

Meng Jing (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-8708-0485)  
Zheng Heran (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-0818-7933)  
Mi Zhifu (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-8106-0694)  
Shan Yuli (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-5215-8657)  
Guan Dabo (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-3773-3403)

## **Frequent interactions of Tibet's CO<sub>2</sub> emissions with those of other regions in China**

**Jiamin Ou<sup>1</sup>, Jing Meng<sup>2</sup>, Heran Zheng<sup>1</sup>, Zhifu Mi<sup>2,4</sup>, Yuli Shan<sup>1</sup>, Dabo Guan<sup>1,3,4\*</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>School of International Development, Tyndall Center for Climate Change Research, University of East Anglia, NR4 7TJ, UK

<sup>2</sup>The Bartlett School of Construction and Project Management, University College London, WC1E 7HB, UK

<sup>3</sup>Department of Earth System Science, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

<sup>4</sup> School of Management and Economics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, China

Corresponding authors: Dabo Guan ([dabo.guan@uea.ac.uk](mailto:dabo.guan@uea.ac.uk))

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1029/2018EF001059

## Abstract

Tibet is usually missing from China's emission accounts, especially from those of consumption-based emissions. In this study, we developed a multi-regional input-output (MRIO) table for 31 provinces in China and examined the production- and consumption-based characteristics of Tibet's CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in 2012. Results show that the consumption-based CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Tibet (18.8 Mt, similar to Guinea's emissions in 2015) were three times as high as the production-based estimate (6.2 Mt). Tibet displays unique emission patterns with the highest ratio of consumption- to production-based emissions in China, which are more similar with the east developed provinces rather than its counterparts in west China. More than half of Tibet's consumption-based emissions are supported by Qinghai, Hebei, Sichuan and others, enabled by the Qinghai-Tibet railway that connected Tibet to China's national railway system. High carbon footprint but low life expectancy is found in Tibet, suggesting the emerging need of a more sustainable consumption pathway under the intensifying interregional connections by Belt and Road Initiative.

## Key Points:

- Tibet displays unique emission patterns with China's highest ratio of consumption- to production-based emissions.
- Virtual flow of Tibet's emissions is enabled by Qinghai-Tibet railway and should be enhanced under Belt and Road Initiative.
- Tibet has high carbon footprint but low life expectancy, and a more sustainable pathway should be guided.

## Plain Language Summary

Located in the most western part of China and the world's highest plateau known as Qinghai-Tibet Plateau, Tibet plays a unique role in the global ecosystem and climate. Nevertheless, we did not know much about the emissions from human activities in this region. Under China's fast development of western provinces and Tibet's engagement in the Belt and Road Initiative, knowledge of anthropogenic emissions and the dynamics of emissions, demands and economic sectors are crucial. This study quantified the emissions happening in Tibet as well as those caused by Tibet's demand but outsourced to other regions. We found that the demands of Tibet and the resulting emissions are largely supported by other regions in China. Emissions virtually transport from west to east due to the frequent inter-provincial trade enabled by the Qinghai-Tibet railway. It is also found that Tibet has the third highest carbon footprint (carbon emissions per capita) in China but low life expectancy. It indicates that the current consumption of Tibet is neither climate-friendly nor good for human welfare. Attention should be drawn on a more sustainable consumption pathway of Tibet, especially under the context of intensifying regional interactions.

## 1 Introduction

Located in the most western part of China, the Tibet Autonomous Region (hereafter referred to as Tibet) is the nation's second largest province in terms of area. However, Tibet has long been a missing piece of China's national and provincial emission accounts for various reasons. First, activity data are not regularly collected in this province. While Tibet has a consistent record of some fundamental data, such as population, land use and gross domestic product (GDP), its energy statistics system has not yet been established, hindering the estimation of both greenhouse gas and air pollutant emissions. Second, due to its remote location and the fragile environment of the Qinghai-Tibet plateau, the population in this region is sparse, and its industry is less developed. Consequently, Tibet accounts for only 0.14% and 0.24% of China's GDP and population (data in 2015) (National Bureau of Statistics of China 2016), respectively. The omission of Tibet in China's emission accounts is therefore considered acceptable compared to the inherent uncertainty of emission inventories (Guan et al. 2012; Weber, Guan, and Hubacek 2007; Zheng et al. 2018).

However, the knowledge gap in Tibet's emission accounts needs to be filled for Tibet's future development and its significance as China's important carbon sink and natural resources conserver. With its high forest coverage (14% of its total area), large proportion of primary forest, and low anthropogenic disturbance, Tibet has the highest forest stocks in China (The State Council Information Office of China 2015) and serves as an important carbon sink affecting the global carbon cycle (Sun et al. 2016). In addition, Tibet is located on the Qinghai-Tibet plateau, the world's highest geographical unit. This region plays a critical role in ecosystem and species conservation. Tibet's unique ecological conditions and role as a global carbon sink are partly the result of lower human disturbance. The challenge of balancing human development and natural environment protection has become urgent in recent years. From 2005 to 2015, Tibet had an annual GDP growth of more than 10%, even during the slow-down of China's economy after 2011 (National Bureau of Statistics of China 2016). Studies on the pollution in Tibet are still sparse but have revealed some alarming signs of contaminants in the soil (F. Zhang et al. 2010), water (K. Zhang et al. 2016), air (Cao et al. 2009; Hindman and Upadhyay 2002) and biota (Pan et al. 2014). As one of the less economically developed provinces in China, Tibet is expected to experience fast development under the support of the central government and the influence of other provinces in the coming years. This rapid development has been especially true after Tibet was connected to the national railway network through the Qinghai-Tibet railway in 2007 and its involvement in China's Belt and Road Initiative. Despite of its possibly small quantity in total emission load, knowledge of emission sources in this region is still indispensable for China's Southwest development and the balance of economic development and nature conservation in Tibet.

There are generally two approaches to understanding an area's emissions. One is a production- or territory-based estimation, which calculates the emissions from local activities within the defined area (Shan et al. 2016). Another approach is consumption-based estimation, which measures the emissions related to the demand of a given province, including those emitted locally and outsourced to other regions (Mi et al. 2017; Meng et al. 2016, 2018; Zhao et al. 2015). While production-based approach is widely used due to its simplicity and clarity, consumption-based estimation complements the production-based method by providing vital information concerning the demand behind the emissions and their interactions with those of other regions (Peters 2008; Peters and Hertwich 2008). For Tibet, its emission is poorly understood, especially from the consumption side. A few of existing studies touched the production-based emissions of mercury (Huang et al. 2017), volatile organic compounds

(VOCs) (Li et al. 2017) and CO<sub>2</sub> (Shan et al. 2017). The sources within this climate-sensitive region and the demands driving the emission activities remain largely unknown.

In this study, multi-regional input-output (MRIO) analysis was applied to develop a consumption-based CO<sub>2</sub> emission account for Tibet based on the established production-based inventory. The sector-based contributions, demand-driven emissions and their interactions with those of other provinces in China through interprovincial trade were investigated. This study provides the first knowledge of Tibet's emissions from the consumption side, which contributes to a more complete emission account of China and provides important implications for the sustainable development of Tibet.

## 2 Methods and Data

To study the consumption-based emissions of Tibet and the interactions of these emissions with those of other regions in China, an MRIO table for 31 regions (27 provinces and 4 cities, hereafter referred to as 31 provinces) is constructed. Then, input-output analysis is applied to estimate the consumption-based emissions based upon the production-based inventory. The data used in this study are generally derived from the Chinese official statistics (National Bureau of Statistics of China, 2013ab; Ministry of Transport of China, 2013; Tibet Bureau of Statistics, 2013) and previous studies on production-based CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Tibet (Shan et al. 2017) and other provinces in China (Shan et al. 2016 ab, 2017). The detailed methods and data sources are described below.

### 2.1 Multi-region Input-Output (MRIO) Table

The MRIO table for 31 provinces is compiled based on the input-output tables (IOTs) for 31 provinces, which are released by the National Statistics Bureau of China. (National Statistics Bureau of China, 2013) These IOTs include 42 economic sectors and five final demands, namely, rural household consumption, urban household consumption, government consumption, fixed capital formation and inventory change. Exports and imports are also reported and divided into international and domestic amounts.

The above IOTs depict the sectoral inputs and outputs in monetary terms for a given region. However, their interactions with other regions are unknown. To simulate inter-regional flows, a gravity model is adopted. The standard gravity model expresses the inter-regional flow as a function of the total regional outflows, total regional inflows, transfer cost and distance, as shown in eq. (1).

$$y_i^{rs} = e^{\beta_0} \frac{(x_i^{rO})^{\beta_1} (x_i^{Os})^{\beta_2}}{(d^{rs})^{\beta_3}} \quad (1)$$

where  $y_i^{rs}$  is the trade flows of sector  $i$  from region  $r$  to  $s$ ;  $e^{\beta_0}$  is the constant proportionality factor;  $x_i^{rO}$  is the total outflows of sector  $i$  from region  $r$  to  $s$ ;  $d$  is the distance between region  $r$  and  $s$ , which is approximated by the distances between capitals;  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  are weighting coefficients assigned to the masses of origin and destination, respectively; and  $\beta_3$  is the distance decay parameter. Taking the logarithm of both sides, eq. (1) can be expressed as follows:

$$\ln(y_i^{rs}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln(x_i^{rO}) + \beta_2 \ln(x_i^{Os}) - \beta_3 \ln(d^{rs}) + \varepsilon \quad (2)$$

Considering the dimensions of the matrix, eq. (3) is constructed.

$$\mathbf{Y} = \beta_0 \mathbf{L}_0 + \beta_1 \mathbf{X}_1 + \beta_2 \mathbf{X}_2 - \beta_3 \mathbf{X}_3 + \varepsilon \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathbf{Y}$  is an  $N \times I$  matrix of the logarithm of the trade flows of product  $i$  between regions;  $\mathbf{L}_0$  is an  $N \times I$  matrix with all elements equal to 1;  $\mathbf{X}_1$  and  $\mathbf{X}_2$  are the logarithms of the total outflows from origin regions and total inflows to destination regions, respectively; and  $\mathbf{X}_3$  is the logarithm of the distance between two regions. Eq. (3) is solved by multiple regression.

Based upon the above standard gravity model, two ratios, namely, the impact coefficients and impact exponent, are introduced to reflect varying inter-regional competition and cooperation relationships for different sectors (Mi et al. 2017). Details on the calculations of these two ratios can be found in the Supporting Information. The modified trade flow is written as follows:

$$Y' = \hat{Y} / (c_i^{gh})^{\theta_i} \quad (4)$$

where  $Y'$  is the modified trade flow and  $\hat{Y}$  is the trade flow obtained from the standard gravity model. Due to data availability, 42 sectors in the IOTs are aggregated into 30 sectors before the gravity model is applied. The concordance of sectors can be found in Table S-1 in the Supporting Information.

With the above adjusted gravity model, an initial trade flow matrix that describes the flows between every pair of economic sectors for 31 provinces in monetary terms is constructed. Such an initial trade flow matrix does not match the double sum constraints, i.e., the total output and input of a specific sector do not match. Therefore, an RAS approach was adopted to adjust the initial trade flow matrix to ensure agreement with the sum constraints (Jackson and Murray 2004; Miller and Blair 2009). The error terms of the adjusted flow matrix were generally within 5%.

## 2.2 Input-output Analysis for Consumption-based Emissions

The inter-regional and inter-sectoral flows in the MRIO table enable the estimation going from production-based emission to consumption-based emission. In consumption-based accounting, emissions are allocated to the consuming sectors, regions and final demands, instead of the sectors and regions that directly emit the emissions. Such an estimation is built on the framework of an MRIO table. For an economy with  $M$  regions ( $M=31$  in this study) and  $N$  industries ( $N=30$  in this study) in each region,  $z_{ij}^{rs}$  ( $r, s=1, 2, \dots, 31$ ) represents the intermediate product sold from industry  $i$  in country  $r$  to industry  $j$  in country  $s$ ,  $y_i^{rs}$  represents the finished goods sold from industry  $i$  in country  $r$  to final consumers in country  $s$ , and  $x_i^r$  represents the total output of industry  $i$  in country  $r$ .

$$x_i^r = \sum_{s=1}^{31} \sum_{j=1}^{30} z_{ij}^{rs} + \sum_{s=1}^{31} y_i^{rs} \quad (5)$$

A technical coefficient  $a_{ij}^{rs} = z_{ij}^{rs} / x_j^s$  is defined as the input from sector  $i$  in region  $r$  needed to produce one unit of output from sector  $j$  in region  $s$ . Eq. (5) can therefore be formulated as follows:

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{AX} + \mathbf{Y} \quad (6)$$

where  $\mathbf{X}$ ,  $\mathbf{A}$ , and  $\mathbf{Y}$  are the matrices of  $x_i^r$ ,  $a_{ij}^{rs}$  and  $y_i^{rs}$ , respectively.

Then, a vector of direct emission intensity,  $h$ , is introduced to describe the sector-specific CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per unit of economic output as follows:

$$h = E' / X' \quad (7)$$

where  $E'$  and  $X'$  are the vectors of production-based CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and total output in monetary terms for 30 industries and 31 regions.

The CO<sub>2</sub> emissions associated with the final consumption in region  $r$  can be calculated as follows:

$$F_r = \hat{h}(I - A)^{-1} \gamma^r \quad (8)$$

where  $\hat{h}$  is the diagonal matrix of  $h$ ,  $I$  is the identity matrix, and  $\gamma^r$  is the final demand vector of region  $r$ .

Specifically, the interaction of emission flows between every pair of regions, i.e., the emissions transferred from region  $r$  to region  $s$ , can be calculated as follows:

$$F^{sr} = \hat{h}^s (I - A)^{-1} \gamma^r \quad (9)$$

When  $r=s$ ,  $F^{rr}$  represents the emissions related to the final consumption of products produced locally, and when  $r \neq s$ ,  $F^{sr}$  denotes the emissions released in region  $s$  related to cross-regional final products that are consumed in region  $r$ .

## 2.3 Data Sources

The single-region IOTs for 31 provinces in China are from the National Statistics Bureau (National Bureau of Statistics of the People's Republic of China 2012) for the year 2012. MRIO table is developed based on the well-established methods (Mi *et al.* 2017) (See Supporting Information). The production-based CO<sub>2</sub> emission inventories for Tibet and other provinces in China developed by Shan *et al.* (2016ab, 2017) are adopted. These inventories are compiled with a consistent methodology and data sources using the energy consumption data from China's Energy Statistical Yearbooks and the best available local emission coefficients (Liu *et al.* 2015; Mi *et al.* 2016). All the emission data can be freely downloaded from the China Emission Accounts and Datasets (CEADS) website (<http://www.ceads.net/>). The flows of cargo between Tibet and other regions in China are mainly derived from the China Railway Yearbook (The Ministry of Railway, China, 2013) and China Transport Statistical Yearbook (Ministry of Transport, China, 2013). Sectoral trade is approximated by the available data on Qinghai. The sectoral GDPs of China and the Tibet Statistical Yearbooks are also used in the analysis (Tibet Bureau of Statistics, 2013; National Bureau of Statistics of China, 2016).

## 3 Results and Discussions

### 3.1 Emissions of Two Boundaries

While production-based accounting calculates emissions within a territory, consumption-based estimations cross territorial boundaries and track the emissions embodied in the regional supply chain induced by the demands of the study area (Chen et al. 2013; Meng et al. 2016; Mi et al. 2016). In this study, we found that consumption-based emissions of Tibet were much greater than the production-based emissions and that emissions occurring in other regions accounted for a large proportion of Tibet's consumption-based emissions.

In 2012, the production-based emissions of Tibet were estimated to be 6.2 Mt of CO<sub>2</sub>, accounting for 0.07% of China's total CO<sub>2</sub> budget. From the consumption-based perspective, Tibet's CO<sub>2</sub> emissions increased three folds, reaching 18.8 Mt (0.2% of the national total), which is equal to the emissions of Guinea in 2015 (20.75 Mt) (EDGAR, 2017).

Consequently, the consumption-based emission intensity of Tibet was much greater than the production-based intensity. The production-based emission intensity was 0.41 tCO<sub>2</sub>/10<sup>4</sup> RMB in 2012, ranking 23<sup>rd</sup> among the 31 provinces studied here. In contrast, the consumption-based emission intensity was 1.56 tCO<sub>2</sub>/10<sup>4</sup> RMB in 2012, ranking 14<sup>th</sup> among the 31 provinces.

The pattern of Tibet's consumption-based emissions was more similar with those of the more developed regions than those of its counterparts in western China. Figure 1 compares the production- and consumption-based emissions of Tibet, provinces in western China, developed regions in east China and Tibet's neighbor, Nepal. The production- and consumption-based estimates share one common emission component: the emissions emitted locally to satisfy local demand. The differences between these estimates are thus caused by the gap between local emissions induced by the exported emissions (in dark green in the left-hand side of Figure 1) and imported emissions (in dark yellow in the right-hand side of in Figure 1). In China, substantial CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are driven by the demands of the more developed provinces along the east coast. In addition, the emissions related to the goods and services consumed in these regions are imported from less-developed provinces in central and western China (Mi et al. 2017). As a result, the consumption-based emissions of developed regions are generally much higher than their territory-based emissions. As an example, the territory-based emissions of Beijing were 79.4 Mt of CO<sub>2</sub> in 2012, but its consumption-based emissions were 196.6 Mt, 2.5 times greater than the territory-based value. In sharp contrast, the territory-based emissions of the less-developed western provinces tended to exceed the consumption-based emissions. For example, the consumption-based emissions of Inner Mongolia and Ningxia were 334.9 and 87.2 Mt in 2012, respectively, each equal to 60% of the territory-based emissions. Indeed, approximately 66% and 54% of the emissions in Inner Mongolia and Ningxia, respectively, were emitted during the production of goods and services that were ultimately consumed in other regions.

On contrast, the consumption-based emission of Tibet far exceeded its production-based account. Of the 18.8 Mt of consumption-based emissions in Tibet, 69% were exported to other regions rather than emitted locally. This pattern distinguishes Tibet from other western provinces in China that are generally emission importers supporting the consumption and exports in the richer eastern regions. In fact, the ratio of consumption-based to territory-based emissions in Tibet (3.0) was the highest among the 31 provinces studied here, including greatly developed areas such as Beijing (2.5), Tianjin (1.5) and Guangdong (1.3). The neighbor of Tibet, Nepal, has very similar characteristics; the consumption-based emissions in Nepal were 2.8 times greater than the production-based emissions. These regions are both located near the Himalayas and have limited natural resources and fragile

environments that make mass industrial production difficult. Such low self-sufficiency results in high dependencies on other regions.

### 3.2 Sector-based Contributions and Driven Demands

The discrepancies between sectoral contributions from production- and consumption-based estimation were also substantial. As shown in Figure 2a, the production-based CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Tibet were mainly attributed to non-metal mineral products (29%), other services (19%), transport (15%) and electricity and heat production (12%). Other services accounted for the second largest level of production-based emissions. This result is due to the high share of tertiary industry in Tibet, which accounted for 54% of its GDP in 2012, ranking the third highest in China after Beijing (76%) and Shanghai (60%) (National Bureau of Statistics of the People's Republic of China 2013).

The local production activities and production activities in other regions in China (Figure 2b) collectively supported the consumption in Tibet (Figure 2c). From the consumption-based perspective, a large amount of emissions from non-metal mineral products, electricity and heat production, and metal smelting and processing were due to the demand for construction. Construction accounted for 57% of the consumption-based emissions followed by other services (14%) and transport (4%). Food processing, garments and fiber products, agriculture, the chemical industry, and electric equipment and machinery each contributed 2% to the total consumption-based emissions. Though the contribution of construction to consumption-based emissions is generally higher than its contribution to production-based emissions (Huo et al. 2014; Mi et al. 2016; Ou et al. 2017), the share of emissions from construction in Tibet was still astonishingly high.

The consumptions of different sectors are associated with different final demands (Figure 2d), namely, rural consumption, urban consumption, government consumption, capital formation and inventory change. The consumptions of construction and electric equipment and machinery were predominantly driven by capital formation and inventory change. As a result, 74% of the consumption-based emissions were related to the demands of capital formation and inventory change. The second highest demand was government consumption, which accounted for 14% of the total consumption-based emissions. Approximately 84% and 42% of the emissions from other services and transport were related to the government's demand, respectively. Urban and rural consumption each accounted for 6% of the total emissions through the demands of food processing, garments and fiber products, and agriculture. Among these final demands, 74%, 44%, 56% and 72% of the demands of capital formation and inventory change, government consumption, urban consumption and rural consumption, respectively, were supported by production in other provinces, as shown in Figure 2e.

### 3.3 Inflowing Provinces

Tibet was interconnected with most provinces in China through interprovincial trade. Figure 3 illustrates the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions related to Tibet's demands, i.e., embodied emissions in import to Tibet. In particular, flows of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions were significant for the provinces adjacent to Tibet, e.g., Qinghai, Sichuan and Gansu. Qinghai, Tibet's neighbor to the east, was the region with the largest support of Tibet's demands and consumption-based emissions (20%, 3.8 Mt). Approximately 0.9 and 0.6 Mt CO<sub>2</sub> emissions were embodied in the interprovincial trade



from Sichuan and Gansu to Tibet, respectively. Net emission flows from other regions to Tibet were also observed, especially from regions in the north, such as Hebei (1.9 Mt) and Inner Mongolia (0.8 Mt). Some minor flows also originated from the Yangtze River (Shanghai, Zhejiang and Jiangsu) and the Pearl River Delta (Guangdong), which are China's most economically developed areas. These results make Tibet stand out from its western counterparts, which are usually net emission exporters supporting the developed coastal areas, i.e., CO<sub>2</sub> emissions flowed from west to east (Mi et al. 2016).

Some studies have noted that CO<sub>2</sub> emission flows began to reverse in 2012 (Mi et al. 2017). Some provinces in southwest China (e.g., Sichuan, Chongqing, Guizhou and Guangxi) have shifted from being net emission exporters to net emission importers. However, the provinces in northwest China (including Xinjiang, Qinghai, Inner Mongolia, Gansu, Shaanxi and Ningxia) are still net emission exporters. The aftermath of the global financial crisis and China's supply- and demand-side reforms might be the reasons leading to this change. Tibet borders provinces in both southwest and northwest China and is not included in previous studies. In this study, the consumption-based emission patterns of Tibet were more similar with those in southwest China. The consumption characteristics of Tibet in this study are additional evidence of the ongoing reversal in emission flows within China.

Such frequent interaction between Tibet and other provinces in China has been enabled by the development of the Qinghai-Tibet railway in recent years. As shown in Fig. 3, the density of the national railway network becomes sparser from the east to west. After, 2006 Tibet was connected to the national railway network through the Qinghai-Tibet railway stretching from Lhasa in Tibet to Golmud in Qinghai. Prior to this time, only road and air transportation were available. Air transport was expensive and limited in volume. Road transport was unreliable due to the harsh geographical conditions and weather such as frequent mud and rock slides. More stable and cheaper transportation was available after the Qinghai-Tibet Railway was put into use, which reduced the freight rate from 0.27 (road transport) to 0.12 RMB per ton (price in 2007 RMB). The volume of railway freight surged from 24.9 million tons in 2006 to 40.2 million tons in 2012, and this transportation method is responsible for 75% of the goods transported to/from Tibet.

### 3.4 Inflowing Production Activities

Tibet's economy is mainly supported by agriculture, animal husbandry, forestry and services. Such an economic structure results in high dependences on a wide range of industrial products, especially those from heavy-industries such as cement, iron, steel, machinery and equipment. Specifically, non-metal mineral products, iron and steel, general and special equipment and machinery, metal products, chemical products, processed food, garments and fiber products, and paper products from other regions in China accounted for 71% of imported goods to Tibet. The supply of such products has inevitably led to more intense production activity in other provinces, especially in the regions that support Tibet the most, including Qinghai, Hebei, Inner Mongolia, Gansu and Sichuan. The production activities related to Tibet's demands were generally in energy-intensive sectors. As shown in Figure 4, the sectors associated with Tibet's demands were the most diversified in Qinghai and included non-metal mineral products, electricity production, metal pressing and smelting, and the chemical industry. The emissions from these four sectors increased by 1.7, 1.0, 0.6 and 0.5 Mt, respectively. The emissions outsourced to Hebei were mainly related to non-metal mineral products (0.5 Mt), electricity production (0.5 Mt) and metal pressing and smelting (0.5 Mt). For Inner Mongolia, Gansu and Sichuan, electricity production and non-metal mineral products were the dominant sectors. Electricity production made up an important proportion of outsourced production activities, but Tibet did not directly import electricity from other regions. Instead, it was induced by the increased electricity demand from other production activities such as equipment and machinery manufacturing, food processing, and other products when they were produced locally and exported to Tibet afterwards.

The outsourced sectors described above are generally the critical supporting sectors in secondary industry, but these sectors are not flourishing in Tibet due to the limitations of the local environment and natural resources. Tibet is a traditionally agriculture-based autonomous region. After the economic development of the past decade, tertiary industry is now the leading economic driver in this region and accounted for 54% of its GDP in 2012 (National Bureau of Statistics of the People's Republic of China 2013). Industry, including non-metal mineral products, metal processing, chemical industry and others, accounted for only 8% of the annual GDP of Tibet.

## 4 Conclusions and Policy Implications

This study presents the first consumption-based estimation of Tibet's emissions. Though Tibet's emissions might be low compared to the total emissions of China (0.2% of the national total from the consumption perspective), such knowledge is indispensable in understanding the environmental issues in Tibet. Results also show that Tibet's emission patterns are unique. Compared to its counterparts in western China, Tibet's consumption-based emission patterns are more similar with those of developed regions in east China with relatively high proportion of emissions supported by other regions.

The ratio of consumption-based to production-based emissions of Tibet was the highest among the 31 Chinese provinces studied here. Nearly 70% of the consumption-based emissions of Tibet were emitted in other regions instead in Tibet itself. If these off-site emissions were to occur locally, Tibet's local emissions would triple, increasing from 6.2 to 18.8 Mt CO<sub>2</sub> in a year. Considering the less advanced manufacturing technology in Tibet,

these emissions would climb to 22.3 Mt, 3.6 times greater than the current emissions. Such a relocation of emissions is not as relevant for greenhouse gases that are long-lived and have environmental impacts that are not sensitive to emission location, such as CO<sub>2</sub>. However, for short-lived air pollutants and air toxics, such as sulphur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, VOCs, and heavy metals, the emission location greatly determines the harm to ecosystems and human health (Q. Zhang et al. 2017; Jiang et al. 2015; Lin et al. 2014). Given that air pollutants and CO<sub>2</sub> have large overlaps in their emissions sources from fossil fuel combustion (West et al. 2013; Cifuentes et al. 2001; Schmale et al. 2014), the consumption-based characteristics observed in this study are also applicable to air pollutants. If off-site emissions occurred locally in Tibet, the fragile environment would experience catastrophic damage. Further study on how the consumption patterns and virtual transport of emissions affect the local and national environment should be carried out.

As inter-regional interactions are expected to become more frequent under the development of western China, the design of a more sustainable consumption pathway for Tibet is crucial. The inter-regional interactions observed in this study are enabled by the transformation of the transportation system in the northwest China in recent years. The transportation infrastructure is expected to be steadily upgraded in the coming decade under China's plan to develop the northwest and the Belt and Road Initiative. Previous studies have defined two criteria for regions within "Goldemberg's Corner," namely, per capita carbon emissions (consumption-based perspective) of less than one tonne C per year and a life expectancy of over 70 years (Steinberger et al., 2012; Steinberger and Roberts 2010; Lamb et al., 2014). Regions within Goldemberg's Corner represents a sustainable lifestyle with a good balance of environmental conservation and human welfare. Tibet exhibits the opposite trend with a high carbon footprint and a low life expectancy. The per capita carbon emissions in Tibet were 1.74 tonne C in 2012, and the average life expectancy was 67.8 years. The carbon footprint of Tibet ranked 3<sup>rd</sup> highest among the 31 Chinese provinces studied here after Tianjin and Shanghai. However, the life expectancies of Tianjin and Shanghai were 75.4 and 72.3 years, respectively (see Supporting Information Figure S-1). The geographical and meteorological constraints would be one reason for the lower life expectancy in Tibet. Thin oxygen, strong solar radiation and frequent extreme weather are prone to shorten life expectancy. Underdeveloped medical care and other economic factors are also contributing. From the perspective of consumption, the high carbon footprint suggests more can be done to benefit both human welfare and environmental concerns. The high proportion of red meat in Tibet's dietary structure, for example, shortens human life expectancy and leads to high carbon and air pollutant emissions. Under the quickly developing transportation system, opportunities to change the consumption patterns are emerging with easier access of healthier and more environmentally friendly products. In addition, substantial consumption-based emissions are associated with construction, whose emission intensity is generally high. Tibet needs to diversify the local economy towards low carbon development in the long run.

Due to the limited data source, a quantitative estimation on Tibet's consumption-based emission is unavailable. Nevertheless, it is expected that the uncertainty of Tibet's consumption-based carbon account would be much higher than China's national metrics. A recent study found that Chinese national data is one of the largest contributors to the uncertainty of global consumption-based carbon account with a coefficient of variation of 9.07% (Rodrigues et al. 2018). Another consensus is that consumption-based emission is associated with higher uncertainty than the production-based metrics since more data transformation are involved (Sato 2014; Owen et al. 2014). According to the estimation by Shan et al. (2018), the uncertainties of China's provincial CO<sub>2</sub> emission were roughly (-15%, 25%) at a 97.5% confidence interval. Given the poorer data quality of activity level data and emission factors, the uncertainty of Tibet's consumption-based emission estimation would be higher than the above mentioned range. We urge more efforts to measure the uncertainty of consumption-based account to prioritize uncertainty reduction efforts.

The above results and discussion show the significance of consumption-based accounting in understanding a region's emission characteristics. Similar to production-based accounting, a regular update of consumption-based estimations, an extension to air pollutant emission account, and uncertainty analysis are recommended. Tibet is one of China's important gateways to South Asia under the Belt and Road Initiative. The consumption characteristics in Tibet might change profoundly in the near future. The MRIO table developed in this study can also be applied to study the virtual inter-regional interactions of air pollutants, water and energy between Tibet and other regions in China.

### **Acknowledgments**

This study was supported by National Key R&D Program of China (2016YFA0602604), National Natural Science Foundation of China (41629501, 71533005), Chinese Academy of Engineering (2017-ZD-15-07), the UK Natural Environment Research Council (NE/N00714X/1 and NE/P019900/1), the Economic and Social Research Council (ES/L016028/1), the Royal Academy of Engineering (UK-CIAPP/425) and British Academy (NAFR2180103, NAFR2180104). The MRIO table and Tibet's emissions can be found in <http://www.ceads.net/data/input-output-tables/>.

## References

- Cao, X, Q Yu, Q Gu, Y Chen, K Ding, J Zhu, and L Chen. 2009. "Indoor Air Pollution from Solid Biomass Fuels Combustion in Rural Agricultural Area of Tibet , China." *Indoor Air* 19: 198–205. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0668.2008.00579.x.
- Chen, G Q, Shan Guo, Ling Shao, J S Li, and Zhan-ming Chen. 2013. "Three-Scale Input – Output Modeling for Urban Economy : Carbon Emission by Beijing 2007." *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simulat* 18: 2493–2506. doi:10.1016/j.cnsns.2012.12.029.
- Cifuentes, L., V.H. Borja-Aburto, N. Gouveia, G. Thurston, and D.L. Davis. 2001. "Hidden Health Benefits of Greenhouse Gas Mitigation." *Science* 293 (5533): 1257. doi:10.1126/science.1063357.
- Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR). *CO2 time series 1990-2015 per region/country*. Available via <http://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/overview.php?v=CO2ts1990-2015>.
- Guan, Dabo, Zhu Liu, Yong Geng, Sören Lindner, and Klaus Hubacek. 2012. "The Gigatonne Gap in China's Carbon Dioxide Inventories." *Nature Climate Change* 2 (9). Nature Publishing Group: 672–75. doi:10.1038/nclimate1560.
- Hindman, Edward E, and Bidur P Upadhyay. 2002. "Air Pollution Transport in the Himalayas of Nepal and Tibet during the 1995 – 1996 Dry Season." *Atmospheric Environment* 36 (November 1995): 727–39.
- Huang, Ying, Meihua Deng, Tingqiang Li, Jan Japenga, Qianqian Chen, Xiaoe Yang, and Zhenli He. 2017. "Anthropogenic Mercury Emissions from 1980 to 2012 in China." *Environmental Pollution* 226. Elsevier Ltd: 230–39. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2017.03.059.
- Huo, Hong, Qiang Zhang, Dabo Guan, Xin Su, Hongyan Zhao, and Kebin He. 2014. "Examining Air Pollution in China Using Production- and Consumption-Based Emissions Accounting Approaches." *Environmental Science and Technology* 48 (24): 14139–47. doi:10.1021/es503959t.
- Jackson, Randall, and Alan Murray. 2004. "Alternative Input-Output Matrix Updating Formulations." *Economic Systems Research* 16 (2): 135–48. doi:10.1080/0953531042000219268.
- Jiang, Xujia, Qiang Zhang, Hongyan Zhao, Guannan Geng, Liqun Peng, Dabo Guan, Haidong Kan, et al. 2015. "Revealing the Hidden Health Costs Embodied in Chinese Exports." *Environmental Science and Technology* 49: 4381–88. doi:10.1021/es506121s.
- Li, Hongyan, Qiusheng He, Qi Song, Laiguo Chen, Yongjia Song, Yuhang Wang, Kui Lin, Zhencheng Xu, and Min Shao. 2017. "Diagnosing Tibetan Pollutant Sources via Volatile Organic Compound Observations." *Atmospheric Environment* 166. Elsevier Ltd: 244–54. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.07.031.
- Lin, Jintai, Da Pan, Steven J Davis, Qiang Zhang, Kebin He, Can Wang, David G Streets, Donald J Wuebbles, and Dabo Guan. 2014. "China's International Trade and Air Pollution in the United States." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 111: 1736–41. doi:10.1073/pnas.1312860111.
- Liu, Zhu, Dabo Guan, Wei Wei, Steven J. Davis, Philippe Ciais, Jin Bai, Shushi Peng, et al. 2015. "Reduced Carbon Emission Estimates from Fossil Fuel Combustion and Cement Production in China." *Nature* 524 (7565): 335–38. doi:10.1038/nature14677.
- Meng, Jing, Junfeng Liu, Yuan Xu, and Dabo Guan. 2016. "Globalization and Pollution : Tele-Connecting Local Primary PM2.5 Emissions to Global Consumption." *Pro. R. Soc. A* 472 (20160380).
- Meng, Jing, Zhifu Mi, Dabo Guan, Jiashuo Li, Shu Tao, Yuan Li, Kuishuang Feng, Junfeng Liu, et al. 2018. "The Rise of South-South Trade and its Effect on Global CO2 emission." *Nature Communications* 9 (1871). doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-04337-y
- Mi, Zhifu, Jing Meng, Dabo Guan, and Klaus Hubacek. 2017. "Chinese CO2 Emission Flows Have Reversed since the Global Financial Crisis." *Nature Communications* 8 (1712). doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01820-w.
- Mi, Zhifu, Yunkun Zhang, Dabo Guan, Yuli Shan, Zhu Liu, Ronggang Cong, Xiao-Chen Yuan, and Yi-Ming Wei. 2016. "Consumption-Based Emission Accounting for Chinese Cities." *Applied Energy* 184. Elsevier Ltd: 1073–81. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.06.094.
- Miller, R. E., and P. D Blair. 2009. *Input-Output Analysis: Foundations and Extensions*. Second Edi. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ministry of Transport, P.R.China. 2013. *China Transport Statistical Yearbook*. China Communications Press.
- National Bureau of Statistics of China. 2016. *China Statistics Yearbook 2016*. China Stat. Press, Beijing, China.
- National Bureau of Statistics of the People's Republic of China. 2012. "Input-Output Tables for Beijing/Tianjin/Hebei/Shanxi/Inner

Mongolia/Liaoning/Jilin/Heilongjiang/Shanghai/Jiangsu/Zhejiang/Anhui/Fujian/Jiangxi/Shandong/Henan/Hubei/Hunan/Guangdong/Guangxi/Hainan/Chongqing/Sichuan/G.”

- National Bureau of Statistics of China. 2013a. *China Statistics Yearbook 2013*. China Stat. Press, Beijing, China.
- National Statistics Bureau of China. 2013b. *Input-Output Tables for Beijing, Tianjin, Hebei, Shanxi, Inner Mongolia, Liaoning, Jilin, Heilongjiang, Shanghai, Jiangsu, Zhejiang, Anhui, Fujian, Jiangxi, Shandong, Henan, Hubei, Hunan, Guangdong, Guangxi, Hainan, Chongqing, Sichuan, Guizhou, Yunnan, Sh.* China Stat. Press, Beijing, China.
- Ou, Jiamin, Jing Meng, Junyu Zheng, Zhifu Mi, Yahui Bian, Xiang Yu, Jingru Liu, and Dabo Guan. 2017. “Demand-Driven Air Pollutant Emissions for a Fast-Developing Region in China.” *Applied Energy* 204. The Authors: 131–42. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.06.112.
- Owen, Anne, Kjartan Steen-olsen, John Barrett, Thomas Wiedmann, Anne Owen, Kjartan Steen-olsen, John Barrett, and Thomas Wiedmann. 2014. “A STRUCTURAL DECOMPOSITION APPROACH TO COMPARING MRIO DATABASES.” *Economic Systems Research* 26 (3): 262–83. doi:10.1080/09535314.2014.935299.
- Pan, Jing, Nan Gai, Hua Tang, Shu Chen, Dazhou Chen, Guohui Lu, and Yongliang Yang. 2014. “Organochlorine Pesticides and Polychlorinated Biphenyls in Grass, Yak Muscle, Liver, and Milk in Ruogai High Altitude Prairie, the Eastern Edge of Qinghai-Tibet Plateau.” *Science of the Total Environment*, The 491–492. Elsevier B.V.: 131–37. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.03.074.
- Peters, G. P. (2008). From production-based to consumption-based national emission inventories. *Ecological Economics*, 65(1), 13–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2007.10.014>
- Peters, G. P., & Hertwich, E. G. (2008). Post-Kyoto greenhouse gas inventories: Production versus consumption. *Climatic Change*, 86(1–2), 51–66. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-007-9280-1>
- Rodrigues, F D, Daniel Moran, Richard Wood, and Paul Behrens. 2018. “Uncertainty of Consumption-Based Carbon Accounts.” *Environmental Science and Technology* 52: 7577–86. doi:10.1021/acs.est.8b00632.
- Sato, Misato. 2014. “EMBODIED CARBON IN TRADE: A SURVEY OF THE EMPIRICAL LITERATURE.” *Journal of Economic Surveys* 28 (5): 831–61. doi:10.1111/joes.12027.
- Schmale, Julia, Drew Shindell, Erika von Schneidmesser, Ilan Chabay, and Mark Lawrence. 2014. “Clean up Our Skies.” *Nature* 515: 335–37. doi:10.1038/515335a.
- Shan, Yuli, Dabo Guan, Heran Zheng, Jiamin Ou, Yuan Li, Jing Meng, and Zhifu Mi. 2018. “China CO2 Emission Accounts 1997 – 2015.” *Scientific Data* 5 (170201): 1–14.
- Shan, Yuli, Jianghua Liu, Zhu Liu, Xinwanghao Xu, Shuai Shao, Peng Wang, and Dabo Guan. 2016. “New Provincial CO2 Emission Inventories in China Based on Apparent Energy Consumption Data and Updated Emission Factors.” *Applied Energy* 184. Elsevier Ltd: 742–50. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.03.073.
- Shan, Yuli, Zhu Liu, and Dabo Guan. 2016. “CO2 Emissions from China’s Lime Industry.” *Applied Energy* 166: 245–52. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.04.091.
- Shan, Yuli, Heran Zheng, Dabo Guan, Chongmao Li, Zhifu Mi, Heike Schroeder, Jibo Ma, Zhuguo Ma, and Jing Meng. 2017. “Energy Consumption and CO2 Emissions in Tibet and Its Cities in 2014.” *Earth & Space Science* 5 (8): 854–64. doi:10.1002/efst.231.
- Steinberger, Julia K, and J Timmons Roberts. 2010. “From Constraint to Sufficiency: The Decoupling of Energy and Carbon from Human Needs, 1975 – 2005.” *Ecological Economics* 70 (2). Elsevier B.V.: 425–33. doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2010.09.014.
- Steinberger, Julia K, J Timmons Roberts, Glen P Peters, and Giovanni Baiocchi. 2012. “Pathways of Human Development and Carbon Emissions Embodied in Trade.” *Nature Climate Change* 2 (2). Nature Publishing Group: 81–85. doi:10.1038/nclimate1371.
- Sun, Xiangyang, Genxu Wang, Mei Huang, Ruiying Chang, and Fei Ran. 2016. “Forest Biomass Carbon Stocks and Variation in Tibet’s Carbon-Dense Forests from 2001 to 2050.” *Scientific Reports* 6 (34687). Nature Publishing Group: 1–12. doi:10.1038/srep34687.
- The Ministry of Railway, P.R. China. 2013. *China Railway Yearbook*. China Railway Publishing House.
- The State Council Information Office of China. 2015. Tibet’s forest stock ranks top in China [Chinese document]. Available at <http://www.scio.gov.cn/zhzc/8/1/document/1389206/1389206.htm>.
- Tibet Bureau of Statistics. 2013. *Tibet Statistics Yearbook*. China Stat. Press, Beijing, China.
- Weber, Christopher L, Dabo Guan, and Klaus Hubacek. 2007. “China’s Growing CO2 Emissions: A Race

between Increasing Consumption and Efficiency Gains.” *Environmental Science & Technology* 41 (17): 5939–44. doi:10.1021/es070108f.

West, J Jason, Steven J Smith, Raquel A Silva, Vaishali Naik, Yuqiang Zhang, Zachariah Adelman, Meridith M Fry, Susan Anenberg, Larry W Horowitz, and Jean-Francois Lamarque. 2013. “Co-Benefits of Mitigating Global Greenhouse Gas Emissions for Future Air Quality and Human Health.” *Nature Climate Change* 3 (10). Nature Publishing Group: 885–89. doi:10.1038/NCLIMATE2009.

WF Lamb, J K Steinberger, A Bows-Larkin, G P Peters, J T Roberts and F Rwood. 2014. “Transitions in Pathways of Human Development and Carbon Emissions.” *Environmental Research* 9: 14011. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/9/1/014011.

Zhang, Fu-ping, Cheng-fang Li, Le-ga Tong, Li-xin Yue, and Ping Li. 2010. “Response of Microbial Characteristics to Heavy Metal Pollution of Mining Soils in Central Tibet, China.” *Applied Soil Ecology* 45 (3). Elsevier B.V.: 144–51. doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2010.03.006.


Zhang, Kai, Jing Su, Xiong Xiong, Xiang Wu, Chenxi Wu, and Jiantong Liu. 2016. “Microplastic Pollution of Lakeshore Sediments from Remote Lakes in.” *Environmental Pollution* 219. Elsevier Ltd: 450–55. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2016.05.048.

Zhang, Qiang, Xujia Jiang, Dan Tong, Davis Steven J, Hongyang Zhao, Guannan Geng, Tong Feng, Bo Zheng, Zifeng Lu, and David G Streets. 2017. “Transboundary Health Impacts of Transported Global Air Pollution and International Trade.” *Nature* 543 (7647). Nature Publishing Group: 705–9. doi:10.1038/nature21712.

Zhao, H. Y., Q. Zhang, D. Guan, S. J. Davis, Z. Liu, H. Huo, J. T. Lin, W. D. Liu, and K. B. He. 2015. “Assessment of China’s Virtual Air Pollution Transport Embodied in Trade by Using a Consumption-Based Emission Inventory.” *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 15: 5443–56. doi:10.5194/acp-15-6815-2015.


Zheng, Heran, Yuli Shan, Zhifu Mi, Jing Meng, Jiamin Ou, Heike Schroeder, and Dabo Guan. 2018. “How Modifications of China’s Energy Data Affect Carbon Mitigation Targets.” *Energy Policy* 116 (February): 337–43. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2018.02.031.

Accepted Article




**Figure 1** Composition of production- and consumption-based CO<sub>2</sub> emissions






**Figure 2** Emission flows from production to consumption and final demands. (a) CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from local production activities in Tibet – 6.2 Mt (production-based emissions), which is not sufficient to support Tibet’s demands; (b) CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from production activities in Tibet and other regions in China that support Tibet’s demands – 18.8 Mt; (c) Consumption-based sectoral CO<sub>2</sub> emissions totaling 18.8 Mt; (d) CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by final demand – 18.8 Mt; (e) CO<sub>2</sub> emissions supported by production in other regions by final demand – 13.0 Mt.



**Figure 3** CO<sub>2</sub> emissions related to Tibet's demands. Percentage represents the contribution of the inflowing province to the consumption-based emission of Tibet

Accep



**Figure 4** Production activity inflows from (a) Qinghai, (b) Hebei, (c) Inner Mongolia and (d) Gansu due to the demands of Tibet. The number next to each sector's name (e.g., 1.0 Mt) indicates the absolute CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, and the percentage represents the sectoral contribution to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions related to Tibet's demand in a given region.