1	Legacy PBDEs and NBFRs in Sediments of the Tidal River Thames Using Liquid
2	Chromatography Coupled to a High Resolution Accurate Mass Orbitrap Mass Spectrometer
3	
4	Aristide P. Ganci <sup>1</sup> , Christopher H. Vane <sup>2</sup> , Mohamed AE. Abdallah <sup>1,4</sup> , Thomas Moehring <sup>3</sup> , Stuart Harrad <sup>1</sup>
5	
6	<sup>1</sup> University of Birmingham, School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, Birmingham, B15 2TT, United Kingdom
7	<sup>2</sup> British Geological Survey, Centre for Environmental Geochemistry, Keyworth, Nottingham, NG12 5GG, United Kingdom
8	<sup>3</sup> Thermo Fisher Scientific (GmbH) Bremen, Hanna-Kunath-Str. 11, 28199 Bremen, Germany
9	<sup>4</sup> Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Assiut University, 71526 Assiut, Egypt
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

## 27 Abstract

28 Surface sediment samples (n=45) were collected along a 110 km transect of the river Thames 29 in October 2011, starting from Teddington Lock out through the industrial area of London to 30 the southern North Sea. Several legacy and novel brominated flame retardants (NBFRs) were analysed, including 13 polybrominated diphenylethers (PBDEs) (congeners 17, 28, 47, 99, 31 100, 153, 154, 183, 196, 197, 206, 207 and 209), hexabromocyclododecane (HBCDDs), 32 33 tetrabromobisphenol A (TBBPA), hexabromobenzene (HBB), 2,4,6-tribromophenol (TBP), 34 2-ethylhexyl 2,3,4,5-tetrabromobenzoate (EH-TBB), bis(2-ethylhexyl) tetrabromophthalate (BEH-TEBP), 1,2-bis(2,4,6-tribromophenoxy)ethane (BTBPE), decabromodiphenyl ethane 35 pentabromoethylbenzene anti/syn-dechlorane 36 (DBDPE), (PBEB), plus (a/s-DP), 2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexabromobiphenyl (BB153) and  $\alpha$ -, $\beta$ -1,2-dibromo-4-(1,2-dibromoethyl) 37 cyclohexane ( $\alpha$ -, $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH). A novel analysis method based on liquid chromatographic 38 39 separation, followed by high resolution accurate mass detection using the Orbitrap platform was used for quantification. Results revealed that BDE-209 had the highest concentrations 40 (<0.1 to 540  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> dw) and detection frequency, accounting for 95 % of all PBDE congeners 41 measured. Indicative evidence of debromination of the PentaBDE technical mixture was 42 observed through elevated relative abundance of BDE-28 in sediment compared to the 43 Penta-BDE formulation. NBFRs were detected at comparable levels to PBDEs (excluding BDE-44 45 209), which indicates increasing use of the former. Spatial trend analysis showed that 46 samples from industrial areas had significantly higher concentrations of  $\Sigma_{12}$  PBDEs,  $\Sigma$  HBCDDs, TBBPA, BEH-TEBP, BTBPE and TBP. Three locations showed high concentrations of HBCDDs 47 with diastereomer patterns comparable to the technical mixture, which indicate recent input 48 sources to the sediment. 49

50 Keywords: Brominated flame retardants, Spatial trends, Sources, Freshwater Environment

## 51 **1. Introduction**

In recent decades, a wide variety of brominated flame retardants (BFRs) have been added to 52 consumer goods such as soft furnishings, building insulation foam, electronic and electrical 53 goods. The most extensively used BFRs include: tetrabromobisphenol A (TBBPA), 54 hexabromocyclododecane (HBCDD) and three commercial mixtures of polybrominated 55 56 diphenyl ethers (PBDEs); namely pentabromodiphenyl ether (PentaBDE), octabromodiphenyl ether (OctaBDE) and decabromodiphenyl ether (DecaBDE)<sup>1</sup>. Within the European Union, 57 manufacture and new use of the PentaBDE and OctaBDE formulations were prohibited in 58 2004, and these formulations were listed under the UNEP Stockholm Convention on 59 persistent organic pollutants (POPs) in 2009<sup>2</sup>. Restrictions on the manufacture and use of 60 DecaBDE have followed, and it was listed in 2017 under Annex A of the Stockholm 61 Convention. A key consideration with respect to the listing of DecaBDE under the Stockholm 62 Convention is its potential to form lower BDEs by various debromination processes<sup>3</sup>. 63

Due to legislative restrictions on manufacture and use of these BFRs, several so-called novel 64 BFRs (NBFRs) are likely finding wider use<sup>4</sup>. In general, increasing levels of NBFRs are being 65 detected in various matrices relevant to environmental and human health<sup>5</sup>. The 66 67 environmental impact of NBFRs is potentially similar to the restricted BFRs<sup>6</sup>. Animal studies have shown that exposure to BFRs can have endocrine, reproductive, and behavioural 68 effects at doses comparable to human exposure<sup>7</sup>. Human epidemiological studies have 69 reported association between exposure to BFRs and adverse neurodevelopmental and 70 reproductive effects in humans<sup>8 9 10 11</sup>. Laboratory studies on NBFRs indicate genotoxicity in 71 72 aquatic species<sup>12</sup>, as well as cytotoxic and anti-proliferation effects with a possible induction of apoptosis in human liver cancer cells <sup>13</sup>. 73

74 BFRs generally have limited biodegradability, are persistent and tend to accumulate in the environment<sup>14</sup>. Due to their chemical properties (i.e. low water solubility and high Kow 75 values), NBFRs tend to partition to organic carbon rich matter and have been detected in 76 sediment, dust and sewage sludge around the world<sup>4</sup>. We therefore hypothesize that 77 sediments represent important sinks for NBFRs. Studies on BFRs in sediments in the UK have 78 been conducted on samples from lakes<sup>15-17</sup>, rivers and estuaries<sup>18-21</sup>, coastal<sup>19, 22</sup> and marine 79 regions<sup>19, 23</sup>. However, apart from one study in the UK<sup>19</sup>, which analysed a broad range of 80 halogenated flame retardants in both marine and fresh water sediments, other studies in 81 the UK have focused mainly on PBDEs and HBCDDs. Given this lack of information on the 82 levels and profiles of NBFRs in freshwater sediments, the aim of this study is to compare 83 concentrations of 13 PBDEs, HBCDDs, TBBPA and 10 selected NBFRs in surficial sediments 84 85 taken at 45 locations along the tidal reaches of the River Thames in the UK. In addition, we examine spatial variations in PBDE and NBFR concentrations relative to the location of 86 putative source activities such as sewage outfalls, in an effort to identify potential sources of 87 these BFRs to the river. The Thames was chosen as it is one of the major rivers in Europe, has 88 fairly complex sediment transport dynamics owing to its high tidal range, morphology and 89 geology<sup>24</sup>. Its sediments are subject to regular capital and maintenance dredging which has 90 the potential to mobilise and redistribute sediments or require disposal at sea or on-land. 91 Recent evaluation of historical sediment profiles of mercury (Hg)<sup>25</sup> as well as surface 92 distributions of phosphorus (P)<sup>26</sup> and natural tetraether lipids<sup>27</sup> confirm that contamination 93 originates from both diffuse and point sources. 94

95

To the authors' knowledge, this is the most extensive comparison yet of levels, spatial
trends, and potential sources of PBDEs and NBFRs in river sediments. Moreover, our study

98 exploits the potential of high resolution Orbitrap mass spectrometry for multi-residue 99 analysis of a broad range of BFRs and NBFRs in a single run with sensitive, rapid and reliable 100 measurement of target analytes, as well as their potential degradation products.

101

### 102 2. Materials and Methods

## 103 **3.1. Study area**

The River Thames is one of the major rivers in Europe, with a total length of 354 km, a 104 catchment area of 12,935 km<sup>2</sup> and an average discharge of 65.8 m<sup>3</sup>/s. It has a spring tidal 105 range of between 5.2–6.6 m and extends 110 km from Teddington Lock through London and 106 out to the southern North Sea (Figure 1). The Thames basin contains many major urban 107 108 centres accommodating around a fifth of the UK population (ca. 12 million) of which > 10 109 million live in Metropolitan London. London is intersected by 33 tributaries and about 60 municipal and commercial discharge points. Numerous industries, ports, sewage treatment 110 plants and power stations discharge into the tidal Thames<sup>27</sup>. 111

112

### 113 **3.2. Sample collection**

114 Sampling of sediments from the River Thames was carried out in October 2011 at the locations shown in Figure 1. All sites were accessed via a jet boat using predetermined GPS 115 coordinates to accurately locate each position to ±3 m<sup>25, 27</sup>. At each location, surface 116 sediments (0-5 cm) were collected from four corners of a square of ca. 2 m<sup>2</sup> area, using 117 either a stainless steel trowel or a polycarbonate tube fitted with a core catcher manually 118 119 driven into the surface <sup>28</sup>. The four corner samples and one central sample were combined 120 and transported to shore in a polyethylene zip lock bag. Sediments were immediately frozen 121 at -18 °C in the dark to avoid post collection chemical changes and physical movement, then

- 122 transported frozen to the laboratory within 3 days. Each sample was freeze-dried, sieved to
- pass a 2 mm brass mesh and ground to a fine powder using an agate ball-mill and stored in
- sealed polyethylene bags in a desiccator in the dark<sup>29</sup>.
- 125

# 126 **3.3. Study area**



127

Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown Copyright and database rights 2017

Figure 1. Sampling locations (yellow diamonds) of surface sediments along the Thames Estuary. Stars represent the main discharge locations of sewage effluents; 1. Mogden; 2. Abbey Mills; 3. Beckton STP; 4. Crossness STP; 5. Long Reach STP; 6. Tilbury STP. Red shaded area shows the main industrial discharge area on the Thames (samples 13-34). The Teddington Lock on the left divides the river Thames into tidal and non-tidal sections. Adapted from Lopes dos Santos and Vane<sup>27</sup>. STP – sewage treatment plants

134

# 135 **3.4. Chemicals and Standards**

All solvents used were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Loughborough, UK) and were of 136 HPLC grade or higher. Native and labelled high purity standards for PBDEs (BDE-17, BDE-28, 137 <sup>13</sup>C-BDE28, BDE-47, BDE-77, BDE-99, BDE-100, <sup>13</sup>C-BDE100, BDE-128, BDE-153, BDE-154, 138 BDE-206, BDE-183, BDE-196, BDE-197, BDE-207, <sup>13</sup>C-BDE209), 139 BDE-209 and hexabromobenzene (HBB), 2,4,6-tribromophenol (TBP),  $\alpha$ -, $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ -HBCDDs / <sup>13</sup>C- $\alpha$ -, $\beta$ -, and 140

141 y-HBCDDs, TBBPA / <sup>13</sup>C-TBBPA and NBFRs 2-ethylhexyl 2,3,4,5-tetrabromobenzoate (EH-TBB), <sup>13</sup>C-EH-TBB, bis(2-ethylhexyl) tetrabromophthalate (BEH-TEBP), <sup>13</sup>C-BEH-TEBP, 1,2-142 bis(2,4,6-tribromophenoxy)ethane (BTBPE), <sup>13</sup>C-BTBPE, decabromodiphenyl ethane (DBDPE), 143 pentabromoethylbenzene (PBEB), anti/syn-dechlorane 144 plus (a/s-DP),2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexabromobiphenyl (BB153) α-,β-1,2-dibromo-4-(1,2-145 and dibromoethyl)cyclohexane ( $\alpha$ -, $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH) were all purchased from Wellington 146 Laboratories Inc. (Guelph, Canada). Florisil HyperSep<sup>™</sup> SPE cartridges (1 g, 60 cc), 147 concentrated sulfuric acid, copper powder (particle size <100 µm) and anhydrous sodium 148 sulfate (dried overnight at 120 °C) were acquired from Thermo Fisher Scientific 149 (Loughborough, UK). The standard reference material (SRM 1944, "New York/New Jersey 150 151 Waterway Sediment" certified for PCBs, PAHs and PBDEs) was obtained from the National Institute of Standards and Technology - NIST (Gaithersburg, MD, USA). 152

153

154

# 3.5. Sample extraction/clean-up

2 g of freeze-dried sediment were weighed into a pre-cleaned glass extraction tube and 155 spiked with 20 μL of the internal standard mixture (<sup>13</sup>C-BDE-28, BDE-77, BDE-128, <sup>13</sup>C-BDE-156 209, <sup>13</sup>C-TBBPA, <sup>13</sup>C-α-,β-, and γ-HBCDDs, <sup>13</sup>C-EH-TBB, <sup>13</sup>C-BEH-TEBP and <sup>13</sup>C-BTBPE), along 157 with 2 g of copper for sulfur removal. Samples were then extracted using 4 mL of 158 hexane:acetone (3:1 v/v), vortexing for 5 min, followed by ultrasonication (20 min) and 159 centrifugation (5 min at 4000 rpm). This procedure was repeated twice. The combined 160 extract was then evaporated to dryness under a gentle stream of N<sub>2</sub> and reconstituted in 2 161 162 mL of hexane. This was followed by a sulfuric acid wash of the extract, with the layers 163 allowed to separate overnight. The organic phase was collected and the acid layer washed twice with 2 mL of hexane. The combined extracts were then reduced to ~1 mL under a 164

165 gentle stream of N<sub>2</sub> and loaded onto a conditioned HyperSep<sup>M</sup> 1 g Florisil SPE cartridge, on 166 top of which 1 g of sodium sulfate was added. Subsequent elution was performed with 167 20 mL of hexane:dichloromethane (1:1 v/v), with TBBPA eluted in a second fraction with 15 168 mL of methanol. Both fractions were combined, concentrated to dryness under a N<sub>2</sub> flow in 169 a Turbovap and reconstituted in methanol:toluene (1:1 v/v) containing 200 pg  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup> of 170 <sup>13</sup>C-BDE-100 as a recovery determination standard.

171

## 172 **3.6. Instrumental analysis**

2 µL of each sample were analysed on a UPLC-Orbitrap-HRMS instrument (Thermo Fisher 173 Scientific, Bremen, Germany) composed of an UltiMate® 3000 high performance liquid 174 175 chromatography system equipped with a HPG-3400RS dual pump, a TCC-3000 column oven and a WPS-3000 auto sampler coupled to a Q-Exactive<sup>™</sup> Plus Orbitrap mass spectrometer. 176 Chromatographic separation was performed on a Thermo Scientific Accucore<sup>™</sup> RP-MS 177 column (100 x 2.1 mm, 2.6  $\mu$ m) with water (mobile phase A) and methanol (mobile phase B). 178 A gradient elution programme at a flow rate of 400-500 µL min<sup>-1</sup> was applied as shown in 179 Error! Reference source not found. for a total run time of 17 min. 180

181 All parent BFRs were determined in negative atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) mode. The parameters of the Orbitrap were set as follows: (-) APCI full scan mode at 182 70000 FWHM (full width at half maximum at 200 m/z), AGC target 1e<sup>6</sup>, maximum injection 183 time 100 ms, scan range 250 to 1000 m/z, profile spectrum data type, sheath gas flow rate 184 25 AU (arbitrary units), aux gas flow rate 5 AU, discharge current 30 μA, capillary 185 186 temperature 250 °C, S-lens RF level 50 AU and aux gas heater temperature 320 °C. For screening identification of possible more polar degradation products and confirmation 187 purposes, sediment extracts were also analyzed using the more universal, softer 188

electrospray ionisation (ESI) in negative mode, as described in the Supporting Information section. Both the HPLC gradient programme and ionisation values were optimized based on the measurement of reference standard solutions. Screening for brominated compounds was conducted using an All Ion Fragmentation Scan (AIF) in parallel to the Full Scan measurement and by monitoring the bromine mass trace in the final data raw files.

Trace Finder<sup>™</sup> version 3.3 software (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany) was used
to process raw data files, while quantification of the compounds of interest was conducted
using Microsoft Excel 2010.

197

198 **3.7. QA/QC** 

The standard reference material SRM 1944 (NIST) for sediment was used to evaluate the accuracy of the method for PBDEs and HBCDDs. One SRM sample was analysed for every 15 sediment samples. Values obtained for the SRM 1944 were generally in good accordance with the certified levels (Error! Reference source not found.). In addition, non-certified compounds including BTBPE, BEH-TEBP, PBEB, TBP, BB153 and DP were detected in the SRM 1944, although concentrations varied between replicates (9-65% RSD, Table SI 3).

Recoveries for internal standards were in the range of 90 to 120 % for all samples, except for <sup>13</sup>C-TBBPA, where recovery values were around 60 %. Limits of detection (LOD) and limits of quantification (LOQ) were estimated based on method described by Taylor <sup>30</sup> (Error! **Reference source not found.** and Error! Reference source not found.). Further QA/QC measures are described in the supporting information.

210

#### 211 **3.8. Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis of the data was performed using IBM SPSS statistics software version 23.
A one-way ANOVA was used for testing significant differences between arithmetic means.
For statistical purposes, "non-detect" values were replaced with zero, while "detect" values
with a concentration below the LOQ were assigned a value of the LOQ/2 or in cases of a
detection frequency below 50% the LOQ was multiplied by the detection frequency factor. P
values < 0.05 were taken to indicate statistical significance.</li>

## 218 3. Results and Discussion

## **3.1. Levels and trends of PBDEs and NBFRs in sediments**

Mean, median and concentration ranges of our target BFRs in surface sediments from the 220 River Thames are summarised in Table 1, while concentrations of individual PBDE congeners 221 222 are provided in Error! Reference source not found.. To account for potential variability of concentrations due to organic carbon content, organic carbon normalisation was conducted 223 on all sample concentrations using the measured total organic carbon (TOC) for each 224 sample, as described in the supporting information. No correlation between BFR 225 226 concentrations and TOC values was observed in the studied samples. This is likely explained 227 by the fact that samples were taken from different locations with diverse source input strengths. If samples originate from the same location (such as sediment cores) with the 228 229 same source input strength, a positive linear correlation between TOC and BFR dry weight concentration would be expected. Similarly, for the composition of the sediment, no 230 231 correlation between the BFR concentration and its geological composition (clay, silt or sand 232 content) was observed in this study.

# Table 1. Summary of the concentrations in both μg kg<sup>-1</sup> dry weight and μg kg<sup>-1</sup> organic carbon of selected BFRs in surficial sediments from the River Thames

Compound	DF (%)	Median	Average	Range	Median	Average	Range
		µg kg⁻¹ dry weight			µg kg <sup>-1</sup> organic carbon		
$\Sigma_{12}$ BDEs	16-100	3.8	5.9	n.d. – 29	182	228	n.d. – 672
BDE-28	27	<0.2	0.4	n.d. – 4.0	<0.2	12	n.d. – 116
BDE-47	53	<0.03	0.2	n.d. – 2.5	<0.03	6.7	n.d. – 48
BDE-99	71	0.5	0.8	n.d. – 4.4	15	28	n.d. – 130
BDE-153	16	< 0.01	0.03	n.d. – 0.6	< 0.01	1.2	n.d. – 33
BDE-183	71	0.05	0.1	n.d. – 0.7	0.4	3.3	n.d. – 23
BDE-206	96	2.6	3.3	n.d. – 11.7	115	135	n.d. – 389
BDE-209	100	148	174	0.03 - 535	6969	7673	0.03 - 20762
ΣΗΒCDD	91	1.9	3.7	n.d. – 38	67	157	n.d. – 1357
ТВВРА	98	0.6	0.6	n.d. – 2.6	21	34	n.d. – 476
EH-TBB	0	<0.03			<0.03		
BEH-TEBP	76	2.1	3.5	n.d. – 14	100	134	n.d. – 445
BTBPE	51	< 0.02	0.4	n.d. – 3.8	0.7	15	n.d. – 142
ТВР	69	0.1	0.1	n.d. – 0.4	3.5	4.6	n.d. – 34
anti-/syn-DP	11	< 0.04	2.0	n.d. – 66	< 0.04	51	n.d. – 1249
PBEB	7	<0.06	1.7	n.d. – 48	<0.06	53	n.d. – 1385
DBDPE	20	<0.45	1.3	n.d. – 24	<0.45	42	n.d. – 1154
α/β-DBE-DBCH	0		<1.1			<1.1	
HBB	0		< 0.03			< 0.03	
<b>BB153</b> 0			< 0.01			< 0.01	

235 \*  $\Sigma_{12}$ BDEs does not include BDE-209

236 \* n.d. - not detected

\* < indicates the value of the LOD





242

Figure 2. Average PBDE congener profile in all sediment samples. BDE-209 is on a different scale. Average percent contributions are indicated above each congener with error bars representing the standard deviation.

### 246 **3.2. PBDEs**

PBDE concentrations varied widely along the River Thames transect BDE-209 was the
 predominant congener in all sediments, accounting for ~ 95 % of total PBDEs detected (



Figure 2). This is in agreement with Vane et al., who reported BDE-209 to represent 80 % of 250 251 total PBDEs in sediments collected from the Clyde Estuary around Glasgow, UK<sup>31</sup>. This indicates a higher proportion of the DecaBDE formulation in our samples, further supported 252 by high concentrations of BDE-206. Similarly, other studies reported nona-brominated PBDE 253 congeners as the second most abundant after BDE-209 in river sediment samples of the UK 254 (inner Clyde estuary)<sup>31</sup> and China (industrial area of the Dongjiang river)<sup>32</sup>, possibly indicating 255 degradation of BDE-209 to form lower brominated congeners. This finding is especially of 256 257 interest with the recent listing of Deca-BDE under the Stockholm Convention. A comparison of our data to the technical Deca-BDE formulation will be discussed further on in this paper. 258

259 Concentrations of BDE-209 ranged from <0.1 to 540  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> dw (<0.1 to 20762  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> OC). 260 Other PBDEs were detected at lower concentrations, with prominent congeners being BDE-261 206, followed by BDE-99 and BDE-28. Sediments from several UK lakes<sup>33</sup> contained BDE-209 262 at concentrations ranging from 1.63 to 116  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> dw. Meanwhile, river and marine

263 sediments from various locations around the UK<sup>19</sup> were reported between 0.3 – 1333  $\mu$ g 264 kg<sup>-1</sup> dw, 1 – 2337  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> dw for sediments of the river Clyde<sup>31</sup> and 2 – 98125  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> dw for 265 Scottish sediment cores<sup>34</sup>. This sets our study at the lower end of previously detected 266 concentrations of BDE-209 in UK sediments.

Harrad recently reviewed the concentrations of legacy BFRs in UK environmental samples<sup>35</sup>. Where BFR levels in UK river and lake sediments were reported, BDE-209 was the prevailing congener, followed by BDE-99 and BDE-47. Interestingly in our study, levels for BDE-28 were higher than those found for BDE-47, suggesting a potential degradation of PentaBDE congeners to form BDE-28.

272

A recent study determined concentrations of PBDEs in sediments from the Thames estuary, reporting a concentration range for  $\Sigma_6$ BDEs (congeners 28, 47, 99, 100, 153 and 154) of <MDL to 14.4 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> dw<sup>21</sup>. This is in good accordance with our results, that reported concentrations for the same congeners ranging from n.d. to 12.8 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> dw. Barber *et al.* reported concentrations of  $\Sigma_{11}$ BDEs (i.e. excluding BDE-209) to fall between n.d. and 32.2 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> dw in river and marine sediments around the UK<sup>19</sup>, which is comparable to our range of  $\Sigma_{12}$ BDEs of n.d. to 29 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> dw.

280

## 281 **3.3. HBCDDs and TBBPA**

HBCDDs (sum of  $\alpha$ -, $\beta$ -, and  $\gamma$  HBCDD) were detected in most samples (91 % detection frequency) at an average concentration of 3.7  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> dw, which is comparable to our average concentration of  $\Sigma_{12}$ BDEs (excluding BDE-209) of 5.9  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> dw. Concentrations of  $\Sigma$ HBCDDs ranged from n.d. to 38  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> dw. A study on estuarine and marine sediments around the UK reported a comparable range from <MDL to 47.2  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> dw<sup>19</sup>. Values for lake

287 sediments in the UK ranged from 0.42 to 7.9  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> dw<sup>33</sup>. Higher values were detected in the 288 River Skerne in northeast England with concentrations from <2.4 up to 1680  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> dw<sup>20</sup>, 289 likely originating from the vicinity of a former BFR manufacturing site. HBCDD concentrations 290 in coastal marine sediments tend to be lower with maximum values up to 1.6 and 1.8  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> 291 dw reported for southern and northern UK respectively<sup>36</sup>.

292

TBBPA was found in all but one Thames sediment, with a maximum concentration of 2.6 µg 293 kg<sup>-1</sup> dw and an average of 0.6 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> dw, in which is an order of magnitude lower than found 294 in this study for HBCDDs and  $\Sigma_{12}$ BDEs. Comparatively few studies have reported TBBPA 295 concentrations in European sediment samples. Sediments from the southern and northern 296 UK coast were reported to contain up to 6.4 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> dw for TBBPA and an average of 1.7 and 297 2.7 μg kg<sup>-1</sup> dw respectively<sup>36</sup>. Interestingly however, TBBPA was the predominant compound 298 with a detection frequency of 87 % in these coastal sediments. Morris et al.<sup>20</sup> analysed 299 riverine and estuarine sediments from various rivers in the UK and found high average 300 values of 451 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> dw and up to 9750 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> dw in the River Skerne. These elevated 301 concentrations were attributed to the vicinity of sampling sites to a former BFR 302 303 manufacturing site. TBBPA levels detected in our study are more comparable to those 304 reported in sediment samples from rivers in The Netherlands and Germany with average values of 2.2  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> dw<sup>20</sup> and 0.3  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> dw<sup>36</sup> respectively. 305

306

## 307 **3.4. NBFRs**

One or more NBFRs were quantified in most samples at varying concentrations (Table 1) in the following order (detection frequency): BEH-TEBP (76 %) > TBP (69 %) > BTBPE (51 %), with DBDPE (20 %), DP (11 %) and PBEB (7 %) identified in fewer samples. Where detected,

concentrations of NBFRs were comparable to those of PBDEs (excluding BDE-209). Target compounds like EH-TBB, HBB, BB-153 and  $\alpha/\beta$ -DBE-DBCH were not detected in any of the studied samples.

Consistent with our study, Barber *et al.*<sup>19</sup> did not detect HBB, BB-153 and DBE-DBCH in 42 marine and river sediments samples from around the UK, while EH-TBB was detected in only one sample at a concentration of 0.29  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> dw. In addition, EH-TBB has been reported in sediment samples from UK lakes<sup>33</sup> and southern and northern coastal locations, with maximum concentrations of 1.35  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> dw and 26 % relative contribution in the investigated area<sup>36</sup>.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to detect BEH-TEBP in UK sediments (Table 1), although this FR has already been reported in sediments from South Africa<sup>37, 38</sup> and China<sup>39, 40</sup>. We detected BEH-TEBP in 76 % of our samples with an average of 3.3  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> dw (134  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> OC) and maximum values of up to 14  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> dw (445  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> OC). This finding is comparable to values of La Guardia *et al.* in South Africa (average of 96 ng g<sup>-1</sup> OC, 60 % detection rate) and Zhu *et al.* in China (average of 1.01 ng g<sup>-1</sup> dw).

BEH-TEBP and EH-TBB are two of the main constituents of the technical flame retardant 326 327 mixture Firemaster 550 (FM-550). In the present study, interestingly only BEH-TEBP was detected, possibly reflecting the infrequent use of FM-550 in the UK. The relative abundance 328 329 of these two NBFRs in the Thames estuary may thus be explained by applications other than FM-550. For example, BEH-TEBP is also used as a plasticiser, in contrast to EH-TBB for which 330 the main application is as a flame retardant<sup>41</sup> and thus might explain our findings. Several 331 332 studies in the UK have targeted both EH-TBB and BEH-TEBP in the indoor and outdoor environment. These studies focused on indoor dust<sup>42</sup>, indoor<sup>43</sup> and outdoor air<sup>44</sup>, food and 333

human milk<sup>45</sup>, as well as soil samples<sup>44</sup>. In general, where reported, BEH-TEBP was detected
at concentrations 1-2 orders of magnitude higher than what was found for EH-TBB.

Furthermore, EH-TBB was not detected in UK outdoor air or soil<sup>44</sup>, consistent with its
 absence here in Thames sediments.

Concentrations of BTBPE in our sediments reached up to 3.8  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> dw with a detection frequency of 51 %, which accords well with Barber *et al.*<sup>19</sup> who reported a maximum of 1.8  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> dw and a detection frequency of 48 %. The presence of BTBPE was also reported in lake sediment in the UK<sup>33</sup>.

TBP was detected in 69 % of our sediments at relatively low concentrations up to 0.4 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> 342 dw. To our best knowledge, TBP has not been reported in UK sediments so far. DBDPE, DP 343 344 and PBEB in our study were only detected in a small number of samples. DBDPE has been reported in sediments throughout Europe, including lake sediments in the UK (up to 6.4 µg 345 kg<sup>-1</sup> TOC)<sup>33</sup> and Italy (up to 280 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> dw)<sup>46</sup>, as well as river sediments in the Netherlands<sup>47</sup> 346 and Spain (both up to 24 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> dw)<sup>48</sup>. PBEB has been reported both in UK and German 347 sediments<sup>19, 36</sup>, while the same goes for DP<sup>36, 49</sup>. HBB and BB-153 were not detected in this 348 study, but their presence has been previously reported in surface and tributary sediments of 349 Lake Ontario<sup>50</sup>, with HBB also detected in river sediments in Germany<sup>36</sup>. An extensive review 350 351 on the presence of emerging brominated flame retardants in sediments around the world can be found elsewhere<sup>51</sup>. 352

The absence of DBE-DBCH from our sediments is perhaps surprising as DBE-DBCH has been reported to be the predominant NBFR in UK indoor air and dust<sup>43</sup>, outdoor air<sup>44</sup>, as well as UK human milk and diet samples<sup>45</sup>. This may be attributable to the physico-chemical properties of DBE-DBCH, namely its relatively high volatility and low K<sub>ow</sub> compared to lower brominated BDEs. This is likely to minimise its partitioning to sediment. Benthic degradation

processes are a further possible cause and have been reported for DBE-DBCH in aerobic and anaerobic soil<sup>52</sup>. In European sediment it has been reported in German river sediments<sup>36</sup>. Outside Europe, DBE-DBCH was reported in sediments of the Great Lakes<sup>53</sup> for the first time in 2012, as well as in Chinese river and marine sediments<sup>39 40</sup>.

- 362
- 363
- 364

## 3.5. Spatial trends in concentrations of PBDEs and NBFRs

Spatial variation in BFR concentrations in sediments from the River Thames is shown in 365 Figure 3 for  $\Sigma_{12}$ BDEs, HBCDDs and TBBPA (top), as well as  $\Sigma_{12}$ BDEs, BEH-TEBP, BTBPE and TBP 366 (bottom). As shown, samples from the industrial area (numbers 13-34) showed substantially 367 higher concentrations compared to both: (a) samples from the inner (numbers 1-12) and (b) 368 369 outer (numbers 35-45) Thames. These differences were shown to be significant (p<0.05) via 370 an ANOVA test of samples from the 3 groups. Inspection of the lower panel in Figure 5 371 reveals that concentrations of  $\Sigma_{12}$ BDEs and BEH-TEBP show a similar concentration pattern 372 along the river, possibly indicating the same source input. BTBPE and TBP on the other hand 373 show only a few localised input hotspots.

374

HBCDDs in the industrial area showed three distinct locations with very high concentrations, around Gallions Reach (site nr. 18), St Clement's Reach (nr. 31) and Tilbury (nr. 34). A possible explanation could be the vicinity to sewage discharge locations, in close vicinity to site #s 30-33 (Long Reach STP) and 34-35 (Tilbury STP). Other sources impacting the sediments in this area could be discharges from activities utilising HBCDDs in their products, such as building and construction facilities, as well as textile manufacturers. Inspection of HBCDD diastereomer profiles at the three locations above, revealed the profile to resemble

that of the technical mixture, with  $\gamma$ -HBCDD predominant (85-92 %), followed by  $\alpha$ -HBCDD (6-12 %) and  $\beta$ -HBCDD (2-3 %) only present in small quantities (Figure 3). This could indicate fresh input sources at the locations of the analysed sediments, as the diastereomer profile in these samples differs markedly from that in other samples (Figure 4). On average, the diastereomer profile in samples from the industrial area contained mainly  $\gamma$ -HBCDD, followed by  $\alpha$ -HBCDD and only minor amounts of  $\beta$ -HBCDD, while in the non-industrial area the ratio between the three stereoisomers was more equal (Figure 4).







Figure 3. HBCDD diastereomer profile in sediment from location #s 18, 31, and 34
 392









Figure 3. Spatial trends for  $\Sigma_{12}$ BDEs, HBCDDs, and TBBPA (top) and  $\Sigma_{12}$ BDEs, BEH-TEBP, BTBPE, and TBP (bottom) measured (in  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> organic carbon) along the river Thames, with an approximate distance (km) from Teddington Lock.

402 Figure 4 and Figure 5 illustrate the spatial variation in organic carbon-normalised concentrations of  $\sum_{12}$ BDEs and BDE-209 respectively. There is a general high-high-medium-403 low concentration profile from west to east for  $\Sigma_{12}$ BDEs (with average concentration values 404 for the 4 zones of 290, 309, 219 and 51 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> OC), while for BDE-209 we observe a 405 medium-high-high-low profile (7291, 9299, 9834 and 3255 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> OC), and a much less 406 407 marked attenuation in concentrations on travelling west to east. This could be a possible indication for different sources of the two groups of compounds. The general decline from 408 west to east for  $\sum_{12}$ BDEs is probably driven by increasing distance from London and 409 associated urban sources, as well as flocculation-deposition of sediment controlled by 410 salinity (salting-out) with increasing proximity to the coast. The four salinity zones indicated 411 412 were adapted from the study of Pope et al. <sup>54</sup>. The observed variability in the PBDE transect 413 data can be explained by the fact that suspended particles can travel up and down-stream by 10 - 20 km on one tide. 414

415

Sites like Bow Creek (site # 15) which receive contamination discharged from the Lea Valley due to industrial activity, Barking (# 21), a site situated close to a major sewage outfall Beckton from a sewage treatment plant (STP) and Tilbury (# 34) with its docks, power station and another STP show higher concentrations of  $\Sigma_{12}$ BDEs and BDE-209 related to the intensive land-river-use.



Figure 4. Concentrations ( $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> organic carbon) of  $\sum_{12}$  PBDEs in River Thames sediments at each sampling location. Stars represent the main discharge locations of sewage effluents; 1. Mogden; 2. Abbey Mills; 3. Beckton STP; 4. Crossness STP; 5. Long Reach STP; 6. Tilbury STP. Adapted from Lopes dos Santos and Vane<sup>27</sup>. STP – sewage treatment plants



Figure 5. Concentrations (μg kg<sup>-1</sup> organic carbon) of BDE-209 in River Thames sediments at each sampling location. Stars represent the main
 discharge locations of sewage effluents; 1. Mogden; 2. Abbey Mills; 3. Beckton STP; 4. Crossness STP; 5. Long Reach STP; 6. Tilbury STP.

427 discharge locations of sewage endents, 1. Mogden, 2. Abbey Mins, 5. Beckton 517, 4. crossness 517,
 428 Adapted from Lopes dos Santos and Vane <sup>27</sup>. STP – sewage treatment plants

## 429 **3.6. PBDE/NBFR patterns**

Figure 6 compares the average PBDE profile of the industrial area and the non-industrial one 430 against the Penta-, Octa-, and Deca- technical PBDE mixes. While caution must be exercised 431 when comparing congener profiles in environmental samples with those in the commercial 432 formulations, as congener-specific differences in physicochemical properties will modify the 433 434 congener profile between source and receptor; in general, no significant differences can be observed between the pattern of PBDEs between the industrial and non-industrial area. 435 Compared to the technical Penta-BDE mixture, the PBDE profile pattern in our sediment is 436 shifted towards lower brominated congeners such as BDE-28, possibly indicating 437 debromination. In the Penta-BDE mixture, the ratio of BDE-47:99 is 0.79<sup>55</sup>, while in our 438 439 samples a shift towards BDE-99 is observable, most likely due the stronger tendency of BDE-440 99 to partition to sediments. For the Octa-BDE technical mixture, the differences between our sample and the technical mixture most likely relate to infrequent application and 441 emission of Octa-BDE in the UK. Technical Deca-BDE on the other hand, showed little 442 deviation from the pattern in our sediment, indicating widespread recent UK use and 443 application of this technical mixture. 444







Figure 6. Comparison of an average PBDE profile in the industrial area (dotted) and outside the industrial area (white) to a technical penta / octa / deca BDE mix (black) – <sup>1</sup> technical mixture values adapted from La Guardia et al.<sup>55</sup>

451

# 452 **3.7. Screening for degradation products and selected NBFRs**

453 The UPLC-HRMS used in this study proved to be an excellent platform for the identification

454 and quantification of PBDEs and NBFRs. Moreover, rapid HRMS analysis in full scan mode

allows post-acquisition data analysis for further identification of compounds/transformation
products of interest (e.g. potential degradation products and NBFRs).

To screen for further brominated compounds in the sample set, a Br trace (m/z = 78.918336)457 / 80.916290) was queried from the full scan - all ion fragmentation (AIF) acquisition using 458 Xcalibur software. This revealed the presence of brominated compounds with shorter 459 460 retention times than brominated PBDEs. Further investigation of the accurate mass, isotope patterns and comparison to the high resolution mass spectrum of hydroxylated PBDE (OH-461 462 BDE) standards revealed the identified peaks as OH-BDEs (further details are provided in the SI section). Unlike PBDEs, OH-BDEs have not been produced industrially and are not known 463 by-products of technical brominated formulations<sup>56, 57</sup>. However, OH-BDEs have been 464 reported in biotic and abiotic samples of the aquatic and marine environment, such as 465 salmon<sup>56</sup>, mussels<sup>58</sup>, algae<sup>59</sup> as well as sediments<sup>60</sup>, surface waters<sup>61</sup> and sewage treatment 466 plant effluents <sup>62</sup>. Studies suggest that they are natural products of marine environments, as 467 well as a result of metabolic biotransformation from anthropogenic PBDEs<sup>59, 61</sup>. The position 468 of the hydroxyl group (OH) has been postulated to be an indicator of whether OH-BDE 469 congeners are formed through oxidation or metabolic reactions<sup>56, 58, 60</sup>. Possible sources and 470 transformation found in the literature include microbial aerobic degradation<sup>63, 64</sup>, 471 photochemical reactions of bromophenols<sup>65</sup> and PBDEs<sup>66</sup>, transformation of bromophenols 472 by marine bacteria<sup>67</sup> and a red algae enzyme<sup>57</sup>, reactions of PBDEs with atmospheric OH 473 radicals<sup>61</sup>, as well as in sewage treatment plants through oxidative reactions and excretion of 474 human and animal metabolites<sup>61</sup>. Whether the OH-BDEs detected in the Thames sediments 475 476 are of environmental and/or biological origin is beyond the scope of this paper. However, since OH-BDEs have been reported to exhibit similar or even enhanced toxic<sup>68</sup> and 477

478 estrogenic<sup>69</sup> effects on both human<sup>70</sup> and wildlife<sup>71, 72</sup> compared to PBDEs, their presence
479 and relevance needs to be further investigated.

Barber et al.<sup>19</sup> reported on the presence of a wide range of NBFRs in UK sediments. 480 However, screening of our sediment samples for those such as: 2,3,5,6-tetrabromo-p-xylene 481 (TBX), tris(2,3-dibromopropyl) phosphate (TDBPP), tetrabromo-bisphenoldiallylether 482 483 (TBBPA-DAE), tetrabromobisphenol-bis(2,3-dibromopropylether) (TBBPA-DBPE), octabromotrimethyl-phenylindane (OBTMPI/OBIND), 484 pentabromophenol (PBP) and pentabromobenzyl acrylate (PBB-Acr) did not reveal them to be present in our study. 485

- 486
- 487

## 488 **4. Summary**

Brominated flame retardants have found wide application in consumer products and building materials. Densely populated areas such as London with its large industrial hinterland can thus act as emission sources of these chemicals. Since the river Thames passes through this area, it can act as an indicator of such emissions. Our data suggest that the input and presence of industrial activity and sewage treatment plants is a major source of BFRs to the river.

495

This is the first extensive study targeting several legacy BFRs and NBFRs in sediments along the tidal River Thames. Results indicate that BDE-209 is the predominant congener in all samples, accounting for ~ 95 % of total PBDEs detected, with a concentration range of <0.1 to 540  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> dw. This finding is of interest due to the recent listing of Deca-BDE under the Stockholm Convention, which underlines the current and future environmental concern over this BFR. Further, possible evidence of environmental debromination of Penta-BDE was

502 observed through the elevated relative abundance of BDE-28 in sediment compared to that in the Penta-BDE formulation. NBFRs were detected in the following order (detection 503 frequency): BEH-TEBP (76 %) > TBP (69 %) > BTBPE (51 %); with DBDPE (20 %), DP (11 %) and 504 PBEB (7 %) identified only in a few samples. Concentrations of BEH-TEBP were found to be of 505 a comparable range to those found for  $\Sigma_{12}$ BDEs in this study, as well as showing a similar 506 507 concentration pattern along the river, possibly indicating a similar source input. Spatial variation analysis of the sediment samples further revealed that locations within the 508 509 industrial area of London had significantly higher concentrations of  $\Sigma_{12}$ BDEs, HBCDDs, TBBPA, as well as BEH-TEBP, BTBPE and TBP. Analysis of HBCDD diastereomer patterns revealed 510 samples from three locations within the industrial area possessed comparatively high 511 512 concentrations and diastereomer profiles matching those of the technical mixture. This 513 could possibly indicate fresh input sources at these locations.

The presence of hydroxylated PBDEs suggests the presence of transformation products in our sediments. Sources, formation reactions and impact on the environment and human health of these compounds have to be further investigated, along with the presence of other possible transformation products.

518

## 519 5. Acknowledgements

The research leading to these results has received funding from the Marie Curie Actions of the European Union's FP7 Programme under REA grant agreement # 606857 (ELUTE project). Thanks to Professor Adrian Covaci from the University of Antwerp for kindly providing the OH-PBDE standards. C.H. Vane publishes with permission of the Executive Director, British Geological Survey.

525

# 526 References

Shaw, S. D.; Blum, A.; Weber, R.; Kannan, K.; Rich, D.; Lucas, D.; Koshland, C. P.; Dobraca, D.;
 Hanson, S.; Birnbaum, L. S., Halogenated flame retardants Do the fire safety benefits justify the risks.
 *Organohalogen Compounds* 2010, *73*, 2036-2039.

530 2. Barceló, D.; Eljarrat, E., *Brominated Flame Retardants*. 2011; Vol. 16.

Dirtu, A. C.; Ionas, A. C.; Malarvannan, G.; Covaci, A., Transformation Products of Brominated
 Flame Retardants (BFRs). In *Transformation Products of Emerging Contaminants in the Environment: Analysis, Processes, Occurrence, Effects and Risks*, Lambropoulou, D. A.; Nollet, L. M. L., Eds. John
 Wiley and Sons Ltd: Chichester, United Kingdom, 2014; pp 545-576.

- Covaci, A.; Harrad, S.; Abdallah, M. A.; Ali, N.; Law, R. J.; Herzke, D.; de Wit, C. A., Novel
   brominated flame retardants: a review of their analysis, environmental fate and behaviour.
   *Environment International* 2011, *37*, (2), 532-56.
- 5. de Wit, C. A.; Kierkegaard, A.; Ricklund, N.; Sellström, U., Emerging Brominated Flame
   Retardants in the Environment. In *Brominated Flame Retardants*, Eljarrat, E.; Barceló, D., Eds.
   Springer-Verlag: Berlin Heidelberg, 2011; Vol. 16, pp 241-286.

541 6. Ezechias, M.; Covino, S.; Cajthaml, T., Ecotoxicity and biodegradability of new brominated 542 flame retardants: A review. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* **2014**, *110*, 153-167.

- 543 7. Lyche, J. L.; Rosseland, C.; Berge, G.; Polder, A., Human health risk associated with 544 brominated flame-retardants (BFRs). *Environment International* **2015**, *74*, 170-80.
- Hoffman, K.; Adgent, M.; Goldman, B. D.; Sjodin, A.; Daniels, J. L., Lactational Exposure to
   Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers and Its Relation to Social and Emotional Development among
   Toddlers. *Environmental Health Perspective* **2012**, *120*, (10), 1438-1442.
- Bradman, A.; Castorina, R.; Sjodin, A.; Fenster, L.; Jones, R. S.; Harley, K. G.; Chevrier, J.;
  Holland, N. T.; Eskenazi, B., Factors associated with serum polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE)
  levels among school-age children in the CHAMACOS cohort. *Environmental Science & Technology* **2012**, *46*, (13), 7373-81.
- Berghuis, S. A.; Bos, A. F.; Sauer, P. J.; Roze, E., Developmental neurotoxicity of persistent
  organic pollutants: an update on childhood outcome. *Archives of Toxicology* 2015, *89*, (5), 687-709.
  Linares, V.; Belles, M.; Domingo, J. L., Human exposure to PBDE and critical evaluation of
  health hazards. *Archives of Toxicology* 2015, *89*, (3), 335-56.
- Bearr, J. S.; Stapleton, H. M.; Mitchelmore, C. L., Accumulation and DNA damage in fathead
  minnows (Pimephales promelas) exposed to 2 brominated flame-retardant mixtures, Firemaster<sup>®</sup>
  550 and Firemaster<sup>®</sup> BZ-54. *Environmental toxicology and chemistry / SETAC* 2010, 29, (3), 722-729.
- Sun, R. B.; Xi, Z. G.; Yan, J.; Yang, H. L., Cytotoxicity and apoptosis induction in human HepG2
  hepatoma cells by decabromodiphenyl ethane. *Biomedical and Environmental Sciences* 2012, 25, (5),
  495-501.
- 562 14. Segev, O.; Kushmaro, A.; Brenner, A., Environmental impact of flame retardants (persistence
  563 and biodegradability). *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 2009, *6*, (2),
  564 478-91.
- Yang, C.; Rose, N. L.; Turner, S. D.; Yang, H.; Goldsmith, B.; Losada, S.; Barber, J. L.; Harrad, S.,
  Hexabromocyclododecanes, polybrominated diphenyl ethers, and polychlorinated biphenyls in
  radiometrically dated sediment cores from English lakes, ~1950-present. *The Science of the total environment* 2016, *541*, 721-728.
- Harrad, S.; Abdallah, M. A.; Rose, N. L.; Turner, S. D.; Davidson, T. A., Current-Use Brominated
  Flame Retardants in Water, Sediment, and Fish from English Lakes. *Environmental Science & Technology* 2009, *43*, 9077-9083.
- 17. Rippey, B.; Rose, N.; Yang, H.; Harrad, S.; Robson, M.; Travers, S., An assessment of toxicity in
  profundal lake sediment due to deposition of heavy metals and persistent organic pollutants from
  the atmosphere. *Environment International* **2008**, *34*, (3), 345-56.
- 575 18. Allchin, C. R.; Law, R. J.; Morris, S., Polybrominated diphenylethers in sediments and biota 576 downstream of potential sources in the UK. *Environmental Pollution* **1999**, *105*, 197-207.

577 19. Barber, J. L.; Losada, S.; Bersuder, P.; Maes, T.; Bolam, S.; Lyons, B.; Law, R. J., Halogenated 578 flame retardants in UK sediments. Organohalogen Compounds 2014, 76, (1348), 1348-1351. 579 20. Morris, S.; Allchin, C. R.; Zegers, B. N.; Haftka, J. J. H.; Boon, J. P.; Belpaire, C.; Leonards, P. E.; 580 van Leeuwen, S. P. J.; de Boer, J., Distribution and Fate of HBCD and TBBPA Brominated Flame 581 Retardants in North Sea Estuaries and Aquatic Food Webs. Environmental Science & Technology 582 **2004,** *38,* 5497-5504. 583 Lu, Q.; Jürgens, M. D.; Johnson, A. C.; Crosse, J.; Whitehead, P., Persistent Organic Pollutants 21. 584 in sediment and fish in the River Thames Catchment (UK). The Science of the total environment 2017, 585 576, 78-84. 586 22. Webster, L.; Russell, M.; Walsham, P.; Phillips, L. A.; Hussy, I.; Packer, G.; Dalgarno, E. J.; 587 Moffat, C. F., An assessment of persistent organic pollutants in Scottish coastal and offshore marine 588 environments. Journal of Environmental Monitoring 2011, 13, (5), 1288-307. 589 23. Russell, M.; Robinson, C. D.; Walsham, P.; Webster, L.; Moffat, C. F., Persistent organic 590 pollutants and trace metals in sediments close to Scottish marine fish farms. Aquaculture 2011, 319, 591 (1-2), 262-271. 592 24. Littlewood, M.; Crossman, M. Planning for Flood Risk Management in the Thames Estuary; in 593 Technical Scoping Report. Environment Agency: 2003. 594 Vane, C. H.; Beriro, D. J.; Turner, G. H., Rise and fall of mercury (Hg) pollution in sediment 25. 595 cores of the Thames Estuary, London, UK. Earth and Environmental Science Transactions of the Royal 596 Society of Edinburgh 2015, 105, (04), 285-296. 597 Tye, A. M.; Rushton, J.; Vane, C. H., Distribution and speciation of phosphorus in foreshore 26. 598 sediments of the Thames estuary, UK. Marine Pollution Bulletin 2018, 127, 182-197. 599 Lopes dos Santos, R. A.; Vane, C. H., Signatures of tetraether lipids reveal anthropogenic 27. 600 overprinting of natural organic matter in sediments of the Thames Estuary, UK. Organic 601 Geochemistry 2016, 93, 68-76. 602 Vane, C. H.; Harrison, I.; Kim, A. W., Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and 28. 603 polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in sediments from the Mersey Estuary, U.K. The Science of the total 604 environment **2007**, 374, (1), 112-26. 605 29. Beriro, D. J.; Vane, C. H.; Cave, M. R.; Nathanail, C. P., Effects of drying and comminution type 606 on the quantification of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH) in a homogenised gasworks soil and 607 the implications for human health risk assessment. Chemosphere 2014, 111, 396-404. 30. 608 Taylor, J. K., Quality Assurance of Chemical Measurements. 1984. 609 31. Vane, C. H.; Ma, Y. J.; Chen, S. J.; Mai, B. X., Increasing polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE) 610 contamination in sediment cores from the inner Clyde Estuary, UK. Environmental Geochemistry and 611 Health 2010, 32, (1), 13-21. 32. 612 Zhang, X. L.; Luo, X. J.; Chen, S. J.; Wu, J. P.; Mai, B. X., Spatial distribution and vertical profile 613 of polybrominated diphenyl ethers, tetrabromobisphenol A, and decabromodiphenylethane in river 614 sediment from an industrialized region of South China. Environmental Pollution 2009, 157, (6), 1917-615 23. 616 33. Yang, C. Persitent Organic Pollutants in lacustrine enviornments. University of Birmingham, 617 Birmingham, 2014. 618 34. Webster, L.; Russell, M.; Adefehinti, F.; Dalgarno, E. J.; Moffat, C. F., Preliminary assessment 619 of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in the Scottish aquatic environment, including the Firth of 620 Clyde. Journal of Environmental Monitoring **2008**, 10, (4), 463-73. 621 Harrad, S., A meta-analysis of recent data on UK environmental levels of POP-BFRs in an 35. 622 international context: Temporal trends and an environmental budget. Emerging Contaminants 2015, 623 1, (1), 39-53. 624 36. Suhring, R.; Barber, J. L.; Wolschke, H.; Kotke, D.; Ebinghaus, R., Fingerprint analysis of brominated flame retardants and Dechloranes in North Sea sediments. Environmental Research 625 626 **2015,** 140, 569-78. Olukunle, O. I.; Okonkwo, O. J., Concentration of novel brominated flame retardants and 627 37. 628 HBCD in leachates and sediments from selected municipal solid waste landfill sites in Gauteng 629 Province, South Africa. Waste Management 2015.

630 38. La Guardia, M. J.; Hale, R. C.; Newman, B., Brominated flame-retardants in Sub-Saharan 631 Africa: burdens in inland and coastal sediments in the eThekwini metropolitan municipality, South 632 Africa. Environmental Science & Technology 2013, 47, (17), 9643-50. 633 Lam, J. C. W.; Zhu, B. Z.; Xia, C. H.; Yang, S. Y.; Li, X. L.; Lam, P. K. S., Emeging halogenated 39. 634 flame retardants in coastal region of China. Organohalogen Compounds 2013, 75, 1269-1272. 635 40. Zhu, B.; Lam, J. C.; Yang, S.; Lam, P. K., Conventional and emerging halogenated flame 636 retardants (HFRs) in sediment of Yangtze River Delta (YRD) region, East China. Chemosphere 2013, 637 93, (3), 555-60. 638 41. Knudsen, G. A.; Hughes, M. F.; Sanders, J. M.; Hall, S. M.; Birnbaum, L. S., Estimation of 639 human percutaneous bioavailability for two novel brominated flame retardants, 2-ethylhexyl 2,3,4,5-640 tetrabromobenzoate (EH-TBB) and bis(2-ethylhexyl) tetrabromophthalate (BEH-TEBP). Toxicology 641 and Applied Pharmacology **2016**, 311, 117-127. 642 Al-Omran, L. S.; Harrad, S., Distribution pattern of legacy and "novel" brominated flame 42. 643 retardants in different particle size fractions of indoor dust in Birmingham, United Kingdom. 644 *Chemosphere* **2016**, *157*, 124-131. 645 Tao, F.; Abdallah, M. A.; Harrad, S., Emerging and Legacy Flame Retardants in UK Indoor Air 43. 646 and Dust: Evidence for Replacement of PBDEs by Emerging Flame Retardants? Environmental Science 647 & Technology 2016, 50, (23), 13052-13061. 648 44. Drage, D. S.; Newton, S.; de Wit, C. A.; Harrad, S., Concentrations of legacy and emerging 649 flame retardants in air and soil on a transect in the UK West Midlands. Chemosphere 2016, 148, 195-650 203. 651 45. Tao, F.; Abou-Elwafa Abdallah, M.; Ashworth, D. C.; Douglas, P.; Toledano, M. B.; Harrad, S., 652 Emerging and legacy flame retardants in UK human milk and food suggest slow response to 653 restrictions on use of PBDEs and HBCDD. Environment International 2017, 105, 95-104. 654 46. Poma, G.; Roscioli, C.; Guzzella, L., PBDE, HBCD, and novel brominated flame retardant 655 contamination in sediments from Lake Maggiore (Northern Italy). Environmental Monitoring and 656 Assessment 2014, 186, (11), 7683-92. 657 47. Kierkegaard, A.; Björklund, T.; Friden, U., Identification of the Flame Retardant 658 Decabromodiphenyl Ethane in the Environment. Environmental Science & Technology 2004, 38, 659 3247-3253. 660 48. Baron, E.; Eljarrat, E.; Barcelo, D., Gas chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry method 661 for the simultaneous analysis of 19 brominated compounds in environmental and biological samples. 662 Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry 2014, 406, (29), 7667-76. 663 49. Suhring, R.; Busch, F.; Fricke, N.; Kotke, D.; Wolschke, H.; Ebinghaus, R., Distribution of 664 brominated flame retardants and dechloranes between sediments and benthic fish--A comparison of 665 a freshwater and marine habitat. The Science of the total environment 2016, 542, (Pt A), 578-85. 666 50. Kolic, T. M.; Shen, L.; MacPherson, K.; Fayez, L.; Gobran, T.; Helm, P. A.; Marvin, C. H.; 667 Arsenault, G.; Reiner, E. J., The Analysis of Halogenated Flame Retardants by GC-HRMS in the 668 Environment. Journal of Chromatographic Science 2009, 47, 83-91. 669 Urs, J., Emerging Brominated Flame Retardants in Sediments and Soils: a Review. Current 51. 670 Pollution Reports 2016, 2, (4), 213-223. 671 52. Nyholm, J. R.; Lundberg, C.; Andersson, P. L., Biodegradation kinetics of selected brominated 672 flame retardants in aerobic and anaerobic soil. Environmental Pollution 2010, 158, (6), 2235-40. 673 53. Yang, R.; Wei, H.; Guo, J.; Li, A., Emerging brominated flame retardants in the sediment of the 674 Great Lakes. Environmental Science & Technology 2012, 46, (6), 3119-26. 675 54. Pope, N. D.; Langston, W. J., Sources, distribution and temporal variability of trace metals in 676 the Thames Estuary. Hydrobiologia 2011, 672, (1), 49-68. La Guardia, M. J.; Hale, R. C.; Harvey, E., Detailed PBDE Congener Composition of the Widely 677 55. 678 Used Penta-, Octa-, and Deca-PBDE Technical Flame-retardant Mixtures. Environmental Science & 679 Technology 2006, 40, 6247-6254. 680 56. Marsh, G.; Athanasiadou, M.; Bergman, A.; Asplund, L., Identification of Hydroxylated and 681 Methoxylated Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers in Baltic Sea Salmon (Salmo salar) Blood.

682 Environmental Science & Technology **2004**, *38*, (1), 10-18.

683 57. Lin, K.; Yan, C.; Gan, J., Production of hydroxylated polybrominated diphenyl ethers (OH-684 PBDEs) from bromophenols by manganese dioxide. Environmental Science & Technology 2014, 48, 685 (1), 263-71. 686 Malmvärn, A.; Marsh, G.; Kautsky, L.; Athanasiadou, M.; Bergman, A.; Asplund, L., 58. 687 Hydroxylated and Methoxylated Brominated Diphenyl Ethers in the Red Algae Ceramium tenuicorne 688 and Blue Mussels from the Baltic Sea. Environmental Science & Technology 2005, 39, (0), 2290-689 2997a. 690 59. Malmvärn, A.; Zebühr, Y.; Kautsky, L.; Bergman, A.; Asplund, L., Hydroxylated and 691 methoxylated polybrominated diphenyl ethers and polybrominated dibenzo-p-dioxins in red alga and 692 cyanobacteria living in the Baltic Sea. Chemosphere 2008, 72, 910-916. 693 60. Kerrigan, J. F.; Engstrom, D. R.; Yee, D.; Sueper, C.; Erickson, P. R.; Grandbois, M.; McNeill, K.; 694 Arnold, W. A., Quantification of Hydroxylated Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (OH-BDEs), Triclosan, 695 and Related Compounds in Freshwater and Coastal Systems. Plos One 2015, 14, 1-19. 696 61. Ueno, D.; Darling, C.; Alaee, M.; Pacepavicius, G.; Teixeira, C.; Campbell, L.; Letcher, R. J.; 697 Bergman, A.; Marsh, G.; Muir, D., Hydroxylated Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (OH-PBDEs) in the 698 Abiotic Environment- Surface Water and Precipitation from Ontario, Canada. Environmental Science 699 & Technology 2008, 42, 1657-1664. 700 Hua, W.; Bennett, E. R.; Letcher, R. J., Triclosan in waste and surface waters from the upper 62. 701 Detroit River by liquid chromatography-electrospray-tandem quadrupole mass spectrometry. 702 Environment International 2005, 31, 621-630. 703 Robrock, K., Aerobic Biotransformation of Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDEs) by 63. 704 Bacterial Isolates. Environmental Science & Technology 2009, 43, 5705-5711. 705 64. Xia, X., Microbial Degradation of Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers- Current and Future. 706 Bioremediation and Biodegradation **2013**, 4, (1), 1-2. 707 Liu, H.; Zhao, H.; Quan, X.; Zhang, Y.; Chen, S.; Zhao, H., Formation of 2'-hydroxy-2,3',4,5' -65. 708 tetrabromodipheyl ether (2'-HO-BDE68) from 2,4-dibromophenol in aqueous solution under 709 simulated sunlight irradiation. Chemosphere 2011, 84, 512-518. 710 66. Zhao, Q.; Zhao, H.; Quan, X.; Chen, S., Photochemical Formation of Hydroxylated 711 Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (OH-PBDEs) from Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDEs) in 712 Aqueous Solution under Simulated Solar Light Irradiation. Environmental Science & Technology 2015, 713 49, 9092-9099. 714 67. Agarwal, V.; El Gamal, A. A.; Yamanaka, K.; Poth, D.; Kersten, R. D.; Schorn, M.; Allen, E. E.; 715 Moore, B. S., Biosynthesis of polybrominated aromatic organic compounds by marine bacteria. 716 Nature Chemical Biology 2014, 10, (8), 640-7. 717 68. Su, G.; Yu, H.; Lam, M. H.; Giesy, J. P.; Zhang, X., Mechanisms of toxicity of hydroxylated 718 polybrominated diphenyl ethers (HO-PBDEs) determined by toxicogenomic analysis with a live cell 719 array coupled with mutagenesis in Escherichia coli. Environmental Science & Technology 2014, 48, 720 (10), 5929-37. 721 69. Meerts, I. A. T. M.; Letcher, R. J.; Hoving, S.; Marsh, G.; Bergman, A.; Lemmen, J. G.; van der 722 Burg, B.; Brouwer, A., In Vitro Estrogenicity of Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers, Hydroxylated PBDEs, 723 and Polybrominated Bisphenol A Compounds. Environmental Health Perspectives 2001, 109, (4), 399-724 407. 725 70. Zota, A. R.; Park, J. S.; Wang, Y.; Petreas, M.; Zoeller, R. T.; Woodruff, T. J., Polybrominated 726 diphenyl ethers, hydroxylated polybrominated diphenyl ethers, and measures of thyroid function in 727 second trimester pregnant women in California. Environmental Science & Technology 2011, 45, (18), 728 7896-905. 729 Van Boxtel, A. L.; Kamstra, J. H.; Cenijn, P. H.; Pieterse, B.; Wagner, M. J.; Antink, M.; Krab, K.; 71. 730 van der Burg, B.; Marsh, G.; Brouwer, A.; Legler, J., Microarray Analysis Reveals a Mechanism of 731 Phenolic Polybrominated Diphenylether Toxicity in Zebrafish. Environmental Science & Technology 732 **2008,** *42*, 1773-1779. 733 72. Legradi, J.; Dahlberg, A. K.; Cenijn, P.; Marsh, G.; Asplund, L.; Bergman, A.; Legler, J., 734 Disruption of oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) by hydroxylated polybrominated diphenyl ethers

- 735 (OH-PBDEs) present in the marine environment. *Environmental Science & Technology* **2014**, *48*, (24),
- 736 14703-11.