Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: A narrative review to inform dietetics practice

Marx, Wolfgang; Kiss, Nicole; McCarthy, Alexandra L.; McKavanagh, Dan; Isenring, Liz

Published in:
Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics

DOI:
10.1016/j.jand.2015.10.020

Published: 01/05/2016

Document Version:
Peer reviewed version

Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: an overview to inform dietetic practice

Abstract

Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV) are common nutrition-impact symptoms experienced by cancer patients. They exert a detrimental effect on dietary intake, risk of malnutrition and quality of life. While CINV are primarily managed with medication, dietitians play an important role in the management of CINV-related complications such as reduced dietary intake. This review discusses the burden of nausea and vomiting which cancer patients can experience, including its effect on quality of life, nutrition status, and treatment outcomes. Implications for dietetic practice include the need to explore the nature of reported symptoms, identify predisposing risk factors, and to consider the use of a variety of interventions that are individualised to the patient's symptoms. There are little clinical data regarding effective dietetic interventions for nausea and vomiting. In summary, this review discusses dietetic-related issues surrounding CINV including the pathophysiology, risk factors, prevalence, and both pharmacological and dietetic treatment options.

Introduction

There are multiple chemotherapy agents that can induce nausea and vomiting. However, with the advent of modern anti-emetics, there has been a significant reduction in the prevalence of vomiting, with a current estimated incidence of less than 20%.[1, 2] Efforts to control nausea in this setting have been less effective, with up to 60% of patients reporting nausea despite the use of anti-emetic medication.[1] Consequently, nausea remains one of the most distressing side effects experienced by cancer patients, while vomiting is now of less concern.[3-5] In addition, research has consistently associated chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV) with adverse effects on dietary intake, risk of malnutrition and quality of life (QoL).[6, 7]

Dietitians routinely consult with cancer patients experiencing CINV and related symptoms. The aim of this manuscript is to inform dietetic practice by providing a general overview of CINV, as well as CINV-specific issues related to clinical nutrition. These include the pathophysiology, and management options for CINV, including current medications and potential dietetic treatment options.

Methods

A literature search was undertaken between January and July 2015 using the following databases: Medline, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature, and the Cochrane Library. Search terms were not limited by timeframe; instead, all searches were from the date of each database's inception until July 2015.The bibliographies of relevant articles were scanned to identify additional articles of interest. The evidence-based guidelines of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics, Dietetics Association of Australia and the Practice-based Evidence in Nutrition Knowledge Pathway were reviewed for additional

references. The following search terms were used: (Chemotherapy AND (nausea OR vomiting OR CINV)) AND ((Risk factors OR prognostic OR predictor) OR (Mechanism OR pathophysiology OR physiopathology) OR (Nutrition OR malnutrition OR weight) OR "Quality of life" OR guidelines OR ginger OR protein OR (CAM OR Complementary OR Alternative)). Only studies published in English with human subjects were included. The results of this search strategy are detailed in Figure 1 and include the following citations:[1-67]. The results of the literature search were sorted based on the headings included in this review and were used to inform the discussion of each topic.

Defining chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting

CINV is a collective term used to describe the presentation of nausea, vomiting or a combination of both symptoms associated with the administration of cytotoxic chemotherapy. While nausea and vomiting are related concepts, they involve distinct physiological mechanisms and are therefore defined separately in Table 1.[68]

Nausea is a subjective sensation of discomfort, typically associated with the epigastrium, which might result in vomiting. Due to this subjective nature, the sensation, location, duration and intensity of nausea reported by patients can vary.[30] In addition, multiple nutrition impact symptoms interlink with nausea such as appetite loss, lack of energy, taste changes and pain.[31] Hence, if a patient experiences nausea, it is prudent to investigate the individual's sensations in order to effectively target treatment towards those symptoms.

CINV is further classified as *acute*, *delayed*, *anticipatory*, *breakthrough*, and *refractory*. Exact definitions of *acute CINV* vary but it is generally considered to be nausea and/or vomiting that occurs within 24 hours of chemotherapy administration.[32] *Delayed CINV* is defined as nausea and/or vomiting that occurs after the first 24 hours post-chemotherapy.[68]

While this distinction might appear arbitrary, research suggests that differing physiological processes are involved in the acute phase when compared to the delayed phase.[69]

Anticipatory CINV is a conditioned response that occurs after previous cycles of chemotherapy in which nausea and/or vomiting were not adequately controlled. The current understanding of anticipatory CINV is explained in Pavlovian terms. According to this framework, a neutral stimulus (e.g. the smell of the hospital, the sight of treating staff) is coupled with an unconditioned response (CINV), caused by the unconditioned stimuli (chemotherapy). Once this occurs, a conditioned response develops wherein the formerly neutral stimulus elicits the same response as the unconditioned stimulus.[33] While a conditioning period is required for this coupling to occur, the length of this period varies according to the individual and can occur as soon as the second cycle of chemotherapy. Anticipatory CINV may also cause of certain food aversions, as food eaten during the days surrounding chemotherapy can be mentally paired with the sensation of nausea.

Breakthrough CINV is nausea and/or vomiting that occurs despite adherence to optimal anti-emetic protocols and is treated by administering additional "rescue" anti-emetic medication.[34] *Refractory CINV* comprises symptoms that occur in subsequent cycles despite delivery of optimal anti-emetic control in previous cycles.[34] If this occurs, additional medication is likely to be required.

Risk factors

An individual's risk of developing CINV is influenced by numerous factors (Table 2), which can be categorised into four broad categories: previous experience with nauseating stimuli (e.g. previous history of motion or morning sickness); genetic and trait factors (e.g. age and gender); psychosocial factors (e.g. anxiety); and finally, medical and treatment-related factors (e.g. dose, type of chemotherapy). The primary determinant of a patient's risk of

experiencing CINV is the emetogenic potential of the chemotherapy regimen. In order to guide anti-emetic therapy, chemotherapy regimens are stratified into the following classifications based on their emetogenic potential: minimally, fewer than 10% at risk; low , 10% to 30% of patients at risk; moderately, 30% to 90% of patients at risk; and highly emetogenic chemotherapy regimens, nearly all patients (> 90%) at risk.[34, 71]

Individual risk factors are associated with different levels of risk. For example, Molassiotis et al.[35] reported that patients with a history of nausea and vomiting (e.g. morning or motion sickness) were three times more likely to experience CINV (OR 3.2 , 95% CI: 1.29–7.95), while the odds of experiencing CINV increased by 69% for each incremental increase in reported pain (OR 1.69, 95% CI: 1.03–2.77). Patients with a greater number of these risk factors are more likely to experience CINV compared to patients with fewer traits. This has led to the development of multiple tools designed to predict the risk of CINV by assessing the cumulative effect of risk factors. For example, Bouganim et al.'s[36] tool to predict CINV risk demonstrated that patients categorized as at high-risk of CINV were three times more likely to experience symptoms than patients who were considered to be low risk. Predictive tools such as this are currently being refined and validated in larger populations, but with further studies these tools could improve symptom control by helping to identify high-risk patients before chemotherapy begins.

Pathophysiology

The development of CINV is complex; this section briefly describes the pathophysiology in CINV development.

The trigger site for CINV is thought to be within the gastrointestinal tract. Chemotherapy agents can directly interact with enterochromaffin cells located within the gastric epithelium, resulting in the release of the neurotransmitters serotonin and substance *P*.[75] The released

neurotransmitters then interact with receptors located upon the vagus nerve, which subsequently transmits afferent signals to the chemoreceptor receptor zone (CTZ), a section of the brain within the area postrema, via the nucleus tractus solitarius. It is thought that modern 5-HT_3 antagonist medications (e.g. ondansetron) interact with the 5-HT_3 receptors involved in this process, which then mitigates the degree of afferent vagal signalling. Another neurotransmitter, substance *P*, is also implicated in the generation of CINV primarily by binding to NK_1 receptors located centrally within the brain. Stimuli transmitted using these two neuropeptides, as well as stimuli from other neurotransmitters (e.g. dopamine, histamine) and other regions of the brain (e.g. the amygdala), are processed by the CTZ and vomiting centre, which then coordinate the relevant musculature to induce a nausea and/or vomiting response.[76]

An additional source of afferent signalling is suggested to be via direct interaction with the area postrema, as this part of the brain has a semi-permeable membrane that enables direct interaction with emetic stimuli within the blood or cerebrospinal fluid.

Impact on patient

Nutrition status

Malnutrition is both a serious and prevalent concern within the oncology setting.[44] Estimates vary but between 30-50% of the general oncology population experience malnutrition and has been reported to be as high as 88% in certain populations (i.e. head and neck cancer patients).[45-47] Malnutrition is considered an independent risk factor for mortality, increased length of stay, secondary infections, and healthcare costs.[44, 48, 49] Patients who experience CINV are particularly susceptible to malnutrition due to the direct effect of nausea and vomiting (e.g. the expulsion of food) or through behavioural factors (such as avoiding certain foods in an effort to prevent future bouts of CINV). Furthermore, vomiting can impede accurate nutrition diagnoses as it can reduce the validity of recorded dietary intake. Both

nausea and vomiting are considered nutrition impact symptoms that can result in malnutrition.[50-53] Cross-sectional and prospective studies investigating the effect of CINV on a patient's risk of malnutrition have reported a significant link.[7, 54]

For example, in a cross-sectional study of cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy (N=121), CINV was associated with malnutrition, as assessed using the Patient Generated-Subjective Global Assessment, demonstrating that the majority of patients with severe CINV were malnourished.[7] Similarly, in a prospective study including 104 chemotherapy patients, patients that experienced severe acute (mean: 5 vs 8; p=0.003) and delayed nausea (mean: 5.1 vs 8; p=0.017) were associated with higher PG-SGA scores compared to patients who experienced less severe or no nausea. .[54] However, the authors of this study noted that the anti-emetic regimens prescribed to patients within this study were not congruent with current guidelines. Therefore, while the observed prevalence might reflect typical clinical practice, the incidence and severity of CINV within this cohort could be higher than what might be observed if current anti-emetic recommendations were implemented.

When weight loss was measured instead of malnutrition, similar associations were identified. In a retrospective analysis of cachectic patients with pancreatic cancer (N=107), the absence of nausea and vomiting was an independent determinant of weight stabilisation (OR 6.5, 95% CI: 1.6-27.2; p=0.010).[29] Another study in a mixed oncology population (N=254) found that the prevalence of vomiting was higher in patients that experienced significant weight loss (>5% usual body weight) compared to patients that experienced minimal weight loss(32% vs 14%, respectively; p=0.005).[55]

In summary, while few studies have purposely investigated the association between CINV and malnutrition, the existing literature is consistent in its support of this association. In

particular, these studies suggest that in patients who experience CINV, nutritional status should be actively monitored and managed in order to reduce the risk of malnutrition.

Quality of life (QoL)

QoL is poorer amongst patients who experience CINV, either during the acute or delayed phase, compared to patients without these symptoms.[27, 28] Highly emetogenic chemotherapy regimens are more likely to reduce QoL than moderately- or low emetogenic regimens. This detrimental effect on QoL is exacerbated with each additional day of CINV and is often compounded as treatment progresses, because patients who experience CINV in their initial cycle of chemotherapy are more likely to report poorer CINV-related QoL in subsequent cycles.[27, 56] This indicates that the burden of CINV might be cumulative and affects future chemotherapy cycles if not adequately controlled during the first cycle.[25, 77] When nausea and vomiting are measured separately, the adverse effect of nausea on QoL has been reported to be greater than the effect of vomiting, which is particularly pertinent as the prevalence of nausea is higher when compared to vomiting.[57] This difference in effect on QoL is likely due to current antiemetic therapy being predominantly effective for controlling vomiting as compared to nausea.

Physical function

Uncontrolled CINV can lead to a number of potentially serious physical conditions and CINV-related hospital admissions. Due to the loss of potassium, sodium, chloride and water resulting from frequent or severe vomiting, CINV might result in dehydration, electrolyte disturbances, and acid-base imbalances.[24] Another concern is the risk of aspiration pneumonia, a condition where vomitus enters the bronchial tree, resulting in pneumonitis. This can lead to further complications and in some cases is fatal.[24] In severe cases of vomiting, oesophageal tearing and related bleeding and pain can occur. Nutritional deficiencies are also a potential issue due to inadequate dietary intake of nutrients secondary

to nausea and the inability to digest consumed food due to vomiting. These conditions can be further exacerbated by additional comorbidities.[58] Finally, during the 1980s, CINV-related treatment termination was reported to occur in patients;[23] however, it is likely that the prevalence of CINV-related treatment termination has been significantly reduced due to the improvement in anti-emetic medications.[22, 59]

Pharmacotherapy of CINV

Multiple medications prevent and relieve the distressing symptoms of CINV. International evidence-based guidelines, such as those developed by the Multinational Association for Supportive Care in Cancer and the National Comprehensive Cancer Network, suggest the ideal combination and timing of the available anti-emetics, according to the emetogenicity of the chemotherapy treatment.[34, 71] It is now common practice to include this standardised, combination approach to provide optimal control of CINV. While these medications are effective in reducing CINV, there is no single medication that offers complete protection during highly or moderately emetogenic regimens and therefore, the medications discussed below are administered in combination.[34]

5-HT_3 antagonists such as ondansetron, granisetron and palonosetron are important components of modern anti-emetic therapy. 5-HT_3 antagonists work by binding to the 5-HT_3 receptors within the gastrointestinal tract, which consequentially blocks afferent emetic signalling to the CTZ within the brain. Corticosteroids such as dexamethasone are used for their incidental anti-emetic attributes and are commonly prescribed in combination with other anti-emetics.[34] The mechanism of action for this class of drug is poorly understood but suggested mechanisms include the modulation of the capillary permeability of the CTZ, anti-inflammatory effects within the gastrointestinal tract, and the release of endorphins.[21] A relatively new class of anti-emetic medication is NK_1 antagonists such as aprepitant and fosaprepitant. These medications are believed to act centrally within the CTZ by inhibiting

the actions of the neuropeptide, substance *P*.[60] NK_1 antagonists are used in combination, usually with dexamethasone and a 5-HT_3 antagonist. They are most effective for moderate to highly emetogenic chemotherapy, especially where delayed CINV occurs. Until the introduction of 5-HT_3 antagonists, metoclopramide was one of the primary anti-emetic medications used to treat CINV. It has been suggested that metoclopramide, as with other dopamine antagonists such as phenothiazine and butyrophenone, primarily interacts with dopamine D2 receptors within the central nervous system, eliciting a prokinetic effect on the gut and therefore regulating gut mobility. However, due to the superiority of the new generation of anti-emetic therapy and the incidence of extrapyramidal reactions with high-dose metoclopramide, anti-emetic guidelines only recommend metoclopramide for low emetogenic regimens and as a rescue anti-emetic in breakthrough emesis.[34, 71]

Dietetic and lifestyle interventions

Dietetic-related interventions

Dietitians regularly recommend a number of strategies to help patients manage their nausea and vomiting during chemotherapy. Broadly, these are categorised as strategies that involve modification to meal types and/or composition, behavioural strategies that target the way food is consumed, and lifestyle or environmental strategies (Table 3).[78-80] While many of these strategies appear intuitive, there are currently no clinical trials that have specifically investigated the efficacy of these strategies in reducing measures of CINV. Furthermore, while there are guidelines for the dietetic management of CINV,[80, 81] the lack of clinical trials means that these guidelines largely rely on expert opinion. However, medical nutrition therapy (MNT) is an intervention delivered by a dietitian that is tailored to the individual's need and circumstances and utilises the strategies outlined in table 3. Therefore, despite the lack of studies specifically investigating dietary interventions for CINV, studies investigating

MNT as an intervention may provide some evidence for the use of these strategies in the management of CINV.

[44, 82]The oncology guidelines of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics state that there is currently strong evidence that MNT improves multiple treatment outcomes in patients undergoing chemotherapy, radiation or chemoradiotherapy in ambulatory or outpatient and inpatient oncology settings.[82] However, when studies that have investigated the use of MNT in chemotherapy have been analysed separately from studies that have investigated MNT during radiotherapy, the evidence remains strong to suggest that MNT improves clinical and patient-centred outcomes (e.g. quality of life) in patients receiving radiotherapy but less so in patients receiving chemotherapy. Updated evidence-based practice guidelines endorsed by the Dietetic Association of Australia, state that evidence that MNT during chemotherapy results in similar improvements in clinical or patient-centred outcomes is currently insufficient.[44] The authors of these guidelines found that while dietary supplements or simple dietary interventions (e.g. provision of handouts detailing food high protein and energy or basic nutrition counselling) were able to improve nutritional outcomes such as dietary intake and weight status, they did not find an improvement in quality of life or survival.

There is preliminary support for the use of MNT as part of CINV management. In a small study (N=35) of ambulatory cancer patients, nausea modestly improved after a two month multidisciplinary intervention involving a dietitian as well as a physical therapist, social worker, nurse, and a physician (no *p* value reported).[20] Furthermore, two randomized controlled trials that investigated the use of dietary counselling or nutrition supplements in colorectal and head and neck cancer patients undergoing radiotherapy found that the severity and incidence of CINV was reduced within participants who received dietary counselling.[19, 61] While this was in a population undergoing radiotherapy, the pathways involved in the generation of nausea and vomiting are thought to be similar to CINV. These studies therefore

provide preliminary support for the use of dietary counselling for these symptoms. Further studies are required to investigate the use of MNT during chemotherapy to manage CINV and assess the effect on clinical outcomes such as survival, length of stay and QoL.

There is limited evidence that CINV is associated with taste changes. One study found that patients who reported experiencing CINV also reported greater levels of taste changes and metallic taste.[18] The nature of this relationship has not been elucidated, so it is unclear if the use of MNT to manage taste changes may also provide relief to nausea and vomiting symptoms.

Protein-rich meal consumption

Preliminary clinical data suggest the consumption of a mixed meal, and in particular, a protein-rich meal, might improve nausea and vomiting symptoms from a variety of nauseating stimuli, including chemotherapy. For example, a prospective study (N=143) reported that patients who did not consume food before chemotherapy were 6.8 times more likely to experience CINV compared to patients who reported eating meals prior to chemotherapy.[57] Jednak et al.[62] examined this effect further in a clinical trial that investigated the effect of different macronutrients on nausea during pregnancy. The results indicated that a protein-rich meal significantly reduced nausea symptoms compared to both equicaloric carbohydrate and fat meals, and non-caloric meals. Subsequently, Levine et al.[17] explored this in 28 cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy and reported that a combination of ginger and protein supplementation resulted in a significant reduction in CINV. This effect was more pronounced in the group receiving the highest dose of protein, which indicates that protein supplementation might have been primarily responsible for the reduction in CINV.

The exact mechanism for this is unclear but it has been observed that during exposure to nauseating stimuli, the electrical rhythm of the stomach becomes dysregulated.[17] The

ingestion of a meal maintains the normal physiological rhythm of the stomach, which might in turn reduce symptoms of nausea and vomiting. The observed superiority of protein in reducing nausea symptoms is attributed to its effect on gastrin secretion, which is believed to normalise gastric activity.[16] However, while the current evidence is supportive, further studies that include larger sample sizes are required, particularly in the chemotherapy setting.

Ginger supplementation

In vitro and animal research indicate that compounds within ginger might exert several effects on pathways relevant to CINV. These include 5-HT_3 receptor antagonism and the modulation of gastrointestinal motility and gastric emptying rate.[14] In a recent systematic literature review, seven clinical trials were included that tested doses between 0.5-2g of ginger capsules.[15] The results provide equivocal evidence, with two studies reporting no effect,[13, 63] three finding some effect,[12, 64, 83] and two studies in favour but with caveats that reduce the real world application of these results.[10, 65] Our review also identified multiple limitations within the literature such as a lack of control for anticipatory nausea and prognostic factors that might influence individual CINV response, inconsistent use of standardized ginger formulations and validated questionnaires, and the use of potentially suboptimal dosing regimens. Hence, while some evidence supports ginger as an adjuvant anti-CINV therapy, existing limitations must be addressed before firm recommendations for its use can be made.

Additional complementary therapies

Several additional complementary therapies have demonstrated varying degrees of efficacy. These include yoga, progressive muscle relaxation, massage, aromatherapy, hypnosis, exercise, education programs, and acupuncture-point stimulation.[8, 9, 66, 67] However, while many of these therapies are likely to be low-cost and have minimal side effects, further trials

are required to address limitations within the literature such as small sample sizes and inconsistent results.

Conclusion

In summary, CINV poses a significant burden to patients undergoing chemotherapy with the potential to result in further medical complications, reduce QoL, and increase the risk of malnutrition. While some evidence of a benefit from dietary intervention using MNT or protein rich meals exists further research is required.

References

1. Hsieh RK, Chan A, Kim HK, et al. Baseline patient characteristics, incidence of CINV, and physician perception of CINV incidence following moderately and highly emetogenic chemotherapy in Asia Pacific countries. *Support Care Cancer.* 2015;23:263-272.

2. Molassiotis A, Saunders MP, Valle J, et al. A prospective observational study of chemotherapy-related nausea and vomiting in routine practice in a UK cancer centre. *Support Care Cancer.* 2008;16:201-208.

3. Sun CC, Bodurka DC, Weaver CB, et al. Rankings and symptom assessments of side effects from chemotherapy: insights from experienced patients with ovarian cancer. *Support Care Cancer.* 2005;13:219-227.

4. Russo S, Cinausero M, Gerratana L, et al. Factors affecting patient's perception of anticancer treatments side-effects: an observational study. *Expert Opin Drug Saf.* 2014;13:139-150.

5. Kuchuk I, Bouganim N, Beusterien K, et al. Preference weights for chemotherapy side effects from the perspective of women with breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat,.* 2013;142:101-107.

6. Ballatori E, Roila F, Ruggeri B, et al. The impact of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting on health-related quality of life. *Support Care Cancer.* 2007;15:179 - 185.

7. Davidson W, Teleni L, Muller J, et al. Malnutrition and chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: implications for practice. *Oncol Nurs Forum.* 2012;39:E340 - 345.

8. Ezzo JM, Richardson MA, Vickers A, et al. Acupuncture-point stimulation for chemotherapy-induced nausea or vomiting. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2006:CD002285.

9. Richardson J, Smith JE, McCall G, Richardson A, Pilkington K, Kirsch I. Hypnosis for nausea and vomiting in cancer chemotherapy: a systematic review of the research evidence. *Eur J Cancer Care.* 2007;16:402-412.

10. Sontakke S, Thawani V, Naik MS. Ginger as an antiemetic in nausea and vomiting induced by chemotherapy: A randomized, cross-over, double blind study. *Indian J. Pharmacol.* 2003;35:32-36.

11. Ryan JL, Heckler CE, Roscoe JA, et al. Ginger (Zingiber officinale) reduces acute chemotherapy-induced nausea: a URCC CCOP study of 576 patients. *Support Care Cancer.* 2012;20:1479-1489.

12. Pillai AK, Sharma KK, Gupta YK, Bakhshi S. Anti-emetic effect of ginger powder versus placebo as an add-on therapy in children and young adults receiving high emetogenic chemotherapy. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* 2011;56:234-238.

13. Zick SM, Ruffin MT, Lee J, et al. Phase II trial of encapsulated ginger as a treatment for chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting. *Support Care Cancer.* 2009;17:563-572.

14. Marx W, Ried K, McCarthy AL, et al. Ginger-Mechanism of Action in Chemotherapy-induced Nausea and Vomiting: A Review. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr.* 2015:0.

15. Marx WM, Teleni L, McCarthy AL, et al. Ginger (Zingiber officinale) and chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: a systematic literature review. *Nutr. Rev.* 2013;71:245-254.

16. Levine ME, Muth ER, Williamson MJ, Stern RM. Protein-predominant meals inhibit the development of gastric tachyarrhythmia, nausea and the symptoms of motion sickness. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2004;19:583-590.

17. Levine ME GM, Koch SY, Voss AC, Stern RM, Koch KL. Protein and ginger for the treatment of chemotherapy-induced delayed nausea. . *J Altern Complement Med,.* 2008;14:545-551.

18. Wickham RS, Rehwaldt M, Kefer C, et al. Taste changes experienced by patients receiving chemotherapy. *Oncol Nurs Forum.* 1999;26:697-706.

19. Ravasco P, Monteiro-Grillo I, Marques Vidal P, Camilo ME. Impact of nutrition on outcome: a prospective randomized controlled trial in patients with head and neck cancer undergoing radiotherapy. *Head Neck.* 2005;27:659-668.

20. Glare P, Jongs W, Zafiropoulos B. Establishing a cancer nutrition rehabilitation program (CNRP) for ambulatory patients attending an Australian cancer center. *Support Care Cancer.* 2011;19:445-454.

21. Herrstedt J, Aapro MS, Smyth JF, Del Favero A. Corticosteroids, dopamine antagonists and other drugs. *Support Care Cancer,.* 1998;6:204-214.

22. Van Laar ES, Desai JM, Jatoi A. Professional educational needs for chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV): multinational survey results from 2388 health care providers. *Support Care Cancer.* 2015;23:151-157.

23. Wilcox PM, Fetting JH, Nettesheim KM, Abeloff MD. Anticipatory vomiting in women receiving cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, and 5-FU (CMF) adjuvant chemotherapy for breast carcinoma. *Cancer Treat Rep.* 1982;66:1601-1604.

24. Lindley CM, Hirsch JD. Nausea and vomiting and cancer patients' quality of life: a discussion of Professor Selby's paper. *Br J Cancer Suppl.* 1992;19:S26-29.

25. Schwartzberg L, Szabo S, Gilmore J, et al. Likelihood of a subsequent chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV) event in patients receiving low, moderately or highly emetogenic chemotherapy (LEC/MEC/HEC). *Curr Med Res Opin,.* 2011;27:837-845.

26. Morrow GR, Roscoe JA, Hickok JT, et al. Initial control of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting in patient quality of life. *Oncology (Williston Park).* 1998;12:32-37.

27. Cohen L, de Moor CA, Eisenberg P, Ming EE, Hu H. Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: incidence and impact on patient quality of life at community oncology settings. *Support Care Cancer.* 2007;15:497-503.

28. Lachaine J, Yelle L, Kaizer L, Dufour A, Hopkins S, Deuson R. Chemotherapy-induced emesis: quality of life and economic impact in the context of current practice in Canada. *Support Cancer Ther.* 2005;2:181-187.

29. Davidson W, Ash S, Capra S, Bauer J. Weight stabilisation is associated with improved survival duration and quality of life in unresectable pancreatic cancer. *Clin Nutr.* 2004;23:239-247.

30. Olver IN, Eliott JA, Koczwara B. A qualitative study investigating chemotherapy-induced nausea as a symptom cluster. *Support Care Cancer.* 2014;22:2749-2756.

31. Molassiotis A, Farrell C, Bourne K, Brearley SG, Pilling M. An exploratory study to clarify the cluster of symptoms predictive of chemotherapy-related nausea using random forest modeling. *J Pain Symptom Manage.* 2012;44:692-703.

32. Jordan K, Sippel C, Schmoll H-J. Guidelines for Antiemetic Treatment of Chemotherapy-Induced Nausea and Vomiting: Past, Present, and Future Recommendations. *Oncologist.* 2007;12:1143-1150.

33. Roscoe J, Morrow G, Aapro M, Molassiotis A, Olver I. Anticipatory nausea and vomiting. *Support Care Cancer,*. 2011;19:1533-1538.

34. Roila F, Herrstedt J, Aapro M, et al. Guideline update for MASCC and ESMO in the prevention of chemotherapy- and radiotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: results of the Perugia consensus conference. *Ann Oncol.* 2010;21:v232-v243.

35. Molassiotis A, Stamataki Z, Kontopantelis E. Development and preliminary validation of a risk prediction model for chemotherapy-related nausea and vomiting. *Support Care Cancer.* 2013;21:2759-2767.

36. Bouganim N, Dranitsaris G, Hopkins S, et al. Prospective validation of risk prediction indexes for acute and delayed chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting. *Curr Oncol.* 2012;19:e414-421.

37. Hesketh P. Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;358:2482 - 2494.

38. Molassiotis A, Yam BM, Yung H, Chan FY, Mok TS. Pretreatment factors predicting the development of postchemotherapy nausea and vomiting in Chinese breast cancer patients. *Support Care Cancer.* 2002;10:139-145.

39. Morrow GR. Clinical characteristics associated with the development of anticipatory nausea and vomiting in cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy treatment. *J Clin Oncol.* 1984;2:1170-1176.

40. Booth CM, Clemons M, Dranitsaris G, et al. Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting in breast cancer patients: a prospective observational study. *J Support Oncol.* 2007;5:374-380.

41. Hickok JT, Roscoe JA, Morrow GR. The Role of Patients' Expectations in the Development of Anticipatory Nausea Related to Chemotherapy for Cancer. *J Pain Symptom Manage.* 2001;22:843-850.

42. Persistence of efficacy of three antiemetic regimens and prognostic factors in patients undergoing moderately emetogenic chemotherapy. Italian Group for Antiemetic Research. *J Clin Oncol.* 1995;13:2417-2426.

43. Pirri C, Katris P, Trotter J, Bayliss E, Bennett R, Drummond P. Risk factors at pretreatment predicting treatment-induced nausea and vomiting in Australian cancer patients: a prospective, longitudinal, observational study. *Support Care Cancer.* 2011;19:1549-1563.

44. Isenring E, Zabel R, Bannister M, et al. Updated evidence-based practice guidelines for the nutritional management of patients receiving radiation therapy and/or chemotherapy. *Nutr Diet.* 2013;70:312-324.

45. Segura A, Pardo J, Jara C, et al. An epidemiological evaluation of the prevalence of malnutrition in Spanish patients with locally advanced or metastatic cancer. *Clin Nutr.* 2005;24:801-814.

46. Unsal D, Mentes B, Akmansu M, Uner A, Oguz M, Pak Y. Evaluation of nutritional status in cancer patients receiving radiotherapy: a prospective study. *Am J Clin Oncol.* 2006;29:183-188.

47. Read JA, Choy ST, Beale PJ, Clarke SJ. Evaluation of nutritional and inflammatory status of advanced colorectal cancer patients and its correlation with survival. *Nutr Cancer.* 2006;55:78-85.

48. Pressoir M, Desne S, Berchery D, et al. Prevalence, risk factors and clinical implications of malnutrition in French Comprehensive Cancer Centres. *Br J Cancer.* 2010;102:966-971.

49. Pirlich M, Schutz T, Norman K, et al. The German hospital malnutrition study. *Clin Nutr.* 2006;25:563-572.

50. Isenring E, Bauer J, Capra S. The scored Patient-generated Subjective Global Assessment (PG-SGA) and its association with quality of life in ambulatory patients receiving radiotherapy. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2003;57:305-309.

51. Isenring E, Cross G, Daniels L, Kellett E, Koczwara B. Validity of the malnutrition screening tool as an effective predictor of nutritional risk in oncology outpatients receiving chemotherapy. *Support Care Cancer.* 2006;14:1152-1156.

52. Tong HT, Isenring EA, Yates P. The prevalence of nutrition impact symptoms and their relationship to quality of life and clinical outcomes in medical oncology patients. *Support Care Cancer,.* 2008;17:83-90.

53. Thoresen L, Fjeldstad I, Krogstad K, Kaasa S, Falkmer UG. Nutritional status of patients with advanced cancer: the value of using the subjective global assessment of nutritional status as a screening tool. *Palliat Med,.* 2002;16:33-42.

54. Farrell C, Brearley SG, Pilling M, Molassiotis A. The impact of chemotherapy-related nausea on patients' nutritional status, psychological distress and quality of life. *Support Care Cancer.* 2013;21:59-66.

55. Grosvenor M, Bulcavage L, Chlebowski RT. Symptoms potentially influencing weight loss in a cancer population. Correlations with primary site, nutritional status, and chemotherapy administration. *Cancer.* 1989;63:330-334.

56. Fernandez-Ortega P, Caloto MT, Chirveches E, et al. Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting in clinical practice: impact on patients' quality of life. *Support Care Cancer.* 2012;20:3141-3148.

57. Bloechl-Daum B, Deuson RR, Mavros P, Hansen M, Herrstedt J. Delayed nausea and vomiting continue to reduce patients' quality of life after highly and moderately

emetogenic chemotherapy despite antiemetic treatment. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006;24:4472-4478.

58. Bender CM, McDaniel RW, Murphy-Ende K, et al. Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting. *Clin J Oncol Nurs.* 2002;6:94-102.

59. Neymark N, Crott R. Impact of emesis on clinical and economic outcomes of cancer therapy with highly emetogenic chemotherapy regimens: a retrospective analysis of three clinical trials. *Support Care Cancer.* 2005;13:812-818.

60. Hawkins R, Grunberg S. Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: challenges and opportunities for improved patient outcomes. *Clin J Oncol Nurs.* 2009;13:54-64.

61. Ravasco P, Monteiro-Grillo I, Vidal PM, Camilo ME. Dietary counseling improves patient outcomes: a prospective, randomized, controlled trial in colorectal cancer patients undergoing radiotherapy. *J Clin Oncol.* 2005;23:1431-1438.

62. Jednak MA, Shadigian EM, Kim MS, et al. Protein meals reduce nausea and gastric slow wave dysrhythmic activity in first trimester pregnancy. *Am J Physiol.* 1999;277:G855-861.

63. Fahimi F, Khodadad K, Amini S, Naghibi F, Salamzadeh J, Baniasadi S. Evaluating the Effect of Zingiber Officinalis on Nausea and Vomiting in Patients Receiving Cisplatin Based Regimens. *Iran J Pharm Res.* 2011;10:379-384.

64. Panahi Y, Saadat A, Sahebkar A, Hashemian F, Taghikhani M, Abolhasani E. Effect of ginger on acute and delayed chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: a pilot, randomized, open-label clinical trial. *Integr Cancer Ther.* 2012;11:204-211.

65. Manusirivithaya S, Sripramote M, Tangjitgamol S, et al. Antiemetic effect of ginger in gynecologic oncology patients receiving cisplatin. *Int J Gynecol Cancer.* 2004;14:1063-1069.

66. Mustian KM, Devine K, Ryan JL, et al. Treatment of Nausea and Vomiting During Chemotherapy. *US Oncol Hematol.* 2011;7:91-97.

67. Raghavendra RM, Nagarathna R, Nagendra HR, et al. Effects of an integrated yoga programme on chemotherapy-induced nausea and emesis in breast cancer patients. *Eur J Cancer Care.* 2007;16:462-474.

68. Hesketh PJ. Management of Nausea and Vomiting in Cancer Treatment: Introduction, Scope of the Problem. In: Hesketh PJ, ed. *Management of Nausea and Vomiting in Cancer and Cancer Treatment*. Sudbury, MA: Jones and Bartlett; 2005:1-15.

69. Horn CC. Why is the neurobiology of nausea and vomiting so important? *Appetite.* 2008;50:430-434.

70. Wilhelm SM, Dehoorne-Smith ML, Kale-Pradhan PB. Prevention of Postoperative Nausea and Vomiting. *Ann Pharmacother.* 2007;41:68-78.

71. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCC). NCCN Practice Guidelines in Oncology™ [v.1.2015]: Antiemesis.: National Comprehensive Cancer Network; 2015.

72. Rubenstein EB. The Role of Prognostic Factors in Chemotherapy-Induced Nausea and Vomiting. In: Hesketh PJ, ed. *Management of Nausea and Vomiting in Cancer and Cancer Treatment*. Sudbury, MA: Jones and Bartlett; 2005:87-98.

73. Kaiser R, Sezer O, Papies A, et al. Patient-tailored antiemetic treatment with 5-hydroxytryptamine type 3 receptor antagonists according to cytochrome P-450 2D6 genotypes. *J Clin Oncol.* 2002;20:2805-2811.

74. Tremblay PB, Kaiser R, Sezer O, et al. Variations in the 5-hydroxytryptamine type 3B receptor gene as predictors of the efficacy of antiemetic treatment in cancer patients. *J Clin Oncol.* 2003;21:2147-2155.

75. Torii Y, Saito H, Matsuki N. Induction of emesis in Suncus murinus by pyrogallol, a generator of free radicals. *Br J Pharmacol Chemother*. 1994;111:431-434.

76. Rudd JA, Andrews, P.L.R. Mechanisms of acute, delayed, and anticipatory emesis induced by anticancer therapies. In: Hesketh PJ, ed. *Management of Nausea and Vomiting in Cancer and Cancer Treatment*. Sudbury, MA: Jones and Bartlett; 2005:15-65.

77. Morrow G, Roscoe J, Hickok J, et al. Initial control of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting in patient quality of life. *Oncology (Williston Park).* 1998;12:32 - 37.

78. Escott-Stump S. *Nutrition and Diagnosis-related Care*: Wolters Kluwer Health/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2008.

79. Mahan LK, Raymond JL, Escott-Stump S. *Krause's Food & the Nutrition Care Process*: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2013.

80. Dietitians of Canada. Cancer - Nutritional Implications of Treatment: Key Practice Points. *Practice-based Evidence in Nutrition [PEN]*2008.

81. American Cancer Society. Nutrition for the Person With Cancer During Treatment: A Guide for Patients and Families American Cancer Society; 2015.

82. Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics Evidence Analysis Library. Oncology (ONC) Guideline Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics,; 2013.

83. Ryan JL, Heckler CE, Roscoe JA, et al. Ginger (Zingiber officinale) reduces acute chemotherapy-induced nausea: a URCC CCOP study of 576 patients. *Support Care Cancer*. 2011.