Bond University Research Repository



A profile of health, lifestyle and training habits of 4720 Australian recreational runners-The case for promoting running for health benefits

Kozlovskaia, Maria; Vlahovich, Nicole; Rathbone, Evelyne; Manzanero, Silvia; Keogh, Justin; Hughes, David C.

Published in: Health Promotion Journal of Australia

DOI: 10.1002/hpja.30

Published: 01/04/2019

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Link to publication in Bond University research repository.

Recommended citation(APA):

Kozlovskaia, M., Vlahovich, N., Rathbone, E., Manzanero, S., Keogh, J., & Hughes, D. C. (2019). A profile of health, lifestyle and training habits of 4720 Australian recreational runners-The case for promoting running for health benefits. *Health Promotion Journal of Australia*, *30*(2), 172-179. https://doi.org/10.1002/hpja.30

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

For more information, or if you believe that this document breaches copyright, please contact the Bond University research repository coordinator.

Significant weight loss and health benefits associated with running: a cross-sectional study of 4720

Australian recreational runners.

Ms Maria Kozlovskaia^{1,2}, Dr Nicole Vlahovich², Ms Evelyne Rathbone¹, Dr Silvia Manzanero², Dr Justin

Keogh¹, Dr David C. Hughes².

1. Bond University, Faculty of Health Sciences and Medicine, 14 University Drive, Robina, QLD

4226

2. Australian Institute of Sport, Department of Sports Medicine, 1 Leverrier Street, Bruce, ACT

A profile of health and training habits of Australian recreational runners – the case for promoting recreational running for health benefits.

Abstract

Issue addressed: The aim of the study was to characterise lifestyle and training habits of a large cohort of Australian recreational runners. Understanding the health benefits of recreational running and differentiating between the habits of males and females may allow for the development of gender specific messaging for promoting recreational running as a form of physical activity.

Methods: An online questionnaire was used to collect data from 4720 Australian recreational runners. Data on physical, lifestyle and training characteristics of male and female subgroups were compared using Chi-square tests. Multiple logistic regression method was used to assess the effect of running experience on the reported clinically significant weight loss.

Results: The study cohort was 54.1% female and 45.9% male. The majority (72.9%) of runners had normal BMI and the cohort had significantly lower overweight/obesity rate than the Australian population. The logistic regression model indicated that commencing running may lead to a clinically significant weight loss irrespectively of sex, participation in other sports and injury history. Smoking was uncommon among surveyed runners. The most typical weekly running distance in the cohort was 20–40 km, usually distributed by 2–5 running sessions. Significantly more males than females reported running over 40 km per week and running more sessions.

Conclusion: Recreational running was associated with beneficial health outcomes. Commencement of running is associated with weight loss and regular running supports healthy weight maintenance. Male and female runners had different running preferences which should be taken into account for physical activity promotion.

Key words: Running, Running habits, Weight loss, Health, Body mass index

1 **1. Introduction**

2 According to the World Health Organisation (WHO) the leading global risk factors for mortality are 3 hypertension (responsible for 13% of deaths globally), tobacco use (9%), hyperglycaemia (6%), 4 physical inactivity (6%), and overweight and obesity (5%).(1) Approximately 31% of the burden of 5 disease in Australia could be prevented by reducing exposure to modifiable risk factors such as 6 tobacco use, harmful alcohol use, high body mass, physical inactivity and hypertension.(2) Clinically 7 significant weight loss of \geq 5kg or 5% of baseline body weight was shown to be associated with health 8 benefits and reduced risks of cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes mellitus and hypertension.(3-5) 9 Health benefits of physical activity include decreased risks of chronic conditions including type 2 10 diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease and depression. Physical inactivity causes 6-10% of the 11 burden of disease worldwide and 9% of premature mortality.(6-8) Promotion of physical activity by 12 general practitioners could help to address this issue, however, only one-fifth of Australians reported 13 receiving such recommendations, suggesting that strategies to encourage exercise prescription by a 14 variety of health professionals require consideration.(9)

15 The WHO Physical Activity Guidelines recommend 150 minutes of moderate-intensity aerobic physical 16 activity or 75 minutes of vigorous-intensity physical activity throughout the week, based on strong 17 evidence of health benefits and reductions upon mortality rates.(10) However, according to the report 18 from the National Health Survey conducted in 2014–2015, only 57.7% of Australian men and 53.3% of 19 Australian women met the WHO Physical Activity Guidelines.(11) Recreational running, which may 20 also be referred to as jogging, is one of the most common physical activities worldwide, with regular 21 running consistently shown to reduce all-cause and cardiovascular mortality risks.(12-16) In Australia, 22 the rate of participation in running increased from 4.3% in 2005–06, to 7.4% in 2013–14.(17) Recent 23 data have indicated that current participation levels in athletics, including running, in the Australian 24 population may be as high as 15.8%.(18) Running provides a low-cost option for increasing physical 25 activity, without the restrictions of specific equipment or costs of sports club membership. Furthering 26 the understanding of the running habits and wider health characteristics of male and female

27 recreational runners may assist in the development of sex specific messaging to promote the health
28 benefits of recreational running as a form of physical activity.

The aim of this study was firstly, to describe the health and lifestyle characteristics of Australian recreational runners and compare body mass index (BMI) to the Australian general population, and secondly to examine the similarities and differences in training habits of male and female runners. It was hypothesised that recreational runners would display characteristics of a healthy lifestyle including participation in physical activity, maintaining a healthy BMI and having low rates of chronic disease and smoking.

35 **2. Methods**

36 2.1. Study design

37 The Australian Institute of Sport (AIS) Running Injury Study was a cross-sectional retrospective study 38 of self-described recreational runners. Participants were self-selected for inclusion into the study with 39 inclusion criteria being age over 18 years and recreational running practice of more than 15 km per 40 week. This self-reported, retrospective questionnaire, delivered using Internet software (SurveyGizmo), was previously demonstrated to provide stable and reliable data.(19) This survey 41 42 contained questions covering demographic characteristics, running habits, injury history (e.g. 43 injured/uninjured in prior two years and details about particular injuries), dietary habits (e.g. nutritional requirements and dietary supplements), and female health (for female participants e.g. 44 45 menstrual cycle), The full questionnaire tool was published by Domaschenz et al.(19) Data relating to 46 dietary habits and female health were not related to the current hypothesis and are not reported 47 here. The study was approved by the Bond University Human Research Ethics Committee (approval 48 RO1688B).

49 2.2. Data Collection

50 Study participants in the AIS Running Injury Study were recruited through social media, at running 51 events, and via promotion through a range of online websites and traditional media sources. The 52 enrolment period spanned September 2014 until October 2016. All respondents provided informed

consent to participate and provided personal data after accepting the conditions of the study on the
first page of the online questionnaire.

Participants' BMI was calculated from the responses to weight (kg) and height (cm) and categorised as underweight (16-18.5 kg/m²), normal (18.5 to <25 kg/m²), overweight (25 to <30 kg/m²), category I of obesity (30 to <35 kg/m²), category II of obesity (35 to <40 kg/m²) and category III of obesity (\geq 40 kg/m²).

59 2.3. Statistical Analyses

60 Statistical analysis was conducted in IBM SPSS Statistics version 24 (SPSS, Inc.). All numerical variables 61 were checked for normality using Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Q-Q plots, which indicated that the data 62 were not normally distributed. Median, minimum and maximum values and interguartile range (IQR) 63 were calculated for physical characteristics (age, height, weight, BMI) and independently presented 64 for the entire cohort and male and female subgroups. Mann-Whitney U test was performed to 65 compare the distributions of these continuous variables between male and female subgroups and 66 showed a significant difference (p<0.001) across all four variables. There were no missing data for these variables. Logarithmic transformation was attempted to correct skewness, however, the 67 68 majority of the variables remained skewed after the transformation. Therefore, BMI and age were 69 categorised and subsequent analyses were performed using only categorical variables.

Categorical variables describing running habits and health conditions were summarised using counts and percentages. Male and female subgroups were compared for all categorical variables using Chisquare test. Not available (NA) data were presented for each categorical variable and comprised less than 0.5%. BMI data of recreational runners were compared to published health data from the Australian general population (18-74 years of age) which had been collected in the same way.

Multiple logistic regression was performed to assess the effect of running experience on the reported clinically significant weight loss (\geq 5kg), after adjusting for sex, age, BMI, participation in other sports, smoking history and injury history. Whilst weekly running distance and race pace were both independently strongly associated (*p*<0.001) with reported weight loss in univariable analyses, they 79 were not used as predictors in the model due to strong association with running experience and sex respectively. To avoid multicollinearity, only predictors of interest that were not strongly associated 80 81 with each other were selected in the model. Results of the multivariable analysis are presented as adjusted odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals and p-values. A Hosmer and Lemeshow test 82 indicated that the model fit was acceptable (χ_8^2 =11.69, p=0.17). Statistical significance was set at 83 84 *p*<0.05.

85 3. Results

86 Data from 5250 respondents who described themselves as recreational runners were collected over the 25-month period of recruitment. After duplicate (n=272), nonsense (n=4) and incomplete (n=35) 87 responses were removed, 4939 responses remained. As a weekly running distance of greater than 15 88 89 km was stated as an inclusion criterion for participation in the survey, data from 219 runners who 90 reported less than this distance were removed, resulting in 4720 responses included in the analysis. 91 All respondents were 18 years of age or over. The study cohort was 54.1% female and 45.9% male 92 (Table 1). A summary of training characteristics is displayed in Table 2. Frequencies of the reported 93 lifetime chronic conditions are shown in Table 3. Summary statistics for BMI groups and logistic 94 regression analysis of clinically significant weight loss are displayed in Tables 4 and 5.

95

3.1. Running habits

96 The training characteristics of respondents are described in Table 2. The most common weekly running 97 distance was 20–40 km (45.8%) among the entire cohort, with very similar rates in male and female runners. However, males were more likely than females to run distances more than 40 km per week 98 (χ_1^2 =77.6, *p*<0.001), whereas females were more likely than males to run less than 20 km per week 99 $(\chi_1^2$ =65.5, p<0.001). The most common category of respondents were those with over ten years of 100 101 running experience (37.8%), with significantly more males than females within this experienced group (χ_1^2 =71.3, p<0.001). The majority of respondents stated that they typically ran between two and five 102 103 sessions per week. It was however observed that males were significantly more likely to run six or more times per week than females (χ_1^2 =33.3, p<0.001). The typical race pace of a male runner was 104

reported as 4–5 min/km, whereas female runners reported 5–6 min/km. The majority of respondents participated in other sports in addition to running. Significantly more female than male runners reported participation in sports other than running (χ_1^2 =63.8, *p*<0.001). Almost a half of recreational runners reported injuries that occurred while running in the past two years, with significantly higher rates in males than in females (χ_1^2 =7.7, *p*=0.003).

110 **3.2. Smoking habits**

Smoking was uncommon among surveyed runners, with 0.6% reporting that they were current smokers and a further 25.8% of runners reporting that they had smoked at any time in their life. Reported smoking experience was not significantly associated with sex (24.7% versus 26.7%; χ_1^2 =2.1, p=0.1).

115 **3.3. Chronic conditions**

116 The survey included questions about 18 lifetime diagnoses of chronic conditions (Table 3). The most common reported diagnosis was depression (15.3%) with significantly higher reported depression 117 rates among females than males (18.9% versus 11.1%; χ_1^2 =55.7, p<0.001). The second most common 118 119 diagnosis was respiratory conditions (11.7%), which was significantly higher in females than males (13% versus 10.2%; χ_1^2 =9.0, p=0.002). Although anaemia was the third most common diagnosis (10%), 120 this was mainly reported by females (17.1% versus 1.6%, χ_1^2 =315.8, p<0.001). A lifetime diagnosis of 121 122 hypertension was reported by 290 runners (6.1%). Hypertension was the third most common diagnosis for males, accounting for 7.8%, with a significantly lower reported rate in females - 4.8% 123 $(\chi_1^2 = 18.1, p < 0.001).$ 124

125 3.4. Body mass index and weight loss

Respondents were grouped by their BMI in accordance with the World Health Organisation guidelines (Table 4).(20) The majority of runners were in the normal weight category with a BMI between 18.5 kg/m² and 25 kg/m² (72.9%). Of the remainder, 2.6% of runners were underweight (16 to 18.5 kg/m²), 21.8% were overweight (25 to <30 kg/m²) and 2.7% were obese (\geq 30 kg/m²). There were no participants in the cohort that were classified as severely underweight (<16 kg/m²). When levels of 131 obesity were categorised, 127 runners were divided into 3 subgroups: category I of obesity (n=111), category II (n=14) and category III (n=2), accounting for approximately 2.35%, 0.30% and 0.04% 132 133 respectively of the entire sample. Due to these low numbers in severe and very severe categories, it 134 was decided to keep a general 'obese' group for analysis. Significantly more women than men were in the underweight and normal weight categories (χ_1^2 =50.6, p<0.001; χ_1^2 =66.8, p<0.001 respectively), 135 whereas significantly more men than women were overweight (χ_1^2 =136.3, p<0.001). Nevertheless, 136 137 proportions of obese male and female runners were almost equal. The BMI distribution data of surveyed runners were compared to BMI data in the Australian population collected in the 2014-15 138 139 National Health Survey published by the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) (Figure 1).(11)

140 Recreational runners were asked whether they had gained or lost a clinically significant amount of 141 weight (≥5kg) in the past two years. Clinically significant weight loss over the last two years was 142 reported by 27% of all respondents. Multiple logistic regression analysis (Table 5) showed that 143 clinically significant weight loss was more likely to be reported by younger runners, and overweight and obese runners. Runners with two or less years of running experience were three times more likely 144 145 to report clinically significant weight loss in the past two years than runners with over ten years of 146 running experience. However, sex, participation in other sports, and history of injuries in the past two 147 years did not have a statistically significant association with clinically significant weight loss. 148 Interestingly, smoking experience (smoking at any time in life) was associated with reported clinically 149 significant weight loss. The logistic regression results indicate that commencing a running program 150 may lead to a clinically significant weight loss irrespective of sex, participation in other sports and 151 injury in the previous two years.

152 4. Discussion

This study described one of the largest cohorts of recreational runners, analysing the medical and lifestyle characteristics of the participants and sex differences in training habits. In this study, we demonstrated that a large proportion of recreational runners avoided the majority of modifiable risk factors that contribute to the burden of disease. In the Australian population, the five strongest 157 contributors to the burden of disease in 2011 were tobacco use (9%), high body mass (5.5%), alcohol 158 use (5%), physical inactivity (5%) and hypertension (5%).(21) Data from the Australian Nutrition and 159 Physical Activity Survey showed that both sufficient physical activity level and reduced sitting time 160 were important factors for prevention of cardiovascular disease and metabolic syndrome.(22) This 161 study demonstrated that Australian recreational runners typically have a BMI in the normal range, are 162 meeting physical activity guidelines through recreational running and participation in other sports, 163 and have low levels of smoking. This cohort runs on average 20–40 km in greater than two sessions 164 per week, and 76.1% of respondents play additional sport, indicating that recreational runners are 165 likely to be meeting the recommended WHO Physical Activity Guidelines. Considering that 80% of 166 surveyed recreational runners have been running for at least three years, we can speculate that they 167 have managed to sustain a habit of regular physical activity at the recommended level for at least 168 three years.

169 Australian recreational runners self-reported a lower BMI than the general population. Additionally, a 170 weight loss of greater than five kilograms in the past two years was reported by approximately 40% 171 of runners with less than two years of experience. Physical activity is a key component in the 172 multidisciplinary approach of effective weight loss programs, and is especially important when 173 preventing continued weight gain or maintaining lower weight.(23) Indeed, endurance running has been shown to be beneficial to physically inactive adults leading to body mass and body fat reduction, 174 175 with a systematic review concluding that one year of running training was effective in reducing body 176 mass by 3.3 kg.(24) Several systematic reviews have shown that aerobic exercise, such as running, 177 significantly contributes to weight loss, with strong evidence that this type of activity is effective in 178 reducing visceral fat.(25, 26) Additionally there is a dose-response relationship between aerobic 179 exercise and visceral fat reduction in obese participants, indicating that an activity such as recreational 180 running could be effective in improving health via a reduction of visceral fat.(27) A systematic review indicated that risks of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular mortality were lower in people with high 181 182 BMI and good aerobic fitness than in people with normal BMI and poor fitness. However, aerobically

fit people with high BMI were still at a greater risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular disease.(28) In an Australian population it has been shown that walking is the most common type of physically activity recommended to patients by their doctor.(29, 30) Our results, taken together with previous findings indicate that recreational running could be promoted by general practitioners as an effective mechanism for building aerobic fitness and maintaining a healthy body weight.

188 Only one quarter of recreational runners surveyed reported smoking at any time during their life and 189 0.6% were current smokers. These rates were substantially lower than those reported by the 190 Australian Bureau of Statistics, which showed that 14.5% of adult Australians were daily smokers, 1.5% 191 smoked less often than daily and about one third (31.4%) were ex-smokers.(11) A systematic review 192 of co-occurrence of smoking and physical activity showed negative association in 20 studies on adults 193 in several European countries, Japan and Australia and 13 studies with nonsignificant, mixed or 194 positive association, indicating possible complex relationships between smoking and physical activity 195 due to race, income level and other factors.(31) Hence, the very small proportion of runners currently 196 smoking could reflect their overall healthy lifestyle as well as the positive effects of individual exercise 197 bouts in reducing cravings for smoking.

Depression was the most common life-time diagnosis reported by recreational runners (15.3%). Affective disorders, which comprise all levels and severity of depressive disorders and bipolar disorder, accounted for 15% of life-time prevalence in Australian population.(32) The depression prevalence in the Australian recreational running population is largely the same as in the general population.

Hypertension is a significant risk factor for chronic diseases including stroke, coronary heart disease, heart failure and chronic kidney disease and is identified as the leading global risk factor for mortality.(1) Based on measured data from the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, 32% of Australians aged 18 and over have hypertension.(33) However, only 6.1% of Australian recreational runners surveyed self-reported that they had been diagnosed with hypertension. The reduced levels suggest that recreational running is associated with lower rates of hypertension as a risk factor for burden of disease.

Running, as a form of physical activity, has consistently been shown to provide a range of health benefits, including reducing the overall risk of cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality.(12, 13, 15, 34) More importantly, the clustering of various healthy behaviours has been shown to be inversely related to the risk of all-cause mortality, with four or more healthy behaviours reducing mortality risk by 66%.(35) Here we demonstrated that a large proportion of Australian recreational runners displayed healthy behaviours including meeting physical activity guidelines, avoidance of overweight or obesity and reduced smoking.

216 We suggest that recreational running could be promoted as a low-cost option for adhering to physical 217 activity guidelines. Marketing of recreational running through mass participation events, for example 218 parkrun, has been considered as a public health intervention. (36, 37) However, there is a risk of 219 sustaining an injury during participation in recreational running, with 49% of participants in this study 220 reporting a running injury over the preceding two years. This potential injury risk must be taken into 221 account when advising participation in recreational running. Additionally, commencement of a 222 running program for individuals with musculoskeletal injuries of the lower body should be supervised 223 by a qualified medical practitioner. The current study demonstrated that there are differences in male 224 and female preferences to consider when aiming to encourage people to begin a running program. 225 We show here that female runners were more likely to report shorter weekly distances while running 226 a similar number of sessions as male runners. This study did not investigate the motivations for 227 participation in recreational running, however several studies have demonstrated that the 228 motivations of males and females, in relation to participation in physical activity, differ in a number of 229 ways.(38-40) An Australian study demonstrated that, while both males and females are motivated by 230 general health and maintenance of fitness, women often cite weight loss/appearance and mental 231 health as motivating factors for increasing their physical activity levels while men participate for social 232 reasons and enjoyment.(18) Both motivational factors and running habits should be taken into 233 account when marketing recreational running for health benefits or encouraging participation.

234 While the self-report nature of data collection could introduce bias and error, the survey tool has been 235 shown to be reliable and questions did not require respondents to recall long-term details of running 236 habits or injuries. (19) The term of recall for injuries and running habits was limited to the two years 237 preceding survey response, as it has been show that retrospective data beyond this point is not 238 reliable. (41, 42) A further study limitation may have been sampling bias with higher proportion of 239 female runners and middle-aged runners in the studied cohort in comparison with a demographic 240 data of physically active Australian adults.(17) Lastly, absence of data from non-runners or those who 241 may be interested in taking up recreational running precludes extrapolation of findings to non-242 runners.

243 **5. Conclusion**

Recreational running is associated with benefits across a range of measurable health outcomes. A high proportion of the Australian recreational runners who participated in this study had a body mass index within the healthy weight range, seemed to be meeting the WHO Physical Activity Guidelines each week for many years and were non-smokers. Additionally, our results indicate that taking up running is associated with weight loss and weight remains stable if individuals persist with running. Male and female runners reported different running preferences and these should be taken into account when promoting recreational running or encouraging participation.

251 Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr Renae Domaschenz and Prof Maria Fiatarone-Singh for their contribution to the development of the questionnaire used in this study. Associate Professor Kevin Ashton and Dr Paul Leo are acknowledged in for their roles as supervisors of MK. The authors also thank the Collaborative Research Network for Advancing Exercise and Sports Science Scientific Committee members who contributed to this work: Professor Nuala Byrne (Bond University), Professor Matthew A Brown (Queensland University of Technology) and Professor Maria A. Fiatarone-Singh (University of Sydney).

258 Authors' contributions

MK participated in the study design, data collection, performed data analysis and drafted the manuscript; NV participated in the study design, data collection, helped to draft the manuscript; ER helped with data analysis and revised the draft of the manuscript; SM participated in the data collection and revised the draft of the manuscript; JK participated in the study design and data collection and revised the draft of the manuscript. DCH participated in the study design, helped to draft the manuscript and then revised the draft of the manuscript.

- All authors read and approved final version of the paper and agreed with the order of presentation ofthe authors.
- 267 None of the authors declare competing financial interests.

268 Funding Source

269 This work was supported by the Collaborative Research Network for Advancing Exercise and Sports

270 Science (CRN-AESS; MK, NV, JK, SM and DCH).

271 References

- 1.World Health Organization. Global health risks: mortality and burden of disease attributable to
 selected major risks: World Health Organization; 2009.
- 274 2.Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Australia's health 2016. In: AIHW, editor. Canberra 2016.
- 3.Neter JE, Stam BE, Kok FJ, Grobbee DE, Geleijnse JM. Influence of weight reduction on blood
 pressure. Hypertension. 2003;42(5):878-84.
- 4.Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with
 lifestyle intervention or metformin. N Engl j Med. 2002;2002(346):393-403.
- 5.Look AHEAD Research Group. Long term effects of a lifestyle intervention on weight and
 cardiovascular risk factors in individuals with type 2 diabetes: four year results of the Look AHEAD trial.
 Archives of internal medicine. 2010;170(17):1566.
- 6.Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee. Physical activity guidelines advisory committee
 report, 2008. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services. 2008;2008:A1-H14.
- 284 7.Lee I-M, Shiroma EJ, Lobelo F, Puska P, Blair SN, Katzmarzyk PT, et al. Effect of physical inactivity on
- major non-communicable diseases worldwide: an analysis of burden of disease and life expectancy.
 The lancet. 2012;380(9838):219-29.
- 8.Reiner M, Niermann C, Jekauc D, Woll A. Long-term health benefits of physical activity–a systematic
 review of longitudinal studies. BMC public health. 2013;13(1):813.
- 9.Short CE, Hayman M, Rebar AL, Gunn KM, De Cocker K, Duncan MJ, et al. Physical activity
 recommendations from general practitioners in Australia. Results from a national survey. Australian
 and New Zealand journal of public health. 2016;40(1):83-90.
- 292 10.World Health Organisation. Global recommendations on physical activity for health. 2010.
- 293 11.Australian Bureau of Statistics. National Health Survey. First results. Australia 2014-15. 2016.
- 294 12.Lee DC, Pate RR, Lavie CJ, Sui X, Church TS, Blair SN. Leisure-time running reduces all-cause and 295 cardiovascular mortality risk. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2014;64(5):472-81.
- 13.Oja P, Kelly P, Pedisic Z, Titze S, Bauman A, Foster C, et al. Associations of specific types of sports
 and exercise with all-cause and cardiovascular-disease mortality: a cohort study of 80 306 British
 adults. British Journal of Sports Medicine. 2016:bjsports-2016-096822.
- 14.Lee DC, Lavie CJ, Vedanthan R. Optimal Dose of Running for Longevity. Journal of the American
 College of Cardiology. 2015;65(5):420.
- 301 15.Schnohr P, O'Keefe JH, Marott JL, Lange P, Jensen GB. Dose of jogging and long-term mortality: the
 302 Copenhagen City Heart Study. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2015;65(5):411-9.
- 16.Hulteen RM, Smith JJ, Morgan PJ, Barnett LM, Hallal PC, Colyvas K, et al. Global participation in
- sport and leisure-time physical activities: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Preventive medicine.
 2017;95:14-25.
- 17.Australian Bureau of Statistics. Participation in Sport and Physical Recreation, Australia, 2013-14.
 In: Statistics ABo, editor. 2015.
- 18.Australian Sports Commission. AusPlay Participation data for the sport sector. In: Commission AS,editor. 2016.
- 19.Domaschenz R, Vlahovich N, Keogh J, Compton S, Hughes CD. Exercise-Induced Tendon and Bone
 Injury in Recreational Runners: A Test-Retest Reliability Study. JMIR Res Protoc. 2015;4(4):e117.
- 20.World Health Organization. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. World HealthOrganization; 2000.
- 314 21.Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Australian Burden of Disease Study: impact and causes
- 315 of illness and death in Australia 2011—summary report. Australian Burden of Disease Study 316 Series2016.
- 317 22.Engelen L, Gale J, Chau JY, Hardy LL, Mackey M, Johnson N, et al. Who is at risk of chronic disease?
- 318 Associations between risk profiles of physical activity, sitting and cardio-metabolic disease in
- Australian adults. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health. 2016.

320 23.Söderlund A, Fischer A, Johansson T. Physical activity, diet and behaviour modification in the
 321 treatment of overweight and obese adults: a systematic review. Perspectives in public health.
 322 2009;129(3):132-42.

- 323 24.Hespanhol Junior LC, Pillay JD, van Mechelen W, Verhagen E. Meta-analyses of the effects of
- habitual running on indices of health in physically inactive adults. Sports Medicine. 2015;45(10):1455-68.
- 25.Ismail I, Keating S, Baker M, Johnson N. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the effect of aerobic vs. resistance exercise training on visceral fat. Obesity reviews. 2012;13(1):68-91.
- 26.Thorogood A, Mottillo S, Shimony A, Filion KB, Joseph L, Genest J, et al. Isolated aerobic exercise
 and weight loss: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. The American
 journal of medicine. 2011;124(8):747-55.
- 331 27.Ohkawara K, Tanaka S, Miyachi M, Ishikawa-Takata K, Tabata I. A dose–response relation between
- aerobic exercise and visceral fat reduction: systematic review of clinical trials. International journal of
 obesity. 2007;31(12):1786-97.
- 28.Fogelholm M. Physical activity, fitness and fatness: relations to mortality, morbidity and disease
 risk factors. A systematic review. Obesity reviews. 2010;11(3):202-21.
- 29.Robertson R, Jepson R, Shepherd A, McInnes R. Recommendations by Queensland GPs to be more
- physically active: which patients were recommended which activities and what action they took.
 Australian and New Zealand journal of public health. 2011;35(6):537-42.
- 339 30.Porter S, Eccleston P, Vilshanskaya O. Moving patients towards a more active lifestyle: the GP
- Physical Activity Project in South Eastern Sydney Area Health Service. Health Promotion Journal ofAustralia. 2002;13(3):178-83.
- 342 31.Kaczynski AT, Manske SR, Mannell RC, Grewal K. Smoking and physical activity: a systematic review.
 343 American journal of health behavior. 2008;32(1):93-110.
- 344 32.Slade J, Teesson W, Burgess P. The mental health of Australians 2: report on the 2007 National
 345 Survey of Mental Health and Wellbeing. 2009.
- 346 33.Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. High blood pressure 2017 [Available from:
 347 <u>http://www.aihw.gov.au/risk-factors/high-blood-pressure/</u>.
- 348 34.Chakravarty EF, Hubert HB, Lingala VB, Fries JF. Reduced disability and mortality among aging 349 runners: a 21-year longitudinal study. Archives of internal medicine. 2008;168(15):1638-46.
- 350 35.Loef M, Walach H. The combined effects of healthy lifestyle behaviors on all cause mortality: a 351 systematic review and meta-analysis. Preventive medicine. 2012;55(3):163-70.
- 352 36.Stevinson C, Wiltshire G, Hickson M. Facilitating participation in health-enhancing physical activity:
- A qualitative study of parkrun. International journal of behavioral medicine. 2015;22(2):170-7.
- 354 37.Stevinson C, Hickson M. Exploring the public health potential of a mass community participation 355 event. Journal of Public Health. 2013:fdt082.
- 356 38.Stults-Kolehmainen MA, Ciccolo JT, Bartholomew JB, Seifert J, Portman RS. Age and gender-related 357 changes in exercise motivation among highly active individuals. Athletic Insight. 2013;5(1):45-63.
- 358 39.Louw A, Van Biljon A, Mugandani S. Exercise motivation and barriers among men and women of
- different age groups: sport psychology. African Journal for Physical Health Education, Recreation and
 Dance. 2012;18(Issue-4_1):759-68.
- 361 40.Lauderdale ME, Yli-Piipari S, Irwin CC, Layne TE. Gender differences regarding motivation for
- physical activity among college students: A self-determination approach. The Physical Educator.
 2015;72(1):153-72.
- 41.Gabbe BJ, Finch CF, Bennell KL, Wajswelner H. How valid is a self reported 12 month sports injury
 history? British journal of sports medicine. 2003;37(6):545-7.
- 42.Kolt GS, Kirkby RJ. Epidemiology of injury in elite and subelite female gymnasts: a comparison of
- retrospective and prospective findings. British Journal of Sports Medicine. 1999;33(5):312-8.
- 368

Table 1: Characteristics of participants.

| Characteristics | | All runners (<i>N</i> =4720) | ח (<i>n=</i> : | Vale runners 2165; 45.9%) | ו (ג | Female runners n=2555; 54.1%) |
|--|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Median (range) | IQR | Median (range) | IQR | Median (range) | IQR |
| Age (years) | 40 (18 - 80) | 33 – 47 | 42 (18 - 80) | 34 – 49 | 39 (18 – 77) | 32 – 46 |
| Weight (kg) | 68 (40 – 135) | 60 – 77 | 76 (45 – 135) | 70 – 83 | 61 (40 - 110) | 56 – 68 |
| Height (cm) | 172 (120 – 210) | 165 – 179 | 179 (152 – 210) | 175 – 183 | 166 (120 – 190) | 162 – 170 |
| Body Mass Index (BMI) (kg/m ²) | 23 (16 – 44.4) | 21.3 – 25 | 23.8 (16 – 40.8) | 22.3 – 25.6 | 22.2 (16.3 – 44.4) | 20.6 - 24.2 |

| Training characteristics | | | All runners | Male | runners | Female | runners |
|----------------------------------|------------|------|-------------------|------|---------|--------|---------|
| | | | (<i>N</i> =4720) | (| N=2165) | (| N=2555) |
| | | п | % | n | % | n | % |
| Weekly running distance** | <20 km | 1424 | 30.2 | 526 | 24.3 | 898 | 35.1 |
| | 20-40 km | 2164 | 45.8 | 991 | 45.8 | 1173 | 45.9 |
| | >40 km | 1132 | 24.0 | 648 | 29.9 | 484 | 18.9 |
| Running experience** | ≤2 years | 942 | 20.0 | 364 | 16.8 | 578 | 22.6 |
| | 3-5 years | 1267 | 26.8 | 542 | 25.0 | 725 | 28.4 |
| | 6-9 years | 722 | 15.1 | 297 | 13.7 | 425 | 16.6 |
| | 10+ years | 1783 | 37.8 | 958 | 44.2 | 825 | 32.3 |
| | NA | 6 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.1 |
| Run sessions per week** | 1 | 15 | 0.3 | 10 | 0.5 | 5 | 0.2 |
| | 2 or 3 | 2041 | 43.2 | 869 | 40.1 | 1172 | 45.9 |
| | 4 or 5 | 2226 | 47.5 | 1027 | 47.4 | 1199 | 46.9 |
| | 6+ | 422 | 8.9 | 250 | 11.5 | 172 | 6.7 |
| | NA | 16 | 0.3 | 9 | 0.4 | 7 | 0.3 |
| Race pace** | <4 min/km | 403 | 8.5 | 329 | 15.2 | 74 | 2.9 |
| | 4-5 min/km | 1591 | 33.7 | 1022 | 47.2 | 569 | 22.3 |
| | 5-6 min/km | 1819 | 38.5 | 633 | 29.2 | 1186 | 46.4 |
| | 6-7 min/km | 706 | 15.0 | 141 | 6.5 | 565 | 22.1 |
| | >7 min km | 189 | 4.0 | 34 | 1.6 | 155 | 6.1 |
| | NA | 12 | 0.3 | 6 | 0.3 | 6 | 0.2 |
| Participation in other sports** | Yes | 3590 | 76.1 | 1530 | 70.7 | 2060 | 80.6 |
| | No | 1113 | 23.6 | 629 | 29.1 | 484 | 18.9 |
| | NA | 17 | 0.4 | 6 | 0.2 | 11 | 0.5 |
| Reported injuries occurred while | Yes | 2315 | 49 | 1109 | 51.2 | 1206 | 47.2 |
| running in past two years* | No | 2405 | 51 | 1056 | 48.8 | 1349 | 52.8 |

373 Table 2: Training characteristics of Australian recreational running cohort.

374 NA – Not Available; * – statistically significant difference between males and females (*p*<0.05), **– statistically significant difference between males and females (*p*<0.001)

Table 3: Lifetime diagnoses of chronic conditions reported by recreational runners.

| Chronic conditions | All runn | ers (<i>N</i> =4720) | Male runner | rs (N=2165) | Female run | ners (<i>N</i> =2555) |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------------------|
| | n | % | n | % | n | % |
| Depression** | 724 | 15.3 | 240 | 11.1 | 484 | 18.9 |
| Respiratory conditions** | 554 | 11.7 | 221 | 10.2 | 333 | 13.0 |
| Anaemia** | 472 | 10.0 | 34 | 1.6 | 438 | 17.1 |
| Hypertension** | 290 | 6.1 | 168 | 7.8 | 122 | 4.8 |
| Skin disease* | 277 | 5.9 | 111 | 5.1 | 166 | 6.5 |
| Cancer | 238 | 5.0 | 108 | 5.0 | 130 | 5.1 |
| Insomnia** | 193 | 4.1 | 54 | 2.5 | 139 | 5.4 |
| Osteoarthritis | 184 | 3.9 | 74 | 3.4 | 110 | 4.3 |
| Gastrointestinal disease** | 186 | 3.9 | 56 | 2.6 | 130 | 5.1 |
| Cardiac conditions | 179 | 3.8 | 94 | 4.3 | 85 | 3.3 |
| Thyroid disease** | 180 | 3.8 | 30 | 1.4 | 150 | 5.9 |
| Neurological conditions | 88 | 1.9 | 37 | 1.7 | 51 | 2.0 |
| Diabetes | 68 | 1.4 | 30 | 1.4 | 38 | 1.5 |
| Rheumatoid arthritis | 54 | 1.1 | 19 | 0.9 | 35 | 1.4 |
| Osteoporosis* | 53 | 1.1 | 14 | 0.6 | 39 | 1.5 |
| Chronic renal failure | 11 | 0.2 | 7 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.2 |
| Cerebral palsy | 8 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.1 |
| Cystic fibrosis | 7 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.1 |

376 * – statistically significant difference between males and females (p<0.05),

**- statistically significant difference between males and females (*p*<0.001).

380 Table 4: Frequencies of body mass index categories.

| Body Mass Index | All (| runners N=4720) | Male (| runners N=2165) | Ferr | nale runners (N=2555) |
|--|----------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|------|--------------------------|
| | Ν | % | п | % | n | % |
| Underweight (<18.5 kg/m ²)* | 121 | 2.6 | 17 | 0.8 | 104 | 4.1 |
| Normal (18.5 to <25 kg/m²)* | 3443 | 72.9 | 1455 | 67.2 | 1988 | 77.8 |
| Overweight (25 to <30 kg/m ²)* | 1029 | 21.8 | 637 | 29.4 | 392 | 15.3 |
| Obese (≥30 kg/m²) | 127 | 2.7 | 56 | 2.6 | 71 | 2.8 |

381 *- statistically significant difference between males and females (p<0.001).

³⁷⁸

³⁷⁹

383 Table 5: Multiple logistic regression analysis results with adjusted odds ratio (OR) estimates for

384 the effects of runner characteristics on clinically significant weight loss (≥5kg).

| Variable | OR ¹ | 95% CI | P value |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Sex | | | |
| Female ² | 1.00 | | |
| Male | 1.04 | 0.90, 1.19 | 0.62 |
| Age group | | | |
| > 55 years ² | 1.00 | | |
| 35-55 years | 1.91 | 1.43, 2.54 | <0.001 |
| < 35 years | 2.20 | 1.62, 2.96 | <0.001 |
| BMI group | | | |
| Normal ² | 1.00 | | |
| Underweight | 0.69 | 0.42, 1.13 | 0.14 |
| Overweight | 1.96 | 1.68, 2.29 | <0.001 |
| Obese | 2.51 | 1.76, 3.58 | <0.001 |
| Running experience | | | |
| ≥ 10 years ² | 1.00 | | |
| 6-9 years | 1.22 | 0.98, 1.52 | 0.07 |
| 3-5 years | 1.63 | 1.36, 1.94 | <0.001 |
| ≤ 2 years | 3.15 | 2.63, 3.78 | <0.001 |
| Participation in other sports | | | |
| No ² | 1.00 | | |
| Yes | 0.99 | 0.85, 1.16 | 0.92 |
| Injury occurrence | | | |
| No ² | 1.00 | | |
| Yes | 1.02 | 0.89, 1.69 | 0.77 |
| Smoking history | | | |
| No ² | 1.00 | | |
| Yes | 1.34 | 1.15, 1.56 | <0.001 |
| Cl – Confidence Interval Adjusted for the other variables ir | the table; | ² Reference catego | ory |

389

388

385 386 387

- 390
- 391

Figure 1. Comparison of BMI group percentages between Australian surveyed male (Figure 1a) and female (Figure 1b) runners and Australian population surveyed by Australian Bureau of Statistics of

different age groups.