on PD. One of the key means of supporting ongoing application, and thereby the third recommendation, is to apply a cohort model for continuing engagement. Do not send a single teacher to apply a new technology in isolation. A small group of teachers will inspire one another, provide a collegial base to pose and answer questions. sustain innovation and champion change across the school. Finally, PD for learning technologies must be conducted in accordance with a strategic plan. This domain should be included in national certification agreements. The strategic plan will not only document which teachers will engage in what PD (while allowing flexibility to suit school contexts), but also how the principal and other school leaders, the technology team, librarians and students will collaborate for teacher PD for enhanced learning.

Shelley Kinash, PhD is the Director of Quality, Teaching and Learning at Bond University on the Gold Coast, Australia. She can be contacted at skinash@bond.edu.au or http://works.bepress.com/shelley_kinash



EdvalDaily EdvalStaff WebChoice EdvalPTN EdvalRollCall

edval solves timetable puzzles

Innovative

Edval has transformed timetabling at Sydney Girls High. The speed which complex staffing problems could be solved by Edval is a key feature.

Noel Thomsen, Deputy Principal, Sydney Girls High School

Edval is changing the way we think about our work.

Andrew FitzSimons, Principal, Dapto High School

Edval re-did our lines, showing we could cut two whole classes, yet grant more preferences to students. Amazing!

Robert Aerlic, Timetabler, Matraville Sports High School

Being new to timetabling, with just two days of quality training, I did the timetable in record time, using Edval. Perfect from day one, it was better than past years.

Chris Pendergast, Head Teacher, Erskine Park High School

Impressive

As a very large Victorian State school, we have always had the need for quality timetabling and resource management software.

Doncaster SC has progressed over many years through several timetabling systems. Each step has led to better use of resources, and better quality solutions. We have found Edval to be the most suited to our needs - as it has demonstrated to have the best elective line processing algorithms and resource considerations, appears to be the most integrated with other systems like VASS, and is very easy to use.

The staff here love it as they now do their own room bookings, and can even book into rooms which are only vacant on an ad-hoc basis due to excursions, which maximises occupancy rates of our specialist rooms.

The daily management of covers is excellent, and it is so easy to find staff as Edval's system is very accessible to everyone in the school. We are very pleased with Edval, and would happily recommend it to others.

Mr Jeff Pavlou, Assistant Principal, Doncaster Secondary College VIC

www.edval.com.au www.parent-interviews.com time

time@edval.com.au



Sydney (02) 8003 4085 Melbourne (03) 9016 3640 Adelaide (08) 8121 4206