1 Adaptive pseudo-real-time forecasting of phytoplankton communities

- 2 Trevor Page^{1**}, Paul J Smith^{1,3}, Keith J Beven¹, Ian D Jones², J Alex Elliott², Stephen C Maberly², Eleanor B
- 3 Mackay², Mitzi De Ville² and Heidrun Feuchtmayr².
- 4 ¹ Lancaster Environment Centre, Library Avenue, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YQ, UK.
- ² Lake Ecosystems Group, Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Lancaster Environment Centre, Library
- 6 Avenue, Bailrigg, Lancaster, LA1 4AP, UK.
- ³ ECMWF, Shinfield Park, Reading, RG2 9AX, UK
- 8 ** Corresponding Author: t.page@lancaster.ac.uk
- 9 Key words: Phytoplankton model, forecasting, data assimilation, Ensemble Kalman Filter,
- 10 cyanobacteria, PROTECH.

11 Abstract

12 Evaluation of the potential for forecasting of algal blooms using the phytoplankton community model 13 PROTECH was undertaken in pseudo-real-time. This was achieved within a data assimilation scheme using the Ensemble Kalman Filter to allow uncertainties and model nonlinearities to be propagated to forecast 14 outputs. Testing was done on two mesotrophic lakes in the English Lake district, which have differing 15 16 depths and nutrient regimes. Some forecasting success was shown for chlorophyll a, but not all forecasts 17 were able to perform better than a persistence forecast. There was a general reduction in forecast skill 18 with increasing forecasting period but forecasts for up to four or five days showed noticeably greater 19 promise than those for longer periods. Associated forecasts of phytoplankton community structure were 20 broadly consistent with observations but their translation to cyanobacteria forecasts is challenging owing 21 to functional similarities between species which may or may not be cyanobacteria.

. It was concluded that higher frequency in-lake chlorophyll *a* and nutrient observations should help to
improve forecasts but it remains to be seen how far the forecasting system can be used to identify algal
bloom conditions in this type of lake.

25 **1 Introduction**

26 Algal blooms are a global problem affecting water resources, recreation and ecosystems (Carmichael, 27 1992; Smith, 2003; World Health Organization, 1999). These problems are particularly acute when 28 cyanobacterial species dominate because of the risk of toxin production that can cause adverse effects to 29 humans and wildlife (Metcalf and Codd, 2009). In addition, water supply companies face associated 30 problems such as poor taste and odour and, in extreme cases, high concentrations of algal-derived toxins 31 which are costly to manage (Pretty et al., 2003; Dodds et al., 2009; Michalak, 2016). Costs associated with 32 implementation of management strategies are growing because of increased bloom frequency (Ho and 33 Michalak, 2015) because of the effects of nutrient enrichment and climate change (Paerl and Huisman, 34 2008; Brookes and Carey, 2011; Rigosi et al. 2014). As a result, there is an urgent need for reliable 35 predictions of algal bloom formation to enable timely management interventions.

36 Forecasting algal blooms in lakes is relatively new (Kim et al., 2014) but is increasingly becoming a 37 requirement for lake and reservoir managers (Huang et al., 2013; Recknagel et al. 2014; Xiao et al., 2017) 38 to help inform decisions regarding the most cost-effective management strategies. The fact that 39 limmnology is rapidly becoming data-rich (Marcé et al., 2016; Xiao et al., 2014) means that effective real-40 time forecasts are increasingly more feasible. However, forecast simulations will be inherently uncertain 41 for a number of reasons including input data resolution and simplifications in model process 42 representation. These uncertainties will have implications for the accuracy and reliability of a forecast and 43 therefore effort is required to allow for modelling uncertainty. Data assimilation (DA) is one approach to 44 reducing forecast uncertainty, but has, to date, received relatively little attention for forecasting

45 phytoplankton community dynamics. There is hence a need to test different DA methodologies across
46 different lake systems and different models.

47 There are still relatively few studies for operational lake forecasting systems and various approaches have 48 been taken such as using: Ensemble Kalman Filter (EnKF; Evensen, 1994) schemes and physically-based 49 simulation models (e.g. Allen et al., 2003, Huang et al. 2013 and Kim et al, 2014); evolutionary 50 computation (Recknagel et al., 2014; Ye et al., 2014); Lagrangian particle tracking model methods (Rowe 51 et al., 2016); and using a combination of wavelet analysis and neural networks (Luo et al., 2011; Xiao et 52 al., 2017). The EnKF has been developed to deal with highly non-linear model dynamics which cannot be 53 represented well using the traditional Kalman Filter. Phytoplankton population dynamics are highly non-54 linear with multiple modes of behaviour that can respond rapidly to threshold-type effects and are prone 55 to rapid changes in their physical and chemical environment (e.g. water temperature, light levels and 56 available nutrients). This makes the EnKF a suitable choice to exploring algal bloom forecasting when 57 coupled with a phytoplankton community model.

58 Here we assess our ability to make pseudo-real-time forecasts of phytoplankton communities in two lakes 59 in the north west of England, which are prone to cyanobacteria blooms during the summer. Forecasts are 60 made using a modified version of the phytoplankton community model PROTECH (Reynolds et al., 2001) 61 within a DA scheme using the EnKF. The version of PROTECH employed is appropriate for this problem as 62 it is intermediate in its complexity between physically-based coupled 3-dimensional hydrodynamic-63 biochemical models and more simplistic "black box models" which have both been used in this context. More complex models are extremely computationally expensive in forecasting (Huang et al., 2012; 64 65 Recknagel, et al., 2014), such that only a limited number of ensemble members can be used (Kim et al., 66 2014); and simple black box models may not be able to represent phytoplankton community dynamics

driven by ecological strategies that are represented in phytoplankton community models such asPROTECH.

69 We aim to determine the efficacy of phytoplankton community forecast simulations, evaluate the EnKF 70 as a DA strategy and investigate the ensemble size required for making consistent forecasts. Ultimately, 71 success will rely on the modelling strategy being sufficiently effective to capture the necessary short-term 72 phytoplankton community dynamics, given the available meteorological forecasts and limitations 73 associated with driving data. Demonstrating the efficacy of the approach therefore requires a robust 74 appraisal procedure with predictions tested qualitatively and quantitatively against appropriate 75 benchmarks. This approach allows other pertinent questions to be investigated; namely, how does 76 forecasting reliability diminish with time-scale of forecast and, most pertinently, what can be learnt from 77 any forecasting failure regarding future model development and optimisation of monitoring strategies.

78 2 Methods

79 2.1 Study lakes

80 This study considers two lakes in the English Lake District of North West England with differing depths and 81 nutrient regimes (Table 1). The catchments associated with each of the lakes are predominantly hill land, 82 rough-grazed by sheep throughout the year and contain towns and villages that are tourist destinations 83 and are hence associated with seasonal increases in lake nutrient inputs. Windermere is England's largest 84 lake and comprises two basins connected at a shallow region approximately halfway along its main axis. The two basins are usually considered separately as they have different characteristics: both basins are 85 86 monomictic and mesotrophic; the south basin was modelled in this study. Esthwaite Water is a small, 87 generally monomictic and occasionally dimictic, lake that has been subject to eutrophication for many 88 decades because of elevated phosphorus levels (Bennion et al., 2000; Dong et al., 2012): cyanobacterial 89 blooms are common in the summer to early autumn. Previous work has found that internal sources form

an important component of the P budget of the lake (Hall et al. 2000; Heaney et al., 1992 and Mackay et

91 *al.*, 2014).

Name/location	Mean Depth (m)	Max. Depth (m)	Max. Length (m)	Volume (m³)	Catchment Area (km²)	Residence Time (days)
Windermere (South Basin)	16.8	41	9300	1.06 x 10 ⁸	230.5	100
Esthwaite Water	6.4	15.5	2500	5.97 x 10 ⁶	17.1	100

92 Table 1 Study Lakes and primary characteristics[§]

93 § Details from Ramsbottom (1976)

94 2.2 Data

95 2.2.1 Forcing inputs: meteorological forecasts

96 The primary forcing inputs were meteorological forecasts provided by the European Centre for Medium-97 term Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) Ensemble Prediction System. The 10-day-ahead forecasts include an 98 ensemble of 50 simulations from perturbed initial states (at 32 km² resolution) and stochastic 99 perturbations of model parameters (see Buizza et al., 1999 and Ollinaho et al., 2016). The re-initialisation 100 of model states in the ECMWF forecasting system is implemented using a higher resolution 3-hour 101 forecast each day. As this re-initialisation is repeated each day, and as perturbations are random, there is 102 no specific relationship between individual ensemble members in subsequent days. The forecast 103 associated with each ensemble member was hence treated as independent from prior forecasts for this 104 study. Daily averages of forecasts were used (i.e. the average of 3-hourly forecasts for days 1-6 and of 6-105 hourly forecasts day 6-10) for consistency with the daily timestep of PROTECH. Historic forecasts were 106 obtained for 2008, 2009 and 2010 and used in pseudo-real-time. Given the scale of the forecast grid, each 107 forecast variable was "downscaled" to local data as described in the next section.

108

109 2.2.2 Sampling meteorological forecasts

110 Downscaling relationships were developed for air temperature, wind speed, precipitation, cloud cover, 111 relative humidity and solar radiation (Table 2). For air temperature a relationship was identified between 112 forecasted temperatures and observed temperatures using linear regression. Residuals from this initial 113 analysis helped identify an additional hysteretic relationship between forecasted and observed 114 temperatures, which was attributed to a lake thermal effect; this effect was implemented as an additional 115 correction for each day of the year. Similarly, wind speed was corrected using a linear correction factor 116 coupled with an additional correction based upon wind direction; this was required owing to complex 117 mountainous topography and lake-axis orientation. A wind-rose with sectors of 30 degrees was used to 118 classify forecasted wind speeds and a sector-specific correction was applied. The uncertainty associated 119 with the corrections was represented by fitting a gamma distribution to the data in each sector. All other 120 variables (precipitation, cloud cover, relative humidity and solar radiation), were corrected using a 121 correction multiplier identified using linear regression, without propagating the uncertainty in the 122 relationship. The uncertain relationships for air temperature and wind speed were resampled as perturbations of the ensemble members allowing investigation of the effect of different ensemble sizes. 123

124 **2.2.3 Nutrient Inputs**

125 Knowledge of diffuse nutrient inputs for the study lakes is relatively poor. Observations available were 126 from approximately monthly frequency routine monitoring and did not cover all river inputs. Both lakes 127 are also impacted by point sources from waste water treatment works (WwTW) and Esthwaite is subject 128 to significant internal P fluxes (Mackay et al., 2014). Diffuse nutrient inputs and WwTW inputs (where 129 included) were treated as reported by Page et al. (2017) and these inputs were modified by a 130 multiplicative parameter included in the EnKF scheme (Table 3). For Windermere, upstream lake inputs 131 of nutrients (and chlorophyll a) were treated as reported by Page et al. (2017) but were not included in 132 the EnKF scheme.

133 Table 2 Forcing inputs and downscaling relationships

Model Inputs	Downscaling factor/relationship	Uncertainty sampled		
Air Temp (T _a ; K)	Windermere: 0.095(T _a §) + 279.75**	V (Degradien)		
	Esthwaite Water: 0.013(T _a §) + 280.16**	Y (Regression)		
Solar Radiation (SR; Wm ⁻²)	0.85	Ν		
Wind Speed (W; m s ⁻¹)	0.38¥	Y (Gamma Dist.)		
Relative Humidity (RH; %)	1	Ν		
Cloud Cover (Cc; eighths)	1.25	Ν		
Rainfall (R; mm)	3	Ν		
Nutrient Inputs (P: N: SiO ₂ / mg m ⁻³)	Section 2.2.3	Y (Gamma Dist.)		

134 Ta[§] is the forecast air temperature (K); ** see Section 2.2.2 for additional lake-effect correction; ¥ see Section 2.2.2 for additional

135 *wind direction correction.*

136 2.2.4 Data for assim	ilation
--------------------------	---------

137 Specific years where the observed data were of the highest frequency, were chosen to test the DA 138 strategy. High frequency data from the automatic lake monitoring systems (Madgwick et. al., 2006; 139 Mackay et al., 2014) were available and were aggregated to daily values. The variables used for DA are 140 listed in Table 3. The "observed" temperatures for the epilimnion (T_e) and hypolimnion (T_h) used to 141 compare with the modelled variables for these layers were calculated as volume-weighted averages of 142 thermistor chain data, using the simulated epilimnetic depth to delineate the hypolimnion and epilimnion. 143 The "observed" epilimnetic depth (D_e) was estimated using a density gradient method (e.g. see Read et 144 al., 2011). In addition to the automatic monitoring, routine monitoring was carried out at the buoy 145 location at a frequency of approximately every 14 days and included chlorophyll a, soluble reactive 146 phosphorus (SRP), dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) and silica (SiO₂) (Table 3). These observations were 147 derived from a water sample at the buoy location integrated over 0-7 m depth (Windermere) or 0-5 m depth (Esthwaite Water) (Maberly et al., 2010). 148

149

150 2.3 Modelling methodology

151 The modelling strategy employed was designed to represent the different facets of the forecasting 152 system as simply as possible to reduce computational burden, whilst retaining the requirement to

153	explicitly simulate phytoplankton community structure and, specifically, to estimate the likely
154	concentrations of cyanobacteria from this structure. Thus, the catchment-lake system was simulated
155	using a suite of models of differing complexity from purely data-based (statistically estimated) transfer
156	function (TF) models and processed-based models which are consistent, in their complexity, with the
157	available data. A schematic of how the models were combined in the forecasting system is presented in
158	Figure 1 and each model is described in this section. The modelling system is structured around the
159	rationale that epilimnetic depth must be estimated as accurately as possible so that the phytoplankton
160	model, PROTECH, is more likely to provide good estimates of phytoplankton community structure; in
161	PROTECH, community structure is simulated using functional algal types as classified by Reynolds (1988)
162	as outlined in the next section. The simple conceptual model that estimates epilimnetic depth is a heat
163	energy "balance" model that requires estimates of epilimnetic temperature and energy fluxes to the
164	epilimnion, including those associated with river inflows and outflows.

165 **Table 3 Observed data assimilated in the EnKF scheme**

Assimilated state	Frequency	Source
Epilimnetic Temperature (°C)	Daily	buoy obs.
Hypolimnetic Temperature (°C)	Daily	buoy obs.
Epilimnetic depth (m)	Daily	buoy obs.
Chllorophyll a (mg m ⁻³)	≈14 days	Monitoring
Nutrient Inputs (SRP; N; SiO ₂ / mg m ⁻³)	≈14 days	Monitoring

166

167 The TF models, epilimnetic depth model and PROTECH are run sequentially; the TF and epilimnetic depth 168 models provide forecast estimates of river flow, epilimnetic depth, epilimnetic temperature and 169 hypolimnetic temperature as inputs to PROTECH. Data assimilation is employed for the two primary 170 models (the epilimnetic depth model and PROTECH) using two separate EnKF schemes that assimilate 171 observations at different intervals; the epilimnetic depth model scheme assimilates epilimnetic depth and 172 epilimnetic temperature estimates as well as hypolimnetic temperature estimates on a daily basis and the 173 scheme for PROTECH assimilates nutrient and chlorophyll *a* concentrations approximately every 14 days.

174 **2.3.1 The PROTECH model**

PROTECH (Reynolds *et al.*, 2001) is a lake phytoplankton community model that runs on a daily time-step. It is a 1-dimensional model where the lake is represented by horizontal layers. In the model representation all layers are assumed to be fully mixed throughout the epilimnion. River inputs drive fluxes of diffuse nutrients as well as the flushing of phytoplankton. Upstream lake inputs are treated as river inputs but are given the phytoplankton concentrations associated with the upstream lake, where data are available.

180 Underwater light for model layer *i* is calculated using:

$$l_i = Isurf. e^{(-\varepsilon.d_i)}$$
(1)

182

183 Where: *Isurf* is the daily surface light flux, d is the depth from the lake surface, ε is the light extinction 184 coefficient resulting from the sum of lake-specific abiotic water attenuation (ε_b) and the extinction of light 185 associated with the concentration of phytoplankton at each timestep multiplied by the parameter ε_a . In 186 the layers from the surface to the epilimnetic depth, the available light is represented by the geometric 187 mean of the epilimnetic layers and hence assumes that phytoplankton spend an equal time in each layer 188 at each timestep. Phytoplankton population dynamics are simulated using the following equation which 189 describes the change in chlorophyll a concentration (X) of each phytoplankton species selected to 190 represent the algal community (Reynolds 2001):

191
$$\frac{\Delta X}{\Delta t} = (r' - S - G - F).X$$
 (2)



192

Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the forecasting system. The schematic shows sequential model input-output structure and DA strategy. De is epilimnetic depth; Te is epilimnetic temperature; Th is hypolimnetic temperature, Q is lake inflow/outflow and Chl and Cyano are the concentration of total phytoplankton chlorophyll a and cyanobacterial chlorophyll a respectively. 197 where r' is the growth rate, *S* is settling loss, *G* is a grazing loss and *F* is the loss due to flushing. The growth 198 rate is defined for each layer using:

199
$$r' = \min \{ r'_{(\theta)}, r'_{(P)}, r'_{(N)}, r'_{(Si)} \}$$
(3)

where $r'_{(\theta,l)}$ is the growth rate at a given temperature (θ) and daily photoperiod (l) and r'_{P} , r'_{N} , r'_{Si} are the growth rates determined by phosphorus, nitrogen and silica concentrations. The final growth rate ($r'_{cor(\theta,l)}$) is a corrected rate allowing for dark respiration using equation 4. This is required as the model growth equations are net of basal metabolism but not dark respiration burden.

204
$$r'_{corr(\theta,l)} = R_{d(\theta)} \cdot r'_{(\theta,l)} - (1 - R_{d(\theta)}) \cdot r'_{(\theta,l)}$$
(4)

205 Where $R_{d(\theta)}$ is the dark respiration rate at temperature θ . The phytoplankton used for this study are 206 presented in Table Supp. 2.

207 PROTECH simulates the dynamics of the species chosen to represent the algal community of a given lake. 208 Species are represented by their morphology, nutrient requirements (i.e. silica requirement and nitrogen 209 fixing ability) and their vertical movement strategies. The number of species simulated is nominally eight 210 (although unlimited) and they are chosen to represent the dominant functional types of the system. 211 Simulations hence represent the behaviour of the functional algal community rather than the dynamics 212 of specific species. The C-S-R functional phytoplankton classification of Reynolds (1988) is used to classify phytoplankton into morphologically defined groups relating to broad ecological strategies. The primary 213 214 groups are: C-types, which are invasive, ecological pioneers that are small with high surface-to-volume 215 ratios (e.g. Chlorella, and Plagioselmis); S-types which are 'stress tolerators' that tolerate relatively low 216 nutrient availability and strong stratification (e.g. Woronichinia, Microcystis and Oocystis); and R-types 217 which can harvest sufficient light at low levels to be able to maintain growth and are hence tolerant of 218 well-mixed, intermittently insolated environments (e.g. Asterionella, Aulacoseira and Oscillatoria). Also

important for the lakes studied here, are CS-types, whose characteristics are intermediate between those
of C and S species (e.g. Dolichospermum, Aphanizomenon and Ceratium) and CSR-types (e.g.
Cryptomonas) that are intermediate between C-, S- and R-types.

222 2.3.2 Epilimnetic depth model

223 As a way of reducing computational burden, a simplified representation of lake thermal structure was 224 employed to estimate epilimnetic depth (D_e) . The simplified model works on the basis of *independent* 225 estimates of epilimnetic temperature and lake heat energy fluxes. The estimate of epilimnetic 226 temperature (T_e) uses a TF model (see Section 2.3.3) with inputs of air temperature (T_a), solar radiation, 227 wind speed (Ws) and D_e. Air temperature solar radiation and wind speed are derived from the forecasts 228 and D_e estimates are from the previous simulation timestep. The independent estimates of heat energy 229 fluxes are calculated using the PROTECH energy flux function (see Reynolds et al., 2001) for each timestep 230 using *T_e*, river temperature and flow magnitude, day length, cloud cover, *T_a*, Relative Humidity and Ws.

These two independent estimates are "balanced" to obtain hypolimnetic volume (V_h) using:

232
$$V_h = \frac{E_{\Delta T}}{\Delta T \cdot C_W \cdot \rho_W}$$
(5)

where, $E_{\Delta T}$ is the heat energy associated with ΔT (the difference between T_e and the hypolimnetic temperature, T_h), C_w is the specific heat capacity of water, ρ_w is the density of water. Equation 5 is solved to find V_h where: ΔT . C_w . ρ_w . $V_h \approx E_{\Delta T}$. Subsequently, the epilimnetic volume (V_e) and hence epilimnetic depth (D_e) are estimated by difference:

$$V_e = V_t - V_h \tag{6}$$

where V_t is the total lake volume. The requirement for ΔT is satisfied by calculating T_h using:

$$T_h = \frac{E_{th}}{C_w \cdot \rho_w \cdot V_t}$$
(7)

240	where: E_{th} is the "background" heat energy in the lake (associated with T_h and V_t , as defined by Eqn. 7)
241	During the forecast period, E_{th} remains at its previous value until updated during the data assimilation
242	step. This treatment of E_{th} neglects the explicit downward transfer of energy from $E_{\Delta T}$ to E_{th} for forecasting
243	and assumes that these are negligible over this timescale: energy is, however, explicitly transferred
244	downwards each time temperatures are updated during data assimilation. The sequence of calculation
245	or each forecast timestep is:
246	1. Estimate lake surface temperature using TF model
247	2. Update $E_{\Delta T}$
248	I. Radiative energy fluxes
249	II. River/upstream lake fluxes
250	 Estimate river input volume using TF model
251	 Estimate river temperature using TF model
252	 Assume Upstream lake temperature = modelled lake temperature
253	III. If $E_{\Delta T}$ < 0 loose energy from E _h (minimum energy set to 0°C)
254	3. Estimate T_h from E_{th}
255	4. If $E_{\Delta t} > 0$ and If $T_e - T_h$ is greater than a threshold parameter (nominally set to 1°C) estimate
256	epilimnetic depth by solving for the volume of water required to match $E_{\Delta T}$ given ΔT
257	subsequently estimate V_e and hence D_e by difference.
258	2.3.3 Transfer Function models
259	Transfer Function (TF) models were used for estimating lake surface temperature, river temperature and
260	iver inflows and outflows. Each model is a discrete-time TF identified directly from the available data
261	Both the model structures and parameters were identified using the Refined Instrumental Variable (RIV

algorithm (Young, 2015) implemented within the CAPTAIN Toolbox for Matlab[™] (Taylor *et al.*, 2007). The

resulting model structures and parameter values are presented in Section (Supp. 1) and are either single
input- or multi-input, single-output first order models of the general form:

265
$$y_t = \frac{B_1(z-1)}{A(z-1)} U_1 + \frac{B_2(z-1)}{A(z-1)} U_2 + \dots + \frac{B_n(z-1)}{A(z-1)} U_n$$
(8)

where, y_t is the variable being estimated at time t, U_{1-n} are model input vectors, A(z-1) and $B_n(z-1)$ are the model coefficients (polynomials in the backward shift operator: defined by $y_t z^{-1} = y_{t-1}$) that number 1 to n in the case of B but note that in this form for MISO (multi-input single-output) TF the denominator (A) is common to all n TF elements.

270 2.3.4 The Ensemble Kalman Filter

271 The EnKF is a sequential Monte Carlo method which uses a stochastic ensemble of model simulations, and 272 stochastic forcing, to propagate estimates of model states and (or) parameter values between assimilation 273 timesteps. As the ensemble of model simulations is used in place of the linear propagation of an error 274 covariance matrix (as in the traditional Kalman Filter), non-linear model dynamics are retained during 275 model evolution and uncertainties are represented by the variation of the ensemble. When observations 276 are available, each ensemble member is updated individually using a linear update equation (Eqn. 9) which 277 relies on the assumption that the relationship between states and parameters can be described by 278 multivariate Gaussian distributions. Rather than resampling the posterior distributions of the updated 279 ensemble, the EnKF uses each updated ensemble member such that some of the non-Gaussian properties 280 of the forecast are retained (Evenson, 2009). The procedure for the scheme is as follows:

The EnKF is initialised with an *N* number ensemble size, sampling states and parameters from *a priori* specified distributions (see below for specific details of this study) and *N* simulations for the forecast
 period are carried out. Where parameters are varied as part of the EnKF scheme, they are appended to
 the state matrix to give a state-parameter matrix.

285 2. When observed data are available for assimilation:

Apply a linear covariance inflation factor (*I*) to each of the *i* states and parameters to reduce the
 tendency for low ensemble covariance and for spurious correlations associated with small
 ensemble size (Anderson, 2007; Anderson and Anderson, 1999; Evenson, 2009):

289

$$\varphi_{j,i}^{a} = I.\left(\varphi_{j,i}^{a} - \overline{\varphi_{i}^{a}}\right) + \overline{\varphi_{i}^{a}}$$
(9)

291

292 II. Generate *N* perturbations of the observations (*Y*); it is essential that the uncertainty associated 293 with the observations is sampled from a distribution with mean equal to the observed value and 294 covariance (P^e) to avoid bias in the update (Evenson, 2009) and to reduce further the tendency 295 for the updated ensemble to have very low covariance (Moradkhani *et al.*, 2005).

296

297 III. Update the model states and parameters individually for the j^{th} ensemble member. This is done 298 proportionally to the deviation of the states in the forecasted state-parameter matrix (φ^f) from 299 the vector of perturbed observations and the Kalman gain matrix (K): note that the timestep 300 suffix is omitted for clarity in the following equations:

301

302

$$\varphi^a = \varphi^f + K(Y) - H\varphi^f \tag{10}$$

303 where, φ^a is the vector of updated states/parameters and H is a matrix that maps the model 304 states to the observed sates. The appended parameters are updated using the cross-covariance 305 between the predicted states and parameters. The Kalman gain matrix is calculated using:

306
$$K = P_{\varphi}^{f} H^{T} (H(P_{\varphi}^{f})) H^{T} + P^{e})^{-1}$$
(11)

307 where, P_{φ}^{f} is the covariance matrix for the ensemble of forecasted state-parameter matrix.

308 IV. Apply any constraints on states and (or) parameter distributions (e.g. to keep them within
 309 physically reasonable ranges). This was implemented using a resampling scheme where if any
 310 state/parameter violated specified constraints (Table 4), the ensemble was resampled using a
 311 truncated distribution for that state/parameter in conjunction with a Gaussian copula to retain
 312 the ensemble's covariance structure.

313

V. Make *N* number of simulations for the next forecast period using the updated state-parameter
matrix.

316 **2.3.5 Ensemble Kalman Filter scheme: Epilimnetic model**

As the epilimnetic model is very simple, all the main model states were used in the EnKF scheme. The states T_e , T_h and D_e were updated using a daily assimilation frequency for the epilimnetic depth model. The "observed" values of these states are those estimated and described above.

320 2.3.6 Ensemble Kalman Filter scheme: PROTECH

321 The choice of states and parameters included in the PROTECH EnKF scheme was made based on 322 uncertainty and sensitivity analyses reported by Page et al. (2017). The Page et al., study, which included 323 the lakes studied here, identified that the main challenges for forecasting as uncertainties associated with: representing phytoplankton exposure to light and nutrient inputs (particularly phosphorus). The DA 324 325 scheme was therefore defined to include the main model states, SRP, DIN, SiO₂ and chlorophyll a, as well 326 the parameters associated with modifying nutrient inputs and underwater light (Table 4). These were 327 updated at an approximately 14-day frequency set by the monitoring data. For Windermere both point 328 source (WwTW_f) and diffuse SRP inputs (P_{fact}) parameters were included in the DA scheme; for Esthwaite

Water only the parameter modifying the diffuse SRP inputs was included as simulations which included a simplified representation of sediment-derived SRP inputs did not provide improved results (these results are not reported here).

332

333 To investigate the effect of ensemble size and to determine an acceptable ensemble size for the current 334 applications, ensemble member (EM) size was increased sequentially, using the scenarios EM50, EM100, 335 EM200, EM300 and EM400 (where the suffix is the size of the ensemble), until the forecast simulations 336 appeared consistent. These scenarios were generated by resampling the downscaled ECMWF forecast 337 distributions as described above and were used to force the suite of models used. For each of the forecast 338 scenarios, the error associated with the assimilated data and the variance inflation factors were "optimised" manually to provide the best results. For consistency, and in the spirit of the pseudo-real time 339 340 treatment of the forecast simulations, the variance inflation factors were kept consistent across all lake-341 years considered. For each of the assimilated variables, the variance was assumed to be proportional to 342 the magnitude of the variable of interest using a percentage. Additionally, a minimum variance was 343 applied to reduce the impact of very small observed values (e.g. where hypolimnetic SRP values are 344 observed to be very low or within the limit of detection) where the associated low variance would falsely 345 indicate low uncertainty.

346 347

6 **Table 4. States and parameters included in the ENKF scheme**

State/Parameter	Acceptable range	Observational error (%)	Initial distributions (uniform)**
Epilimnetic Temp. (<i>T_e</i> , ^o C)	2-25	5	5.5-7 (W); 4-6(E)
Hypolimnetic temp. (<i>T_h</i> , ^o C)	2-25	10	5.5-7 (W); 4-6(E)
Epilimnetic depth (<i>D_e</i> , m)	0.5-Lake max depth	. 5	41 (W); 15.5(E)
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (mg m ⁻³)	1e ⁻⁶ -1e ³	10	3-4.5 (W); -4.5-6 (E)
Background light extinction (ε_{b} , m^{-1})	0.15-0.9	N/A	0.15-0.6(W); 0.45-0.75(E)
Epilimnetic P conc. (<i>P_e</i> ,mg m ⁻³)	1e ⁻⁶ -1e ⁴	25	10-20(W); 8-15(E)
Epilimnetic DIN conc. (N _e ,mg m ⁻³)	1e ⁻⁶ -1e ⁴	25	400-700(W); 500-1100(E)
Epilimnetic SiO ₂ conc. (<i>Si_e</i> ,mg m ⁻³)	1e ⁻⁶ -1e ⁴	25	1500-2500(W); 2000-2500(E)
Diffuse P input multiplier (<i>P_f</i> , dimensionless)	0.05-7	N/A	0.01-1.5

Diffuse DIN input multiplier (<i>N_f,</i> dimensionless)	0.1-3	N/A	0.5-1.2
Diffuse SiO ₂ input multiplier (Si_f , dimensionless)	0.1-3	N/A	0.5-1.2
Point source P input multiplier (<i>WwTW_f</i> , dimensionless)	0.01-2	N/A	0.1-1.4

348 ** Where distributions are different for each lake W = Windermere; E = Esthwaite Water

349 2.3.7 Assessing forecast skill

350 Different studies have used different benchmarks to evaluate the goodness of fit of forecasts (forecast 351 skill), which are often determined by their aims. Studies tend to use either some form of "reference" 352 simulation or simulations that do not assimilate any observations (sometimes called "climatology") which 353 serve to quantify the DA effect (e.g. Allen et al., 2003 and Kim et al., 2014) or solely a measure of the 354 goodness-of-fit to observations (e.g. the coefficient of determination, R_T^2). Here, as our aim was to assess 355 the value of the model for operational forecasting, we used a more stringent persistence forecast (e.g. see 356 Stumpf et al., 2009) which uses the most recent observations as the forecast for each forecast timestep 357 until the next observation becomes available. In the sections below, the forecast skill was assessed using 358 a persistence forecast for the entire annual timeseries and for the chlorophyll a forecast for which we 359 have the most confidence in the observations. The goodness of fit of the benchmark and the simulated 360 chlorophyll a forecasts are determined using the root-mean-square error (RMSE) as a measure. For the 361 epilimnetic depth model, and other sub-models (i.e. TF models), goodness of fit is discussed more generally by comparison with observations using the coefficient of determination (R_T^2) . Assessment of 362 the forecasts of phytoplankton community structure is made qualitatively as we have a significantly lower 363 364 confidence in the absolute value of the observations.

- 365 3 Results and discussion
- 366 **3.1 TF model results**

Transfer function models were identified for epilimnetic temperature, river temperature and river inflows and outflows and all models provided good fits to the observed data during model identification: R_T^2 values were between 0.86 and 0.98 (Supp. Table 1). Model identification was carried out for the entire period of data available (see Supp. 1) such that they were not year specific models. As detailed above, in each case the models were used to forecast their respective variable deterministically.

372 **3.2** Forecasting epilimnetic depth and the phytoplankton community

373 3.2.1 Epilimnetic depth forecasts

374 Epilimnetic depth forecast estimates were made for 2008-2010 for Windermere and 2008 and 2009 for 375 Esthwaite Water within the parallel EnKF scheme. Although very simplistic, the epilimnetic depth model 376 provided reasonable forecasts of epilimnetic depth when compared to those estimated from 377 observations. For both lakes, the forecasts were stable and consistent using the smallest ensemble size of 378 50 using a variance inflation factor of 1.25. Simulations for Windermere were better than for Esthwaite Water (R_T^2 of 0.85 and 0.75 respectively for a 10-day-ahead forecast; Figs. 2a and 2b) and there were short 379 380 periods with significant deviations from the 'observed' depths in both cases. Simulation of the timing of 381 temporary stratification events at the beginning of the year was problematic for both lakes and 382 simulations tended towards overly rapid mixing during autumn turnover, particularly for Esthwaite Water. 383 Where significant deviations exist, they have the potential to reduce the forecast skill and therefore need 384 to be improved, although, importantly, epilimnetic depth estimates for much of the high cyanobacterial 385 bloom risk periods (i.e. during periods of strongest stratification) are reasonable. Given these results, the 386 epilimnetic depth estimates for Windermere appear to be adequate out to 10-days-ahead but for 387 Esthwaite they appear to be adequate for a much shorter lead time; for example, the 3-day-ahead forecast is a much better fit with an improved R_T^2 of 0.81 (Fig. 2c). The adequacy of these estimates is assessed 388

more formally in association with the phytoplankton forecasts in comparison to the persistence forecastin the next section.

391

392 3.2.2 Chlorophyll *a* forecasts

393 For all lake-years, multiple runs of the EM50 Forecasts gave inconsistent simulations and a higher EM size 394 was required. Forecasts for Windermere tended towards stability between the EM100 and EM200 395 scenarios (Fig. 3), which is an ensemble size consistent with previous work with relatively complex models 396 (e.g. Evensen, 1994 and Allen et al., 2003). For Esthwaite Water, however, a higher ensemble size 397 appeared to be required with a size of around 400 giving consistent simulations (Fig. 4). Subsequently, in 398 the following, results presented for Windermere and Esthwaite Water are associated with the EM200 and 399 EM400 scenarios respectively. In all cases, the manually "optimised" variance inflation factor was kept 400 consistent for all lake years at a value of 1.1.

401

402





Figure 2. Simulated and measured epilimnetic depth. Results shown for (a) Windermere 2008-2010
10-day-ahead, (b) Esthwaite Water 2008 and 2009 10-day-ahead and (c) Esthwaite Water 2008
and 2009 3-day-ahead: "observed" epilimnetic depth (red line), 50th percentile of the ensemble of
simulated epilimnetic depth (black line) and 5th and 95th percentiles (grey lines).





Figure 3. Chlorophyll a forecast skill for the differing ensemble size scenarios. Results are shown for (a) Windermere 2008, (b) Windermere 2009 and (c) Windermere 2010, compared to the benchmark persistence forecast. Note that lower ensemble sizes can give "randomly" better forecast performance (e.g. EM = 50 in pane (a))

416



Figure 4. Chlorophyll a forecast skill for the differing ensemble size scenarios. Results are shown
for (a) Esthwaite Water 2008 and (b) Esthwaite Water 2009, compared to the benchmark
persistence forecast.

Although forecast simulations for Windermere appear to be relatively good visually (e.g. see Fig. 5 below), they were not always an improvement on the persistence forecasts (Fig. 3). For 2008, the persistence forecast was better than simulated forecasts for all lead times. Conversely, simulated forecasts were better than the persistence forecasts for all lead times for 2009. A lead time of approximately 6 days or less was an improvement on the persistence forecast for 2010 simulations.

For Esthwaite Water, forecasts simulations were not as good as those for Windermere (Fig. 5), which is consistent with previous work using PROTECH for these lakes (Page *et al.*, 2017). The forecasts for 2008 were, however, still better than the persistence forecast out to about 5 days ahead (Fig. 4a), but were always worse than the persistence forecast for 2009 (Fig. 4b). The poorer fits for Esthwaite Water are likely to be a result of the complex uncertainties associated with the timing and magnitude of SRP inputs as well as the poorer simulation of epilimnetic depth reported above. In Esthwaite Water, during the period where P limitation dominates phytoplankton growth, it is very difficult to represent SRP fluxes 434 appropriately, even when a representation of sediment-derived SRP fluxes was included (the addition of 435 representation of sediment-derived SRP did not improve forecasts owing to interaction between sources 436 of P: this work is not reported here). The difficulties associated with representing SRP fluxes was helped 437 to some degree by the DA, but remain problematic during times where very low concentrations are 438 present in the epilimnion; at these times, the correlations within the Kalman gain matrix would need to 439 be very well-represented to provide appropriate updates to both epilimnetic SRP concentrations and SRP 440 fluxes simultaneously. The difficulties associated with these updates are compounded by the relatively 441 low frequency of assimilation timesteps. Subsequently, even with relatively large ensemble sizes, the 442 correlations within the Kalman gain matrix have the potential to be spurious. This is not unexpected as 443 the lake system is highly dynamic and non-linear and, perhaps most importantly, the relationships 444 between the states (and parameters in some cases) are not always consistent (e.g. when the nutrient 445 states are not limiting they may have no relationship with the phytoplankton state). The temporal 446 evolution of the nutrient parameter values (modified within the DA scheme) that change SRP fluxes were 447 consistent with these uncertainties and did not show any consistent structure. Given these difficulties, 448 assimilation of higher resolution nutrient observations may be one of the most important for improving 449 forecasts. Conversely, for both Windermere and Esthwaite Water, improvement of forecasts was made 450 by the modification of the background light extinction parameter, ε_b , within the DA scheme: its evolution 451 over the simulation periods was relatively consistent for each of the years considered (Fig. 6) and reflects 452 known simulation artefacts previously reported (Page et al., 2017).





Figure 5. Measured and forecast phytoplankton chlorophyll a in the two lakes during 2008. Results show concatenated forecasts for: (a) 10-day-ahead for Windermere 2008 for ensemble member sizes (EM) of 50, 100 and 200; (b) 5-day-ahead for Esthwaite Water 2008 for ensemble member sizes (EM) of 50 and 400. Solid lines are 50th percentile of ensemble and dotted lines are 5th and 95th percentiles.



460 Figure 6. The evolution of the background light extinction coefficient parameter (ε_b). Results are 461 shown for (a) Windermere 2008, 2009 and 2010 and (b) Esthwaite Water 2008 and 2009. The 462 three lines in each colour are the 5th, 50th and 95th percentiles of the EM200 and EM400 ensembles 463 respectively.

464 **3.2.3 Forecasting phytoplankton community structure**

Forecasts of species representing the phytoplankton community structure were made without direct constraint within the DA scheme. Simulations were, however, indirectly constrained by the assimilation of mixed depth, chlorophyll *a* and nutrients and hence are reliant on the ability of PROTECH simulations to represent phytoplankton community structure where abiotic conditions for phytoplankton growth are simulated adequately. They are also reliant on whether or not the algal species chosen to represent the community are adequate (Elliott, 2010, 2012; Page *et al.*, 2017).

Forecasts of community structure are assessed here using simulations of R- and CS-types functional groups as they dominate our study lakes. Observations to which they are compared are estimated using "counts" of algal species classified into the same functional groups. These "count" data are associated with significant uncertainty in terms of the absolute biovolume of each species (and hence functional 475 type) because of errors, which are difficult to quantify, associated with sample heterogeneity, counter 476 fatigue and between-counter variation (Thackeray et al., 2012) as well as uncertainty associated with 477 conversion from sample "counts" to biovolume and subsequently to chlorophyll *a*. Accordingly, we used 478 the relative abundance of each functional type for each observation timestep to partition the observed 479 chlorophyll a concentration. Given these uncertainties, we estimated the sampling/analytical error to be 470 +/- 25% and the overall error to be +/- 50% in accordance with Page *et al.* (2017).

481 A comparison of the uncertain observations of R- and CS- functional types are presented in Fig. 7 where 482 it can be seen that for most lake-years the overall pattern of the simulations are consistent with the 483 observations. There are some periods where the simulations are not consistent, which are associated 484 primarily with the period of transition between the early blooms of R-type species and succession by CS-485 types (approximately between days 100 and 200). This pattern can clearly be seen for Windemere 2008 486 and 2009 (Figs. 7a and 7d) and is most likely associated with inadequate representation of nutrient fluxes 487 and subsequent periods of nutrient limitation (Page et al., 2017). There are also some periods where the 488 overly rapid mixing simulated by the epilimnetic depth model (as discussed above) made it difficult to 489 simulate the relatively high observed biomass: this is particularly evident for CS-species in Esthwaite 490 Water 2008 (Fig. 7k) and R-species in Esthwaite Water 2009 (Fig. 7l); these inconsistencies are a direct 491 result of the spurious deep mixing events simulated around days 220 and 250 for 2008 and 2009 492 respectively (see Fig. 2 b and c) and strengthen the requirement to improve the epilimnetic depth model 493 as discussed above.

494 **3.2.4 Forecasting cyanobacteria**

495 Observations of Cyanobacteria are estimated in the same way as functional species types discussed in the 496 previous section and are associated with similar uncertainty (see Fig. 7). As PROTECH simulates the 497 functional algal community using the dynamics of a number of selected individual species, the philosophy 498 behind this method means that the forecasts of individual species are not as robust as those for functional 499 comunity structure and are hence more uncertain. This is the case for forecasts of cyanobacteria where 500 they are represented by more than one functional type: e.g. for Windermere cyanobacteria are 501 represented by Planktothrix, an R-type species, together with Aphanizomenon flos-aquae and 502 dolichospermum which are CS-type species (see Table Supp. 2). In this situation, the interchangeability of 503 species with similar functional behaviour, but which have differing species traits, requires additional 504 interpretation for forecasts of cyanobacteria to be made. For example, the simulations of the R-species 505 Planktothrix for all lake-years for Windermere result in overestimations of cyanobacteria concentrations 506 for the periods where *Planktothrix* proliferates (approximately between days 150 and 275: Figs. 7c, 7f & 507 7i). Cyanobacteria forecasts, made for this study, are also a spatial average for each lake, constrained 508 using data collected at one point; they therefore do not necessarily correspond with the risk from 509 cyanobacterial blooms where significant spatial heterogeneity exists, as can be the case for wind-blown 510 cyanobacterial species (e.g. George and Heaney, 1978). Extending point forecasts to spatial forecasts for 511 species that have these characteristics is hence an additional challenge. However, forecasts may be 512 presented as probabilistic or possibilistic risk estimates, such as the likelihood of a cyanobacterial 513 concentration of greater than a given critical threshold: this will be the focus of further research.

514 4 Conclusions

We rigorously tested the ability of the phytoplankton community model PROTECH to make forecasts of phytoplankton community structure within a data assimilation scheme using the Ensemble Kalman Filter. Some forecasting success was shown for chlorophyll *a*, but not all forecasts were better than a persistence forecast. The results typically indicated a reduction in chlorophyll *a* forecast skill with length of forecasting periodwith forecasts for up to four or five days showinggreater promise than those for longer time-scales. Associated forecasts of phytoplankton community composition, represented by functional algal types,

521 were broadly consistent with observations. Translation of forecasts of functional algal types to forecasts 522 of cyanobacteria are challenging because of functional similarities between species which may or may not 523 be cyanobacteria. Improvements in forecasts are likely to come from higher frequency observations for 524 both chlorophyll *a* and nutrient concentrations. - While higher frequency observations for these variables 525 should help improve forecasts, they will also simultaneously improve the persistence forecast. It, 526 therefore, remains to be seen whether or not a modelled forecast driven with improved observations 527 would provide a significant improvement over the associated persistence forecast and the potential to 528 forecast algal blooms in this type of lake.



Figure 7. Concatenated five-day ahead forecasts of R-species, CS-species and cyanobacteria concentration for all lake years; black line is 50th percentile and grey shaded area represents the 532 5th and 95th percentiles of the ensemble: EM200 and EM400 for Windermere and Esthwaite 533 respectively. The box and whisker symbols represent the analytical uncertainty and the total 534 uncertainty estimated by the project team. Note that 5-day ahead forecasts are presented as 535 approximately this lead time provided the most consistently acceptable results.

536

537 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Natural Environment Research Council projects: the United Kingdom Lake Ecological Observatory Network (UKLEON; grant number NE/I007407/1) and the Consortium on Risk in the Environment: Diagnostic, Integration, Benchmarking, Learning and Elicitation (CREDIBLE; grant

number NE/J017299/1); We would like to thank the ECMWF for the historic meteorological forecasts and

542 Mr Bernard Tebay for collecting the meteorological data at Ambleside.

543 References

- Anderson, J.L. (2007). An adaptive covariance inflation error correction algorithm for ensemble filters.
 Tellus, 59A, 210–224
- Anderson, J.L., Anderson, S.L. (1999). A Monte Carlo implementation of the nonlinear filtering problem to
 produce ensemble assimilations and forecasts. Mon. Wea. Rev. 127, 2741–2758.
- 548 J. Allen, M. Eknes, G. Evensen. (2003). An Ensemble Kalman Filter with a complex marine ecosystem
- 549 model: hindcasting phytoplankton in the Cretan Sea. Ann. Geophys., 21, 399–411.

Bennion, H., Monteith, D. and Appleby, P. (2000). Temporal and geographical variation in lake trophic
status in the English Lake District: evidence from (sub)fossil diatoms and aquatic macrophytes. Freshwater
Biol., 45(4), 1365-2427, doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2427.2000.00626.x

553 Buizza, R., Milleer, M. and Palmer, T. N. (1999). Stochastic representation of model uncertainties in the 554 ECMWF ensemble prediction system. Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc. 125 (560), 2887–2908. 555 doi:10.1002/qj.49712556006.

556 Carmichael, W.W. (1992). A status report on planktonic cyanobacteria (blue-green algae) and their toxins.

557 EPA/600/R-92-079, Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory, Office of Research and Development,

558 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, OH. 141 pp.

559 Dong X., Bennion H., Maberly S.C., Sayer C.D., Simpson G.L. and Battarbee R.W. (2012). Nutrients provide

560 a stronger control than climate on diatom communities in Esthwaite Water Water: Evidence from

561 monitoring and palaeolimnological records over the past 60 years. Freshwater Biol., 57, 2044-2056.

562 Elliott, J.A. (2012) Predicting the impact of changing nutrient load and temperature on the phytoplankton

of England's largest lake, Windermere. Freshwater Biol., 57, 400-413.

564 Elliott, J.A. (2010). The seasonal sensitivity of Cyanobacteria and other phytoplankton to changes in 565 flushing rate and water temperature. Glob. Change Biol., 16, 864-876.

Evensen, G. (1994). Sequential data assimilation with a non-linear quasigeostrophic model using Monte
Carlo methods to forecast error statistics. J. Geophys. Res., 99, 10 143–10 162.

Evensen, G. (2009). The ensemble Kalman filter for combined state and parameter estimation. IEEE
Control Sys., 29 (3), pp. 83-104, 2009.

- 570 George, D. G. and Heaney, S. I. (1978). Factors influencing the spatial distribution of phytoplankton in a 571 small productive lake. J. Ecol., 66(1), 133-155.
- Hall, G.H., Maberly, S.C., Reynolds, C.S., Winfield, I.J., James, B.J., Parker, J.E., Dent, M.M., Fletcher, J.M.,
- 573 Simon, B.M. and Smith, E. (2000). Feasibility study on the restoration of three Cumbrian lakes. Centre for
- 574 Ecology and Hydrology Windermere, Ambleside, UK. 82 pp.

587

- Heany, S.I., Corry, J. E. and Lishman, J. P. (1992). Changes of water quality and sediment phosphorus of a
 small productive lake following decreased phosphorus loading. Centre for Ecology and Hydrology
 Windermere, Ambleside, UK. 14 pp.
- Ho, J. C. and Michalak, A. M. (2015). Challenges in tracking harmful algal blooms: A synthesis of evidence
 from Lake Erie. J. Great Lakes Res., 41(2), 317-325. doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2015.01.001
- Huang, J., Gao, J., Liu, J. and Zhang, Y. (2013). State and parameter update of a hydrodynamicphytoplankton model using ensemble Kalman filter, Ecol. Model., 263 (10), 81-91.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2013.04.022
- Kim, K., Park, M., Min, J., Ryu, I., Kang, M., and Park, L. (2014). Simulation of algal bloom dynamics in a
 river with the ensemble Kalman filter. J. Hydrol., 519(D), 2810–2821.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.09.073
- 586 Luo, Y., Ogle, K., Tucker, C., Fei, S., Gao, C., LaDeau, S., Clark, J. S. and Schimel, D. S. (2011). Ecological

forecasting and data assimilation in a data-rich era. Ecol. Appl., 21, 1429–1442. doi:10.1890/09-1275.1

- 588 Maberly, S.C., De Ville, M.M., Thackeray, S.J., Feuchtmayr, H., Fletcher, J.M., James, J.B., Kelly, J.L., Vincent,
- 589 C.D., Winfield, I.J., Newton, A., Atkinson, D., Croft, A., Drew, H., Saag, M., Taylor, S., Titterington, H. (2011).
- 590 A survey of the lakes of the English Lake District: The Lakes Tour 2010. NERC/Centre for Ecology and
- 591 Hydrology,137pp. (CEH Project Number. Report to: Environment Agency, North West Region and Lake

592DistrictNationalParkAuthority:downloadedJan2015from593http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/14563/2/N014563CR.pdf

594 Mackay E. M., Folkard A. M. and Jones I.D. (2014). Interannual variations in atmospheric forcing determine

- trajectories of hypolimnetic soluble reactive phosphorus supply in a eutrophic lake. Freshwater Biol., 59,
 1646–1658.
- Madgwick G., Jones I.D., Thackeray S.J., Elliott J.A. and Miller H.J. (2006). Phytoplankton communities and
 antecedent conditions: high resolution sampling in Esthwaite Water Water. Freshwater Biol., 51, 1798–
 1810.
- Marcé, R., George, G., Buscarinu, P., Deidda, M, Dunalska, J., de Eyto, E., Flaim, G., Grossart, H.,
 Istvanovics, V., Lenhardt, M., Moreno-Ostos, E., Obrador, B., Ostrovsky, I., Pierson, D. C., Potužák, J.,
 Poikane, S., Rinke, K., Rodríguez-Mozaz, S., Staehr, P. A., Šumberová, K., Waajen, G., Weyhenmeyer, G. A.,
 Weathers, K. C., Zion, M., Ibelings, B.W. and Jennings, E. (2016). Automatic High Frequency Monitoring
 for Improved Lake and Reservoir Management. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50 (20), 10780-10794. DOI:
 10.1021/acs.est.6b01604
- Michalak, A., M. (2016). Study role of climate change in extreme threats to water quality. Nature 535, 349350.
- Metcalf, J.S. and Codd, G.A. (2009). Cyanobacteria, neurotoxins and water resources: are there implications for human neurodegenerative disease? Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis 10, suppl. 2, 74-78 (2009).
- Moradkhani, H., S. Sorooshian, H. V. Gupta, and P. R. Hauser (2005). Dual state-parameter estimation of
 hydrological models using ensemble Kalman filter, Adv. Water Resour., 28, 135 147.

Ollinaho, P., Lock, S.-J., Leutbecher, M., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A., Bozzo, A., Forbes, R. M., Haiden, T.,
Hogan, R. J. and Sandu, I. (2017), Towards process-level representation of model uncertainties:
stochastically perturbed parametrizations in the ECMWF ensemble. Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc., 143: 408–422.
doi:10.1002/qj.2931

- Page et al., (2017). Constraining uncertainty and process-representation in an algal community lake model
 using high frequency in-lake observations. Ecol. Model.:
 http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304380017301345
- Paerl, H.W. and Huisman, J. (2008). Blooms like it hot. Science, 4, 320(5872), 57-8. doi:
 10.1126/science.1155398. DOI: 10.1126/science.1155398
- Pretty, J. N., Mason, C. F., Nedwell, D. B., Hine, R. E., Leaf, S., and Dils, R. (2003). Environmental Costs of
 Freshwater Eutrophication in England and Wales. Environ. Sci. Technol., 37(2), 201-208.
- 624 Read J.S., Hamilton, D.P., Jones, I.D., Muraoka, K., Winslow, L.A., Kroiss, R., Wu, C.H. & Gaiser. E. (2011).
- 625 Derivation of lake mixing and stratification indices from high-resolution lake buoy data. Environ. Modell.
- 626 Softw. 26, 1325-1336.
- Ramsbottom A.E. (1976). Depth Charts of the Cumbrian Lakes. Freshwater Biological Association Scientific
 Publication No. 33, Ambleside, UK.
- 629 Recknagel, F., Ostrovsky, I. and Cao, H. (2014). Model ensemble for the simulation of plankton community
- 630 dynamics of Lake Kinneret (Israel) induced from in situ predictor variables by evolutionary computation.
- 631 Environ. Modell. Soft., 61, 380-392. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2014.03.014.
- 632 Reynolds C.S. (1988). Functional morphology and the adaptive strategies of freshwater phytoplankton. In:
- Growth and Reproductive strategies of Freshwater Phytoplankton (Ed. C.D. Sandgren), pp. 388–433.
- 634 Cambridge, University Press, New York.

- Reynolds C.S., Irish A.E. and Elliott J.A. (2001). The ecological basis for simulating phytoplankton responses
 to environmental change (PROTECH). Ecol. Model., 140, 271–291.
- Rigosi, A., Carey, C.C., Ibelings, B. W. and Brookes, J. D. (2014). The interaction between climate warming
 and eutrophication to promote cyanobacteria is dependent on trophic state and varies among taxa.
- 639 Limnol. Oceanogr. 59(1), 2014, 99–114. doi:10.4319/lo.2014.59.01.0099
- Rowe, M. D., Anderson, E. J., Wynne, T. T., Stumpf, R. P., Fanslow, D. L., Kijanka, K., Vanderploeg, H. A.
 Strickler, J. R. and Davis, T. W. (2016). Vertical distribution of buoyant Microcystis blooms in a Lagrangian
 particle tracking model for short-term forecasts in Lake Erie. J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans. 121, 5296-5314.
 doi:10.1002/2016JC011720.
- Smith, V.H., (2003). Eutrophication of Freshwater and Coastal Marine Ecosystems: A Global Problem.
 Environ. Sci. & Pollut. Res. 10 (2) 126-39.
- Stumpf, R. P., Tomlinson, M. C., Calkins, J. A., Kirkpatrick, B., Fisher, K., Nierenberg, K., Currier, R. and
 Wynne, T. T. (2009). Skill assessment for an operational algal bloom forecast system. Journal of Marine
 Systems. 76(1): 151-161.
- Taylor, C.J., Pedregal, D.J., Young, P.C. and Tych, W., (2007). Environmental time series analysis and
 forecasting with the Captain toolbox, Environ. Modell. Softw., 22: 797-814.
- World Health Organization (1999). Toxic cyanobacteria in water: a guide to their public health consequences, monitoring and management. I. Chorus and J. Bartram (Eds.). E & FN Spon, London, UK (1999).

654

- 655 Xiao X, Sogge H, Lagesen K, Tooming-Klunderud A, Jakobsen KS, Rohrlack T (2014). Use of High Throughput
- 656 Sequencing and Light Microscopy Show Contrasting Results in a Study of Phytoplankton Occurrence in a
- 657 Freshwater Environment. PLoS ONE, 9(8), 1-9. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106510
- 558 Xiao, X., He, J., Huang, H., Miller, T. R., Christakos, G., Reichwaldt, E., S., Ghadouani, A., Lin, S., Xu, X. and
- 659 Shi, J. (2017). A novel single-parameter approach for forecasting algal blooms. Water Res., 108, 222-231.
- 660 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.10.076
- Young, P.C. and Beven, K.J. 1994. Data-based mechanistic modelling and the rainfall-flow non-linearity.
 Environmetrics. 5, 3, p. 335-363.
- Ye, L., Cai, Q., Zhang, M. and Tan, L. (2014). Real-time observation, early warning and forecasting
 phytoplankton blooms by integrating in situ automated online sondes and hybrid evolutionary algorithms.
 Ecological Informatics, 22, 44–51.
- Young, P.C., 2015. Refined Instrumental Variable Estimation: Maximum Likelihood Optimization of a
 Unified Box-Jenkins Model. Automatica, 52, 35–46.

668 Supplementary information

669 Supp. 1 Transfer Function models for forecasted inputs

- The epilimnetic depth model requires forecasts of epilimnetic temperature, river in/outflows and river temperature. Each TF model that provides these forecasts was identified (as outlined above) using the available timeseries data. The epilimnetic temperature (T_e) at day t is given by:
- 673
- 674

675
$$T_{e(t)} = -a.T_{e(t-1)}b1.T_{a(t)} + b2.R_{sw(t)} + b3.\frac{1}{D_{e(t-1)}} + b4.(W_{s(t-1)})^{3}$$

676

677

678 Where, T_a is the air temperature, R_{sw} is SW radiation, D_e is epilimnetic depth and W_s is the wind speed. 679 The model coefficients are denoted a, b1, b2 and b3 (see Table Supp. 1 for values). One model for each 680 lake was identified from the available data (2008 to 2010 for Windermere and 2004 to 2009 for Esthwaite 681 Water).

The lake in/outflow TF model was identified as a 1st order model with a nonlinear rainfall filter (see Young
and Beven, 1994) and took the form:

684

685
$$Q_{r(t)} = -a. Q_{r(t-1)} + b. P_{(t)}. Q_{r(t-1)}^{\beta}$$

686

687

where Q_r is the river in/outflow, P is precipitation and a, b1 are TF model coefficients where β is the nonlinear rainfall filter parameter. The model for Windermere was identified using Rainfall data from Ambleside and flow data from the Environment agency Gauge at Newby Bridge for the years 2008 to 2010 (National River Flow Archive: http://www.ceh.ac.uk/data/nrfa/).

692 River temperature (T_{Q}) was estimated using observed data from Troutbeck (Windermere) for the years 693 1997 to 2006:

694

695 $T_{Q(t)} = -a.T_{Q(t-1)} + b.T_{a(t)}$

696

697

699 Table Supp. 1 Transfer Function parameters and goodness of fit (W = Windermere; E = Esthwaite Water)

	ä	a	b1	(β)	b	2	b	3	b	4	τ		R	т ²
	W	E	w	Е	W	E	w	E	w	Ε	W	E	W	E
Lake Surface Temperature (<i>T</i> _s)	- 0.9449	-0.899	0.055	0.093	0.0008	0.0025	0.0011	0.0022	- 0.0007	- 0.0012	[0,0,0,0]	[0,1,1,0]	0.97	0.98
River in/outflow (Q _r)	- 0.7717	-0.829	11.141 (0.2)	0.022 (0.3)			-	-			1	0	0.92	0.86
River Temperature (T _Q)	-0.900	-0.900	0.1005	0.1005	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	0.87	0.87
700														

Table Supp. 2. Species used to represent algal communities. Functional algal types and an indication of classification as cyanobacteria given are in parenthesis: functional types follow Reynolds (1988).

Windermere	Esthwaite Water Water
Aphanizomenon flos-aquae (CS; Cyano)	Asterionella (R)
Aulacoseira (R)	Aulacoseira - 2008 (R); Fragilaria crotonensis-(2009 (R)
Asterionella (R)	Aphanizomenon flos-aquae (CS; Cyano)
Cryptomonas (CSR)	Aphanothece clathrata (CS; Cyano)
Dolichospermum (CS; Cyano)	Cryptomonas (CSR)
Monoraphidium (CS)	Dictyosphaerium pulchellum (R)
Paulschulzia tenera (S)	Dolichospermum (CS; Cyano)
Planktothrix (R; Cyano)	Eudorina (S)