

A widespread shift to sustainable diets requires rapid risk perception

Sibel Eker¹, Gerhard Reese², Michael Obersteiner¹

¹International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA), Austria

²University of Koblenz-Landau, Germany

eker@iiasa.ac.at

@sibel_eker_

I) Environmental impact of dietary choices


Lifestyle change is an important demand-side measure to mitigate climate change.^{1,2}

Besides the land use and GHG emissions, the food system damages natural ecosystems and pushes the Earth towards the planetary boundaries for global freshwater use, deforestation, and ocean acidification.^{3,4}



Therefore, diet changes can significantly mitigate the adverse environmental effects of the global food system,

and assist in reaching multiple Sustainable Development Goals⁵, from Zero Hunger (#2) to Climate Action (#13), Life on Land (#15) and Responsible Consumption and Production (#12).




Source: World Resources Institute [6]

II) In this study...

We explore the behavioral factors behind diet change instead of stylized diet composition scenarios.

We link a behavioral diet shift model to an integrated assessment model^{6,7}.


We identify the main drivers of global diet change and explore their implications for the food system.




III) Behavioral framework

Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB)

Protection Motivation Theory (PMT)



IV) Results



Sobol sensitivity indices

The figure shows the first-order (S1) and total (ST) Sobol index of each model input, i.e. the contribution to the variance of the model output for the global percentage of vegetarians.


The parameter 'x0 risk attitude' that defines the **rapidity of the relation between risk perception and attitude towards diet change** is the most influential one.

Time to forget climate events, i.e. the average duration climate events remain in the public memory is the second factor, followed by the rapidness of the young population's response to social norms.

Scenario discovery for low Total Agricultural and Land Use Emissions

The length of each line represents the subset of the corresponding parameter's uncertainty range leading to low-emission scenarios.

The number of climate events that trigger a rapid behavioural response (x0 risk attitude) and time to forget climate events are the most distinguishing factors.



The factors that determine *Perceived Threat*, for instance, the **number of events** that trigger change or **time to forget** the past events, are most influential on long-term diet change dynamics.

Exploring behavioral factors is important for understanding and forming the pathways to SDG achievement.

References

- Creutzig F, Roy J, Lamb WF, Azevedo IML, Bruine de Bruin W, Dalkmann H, et al. Towards demand-side solutions for mitigating climate change. *Nature Climate Change* 2018, 8(4): 260-263.
- Steg L. Limiting climate change requires research on climate action. *Nature Climate Change* 2018, 8(9): 759-761.
- Rockström J, Steffen W, Noone K, Persson Å, Chapin III FS, Lambin EF, et al. A safe operating space for humanity. *Nature* 2009, 461(7263): 472.
- Steffen W, Richardson K, Rockström J, Cornell SE, Fetzer J, Bennett EM, et al. Planetary boundaries: Guiding human development on a changing planet. *Science* 2015, 347(6223): 1259855.
- Obersteiner M, Walsh B, Frank S, Havlik P, Cantele M, Liu J, et al. Assessing the land resource—food price nexus of the Sustainable Development Goals. *Science Advances* 2016, 2(9).
- Rydzak F, Obersteiner M, Kraxner F, Fritz S, McCallum I. FelixX3 – Impact Assessment Model: Systemic view across Societal Benefit Areas beyond Global Earth Observation. Laxenburg: International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA); 2013.
- Walsh B, Ciais P, Janssens IA, Peñuelas J, Riahi K, Rydzak F, et al. Pathways for balancing CO2 emissions and sinks. *Nature Communications* 2017, 8: 14856