



Diverse NLR immune receptors activate defence via the RPW8-NLR NRG1

Baptiste Castel¹ D, Pok-Man Ngou¹ D, Volkan Cevik^{1,2} D, Amey Redkar^{1,3} D, Dae-Sung Kim^{1,4} D, Ying Yang^{1,5}, Pingtao Ding¹ D and Jonathan D. G. Jones¹ D

¹The Sainsbury Laboratory, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Colney Lane, Norwich, NR4 7UH, UK; ²The Milner Centre for Evolution, Department of Biology and Biochemistry, University of Bath, Bath, BA2 7AY, UK; ³Department of Genetics, University of Córdoba, Córdoba 14071, Spain; ⁴Department of Plant Sciences, College of Life Sciences, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China; ⁵Center for Plant Science Innovation, Beadle Center, University of Lincoln-Nebraska, Lincoln, NE 68588, USA

Author for correspondence: Jonathan D. G. Jones Tel: +44 1603 450327 Email: jonathan.jones@tsl.ac.uk

Received: *16 July 2018* Accepted: *13 December 2018*

New Phytologist (2019) **doi**: 10.1111/nph.15659

Key words: CRISPR, helper, hypersensitive response, immunity, NRG1, oomycete, TIR-NLR.

Summary

• Most land plant genomes carry genes that encode RPW8-NLR Resistance (R) proteins. Angiosperms carry two RPW8-NLR subclasses: ADR1 and NRG1. ADR1s act as 'helper' NLRs for multiple TIR- and CC-NLR R proteins in Arabidopsis. In angiosperm families, *NRG1* cooccurs with *TIR-NLR Resistance* (*R*) genes. We tested whether NRG1 is required for signalling of multiple TIR-NLRs.

• Using CRISPR mutagenesis, we obtained an *nrg1a-nrg1b* double mutant in two Arabidopsis accessions, and an *nrg1* mutant in *Nicotiana benthamiana*.

• These mutants are compromised in signalling of all TIR-NLRs tested, including WRR4A, WRR4B, RPP1, RPP2, RPP4 and the pairs RRS1/RP54, RRS1B/RP54B, CHS1/SOC3 and CHS3/CSA1. In Arabidopsis, NRG1 is required for the hypersensitive cell death response (HR) and full oomycete resistance, but not for salicylic acid induction or bacterial resistance. By contrast, *nrg1* loss of function does not compromise the CC-NLR R proteins RP55 and MLA. RPM1 and RPS2 (CC-NLRs) function is slightly compromised in an *nrg1* mutant. Thus, NRG1 is required for full TIR-NLR function and contributes to the signalling of some CC-NLRs.

• Some NRG1-dependent R proteins also signal partially via the NRG1 sister clade, ADR1. We propose that some NLRs signal via NRG1 only, some via ADR1 only and some via both or neither.

Introduction

The plant immune system involves both cell surface receptors that detect extracellular pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and intracellular receptors that detect pathogen 'effector' proteins which, if not detected, usually contribute to pathogen virulence (Jones & Dangl, 2006). Most *Resistance (R)* genes cloned encode nucleotide-binding, leucine-rich repeat (NLR) immune receptors (Kourelis & Van Der Hoorn, 2018). These receptors are widely deployed during breeding for crop disease resistance (Borhan *et al.*, 2010; Jones *et al.*, 2014; Witek *et al.*, 2016). A better understanding of NLR-mediated immunity could facilitate their deployment to safeguard crops from pathogens, reducing the need for chemical applications.

Most NLRs comprise an N-terminal domain, a central nucleotide-binding (NB) domain shared by apoptotic protease activating factor 1 (APAF-1), plant Resistance (R) proteins and a cell death protein 4 (CED-4) domain (NB-ARC), and C-terminal leucine-rich repeats (LRRs). At their N-termini, they usually carry a Toll/Interleukin-1 receptor/Resistance protein (TIR) domain or non-TIR N-terminus, often with Coiled-Coils (CC). A phylogenetically distinct NLR subset carries an

N-terminal Resistance to Powdery Mildew 8 (RPW8) domain. The corresponding TIR-NLR, CC-NLR and RPW8-NLR proteins, on activation, trigger a complex network of responses, including gene induction, the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and salicylic acid (SA), transcriptional reprogramming and a form of cell death called the 'hypersensitive response' (HR), resulting in resistance (Jones & Dangl, 2006).

The RPW8-NLR NbNRG1 was first identified in *Nicotiana* benthamiana (Nb) as required for resistance to *Tobacco mosaic* virus (TMV) mediated by the N gene (Peart et al., 2005). N encodes a TIR-NLR that activates resistance on recognition of the TMV replicase component p50. NRG1 is widespread in angiosperms, suggesting an important role in immunity (Collier et al., 2011; Shao et al., 2016).

Two additional 'helpers' have been described in plants. ADR1 is part of a conserved clade within angiosperms and contributes to the function of RPP2, RPP4, RPS2, SNC1, CHS3 and RRS1 in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Arabidopsis) (Bonardi *et al.*, 2011; Dong *et al.*, 2016). Interestingly, ADR1s are also RPW8-NLRs, phylogenetically close to the NRG1 clade (Collier *et al.*, 2011; Shao *et al.*, 2016). The NRC helper NLRs in Solanaceae are required for the sensor NLRs Rpi-blb2, Mi2-5, Sw5b, R8, R1, Prf, Rx,

Bs2 and CNL-119900, amongst others (Wu *et al.*, 2017). In both cases, the helper clade is relatively conserved and partially redundant, whereas their associated sensors are expanded and diversified. Phylogenetic analyses reveal that the conserved NRG1 clade and TIR-NLRs co-occur in angiosperm genomes: all clades that lack NRG1 also lack TIR-NLRs (Collier *et al.*, 2011; Shao *et al.*, 2016). Conceivably, all RPW8-NLRs are helper NLRs, with ADR1 signalling downstream of CC-NLRs and TIR-NLRs, and NRG1s signalling downstream of TIR-NLRs only.

We set out to test whether NRG1 is a helper for other TIR-NLRs. We generated nrg1a-nrg1b loss-of-function double mutants in Arabidopsis and a mutant of the single NRG1 copy in N. benthamiana using clustered and regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/CRISPR-associated9 (Cas9), and tested for loss of TIR-NLR activities (Li et al., 2013). We found that NRG1 is at least partially required for the signalling of the TIR-NLRs WRR4A, WRR4B, RPP1, RPP2 and RPP4, the NLR pairs CHS1/SOC3, CHS3/CSA1 and RRS1/RPS4, and the CC-NLRs RPS2 and RPM1, but is not required for the signalling of the CC-NLRs RPS5 and MLA7. Other recent studies have also suggested a requirement of NRG1 for RPP1-mediated signalling and have identified Roq1, an additional NRG1dependent TIR-NLR (Brendolise et al., 2018; Qi et al., 2018). Surprisingly, we found that NRG1 is required for RRS1/RPS4mediated HR, but not bacterial disease resistance conferred by RRS1/RPS4. We discuss the potentially complementary roles of NRG1 and its sister clade ADR1. We propose that some NLRs signal via NRG1 only (e.g. CSA1/CHS3), some via ADR1 only (e.g. RPS2) and some via both (e.g. RRS1/RPS4).

Materials and Methods

Plant material and growth conditions

The *A. thaliana* (Arabidopsis) accessions used in this study are Wassilewskija-2 (Ws-2) and Columbia-0 (Col-0). Ws-2_*rrs1-1rps4-21-rps4b-1* (Saucet *et al.*, 2015), Ws-2_*eds1-1* (Parker *et al.*, 1996) and Col-0_*eds1-2* (Falk *et al.*, 1999) have been published. Seeds were sown directly on compost and plants were grown at 21°C, with 10 h of light and 14 h of dark, at 75% humidity. For seed collection, 5-wk-old plants were transferred under long-day conditions: 21°C, with 16 h of light and 8 h of dark, at 75% humidity. For *N. benthamiana, s*eeds were sown directly on compost and plants were grown at 21°C, with cycles of 16 h of light and 8 h of dark, at 55% humidity.

CRISPR-mediated generation of knock-out alleles

Four CRISPR constructs (*CRISPR-1*, *CRISPR-2*, *CRISPR-3* and *CRISPR-4*) were assembled using the Golden Gate cloning method and expressed via *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101 in Arabidopsis Ws-2, Col-0 or *N. benthamiana*. For *CRISPR-1*, three sgRNAs targeting both *AtNRG1A* and *AtNRG1B* (GCTCATTACCAAACCTGAAA[nGG], GTGGAA AGCTGGTCTGAAG[nGG] and GATGATTTGTTCTCATC GAAA[nGG]) were designed and assembled by PCR to an

sgRNA backbone in a Golden Gate-compatible fashion (Supporting Information Methods S1 and Castel et al., 2018). sgRNAs were assembled with the AtU6-26 promoter in the Golden Gate-compatible level 1 vectors pICH47751, pICH47761 and pICH47772, respectively. A human codonoptimized allele of Cas9 was assembled with the AtUBI10 promoter and Nos terminator in Golden Gate-compatible level 1 vector pICH47742. These four level 1 vectors, together with a FAST-Red selectable marker in level 1 vector pICH47732, were assembled in the binary vector pAGM4723, resulting in the CRISPR-1 final vector. For CRISPR-2, only one sgRNA targeting both AtNRG1A and AtNRG1B (GTGGAAAGCTGGTCTG AAG[nGG]) was used. A plant codon-optimized allele of Cas9 containing a potato IV2 intron was assembled with the AtRPS5a promoter and Pisum sativum rbcS E9 terminator in pICH47811. They were cloned in the binary vector pICSL4723 in a similar fashion as CRISPR-1, resulting in the CRISPR-2 final vector. For CRISPR-3, one sgRNA targeting NbNRG1 (CAGTATTCGAT GACATCGAG[nGG]) was used. A human codon-optimized allele of Cas9 was assembled with the 35S promoter and Mas terminator in Golden Gate-compatible level 1 vector pICH47742. The sgRNA and Cas9 expression vectors, together with a glufosinate resistance plant-selectable marker in level 1 vector pICH47732, were assembled in pICSL4723, resulting in the CRISPR-3 final vector. For CRISPR-4, two sgRNAs targeting WRR4B in Ws-2 (AATCGCTTCCGTGAGAGCTG[nGG] and TACATAGTGTACTATCTAAA[nGG]) were used. A plant codon-optimized allele of Cas9 was assembled with the AtUBI10 promoter and Ocs terminator in Golden Gate-compatible level 1 vector pICH47742. The sgRNA and Cas9 expression vectors, together with a FAST-Red selectable marker in level 1 vector pICH47732, were assembled in pAGM4723, resulting in the CRISPR-4 final vector. For CRISPR-2 sgRNA specifically, 67 bp of the AtU6-26 terminator was included by PCR at the sgRNA 3'-end. Expression of CRISPR-1 in Arabidopsis Ws-2 resulted in c.1153delG and c.1159_1160insT mutations in NRG1A and NRG1B, respectively. Expression of CRISPR-2 in Arabidopsis Col-0 resulted in c.1153delG and c.1159_1160 insTATTTTTGGTCCTC mutations in NRG1A and NRG1B, respectively. Expression of CRISPR-3 in N. benthamiana resulted in a c.638_644delAAGAGAA mutation in NbNRG1. Expression of CRISPR-4 in Arabidopsis Ws-2 resulted in a c.181_182insA mutation in WRR4B. All of these mutations cause a codon reading frame shift and early stop codons in or before the NB-ARC domain encoding region. The progeny of the mutants were analysed and we selected lines without T-DNA and NRG1 mutation at the homozygous state. We refer to these lines as Ws-2_nrg1anrg1b, Col-0_nrg1a-nrg1b, N. benthamiana_nrg1 and Ws-2_wrr4b.

Gene cloning and expression

CHS1 was cloned in a '35S–Ocs' expression cassette with a Cterminal V5 tag via the USER method (Geu-Flores *et al.*, 2007). Briefly, *CHS1* was PCR amplified from Col-0 genomic DNA template with GGCTTAAUATGTCTACTTCTTATTCTTTT TTGTTGGC and AACCCGAUCCTCTTTGGGATGCTTC CA primers, and V5 was amplified from pICSL50012 using ATCGGGTUCCGGAAGAGAGGATCGCATC and GGTTTAA UTCACTTGTCATCGTCATCCT primers, with KAPA HiFi Uracil+ enzyme, following the manufacturer's protocol. Amplicons were assembled in pICSLUS0001-OD with the USER method (Methods S1 and Geu-Flores *et al.*, 2007). Similarly, WRR4 was amplified with its 5' and 3' regulatory sequences from Oy-0 genomic DNA with GGCTTAAUCGACGAAGCATC AGACAAGG and GGTTTAAUTCAGTGATGCATGGTGG AGT, and assembled in LBJJ233-OD.

To clone *NRG1*, *NRG1A* and *NRG1B*, promoter, coding sequence and terminators were domesticated (i.e. *BsaI* sites were removed by synonymous transitions) and assembled in a single vector by Gibson Assembly (Gibson *et al.*, 2009). Single vectors were then assembled with corresponding tags by the Golden Gate method (Engler *et al.*, 2009). Further details are provided in Methods S1.

Bacterial growth assay

Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato strain DC3000 carrying pVSP61:AvrRps4-HA, pVSP61:AvrRpt2 or pVSP61 empty vector was grown on selective king's B (KB) medium agar plates for 48 h at 28°C. Bacteria were harvested from the plates, resuspended in infiltration buffer (10 mM MgCl₂, pH 5.6) and the concentration was adjusted to an optical density at 600 nm $(OD_{600}) = 0.001 (5 \times 10^5 \text{ colony forming units (CFU) ml}^{-1}).$ The abaxial surfaces of 5-wk-old Arabidopsis leaves were hand infiltrated with a 1-ml needleless syringe. For quantification, leaf samples were harvested with a 6-mm-diameter cork borer, resulting in a c. 0.283 cm² leaf disc. Two leaf discs per leaf were harvested and used as a single sample. For each condition, four samples were collected just after infiltration and eight samples were collected 72 h after infiltration. Samples were ground in 200 µl of infiltration buffer, serially diluted (5, 50, 500, 5000 and 50 000 times) and spotted (6-10 µl per spot) on selective KB medium agar plates to grow for 48 h at 28°C. The number of colonies (CFU per drop) was monitored and bacterial growth was expressed in CFU cm⁻² of leaf tissue.

HR assay in Arabidopsis

Pseudomonas fluorescens engineered with a type III secretion system (Pf0-1 EtHAn; Thomas *et al.*, 2009) carrying pBS46: AvrRps4, pBS46:AvrRps4KRVY, pBS46:PopP2_ C321A, pBS46:AvrRpt2, pVSP61:AvrRpm1 or pVSP61: AvrPphB, and *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* strain DC3000 carrying pVSP61:AvrRps4-HA, pVSP61:AvrRpt2 or pVSP61 empty vector, were grown on selective KB medium agar plates for 48 h at 28°C. Bacteria were harvested from the plates, resuspended in infiltration buffer (10 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM MES, pH 5.6) and the concentration was adjusted to $OD_{600} = 0.05$, 0.1 or 0.2 (2.5×10^7 , 5×10^7 or 10^8 CFU ml⁻¹). The abaxial surfaces of 5-wk-old Arabidopsis leaves were hand infiltrated with a 1-ml needleless syringe. Cell death was monitored 24 h after infiltration.

Electrolyte leakage assay

Pf0-1 (T3S) strains were grown and infiltrated as for HR assays. Leaf discs were taken with a cork borer from infiltrated leaves. Discs were dried, washed in deionized water for 30 min before being floated on deionized water (16 discs per sample, three samples per biological replicate for three biological replicates). Electrolyte leakage was measured on a LAQUAtwin-EC-33 (Horiba, Kyoto, Japan) conductivity meter at the indicated time points.

Oomycete pathogen propagation and inoculation

For the propagation of *Albugo candida*, zoospores were suspended in water (*c*. 10⁵ spores ml⁻¹) and incubated on ice for 30 min. The spore suspension was then sprayed on plants using a Humbrol[®] (Hornby Hobbies Ltd, Sandwich, UK) spray gun (*c*. 700 μ l per plant) and plants were incubated at 4°C in the dark overnight. Infected plants were kept under 10 h light (20°C) and 14 h dark (16°C) cycles. Plants were scored as susceptible if a pathogen was capable of accomplishing its life cycle and sporulation was macroscopically visible within 3 wk after plant inoculations.

For the propagation of *Hyalanoperonospora arabidopsidis* (*Hpa*), 1-wk-old Arabidopsis seedlings were sprayed with fresh *Hpa* spores at a concentration of 10^4 spores ml⁻¹ using a Humbrol[®] spray gun (*c*. 700 µl per plant). Sprayed seedlings were covered with a plastic lid and were kept under 10 h light (16°C) and 14 h dark (16°C) cycles. Susceptibility was measured as the number of spores per plant. Approximately 80 plants were bulked in 2 ml of water and spores were counted using a haemocytometer. Results are expressed as the number of spores per plant.

Transient gene expression in N. benthamiana leaves

Agrobacterium tumefaciens strains were streaked on selective media and incubated at 28°C for 48 h. A single colony was transferred to liquid lysogeny broth medium with appropriate antibiotic and incubated at 28°C for 24 h in a shaking incubator (200 rotations per minute). The resulting culture was centrifuged at 2000 g for 5 min and resuspended in infiltration buffer (10 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM MES, pH 5.6) at OD₆₀₀ = 0.4 (2 × 10⁸ CFU ml⁻¹). For co-expression, each bacterial suspension was adjusted to OD₆₀₀ = 0.4 in the final mix. The abaxial surfaces of 5-wk-old *N. benthamiana* were infiltrated with a 1-ml needleless syringe. Leaves were phenotyped for cell death at 5 d post-inoculation (dpi).

Identification of ADR1 in the N. benthamiana genome

The NB-ARC amino acid sequence of NbNRG1 (DLPLQEL KVKLLEEKEKVVVLSAPAGCGKTTLAAMLCQEDDIKDK YRDIFFVTVSKKANIKRIVGEIFEMKGYKGPDFASEHAAV CQLNNLLRRSTSQPVLLVLDDVWSESDFVIESFIFQIPGF KILVTSRSVFPKFDTYKLNLLSEKDAKALFYSSAFKDSIPY VQLDLVHKAVRSCCGFPLALKVVGRSLCGQPELIWFNR VMLQSKRQILFPTENDLLRTLRASIDALDEIDLYSSEATT LRDCYLDLGSFPEDHRIHAAAILDMWVERYNLDED) was

used as a TBLASTN query sequence on the 'N. benthamiana Genome v1.0.1 predicted cDNA' database from Sol Genomic Network (Fernandez-Pozo et al., 2015). Three transcripts were identified: Niben101Scf02118g00018.1 (NbNRG1), Niben101Scf 03844g01015.1 (NbNRG2, pseudogene) and Niben101Scf0242 2g02015.1 (uncharacterized). The other results were not considered because of low coverage or low identity. The Niben101Scf02422g02015.1 predicted transcript does not start with a START codon and does not finish with a STOP codon, and so could be incomplete. Niben101Scf02422g02015.1 was used as BLASTN query on 'N. benthamiana Genome v1.0.1 Contigs' to identify the gene in its genomic context. We retrieved the Niben101Scf02422g02015.1 genomic sequence on contig Niben101Scf02422Ctg032 and identified a START codon 23 bp upstream and a STOP codon 564 bp downstream of Niben101Scf02422g02015.1, framing a 2487-bp gene including exons and introns (Fig. S1a). The resulting protein was analysed using the SMART protein domain annotation resource (Letunic & Bork, 2017). It contains an N-terminal RPW8 domain, a central NB-ARC domain and C-terminal LRRs. A phylogenetic reconstruction of the Niben101Scf02422g02015.1 NB-ARC domain, together with the NB-ARC domains of AtADR1, AtADR1-L1, AtADR1-L3, AtADR1-L3, AtNRG1A, AtNRG1B, AtDAR5, NbNRG1 and AtZAR1 as outer group, places Niben101Scf02422g02015.1 in the ADR1 clade. MUSCLE was used to generate phylogenetic trees using the Maximum Likelihood method with 100 bootstraps. This analysis was carried out using MEGA7 software.

Gene expression measurement by reverse transcriptionquantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR)

For gene expression analysis, RNA was isolated from three biological replicates and used for subsequent RT-qPCR analysis. Briefly, RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) and treated with RNase-Free DNase Set (Qiagen). Reverse transcription was carried out using SuperScript IV Reverse Transcriptase (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, USA). qPCR was performed using a CFX96 Touch[™] Real-Time PCR Detection System. Primers for qPCR analysis of ICS1 (Isochorismate Synthase1) are CAATTGGCAGGGAGACTTACG and GAGCTGATCTGATCCCGACTG. Primers for qPCR analysis of PR1 (Pathogenesis-Related1) are ATACACTCTGGTGGG CCTTACG and TACACCTCACTTTGGCACATCC. Primers for qPCR analysis of WRR4A are GCAAGATAGCGAGC TCCAGA and GCAAGAAACATACAAGTCCTCCA. Primers for qPCR analysis of EF1a are CAGGCTGATTGTGCTG TTCTTA and GTTGTATCCGACCTTCTTCAGG. Data were analysed using the double delta Ct method (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001).

For *CHS3-2D* and *CSA1*, RT-PCR was conducted on cDNA using 3'-GCGAGGTCACTGCAATTCTC-5' and 3'-TGTCT GACACTCCAACCACA-5' primers for *CHS3* and 5'-TGGTG TTTGAAGGAGCTTGC-3' and 5'-CATGAGCAGCTTGTA CGGAC-3' primers for *CSA1*, with Taq DNA Polymerase (NEB, Ipswich, MA, USA), following the manufacturer's protocol.

Trypan blue staining

Whole plants were boiled for 1 min in stain solution (10 ml lactic acid, 10 ml glycerol, 10 g phenol, 10 mg trypan blue and water in a final volume of 10 ml, mixed in a 1 : 1 ratio with ethanol) and then decolorized in chloral hydrate (2.5 g chloral hydrate and water in a final volume of 1 ml). They were mounted in 60% glycerol and examined under a microscope.

SA measurement

Pseudomonas fluorescens engineered with a type III secretion system (Pf0-1 EtHAn) (Thomas et al., 2009) carrying pBS46: AvrRps4 or pBS46:AvrRps4KRVY was grown on selective KB medium agar plates for 48 h at 28°C. Bacteria were harvested from the plates, resuspended in infiltration buffer (10 mM MgCl₂, pH 5.6) and the concentration was adjusted to $OD_{600} = 0.05 \ (2.5 \times 10^7 \text{ CFU ml}^{-1})$. The abaxial surfaces of 5wk-old Arabidopsis leaves were hand infiltrated with a 1-ml needleless syringe. Leaves were harvested at 24 h post-inoculation and freeze dried. SA was extracted from 10 mg of ground dry tissue with 400 µl of 10% methanol and 1% acetic acid in water on ice for 30 min. The solution was centrifuged at $16\,000\,g$ for 10 min. A second extraction was carried out on the pellet in the same conditions and both supernatants were mixed. Samples were analysed on an Acquity UPLC attached to a TQS tandem mass spectrometer (both from Waters, Milford, MA, USA). Detection was by negative electrospray MS. The spray chamber conditions were a desolvation temperature of 600°C, desolvation gas at 900 l h⁻¹, cone gas at $150 l h^{-1}$ and nebulizer pressure of 7.0 bar. The spray voltage was 1.5 kV in negative mode.

Protein extraction and western blot

Proteins were extracted from leaf tissue using $\mathrm{TruPAGE^{^{\mathrm{TM}}}\ LDS}$ Sample Buffer (Sigma-Aldrich) following the manufacturer's recommendations. They were separated by sodium dodecylsulfatepolyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and analysed by immunoblotting. Tris-glycine polyacrylamide (PAA) gels were prepared with 5% polyacrylamide for the stacking gel, and 10% or 12% polyacrylamide for resolving gels, in this study. The prestained protein ladder (PageRuler, ThermoFisher) was used as molecular weight marker. Proteins were transferred to Immobilon-P polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (Merck Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA) using a semi-dry transfer apparatus supplied by Trans-Blot Turbo (Bio-Rad). Membranes were blocked for 1 h at room temperature or overnight at 4°C in TBST (Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween) containing 5% (w/v) nonfat dry milk. Membrane incubation with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated antibodies (Anti-FLAG M2, 1:10 000 dilution, Sigma; Anti-GFP, 1:10 000 dilution, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, Dallas, TX, USA) was carried out in TBST supplemented with 5% milk by gentle agitation at room temperature for 1 h. The membrane was then rinsed three times in TBST (10 min) and once in TBS (Tris-buffered saline). Chemiluminescence detection for the proteins of interest was carried out first by incubating the membrane with developing reagents (SuperSignal West Pico & West Femto, Thermo Fisher Scientific), and then using an ImageQuant LAS 4000 (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Buckinghamshire, UK).

Results

Identification of Cas9-induced *nrg1a-nrg1b* mutants in Arabidopsis and an *nrg1* mutant in *N. benthamiana*

Of the five RPW8-NLRs from A. thaliana accession Col-0, three belong to the ADR1 clade (ADR1, ADR1-L1 and ADR1-L2) and two belong to the NRG1 clade (NRG1A (At5g66900) and NRG1B (At5g66910)) (Collier et al., 2011). Two genes encode proteins that resemble NRG1A and NRG1B, but do not share the canonical RPW8, NB-ARC and LRR structure. At5g66590 (referred to here as NRG1C) comprises a partial NB-ARC domain and LRRs, but no RPW8 domain. At5g66630 (DAR5) has RPW8, NB-ARC and LIM (Lin11, Isl-1 & Mec-3) domains, but no LRR. The N. benthamiana genome carries a single copy of NRG1 and a pseudogene called NRG2 (Peart et al., 2005). We identified Niben101Scf02422g02015.1 as an additional RPW8-NLR encoding gene. A phylogenetic reconstruction of all the RPW8-NLRs from Arabidopsis and N. benthamiana positions Niben101Scf02422g02015.1 in the ADR1 clade (Fig. S1b). Thus, N. benthamiana contains one copy of ADR1 and one copy of NRG1. We used CRISPR-Cas9 to generate null alleles of AtNRG1A and AtNRG1B in A. thaliana accessions Ws-2 and Col-0, and a null allele of NbNRG1 in N. benthamiana. We assembled three CRISPR constructs using Golden Gate cloning (see the Materials and Methods section; Fig. S2a; Methods S1). CRISPR-1 and CRISPR-2 constructs both targeted Arabidopsis NRG1A and NRG1B, but differed in the promoter used to express Cas9. The CRISPR-3 construct targeted N. benthamiana NRG1. We identified insertion or deletion (indel) mutations causing a reading frame shift resulting in early stop codons in or before the NB-ARC domain region for all three genes (Fig. S2b). After screening of self-progenies, we identified Ws-2_nrg1a-nrg1b, Col-0_nrg1a-nrg1b and N. benthamiana_nrg1 lines that carry homozygous nrg1 mutations and lack T-DNA.

NRG1 mediates RRS1/RPS4-mediated HR, but not bacterial resistance, in Ws-2

In Arabidopsis Ws-2, RRS1-R and RPS4 comprise a TIR-NLR pair that recognizes the effectors PopP2 from *Ralstonia solanacearum* and AvrRps4 from *P. syringae*. Their paralogues RRS1B and RPS4B also recognize AvrRps4, but not PopP2. RRS1/RPS4 and RRS1B/RPS4B activation results in HR, defence gene induction and bacterial resistance (Narusaka *et al.*, 2009; Saucet *et al.*, 2015). We tested whether these responses require NRG1. We delivered the effector AvrRps4 using *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* strain DC3000 and assessed cell death (Fig. S3). We observed fewer leaves displaying cell death in Ws-2_*nrg1-nrg1b* than in Ws-2 wild-type (WT). Cell death was not

completely abolished in Ws-2 nrg1-nrg1b. However, some combinations indicate that DC3000 can induce AvrRps4independent cell death (Fig. S3), such as DC3000 with empty vector in WT, or with AvrRps4 in an rrs1-rps4-rps4b mutant. DC3000 carries a diverse set of effectors that influence immunity and could mask the AvrRps4-induced HR. To test for HR after delivery of a single effector, we used the P. fluorescens strain Pf0-1 carrying a type III secretion system (Pf0-1 EtHAn) (Thomas et al., 2009). With the Pf0-1 system, AvrRps4 induces a specific HR in Ws-2 and Col-0, as a result of the activation of RRS1/ RPS4 and RRS1B/RPS4B (Saucet et al., 2015). The RRS1/RPS4 and RRS1B/RPS4B-mediated HR is completely lost in both Col-0_nrg1a-nrg1b and Ws-2_nrg1a-nrg1b backgrounds (Fig. 1a). This observation confirms that the cell death observed with DC3000_AvrRps4 in Ws-2_nrg1a-nrg1b, Ws-2_eds1 and Ws-2 WT is independent of AvrRps4 (Fig. S3). However, AvrRpt2, AvrRpm1 and AvrPphB, which activate the CC-NLRs RPS2, RPM1 and RPS5, respectively (Debener et al., 1991; Kunkel et al., 1993; Simonich & Innes, 1995), induce HR after delivery from Pf0-1, even in an nrg1a-nrg1b mutant background. We conducted an electrolyte leakage assay to test whether the HR caused by the CC-NLRs RPS2, RPM1 and RPS5 was quantitatively reduced in Col-0_nrg1a-nrg1b (Fig. 1b). Indeed, RPS2and RPM1-mediated HR, but not RPS5-mediated HR, as assayed by ion leakage assay, were also partially reduced at an early time point. This reduction was not seen at later time points for RPM1. These data indicate that NRG1 is fully required for the HR mediated by the TIR-NLRs RRS1/RPS4 and RRS1B/ RPS4B, partially required for the HR mediated by the CC-NLRs RPM1 and RPS2, and not required for the HR mediated by the CC-NLR RPS5. Both AtNRG1A and AtNRG1B can complement Ws-2_nrg1a-nrg1b loss of TIR-NLR-mediated HR, indicating that they are redundant for this function (Fig. 1a).

We used the *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* strain DC3000 to test for bacterial resistance (Xin & He, 2013). Bacterial growth on Ws-2 is reduced for DC3000 expressing AvrRps4, as a result of recognition by RRS1/RPS4 and RRS1B/RPS4B (Saucet *et al.*, 2015). Surprisingly, this AvrRps4-dependent reduced growth is unaltered in an *nrg1a-nrg1b* double mutant (Fig. 2a). Although DC3000 can trigger AvrRps4-independent cell death, the AvrRps4-dependent HR is lost in *nrg1a-nrg1b* (Figs 1, S3). Thus, loss of NRG1 function abolishes HR from activation of RRS1/ RPS4 and RRS1B/RPS4B, but does not compromise the activation of disease resistance to bacteria in Arabidopsis. The maintenance of RPS2-mediated HR in the absence of NRG1 correlates with resistance to DC3000 expressing AvrRpt2 in Col-0_*nrg1anrg1b* (Fig. 2b).

The activation of RRS1/RPS4 also results in the induction of SA-related genes, such as *ICS1* and *PR1* (Sohn *et al.*, 2014). SA plays a crucial role as a signalling molecule that activates plant immunity (Durner *et al.*, 1997). We measured changes in SA levels and the expression of SA-responsive *PR1* and SA biosynthetic gene *ICS1* in response to Pf0-1_AvrRps4, and, as a control, to Pf0-1_AvrRps4 (Sohn *et al.*, 2012a,b). *PR1* and *ICS1* both show RPS4/RRS1/AvrRps4-dependent gene induction, even in





Fig. 1 NRG1 is required for the RRS1/RPS4-mediated hypersensitive response (HR). (a) Arabidopsis leaves were infiltrated with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain Pf0-1 expressing the indicated effectors at an optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) = 0.2. For Ws-2, the whole leaf was infiltrated. For Col-0, half of a leaf was infiltrated. Photographs were taken at 24 h post-inoculation (hpi). The red arrows show an HR, indicating NLR activation by the cognate effector. The numbers indicate the number of plants displaying HR of the total number of plants tested. PopP2- and AvrRps4-mediated HR is lost in Ws-2_*nrg1* (Ws-2_*nrg1a-nrg1b*) and Col-0_*nrg1* (Col-0_*nrg1a-nrg1b*). AvrRps4^{KRVY} (AvrRps4^{KRVY}-AAAA) and PopP2^{C321A} are mutant alleles unable to trigger HR in wild-type (WT) plants, used as a negative control for HR in WT. Indeed, they did not trigger HR. AvrRpt2, AvrRpm1 and AvrPphB are CC-NLR-activating effectors used as positive control for HR in *nrg1*. They all trigger HR in both WT and *nrg1* mutant lines. HR is recovered in lines complemented with either NRG1A or NRG1B, tagged with mCherry, green fluorescent protein (GFP), V5 or His-FLAG (HF). (b) HR was quantified by the electrolyte leakage assay. Col-0 WT or Col-0_*nrg1a-nrg1b* plants were infiltrated in the same conditions as for the photographs. Sixteen discs were collected, rinsed and immersed in 10 ml of water. The electroconductivity was measured at 4, 10 and 24 hpi. Boxplots represent nine data points (three biological replicates × three technical replicates). Colours indicate biological replicates (plants grown at different times); shapes indicate technical replicates (different plants inoculated with the same inoculum). Significance was calculated with *t*-tests and the *P* value is indicated: ns (nonsignificant), *P* > 0.05; *, *P* < 0.05, **, *P* < 0.001.

the absence of NRG1 (Figs 3, S4). SA is weakly induced by Pf0-1_AvrRps4^{KRVY}, probably as a result of PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI), and strongly induced by Pf0-1_AvrRps4 (Fig. 3b). SA

induction is not reduced in Ws-2_*nrg1a-nrg1b*. Thus, NRG1 is not required for RRS1/RPS4-mediated elevation of SA levels and induction of defence genes.



Fig. 2 NRG1 is not required for bacterial resistance. Bacterial growth assay in Arabidopsis using *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* strain DC3000 (DC3000). One leaf per plant was infiltrated with DC3000 expressing or not (a) AvrRps4 or (b) AvrRpt2 at an optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) = 0.001. Bacterial quantification was performed just after infiltration (0 d post-inoculation, dpi) and at 3 dpi. Each dot represents one individual plant. Colours and shapes represent three biological replicates. (a) Letters below or above the bars indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) as determined by a one-way ANOVA followed by *post hoc* Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) analysis. (b) The growth of DC3000_AvrRpt2 was not different in Col-0 wild-type (WT) and Col-0_*nrg1a-nrg1b*, as indicated by a nonsignificant (ns) *t*-test (P = 0.418). Rep, biological replicate. CFU, colony-forming unit.

Arabidopsis *nrg1* mutants show impaired TIR-NLRdependent resistance to oomycete pathogens

Arabidopsis accession Col-0 resists Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis (downy mildew or Hpa) races Emoy2 and Cala2 via the TIR-NLRs RPP2 and RPP4, respectively (Van Der Biezen et al., 2002; Sinapidou et al., 2004). In Ws-2, Hpa race Cala2 is resisted via the TIR-NLR RPP1 WsA (Botella et al., 1998). We tested whether Cala2 and Emoy2 resistance requires NRG1 (Figs 4, S5). We found that the hyphal growth in cotyledons was more extensive in Ws-2_nrg1a-nrg1b than in Ws-2 WT for Cala2 (Figs 4, S5). Larger numbers of spores were produced on nrg1a-nrg1b-infected plants, but not to the level of eds1 (enhanced disease resistance 1, an Arabidopsis mutant strongly affected in resistance mediated by TIR-NLRs; Wiermer et al., 2005). Some spores were observed in the resistant WT plant; these could either be spores that persist from the inoculation or fresh spores from rare sporangiophores sometimes observed in cotyledons of the WT plant (Fig. S5g). We conclude that RPP1- and RPP2-mediated resistance to Cala2 and RPP4mediated resistance to Emoy2 partially requires NRG1.

Arabidopsis Col-0 and Ws-2 also contain TIR-NLRs that confer resistance to the oomycete *Albugo candida* (*Ac*), the cause of white rust. For instance, the TIR-NLR WRR4A confers resistance to the white rust race AcEm2 (Borhan *et al.*, 2008). We expressed an allele of *WRR4A* from Arabidopsis accession Oy-0 in the AcEm2-compatible accession Ws-2 and observed full resistance (Fig. 5a). However, Ws-2_*nrg1a-nrg1b* transformed with *WRR4A* was partially susceptible, showing that WRR4A requires NRG1 to activate full resistance to white rust. All transgenic lines expressed *WRR4A* at different levels within the same range (Fig. 5b). Thus, the partial loss of resistance in Ws-2_*nrg1anrg1b_WRR4A* is not a result of low expression of *WRR4A*, but rather of the loss of *NRG1*.

Ws-2 lacks WRR4A but contains a paralogue, called WRR4B, which confers resistance to the white rust races Ac2V, Ac7V and AcBoT (Cevik *et al.*, 2019). We expressed a Cas9 construct targeting WRR4B with two sgRNAs and recovered a homozygous



Fig. 3 NRG1 is not required for salicylic acid (SA) pathway activation. (a, c) Induction of ICS1 and PR1 on Pf0-1 strain infiltration. Arabidopsis leaves were infiltrated with bacteria at an optical density at 600 nm $(OD_{600}) = 0.2$. Samples were collected at 4 h post-inoculation (hpi) for ICS1 expression and at 8 hpi for PR1 expression. Values represent the expression level relative to *EF1* α , using the 'double delta Ct' method. Three lines were used and bulked for each treatment. Error bars represent SE of three technical replicates. Three biological replicates all showed induction of ICS1 and PR1 by AvrRps4 in both WT and Ws-2_nrg1nrg1b. (b) Induction of SA on Pf0-1 strain infiltration. Arabidopsis leaves were infiltrated with bacteria at $OD_{600} = 0.05$. Samples were collected at 24 hpi. SA was extracted from 10 mg of dry weight and SA was quantified by ultrahigh-pressure liquid chromatography. Colours and shapes represent three biological replicates, each represented by two independent extractions from the same set of infiltrated leaves. n.i., non-infiltrated. Significance was calculated with *t*-tests and the *P* value is indicated: ns, *P* > 0.05; *, *P* < 0.05; **, *P* < 0.01; ***, *P* < 0.001.

mutant line (see Materials and Methods). This line is partially susceptible to Ac2V and Ac7V, but still resists AcBoT (Fig. 5c). Similarly, Ac2V and Ac7V, but not AcBoT, can complete their life cycle on Ws-2_nrg1a-nrg1b (Fig. 5c). Thus, both WRR4B

and NRG1 are required for full Ac2V and Ac7V resistance, and the phenotypes of both loss-of-function mutants are similar, suggesting that WRR4B also requires NRG1 to confer white rust resistance.

New Phytologist (2019) www.newphytologist.com



Fig. 4 *nrg1* mutants are partially compromised in resistance to downy mildew. Seven-day-old plants were spray inoculated with *Hyalanoperonospora arabidopsidis* race Emoy2 or Cala2. Plants were phenotyped 7 d after inoculation. (a–c) Full resistance was observed in Col-0 and Ws-2 wild-type (WT); full susceptibility was observed in Col-0_*eds1* (*eds1-2*) and Ws-2_*eds1* (*eds1-1*); an intermediate phenotype was observed in Col-0_*nrg1* (*Col-0_nrg1-nrg1b*) and Ws-2_*nrg1* (Ws-2_*nrg1a-nrg1b*). Ler-0 and Oy-0 were used as susceptible controls for Cala2 and Emoy2 infections, respectively. Significant differences between WT and *nrg1* mutant plants are indicated (*t*-test): *, P < 0.05. Data are expressed as spores per plant. (d) Cotyledons of Ws-2 WT or *nrg1a-nrg1b* infected with Cala2 were stained with trypan blue to visualize hyphal growth. Images were taken with a microscope and annotated using the software 'AFFINITY PHOTO'. Hyphae are coloured in red. The original photographs are shown at the top.





Fig. 5 *nrg1* mutants are partially compromised in resistance to white rust. Three- to five-wk-old plants were spray inoculated with *Albugo candida*. Plants were phenotyped at 12 d after inoculation. Abaxial and adaxial photographs of the same leaf are shown. Numbers indicate the number of individual plants showing a similar phenotype from the number of plants tested. (a) Two independent lines for Ws-2 wild-type (WT) and two independent lines for Ws-2_*nrg1a-nrg1b* were tested for *Albugo candida* race AcEm2 resistance. Six plants were tested for each line. Ws-2 is susceptible, whereas *WRR4A* transgenic lines are resistant. Ws-2_*nrg1a-nrg1b* expressing *WRR4A* is still partially susceptible to AcEm2. The Oy-0 allele of *WRR4A* was used here. (b) Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) was conducted in *WRR4A* transgenic lines. Two lines were tested for each independent transformant. Error bars indicate \pm SE of three technical replicates. *WRR4A* is expressed in these lines at a higher level than in the fully resistant Col-0 WT. (c) Eight or 12 plants for each genotype were tested with *Albugo candida* races Ac2V and Ac7V, whereas Ws-2_WT is resistant. Ws-2_*nrg1a-nrg1b* and Ws-2_*wrr4b* are partially susceptible to Ac2V and Ac7V. AcBoT resistance is not affected in Ws-2_*nrg1a-nrg1b* and Ws-2_*wrr4b* mutants, whereas Ws-2_*eds1* is partially susceptible. Red arrows indicate the presence of white pustules, resulting from the production of zoospores. T2, line from the second generation after transformation. #, reference number of the independent transformant line.

NRG1 is required for SOC3/CHS1- and CSA1/CHS3-induced HR in *N. benthamiana*

CSA1 and CHS3 are two adjacent TIR-NLR encoding genes from Arabidopsis. CHS3 carries an integrated C-terminal LIM domain. chs3-2D is a gain-of-function allele that confers a CSA1dependent autoimmune phenotype in Arabidopsis (Xu et al., 2015). Similarly, SOC3 and CHS1 are a TIR-NLR/TIR-NB (TIR, NB-ARC but no LRR) pair encoded by two adjacent genes in Arabidopsis. They interact to modulate immunity (Zhang et al., 2016). We transiently expressed CSA1 and chs3-2D or SOC3 and CHS1 in leaves of N. benthamiana and the Nb_nrg1 mutant. We found that CSA1 and chs3-2D can induce HR in N. benthamiana, but not in Nb_nrg1 (Fig. 6). We also observed an HR triggered by SOC3 and CHS1 alone or in combination, which is lost in the absence of NRG1. Although the CHS1/ SOC3 and CHS3/CSA1 phenotypes are weak in WT, they never induce HR in Nb_nrg1. The CC domain of the CC-NLR MLA from barley and the D505V 'autoimmune' allele of the CC-NLR RPM1 from Arabidopsis activate HR in N. benthamiana (Gaoa et al., 2011; Maekawa et al., 2011). Transient expression of these alleles results in HR in both N. benthamiana and Nb_nrg1. We tested the expression of CHS1 and SOC3 and found that the proteins are still expressed in Nb_nrg1 (Fig. S6). As CHS3-2D and CSA1 are not tagged, we tested the expression of their mRNA and found that they were also expressed in Nb_nrg1. We conclude that NRG1 is required for the HR initiated by the TIR-NLR pair CSA1-CHS3 and the TIR-NLR/TIR-NB pair SOC3-CHS1, but not by the CC-NLRs MLA7 and RPM1 in *N. benthamiana*.

Discussion

NRG1 is a conserved clade of RPW8-NLR required for TIR-NLR signalling

NRG1 was originally identified in N. benthamiana as required for resistance mediated by the TIR-NLR N (Peart et al., 2005). NRG1 is broadly conserved within angiosperms, forming the socalled NRG1 clade (Collier et al., 2011). NRG1 could be a helper clade for many NLR sensors. Interestingly, there is a correlation of NRG1 and TIR-NLR occurrence within plant genomes; the NRG1 lineage is missing in monocots and Lamiales, which also lack TIR-NLRs (Collier et al., 2011). However, the NLRome of Amborella trichopoda, an early-diverging lineage among angiosperms, contains both TIR-NLRs and NRG1 (Shao et al., 2016). This observation suggests that NRG1 could be specifically required for all TIR-NLR-mediated immunity. To test this hypothesis, we mutated NRG1 from N. benthamiana and Arabidopsis and tested for loss or reduction of TIR-NLR function. Nicotiana benthamiana and Arabidopsis carry one and two copies of NRG1, respectively (NbNRG1 and AtNRG1A/ AtNRG1B). We found that NbNRG1 is required for the HR triggered by the TIR-NLR pairs SOC3/CHS1 and CSA1/CHS3-2D

Fig. 6 CSA1/CHS3 and SOC3/CHS1 induce an NRG1-dependent hypersensitive response (HR) in Nicotiana benthamiana. Four-wk-old N. benthamiana plants were infiltrated with Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101 at an optical density at 600 nm $(OD_{600}) = 0.4$. Genes are expressed under the control of the 355 promoter and the Ocs terminator. Photographs were taken at 5 d post-inoculation (dpi). Both SOC3/CHS1 and CSA1/CHS3 pairs induce an HR in the wildtype (WT), but not in the nrg1 mutant. SOC3, CHS1, CSA1 and CHS3-2D sometimes give an HR on their own. The CC domain of MLA7 from barley and the $RPM1^{D505V}$ auto-active allele were used as non-TIR-NLR controls. The numbers indicate the number of HRs observed from the number of infiltrations for a given combination. Even weak HRs were considered. For instance, on WT plants in this figure, SOC3, CHS1, SOC3 + CHS1, CSA1 + CHS3-2D and CHS3-2D were considered to be positive for HR, whereas CSA1 was considered to be negative.



(Fig. 6). Signalling of the CC-NLRs MLA7 and RPM1 still results in an HR in *Nb_nrg1* that is indistinguishable from that of WT. In Arabidopsis, CHS3 signals via NRG1, but not CHS1, which signals via ADR1 (Wu *et al.*, 2018). It is possible that NbADR1 is too diverged from the three Arabidopsis ADR1 alleles to support CHS1 function and complement the loss of NRG1.

In Arabidopsis accession Ws-2, transgenic expression of WRR4A confers NRG1-dependent resistance to the white rust race AcEm2 (Fig. 5a). Moreover, resistance to A. candida races Ac2V and Ac7V is strongly reduced in an nrg1-nrg1b double mutant (Fig. 5c). This resistance is mediated, at least in part, by the TIR-NLR WRR4B, suggesting that the WRR4B paralogue of WRR4A can also signal via NRG1. We also found that resistance mediated by the TIR-NLRs RPP1, RPP2 and RPP4 is partially dependent on NRG1 in Arabidopsis (Fig. 4). However, the requirement of NRG1 by RPP1, RPP2 and RPP4 for downy mildew resistance, although significant, is weak. In addition, RPP4 function was not affected in an independent experiment (Wu et al., 2018). Thus, other helpers, such as ADR1 family proteins, already reported to contribute to RPP4 function (Bonardi et al., 2011), also probably contribute to RPP1, RPP2 and RPP4 function.

Using the Pf0-1 system, we found that the HR induced by RRS1/RPS4 and RRS1B/RPS4B is fully dependent on NRG1 in Arabidopsis (Fig. 1a). Surprisingly, we observed a weak reduction in the HR induced by AvrRpt2 (via CC-NLR RPS2) and AvrRpm1 (via CC-NLR RPM1). The HR induced by AvrPphB

(via CC-NLR RPS5) is unaltered in Col-0_*nrg1a-nrg1b*. It has been reported previously that another CC-NLR, Rx2 from potato, also requires NRG1 redundantly with ADR1 to confer resistance to *Potato virus X* (Collier *et al.*, 2011).

NRG1 is indeed required for full function of all tested TIR-NLRs. However, the function of some CC-NLRs is also compromised in the absence of NRG1.

Disease resistance is possible without HR

Despite the absence of AvrRps4-induced HR, RRS1/RPS4 still confers bacterial resistance in a Ws-2_nrg1a-nrg1b background (Figs 1, 2). HR is a form of cell death associated with plant resistance, and is strongly correlated with the activation of a host R protein by a pathogen avirulence factor (Morel & Dangl, 1997). For instance, the recognized effectors AvrRpm1 and AvrRpt2 trigger both HR and bacterial resistance in Arabidopsis as a result of recognition by the R proteins RPM1 and RPS2 (Mackey et al., 2002). However, not all avirulence factors induce HR. For example, the effectors HopZ5 and HopPsyA from *P. syringae* pv. actinidiae and P. syringae pv. syringae induce an HR-independent resistance in Arabidopsis accession Col-0 (Gassmann, 2005; Javaraman et al., 2017). Similarly, the HR induction by the CC-NLR Rx is not required to confer extreme resistance against Potato virus X (Bendahmane et al., 1999). In addition, a genetic screen revealed a dnd1 (defence no death 1) mutant of Arabidopsis accession Col-0 which lacks the AvrRps2-induced HR, but still resists P. syringae expressing AvrRpt2 (Yu et al., 1998). DND1



Fig. 7 CC-NLRs and TIR-NLRs can signal via NRG1 and/or ADR1. NLRs are immune surveillance devices. Specific activation by pathogen effectors triggers resistance. For full response, they require RPW8-containing proteins NRG1 and ADR1. All the TIR-NLRs can signal via NRG1 and some can also signal via ADR1. CC-NLRs signal via ADR1 and partially via NRG1. Some NLRs may signal independently of ADR1 and NRG1. ADR1 and NRG1 are conserved within Angiosperms, suggesting that this model can be applied for all flowering plants. RPM1, RPS2, RRS1-RPS4, RPP1, RPP2, RPP4, WRR4A, CSA1/CHS3 and SOC3/CHS1 signal via NRG1 (this article). RPS2, RPP2 and RPP4 signal via ADR1 (Bonardi *et al.*, 2011). RPP4, RRS1, but not CHS3, signal via ADR1 (Dong *et al.*, 2016). Roq1 signals via NRG1 (Brendolise *et al.*, 2018). Roq1 and RPP1 signal via NRG1 (Qi *et al.*, 2018). N signals via NRG1 (Peart *et al.*, 2005). Rx2 signals via both NRG1 and ADR1 (Collier *et al.*, 2011). SNC1 signals via both ADR1 and CHS3 signals specifically via NRG1 (Wu *et al.*, 2018). TMV, *Tobacco mosaic virus*; PVX, *Potato virus* X.

encodes for a putative cyclic nucleotide-gated Ca²⁺, K⁺ and/or Na⁺ channel (Clough *et al.*, 2000). Here, we found that NRG1 is required for HR, but not for resistance, mediated by the TIR-NLR pair RRS1/RPS4. It has been reported previously that AvrRps4 activates cell death from the cytoplasm and resistance from the nucleus, and that these two functions are independent (Heidrich *et al.*, 2011). Whether NRG1 plays a specific role in this cytoplasmic cell death pathway is unknown. Moreover, RPP1-mediated HR in *N. benthamiana* fully requires NbNRG1 (Brendolise *et al.*, 2018; Qi *et al.*, 2018), whereas RPP1 function is partially retained in Ws-2_*nrg1a-nrg1b* (Fig. 4). The uncoupling of HR and resistance highlights the ambiguous relationship between these two defence outputs.

By contrast, NRG1 is required for Roq1-mediated HR and Roq1-dependent bacterial resistance in *N. benthamiana* (Qi *et al.*, 2018). We speculate that ADR1 proteins, from the sister clade of NRG1, might complement the loss of NRG1s for some TIR-NLRs, e.g. RRS1/RPS4 and RPP1, but not Roq1. TIR-NLRs activate in parallel an SA-dependent pathway and an NRG1-dependent pathway that results in HR

ADR1 proteins are required for RPS2/AvrRpt2-induced SA production in Arabidopsis (Bonardi *et al.*, 2011). We tested whether the same is true for NRG1 proteins. We measured the induction of SA and the expression of the SA biosynthetic gene *ICS1* and the SA-responsive gene *PR1* in Ws-2_*nrg1a-nrg1b* (Dong, 2004). In this assay, we compared induction after infiltration of Pf0-1_AvrRps4^{WT} (inducing both PTI and effector-triggered immunity (ETI) via RRS1/RPS4 and RRS1B/RPS4B) and infiltration of Pf0-1_AvrRps4^{KRVY} (inducing PTI only) (Figs 3, S4). In Ws-2_*rrs1-rps4-rps4b* and Ws-2_*eds1*, *ICS1* and *PR1* expression are not induced by AvrRps4 or its inactive allele AvrRps4^{KRKY}. However, AvrRps4 specifically enhances *ICS1* and *PR1* expression in both WT and *nrg1a-nrg1b* mutant lines. This is consistent with the production of SA, which is maintained at similar levels after induction in both WT and *nrg1a-nrg1b*

(Fig. 3b). Although NRG1 is required for RRS1/RPS4-mediated HR, it is dispensable for SA pathway activation. Unlike ADR1, NRG1 is not involved in SA regulation during ETI. Retention of SA production on RRS1/RPS4 activation could explain the WTlike bacterial resistance in Ws-2_nrg1a-nrg1b, despite the loss of HR. These results indicate that the SA-dependent pathway and NRG1-dependent pathway (resulting in HR) can be activated in parallel by TIR-NLRs. Of the genes differentially regulated during XopQ-induced ETI (via the NRG1-dependent TIR-NLR Rog1), 80% depend on NRG1 (Qi et al., 2018). Some of these genes are involved in photosynthesis, RNA processing and protein degradation. Thus, it is likely that NRG1 regulates response pathways in addition to HR. By contrast, as c. 20% of the ETI genes are still normally regulated in nrg1 (Qi et al., 2018), SA signalling is probably not the only immune response still active in nrg1 mutants.

Some TIR-NLRs signal via NRG1s only, whereas some signal via both ADR1s and NRG1s

RPW8-NLRs are a monophyletic anciently diverged clade. They do not show extensive diversification or expansion, and are conserved in angiosperms, gymnosperms and bryophytes (Zhong & Cheng, 2016). RPW8-NLRs diverged into ADR1 and NRG1 subclades before the monocot/dicot separation (Collier *et al.*, 2011). ADR1s have been characterized in Arabidopsis as helpers for the R proteins RPP2, RPP4 and RPS2 (Bonardi *et al.*, 2011). In addition, an *adr1-adr1-l1-adr-l2 (adr1-triple)* mutant can partially suppress the autoimmune phenotype of *slh1-9*, which is an Arabidopsis line with an auto-active allele of *RRS1* (Dong *et al.*, 2016). Thus, RPS2, RPP2, RPP4 and RRS1/RPS4 can signal via ADR1s. Here, we found that full RPP2-, RPP4- and RRS1/ RPS4-mediated immune responses require NRG1s. Therefore, these three immune receptors signal via both ADR1s and NRG1s.

Unlike *slh1-9*, the *chs3-1* autoimmune phenotype is totally independent of ADR1s (Dong *et al.*, 2016). Here, we found that CHS3-induced HR requires *NbNRG1*. Moreover, the *chs3-2D* autoimmune phenotype is completely lost in an *nrg1a-nrg1bnrg1c* mutant of Arabidopsis (Wu *et al.*, 2018). Thus, CHS3 signals only via NRG1s.

Conversely, RPS2-mediated macroscopic HR does not require NRG1 (Fig. 1a), but it requires ADR1 (Bonardi *et al.*, 2011). Thus, RPS2 mainly signals via ADR1. It also partially requires NRG1, as indicated by a reduction in ion leakage in the absence of NRG1 (Fig 1b).

AvrRpm1-induced macroscopic HR in Arabidopsis is still active in the *adr1*-triple (Bonardi *et al.*, 2011) and *nrg1a-nrg1b* mutant backgrounds (Fig. 1a). RPM1 either signals independently of ADR1 and NRG1, or can achieve full function dependent on either ADR1s or NRG1s, like the CC-NLR Rx2 (Collier *et al.*, 2011).

In conclusion, some R proteins signal via NRG1 only (e.g. CHS3) and some via both ADR1 and NRG1 (e.g. RRS1/RPS4, RPP2, RPP4, RPS2, Rx2, CHS1, SNC1) (Fig. 7). Some others may signal via ADR1 only. In a companion paper in this issue of

New Phytologist, an *adr1-adr1-L1-adr1-L2-nrg1a-nrg1b-nrg1c* sextuple mutant was characterized and showed redundancy between NRG1s and ADR1s for TIR-NLR function (Wu *et al.*, 2018) . Unravelling the mechanism of RPW8 (Xiao *et al.*, 2001; Chae *et al.*, 2014) and RPW8-containing NLRs will push forward our understanding of the plant immune system and may ultimately be applied to deploy wisely genetic resistance in crops.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Gatsby Charitable Foundation at The Sainsbury Laboratory. YY received funding from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (Grand Challenges Exploration, grant agreement OPP1060026). P-MN was supported by the BBSRC Norwich Research Park Biosciences Doctoral Training Partnership (grant agreement BB/M011216/1). PD received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie (grant agreement no. 656243) and BBSRC Future Leader Fellowships (grant agreement BB/012172/1). D-SK was supported by BBSRC (grant agreements BB/M003809/1 and BB/ K009176/1). AR was supported by EMBO LTF (ALTF-842-2015). VC was supported by BBSRC grant BB/L011646/1. BC and JDGJ were supported in part by ERC Advanced Investigator grant to JDGJ 'ImmunityByPairDesign' Project ID 669926.

We thank Farid El Kasmi for providing the *RPM1* construct and Matthew Moscou for providing the *MLA7* construct.

Author contributions

BC, PD and JDGJ designed the research. BC, P-MN, D-SK, VC, AR, YY and PD performed the experiments. BC and JDGJ wrote the manuscript.

ORCID

Baptiste Castel b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2722-0228 Volkan Cevik b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3545-3179 Pingtao Ding b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3535-6053 Jonathan D. G. Jones b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4953-261X

Dae-Sung Kim (D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4579-2094 Pok-Man Ngou (D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0760-1058 Amey Redkar (D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5171-8061

References

Bendahmane A, Kanyuka K, Baulcombe DC. 1999. The *Rx* gene from potato controls separate virus resistance and cell death responses. *Plant Cell* 11: 781– 792.

- Bonardi V, Tang S, Stallmann A, Roberts M, Cherkis KA, Dangl JL. 2011. Expanded functions for a family of plant intracellular immune receptors beyond specific recognition of pathogen effectors. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **108**: 16463–16468.
- Borhan MH, Gunn N, Cooper A, Gulden S, Tör M, Rimmer SR, Holub EB. 2008. WRR4 encodes a TIR-NB-LRR protein that confers broad-spectrum

white rust resistance in *Arabidopsis thaliana* to four physiological races of *Albugo candida, Molecular Plant–Microbe Interactions* **21**: 757–768.

Borhan MH, Holub EB, Kindrachuk C, Omidi M, Bozorgmanesh-Frad G, Rimmer SR. 2010. WRR4, a broad-spectrum TIR-NB-LRR gene from Arabidopsis thaliana that confers white rust resistance in transgenic oilseed brassica crops. Molecular Plant Pathology 11: 283–291.

Botella MA, Parker JE, Frost LN, Bittner-Eddy PD, Beynon JL, Daniels MJ, Holub EB, Jones JDG. 1998. Three genes of the Arabidopsis *RPP1* complex resistance locus recognize distinct *Peronospora parasitica* avirulence determinants. *Plant Cell* 10: 1847–1860.

Brendolise C, Martinez-Sanchez M, Morel A, Chen R, Dinis R, Deroles S, Peeters N, Rikkerink EHA, Montefiori M. 2018. NRG1-mediated recognition of HopQ1 reveals a link between PAMP- and effector-triggered Immunity. *bioRxiv*. 293050. doi: 10.1101/293050.

Castel B, Tomlinson L, Locci F, Yang Y, Jones JDG. 2018. Optimization of T-DNA architecture for Cas9-mediated mutagenesis in Arabidopsis. *bioRxiv*. 419952. doi: 10.1101/419952.

Cevik V, Boutrot F, Apel W, Robert-Seilaniantz A, Furzer O, Redkar A, Castel B, Kover P, Prince D, Holub E *et al.* 2019. Transgressive segregation reveals mechanisms of Arabidopsis immunity to Brassica-infecting races of white rust (*Albugo candida*). *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* (In press).

Chae E, Bomblies K, Kim ST, Karelina D, Zaidem M, Ossowski S, Martín-Pizarro C, Laitinen RAE, Rowan BA, Tenenboim H *et al.* 2014. Species-wide genetic incompatibility analysis identifies immune genes as hot spots of deleterious epistasis. *Cell* 159: 1341–1351.

Clough SJ, Fengler KA, Yu I, Lippok B, Smith RK, Bent AF. 2000. The Arabidopsis dnd1 'defense, no death' gene encodes a mutated cyclic nucleotidegated ion channel. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 97: 9323–9328.

Collier SM, Hamel L-P, Moffett P. 2011. Cell death mediated by the Nterminal domains of a unique and highly conserved class of NB-LRR protein. *Molecular Plant–Microbe Interactions* 24: 918–931.

Debener T, Lehnackers H, Arnold M, Dangl JL. 1991. Identification and molecular mapping of a single *Arabidopsis thaliana* locus determining resistance to a phytopathogenic *Pseudomonas syringae* isolate. *The Plant Journal* 1: 289–302.

Dong OX, Tong M, Bonardi V, El Kasmi F, Woloshen V, Wünsch LK, Dangl JL, Li X. 2016. TNL-mediated immunity in Arabidopsis requires complex regulation of the redundant *ADR1* gene family. *New Phytologist* 210: 960–973.

Dong X. 2004. NPR1, all things considered. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 7: 547–552.

Durner J, Shah J, Klessig DF. 1997. Salicylic acid and disease resistance in plants. *Trends in Plant Science* 2: 266–274.

Engler C, Gruetzner R, Kandzia R, Marillonnet S. 2009. Golden gate shuffling: a one-pot DNA shuffling method based on type IIs restriction enzymes. *PLoS ONE* 4: e5553.

Falk A, Feys BJ, Frost LN, Jones JD, Daniels MJ, Parker JE. 1999. EDS1, an essential component of *R* gene-mediated disease resistance in Arabidopsis has homology to eukaryotic lipases. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *USA* 96: 3292–3297.

Fernandez-Pozo N, Menda N, Edwards JD, Saha S, Tecle IY, Strickler SR, Bombarely A, Fisher-York T, Pujar A, Foerster H *et al.* 2015. The Sol Genomics Network (SGN)—from genotype to phenotype to breeding. *Nucleic Acids Research* 43: D1036–D1041.

Gaoa Z, Chunga E-H, Eitasa TK, Dangl JL. 2011. Plant intracellular innate immune receptor Resistance to *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. maculicola 1 (RPM1) is activated at, and functions on, the plasma membrane. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 108: 8914.

Gassmann W. 2005. Natural variation in the Arabidopsis response to the avirulence gene *hopPsyA* uncouples the hypersensitive response from disease resistance. *Molecular Plant–Microbe Interactions* 18: 1054–1060.

Geu-Flores F, Nour-Eldin HH, Nielsen MT, Halkier BA. 2007. USER fusion: a rapid and efficient method for simultaneous fusion and cloning of multiple PCR products. *Nucleic Acids Research* 35: e55.

Gibson DG, Young L, Chuang R-Y, Venter JC, Hutchison CA, Smith HO. 2009. Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. *Nature Methods* 6: 343–345. Jayaraman J, Choi S, Prokchorchik M, Choi DS, Spiandore A, Rikkerink EH, Templeton MD, Segonzac C, Sohn KH. 2017. A bacterial acetyltransferase triggers immunity in *Arabidopsis thaliana* independent of hypersensitive response. *Scientific Reports* 7: 3557.

Jones JDG, Dangl JL. 2006. The plant immune system. Nature 444: 323-329.

Jones JDG, Witek K, Verweij W, Jupe F, Cooke D, Dorling S, Tomlinson L, Smoker M, Perkins S, Foster S. 2014. Elevating crop disease resistance with cloned genes. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* 369: 20130087.

Kourelis J, Van Der Hoorn RAL. 2018. Defended to the nines: 25 years of *Resistance* gene cloning identifies nine mechanisms for R protein function. *Plant Cell* 30: 285–299.

Kunkel BN, Bent AF, Dahlbeck D, Innes RW, Staskawicz BJ. 1993. *RPS2*, an Arabidopsis disease resistance locus specifying recognition of *Pseudomonas syringae* strains expressing the avirulence gene *avrRpt2*. *Plant Cell* 5: 865–875.

Letunic I, Bork P. 2017. 20 years of the SMART protein domain annotation resource. *Nucleic Acids Research* 46: D493–D496.

Li J-F, Norville JE, Aach J, McCormack M, Zhang D, Bush J, Church GM, Sheen J. 2013. Multiplex and homologous recombination-mediated genome editing in Arabidopsis and *Nicotiana benthamiana* using guide RNA and Cas9. *Nature Biotechnology* 31: 686–688.

Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method. *Methods* 25: 402–408.

Mackey D, Holt BF, Wiig A, Dangl JL. 2002. RIN4 interacts with *Pseudomonas* syringae type III effector molecules and is required for RPM1-mediated resistance in *Arabidopsis. Cell* 108: 743–754.

Maekawa T, Cheng W, Spiridon LN, Töller A, Lukasik E, Saijo Y, Liu P, Shen QH, Micluta MA, Somssich IE *et al.* 2011. Coiled-coil domain-dependent homodimerization of intracellular barley immune receptors defines a minimal functional module for triggering cell death. *Cell Host & Microbe* 9: 187–199.

Morel J-B, Dangl JL. 1997. The hypersensitive response and the induction of cell death in plants. *Cell Death and Differentiation* 4: 671–683.

Narusaka M, Shirasu K, Noutoshi Y, Kubo Y, Shiraishi T, Iwabuchi M, Narusaka Y. 2009. RRS1 and RPS4 provide a dual Resistance-gene system against fungal and bacterial pathogens. *The Plant Journal* 60: 218–226.

Parker JE, Holub EB, Frost LN, Falk A, Gunn ND, Daniels MJ. 1996. Characterization of *eds1*, a mutation in Arabidopsis suppressing resistance to *Peronospora parasitica* specified by several different *RPP* genes. *Plant Cell* 8: 2033–2046.

Peart JR, Mestre P, Lu R, Malcuit I, Baulcombe DC. 2005. NRG1, a CC-NB-LRR protein, together with N, a TIR-NB-LRR protein, mediates resistance against tobacco mosaic virus. *Current Biology* 15: 968–973.

Qi T, Swong K, Thomazella DPT, Kim JR, Pham J, Seo E, Cho MJ, Schultink A, Staskawic B. 2018. NRG1 functions downstream of EDS1 to regulate TIR-NLR-mediated plant immunity in *Nicotiana benthamiana*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 115: E10979–E10987.

Saucet SB, Ma Y, Sarris PF, Furzer OJ, Sohn KH, Jones JDG. 2015. Two linked pairs of Arabidopsis TNL resistance genes independently confer recognition of bacterial effector AvrRps4. *Nature Communications* 6: 1–12.

Shao Z-Q, Xue J-Y, Wu P, Zhang Y-M, Wu Y, Hang Y-Y, Wang B, Chen J-Q. 2016. Large-scale analyses of angiosperm nucleotide-binding site-leucine-rich repeat (NBS-LRR) genes reveal three anciently diverged classes with distinct evolutionary patterns. *Plant Physiology* 170: 2095–2109.

Simonich MT, Innes RW. 1995. A disease resistance gene in Arabidopsis with specificity for the *avrPph3* gene of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. phaseolicola. *Molecular Plant–Microbe Interactions* 8: 637–640.

Sinapidou E, Williams K, Nott L, Bahkt S, Tör M, Crute I, Bittner-Eddy P, Beynon J. 2004. Two *TIR:NB:LRR* genes are required to specify resistance to *Peronospora parasitica* isolate Cala2 in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Journal* 38: 898– 909.

Sohn KH, Hughes RK, Piquerez SJ, Jones JDG, Banfield MJ. 2012a. Distinct regions of the *Pseudomonas syringae* coiled-coil effector AvrRps4 are required for activation of immunity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 109: 16371–16376.

New Phytologist

Sohn KH, Saucet SB, Clarke CR, Vinatzer BA, O'Brien HE, Guttman DS, Jones JDG. 2012b. HopAS1 recognition significantly contributes to Arabidopsis nonhost resistance to *Pseudomonas syringae* pathogens. *New Phytologist* 193: 58–66.

Sohn KH, Segonzac C, Rallapalli G, Sarris PF, Woo JY, Williams SJ, Newman TE, Paek KH, Kobe B, Jones JDG. 2014. The nuclear immune receptor RPS4 is required for RRS1^{SLH1}-dependent constitutive defense activation in *Arabidopsis thaliana. PLoS Genetics* 10: e1004655.

Thomas WJ, Thireault CA, Kimbrel JA, Chang JH. 2009. Recombineering and stable integration of the *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. syringae 61 *hrp/hrc* cluster into the genome of the soil bacterium *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf0-1. *The Plant Journal* 60: 919–928.

Van Der Biezen E, Freddie CT, Kahn K, Parker JE, Jones JDG. 2002. Arabidopsis *RPP4* is a member of the *RPP5* multigene family of TIR-NB-LRR genes and confers downy mildew resistance through multiple signalling components. *The Plant Journal* **29**: 439–451.

Wiermer M, Feys BJ, Parker JE. 2005. Plant immunity: the EDS1 regulatory node. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 8: 383–389.

Witek K, Jupe F, Witek AI, Baker D, Clark MD, Jones JDG. 2016. Accelerated cloning of a potato late blight-resistance gene using RenSeq and SMRT sequencing. *Nature Biotechnology* 34: 656–660.

Wu C-H, Abd-El-Haliem A, Bozkurt TO, Belhaj K, Terauchi R, Vossen JH, Kamoun S. 2017. NLR signaling network mediates immunity to diverse plant pathogens. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 114: 8113–8118.

Wu Z, Li M, Dong O-D, Xia S, Liang W, Bao Y, Wasteneys G, Li X. 2018. Differential regulation of TNL-mediated immune signaling by redundant helper CNLs. *New Phytologist.* doi: 10.1111/nph.15665.

Xiao S, Ellwood S, Calis O, Patrick E, Li T, Coleman M, Turner JG. 2001. Broad-spectrum mildew resistance in *Arabidopsis thaliana* mediated by RPW8. *Science* 291: 118–120.

Xin X-F, He SY. 2013. *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000: a model pathogen for probing disease susceptibility and hormone signaling in plants. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 51: 473–498.

Xu F, Zhu C, Cevik V, Johnson K, Liu Y, Sohn KH, Jones JDG, Holub EB, Li X. 2015. Autoimmunity conferred by *chs3-2D* relies on *CSA1*, its adjacent TNL-encoding neighbour. *Scientific Reports* 5: 8792.

Yu IC, Parker J, Bent AF. 1998. Gene-for-gene disease resistance without the hypersensitive response in Arabidopsis *dnd1* mutant. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 95: 7819–7824.

Zhang Y, Wang Y, Liu J, Ding Y, Wang S, Zhang X, Liu Y, Yang S. 2016. Temperature-dependent autoimmunity mediated by *chs1* requires its neighboring TNL gene *SOC3. New Phytologist* **213**: 1330–1345. Zhong Y, Cheng Z-M (Max). 2016. A unique RPW8-encoding class of genes that originated in early land plants and evolved through domain fission, fusion, and duplication. *Scientific Reports* 6: 32923.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Fig. S1 NbADR1 sequence and phylogenetic tree of RPW8-NLRs (NB-ARC domain) from Arabidopsis and Nicotiana benthamiana.

Fig. S2 CRISPR enables the generation of *nrg1* mutants in plants.

Fig. S3 Cell death assay using *Pseudomonas syringae* strain DC3000.

Fig. S4 Induction of ICS1 and PR1 on Pf0-1 infiltration.

Fig. S5 Ws-2_*nrg1a-nrg1b* mutants are partially compromised in resistance to RPP1-mediated resistance to Cala2.

Fig. S6 Expression of CHS1/SOC3 and CHS3/CSA1 in *Nicotiana benthamiana.*

Methods S1 sgRNA cloning, plasmids used in this article, *NRG1* cloning, Golden Gate cloning protocol, USER cloning protocol, Gibson Assembly protocol.

Please note: Wiley Blackwell are not responsible for the content or functionality of any Supporting Information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the *New Phytologist* Central Office.