1	Physiological response of post-veraison deficit irrigation strategies and
2	growth patterns of table grapes (cv. Crimson Seedless)
3	Conesa, M.R ¹ ., Dodd, I.C ² ., De la Rosa, J.M ¹ ., Temnani, A ¹ ., Pérez-Pastor, A ^{1,*} ,
4	
5 6	¹ Technical University of Cartagena (UPCT). Department of Plant Production. Paseo Alfonso XIII, 48. 30203. Cartagena (Murcia). Spain.
7 8	² Lancaster University. Lancaster Environment Centre. Lancaster, LA1 4YQ, United Kingdom
9	
10	Number of text pages: 37
11	Number of Tables: 4
12	Number of Figures: 6
13	
14	
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	*Corresponding author: Alejandro Pérez Pastor Technical University of Cartagena (UPCT) Higher Technical School of Agricultural Engineering (ETSIA) Department of Plant Production Avd. Paseo Alfonso XIII, 48 E-30203 Cartagena (Murcia) Spain Phone: 34-968-327035 Fax: 34-968-325793 E-mail: <u>alex.perez-pastor@upct.es</u>
28	Running title: Comparing PRD and RDI strategies in table grapes
29	
30	
31	
32	

33 Abstract

34 To determine whether partial root-zone drying (PRD) offers physiological advantages 35 compared with regulated deficit irrigation (RDI), a 3 year long-experiment was 36 conducted on a commercial vineyard of 'Crimson Seedless' table grapes (Vitis vinifera 37 L.). Four different drip irrigation treatments were imposed: (i) a Control treatment 38 irrigated at 110% of seasonal crop evapotranspiration (ET_c), (ii), a regulated deficit 39 irrigation (RDI) treatment irrigated similar to Control before veraison and at 50% of the 40 Control treatment post-veraison, (iii) a partial root-zone drying (PRD) irrigated similar 41 to RDI but alternating (every 10-14 days) the dry and wet side of the root-zone, and (iv) 42 a null irrigation treatment (NI) which only received the natural precipitation and 43 occasional supplementary irrigation when midday stem water potential (Ψ_s) dropped 44 below -1.2 MPa. Post-veraison, PRD vines accumulated greater localized soil and plant 45 water deficit at midday than RDI vines, but maintained similar pre-dawn water potential 46 (Ψ_{pd}) values. Stomatal conductance (g_s) of PRD vines remained high, likely because 47 there was sufficient root water uptake from irrigated soil. Xylem ABA concentration 48 ([ABA]_{xylem}) did not change yet intrinsic WUE (WUEi) decreased compared to RDI 49 vines, probably because PRD induce greater root density and root development 50 Vegetative growth was only decreased by severe deficit irrigation (NI) although total leaf area index (LAI) was also affected in PRD in the 1st and 3rd year. PRD can be 51 52 considered a useful strategy in semiarid areas with limited water resources because 53 sustained water use maintained assimilation rates despite greater stress than 54 conventional RDI strategy, which may be related to root and morphological adjustment.

55 Keywords: Partial root-zone drying; leaf gas exchange; water relations; leaf area index;

56 [ABA]_{xylem}; Vitis vinifera

57	Abbreviations: DI, deficit irrigation; RDI, regulated deficit irrigation; PRD, partial
58	root-zone drying, A net CO ₂ assimilation rate; gs, stomatal conductance; E, transpiration
59	rate; A/g _s , intrinsic water use efficiency; [ABA] _{xylem} , xylem abscisic acid concentration;
60	S-ABA, exogenous abscisic acid; θ_v , soil volumetric water content; Ψ_{stem} , stem water
61	potential at midday, Ψ_{pd} , predawn leaf water potential; Ψ_o , predawn leaf osmotic
62	potential; Ψ_{os} , predawn leaf osmotic potential at full turgor; Ψ_t , predawn leaf turgor
63	potential; LAI, leaf area index; TCSA, trunk cross-section area; Δ TCSA, annual
64	increment trunk-section area; PE, productivity efficiency.
65	
66	
67	
68	
69	
70	
71	
72	
73	
74	
75	
76	
77	
78	

79 **1. INTRODUCTION**

80 Irrigated agriculture is known as the primary user of diverted water globally, 81 reaching a proportion that exceeds 70–80% of the total in arid and semiarid zones. Since 82 water withdrawals are forecast to sharply increase in the future, it is obvious that 83 irrigated agriculture will become a primary consumer of water especially in emergency 84 drought situations (Williams et al., 2010a,b). Moreover, other factors such as the 85 booming global population and the progress of climate change will require increased 86 food production under water deficit situations. Therefore, the challenge for the coming 87 years will be to increase or at least maintain fruit production and quality with less 88 irrigation water, which could be achieved by implementing different irrigation strategies 89 that enhance irrigation water efficiency.

Table grapes need more water than grapevines because they require a greater leaf area to supply photoassimilates to developing berries, allowing large berries for fresh consumption (Williams and Ayars, 2005; Silva-Contreras et al., 2012). Thus, the determination of crop water requirements is essential to apply deficit irrigation (DI). In fact, the demand for seedless varieties (e.g. 'Crimson Seedless') has increased considerably in recent years as a result of increased international demand and new plantings.

Applying deficit irrigation (DI) practices can limit irrigation requirements while maintaining the yield and quality standards required by the fruit market (Ruiz-Sánchez et al., 2010, Pérez-Pastor et al., 2016). Two main techniques are regulated deficit irrigation (RDI) and partial root-zone drying (PRD). Both supply less irrigation during periods of the growing season when the crop is less sensitive to fruit growth (Chalmers et al., 1981; Dry et al., 1996). In this sense, table grapes are generally considered sensitive to water stress from fruit setting to veraison, since this determines the final 104 yield and fruit quality. Thus, RDI and PRD should be applied post-veraison to minimise
105 adverse effects on productivity (Conesa et al., 2016a).

106 Soil water deficit imposed by DI techniques alters vine physiology and plant 107 hydraulic and chemical signalling systems, thereby affording commercial benefits such 108 as increased water use efficiency (WUE) and decreased vegetative vigour (Romero et 109 al., 2014). Stomatal conductance (gs) can be decreased by the synthesis of chemical 110 signals (predominantly abscisic acid - ABA) in the roots in response to drying soil, and 111 their subsequent transport to the leaves via the transpiration stream to effect stomatal 112 closure (Dodd et al., 2015; Puértolas et al., 2015). During PRD, typically one part of the 113 root-zone is irrigated at a time, with the wet and dry parts of the root zone periodically 114 alternated to transiently enhance ABA signalling (Dodd et al., 2006) and/or prevent 115 excessive soil drying diminishing the transport of chemical signals to the shoot (Romero 116 et al., 2012). ABA-induced stomatal closure limits transpiration and xylem cavitation 117 (Beis and Patakas, 2010), even though prolonged stomatal closure also limits 118 photosynthetic activity by decreasing Rubisco carboxylation activity (Chaves et al., 119 2010; Salazar-Parra et al., 2015). Conversely, prolonged soil drying cycles during PRD 120 may limit ABA transport from roots in drying soil (Pérez-Pérez and Dodd, 2015), 121 thereby minimising stomatal limitation of photosynthesis (Downton et al., 1988), which 122 may enhance yield of PRD plants compared to conventional RDI plants (Antolín et al., 123 2006; Dodd, 2009). Thus, it is not clear whether ABA-induced stomatal closure (and 124 effects on photosynthetic carbon gain) is enhanced or attenuated by PRD relative to 125 RDI.

Typically, water stress limits leaf area expansion prior to any decrease in photosynthetic assimilation (Beis and Patakas, 2015) and canopy development and vegetative growth are more sensitive to water deficit than fruit growth. Insufficient 129 canopy development may also limit berry development in low vigour varieties (Ruiz-130 Sánchez et al., 2010). Moreover, decreased vegetative growth under RDI or PRD might 131 be also due to limited cell expansion mediated by lower cellular turgor (Chaves et al., 132 2010). When PRD and RDI vines received the same irrigation volumes, only subtle 133 differences in leaf water relations, WUE, crop yield and fruit quality were detected (dos 134 Santos et al., 2005; de Souza et al., 2005; Romero et al., 2012). However, in some cases, 135 PRD vines appeared to maintain higher water status with a lower (Chaves et al., 2010; 136 Rodrigues et al., 2008), higher (Antolín et al., 2006), or similar (Antolín et al., 2008) 137 leaf area than RDI vines. Therefore, it is not clear whether leaf water relations are 138 regulating canopy development (Lovisolo et al., 2010) or vice versa.

139 Earlier studies that compared PRD and RDI under the same irrigation volumes 140 revealed differential physiological and biochemical responses in wine grapes (Romero 141 et al., 2012, 2014; Beis and Patakas, 2015), but there is little information on table 142 grapes. While there is no reason to suppose table grapes and wine grapes should differ 143 in their physiological responses to PRD and RDI, irrigation is typically withheld from 144 table grapes post-veraison (Conesa et al., 2016a; Pinillos et al., 2016) and from wine 145 grapes throughout berry development (Chaves et al., 2010; Costello and Patterson, 146 2012). Interestingly, stomatal closure of winegrapes was less sensitive to ABA post-147 veraison (dos Santos et al., 2005), suggesting that the timing of deficit irrigation may 148 modify stomatal responses (Torres-Ruiz et al., 2016). Furthermore, grower 149 implementation of PRD in favour of conventional RDI requires positive agronomic 150 effects, especially due to higher costs of infrastructure installation and complex 151 irrigation management (Marsal et al., 2008; García García et al., 2012; Romero et al., 152 2016; Permanhani et al., 2016). Nevertheless, PRD enhanced berry coloration and 153 health-promoting bioactive compounds (e.g. anthocyanins, resveratrol and antioxidant capacity) compared to RDI in the table grape Crimson Seedless (Conesa et al., 2016a).
To determine whether these biochemical differences were coincident with altered vine
physiology, the physiological responses and vegetative growth of RDI and PRD vines

157

158 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Experimental conditions, plant material and irrigation treatments

160 The experimental design, soil characteristics, climate parameters, fertilization 161 and standard cultural practices have been described in detail (Conesa et al. 2015; 2016 162 a, b). Briefly, this research was carried out in a 1-ha vineyard at Cieza, Murcia (SE 163 Spain, 38°15'N; 1°33'W) during three consecutive years (2011-2013). The table grapes 164 were 11-year-old Crimson Seedless (Vitis vinifera L.), grafted onto 1103 Paulsen 165 rootstock. The training system was a bilateral cordon trellised to a three-wire vertical 166 system. The vine rows ran N–NW to S–SE and the planting density was 4 m both 167 between rows and between vines (625 vines ha^{-1}). The experiment involved four 168 different irrigation treatments which were irrigated daily in the early evening from April 169 to October. A Control treatment irrigated to satisfy maximum crop water requirements 170 (ET_c-110%) through the whole growing season; (ii) a RDI treatment was irrigated as the 171 Control except post-veraison, when the vines were irrigated at 50% of Control levels 172 (iii) a PRD treatment that received the same irrigation amount as RDI, but applied to 173 only part of the rootzone, with the dry and wet sides of the root-zone alternated every 174 10-14 days, when the dry side of the rootzone reached 75% of field capacity (~34 $\% \theta_{v}$); 175 and (iv) a null irrigation (NI) treatment, which only received rainfall and supplementary 176 irrigation when the daily stem water potential (Ψ_s) exceeded the established threshold 177 value of -1.2 MPa (Conesa et al., 2012). In Control, RDI and NI treatments, the 178 irrigation system comprised one drip-line in each vine row, with four self-compensating 179 drippers (4L h⁻¹) 0.50 m apart, whereas the PRD treatment utilised two drip-lines with 180 two drippers (4L h⁻¹) per vine to each side of the root system. Crop evapotranspiration 181 (ETc= $ET_0 \times kc$) was estimated using crop coefficients (kc) based on Williams et al. 182 (2003) varying from 0.2 to 0.8 according to the phenological stage, whereas reference 183 crop evapotranspiration (ET_0) was calculated with the Penman Montheith-FAO method 184 (Allen et al., 1998), with daily climatic data recorded by an automatic weather station of 185 the Servicio de Información Agraria de Murcia, located 8.5 km from the experimental 186 plot (CIA-42, www.siam.es).

187 **2.2. Soil water status**

188 Soil volumetric water content (θ_v) was measured to a maximum depth of 1 m 189 every 0.1 m with a frequency domain reflectometry (FDR) probe (Diviner 2000[®], 190 Sentek Pty. Ltd., South Australia). Measurements were expressed in the profile 0-50 191 cm, coinciding with the effective root depth (data not shown). Three access tubes (1 per 192 each replicate, n = 3) located 25 cm from the drippers, were installed within the wetting 193 area on randomly selected vines. In PRD treatment, FDR probes were installed on both 194 sides of the vine row (2 per each replicate, n=6). Measurements were taken every 7-10 195 days between 10:00 h-12:00 h during the experimental period.

196

2.3. Water relations and ABA_{xylem}

197 Pre-dawn leaf water potential (Ψ_{pd}) and midday stem water potential (Ψ_s) were 198 monitored every 7-10 days with a pressure chamber (Model 3000, Soil Moisture 199 Equipment, Santa Barbara, CA) from the beginning of berry development until harvest 200 on at least two leaves per replicate and three replicates per irrigation treatment (n=6), 201 located on the middle third of the branches, with a pressure chamber (Soil Moisture

202 Equipment Co., Model 3000) following the recommendations of Hsiao (1990). For Ψ_{s} , 203 leaves were enclosed in plastic bag two hours before measurement, and placed in the 204 chamber within 20 s of collection. After measuring Ψ_{pd} , xylem sap was collected by 205 applying an over-pressure of between 0.3 and 0.5 MPa for 1-3 min. Sap was 206 immediately transferred to an Eppendorf tube, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -207 20°C prior to ABA measurement [ABA_{xylem}] with radioimmunoassay (Quarrie et al., 208 1988) using the monoclonal antibody AFRC MAC52. After measuring Ψ_{pd} , the leaves 209 were frozen in liquid nitrogen and predawn osmotic potential (Ψ_0) was measured on 210 expressed sap of frozen and thawed leaves using a WESCOR 5520 vapour pressure 211 osmometer (Wescor Inc., Logan, UT, USA), according to Gucci et al. (1991). Predawn 212 leaf turgor potential (Ψ_t) was estimated as the difference between predawn leaf osmotic 213 (Ψ_0) and predawn water potential (Ψ_{pd}) .

214 Predawn leaf osmotic potential at full turgor (Ψ_{os}) was measured on leaves 215 adjacent to those used to measure Ψ_{pd} . The leaves were excised with their petioles and 216 placed in distilled water overnight to reach full saturation before being frozen in liquid 217 nitrogen and stored at -30 °C, following the same methodology as for Ψ_0 . Osmotic 218 adjustment was estimated as the difference between the Ψ_{os} of stressed and Control 219 vines. To estimate the intensity of stress endured by deficit irrigation treatments, the 220 water stress integral was calculated from the values of Ψ_s , according to the equation 221 defined by Myers (1988).

$$S_{\Psi} = \left| \sum_{i=0}^{i=t} (\overline{\Psi}_{i,i+1} - \Psi_c) n \right|$$
[Equation 1]

where t is the number of measurements of Ψ_{s} ; $\Psi_{i,i+1}$ is the mean Ψ_{s} for any measurement i and i+1; Ψ_{c} is the maximum Ψ_{s} measured during each phenological period (pre and 225 post-veraison); n is the number of days in the interval. All values were referred to 226 Control treatment. S_{Ψ} obtained in the whole season is the sum of those observed in pre-227 and post-veraison.

228 **2.4. Leaf gas exchange**

229 Gas exchange measurements were taken every 7-10 days between 09:00 and 230 11:30 h in daylight hours on at least two sun-exposed leaves per replicate and three 231 replicates per irrigation treatment (n = 6), at a consistent time with reference to the PRD 232 cycle. Maximum net CO₂ assimilation rate (A_{CO2} , µmol m⁻² s⁻¹), maximum stomatal conductance (g_s mmol m⁻² s⁻¹), and transpiration rate (E_m , mmol m⁻² s⁻¹) were measured 233 at a photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) $\approx 1500 \text{ }\mu\text{mol} \text{ }m^{-2} \text{ }s^{-1}$, near constant 234 ambient CO₂ concentration ($Ca \approx 380 \ \mu mol \ mol^{-1}$) and leaf temperature ($T leaf \approx 30 \ ^{\circ}C$) 235 236 with a portable gas exchange system CIRAS-2 (PP Systems, Hitchin, Hertfordshire, 237 UK). Intrinsic water use efficiency (WUEi) was calculated as the ratio between A and g_s 238 (μ mol mol⁻¹), respectively.

239 **2.5. Vine growth patterns**

240 Micrometric trunk diameter fluctuations (TDF), first described by Kozlowski 241 and Winget (1964), were monitored throughout the experimental period in six selected 242 trees, using a set of linear variable displacement transducers (LVDT; Solartron 243 Metrology, Bognor Regis, UK, model DF \pm 2.5 mm, precision \pm 10 μ m) installed on the 244 trunk northern side at 120 cm above-ground and mounted on holders built of aluminum 245 and invar – an alloy comprising 64 % Fe and 35 % Ni that has minimal thermal 246 expansion. Several indices were derived from trunk diameter fluctuations according to 247 Goldhamer and Fereres, (2001): maximum daily trunk diameter (MXTD), minimum 248 daily trunk diameter (MNTD), maximum daily shrinkage (MDS = MXTD - MNTD)

249 and trunk daily growth rate (TGR, calculated as the difference between MXTD of two 250 consecutive days). The vines used for Ψ_s monitoring were also used for TDF 251 determinations. Data from LVDT sensors were collected using wireless technology. The 252 sensor nodes were provided by the company WIDHOC (WIDHOC Smart Solutions 253 S.L.), and sent data approximately every 20 minutes to a coordinator node which was 254 connected to a computer. Each node was provided by one SD card to store the data and 255 powered by lithium polymer batteries (5000 mAh) and small solar panels (5V/80 mA) 256 which allowed autonomous operation. Three nodes per irrigation treatment (one per 257 replicate) were used.

Leaf area index (LAI as %) was measured in one vine per replicate before veraison using a canopy analyzer instrument (Model LAI 2000®, Li-Cor, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA), and assuming no leaf growth (only canopy senescence) after veraison. Previously, a grid of 16 points (of 4 x 4 measurements, each spaced 0.5 m and 0.25 m from the main trunk) was established on the ground around the vine selected. The final measurement averaged these 16 points.

Trunk perimeter was measured by tape-measure before harvesting on 6 vines per replicate at a marked location around 1.2 m from the soil surface to determine trunk cross-section area (TCSA, cm^2). The annual increment in TCSA (Δ TCSA) was calculated as the difference between two consecutive TCSA measurements. The productivity efficiency (PE) was also calculated as the ratio between yield and TCSA⁻¹ Pruning weight was determined annually during winter dormancy in all the vines of the experiment.

271 **2.7. Statistical analysis**

The experimental layout was a randomized complete block design with three block-replicates per irrigation treatment. Each replicate consisted of three adjacent rows of vines with six vines per row. The four central vines of the central row were used for monitoring vine water relations, while the others served as guard vines. The data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA using Statgraphics Plus for Windows version 5.1 (Manugistics, Inc., Rockville MD, USA). *Post hoc* pairwise comparison between all means was performed by Duncan's multiple range test at p<0.05.

279

3. RESULTS

281 **3.1. Irrigation, climate conditions and soil water content**

282 Averaged over the 3 years of the study, the Control treatment received 685 mm 283 of irrigation. Both RDI and PRD treatments applied 35% less water than well-watered 284 vines, while the NI treatment applied 72% less water, corresponding to a severe water 285 deficit (Table 1). Meteorological conditions (ET₀ and rainfall) differed between years, 286 with 2012 the wettest (375 mm annual rainfall), receiving twice as much as 2011, the 287 driest year (188 mm annual rainfall). Atmospheric evaporative (ET₀) was highest pre-288 veraison (from early-June to early-August).

Table 1. Reference evapotranspiration (ET₀), precipitation (P), irrigation water applied (from April to the end of October) to Control (full irrigation treatment) vines, and irrigation savings relative to the Control in RDI (regulated deficit irrigation, moderate deficit); PRD (partial rootzone drying, moderate deficit), and NI (null irrigation treatment, severe deficit) treatments during pre and post-veraison periods of the study period (2011-2013).

293

	Phenological				Irrigation savings compared to Control (%)			
Year	period	ET ₀ (mm)	P (mm)	Irrigation (mm)				
				Control	RDI	PRD	NI	
2011	Pre-veraison	390	63	285	12	20		
	Post-veraison	886	125	363	62	57	48	
	Total	1195	188	648	40	41	71	
2012	Pre-veraison	388	209	288	-6	-2	79	
	Post-veraison	886	166	398	52	47	81	
	Total	1274	375	686	28	27	81	
2013	Pre-veraison	393	130	315	5	16	50	
	Post-veraison	860	65	407	61	64	76	
	Total	1253	195	722	37	43	65	
	Average (2011-2013)	1241	253	685	35	37	72	

294

Table 2. Mean values of soil volumetric water content (θ_v , %) in the upper soil profile (0-50 cm) for Control (full irrigation); RDI (regulated deficit irrigation); PRD_{right} (partial rootzone drying in the right side, moderate deficit), PRD_{left} (partial rootzone drying in the left side, moderate deficit) and NI (null irrigation treatment, severe deficit) during the three years assessed (2011-2013). RDI vs. PRD_{total} was also compared individually.

Year	Phenologial period				θv (%)			
		Control	RDI	PRD right	PRDleft	NI	RDI	PRD _{total}
2011	Pre-veraison	32.55 a	33.38 a	33.92 a	30.44 a	32.82 a	33.38 a	32.18 a
	Post-veraison	35.23 c	31.92 b	32.15 b	28.49 a	28.09 a	31.92 a	30.32 a
	Whole-season	34.06c	32.56 b	32.93 b	29.35 ab	29.78 a	32.56 a	31.14 a
2012	Pre-veraison	31.94 b	37.52 c	35.85 c	31.84 b	28.09 a	37.52 b	33.84 a
	Post-veraison	40.86 b	32.04 a	32.99 a	30.90 a	30.45 a	32.04 a	31.94 a
	Whole-season	36.19 b	34.91 b	34.49 b	31.39 ab	28.52 a	34.91 b	32.94 a
2013	Pre-veraison	35.99 b	34.83 b	35.51 b	34.89 b	30.45 a	34.83 a	35,20 a
	Post-veraison	37.47 d	35.86 c	27.86 ab	31.95 b	28.93 a	35.86 b	29.90 a
	Whole-season	36.64 d	35.24 c	32.16 b	33.60 b	29.84 a	35.24 b	32.88 a
2011-2013	Average	35.63	34.24	33.2	31.45	29.38	34.24	32.32

301

302

Means within rows followed by a different letter were significantly different according to Duncan multiple range test (P<0.05).

303 In the Control treatment, irrigation maintained θ_v above field capacity at 0-50 304 cm depth, averaging 35.6% during the study period (Table 2). As expected, θ_v values in 305 RDI and PRD treatments were significantly lower than in the Control post-veraison 306 (from early-August to the end of October). Although soil drying during PRD caused 307 greater local soil water deficits than RDI, integrated θ_v values (averaged over both sides 308 of the rootzone) were similar between PRD and RDI treatments post-veraison in 2011, 309 with RDI showing greater θ_v post-veraison in 2012 and 2013. Furthermore, θ_v in the NI 310 treatment was always lower than the Control treatment as expected, with a 17% 311 reduction in θ_v during the study (Table 2).

312 **3.2. Leaf water relations**

319

313 Pre-veraison, the water stress integral (S_{Ψ}) in RDI and PRD vines remained 314 close to 0 as they received the same irrigation amount as Control vines. Post-veraison, 315 the PRD treatment showed significantly higher S_{Ψ} values than the RDI treatment in all 3 316 years (Fig. 1). As expected, regardless of the phenological period considered, the NI 317 treatment had the highest S_{Ψ} in all years, with the values corresponding with annual 318 rainfall.



Figure 1. Relative water stress integral (S_{Ψ}) in deficit irrigation treatments compared to the control treatment (=0) for RDI (regulated deficit irrigation, moderate deficit); PRD (partial rootzone drying, moderate deficit); and NI (null irrigation treatment, severe

deficit) treatments during the years 2011 (A); 2012 (B) and 2013 (C), respectively. Stem water potential (Ψ_s) was measured at midday. Black and white bars correspond to preand post-veraison respectively. Within a year, different letters indicate statistically significant differences among treatments of each phenological period: pre-veraison (a, b,c) or post-veraison (x, y, z) by Duncan's multiple range test (P<0.05).

328

329 Predawn leaf water potential (Ψ_{pd}) values for the Control treatment were around 330 -0.08 MPa (Figs. 2 A-C). Pre-veraison, the NI treatment had a significantly lower Ψ_{pd} 331 during 2012 and 2013. Post-veraison, all deficit irrigation treatments exhibited moderate 332 (in RDI, PRD) and severe (NI) water deficit, with, average Ψ_{pd} values of -0.14 and -0.28 333 MPa, respectively. Leaf osmotic and osmotic saturated potentials (Ψ_0 and Ψ_{os}), only 334 differed between control and the other treatments (RDI, PRD and NI) during 2011 (Fig. 335 2D). Solute accumulation of RDI and PRD vines was not enabling to compensate the 336 deficit reached after veraison, whereas NI treatment maintained this trend during both 337 phenological periods (Fig. 2G). In 2013, RDI and NI vines had lower Ψ_t than Control 338 vines, but otherwise there were no significant treatment differences in leaf turgor. Thus, 339 there were minimal differences in leaf water relations between PRD, RDI and NI vines.



341 Figure 2. Seasonal evolution of (A-C) predawn leaf water potential (Ψ_{pd}), (D-F) 342 predawn leaf osmotic potential (Ψ_0), (G-I) predawn leaf osmotic potential at full turgor 343 (Ψ_{os}) , and (J-L) predawn leaf turgor potential (Ψ_t) during the three years assayed (2011-344 2013) for all the irrigation treatments: Control (full irrigated treatment); RDI (regulated 345 deficit irrigation, moderate deficit); PRD (partial rootzone drying, moderate deficit); and 346 NI (null irrigation treatment, severe deficit). Values are means ± SE during each 347 phenological period of pre-veraison (black bars) and post-veraison (white bars). 348 Different letters indicate statistically significant differences between treatments during 349 pre-veraison (black bars) and post-veraison (white bars) by Duncan's multiple range test 350 (P<0.05). 351

354 3.3. Gas exchange parameters and ABA_{xylem}

355

356 Net CO₂ assimilation (A) was not affected by irrigation treatment, except pre-357 veraison in 2012 when the NI treatment had the lowest values (Table 3). In contrast, 358 deficit irrigation decreased stomatal conductance (g_s) throughout the study, with 359 significant effects in 2011 and 2012. Stomatal closure was greatest in NI vines and least 360 in PRD vines, with RDI vines generally showing intermediate values despite receiving 361 the same irrigation volumes as PRD vines. Intrinsic water use efficiency (WUEi) did 362 not statistically differ between treatments. Gas exchange parameters were more affected 363 by the period considered (pre-and post-veraison), regardless of the water availability 364 (Table 3).

365 Seasonal evolution of xylem ABA concentration ([ABAxylem]) was dominated 366 by two exogenous applications of S-ABA in August and September to increase berry 367 coloration. By the end of September, [ABA_{xvlem}] had decreased to the levels observed 368 pre-veraison (Fig. 3A). [ABA_{xylem}] tended to increase towards the end of the pre-369 veraison period in NI vines. Although treatment did not significantly affect ([ABA_{xvlem}]) 370 on any measurement date, averaging values both pre- and post-veraison indicated that 371 PRD vines maintained lower [ABA_{xylem}] than NI vines (Fig. 3A). Across all irrigation 372 treatments, gs declined as [ABA]_{xvlem} increased ($r^2 = 0.50$; P<0.001), as seen in Romero (2012). In contrast, gs was not correlated with Ψ_{pd} ($r^2 = 0.04$; P=0.215), while Ψ_{pd} was 373 not correlated with [ABA]_{xvlem} ($r^2 = 0.12$; P<0.01) (Fig. 4). 374

Diurnal time courses of gas exchange and vine water status in two typical postveraison days (24th August 2012 and 3rd September 2013) demonstrated relatively few treatment differences in leaf gas exchange (Fig. 3).



Figure 3. Seasonal evolution of (A) xylem abscisic acid concentration (ABA_{xylem}), (B) stomatal conductance and (C) predawn leaf water potential for all the irrigation treatments (Control, -, RDI, -, PRD - and, NI -) during the year 2012. Arrows indicate the time of the application of exogenous ABA (S-ABA) by the commercial farm. Each point is mean ± SE from 6 leaves or sap samples per irrigation treatment. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between treatments by Duncan's multiple range test (P<0.05).





Figure 4. Relationship between (A) gs vs. Ψ pd, (B), gs vs. [ABA]_{xylem}, and (C) . [ABA]_{xylem} vs. Ψ pd for all the irrigation treatments (Control, -, RDI, -, PRD and, NI -). Each point is mean ± SE from 6 leaves or sap samples per irrigation treatment.

393 In contrast, pronounced treatment differences in Ψ_s occurred mid-afternoon in 394 both years (Fig 4G-H). Indeed, RDI, PRD and NI treatments dropped sharply during the 395 morning (~09:00-13:00 hours) and did not start to recover until late afternoon in both 396 years (Fig. 4G-H). The A_{CO2} was slightly higher in Control than in the deficit treatments 397 even though these differences were less than those corresponding with g_s. Although, no 398 clear differences were found in daily gs between RDI and PRD, the latter vines 399 recovered their gas exchange more rapidly than in RDI treatment, especially in 2012. As 400 expected, NI vines had the lowest values of A_{CO2} and g_s averaged over the whole day 401 (Table 3 and Fig. 5).

402

403





406 **Figure 5.** Daily variation in (A-B) vapour deficit pressure (VPD) and maximum 407 temperature (T_{max}), (C-D) net CO₂ assimilation rate (A_{CO2}), (E-F) stomatal conductance 408 (g_s), and (G-H) stem water potential (Ψ_{stem}) during two post-veraison days in 2012 and 409 2013. Values are means ± SE of 6 leaves per irrigation treatment (Control, -, RDI, 410 -, PRD - and, NI -). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences 411 between treatments by Duncan's multiple range test (P<0.05).

413

Table 3. Means values for the gas exchange parameters (Net CO_2 assimilation rate (A, μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹); Stomatal conductance (gs, mmol m⁻² s⁻¹), Intrinsic water use efficiency (WUE, μ mol mol⁻¹), of all irrigation treatments: Control (full irrigation treatment); RDI (regulated deficit irrigation, moderate deficit); PRD (partial rootzone drying, moderate deficit), and NI (null irrigation treatment, severe deficit) evaluated at pre-veraison, post-veraison during the study period (2011-2013).

- 421
- 422

	Pre-veraison			Post-veraison			
Year and							
Treatment	Α	gs	WUE	Α	gs	WUE	
2011							
Control	6.3	103.1 bc	60.9	7.7	104.5	74.5	
RDI	5.9	78.3 b	75.6	4.7	72.3	84.1	
PRD	6.9	164.6 c	50.0	5.3	155.8	36.5	
NI	4.6	41.9 a	116.1	5.5	127.3	70.8	
2012							
Control	9.9 a	309.4	31.9	8.0	365.1 c	23.5	
RDI	9.7 a	345.8	29.1	8.5	292.2 b	28.3	
PRD	9.1 a	328.1	28.9	8.1	288.0 b	28.4	
NI	5.7 b	233.5	28.3	6.3	182.0 a	38.4	
2013							
Control	7.1	192.5	43.9	6.6	243.9	27.1	
RDI	7.3	240.3	30.0	6.5	150.8	43.1	
PRD	6.9	187.1	40.0	6.1	258.6	23.9	
NI	6.4	142.3	45.3	4.6	157.7	29.7	
Analysis of							
variance:							
Treatment							
(T)	*	*	n.s	n.s	*	n.s	
Year (y)	**	***	***	**	***	**	
Тху	n.s	n.s	n.s	n.s	n.s	n.s	
Average (201	1-2013)						
Control	7.8	201.7	45.6	7.4	237.8	41.7	
RDI	7.6	221.5	44.9	6.6	171.8	51.8	
PRD	7.6	226.6	39.6	6.5	234.1	29.6	
NI	5.6	139.2	63.2	5.5	155.7	46.3	

423

Within a year, means within columns followed by a different letter were significantly different according to Duncan multiple range test (P<0.05). *, **, ** significant effect at P=0.05; P=0.01 or 0.001, respectively. n.s= not significant. Analysis of variance indicates a comparison across the wars using a treatment (T) and a war (y) as factors

427 indicates a comparison across the years using a treatment (T) and a year (y) as factors.

3.4. Vegetative growth patterns

Treatment differences in trunk growth rate (TGR) were more pronounced pre-429 veraison in 2012, with Control vines showing the highest values ($\approx 120 \,\mu\text{m} \, \text{day}^{-1}$) (Figs. 430 431 6A-C). Irrespective of the treatment considered, trunk growth apparently ceases 7-10 432 days before veraison, with negative values (trunk shrinkage) occurring around veraison 433 in both years (Fig. 6A-C). After veraison, there were few treatment differences in TGR 434 and low growth rates. Noteworthy, PRD vines had the highest trunk growth rate post-435 veraison in 2012 (Fig. 6B).



437 Figure 6. Seasonal evolution of (A and C) trunk growth rate (TGR) and (E and G) 438 maximum daily shrinkage (MDS) during 2012 and 2013, respectively for all the irrigation treatments (Control, ←, RDI, ←, PRD → and, NI →). For TGR and 439 440 MDS, inset graphs are means of each irrigation treatment for pre-and post veraison

441 during the year (B and D) 2012 and (F and H) 2013, respectively. Each point of MDS 442 and TGR represents weekly means \pm SE from 6 LVDT sensors per treatment. Arrows 443 indicate the time when the supplementary irrigations in NI treatment were applied. 444 Discontinuous arrows indicate the time of the application of exogenous xylem ABA (S-445 ABA) by the commercial farm. Asterisks and different letter (in inset graphs) indicate 446 statistically significant differences between treatments by Duncan's multiple range test 447 (P<0.05).

448

MDS values increased towards veraison, then decreased post-veraison, generally remaining between 50 and 100 μ m in all treatments (Figs. 5C-D). Control and PRD treatments showed the lowest MDS values pre-veraison in 2012, but in 2013 NI plants also showed low MDS despite two supplementary irrigations. NI plants had significantly lower MDS than the other irrigation treatments pre-veraison, especially in 2013. When irrigation was applied post-veraison, MDS was significantly higher in PRD than RDI vines (Fig. 6F-H).

456 Pruning dry weight varied more between years than irrigation treatment, even 457 though the absolute values in NI plants were 24% lower than in Control vines (Table 4). 458 In contrast, leaf area index (LAI) was affected more by irrigation treatment than year. 459 Averaged across years, LAI of PRD and NI treatments were 9 and 27% lower 460 respectively than in Control vines. While trunk cross-sectional area (TCSA) did not 461 significantly differ between Control, RDI and PRD vines in any year, TCSA increments 462 of RDI, PRD and NI vines were 28, 26 and 77% lower than Control vines respectively. 463 In 2011, the productivity efficiency (PE) decreased in the order Control > RDI = PRD >464 NI, but in the other two years only NI vines had significantly lower PE (Table 4).

465

466

Table 4. Seasonal evolution of pruning weight, leaf area index (LAI), annual increment trunk cross-section area (Δ TCSA) and productivity efficiency (PE) calculated as the ratio between yield and Δ TCSA in Control (full irrigation treatment); RDI (regulated deficit irrigation, moderate deficit); PRD (partial rootzone drying, moderate deficit), and NI (null irrigation treatment, severe deficit).

473

Voor and Treatmont	Pruning		$\Delta TCSA$	$\frac{PE}{(lvg am^2 v^{-1})}$
Year and Treatment	(kg vine ⁻)	(%)	(cm² y ²)	(kg cm ⁻ y ⁻)
2011				
Control	7.18	83.57 b	4.92 b	14.83 a
RDI	6.82	84.55 b	2.70 ab	31.11 b
PRD	6.57	75.26 ab	2.90 ab	26.89 b
NI	5.64	61.98 a	0.90 a	74.44 c
2012				
Control	6.9	71.64 b	4.31 b	18.32 a
RDI	7.12	66.26 b	3.10 ab	23.22 a
PRD	6.27	67.73 b	2.98 ab	20.80 a
NI	5.22	41.15 a	1.18 a	38.13 b
2013				
Control	4.43	82.15 b	3.41 b	19.94 a
RDI	4.55	72.99 b	3.30 b	20.01 a
PRD	4.46	79.79 ab	3.40 b	19.11 a
NI	3.32	69.71 a	0.88 a	51.13 b
Analysis of variance:				
Treatment (T)	n.s	***	***	***
Year (y)	***	*	n.s	*
Тху	*	***	n.s	**
Average (2011-2013)				
Control	6.19	79.12 b	4.21 c	17.69 a
RDI	6.16	74.60 b	3.03 b	24.78 a
PRD	5.77	74.26 b	3.09 b	22.26 a
NI	4.72	57.61 a	0.98 a	54.56 b

474

475 Within a year, means within columns followed by a different letter were significantly different 476 according to Duncan multiple range test (P<0.05). *, **, *** significant effect at P = 0.05. 0.01 477 or 0.001, respectively; n.s = not significant. Analysis of variance indicates a comparison across 478 the years using a treatment (T) and a year (y) as factors.

479

480

482 **4. DISCUSSION**

483 Although PRD and RDI received the same irrigation volume post-veraison (50% 484 of Control) and throughout the experiment ($\approx 35\%$ less water than Control, Table 1), 485 both treatments had different water uptake patterns (see Conesa et al., 2016a) and hence, 486 accumulated water stress measured as midday stem water potential (Fig. 1). However, 487 predawn water potential measurements did not differ between RDI and PRD (Conesa et 488 al., 2015) even though PRD vines experienced greater localized soil drying (Table 2). 489 However, pre-dawn water potential of PRD vines should be greater at a given soil water 490 content as water uptake is principally from the wet side (Kang and Zhang, 2004). Since 491 PRD vines received twice the irrigation volume applied to part of the rootzone 492 compared to that applied to either side of the RDI treatment, irrigation events during 493 PRD might penetrate deeper into the soil profile (50-100 cm - data not shown) (Dry et 494 al., 2000). Nevertheless, average θ_v was generally equivalent between PRD total and RDI 495 vines (Table 2), suggesting that localized soil drying during the day increases hydraulic 496 resistance (Rodriges et al., 2008; Romero et al., 2012) in the soil-plant-atmosphere 497 pathway.

498 Soil drying can stimulate root ABA biosynthesis (Speirs et al. 2013) and ABA 499 transport from roots to shoots (Dodd et al., 2008) to cause stomatal closure and reduce transpiration rates (Stoll et al., 2000; Speirs et al. 2013), thereby increasing water use 500 501 efficiency (Flexas et al., 2010). Nonetheless, soil water availability (Table 2) was not 502 directly correlated with plant physiological behavior (Table 3), probably due to the 503 relatively narrow range of soil moisture, in contrast to previous studies (Chaves et al., 504 2010; Romero et al., 2012). Although PRD plants had greater post-veraison plant water 505 deficits than RDI plants (Fig. 1), leaf gas exchange and [ABA_{xylem}] showed minimal

506 treatment differences (Fig. 3A and Table 3). Contrary to expectations (Medrano et al., 507 2015), PRD vines showed decreased leaf-level water use efficiency compared to RDI 508 and Control vines. Similarity of gas exchange between PRD and RDI vines may be 509 because soil moisture distribution in PRD under field settings depends on multiple 510 factors such as the soil type (Sepaskhah and Ahmadi, 2010, Permanhani et al., 2016), 511 the grapevine variety and the environmental conditions (Romero et al., 2012), and/or 512 genotypic differences in stomatal behavior to water deficit (Chaves et al., 2010; 513 Permanhani et al., 2016). Nevertheless, halving the water application to PRD vines 514 partially closed the stomata and prevented severe leaf water deficit because half of the 515 roots still receive water (Dry et al., 1996, 2000). Although PRD decreased plant water 516 status compared to control vines, roots in wet soil absorbed sufficient water to maintain 517 a higher shoot water status than non-irrigated vines (Fig. 1). Thus, physiological 518 impacts of PRD on leaf gas exchange depended on the basis of comparison, with 519 irrigation volume (PRD versus Control) having greater impacts than irrigation 520 placement (PRD versus RDI).

521 Irrigation treatment affected trunk diameter variations pre-veraison whereas 522 stem diameter changes diminished post-veraison (Fig. 6). Similarly, trunk growth 523 stopped ≈ 20 days before veraison in both non-irrigated and well-irrigated grapevines, 524 thus grapevine water status could not be related to TDF-indices (Intrigliolo and Castel, 525 2007), likely due to high competition for photoassimilates between fruit and vegetative 526 growth and decreased trunk elasticity which reduced MDS and TGR values (Conesa et 527 al., 2016b). In contrast, irreversible stem shrinkage in grapevines caused by periderm 528 formation was not linked to grape berry development (Van de Wal et al. 2017). Finally, 529 irrigation treatments had limited effects on TGR and MDS post-veraison (Fig. 6), 530 suggesting they may be unsuitable in table grapes as water stress indicators.

531 Although PRD has less effect on leaf gas exchange than RDI, vegetative growth (determined by LAI) was more affected in the 1st and 3rd year (Table 4), likely due to 532 533 the greater water stress experienced by PRD vines (Fig 1). These differences suggest 534 that hydraulic signalling regulated vegetative development (Santos et al., 20005), even 535 though both treatments received the same irrigation volumes. In addition, PRD 536 maintained crop yield and even improved quality when compared with RDI and Control 537 treatments (Conesa et al., 2016 a), indicating that the small decrease in LAI in PRD 538 plants had no detrimental effect on crop production. In this sense, Kang and Zhang 539 (2004) reported that PRD may influence carbohydrates partitioning among different 540 plant organs and contribute positively to fruit quality (as in Conesa et al., 2016a).

541 In conclusion, although PRD and RDI vines received the same irrigation 542 volumes and PRD showed greater plant and localized soil water deficit, physiological 543 (stomatal closure and ABA_{xylem}) and agronomic (fruit yields – Conesa et al. 2016a) 544 indicators were similar to RDI vines. These data dispute the utility of midday stem 545 water potential (Ψ_s) as a predictor of physiological performance, likely since enhanced 546 root growth (Dry et al., 2000; Mingo et al., 2004) allowed greater efficiency of water 547 uptake under PRD. Total leaf area was the vegetative parameter more affected by PRD 548 as there were no differences in TCSA or trunk growth rate. Moreover, the trunk 549 diameter fluctuations indices (MDS and TGR) can only be considered as a good water 550 stress indicators before veraison to ascertain plant water status. Therefore PRD seems a 551 suitable irrigation technique for table grapes to sustain water, modifying growth (Table 552 4) and improve berry physical quality (Conesa et al., 2015) and bioactive compounds 553 (Conesa et al., 2016) compared with conventional RDI,

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

556	Financial support for this research was provided by the Spanish Ministry of
557	Science of Innovation (projects AGL2010-19201-C04-04 and AGL2013-49047-C2-1-
558	R) and European commission (projects LIFE13 ENV/ES/000539, LIFE+IRRIMAN and
559	FP7-KBBE-2009-3-245159, SIRRIMED). María R. Conesa acknowledges her FPU
560	fellowship of the Spanish Ministry of Education and the grant (EST21/00584) for her
561	short stay in the Lancaster Environment Centre (LEC) to learn ABA analyses. The
562	authors thank Frutas Esther S.A. Company for letting them use their facilities.
563	
564	Conflicts of Interest: We have not any conflict of interest.
565	
303	
566	6. REFERENCES
567	Allen, R.G., Pereira. L. S., Raes D. and Smith, M. (1998). Crop
568	evapotranspiration. Guidelines for computing crop water requirements. FAO Irrigation
569	and Drainage paper No 56. Rome, Italy. pp 15–27.
570	Antolín, M.C., Ayari, M. and Sánchez-Díaz, M. (2006). Effects of partial
571	rootzone drying on yield, ripening and berry ABA in potted 'Tempranillo' grapevines
572	with split roots. Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research, 12: 13-20.
573	Antolín MC, Santesteban H, Santa María E, Aguirreolea J, Sánchez-Díaz M
574	(2008) Involvement of abscisic acid and polyamines in berry ripening of Vitis
575	vinifera (L.) subjected to water deficit irrigation. Australian Journal of Grape and Wine
576	Research, 14:123–133

577 Beis, A. and Patakas, A. (2010). Differences in stomatal responses and root to 578 shoot signalling between two grapevine varieties subjected to drought. Functional Plant 579 Biology, 37: 139–146.

Beis, A. and Patakas, A. (2015). Differential physiological and biochemical
responses to drought in grapevines subjected to partial root drying and deficit irrigation.
European Journal of Agronomy, 62: 90–97.

583 Chalmers, D.J., Mitchell, P.D. and Van Heek, L. (1981). Control of peach tree 584 growth and productivity by regulated water supply, tree density and summer pruning. 585 Journal American Society of Horticultural Science, 106: 307–312.

Chaves, M.M., Zarrouk, O., Francisco, R., Costa, J.M., Santos, T., Regalado,
A.P., Rodrigues, M.L. and Lopes, C.M. (2010). Grapevine under deficit irrigation –
hints from physiological and molecular data. Annals of Botany, 105: 661–676.

Conesa, M.R., de la Rosa, J.M., Pérez-Pastor, A., Domingo, R. Corbalán, M.,
and Pagán, E. (2012). Effects of different deficit irrigation strategies on the yield and
berry quality of table grape, orchard Crimson. In: 4th Emuni Research Souk. The EuroMediterranean student Multi-conference. pp 211–219.

593 Conesa, M.R., de la Rosa, J.M., Artés-Hernández, F., Dodd, I.C., Domingo, R. 594 and Pérez-Pastor, A. (2015). Long-term impact of deficit irrigation on the physical 595 quality of berries in 'Crimson Seedless' table grapes. Journal of Science of Food and 596 Agriculture, 95 (12): 2510–2520.

597 Conesa, M.R., Falagán, N., de la Rosa, J.M., Aguayo, E., Domingo, R. and 598 Pérez-Pastor, A. (2016a). Post-veraison deficit irrigation regimes enhance berry 599 coloration and health-promoting bioactive compounds in 'Crimson Seedless' table 600 grapes. Agricultural Water Management, 163: 9–18.

601	Conesa, M.R., Torres, R., Domingo, R., Navarro, H., Soto, F and Pérez-Pastor,
602	A. (2016b). Maximum daily trunk shrinkage and stem water potential reference
603	equations for irrigation scheduling in table grapes. Agricultural Water Management,
604	172: 51–61.

Costello, M. J and Patterson, W. K (2012). Regulated Deficit Irrigation Effect on
Yield and Wine Color of Cabernet Sauvignon in Central California. HortScience,
47(10): 1520–1524.

Dodd, I.C., Theobald, J.C., Bacon, M.A. and Davies, W.J. (2006). Alternation of
wet and dry sides during partial rootzone drying irrigation alters root-to shoot signalling
of abscisic acid. Functional Plant Biology, 33: 1081–1089.

Dodd, I.C., Egea G. and Davies, W.J. (2008) Abscisic acid signalling when soil
moisture is heterogeneous: decreased photoperiod sap flow from drying roots limits
abscisic acid export to the shoots. Plant, Cell and Environment, 31:1263–1274.

614 Dodd, I.C., (2009). Rhizosphere manipulations to maximize 'crop per drop'
615 during deficit irrigation. Journal of Experimental Botany, 60: 2454–2459.

Dodd, I.C., Puértolas, J., Huber, K., Pérez-Pérez, J.G., Wright, H.R. and
Blackwell, M.S.A. (2015). The importance of soil drying and re-wetting in crop
phytohormonal and nutritional responses to deficit irrigation. Journal of Experimental
Botany, 66: 2239–2252.

Downton, W. J. S., Loveys, B. R. and Grant, W. J. R. (1988). Stomatal closure
fully accounts for the inhibition of photosynthesis by abscisic acid. New Phytologist,
108: 263–266.

- Dry, P.R, Loveys, B.R., During, H. and Botting, B.G. (1996). Effects of partial
 root-zone drying on grapevine vigour, yield composition of fruit and use of water. Proc.
 9th Aust. Wine Industry Technical Conference. pp. 128–131.
- Dry, P.R., Loveys, B.R. and During, H. (2000). Partial drying of the rootzone of
 grape. II. Changes in the pattern of root development. Vitis, 39:9–12.
- Egea, G., Dodd, I.C., Gonzalez-Real, M.M., Domingo, R. and Baille, A. (2011).
 Partial rootzone drying improves almond tree leaf-level water use efficiency and
 afternoon water status compared with regulated deficit irrigation. Functional Plant
 Biology, 38: 372–385.
- Faci, J.M., Blanco, O., E.T. Medina, E.T. and Martínez-Cobb, A (2014). Effect
 of post veraison regulated deficit irrigation in production and berry quality of 'Autumn
 Royal' and 'Crimson' table grape cultivars Agricultural Water Management, 134: 73–
 83.
- Flexas, J., Galmés, J., Gallé, A., Gulías, J., Pou, A., Ribas-Carbo, M., Tomás, M
 and Medrano H. (2010). Improving water use efficiency in grapevines: potential
 physiological targets for biotechnological improvement. Australian Journal of Grape
 and Wine Research, 16: 106–121.
- García García, J., Martínez-Cutillas, A. and Romero, P. (2012). Financial
 analysis of wine grape production using regulated deficit irrigation and partial-root
 zone drying strategies. Irrigation Sciencev30 (3): 179-188.
- 643 Goldhamer, D.A. and E. Fereres. (2001). Irrigation scheduling protocols using 644 continuously recorded trunk diameter measurements. Irrigation Science, 20:115–125.

- Gucci, R., Xilyannis, C. and Flores, J.A. (1991). Gas exchange parameters,
 water relations and carbohydrate partitioning in leaves of field-grown *Prunus domestica*following fruit removal. Physiology Plant, 83:497–505.
- Hsiao, T.C (1990). Measurement of plant water status. In: Steward, B, A.,
 Nielsen, D.R. (Eds), Irrigation of Agricultural Crops. Agronomy Monograph No.30.
 American Society of Agronomy. Madison. WI. pp 243–279.
- Intrigliolo, D.S. and Castel, J.R. (2007). Evaluation of grapevine water status
 from trunk diameter variations. Irrigation. Science, 26: 49–59.
- Kang, S. and Zhang, J. (2004). Controlled alternate partial root-zone irrigation:
 its physiological consequences and impact on water use efficiency. Journal of
 Experimental Botany, Vol. 55, No. 407, Water-Saving Agriculture Special Issue, pp.
 2437–2446.
- Kozlowski, T.T. and Winget, C.H. (1964). Diurnal and Seasonal Variation in
 Radii of Tree Stems. Ecology, 45:149–155.
- Marsal, J., Mata, M., Del Campo. J., Arbones, A., Vallverdú, X. and Girona, J.,
 Olivo, N. (2008). Evaluation of partial root-zone drying for potential field use as a
 deficit irrigation technique in commercial vineyards according to two different
 pipeline layouts. Irrigation Science 26:347–356
- 663 Medrano, H., Tomás, M., Martorell, S., Escalona, J.M., Pou, A., Fuentes, S.,
- 664 Flexas, J. and Bota, J. (2015). Improving water use efficiency of vineyards in semi-arid
- regions. A review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 35 (2): 499–517.

666	Mingo, D.M., Theobald, J.C., Baco, M.A., Davies, W.J. and Dodd, I.C. Biomass
667	allocation in tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) plants grown under partial rootzone
668	drying: enhancement of root growth. Functional Plant Biology. 31 (10): 971-978.
669	Myers, B.J. (1988). Water stress integral-a link between short-term stress and
670	long-term growth. Tree Physiology, 4:315–323.
671	Permanhani, M., Costa, J.M., Conceição, M.A.F., de Souza, R.T.,
672	Vasconcellos, M.A.S. and Chaves, M.M. (2016). Theoretical and Experimental Plant
673	Physiology. 28: 85–108.
674	Pérez-Pastor, A., Ruiz-Sánchez, M.C and Conesa, M.R. (2016). Drought stress
675	effect on plant yield. Water Stress and Crop Plants: A sustainable Approach. Chapter
676	22. pp 356-374.
677	Pérez-Pérez, J.G. and Dodd, I. C. (2015). Sap fluxes from different parts of the
678	rootzone modulate xylem ABA concentration during partial rootzone drying and re-
679	wetting: Journal of Experimental Botany, 66 (8): 2315–2324.
680	Puértolas, J., Conesa, M.R., Ballester, C. and Dodd, I.C. (2015). Local root
681	abscisic acid (ABA) accumulation depends on the spatial distribution of soil moisture in
682	potato: implications for ABA signalling under heterogeneous soil drying. Journal of
683	Experimental Botany, 66 (8): 2325–2334.
684	Quarrie, S.A., Whitford, P.N., Appleford, N.E.J., Wang, T.L., Cook, S.K.,
685	Henson, I.E, and Loveys, B.R. (1988). A monoclonal antibody to (S)-abscisic acid: its

characterization and use in a radioimmunoassay for measuring abscisic acid in crude

687 extracts of cereal and lupin leaves. Planta, 173: 330–339.

Rodrigues, M.L., Santos, T. P., Rodrigues, A.P., De Souza, C. R., Lopes, C.M.,
Maroco, J.P., Pereira, J.S. and Chaves, M.M. (2008). Hydraulic and chemical signalling
in the regulation of stomatal conductance and plant water use in field grapevines
growing under deficit irrigation. Functional Plant Biology 35 (7): 565–579.

Romero, P., Dodd, I.C. and Martinez-Cutillas, A. (2012). Contrasting
physiological effects of partial root zone drying in field-grown grapevine (Vitis vinifera
L. cv. Monastrell) according to total soil water availability. Journal of Experimental
Botany, 63: 4071–4083.

Romero, P., Pérez-Pérez. J.G., Del Amor, F., Martínez-Cutillas, A., Dodd, I.C.
and Botía, P. (2014). Partial root zone drying exerts different physiological responses on
field-grown grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* cv. Monastrell) in comparison to regulated deficit
irrigation. Functional Plant Biology, 41(11): 1087–110.

Romero, P., García-García, J., Fernández-Fernández, J.I, Gil-Muñoz, R., del
Amor, F. and Martínez-Cutillas. (2016). Improving berry and wine quality attributes
and vineyard economic efficiency by long-term deficit irrigation practices under
semiarid conditions. Scientia Horticulturae. 203:69–85.

Ruiz-Sánchez, M.C., Domingo, R. and Castel, J. (2010) Review. Deficit
irrigation in fruit trees and vines in Spain. Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research, 8:
5–20.

dos Santos T.P, Lopes C.M, Rodrigues M.L, de Souza C.R, Ricardo-Da-Silva
J.M, Maroco J.P, Pereira J.S. and Chaves M.M (2005). Effects of partial root-zone
drying irrigation on cluster microclimate and fruit composition of field-grown Castelao
grapevines. Vitis 44:117–125

Salazar-Parra, C., Aranjuelo, I., Pascual, I., Erice, G., Sanz-Sáez, A.,
Aquirreolea, J., Sánchez-Díaz, M., Irigoyen, J.J., Araus, J.L. and Morales, F. (2015).
Carbon balance, partitioning and photosynthetic acclimation in fruit-bearing grapevine
(*Vitis vinifera L. cv. Tempranillo*) grown under simulated climate change (elevated
CO2, elevated temperature and moderate drought) scenarios in temperature gradient
greenhouses. Journal of Plant Physiology, 1 (174): 97–109.

Sepaskhah, A.R. and Ahmadi, S.H. (2010). A review on partial root-zone drying
irrigation. International Journal of Plant Production. 4 (4), ISSN: 1735-6814 (Print),
1735-8043 (Online) www.ijpp.info GUASNR

Silva-Contreras, C., Sellés, G., Ferreyra, R. and Silva-Robledo, H. (2012).
Variation of water potential and trunk diameter answer as sensitivity to the water
availability in table grapes. Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research, 72(4): 459–469.

Speirs, J., Binne, A., Collins, M., Edwards, E. and Loveys, B (2013). Expression
of ABA synthesis and metabolism genes under different irrigation strategies and
atmospheric VPDs is associated with stomatal conductance in grapevine (Vitis vinifera
L. cv. Cabernet Sauvignon). Journal of Experimental Botany, 64 (7): 1907–1916.

Stoll, M., Loveys, B.R., and Dry, P. 2000. Hormonal changes induced by partial
rootzone drying of irrigated grapevine. Journal of Experimental Botany, 51: 1627–1634.

Torres-Ruiz, J.M., Perulli, G.D., Manfrini, L., Zibordi, M., López-Velasco, G., Anconelli, S., Pierpaoli, E., Corelli-Grappadelli, L. and Morandi, B. (2016). Time of irrigation affects vine water relations and the daily patterns of leaf gas exchanges and vascular flows to kiwifruit (*Actinidia deliciosa* Chev.). Agricultural Water Management, 166: 101–110.

Van de Wal, B.A.E., Leroux, O. and Steppe, K. (2017). Post-veraison irreversible
stem shrinkage in grapevine (*Vitis vinifera*) is caused by periderm formation. Tree
Physiology. (In press). https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpx158

Williams, L.E., and J.E. Ayars. (2005). Grapevine water use and the crop
coefficient are linear functions of the shaded area measured beneath the canopy.
Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 132:201–211.

Williams, L.E., Grimes, D.W. and Phene, C.J (2010a). The effects of applied water 741 at various fractions of measured evapotranspiration on water relations and vegetative 742 growth of 'Thompson Seedless' grapevines. Irrigation Science, 28:221–232.

Williams, L.E., Grimes, D.W. and Phene, C.J. (2010b). The effects of applied water 744 at various fractions of measured evapotranspiration on reproductive growth and water 745 productivity of 'Thompson Seedless' grapevines. Irrigation Science, 28:233–243.