NERC Open Research Archive



Article (refereed) - postprint

Wanless, Sarah; Harris, Michael P.; Newell, Mark A.; Speakman, John R.; Daunt, Francis. 2018. **Community-wide decline in the occurrence of lesser sandeels Ammodytes marinus in seabird chick diets at a North Sea colony.** *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 600. 193-206. <u>https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12679</u>

© Inter-Research 2018

This version available http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/id/eprint/520665/

NERC has developed NORA to enable users to access research outputs wholly or partially funded by NERC. Copyright and other rights for material on this site are retained by the rights owners. Users should read the terms and conditions of use of this material at http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access

This document is the author's final manuscript version of the journal article, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer review process. Some differences between this and the publisher's version remain. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from this article.

The definitive version is available at <u>https://www.int-res.com/abstracts/meps/v600/p193-206/</u>

Contact CEH NORA team at noraceh@ceh.ac.uk

The NERC and CEH trademarks and logos ('the Trademarks') are registered trademarks of NERC in the UK and other countries, and may not be used without the prior written consent of the Trademark owner.

1	A community wide decline in the importance of lesser sandeels Ammodytes
2	marinus in seabird chick diet at a North Sea colony
3	
4	Sarah Wanless ¹ *, Michael P. Harris ¹ , Mark A. Newell ¹ , John R. Speakman ^{2,3} , Francis
5	Daunt ¹
6	¹ Centre for Ecology & Hydrology Edinburgh, Bush Estate, Penicuik, Midlothian EH26
7	0QB, UK
8	² Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
9	Beijing, China
10	³ Institute of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen,
11	UK
12	
13	
14 15	*Corresponding author: swanl@ceh.ac.uk
16 17	Running head: Decline of sandeels in seabird diet
18	ABSTRACT: Many pelagic marine ecosystems have a wasp-waist trophic structure
19	characterised by low diversity of mid-trophic species, typically small, shoaling forage fish
20	that are eaten by a wide range of top predators. In the North Sea this mid-trophic position is
21	occupied by the lesser sandeel Ammodytes marinus. Over the last 30-40 yr, the abundance
22	and length-at-age of sandeels have declined but information on concurrent changes in the diet
23	of seabird communities is scarce. We used data on chick diet composition, sandeel length-at-

age and energy density collected at a colony in the western North Sea from 1973-2015, to 24 test for dietary shifts in this seabird community during a period when a local sandeel fishery 25 opened, operated and was closed. We found a long-term decline in the overall importance of 26 sandeels, particularly 1+ group fish. However, there were species-specific differences such 27 that the overall decline in sandeels was strongest in common guillemots Uria aalge, while the 28 29 shift from 1+ group to 0 group sandeels was apparent in all species except European shags Phalacrocorax aristotelis. Community level differences were also apparent in the alternative 30 31 prey to sandeels with auk and black-legged kittiwake Rissa tridactyla chicks being fed 32 clupeids, predominantly sprat Sprattus sprattus while shag chicks received a wide range of benthic fish species. There was also evidence for a decline in the quality of sandeels fed to 33 chicks with significant decreases in length-at-age of 0 group and 1+ group. However, there 34 was no significant annual variation in the energy density of sandeels except for 2004 when 35 values were exceptionally low. Neither the opening nor the closing of the sandeel fishery had 36 any detectable effect on chick diet composition, sandeel length or sandeel energy density. 37 Overall, our results suggest marked community level changes in seabird diet composition 38 39 over the last three decades that may reflect long-term declines in the abundance and quality 40 of their principal prey.

41

42 KEY WORDS: Dietary shifts Fishery closure Forage fish Industrial fisheries
43 Predator-based diet sampling Sand lance Snake pipefish Trophic interactions

- 44
- 45
- 46
- 47

INTRODUCTION

49 50

Many pelagic marine ecosystems are characterised by a "wasp-waist" trophic structure 51 with high species diversity at upper and lower trophic levels and low diversity of mid-trophic 52 species (Cury et al. 2000). Typically, this mid-trophic position is occupied by an abundant 53 54 forage fish that forms a major prev item for a wide range of higher predators. However, changing conditions, in particular rising sea temperatures, are thought to be causing changes in 55 56 the distribution, abundance and energetic quality of mid-trophic forage fish and in some regions the situation has been further exacerbated by pressure from industrial fisheries (Sydeman et al. 57 2017a). Given the importance of these forage fish species to marine predators, a decline in their 58 availability and/or quality might be reflected in changes in predator diet with potentially 59 60 important demographic consequences if the alternative prev are less available and/or 61 energetically profitable. Furthermore, sympatric species are expected to vary in their dietary responses, for example in avian predators because of different foraging strategies such as 62 63 surface-feeding versus diving species, and during the breeding season, because of different prey delivery methods such as whole fish transported in the bill versus regurgitation of semi-64 65 digested prey (Furness & Tasker 2000). However, although dietary shifts in particular species of avian predator have been identified in wasp-waist systems (Miller & Sydeman 2004, Gaston 66 & Elliott 2014), community-level predator responses remain poorly known (Sydeman et al. 67 2017b)-. 68

In the North Sea, the principal pelagic mid-trophic species is the lesser sandeel *Ammodytes marinus* (hereafter sandeel), a small, lipid-rich, shoaling fish with a complex life cycle after metamorphosis that alternates periods buried in sandy substrates with periods of activity in the water column (Winslade 1974, Wright & Bailey 1993). Sandeels make up a

major component of the diet of many marine mammals, fish and birds in the North Sea and are 73 also the main target species for a large industrial fishery (Gislasson & Kirkegard 1998, 74 Engelhard et al. 2014). The species is considered to be particularly at risk from rising sea 75 temperatures due to its specialised habitat requirements and limited capacity to shift 76 distribution in response to changing hydrographic conditions and prey distributions (Heath et 77 78 al. 2012a, Frederiksen et al. 2013). Sandeels have also become smaller over the past four 79 decades with significant declines in mean lengths-at-age being most marked in the 80 northwestern North Sea (Wanless et al. 2004, Frederiksen et al. 2011, Rindorf et al. 2016). 81 Mass/length relationships in sandeels are non-linear and thus mass and energy value have 82 decreased disproportionately meaning that particularly in the northwestern North Sea, predators now need to catch more individual sandeels to meet their own and their offspring's 83 energetic requirements (Rindorf et al. 2016). Analyses of sandeel body composition have also 84 highlighted that in 2004, the mean energy density of sandeels in the northwestern North Sea 85 was drastically lower than values in the previous 30 yr (Wanless et al. 2005). Concurrent with 86 these changes, poor breeding success of seabirds at colonies along the west coast of the North 87 88 Sea has repeatedly been attributed to declines in sandeel availability and quality (Mitchell et al. 2004, Daunt et al. 2017). However, for the most part direct information on chick diet for a 89 range of seabird species has been lacking, precluding a systematic evaluation of the evidence 90 91 for community level dietary shifts.

Much of what is known about changes in seabird diet in the North Sea in recent decades has come from long-term studies on the Isle of May, a major seabird colony off the coast of southeast Scotland. Here, standardised monitoring of prey brought in for chicks over the last 30-40 yr has documented marked changes in diet composition, notably a decline in the relative importance of sandeels. However, to date the emphasis has been on single species assessments such as for common guillemots *Uria aalge* (Smout et al. 2013, Anderson et al. 2014) and

European shags Phalacrocorax aristotelis (Howells et al. 2017), rather than comparing 98 99 responses at a community scale. Here, we use chick diet data from three pursuit-divers (Atlantic 100 puffin Fratercula arctica, razorbill Alca torda and common guillemot) that carry back intact fish held in the beak for the chick, and one surface-feeder (black-legged kittiwake Rissa 101 tridactyla) and one pursuit-diver (European shag) that regurgitate semi-digested food to their 102 103 offspring, to quantify dietary trends in a seabird community over a 30 yr period. Together these five species account for >90% of the biomass of seabirds on the Isle of May that feed on marine 104 105 prey during the breeding season (Daunt et al. 2008). All five have traditionally been viewed as 106 relying on sandeels (both 0 group (fish of the year) and 1+ group (older fish)) as food for their 107 chicks (Pearson 1968, Furness & Tasker 2000). Conditions for sandeels in the waters around the Isle of May have changed substantially over the period during which seabird diet data have 108 been collected. Sea temperatures have risen and the thermal environment for the copepod 109 Calanus finmarchicus, a major prey of sandeels, has deteriorated (Burthe et al. 2012, 110 Frederiksen et al. 2013). In addition, between 1990 and 1999 sandeels were the target of a large 111 industrial fishery that operated within 30-50 km of the Isle of May (Rindorf et al. 2000). Since 112 113 2000, an area down the east coast of Britain, including the areas used for feeding by many Isle of May seabirds, has been closed to commercial sandeel fishing with the aim of conserving 114 prey stocks for predators including seabirds (Daunt et al. 2008). A condition of the closure was 115 116 that there should be an evaluation of whether the removal of sandeels by the fishery had a 117 measurable effect on sandeel predators such as seabirds, and whether the establishment of a 118 closed area could ameliorate any deleterious effects. Assessments based on seabird breeding success indicated that there was indeed evidence of a negative effect of the fishery and a 119 positive effect of the closure in black-legged kittiwakes whereas the other species were 120 121 unaffected (Daunt et al. 2008, Frederiksen et al. 2008). However, the focus of these analyses 122 was on reproductive output rather than changes in diet composition or sandeel quality per se.

123	Our specific aims were therefore to test (1) for trends in the overall mean annual proportion of
124	sandeel in chick diets of the five seabird species; (2) for changes in the relative importance of
125	different age classes of sandeels in chick diets; (3) whether the downward trend in sandeel
126	length had continued and (4) if the energy density of sandeels had been exceptionally low in
127	any year since 2004. For each of these measures we also assessed whether there was evidence
128	for step changes associated with the commencement of the local sandeel fishery in 1990 and/or
129	its closure in 2000.
130	
131	MATERIALS AND METHODS
132	
133	Fieldwork was carried out on the Isle of May National Nature Reserve, Firth of Forth,
134	southeast Scotland (56°11'N, 2°33'W) with diet samples collected throughout the chick-
135	rearing periods of all species. Full details of the species-specific sampling periods and annual
136	sample sizes are presented in Table S1.
137	
138	Diet sampling
139	
140	Atlantic puffin
141	
142	Atlantic puffins (hereafter puffins) carrying bill loads of fish destined for chicks were
143	caught in mist-nets each year 1973-2015. Most loads were obtained in the early morning
144	(04:00–07:00 h, all times given as GMT) or evening (18:00–21:00 h) but some additional loads

were collected throughout the day. When caught, puffins dropped their fish and a thorough 145 search was made of the ground around the net to ensure that the complete load was collected. 146 Each fish load (diet sample) was taken back to the laboratory where prey items were identified 147 and the length of each intact fish was measured from the tip of the snout to the tip of the tail. 148 Sandeels (Ammodytidae) were classified as 0 group or 1+ group. In 2000-2015 ageing was 149 150 based on otolith macrostructure (ICES 1995). Prior to this we used year-specific discriminant analysis of fish length distributions (Wanless at al. 2004). Checks of the discriminant method 151 152 against otolith macrostructure for 4169 otoliths from 3yr showed that classification of 0 group 153 and 1+ group was 99.8% reliable. The occurrence of 0 group and 1+ group sandeels was not 154 recorded at the sample level so annual measures of frequencies of these groups correspond to the proportions in the pooled sample of fish in a given year. 155

156

157

Razorbill

158

Visual observations of fish brought back to the colony by adult razorbills were made 159 160 each year 1982-2015. Data were collected on many days during the chick period and covered the period from dawn to dusk (02:30-21:30 h). The species and size of fish in each load were 161 162 recorded with the latter assessed qualitatively in relation to the size of the adult's bill and scored as minute, small, medium or large. For sandeels, these size classes were assumed to correspond 163 to body lengths of 40 mm, 50 mm, 70 mm and 80 mm, respectively, for clupeids to correspond 164 to lengths of 50 mm, 60 mm, 80 mm and 90 mm respectively, and for rockling (Lotidae) to 165 correspond to an average fish length of 35 mm (Thaxter et al. 2013). Minute and small sandeels 166 were assumed to be 0 group and longer fish to be 1+ group. 167

Common guillemot

169

170

1/1	Diet of common guillemot chicks (hereafter guillemot) was assessed visually from
172	observations of fish brought by adults and subsequently fed to chicks. Data were collected
173	between dawn and dusk (02:30-21:30 h) on most days throughout each chick-rearing period
174	1982-2015. The species and size of each fish were recorded with the latter classed as minute,
175	small, medium or large relative to the size of the adult's bill (Anderson et al. 2014). These size
176	classes were converted to fish length using fish found dropped in the colony each year. Minute
177	sandeels were assumed to be 0 group and all others to be 1+ group. Examination of otoliths of
178	475 sandeels collected in the colony supported these assumptions of fish age.

- 179
- 180

European shag and black-legged kittiwake

181

182 Diet was sampled using regurgitates from chicks or adults with chicks collected each year 1985-2015 (European shag, hereafter shag) and 1986-2015 (black-legged kittiwake, 183 hereafter kittiwake). Previous work has shown that food regurgitated by adults at the nest is 184 predominantly destined for the brood (Wanless et al. 1993), so samples from chicks and adults 185 186 with chicks were pooled for analysis. Regurgitates were taken back to the laboratory and weighed and the approximate contributions of the main prey groups (see later) present were 187 assessed visually. Each regurgitate was dissolved in a warmed, saturated solution of biological 188 189 washing powder (Biotex©) until all the soft tissue had been digested (Lewis et al. 2001, Howells et al. 2017). The hard items, predominantly otoliths and large fish bones, were 190 extracted and identified to the lowest possible taxon using keys in Härkönen (1986) and Watt 191 et al. (1997). Otoliths were counted and measured under a binocular microscope. Sandeels were 192

193	classed as 0 group or 1+ group using otolith macrostructure (ICES 1995). Where no otoliths or
194	hard items were present following digestion, the initial visual assessments of prey biomass
195	proportions were used since there was very close accordance between these two methods in
196	samples where both were available (r ² of arcsine transformed proportions of sandeels to non-
197	sandeels = 0.96; n = 185; Howells et al. 2017).

199

Diet composition

200

Irrespective of the method used to sample diet, it was not always possible to identify 201 202 all items to species level. Since the primary aim of this study was to assess temporal changes 203 in the importance of sandeels during chick-rearing in this seabird community, for analysis prey were grouped as 0 group and 1+ group sandeels (all those identified to species were Ammodytes 204 marinus), Clupeidae (sprat Sprattus sprattus and herring Clupea harengus, separated where 205 possible, by examination of otoliths or counts of vertebrae) and other species (mainly cod-206 fishes Gadidae, rockling Lotidae and gunnel or rock butterfish Pholidae, depending on the 207 seabird species). For each seabird species and year diet was expressed as (1) the frequency of 208 samples (bill loads or regurgitates) containing each of these prey groups except for the puffin 209 where the relative proportions of 0 group and 1+ group sandeels were based on the total 210 211 sandeels examined that year and (2) the proportion by mass of each prey group in the diet after pooling all the samples for the year, using species-specific otolith length to fish length and fish 212 213 length to mass relationships (Harris & Hislop 1978, Lewis et al. 2003, Howells et al. 2017). The number and length of fish in a razorbill load typically show an inverse relationship so 214 215 where there was uncertainty about the numbers of prey items we followed Thaxter et al. (2013) and assumed that loads of minute, small, medium and large fish contained 16, 6, 4 and 2 items, 216

respectively. Frequency estimates derived from occurrence and biomass proportions were highly correlated e.g. for sandeels (puffin r = 0.741, n = 43, p < 0.001; razorbill r = 0.948, n = 34, p < 0.001; guillemot r = 0.977, n = 34, p < 0.001; shag r = 0.942, n = 31, p < 0.001; kittiwake r = 0.821, n = 30, p < 0.001) so unless otherwise stated, results relating to diet composition refer to the annual proportions based on biomass.

- 222
- 223

Changes in energy value of sandeels

224

Samples of intact sandeels collected from puffins (988 0 group, 123 1+ group) and 225 226 guillemots (10 1+ group) in 19 yr were retained for analysis to determine energy content. Those collected between 1973 and 1987 were analysed using freeze-drying techniques and adiabatic 227 bomb-calorimetry (Harris & Hislop 1978, Hislop et al. 1991). Details of methods used to 228 analyse samples collected between 1988 and 2015 are given in Wanless et al. (2005). Each fish 229 230 was dried to constant weight at 60°C and the fat extracted using diethyl ether in a soxhlet apparatus (Reynolds & Kunz 2001). The remaining material was dried, re-weighed and put into 231 232 a muffle furnace at 600°C for 10 h to incinerate the protein. The resulting ash was then weighed to determine the mineral content. All measurements were made to 0.0001 g accuracy. The 233 234 energy value of each fish was then obtained from the body composition using energy equivalents of 39.6 kJ g⁻¹ for fat and 23.7 kJ g⁻¹ for protein (Crisp 1971). All energy values 235 were expressed on a dry weight basis. 236

- 237
- 238

Statistical analysis

240 Annual- rather than sample-level biomass proportions were analysed since this 241 allowed us to include data from puffins where sandeels were not aged within individual samples. Generalized Linear Models (GLM) with a logit link function, with year, species and 242 a year by species interaction as fixed effects were used to test trends in annual biomass 243 proportions of different prey types. Following Wanless et al. (2004) and Frederiksen et al. 244 245 (2008), we also fitted presence (1990-1999) or absence (all other years) of the industrial sandeel fishery as a fixed effect, to test for evidence of a step change in diet composition 246 247 when the fishery was operating. In analyses of biomass proportions, a change in one prey 248 type cannot be distinguished from an opposing change in the other prey types (Howells et al. 249 2017). To allow for this we used a hierarchical approach sequentially testing for changes in (1) the proportion of sandeels relative to all prey, (2) the proportion of 1+ group sandeels 250 relative 0 group sandeels and (3) the proportion of clupeids relative to other non-sandeel 251 252 prey.

Temporal trends in lengths of 0 group and 1+ group sandeels were analysed by fitting linear mixed models using residual maximum likelihood (REML, Patterson & Thompson 1971). Year, date (June 1st = 1) and fishery presence were fitted as covariates, and categorical sampling day, categorical year, and date by categorical year as random effects. A full description of the model is given in Wanless et al. (2004). The model was used to obtain annual estimates of the length standardised to 1 July (for 0 group sandeels) and 1 June (for 1+ group sandeels).

Trends in energy density (kJ g⁻¹) were investigated by fitting linear mixed models using residual maximum likelihood (REML). Year, age (0 group and 1+ group) and fishery presence were fitted as fixed effects and a categorical variable for year was fitted as a random effect. However, data were only available for 7 sandeels during the fishery years, limiting the power of the test for fishery presence.

DECIT

266	RESULTS
267	
268	Diet composition
269	
270	The number of chick diet samples (food loads brought in by puffins, razorbills and
271	guillemots and regurgitates from shags and kittiwakes) collected during the study are
272	summarized in Table 1 along with the total biomass of these samples. Species-specific
273	estimates of the frequency of occurrence of sandeels, overall and for 0 group and 1+ group
274	separately, and for the proportion of the biomass made up of sandeel both overall and by age
275	group are also shown. These summaries indicate that, with the exception of guillemots,
276	sandeels dominated the diet of chicks of all species both in terms of frequency of samples
277	containing sandeels and as a proportion of the total biomass. Overall, the frequency of
278	occurrence of 0 group sandeels was higher than that of 1+ group sandeels in puffin, razorbill
279	and kittiwake with the reverse being the case in shag and particularly in guillemot where 0
280	group sandeels made up a trivial proportion of chick diet.
281	

282

283

265

Puffin

Except for 1974-78 and 1993, sandeels always made up >50% of the biomass, and only 284 in 1979 and 1982-87 did 1+ group sandeels comprise more of the biomass than 0 group 285 sandeels (Figure 1a, Table S1a). The main alternative prey to sandeels was clupeids 286 (Clupeidae). In 33 of the 42 yr the bulk of these were sprat and only in 1980-1982, 1984 and 287 1988 did herring constitute >10% of the diet. In terms of other prey species, juvenile gadids 288

289	(Gadidae) were the most important group but only in 1990–92 and 2004 did the proportion of
290	gadids exceed that of clupeids. In 2008, rockling (Lotidae) were the dominant other prey type.
291	
292	Razorbill
293	
294	Sandeel was the most important species in razorbill chick diet but its contribution varied
295	markedly over short time periods, for example making up 100% of the biomass in 2005 and
296	2006 but only 9% in 2004 (Figure 1b, Table S1b). Clupeids, probably mainly sprat, were the
297	main alternative prey. Gadids were recorded in very small numbers and in only 3 yr (1999,
298	2013, 2014 respectively) did they contribute >1% of chick diet.
299	
300	Guillemot
301	
302	Prior to the late 1990s, sandeels typically accounted for >60% of guillemot chick diet
303	but after this 2009 was the only year in which they made up >20% of the biomass (Figure 1c,
304	Table S1c). Almost all of the sandeels were 1+ group and only in 1 yr (1990) did 0 group
305	constitute >2% of chick diet. The principal alternative prey was clupeids and based on 167 fish
306	collected from breeding ledges, most (93%) were sprat, the remainder (7%) being herring.
307	Gadids were recorded in very small numbers and only in 2006 did they account for $>5\%$ of the
308	biomass.
309	
310	Shag
311	

312	The proportion of sandeel in the diet of shag chicks was >60% except in 2004–09
313	and 2013 (Figure 1d, Table S1d). Except for 1989-91, 1994, 1999, 2005 and 2006, the
314	predominant age class of sandeel taken was 1+ group. Clupeids were unimportant, only
315	making up >5% of chick diet in 1994, 1995 and 1999. A variety of benthic fish species were
316	the main alternative prey, the commonest being gunnel which made up $>10\%$ of the biomass
317	in 7 yr between 2004 and 2014. Sygnathidae (all identified were snake pipefish Entelurus
318	aequoreus) occurred in 2006 and 2007 but made up only 0.5% and 0.2% of the biomass,
319	respectively.
320	
224	V:442-marcha
321	клинаке
322	
323	The importance of sandeel in kittiwake chick diet varied markedly accounting for >90%
324	between 1986 and 1989 but <50% in 1991, 2008 and 2010 (Figure 1e, Table S1e). In some
325	years in the 1980s and early 1990s, 1+ group sandeels made up $>50\%$ of the sandeel biomass
326	in chick diet but from the mid-1990s onwards 0 group were the dominant age class. The main
327	alternative prey to sandeels were clupeids but juvenile gadids were also taken, particularly in
328	years when the sandeel component in the diet was low. In addition, discards from fishing boats
329	were recorded between 1990 and 1994. Sygnathidae (all identified were snake pipefish)
330	occurred between 2005 and 2010 with numbers peaking in 2007 when they made up 10.5% of
331	the biomass.
332	
222	Temporal trends in diet composition
	remportativendo in diet composition

335 The proportion of sandeel in the diet of chicks decreased significantly overall during 336 the study period (Table 2a). There was a significant interaction between species and year in 337 the model, whereby sandeels declined in all species except puffins (as evidenced by the significant interaction with year only apparent in puffins in relation to the reference species, 338 kittiwakes; Table 2a). The proportion of 1+ group relative to 0 group sandeels also decreased 339 340 over the study period (Table 2b). A species by year interaction was apparent, such that the decline was apparent in all species except shag (significant interaction with year in shags in 341 342 relation to the reference species, kittiwakes). The proportion of clupeids relative to other non-343 sandeel prey increased over the course of the study (Table 2c). Again, there was a significant 344 interaction between species and year such that this increase was not apparent in puffins or shags (significant interaction with year in these two species in relation to the reference species, 345 kittiwakes). There was no effect of the presence of the sandeel fishery on any aspect of chick 346 diet composition for any of the species (Table 2a-c). 347

- 348
- 349

350

351

Temporal trends in sandeel length

Between 1973 and 2015 there were highly significant declines in the mean annual lengths of 0 group and 1+ group sandeels in fish loads brought in by puffins, with no effect of the presence of a fishery (Figure 2a; REML on 0 group (mm): year = -0.451 ± 0.081 , F = 30.77, p < 0.001; date = 0.245 ± 0.050 , F = 24.28, p < 0.001; fishery = -0.618 ± 2.336 , F = 0.07, p = 0.791; REML on 1+ group (mm): year = -0.426 ± 0.137 , F = 9.61, p = 0.004; date = $0.449 \pm$ 0.064, F = 48.84, p < 0.001; fishery = 0.314 ± 3.860 , F = 0.01, p = 0.936; n = 42 yr). Thus, in the 1970s mean annual lengths of 0 group sandeels were typically between 70 and 80 mm but

359	by the mid-2000s mean lengths were only between 50 and 60 mm. Similarly, 1+ group sandeel
360	lengths decreased from $110 - 120$ mm to $100 - 105$ mm.
361	
362	Temporal trends in sandeel energy values
363	
364	Energy densities of 0 group sandeels were significantly lower than those of 1+ group,
365	but there was no significant time trend over the 19 yr for which data were available, and no
366	effect of the fishery (Figure 2b, REML: age = 1.654 ± 0.159 , F = 108.94 , p < 0.001 ; year =
367	0.051 ± 0.088 , F = 0.34, p = 0.568; fishery = 2.932 \pm 2.747, F = 1.14, p = 0.300). The only
368	year characterised by exceptionally low energy densities of both 0 group and 1+ group sandeels
369	was 2004.
370	
371	DISCUSSION
371	DISCUSSION
371 372	DISCUSSION
371 372 373	DISCUSSION Our comparison of dietary trends within a North Sea seabird community provides
371 372 373 374	DISCUSSION Our comparison of dietary trends within a North Sea seabird community provides support for (1) a long-term decline in the overall importance of sandeels in chick diet, (2) a
371 372 373 374 375	DISCUSSION Our comparison of dietary trends within a North Sea seabird community provides support for (1) a long-term decline in the overall importance of sandeels in chick diet, (2) a decline in the importance of 1+ group sandeels relative to 0 group, and (3) an increase in the
371 372 373 374 375 376	DISCUSSION Our comparison of dietary trends within a North Sea seabird community provides support for (1) a long-term decline in the overall importance of sandeels in chick diet, (2) a decline in the importance of 1+ group sandeels relative to 0 group, and (3) an increase in the importance of clupeids, predominantly sprats, as the main non-sandeel prey. Superimposed on
371 372 373 374 375 376 377	DISCUSSION Our comparison of dietary trends within a North Sea seabird community provides support for (1) a long-term decline in the overall importance of sandeels in chick diet, (2) a decline in the importance of 1+ group sandeels relative to 0 group, and (3) an increase in the importance of clupeids, predominantly sprats, as the main non-sandeel prey. Superimposed on these community level trends were species-specific differences in the strength of all these
371 372 373 374 375 376 377 378	DISCUSSION Our comparison of dietary trends within a North Sea seabird community provides support for (1) a long-term decline in the overall importance of sandeels in chick diet, (2) a decline in the importance of 1+ group sandeels relative to 0 group, and (3) an increase in the importance of clupeids, predominantly sprats, as the main non-sandeel prey. Superimposed on these community level trends were species-specific differences in the strength of all these dietary shifts. There was also evidence for changes in sandeel quality with a long-term decline
 371 372 373 374 375 376 377 378 379 	DISCUSSION Our comparison of dietary trends within a North Sea seabird community provides support for (1) a long-term decline in the overall importance of sandeels in chick diet, (2) a decline in the importance of 1+ group sandeels relative to 0 group, and (3) an increase in the importance of clupeids, predominantly sprats, as the main non-sandeel prey. Superimposed on these community level trends were species-specific differences in the strength of all these dietary shifts. There was also evidence for changes in sandeel quality with a long-term decline in mean annual lengths of 0 group and 1+ group sandeels although no marked change in energy
 371 372 373 374 375 376 377 378 379 380 	DISCUSSION Our comparison of dietary trends within a North Sea seabird community provides support for (1) a long-term decline in the overall importance of sandeels in chick diet, (2) a decline in the importance of 1+ group sandeels relative to 0 group, and (3) an increase in the importance of clupeids, predominantly sprats, as the main non-sandeel prey. Superimposed on these community level trends were species-specific differences in the strength of all these dietary shifts. There was also evidence for changes in sandeel quality with a long-term decline in mean annual lengths of 0 group and 1+ group sandeels although no marked change in energy density of sandeels, except for the exceptionally low value in 2004. Crucially we found no

targeted predominantly 1+ group fish and which operated during the seabird breeding season
(May – July) 1990-1999 (Rindorf et al. 2000).

384

385

Diet composition and dietary trends

386

Sandeels have traditionally been viewed as the main prey of most seabirds in the North 387 Sea during the breeding season (Pearson 1968, Furness & Tasker 2000). Sampling of puffin 388 chick diet on the Isle of May started in the early 1970s, 10-15 yr before the other species and 389 it is noteworthy that clupeids rather than sandeels were the predominant prey at this time 390 391 (Figure 1a). The lack of diet data for the other auks, kittiwake and shag at this time precludes any assessment of whether this was a community level phenomenon on the Isle of May while 392 the lack of data from other puffin colonies in the North Sea precludes knowing whether it was 393 a wide-scale effect. However, the importance of sandeels in puffin chick diet subsequently 394 395 increased and by the mid-1980s when diet sampling for the other species started, sandeels were indeed the main prey species across the whole community, albeit with differences in the age 396 397 class taken with guillemot and shag chicks being fed predominantly 1+ group and puffin, razorbill and kittiwake chicks receiving a mixture of 0 group and 1+ group. These initial species 398 399 differences were apparent in subsequent trends such that the decline in overall importance of sandeels was most pronounced in shags and particularly guillemots. In razorbills and kittiwakes 400 the decline in overall importance was less marked, and in puffins no decline was apparent. 401 402 Furthermore, for all three species sandeels largely remained the dominant prey but the contribution of 0 group relative to 1+ group increased. Community level differences were also 403 apparent in the alternative prey to sandeels. Thus in the auks and kittiwake the shift was 404 relatively simple with clupeids, predominantly sprats, being the main alternative with reliance 405

relative to other non-sandeel species increasing over time in all the species except puffin. In contrast, clupeids were of minor importance for shags with sandeels being replaced by a wide range of benthic fish species resulting in a significant increase in dietary diversity (Howells et al. 2017).

410 Taken as a whole the changes in seabird chick diet recorded on the Isle of May are consistent with declines in the local availability of sandeels, particularly 1+ group and/or an 411 increase in availability of clupeids, particularly sprats. Concurrent, independent time series data 412 on prey abundance at a spatial scale relevant to seabird foraging ranges during the breeding 413 414 season (mainly within 50 km; Thaxter et al. 2012, Wakefield et al. 2017) are lacking. However, the available data for both sandeels and sprats are broadly in line with the observed changes in 415 416 seabird chick diet. Thus sandeel abundance declined significantly during the 1990s when the commercial sandeel fishery was operating on the Wee Bankie and Marr Bank, 30-50 km from 417 the Isle of May, and apart from a brief recovery immediately after closure of the fishery in 418 2000, abundance remained low until the early 2010s (Greenstreet et al. 2006, Frederiksen et al. 419 2008, Heath et al. 2012b). Furthermore, monitoring of the age structure of the stock after the 420 closure of the fishery highlighted sharply contrasting trends for 0 group and 1+ group with 421 abundance of the former maintained whilst the latter was greatly reduced (Heath et al. 2012b). 422 In the case of sprats, a fishery prosecuted by small boats based at ports on the Fife coast, started 423 in the late 1960s (Jennings et al. 2012). The stock collapsed in the early 1980s and fishing 424 425 ceased in 1985. It is notable that the 1980s was the only period when juvenile herring was the main prey taken by puffins consistent with sprat stocks being depressed at this time. However, 426 427 sprat stocks recovered by the late 1990s (Jennings et al. 2012) and sprat has subsequently been the dominant clupeid in chick diet across the Isle of May seabird community. 428

429 Declines in sandeel abundance and increases in sprat abundance are both consistent430 with expected contrasting trends given rising sea surface temperatures (SST) in the North Sea

431 (Heath et al. 2012a). The shift from a boreal to a temperate regime could also enable warm-432 water forage fish species to colonise and increase in the region. However, as yet there have 433 been no cases of species such as sardine Sardina pilchardus or anchovy Engraulis encrasicolus that are increasing in the southern North Sea and spreading northwards, being recorded in chick 434 diet samples from the Isle of May -(Heessen et al. 2015). The most dramatic example of the 435 436 appearance of a new prey species during our study was the population explosion in the mid-2000s of snake pipefish that had previously been considered rare in the region (Harris et al. 437 438 2007, van Damme & Couperus 2008, Kirby et al. 2006). Snake pipefish were very numerous 439 in the waters around the Isle of May between 2005 and 2007 but the species was only recorded 440 in any numbers in the diet of kittiwake chicks although there were a few records from shags and opportunistic sightings from puffins (Harris & Wanless 2011). The pipefish explosion 441 coincided with the nadir in sandeel abundance suggesting that some change in the marine 442 environment had occurred around this time that was beneficial to snake pipefish but detrimental 443 to sandeels (Heath et al. 2012b, van Damme & Couperus 2008, Kirby et al 2006). However, 444 pipefish numbers subsequently crashed as spectacularly as they increased and since 2010 the 445 446 species has again become rare and has not been recorded in chick diet on the Isle of May, nor as far as we are aware, at other colonies in the North Sea (Daan 2015, personal records). 447

Intriguingly the temperature responses of sandeels and clupeids in the North Sea seem 448 to be the reverse of those in the northeastern Pacific. Thus while in the former warming 449 450 conditions have resulted in a shift away from a sandeel dominated system (Heath et al. 2012b), in the latter the locally prevalent Pacific sandeel species, A. personatus, is dominant during 451 periods of warm ocean conditions but is replaced by alternative forage fish such as clupeids 452 e.g. the Pacific herring Clupea pallasii and/or osmerids especially capelin Mallotus villosus 453 during periods of cooling (Sydeman et al. 2017b). Clearly there is considerable potential for 454 future research to exploit predator-based sampling from different ocean basins to compare 455

456 system level responses to global climate change and other anthropogenic pressures (Sydeman

458

457

et al. 2017a).

459

Changes in sandeel quality

460

The length and energy density of prey are of key importance for predators when they 461 are provisioning offspring, particularly in species such as common guillemot that only bring 462 back one prey item per feeding trip (single prey loaders). Since the relationship between 463 sandeel length and mass is non-linear the sustained decrease in mean length-at-age of both 0 464 group and 1+ group sandeels brought in for puffin chicks on the Isle of May indicates a 465 dramatic deterioration in prey quality over the last 40 yr. Combining annual data for sandeel 466 length and energy density suggests overall reductions in average energy values of c.70% and 467 40% for 0 group and 1+ sandeels, respectively between 1973 and 2015. Puffin was the only 468 469 species for which sandeel lengths could be measured directly in sufficient numbers for analysis. However, sandeel lengths estimated from otoliths extracted from shag regurgitates also indicate 470 a significant decrease in mean length between 1989 and 2014 with the decline more marked in 471 0 group compared to 1+ group fish (Howells et al. 2017). Isle of May shags typically forage 472 473 closer to the shore than puffins (Harris et al. 2102, Bogdanova et al. 2014) suggesting that the 474 decline in sandeel length has occurred in both inshore and offshore habitats and thus that the reduction in prey quality will have affected the whole Isle of May seabird community. Further 475 476 support for a regional level reduction in sandeel length comes from data from commercial fishing vessels that also indicate that sandeels in the northwestern North Sea have become 477 significantly shorter and lighter than those in central and northeastern areas (Rindorf et al. 478 479 2016).

In this study we did not specifically investigate the mechanisms underlying the decline in length-at-date of sandeels. However, Frederiksen et al. (2011) previously showed that the situation was complex with phenological responses predominating in the 1970s to mid-1990s with sandeel hatch dates becoming later. From the mid -1990s onwards the trend in hatch date was reversed and instead the decline in length-at-date was driven mainly by lower juvenile growth rates (Frederiksen et al. 2011).

486

487

Consequences of dietary changes for community level breeding success

488

489 Furness & Tasker (2000) quantified the sensitivity of the North Sea seabird community to reductions in sandeel abundance using an index based on species body size, cost of foraging, 490 potential foraging range, ability to dive, amount of 'spare' time in the daily time budget and 491 ability to switch diet. Applying these criteria to the Isle of May seabird community ranks the 492 493 species in order of increasing sensitivity as shag, guillemot, razorbill, puffin and kittiwake-. In accordance with this, kittiwake the most sensitive species, was the only one to show reduced 494 495 breeding success during the period when the sandeel fishery was operating (Daunt et al. 2008, Frederiksen et al. 2008). However, community level comparisons of breeding success on the 496 497 Isle of May revealed synchronised declines from the late 1980s to the late 2000s with the environmental contribution to overall productivity synchrony driven principally by effects 498 operating at the chick stage rather than during incubation (Frederiksen et al. 2007, Lahoz-499 Montfort et al. 2013). Our analysis demonstrating long-term community level reductions in the 500 501 importance of sandeels in chick diet therefore suggests that dietary changes may have played a role in the decline in productivity. However, interspecific differences in the change in 502 productivity were also apparent. These suggested that trophic linkages were probably complex 503

504 and potentially influenced by factors such as interspecific differences in behavioural responses 505 e.g. time allocation, foraging effort, prey delivery rates and load sizes (Lahoz-Montfort et al. 2013). The community level analyses of productivity have not been updated but breeding 506 success of all species has generally increased since the mid-2000s (Newell et al. 2017b). The 507 data on diet composition for the seabird community indicate that these improvements have 508 509 occurred despite the continuing decline in both the importance of sandeels, particularly 1+ group, in chick diet and length-at-age of 0 group and 1+ group sandeels used to provision 510 511 chicks. Species may be able to compensate for changes in sandeel availability by adjusting 512 aspects of their provisioning behaviour to a greater or lesser extent. Recent modelling work has 513 shown that differences in chick provisioning methods and flight costs of guillemots and razorbills could potentially result in differing responses to changes in sandeel length and 514 distribution of prey patches (Thaxter et al. 2013). However, taken at face value the dietary data 515 suggest that the alternative prey (either clupeids in the case of auks and kittiwakes or benthic 516 species in the case of shags) can currently compensate for decreases in the contribution of 517 sandeels to chick diet. In accordance with this the dietary quality of the alternative prey are all 518 519 broadly comparable in energetic value to sandeels, and indeed are generally energetically superior to 0 group sandeels (Hislop et al. 1991, Harris et al. 2008; Howells et al. 2017). 520

Changes in length-at-age of sandeels might also be expected to affect breeding success 521 because of the non-linear relationship between body length and energy value. Guillemot was 522 523 the only obligate single prey loader among our study species and thus the one most likely to be sensitive to changes in energy value of single prey items. In accordance with this, guillemots 524 525 showed the most extreme reduction in sandeel in chick diet such that in 12 of the last 13 yr of the study sandeels made up < 10% of prev biomass. Moreover, the series of years with 526 exceptionally low guillemot breeding success on the Isle of May (2004-2007; Ashbrook et al. 527 2010), coincided with the period when sandeel lengths were shortest (Figure 2a) and 2004 528

when energy density was exceptionally low (Figure 2b). Sandeels grow during the seabird 529 530 breeding season and hence the long-term decline in length-at-date has meant that, on average, the date when 0 group (and probably 1+) sandeels reach a given length has become later (Burthe 531 et al. 2012). Predators such as seabirds could therefore reduce the energetic impacts of this 532 delay by breeding later so that the period of peak demand (mid-chick-rearing) tracked the 533 534 changes in sandeel size. Breeding phenology of all the species in our analysis except shag, became later between 1983 and 2006 (Burthe et al. 2012). However, these changes were 535 536 insufficient to keep pace with the changes in sandeel length and consequently the length (and energy value) of sandeels during chick rearing showed a net decline over this period (Burthe 537 538 et al. 2012). Despite this there was no evidence for an adverse effect on breeding success. Since the mid-2000s the trend towards later breeding among Isle of May seabirds has ceased (Newell 539 et al. 2017a) but the decline in sandeel length has continued (Figure 2a). This suggests that the 540 net decrease in energy value of sandeels during chick rearing will have been exacerbated and 541 thus it is perhaps surprising that breeding success has generally improved (Newell et al 2017b). 542 These findings highlight the need to consider energy values of alternative prey and whether 543 544 like those of sandeels these have decreased over time due to changes in average length.

The aim of the present paper was to use predator-based sampling to elucidate community level trends in the importance of sandeels in chick diet at a major North Sea colony. However, as the preceding paragraphs indicate, current evidence for links between breeding success and chick diet in the Isle of May seabird community is equivocal and productivity has improved since some of the key analyses were carried out. Updating these analyses and ideally also considering temporal changes in length and thus energetic quality of the alternative prey is therefore a research imperative.

Conclusions

553 554

Overall, our results add to the growing body of information highlighting the effects of 555 changing conditions for forage fish stocks on marine predators, particularly seabirds (Cury et 556 al. 2011, Sydeman et al. 2017a). Such information is vital for ecosystem scale assessments such 557 as updating food webs linkages, as input data for bioenergetics models and providing advice 558 on potentially important feeding areas as part of marine spatial planning processes. The species 559 560 making up the Isle of May seabird community are typical of many other colonies in the North Sea (Mitchell et al. 2004). However, generalising from our findings to other colonies needs to 561 be made with caution as studies of individual species within the region indicate that chick diet 562 can differ markedly both locally e.g. among kittiwake colonies in the Firth of Forth (Bull et al. 563 564 2004) and regionally e.g. among guillemot colonies up the east coast of Britain (Anderson et al. 2014). Thus while it seems likely that the decline in importance of sandeels in chick diet 565 566 will be reflected in other colonies in the North Sea, more community level assessments are needed to substantiate this and to identify what the alternative prey are. 567

For much of the past 30-40 yr the seabird community on the Isle of May has been 568 experiencing a deterioration in the quality and quantity of sandeel prey available during the 569 570 chick-rearing period. Closure in 2000 of the commercial sandeel fishery off the coast of eastern 571 Britain adjacent to many seabird colonies, including the Isle of May, resulted in improved breeding success of kittiwakes, although not the other species (Daunt et al. 2008, Frederiksen 572 573 et al. 2008). However, the fishery ban appears not to have reversed the decline in importance of sandeels in chick diet nor the shift from 1+ group to 0 group. In the case of shags a detailed 574 analysis demonstrated that the shift from a sandeel dominated diet to a more species diverse 575 one was associated with rising SST (Howells et al. 2017). Given the climate projections for 576

further rises in SST in the North Sea (IPCC 2014), it seems likely that the diet trajectories for shags and other seabirds will continue and further diminish the importance of sandeels in chick diet. Such changes could have consequences for future population and community dynamics but the magnitude and even the direction of responses are currently difficult to predict and will depend on the ability of species to exploit alternative prey and the energy value of these prey compared to sandeels.

583

584 Acknowledgements. We thank the many people who have helped collect and process diet data on the Isle of May particularly Carrie Gunn, Linda Wilson and Jenny Bull. Scottish Natural 585 Heritage (and its predecessors) allowed access to the Isle of May National Nature Reserve. 586 Maria Bogdanova helped draw the figures. John Piatt and two anonymous reviewers improved 587 588 the manuscript with their criticisms. We thank the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) National Capability, NERC/Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs 589 590 (DEFRA) Marine Ecosystems Research Programme (grant number NE/L003082/1) and the 591 Joint Nature Conservation Committee for funding.

592

593

LITERATURE CITED

Anderson HB, Evans PGH, Potts JM, Harris MP, Wanless S (2014) The diet of Common
Guillemot chicks provides evidence of changing prey communities in the North Sea. Ibis
156:23–34

Ashbrook K, Wanless S, Harris MP, Hamer K C (2010) Impacts of poor food availability on
positive density dependence in a highly colonial seabird. Proc Roy Soc B 277:2355-2360
Bogdanova MI, Wanless S, Harris MP, Lindström J, Butler A, Newell MA, Sato K, Watanuki
Y, Parsons M, Daunt F (2014) Among-year and within-population variation in foraging

- 601 distribution of European shags *Phalacrocorax aristotelis* over two decades: Implications
- 602 for marine spatial planning. Biol Conserv 170:292–299
- 603 Bull J, Wanless S, Elston DA, Daunt F. Lewis S, Harris M P (2004) Local-scale variability in
- 604 the diet of Black-legged Kittiwakes *Rissa tridactyla*. Ardea 92:43–82
- Burthe S, Daunt F, Butler A, Elston DA, Frederiksen M, Johns D, Newell MA, Thackeray SJ,
- Wanless S (2012) Phenological trends and trophic mismatch across multiple levels of a
 North Sea pelagic food web. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 454:119–133
- 608 Cury P, Bakun A, Crawford RJM, Jarre A, Quiñones RA, Shannon L, Verheye HM (2000)
- 609 Small pelagics in upwelling systems: patterns of interaction and structural changes in
- 610 "wasp-waist" ecosystems. ICES J Mar Sci 57:603–618
- 611 Cury PM, Boyd IL, Bonhommeau S, Anker-Nilssen T, Crawford RJM, Furness
- 612 RW, Mills JA, Murphy EJ, Osterblom H, Paleczny M, Piatt JF, Roux J-P,
- 613 Shannon L, Sydeman WJ (2011) Global seabird response to forage fish depletion?
- one-third for the birds. Science 334:1703–1706
- 615 Daan N (2015). Pipefish (Syngnathidae). In Heessen HJL, Daan N, Ellis JR (eds) Fish Atlas of
- the Celtic Sea, North Sea and Baltic Sea, Wageningen Academic/KNNV publishing,Wageningen
- 618 Daunt, F, Mitchell, MI, Frederiksen, M (2017) Marine climate change impacts a decadal
- 619 review: Seabirds. MCCIP Science Review 2017
- 620 (http://www.mccip.org.uk/media/1764/2017arc_sciencereview_004_seb.pdf)
- 621 Daunt F, Wanless S, Greenstreet SPR, Jensen H, Hamer KC, Harris MP (2008) The impact of
- the sandeel fishery closure on seabird food consumption, distribution, and productivity in
 the northwestern North Sea. Can J Fish Aquat Sci. 65:362–381
- 624 Engelhard GH, Peck MA, Rindorf A, Smout SC, van Deurs M, Raab K, Andersen KH, Garthe
- 625 S, Lauerburg R, Scott F, Brunel T, Aarts G, van Kooten T, Dickey-Collas M (2014)

626	Forage fish,	their fisheries,	and their	predators:	who di	rives	whom?	ICES J	Mar	Sci71	1:90

- 628 Frederiksen M, Jensen H, Daunt F, Mavor RA, Wanless S (2008) Differential effects of a local
- 629 industrial sand lance fishery on seabird breeding performance. Ecol Appl 18:701-710
- 630 Frederiksen M, Elston DA, Edwards M, Mann AD, Wanless S (2011) Mechanisms of long-
- term decline in size of lesser sandeels in the North Sea explored using a growth and
 phenology model. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 432:137–147
- 633 Frederiksen M, Anker-Nilssen T, Beaugrand G, Wanless S (2013) Climate, copepods and
- seabirds in the boreal Northeast Atlantic current state and future outlook. Glob Change
 Biol 19:364–372
- Furness, RW, Tasker ML (2000) Seabird-fishery interactions: quantifying the sensitivity of
 seabirds to reductions in sandeel abundance, and identification of key areas for sensitive
 seabirds in the North Sea. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 202:253–264
- Gaston AJ, Elliott K (2014) Seabird diet changes in northern Hudson Bay, 1981-2013, reflect
 the availability of schooling prey. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 513:211–223
- 641 Gislasson, H, Kirkegaard, E (1998) Is the industrial fishery in the North Sea sustainable? In:
- 642 Symes, D (ed) Northern waters: management issues and practice. Fishing News Books,
 643 Blackwells, Oxford, p 195–207
- 644 Greenstreet SPR, Armstrong E, Mosegaard H, Jensen H, Gibb I, Fraser HM, Scott BE, Holland
- GJ, Sharples J (2006) Variation in the abundance of sandeels Ammodytes marinus off
- southeast Scotland: an evaluation of area-closure fisheries management and stock
 abundance assessment methods. ICES J Mar Sci 63:1530–1550
- 648 Härkönen T (1986) Guide to the otoliths of the bony fishes of the northeast Atlantic. Danbiu
- 649 ApS., Hellerup, Denmark
- 650 Harris MP, Hislop JRG (1978) The food of young Puffins Fratercula arctica. J Zool 185:213-

652	Harris MP,	Beare D,	Toresen R,	Nottestad L,	Kloppmann	M, Dorner I	H, Peach K,	Rushton D
-----	------------	----------	------------	--------------	-----------	-------------	-------------	-----------

- 653 RA, Foster-Smith J, Wanless, S (2007) A major increase in snake pipefish (Entelurus
- *aequoreus*) in northern European seas since 2003: potential implications for seabird
 breeding success. Mar Biol 151:973–983
- Harris MP, Newell M, Daunt F, Speakman JR, Wanless S (2008) Snake pipefish *Entelurus aequoreus* are poor food for seabirds. Ibis 150:413-415
- 658 Harris MP, Wanless S (2011) The Puffin. T & AD Poyser, London
- 659 Harris, MP, Bogdanova, MI, Daunt, F, Wanless, S (2012) Using GPS technology to assess
- 660 feeding areas of Atlantic puffins *Fratercula arctica*. Ringing Migr 27:43-49
- 661 Heath MR, Neat FC, Pinnegar JK, Reid DG, Sims DW, Wright PJ (2012a) Review of climate
- change impacts on marine fish and shellfish around the UK and Ireland. Aquat Conserv:
 Mar Freshw Ecosyst 22:337–367
- 664 Heath MR, Rasmussen J, Bailey M, Dunn J, Fraser J, Gallego A, Hay SJ, Inglis M, Robinson
- 665 S(2012b) Larval mortality rates and population dynamics of Lesser Sandeel (*Ammodytes*
- 666 *marinus*) in the northwestern North Sea. J Mar Sys 93:47–57
- 667 Heesson HJL, Daan N, Ellis, JR (2015) Fish atlas of the Celtic Sea, North Sea, and Baltic Sea.
- 668 KNNV Publishing and Wageningen Academic, Wageningen
- 669 Hislop JRG, Harris MP, Smith JGM (1991) Variation in the calorific value and total energy
- 670 content of the lesser sandeel (L) and other fish preyed on by seabirds. J Zool 224:501-
- 671 517

672	Howells RJ, Burthe SJ, Green JA, Harris MP, Newell MA, Butler A, Johns DG, Carnell EJ,
673	Wanless S, Daunt F (2017) From days to decades: short- and long-term variation in
674	environmental conditions affect offspring diet composition of a marine top predator. Mar
675	Ecol Prog Ser 583: 227–242
676	ICES (1995) Report of the ICES workshop on sandeel otolith analysis: Review of sandeel
677	biology. ICES CM 1995/G:4
678	IPCC (2014) Climate change 2014: synthesis report. Contribution of working groups I, II and
679	III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
680	IPCC, Switzerland
681	Jennings G, McGlashan DJ, Furness RW (2012) Responses to change in sprat abundance of
682	common tern breeding numbers at 12 colonies in the Firth of Forth, east Scotland. ICES
683	J Mar Sci 69:572–577
684	Kirby RR, Johns DG, Lindley JA. (2006) Fathers in hot water: rising sea temperatures and a
685	Northeastern Atlantic pipefish baby boom. Biol Lett 2:597-600
686	Lahoz-Monfort JJ, Morgan BJT, Harris MP, Daunt F, Wanless S, Freeman SN (2013) Breeding
687	together: modelling synchrony in productivity in a seabird community. Ecology 94:3-10
688	Lewis S, Sherratt TN, Hamer KC, Harris MP, Wanless S (2003) Contrasting diet quality of
689	northern gannets Morus bassanus at two colonies. Ardea 9:167-176
690	Lewis S, Wanless S, Wright PJ, Harris MP, Bull J, Elston DA (2001) Diet and breeding
691	performance of black-legged kittiwakes Rissa tridactyla at a North Sea colony. Mar Ecol
692	Prog Ser 221:277–284
693	Miller AK, Sydeman WJ (2004) Rockfish response to low-frequency ocean climate change as
694	revealed by the diet of a marine bird over multiple time scales. Mar Ecol Prog Ser
695	281:207–216
696	Mitchell PI, Newton SF, Ratcliffe NR, Dunn TE (2004) Seabird populations of Britain and

- 697 Ireland. T and AD Poyser, London
- Newell M, Harris MP, Burthe S, Cox N, Morley T, Wanless S, Daunt F (2017a). Isle of May
 seabird studies in 2017. Report to Joint Nature Conservation Committee.
- 700 Newell M, Harris MP, Wanless S, Burthe S, Bogdanova M, Gunn CM, Daunt, F. (2017b) The
- 701 Isle of May long-term study (IMLOTS) seabird annual breeding success 1982-2016.
- NERC- Environmental Information Data Centre. doi: 10.5285/02c98a4f-8e20-4c488167-1cd5044c4afe
- Patterson HD, Thompson R (1971) Recovery of inter-block information when block sizes are
 unequal. Biometrika 58:545–554
- Pearson TH (1968) The feeding biology of seabird species breeding on the Farne Islands,
 Northumberland. J Anim Ecol 37:521–552
- 708 Reynolds DS, Kunz TH (2001) Standard methods for destructive body composition analysis.
- *In:* Speakman JR. (ed) Body composition analysis of animals. A handbook of non destructive methods. Cambridge UK, Cambridge University Press.
- 711 Rindorf A, Wanless S, Harris, MP (2000) Effects of changes in sandeel availability on the
- reproductive output of seabirds. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 202:241–252
- Rindorf A, Wright PJ, Jensen H, Maar M (2016) Spatial differences in growth of lesser sandeel
 in the North Sea. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol 479:9–19
- 715 Smout S, Rindorf A, Wanless S, Daunt F, Harris MP, Matthiopoulos J (2013) Seabirds maintain
- offspring provisioning rate despite fluctuations in prey abundance: a multi-species
- functional response for guillemots in the North Sea. J Appl Ecol 50:1071–1079
- 718 Sydeman, WJ, Thompson JA, Anker-Nilssen T, Arimitsu M, Bennison A, Bertrand S,
- 719 Boersch-Supan P, Boyd C, Bransome NC, Crawford RJM, Daunt F, Furness RW, Gianuca
- 720 D, Gladics A, Koehn L, Lang JW, Logerwell E, Morris TL, Phillips EM, Provencher J,
- 721 Punt AE, Saraux C, Shannon L, Sherley RB, Simeone A, Wanless RM, Wanless S, Zador

- 722 S (2017a) Best practices for assessing forage fish fisheries-seabird resource competition.
- 723 Fish Res 194:209–221
- 724 Sydeman WJ, Piatt JF, Thompson SA, García Reyes M, Hatch SA, Arimitsu ML, Slater L,
- Williams JC, Rojek NA, Zador SG, Renner HM (2017b) Puffins reveal contrasting
 relationships between forage fish and ocean climate in the North Pacific. Fish
- 727 Oceanog 26:379-39
- 728 Thaxter CB, Daunt F, Gremillet D, Harris MP, Benvenuti S, Watanuki Y, Hamer KC,
- 729 Wanless S (2013) Modelling the effects of prey size and distribution on prey capture
- rates of two sympatric marine predators. PLoS ONE 8(11): e79915.
- 731 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0079915

Prog Ser 279:237-246

- 732 Thaxter CB, Lascelles B, Sugar K, Cook ASCP, Roos S, Bolton M, Langston RHW, Burton
- NHK (2012) Seabird foraging ranges as a preliminary tool for identifying candidate
 marine protected areas. Biol Conserv 156:53–61
- van Damme, CJG, Couperus AS (2008) Mass occurrence of snake pipefish in the Northeast
 Atlantic: Result of a change in climate? J Sea Res 60:117–125
- 737 Wakefield EW, Owen E, Baer J. Carroll MJ, Daunt F, Dodd SG, Green JA, Guilford T, Mavor
- 738 RA, Miller PI, Newell MA, Newton SF, Robertson GS, Shoji A, Soanes LM, Votier SC,
- 739 Wanless S, Bolton M (2017) Breeding density, fine-scale tracking, and large-scale
- 740 modelling reveal the regional distribution of four seabird species. Ecol Appl 27:2074–
- 741 2091

- Wanless S, Harris MP, Russell AF (1993) Factors influencing food-load sizes brought in by
 Shags *Phalacrocorax aristotelis* during chick rearing. Ibis 135:19–24
- Wanless S, Wright PJ, Harris MP, Elston DA (2004) Evidence for decrease in size of lesser
 sandeels Ammodytes marinus in a North Sea aggregation over a 30-yr period. Mar Ecol

747	Wanless S, Harris MP, Redman P, Speakman J (2005) Low fish quality as a probable cause of
748	a major seabird breeding failure in the North Sea. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 294:1-8
749	Watt J, Pierce GJ, Boyle PR (1997) Guide to the identification of North Sea fish using
750	ppremaxillae and vertebrae. ICES Coop Res Rep 220. ICES, Copenhagen
751	Winslade P (1974) Behavioural studies on the lesser sandeel Ammodytes marinus (Raitt) III.
752	The effect of temperature on activity and the environmental control of the annual cycle of
753	activity. J Fish Biol 6:587–599
754	Wright P, Bailey M (1993) Biology of sandeels in the vicinity of seabird colonies at Shetland.
755	Scottish Fisheries Research Report No 15/93. Department of Agriculture and Fisheries,
756	Aberdeen
757	
758	
759	

- Fig. 1. Interannual variation in biomass proportions of 0 group sandeels, 1+ group sandeels,
- 761 Clupeidae and other prey in the diet of seabird chicks on the Isle of May. (a) Atlantic puffin
- 762 Fratercula arctica (1973–2015); (b) razorbill Alca torda (1982–2015); (c) common guillemot
- 763 Uria aalge (1982–2015); (d) European shag Phalacrocorax aristotelis (1985–2015); and (e)
- 764 black-legged kittiwake *Rissa tridactyla* (1986–2015)
- 765
- 766
- Fig. 2. Long-term changes in (a) annual mean length and (b) energy density of 0 group and
- 1+ group lesser sandeels *Ammodytes marinus* collected from seabirds on the Isle of May
- between 1973 and 2015. Lengths of 0 group and 1+ group sandeels are standardized to 1 July
- and 1 June, respectively. Samples sizes and SEs are given in the supplementary material
- 771





Fig. 1. Interannual variation in biomass proportions of 0 group sandeels, 1+ group sandeels,



777 Fratercula arctica (1973–2015); (b) razorbill Alca torda (1982–2015); (c) common guillemot

- 778 Uria aalge (1982–2015); (d) European shag Phalacrocorax aristotelis (1985–2015); and (e)
- 779 black-legged kittiwake *Rissa tridactyla* (1986–2015)
- 780





Fig. 2. Long-term changes in (a) annual mean length and (b) energy density of 0 group and

783 1+ group lesser sandeels *Ammodytes marinus* collected from seabirds on the Isle of May

- between 1973 and 2015. Lengths of 0 group and 1+ group sandeels are standardized to 1 July
- and 1 June, respectively. Samples sizes and SEs are given in the supplementary material.

Table 1. Diet samples obtained from seabirds on the Isle of May showing the overall importance of sandeels to the diet of chicks. For Atlantic

puffin, the frequencies refer to the proportions of all fish (n = 57205) and not samples.

		Samples		Freq	luency in s	ample	Proportion of biomass		
Species	Period	No. of	Total	0	1+	Total	0	1+	Total
		samples	mass (g)	group	group	sandeel	group	group	sandeel
				sandeel	sandeel		sandeel	sandeel	
Atlantic puffin	1973–2015	6489	59329	0.692	0.059	0.823	0.504	0.185	0.690
Razorbill	1982–2015	4854	13849	0.694	0.124	0.825	0.514	0.130	0.644
Common guillemot	1982–2015	33456	228680	0.010	0.386	0.396	0.001	0.330	0.331
European shag	1985–2015	935	35289	0.282	0.759	0.880	0.140	0.698	0.844
Black-legged kittiwake	1986–2015	2020	33805	0.835	0.175	0.904	0.662	0.116	0.778

791 Table 2 Estimates (\pm SE) and t- and p-values for effects of year and species on biomass

792 proportions in the diet of Isle of May seabirds. The intercept corresponds to the value for the

793 mean study year in black-legged kittiwake when the fishery was not operating. Values are on

- 794 the logit scale
- 795

790

a) Proportion of sandeel

797

798	Parameter	Estimate	SE	t	р	
799	Constant	2.026	0.314	6.45	< 0.001	
800	Year	-0.0945	0.0302	-3.13	0.002	
801	Fishery	-0.017	0.208	-0.08	0.934	
802	European shag	-0.074	0.423	-0.17	0.862	
803	Razorbill	-1.160	0.354	-3.28	0.001	
804	Common guillemot	-2.819	0.363	-7.76	< 0.001	
805	Atlantic puffin	-0.913	0.348	-2.62	0.010	
806	Year.European shag	-0.0285	0.0413	-0.69	0.491	
807	Year.Razorbill	0.0133	0.0352	0.38	0.707	
808	Year.Common guillemot	-0.0482	0.0382	-1.26	0.209	
809	Year.Atlantic puffin	0.1121	0.0329	3.41	< 0.001	
810						

b) Proportion of 1+ group relative to 0 group

813	Parameter	Estimate	SE	t p)
814	Constant	-1.479	0.260	-5.69 <0.001	l

815	Year	-0.0963	0.0309	-3.12 0.002
816	Fishery	-0.041	0.220	-0.19 0.852
817	European shag	2.533	0.315	8.03 < 0.001
818	Razorbill	0.229	0.321	0.71 0.476
819	Common guillemot	6.58	1.04	6.35 <0.001
820	Atlantic puffin	0.099	0.323	0.31 0.759
821	Year.European shag	0.1441	0.0384	3.75 < 0.001
822	Year.Razorbill	0.0306	0.0376	0.81 0.418
823	Year.Common guillemot	0.107	0.108	1.00 0.321
824	Year.Atlantic puffin	0.0382	0.0345	1.11 0.270

826 c) Proportion of clupeid relation to other non-sandeel prey

Parameter	Estimate	SE	t	р
Constant	0.314	0.225	1.39	0.166
Year	0.0681	0.0242	2.81	0.006
Fishery	-0.278	0.273	-1.02	0.310
European shag	-2.643	0.414	-6.38	< 0.001
Razorbill	3.041	0.638	4.77	< 0.001
Common guillemot	4.238	0.817	5.19	< 0.001
Atlantic Puffin	1.184	0.281	4.21	< 0.001
Year.European shag	-0.1286	0.0473	-2.72	0.007
Year.Razorbill	0.1040	0.0628	1.66	0.100
Year.Common guillemot	-0.0729	0.0865	-0.84	0.401
Year.Atlantic puffin	-0.0872	0.0290	-3.01	0.00

Supplementary material

- 841
- 842

843

Table S1 Details of food samples collected from seabirds on the Isle of May. Dates of first and last food samples are given as Day of the Year (DOY) with Day $1 = 1^{st}$ January

846

847 (a) Atlantic puffin *Fratercula arctica*

						Proportion						
						ofloads	Proporti	on of fish		Prop	ortion of bi	omass
	First date	Last date	No of	No of	Total mass	with	0	1+		0	1+	
Year	(DOY)	(DOY)	loads	fish	(g)	sandeels	sandeel	sandeel	sa	indeel	sandeel	Clupeidae
1973	184	200	59	342	564	0.712	0.939	0.009	(0.860	0.070	0.050
1974	154	216	101	601	1112	0.782	0.644	0.040	(0.320	0.160	0.500
1975	151	198	129	464	1189	0.248	0.170	0.028	(0.090	0.060	0.850
1976	155	216	167	694	1185	0.503	0.569	0.009	(0.380	0.030	0.510
1977	151	215	119	577	1337	0.529	0.478	0.031	(0.250	0.070	0.670
1978	156	207	109	514	1288	0.890	0.498	0.043	(0.340	0.110	0.530
1979	175	213	99	445	1463	0.869	0.476	0.191	(0.270	0.430	0.270
1980	170	214	56	331	981	0.980	0.647	0.139	(0.560	0.260	0.170
1981	155	220	96	457	1152	0.510	0.573	0.083	(0.480	0.220	0.290
1982	153	195	90	351	964	0.667	0.311	0.228	(0.100	0.590	0.260
1983	153	214	142	619	1549	0.958	0.719	0.134	(0.460	0.470	0.040
1984	149	211	214	948	2163	0.724	0.390	0.143	(0.260	0.430	0.300
1985	147	213	143	1052	1277	0.951	0.764	0.105	(0.420	0.470	0.110

1986	158	196	137	375	792	0.869	0.413	0.229	0.130	0.700	0.110
1987	166	194	137	390	1099	0.664	0.421	0.336	0.130	0.660	0.210
1988	165	186	119	859	1037	0.840	0.825	0.037	0.600	0.260	0.130
1989	165	191	101	630	947	0.990	0.902	0.005	0.880	0.010	0.100
1990	159	188	110	500	776	0.991	0.900	0.028	0.810	0.110	0.040
1991	157	188	130	963	1193	0.985	0.812	0.075	0.550	0.340	0.040
1992	146	197	125	822	1108	0.936	0.729	0.085	0.500	0.360	0.010
1993	160	193	211	1234	1882	0.602	0.590	0.044	0.230	0.160	0.610
1994	170	194	146	1102	1280	0.979	0.686	0.041	0.420	0.140	0.430
1995	169	194	95	577	985	0.737	0.596	0.120	0.250	0.310	0.440
1996	166	198	118	980	1134	0.992	0.869	0.028	0.740	0.140	0.070
1997	154	189	89	732	1056	0.933	0.873	0.034	0.720	0.160	0.120
1998	164	212	333	3112	2664	0.958	0.923	0.025	0.750	0.110	0.130
1999	151	191	212	1960	1759	0.708	0.735	0.016	0.430	0.090	0.420
2000	149	194	230	1984	2479	0.987	0.873	0.041	0.750	0.140	0.100
2001	153	195	219	2288	2193	0.881	0.809	0.035	0.550	0.130	0.310
2002	146	197	189	1805	1506	0.974	0.882	0.057	0.690	0.240	0.040
2003	151	193	223	2516	2034	0.951	0.906	0.024	0.730	0.080	0.160
2004	150	206	271	3843	1736	0.797	0.891	0.033	0.630	0.140	0.200
2005	157	216	226	3040	2004	0.885	0.913	0.006	0.680	0.030	0.260
2006	155	211	172	2289	1529	0.797	0.898	0.001	0.590	0.010	0.330
2007	147	204	132	1881	784	0.917	0.819	0.003	0.700	0.030	0.200
2008	155	217	106	1614	687	0.925	0.606	0.001	0.610	0.000	0.120
2009	145	208	114	1352	1462	0.982	0.907	0.007	0.890	0.030	0.040
2010	144	201	172	1915	1664	0.738	0.661	0.016	0.440	0.110	0.400
2011	143	195	196	2506	1560	0.714	0.690	0.002	0.539	0.010	0.396
2012	145	199	178	2489	1325	0.921	0.895	0.008	0.732	0.086	0.141
2013	168	218	157	2015	1250	0.809	0.755	0.000	0.552	0.000	0.388
2014	149	197	140	1704	1428	0.871	0.808	0.003	0.678	0.002	0.264
2015	155	199	177	2333	1662	0.876	0.870	0.003	0.745	0.023	0.220

						Propo	rtion of loa	ds with	Proporti	on of fish	Pro	portion of b	iomass
	First	Last											
	date	date	No. of	No. of	Total	0	1+	Any	0	1+	0	1+	
Year	(DOY)	(DOY)	loads	fish	mass (g)	sandeel	sandeel	sandeel	sandeel	sandeel	sandeel	sandeel	Clupeidae
1982	150	173	42	79	357	0.071	0.881	0.952	0.437	0.543	0.160	0.820	0.020
1983	156	179	124	427	893	0.218	0.742	0.960	0.684	0.276	0.400	0.590	0.008
1984	147	200	62	337	391	0.500	0.371	0.871	0.741	0.109	0.730	0.230	0.000
1985	152	176	107	366	189	0.701	0.262	0.963	0.891	0.087	0.703	0.246	0.043
1986	164	197	49	113	118	0.245	0.592	0.837	0.469	0.292	0.182	0.407	0.398
1987	164	189	46	155	120	0.522	0.283	0.804	0.729	0.097	0.396	0.182	0.418
1988	156	203	143	592	343	0.769	0.154	0.923	0.889	0.047	0.609	0.119	0.268
1989	155	189	68	432	127	0.897	0.074	0.971	0.984	0.012	0.885	0.057	0.058
1990	155	190	65	343	135	0.938	0.031	0.969	0.988	0.006	0.924	0.022	0.055
1991	159	182	45	159	76	0.756	0.200	0.956	0.931	0.057	0.815	0.172	0.000
1992	150	202	92	204	182	0.359	0.500	0.859	0.593	0.343	0.335	0.560	0.081
1993	160	193	38	65	101	0.289	0.211	0.500	0.538	0.169	0.210	0.159	0.621
1994	164	201	51	126	117	0.412	0.098	0.510	0 746	0.056	0 291	0.087	0.596
1995	156	197	33	79	61	0 394	0 364	0.758	0 747	0.152	0.386	0.287	0.302
1996	166	174	48	137	91	0.479	0.438	0.917	0.796	0.175	0.492	0.382	0.121
1997	154	180	188	1155	373	0.910	0.027	0.936	0.984	0.005	0.858	0.023	0.118
1998	165	201	88	321	193	0.510	0.193	0.950	0.901	0.062	0.543	0.023	0.305
1000	160	183	56	18/	130	0.571	0.175	0.010	0.000	0.002	0.0491	0.101	0.305
2000	100	182	116	505	246	0.371	0.143	0.945	0.004	0.049	0.491	0.100	0.393
2000	150	103	110	505	240	0.793	0.032	0.843	0.930	0.014	0.690	0.041	0.209
1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001	130 160 164 156 166 154 165 160 156 154	202 193 201 197 174 180 201 183 183 182	92 38 51 33 48 188 88 56 116 272	204 65 126 79 137 1155 321 184 505 906	182 101 117 61 91 373 193 130 246 656	0.339 0.289 0.412 0.394 0.479 0.910 0.625 0.571 0.793 0.559	0.300 0.211 0.098 0.364 0.438 0.027 0.193 0.143 0.052 0.129	0.839 0.500 0.510 0.758 0.917 0.936 0.818 0.714 0.845 0.688	0.393 0.538 0.746 0.747 0.796 0.984 0.888 0.864 0.950 0.853	$\begin{array}{c} 0.343\\ 0.169\\ 0.056\\ 0.152\\ 0.175\\ 0.005\\ 0.062\\ 0.049\\ 0.014\\ 0.053\\ \end{array}$	0.333 0.210 0.291 0.386 0.492 0.858 0.543 0.491 0.690 0.417	0.380 0.159 0.087 0.287 0.382 0.023 0.151 0.100 0.041 0.106	0.00 0.62 0.59 0.30 0.12 0.11 0.30 0.39 0.20 0.20

2002	155	186	215	1134	364	0.795	0.116	0.912	0.961	0.022	0.708	0.100	0.192
2003	157	196	214	1192	459	0.832	0.037	0.869	0.967	0.009	0.741	0.035	0.224
2004	153	196	249	747	1602	0.281	0.008	0.289	0.482	0.003	0.088	0.002	0.910
2005	165	203	515	2934	1000	0.996	0.004	1.000	0.999	0.001	0.996	0.004	0.000
2006	167	197	703	3780	1339	0.959	0.041	1.000	0.990	0.010	0.958	0.042	0.000
2007	156	185	258	1246	817	0.713	0.031	0.744	0.820	0.011	0.449	0.025	0.524
2008	167	188	160	680	532	0.638	0.156	0.794	0.813	0.057	0.384	0.107	0.508
2009	164	188	139	687	286	0.878	0.079	0.957	0.964	0.022	0.835	0.076	0.088
2010	152	180	148	323	737	0.203	0.122	0.324	0.418	0.087	0.079	0.055	0.865
2011	152	172	46	108	125	0.326	0.196	0.522	0.676	0.083	0.248	0.105	0.645
2012	154	184	165	850	437	0.794	0.115	0.909	0.909	0.033	0.598	0.093	0.309
2013	172	190	109	391	445	0.450	0.101	0.550	0.696	0.028	0.219	0.036	0.742
2014	161	187	62	174	260	0.290	0.032	0.323	0.563	0.023	0.127	0.022	0.845
2015	163	180	138	564	547	0.529	0.065	0.594	0.777	0.032	0.284	0.008	0.708

				Prop	ortion	_	Pro	portion of	biomass
	First	Last							
	date	date	No. of	0	1+	Biomass	Prop	Prop	
	(DOY)	(DOY)	fish	sandeel	sandeel	(g)	0	1+	Clupeidae
1982	150	173	515	0.000	0.915	5270	0.000	0.902	0.098
1983	156	179	1067	0.000	0.753	8876	0.000	0.652	0.347
1984	147	200	708	0.010	0.912	6375	0.001	0.893	0.107
1985	143	198	2111	0.009	0.798	16300	0.001	0.714	0.286
1986	154	204	1066	0.001	0.910	8592	0.000	0.863	0.137
1987	158	206	530	0.000	0.815	5202	0.000	0.739	0.261
1988	151	203	590	0.034	0.537	5480	0.002	0.470	0.524
1989	144	195	818	0.061	0.824	3597	0.008	0.748	0.221
1990	146	190	496	0.173	0.433	2215	0.023	0.276	0.697
1991	142	182	587	0.022	0.733	2658	0.003	0.653	0.344
1992	133	202	637	0.014	0.568	3719	0.001	0.461	0.534
1993	152	190	673	0.000	0.227	4356	0.000	0.175	0.825
1994	158	211	595	0.012	0.171	3218	0.001	0.138	0.861
1995	152	188	478	0.010	0.674	3273	0.001	0.777	0.206
1996	157	197	589	0.019	0.424	3520	0.002	0.436	0.562
1997	149	187	1682	0.004	0.859	10317	0.000	0.784	0.216
1998	154	208	1349	0.007	0.254	6014	0.001	0.204	0.795
1999	152	192	1035	0.011	0.246	5871	0.001	0.211	0.759
2000	151	190	936	0.002	0.581	3898	0.000	0.440	0.560
2001	154	183	1300	0.007	0.300	7709	0.001	0.183	0.815

2002	150	182	1093	0.013	0.379	7049	0.001	0.222	0.776	
2003	150	184	1301	0.008	0.165	8453	0.001	0.072	0.925	
2004	154	194	1341	0.000	0.016	8449	0.000	0.014	0.982	
2005	162	203	2898	0.000	0.041	21129	0.000	0.012	0.975	
2006	159	198	1474	0.005	0.147	10682	0.000	0.040	0.884	
2007	159	186	745	0.012	0.052	4792	0.001	0.016	0.977	
2008	155	199	817	0.000	0.203	9324	0.000	0.064	0.928	
2009	153	187	1211	0.016	0.259	7248	0.003	0.230	0.741	
2010	142	181	1089	0.000	0.147	8230	0.000	0.070	0.930	
2011	145	185	767	0.000	0.196	6574	0.000	0.134	0.865	
2012	149	184	771	0.003	0.130	3844	0.000	0.106	0.888	
2013	158	188	658	0.002	0.129	5221	0.000	0.078	0.920	
2014	153	192	759	0.001	0.050	4334	0.000	0.041	0.957	
2015	149	195	770	0.000	0.088	6890	0.000	0.050	0.948	_

861 (d) European shag *Phalacrocorax aristotelis*

					Freq	uency in s	ample	Proj	portion bi	omass ¹
	First	Last								
	date	Date	No. of		0	1+	Any	0	1+	
	(DOY	(DOY)	regurgitates	Mass (g)	sandeel	sandeel	sandeel	sandeel	sandeel	Clupeidae
1985	205	228	19	926	0.789	0.632	1.000	0.538	0.453	0.000
1986	194	226	38	1639	0.395	0.921	1.000	0.129	0.868	0.000
1987	149	203	22	1074	0.045	1.000	1.000	0.006	0.994	0.000
1988	167	200	17	686	0.118	1.000	1.000	0.016	0.974	0.000
1989	171	208	30	1027	1.000	0.200	1.000	0.800	0.200	0.000
1990	187	192	20	570	1.000	0.100	1.000	0.985	0.013	0.000
1991	185	199	20	693	0.700	0.550	1.000	0.575	0.425	0.000
1992	173	204	35	1592	0.257	0.857	0.914	0.172	0.776	0.001
1993	132	210	4	202	0.250	0.750	1.000	0.285	0.668	0.000
1994	189	207	23	847	0.696	0.348	0.913	0.566	0.267	0.117
1995	151	191	16	537	0.063	0.813	0.813	0.038	0.826	0.054
1996	169	208	29	1342	0.000	1.000	1.000	0.000	0.993	0.000
1997	128	197	54	1569	0.037	1.000	1.000	0.001	0.999	0.000
1998	133	205	38	1442	0.132	0.974	0.974	0.008	0.948	0.003
1999	189	215	5	166	0.600	0.600	0.800	0.511	0.239	0.077
2000	154	196	37	1647	0.108	0.919	0.919	0.004	0.870	0.040
2001	129	210	68	2524	0.412	0.971	0.985	0.049	0.854	0.000
2002	155	202	48	1430	0.146	1.000	1.000	0.025	0.919	0.001
2003	149	186	38	1591	0.184	0.974	0.974	0.027	0.931	0.003
2004	184	198	9	365	0.222	0.667	0.778	0.014	0.334	0.000

2005	140	216	17	639	0.412	0.118	0.529	0.379	0.046	0.004
2006	135	200	46	1668	0.413	0.391	0.609	0.294	0.228	0.004
2007	163	203	15	750	0.000	0.400	0.400	0.000	0.174	0.000
2008	172	196	35	999	0.371	0.400	0.600	0.142	0.339	0.027
2009	154	182	33	968	0.273	0.242	0.455	0.183	0.188	0.023
2010	127	205	65	2617	0.000	0.923	0.923	0.000	0.935	0.000
2011	136	188	42	1636	0.190	0.976	0.976	0.022	0.914	0.000
2012	148	206	26	1053	0.308	0.731	0.769	0.022	0.659	0.001
2013	181	204	13	423	0.077	0.308	0.308	0.002	0.259	0.000
2014	151	203	21	677	0.381	0.810	0.857	0.209	0.694	0.002
2015	120	191	52	1991	0.173	0.923	0.923	0.023	0.706	0.000

¹Values differ from Howells et al. (2017; Fig 1a) which presents mean proportion across samples in each year, not proportion after pooling all
 the samples for the year which was used here (see Methods).

8	73
---	----

		-			Р	roportion w	vith	Pro	oportion of	fmass
	First date (DOY)	Last date (DOY)	No. of regurgitates	Mass (g)	0 sandeel	1+ sandeel	Any sandeel	0 sandeel	1+ sandeel	Clupeidae
1986	160	195	35	317	0.829	0.486	0.971	0.606	0.373	0.00
1987	160	187	17	165	0.941	0.059	0.941	0.904	0.046	0.032
1988	178	191	32	354	0.790	0.150	0.940	0.350	0.590	0.060
1989	160	181	25	293	0.600	0.880	1.000	0.207	0.728	0.000
1990	152	207	9	210	0.778	0.222	1.000	0.564	0.214	0.071
1991	170	192	10	91	0.400	0.100	0.500	0.400	0.088	0.020
1992	158	193	26	319	0.615	0.231	0.808	0.555	0.140	0.092
1993	159	188	57	1089	0.404	0.439	0.789	0.256	0.403	0.115
1994	186	202	56	911	0.839	0.054	0.875	0.653	0.062	0.135
1995	171	193	45	731	0.911	0.133	0.956	0.836	0.061	0.057
1996	184	200	43	671	0.907	0.140	0.907	0.714	0.098	0.172
1997	162	197	137	2223	0.810	0.358	0.978	0.617	0.263	0.048
1998	162	195	110	2299	0.782	0.309	0.891	0.602	0.205	0.145
1999	165	195	180	3057	0.867	0.144	0.900	0.749	0.097	0.109
2000	156	208	138	2658	0.935	0.203	1.000	0.818	0.099	0.040
2001	164	219	86	2956	0.826	0.140	0.872	0.707	0.064	0.187
2002	157	199	76	1333	0.895	0.224	0.974	0.837	0.104	0.00
2003	157	216	135	2167	0.978	0.037	0.985	0.909	0.010	0.06
2004	170	209	120	1458	0.892	0.192	0.917	0.589	0.207	0.15
2005	177	213	116	1417	1.000	0.034	1.000	0.886	0.004	0.07

2006	158	211	54	1008	0.815	0.037	0.815	0.638	0.013	0.286
2007	170	205	61	1224	0.672	0.016	0.689	0.485	0.002	0.275
2008	163	198	46	714	0.761	0.022	0.761	0.408	0.001	0.337
2009	167	204	80	1112	0.963	0.038	0.963	0.898	0.017	0.048
2010	152	189	65	1065	0.492	0.200	0.569	0.312	0.059	0.622
2011	145	196	43	924	0.721	0.186	0.860	0.358	0.152	0.367
2012	152	185	59	775	0.915	0.169	0.983	0.671	0.143	0.150
2013	170	203	38	440	0.895	0.053	0.921	0.508	0.003	0.429
2014	172	199	67	848	0.940	0.060	0.940	0.682	0.023	0.242
2015	160	211	61	1038	0.787	0.016	0.787	0.593	0.010	0.254

877	Table S2 Modelled annual mean lengths, standard errors and sample sizes of 0 group
878	sandeels (standardised to 1 July) and 1+ group sandeels (standardised to 1 June)
879	resulting from fitting linear mixed models using residual maximum likelihood
880	(REML) to fish collected from Atlantic puffins Fratercula arctica and common
881	guillemots <i>Uria aalge</i> on the Isle of May. Year and date (June $1st = 1$) were fitted as
882	covariates, and categorical sampling day, categorical year, and date by categorical
883	year as random effects.

	() group sandeels	5	1+ sandeel			
	No.	Mean (mm)	SE	No.	Mean (mm)	SE	
1973	321	77.92	0.19	3	126.32	0.13	
1974	387	71.02	0.10	24	120.68	0.10	
1975	79	68.42	0.19	13	118.10	0.11	
1976	395	70.12	0.10	6	113.99	0.13	
1977	276	75.23	0.11	18	114.73	0.10	
1978	256	82.56	0.11	22	117.20	0.10	
1979	212	73.89	0.13	85	117.49	0.06	
1981	262	85.81	0.12	38	117.86	0.07	
1982	109	71.18	0.14	80	120.02	0.07	
1983	445	76.35	0.09	83	124.36	0.06	
1984	370	78.16	0.11	136	117.82	0.06	
1985	804	76.08	0.14	110	113.60	0.07	
1986	155	68.95	0.17	86	116.80	0.08	
1987	164	72.18	0.19	131	114.39	0.07	
1988	709	66.38	0.23	32	123.94	0.09	
1989	568	77.30	0.17	3	110.63	0.14	
1990	450	78.54	0.20	14	112.46	0.09	
1991	782	66.38	0.17	72	111.63	0.07	
1992	599	66.37	0.15	70	114.87	0.08	
1993	728	62.05	0.19	54	111.43	0.07	
1994	756	63.89	0.19	45	106.29	0.07	
1995	344	61.63	0.20	69	106.64	0.08	
1996	852	68.52	0.17	27	113.38	0.09	
1997	639	74.73	0.15	25	115.86	0.09	
1998	2871	62.84	0.11	77	103.84	0.06	
1999	1441	64.62	0.13	32	106.03	0.08	
2000	1732	72.75	0.14	81	104.91	0.07	
2001	1852	61.62	0.15	80	101.91	0.09	

2002	1592	65.46	0.10	103	105.31	0.09
2003	2280	60.00	0.11	60	97.75	0.08
2004	3425	50.75	0.09	127	90.76	0.07
2005	2777	54.07	0.08	19	97.68	0.10
2006	2055	54.61	0.09	2	112.76	0.13
2007	1541	49.99	0.11	6	101.52	0.12
2008	976	55.14	0.10	1	102.47	0.18
2009	1226	72.35	0.09	10	106.85	0.13
2010	1269	53.97	0.10	27	109.72	0.09
2011	1729	54.35	0.10	5	118.46	0.15
2012	2228	55.81	0.10	19	113.38	0.11
2013	1522	55.90	0.11	0	103.26	0.21
2014	1376	61.85	0.12	4	108.06	0.17
2015	2029	60.54	0.11	8	105.86	0.14

	0 gro	up sandeel	1+ gr	1+ group sandeel			
Year	No.	Mean	SE	No.	Mean	SE	
1975	18	22.38	0.20	11	20.96	0.89	
1985	0			1	22.00		
1986	0			7	22.36	0.72	
1988	1	17.60		9	22.16	0.65	
1995	0			1	25.70		
1996	1	24.13		2	25.15	1.19	
1999	2	23.27	1.63	1	23.58		
2004	11	4.19	0.17	9	7.91	0.62	
2005	0			10	23.99	0.42	
2006	50	21.53	0.10	3	25.73	0.46	
2007	89	23.07	0.13	4	23.37	0.91	
2008	103	22.88	0.08	1	25.36		
2009	115	23.04	0.14	14	23.86	0.49	
2010	89	22.13	0.07	23	24.15	0.33	
2011	90	22.86	0.12	5	25.11	0.89	
2012	117	21.17	0.16	19	23.02	0.37	
2013	101	22.27	0.12	0			
2014	115	22.45	0.22	5	23.03	0.75	
2015	96	22.90	0.10	8	25.89	0.36	

Table S3 Energy density (kJ g dry weight⁻¹) of sandeels dropped by Atlantic puffins *Fratercula arctica* and Common guillemots *Uria aalge* on the Isle of May.