1	FINAL REVISION ACCEPTED IBIS 10 TH APRIL 2018
2	
3	Spring migration strategies of Whinchat Saxicola rubetra when successfully crossing
4	potential barriers of the Sahara and the Mediterranean Sea
5 6	EMMA BLACKBURN ^{1,2} , MALCOLM BURGESS ³ , BENEDICTUS FREEMAN ^{2,6} , ALICE RISELY ⁴ , ARIN
7	IZANG ² , SAM IVANDE ^{1,2} , CHRIS HEWSON ⁵ & WILL CRESWELL ^{1*,2}
8	
9	¹ Centre for Biological Diversity, University of St Andrews, Harold Mitchell Building, St Andrews, Fife
10	KY16 9TH, UK
11	² AP Leventis Ornithological Research Institute, Jos, Nigeria
12	³ RSPB Centre for Conservation Science, The Lodge, Sandy, Beds SG19 2DL, UK
13	⁴ Centre for Integrative Ecology, Deakin University, Geelong, VIC 3216, Australia
14	⁵ British Trust for Ornithology, The Nunnery, Thetford, IP24 2PU UK
15	⁶ Fauna & Flora International, 2 Harmon's Compound, Oldest Congo Town, Monrovia, Liberia
16	
17	*Correspondence author: wrlc@st-and.ac.uk
18 19	Keywords: geolocator, migrant, migratory capability, migration speed, migration stop-overs.

20 The flexibility for migrant land birds to be able to travel long distances rapidly without stop-overs, and 21 thus to cross wide inhospitable areas such as deserts and oceans, is likely to be a major determinant 22 of their survival during migration. We measured variation in flight distance, speed, and duration of major 23 stop-overs (more than two days), using geolocator tracks of 35 Whinchats Saxicola rubetra that 24 migrated successfully from central Nigeria to Eastern Europe in spring, and how these measures 25 changed, or depended on age, when crossing the barriers of the Sahara or the Mediterranean Sea. 26 Thirty-one percent of Whinchats crossed at least the Sahara and the Mediterranean before a major 27 stop-over; 17% travelled over 4,751 km on average without any major stop-overs. Flight distance and 28 speed during, and duration of major stop-overs after, crossing the Mediterranean Sea were 29 indistinguishable from migration over Continental Europe. Speed during a migration leg was lowest 30 crossing Continental Europe and fastest, with longer duration major stop-overs afterwards, when 31 crossing the Sahara, but there was much individual variation, and start date of migration was also a 32 good predictor of stop-over duration. As the distance travelled during a leg increased, so major stop-33 over duration afterwards increased (1 day for every 1000km), but the speed of travel during the leg had 34 no effect. There were no differences in any migration characteristics with age, other than an earlier start 35 date for adult birds. The results suggest that adaptive shortening or even dropping of daily stop-overs 36 may occur often, allowing rapid, long-distance migration at the cost of major stop-overs afterwards, but 37 such behaviour is not restricted to or always found when crossing barriers, even for birds on their first 38 spring migration. The results may highlight the importance of stop-over sites rather than barrier width 39 as the likely key component to successful migration. Individual variation in spring migration may indicate 40 that small passerine migrants like Whinchats may be resilient to future changes in the extent of barriers 41 they encounter, although this may not be true of first autumn migrations or if stop-over sites are lost.

43 The capability for migrant land birds to travel long distances without stop-overs, and thus to cross 44 unsuitable or hostile habitats such as deserts and oceans, is likely to be a major determinant of their 45 survival during migration (Newton 2008). Such 'migratory barriers' may act as a constraint to migration, 46 selecting for specialist physiology or behaviour (i.e. migratory capability) during migratory flights 47 (Lockwood et al. 1998, Mettke-Hofmann & Greenberg 2005, Vagasi et al. 2016), because stop-overs 48 are unavailable or unsuitable. For example, the crossing of migratory barriers such as the Sahara has 49 been associated with high mortality risk for a number of species, and migrants may mitigate this risk by 50 shortening the period they spend migrating over barriers through faster migration or by avoiding them 51 where possible (Klaassen et al. 2014, Lok et al. 2015). This may be particularly true for small passerine 52 migrants, where billions of birds with relatively limited capacity for fuel storage (Alerstam et al. 1990, Alerstam et al. 2003) migrate 5 – 10,000 kilometres twice annually in the Palearctic-African and Nearctic 53 54 migration flyways, crossing the Sahara desert and the Mediterranean Sea (Hahn et al. 2009), or the 55 Caribbean Sea respectively (Faaborg et al. 2010). The potential for these barriers in the flyways to 56 become more significant is increasing with climate and habitat change, as the width of habitat unsuitable 57 for migratory fuelling or refuelling increases (Doswald et al. 2009). Furthermore, the capacity of migrants 58 to adapt to such changes may be confounded by concurrent shifts in range and migratory timings due 59 to changes in climate and habitat suitability (Walther et al. 2002, Both et al. 2006, Chen et al. 2011), 60 and such shifts may change the route and hence length of migration, potentially reducing 61 fitness/survival. There is therefore an urgent need to understand the migratory capability of small 62 passerine migrants, and how flexible they can be when crossing potential migratory barriers, particularly 63 as populations of many Afro-Palearctic long-distance migrant bird species have been declining for the 64 last 50 years (Sanderson et al. 2006, Vickery et al. 2014).

65 Testing migratory capability in small passerines is currently mostly limited to data from successful migrations. Passerines are generally too small to track except by archival tags such as 66 67 geolocators where the bird must be recaptured to download its movement data (Bridge et al. 2013). 68 Consequently, migratory data is only available for individuals that have successfully made two 69 migrations (from breeding to non-breeding location and back, or vice-versa). Any individuals that used 70 migration strategies that failed do not contribute data: larger birds that can be satellite tagged, for 71 example, and so providing information of location of death, have shown that this mortality during 72 migration may be particularly associated with crossing barriers like the Sahara (Strandberg et al. 2010)

or the Mediterranean sea (Oppel *et al.* 2015). A further limitation of geolocator tags is that stop-over
durations of less than two days cannot realistically be measured, so geolocators can only indicate major
stop-overs between migratory legs. Nevertheless, data from successful migrants with geolocators can
still reveal constraints associated with barriers if there are particular migration behaviours associated
with them.

78 Here, we define a migratory barrier as an area where stop-over options are decreased, but 79 barriers are not absolute and their biological effect will depend on their width (Henningsson & Alerstam 80 2005), the degree of wind-assistance during crossing (Gill et al. 2009), plus the number and quality of 81 suitable stop-overs both within barriers and before and after them. Passerine migrants are assumed to 82 migrate nocturnally and then to rest and refuel if possible during the following day, even when crossing 83 a desert (Bairlein 1988, Backman et al. 2017), but where there are few or no suitable areas available 84 for refuelling we might expect an increasing proportion of the day spent flying, and even continuous 24 85 hour migration (Biebach 1995, Schmaljohann et al. 2007, Adamik et al. 2016). Furthermore, it is unlikely 86 that short stopovers in inhospitable areas will provide adequate fuelling opportunities for significant 87 onward migration. If areas such as the Sahara and the Mediterranean do represent a barrier - a 88 constraint for migration selecting for enhanced migratory capability - we might then expect three 89 migratory characteristics to be associated with them: 1) We expect maximum flight distance of 90 individuals to match or exceed barrier widths, and to be greater than flight range in areas where major 91 stop-overs are possible, such as Continental Europe; 2) We expect rapid crossings at maximum flight 92 speed over barriers because stop-overs of any duration are limited (over the Sahara) or not possible 93 (over the sea); and, 3) We then consequently expect stop-overs after crossing the Sahara or the sea to 94 be longer, reflecting the increased fuel use during extended and rapid barrier crossing (Hedenstrom & 95 Alerstam 1997, Schmaljohann & Eikenaar 2017).

We might also expect variation according to age and experience (Cresswell 2014, e.g. see Perdeck 1967, Thorup *et al.* 2007, Rotics *et al.* 2016): those individuals that have previously migrated in spring could have some knowledge of prevailing winds, routes and stop-overs resulting in differences in the distances, speed during a migration leg and duration of stop-overs compared to first year birds. We also then might expect that: 4) first year birds will show shorter and slower migration legs and have longer stop-over periods after crossing barriers compared to adults.

Here, we test these four predictions by comparing flight distance, speed, and duration of major stop-overs (more than two days), and how this varied with age, for migratory legs across the Sahara, Mediterranean Sea and Continental Europe, in Whinchats *Saxicola rubetra* tagged with geolocators, migrating from West Africa to Eastern Europe during two spring migrations.

106

107 **METHODS**

108

109 Study system

110 The study took place between February 2013 and November 2013 (Year 1) and February 2014 until 111 April 2015 (Year 2) on the Jos Plateau in the guinea savannah zone of central Nigeria, West Africa 112 (N09°53', E08°59', approximately 1250 m altitude). Whinchats were captured within an area of approximately 5 x 8 km; full site details are described in Blackburn and Cresswell (2016b). Capture 113 114 areas were principally open scrubland with varying degrees of habitat degradation from human 115 habitation, arable farming and livestock grazing, the latter increasing in intensity over the dry season 116 (see Hulme & Cresswell 2012, Blackburn & Cresswell 2015). The study area represents typical non-117 breeding habitat for this species in the region (open savannah) and has a high density of Whinchats.

118 Whinchats in this study were caught with spring traps and mist nets in late February and March in 2013 119 or 2014 (birds in 2014 were on average tagged 10.3 \pm 3.3 se days earlier than in 2013, $F_{1,27}$ = -3.2, P 120 = 0.004). Birds were aged and sexed (Jenni & Winkler 1994), ringed with unique combinations of colour-121 rings, and fitted with a geolocator. We deployed 49 and 130 geolocators, in Year 1 and Year 2 122 respectively, fitted using leg-loop 'Rappole-Tipton' (also called backpack) harnesses. Full details of tag 123 and harness design are given in Blackburn et al. (2016). Tags weighed on average 0.63 g (0.01 se), 124 representing 4.1 % of average body mass, and had no effect on survival (Blackburn et al. 2016). 125 Attempts were made to recapture any returning tagged bird resignted in the following winter. Upon 126 recapture, geolocators were removed by cutting the harness and birds were released unharmed after 127 briefly assessing body condition (see Blackburn et al. 2016). Only one spring migration was recorded 128 for each individual (i.e. no repeated tracks).

129

131 Data processing

132 Whinchat location data (and so their speed and duration of migration) were obtained from geolocators, 133 which record the time of sunrise and sunset so allowing location to be determined on a scale of about 134 100 – 500 km, subject to assumptions of the sun elevation angle and to imprecision due to shading 135 (Fudickar et al. 2012, McKinnon et al. 2012). Sun elevation angle is unknown unless location is known 136 (and vice versa), but a sun elevation angle must be used to calculate latitude (to determine effective 137 sunrise). Here, we take the approach of using the best information possible to estimate sun elevation 138 angle (see below), but also use single mid-values of sun elevation angle applied to all birds. This uses 139 the logic that although we may not know the correct sun elevation angle for a single bird, we assume 140 any individual has an equal chance of having a lower or higher sun elevation value than the chosen 141 one, and so that errors are normally distributed. The minimum sun elevation angle we observed was 142 close to -2, and the distribution of sun elevation angles calculated from the wintering ground, from the 143 known location where each individual was tagged, was approximately normal, Shapiro-Wilk normality 144 test, n = 35, mean = -4.55 \pm 0.10 se, W = 0.96, P = 0.26). If errors are normally distributed, the use of 145 a single sun elevation angle assumption prevents systematic bias, so that averaging across individuals should give true means with respect to distance travelled and speed, even if there is uncertainty about 146 147 where any individual started or finished its migration leg. We then also varied the mean value of SEA 148 used to investigate how sensitive the results are to which single average we use for all individuals (see below). Furthermore, our data uniquely comes from birds tagged initially on their non-breeding ground 149 150 in West Africa, about 500 kilometres south of the Sahara, just before spring migration, so greatly 151 reducing the uncertainty about their starting place and time with respect to crossing the Sahara, as well 152 as precision of geolocator locations (McKinnon et al. 2012). We concentrate on spring migration only 153 because this provides the most certain data to assess barrier crossing and because most autumn tracks 154 occur during the equinox period when latitude cannot be calculated.

Raw data were downloaded, viewed and preliminarily cleaned using the BASTrack software suite (British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge, UK; see Fox 2010 for an overview of the following processes). We adjusted for clock drift, assuming that any drift was linear. We used the Transedit2 software that is part of the BASTrack software to view raw data as light curves over time. We used our minimum observed value of -2 to define sunrise. False twilight events due to shading from weather or vegetation were identified and removed with the 'minimum dark period' filter (we used 4 hours), which

removes any impossible sunrise and sunset events (for a review and exploration of the effects of enviromental factors on geolocator data, see Lisovski *et al.* 2012). Data were then visually inspected to ensure that only one sunrise and sunset occurred within any 24-hour period.

Further analyses were then carried out using R 3.2.3 (R Development Core Team 2014). We used the LoessFilter in the R package 'GeoLight' (Lisovski & Hahn 2012) to validate twilight events and identify those influenced by shading events at dawn and dusk: a polynomial regression of twilight events identified residuals that were greater than three times the interquartile range and are therefore likely to be erroneous (Lisovski & Hahn 2012). Identified outliers were checked within the original data and were retained because large movements resulting from migration were often incorrectly identified as outliers.

170 Because conditions away from the wintering grounds are unknown, we used four different 171 values of sun elevation angle (SEA value) to calculate latitude: note results do not change depending 172 on which set of SEA values we used. First, we used a sun elevation angle of -4.5 for all loggers in both 173 years. This is a reasonable median value and was chosen because this gave the most biologically sensible plots of locations immediately after crossing the Sahara (i.e. all locations were on land in North 174 175 Africa or Europe rather than in the Mediterranean Sea, within 2 standard errors of the mean of latitude 176 for the stop-over period). Second, we used the mean location calculated for each bird for sun elevation 177 angles -2 to -6 at 0.5 increments (i.e. 9 mean locations), then averaged across these 9 locations, applied 178 to all birds regardless of year. Third, we used the sun elevation angle for each individual that best 179 reflected their known wintering location on tagging (range of values -3.5 to -5.9, mean -4.6); we used 180 the LocatorAid software from the BASTrack software suite, which uses known residency times and wintering location to calculate the corresponding wintering ground SEA value. Fourth, we attempted to 181 182 find the correct summer angle using the Hill-Ekstrom (H-E) calibration method (Hill & Braun 2001, 183 Ekstrom 2004, see Tottrup et al. 2012) in which we selected the SEA value that gave the least amount of variation in latitude during the first two weeks in June when all individuals were very likely to be 184 185 stationary on their breeding grounds (see Blackburn et al. 2017 for further details and validation of this 186 approach). If the calibration was not successful, we used the mean value for all the loggers for which 187 the calibration had been successful, calculated for each year separately (range of values -2 to -4.5, 188 mean -3.8). We used these SEA values to calculate noon and midnight locations derived from sunrise 189 and sunset times using the 'coord' function in the Geolight package (Lisovski & Hahn 2012). Because 190 of the uncertainty introduced by not knowing the SEA value for any stationary period, we analysed the

191 locations with each of the four different sets of SEA values. Results are biologically and statistically very 192 similar in all cases. Here, we present the results in detail from the most reasonable assumption of SEA 193 value (i.e. -4.5 for all birds), but also include the range of values obtained from the analyses using the 194 other three sets of SEA values to demonstrate the similarity. Note that analyses using mean SEA values 195 of -3 or -6 also gave results that are biologically and statistically similar.

196 Stationary periods (stop-overs of more than two days or breeding locations) were determined 197 using Geolight (quantile = 0.95, day = 2) and confirmatory visual inspection of latitude and longitude 198 changes with date. Data analysis to identify stationary periods was restricted to the spring migration 199 period (i.e. late March to early June). All periods identified as migratory periods (through large daily 200 changes in sunrise and sunset time) were confirmed first by checking how the product of 5-day moving 201 average standard deviations for latitude and longitude also varied (all peaks were coincident with the 202 periods identified by Geolight), and by manual visual inspection of latitude and longitude with date (as 203 a bird migrates, longitude and latitude change suddenly, with the latter increasing very sharply, 204 particularly for the onset of migration across the Sahara, see example trace for logger 072 in Fig. 1). 205 Occasionally, the Geolight function indicated a non-stationary period after an individual was very likely 206 to have reached the breeding ground (after the second week in May) and when there was no other 207 supporting evidence for a migration. An analysis of variance to compare locations in periods either side 208 of the Geolight non-stationary period confirmed whether locations had changed: when the mean 209 locations were not significantly different, the Geolight identified migratory period was ignored. Stationary 210 periods were then plotted as stop-over locations, with the final period in the first half of June as the 211 breeding location (Figs 1 & 2). Maps were plotted using the raster, rgdal, rgeos and mapproj libraries in 212 R; distances between locations were calculated using the distHaversine function in R. The duration of 213 each migratory leg and each stop-over period between them were then calculated by from the start and 214 end dates (to the nearest half day) of each stationary and migration period (Fig. 1).

Note that there is a degree of uncertainty in determining short stop-over periods from geolocator data because of daily shading variation at sunrise (e.g. cloud cover) introducing spurious variation in latitude, and where longitude may not be varying much regardless of whether a bird is migrating because they are heading north. Such errors should simply add noise (error) to the accuracy of a location. Hence our approach was to analyse averaged rather than single locations so that we should have a reasonable estimate of the mean, and variation with respect to the mean. If errors are biased,

221 these errors occur in all stages and ages etc. to the same degree, so that comparisons across stages 222 should be biased to the same degree, so making differences valid. This is the same rationale for 223 conventionally using long stationary periods when analyzing at the level of the individual – because we 224 are measuring location on a daily basis at very poor resolution we use a longer period with more data 225 points in order to calculate a true mean for an individual. But we also gain more data points by pooling 226 observations across individuals and calculating means of individual means (a mixed model approach). 227 So logically, when we pool data across individuals, we can then use shorter stationary periods that still 228 result in a reasonable estimate of the mean.

229 We also chose two days as the minimum period where stability may be determined, because 230 in many cases there were clear periods of relatively gradual change of sunrise and sunset times 231 consistent only with seasonal change that could be observed over periods beyond 2 days' duration, 232 particularly after crossing the Sahara when all birds gained a significant easterly component to their 233 trajectory, which also changed longitude. These periods were then confirmed independently through 234 the use of the Geolight and moving average analyses (e.g. Fig. 1); use of Geolight to identify stationary 235 periods of short duration (< 4 days) increases the likelihood of incorrectly identifying a stationary period 236 (Lisovski & Hahn 2012). Visual examination of traces (i.e. as in Fig. 1) during the migration period (April 237 2nd until the middle of May) identified two birds where a stationary period was identified by Geolight but 238 not supported by any other evidence, four birds where a stationary period was probably missed (no 239 consistent change in latitude or longitude during a period), and 29 birds where all evidence for stationary 240 periods coincided. Therefore, stationary periods identified here are reasonably clear and there was 241 likely a low error rate in classification, without any substantial bias towards identifying false stationary 242 periods when we used Geolight.

243

244 Data analysis

Our aim was to identify how: 1) the distance a bird travelled; 2) its overall speed of travel; and, 3) the duration of stopover, for each leg during spring migration differed with respect to the type of barrier being crossed. To test this, we applied a final data set of 96 separate migratory legs from the 35 individuals we could recapture with functioning loggers, but sample sizes differ according to analysis because some individuals made a continuous migration (i.e. had no major stop-overs) and others had tags that failed before the breeding ground was reached. Migration distances and speeds without

251 missing age and sex data were available for 85 legs and 31 individuals. Sample sizes were further 252 reduced to 55 migration legs from 29 individuals for the analysis of stop-over durations. For each 253 migratory leg, the following explanatory variables were added at the level of the individual bird: age, 254 sex, wing length, year and migratory start date. Migration start and end times were rescaled so that the day the first Whinchat migrated was day 0 (28th March; first bird left on the night of the 28th March in 255 256 2013, and the 8th April 2014); wing lengths were rescaled so that the mean wing length of 78.7mm was 257 0. Each migratory leg was assigned to one of six different classes to reflect the potential barriers being 258 crossed in all possible combinations: 1) land (Continental Europe or continental Africa outwith the 259 Sahara); 2) sea (the Mediterranean Sea, including one leg over the Black Sea); 3) sea + land (as 2, but 260 continuing on at least >100km over land); 4) Sahara (starting below 14 degrees latitude and continuing 261 at least past 25 degrees latitude, although almost all (33/35) finished beyond the desert close to the 262 North African coast); 5) Sahara + sea (as 4, but then followed by 2); and, 6) Sahara + sea + land (as 4, 263 but then followed by 3, including 5 cases where an individual made an apparently 'continuous' migration 264 over the Sahara then the Mediterranean Sea and then continental Europe, without major stop-over to 265 its breeding site; see Figs 1 & 2).

266 These data were then analysed using General Linear Mixed Models in R assuming a normal 267 distribution; residuals of all models were reasonably normally distributed. We ran three separate models 268 to predict distance travelled, speed during a leg and duration of stopover. For all three models we 269 included age, sex, wing length, migration start date, year, migratory leg class and Whinchat identity (as 270 a random intercept using the library lme4) as explanatory variables. For models predicting duration of 271 stopover, we additionally included the distance of the previous migratory leg because this may influence 272 stopover duration, but because distance was inevitably a function of our migration leg classification, we 273 also tested an alternative version where we replaced migratory leg class with duration of the leg and so 274 a proxy of distance and speed of the leg. All models were tested for the significance of interactions 275 between barrier*age to test the fourth hypothesis, i.e. if any of the differences across barrier types were 276 less for adults (that might be less constrained or more migratory-capable) compared to first years on 277 their first spring migration. We also tested for interactions of sex*barrier and wing length*barrier to 278 determine if any of the responses to different barriers also depended on sex or size, so potentially 279 improving the robustness of models.

Any models that contained migration variables that involved location data (i.e. distance and speed) were affected by choice of SEA value used. In these cases, we ran each model four times to explore the effects of varying SEA values. For example, distance of migration assumed: (1) a SEA value of -4.5 (i.e. the minimum value – see above); (2) the average SEA value of -4; (3) the SEA value for the breeding location of -4.6; and, (4) the SEA value for the wintering location of -3.8. The analyses that involved stop-over duration rather than distance were not affected by choice of SEA value used.

Note that different individual Whinchats were fitted with slightly different tag designs, with variation in the length of the light stalk protruding from the tag (0, 5 and 10 mm see Blackburn *et al.* 2016). Inclusion of light stalk as a factor (three way, 0, 5 and 10mm length) in all models resulted in no change in parameter estimates or statistical significance and so effects of tag design were not considered further.

291 Model fits were evaluated from diagnostic model plots, and assumptions were reasonably met 292 in all models presented here (Crawley 2007). Mean values are presented with one standard error (se) 293 in all cases; R^2 values were adjusted in all cases.

294

295 **RESULTS**

296

297 1. Migration legs were longer when crossing the Sahara but birds frequently flew much longer 298 distances

299 The average distance of a migratory leg was significantly greater if the migration leg involved crossing 300 the Sahara (2,707 km) compared to legs over Continental Africa before the Sahara or Europe (1,241 301 km). Crossing the Mediterranean Sea only (1,101 km) involved similar distances to legs over land 302 outwith the Sahara. But many migratory legs were similar in distance to crossing the Sahara, or greatly 303 exceeded it, even when stop-overs were possible during them, for example, legs crossing the 304 Mediterranean Sea followed by continued travel over Continental Europe (2,217 km), over the Sahara 305 followed by the sea (3,542 km) or over the Sahara followed by the sea and then Continental Europe 306 (4,751 km). Note distances are predicted values derived from the model in Table 1 for an adult male of 307 mean wing length and median migration start date, in 2014 (Fig. 3B). Seventy-four percent and 34% of 308 birds crossed the sea or the Sahara respectively, with migratory legs that exceeded the minimum 309 distance necessary to cross these barriers (Fig. 2, Fig. 3A). Only nine of 34 individuals (26%) crossed

310 the Mediterranean Sea as a distinct leg, with major stop-overs directly before and after. Twenty-three of 35 individuals (66%) crossed the Sahara as a distinct leg, starting below 14 degrees latitude (although 311 312 including 19 directly from the latitude of the study site, approximately 500 km south of the edge of the 313 Sahara and a further one approximately 50 km further south still) and ending with a major stop-over 314 within 250 km or less from the Mediterranean coast. Therefore, even distinctly Saharan crossing 315 individuals probably travelled further than was necessary just to cross the Sahara. Age did not affect 316 average migration distance of a leg, but males on average travelled greater distances per leg compared 317 to females (480 km), longer winged individuals travelled shorter distances per leg (121 km less for every 318 increase in wing length of 1 mm), and later migrating birds travelled greater distances per leg (26 km 319 increase for every day later migration was started; Table 1).

320

321 2. Migration speed during a leg was slowest crossing Continental Europe and fastest if crossing 322 the Sahara

323 The hourly speed of migration during a leg was greatest when individuals crossed the Sahara followed 324 immediately by the Mediterranean Sea (53.2 km/h); when crossing the Sahara only, speeds were slower 325 but not significantly so (43.8 km/h). Speeds of migration during a leg when crossing the Sahara only 326 were significantly faster than when crossing land in continental Europe or Africa outwith the Sahara 327 (26.4 km/h) or when crossing the Mediterranean Sea (30.0 km/h). Note these speeds are predicted 328 values derived from the model in Table 2 for an adult male of mean wing length and median migration 329 start date, in 2014 (Fig. 3C). Age, sex, wing length and migration start date did not significantly affect 330 speed (Table 2).

331

332 **3. Major stop-over duration was longer after migratory legs crossing the Sahara**

Major stop-overs were significantly longer after a migration leg over the Sahara (8.9 days) or the Sahara immediately followed by the Mediterranean Sea (8.9 days) compared with after a leg over just the Mediterranean Sea (2.2 days), land (2.4 days, continental Europe or Africa outwith the Sahara), or the Mediterranean Sea immediately followed by continental Europe (4.5 days). Continuous migration (without any major stop-overs of more than two days) crossing the Sahara and then the Mediterranean Sea and then continental Europe resulted in significantly longer major stop-over duration compared to all other barrier types (15.3 days). Note these stop-over durations are predicted values derived from the

340 model in Table 3 for an adult male of mean wing length, median migration start date, and median 341 distance of migration leg, in 2014 (Fig. 3D). Within a barrier type, distance travelled was marginally 342 significantly negatively correlated with stop-over duration (approximately 1 day shorter for each 343 additional 500 km travelled: Table 3). Note that distance was correlated with barrier type (Figs 3A and 344 3B), but removal of distance from the model did not affect the biological and statistical significance of 345 the variation across barrier type.

346 If barrier type is removed from the model and replaced with duration of the migratory leg prior 347 to the stop-over, distance becomes positively associated with stop-over duration: with every 1,000 km 348 extra travelled, there was an extra day's stop-over (0.0010 + 0.0004, $t_{38.1} = 2.3$, P = 0.025), but there 349 was no significant effect of migration leg duration on subsequent stop-over duration (i.e. the effect of the speed travelled because distance is also in the model, -0.08 ± 0.27 , $t_{44.9} = -0.3$, P = 0.76). Within 350 351 this model, migration start date becomes significant (from marginal significance in the model above, 352 Table 3), with the duration of stop-overs of more than 2 days decreasing by 0.25 days for every day 353 later that migration was started (-0.25 \pm 0.08, $t_{21.4}$ = -3.1, P = 0.006; n = 54 migration legs from n = 29 354 individuals; marginal R^2 = 0.27, random effects accounted for 0.25 additional variance; Fig. 3). Age, 355 sex, and wing length did not significantly affect major stop-over duration (Table 3). Note that in a mixed 356 model predicting duration of a migratory leg with its distance, and individual identity included as a 357 random effect, only 12.4% of variation was accounted for by distance.

358

4. First year birds did not show any differences in response to barriers compared to adults

None of the differences in distance travelled (Table 1), speed travelled during a leg (Table 2) or stopover duration (Table 3) between the barrier types being crossed were dependent on age.

362

363 **DISCUSSION**

364

We tracked the spring migration of 35 Whinchats to examine whether migratory barriers are associated with distinct migratory behaviour. Key results were that migration legs including much more than the Sahara were common, migration was faster over the Sahara, major stop-over duration increased with distance travelled during a leg, and juveniles performed like adults. We found that Whinchats showed distinct migratory behaviour when crossing the Sahara, with longer flights, faster overall travel speed 370 and longer stop-over durations afterwards compared to when crossing continental Europe, providing 371 some evidence for adaptive behaviours that act to shorten the period of time spent crossing inhospitable 372 barriers (or as a response to not encountering suitable refuelling sites). These behaviours did not occur 373 when crossing the Mediterranean Sea, which is much narrower than the Sahara. However, many 374 individual Whinchats performed long flights, fast flights and had long stop-over durations during all 375 stages of the spring migration. This was regardless of the barrier types being crossed, demonstrating 376 substantial variation in migration strategy. Variation in migration strategy across individuals may confer 377 resilience to future changes in barrier width, although we discuss that this may depend crucially on the 378 presence of stop-over sites afterwards, and that this may not be true of first autumn migrations.

379 Migration legs were longer when crossing the Sahara, but Whinchats frequently flew much 380 longer distances. This suggests that the Sahara does have fewer options for stop-overs, but also that 381 Whinchats can and frequently do greatly exceed the current width of the Sahara in a single migratory 382 leg. Migration speed during a leg was slowest crossing Continental Europe and fastest crossing the 383 Sahara. This suggests fewer stop-over options are available in the Sahara. Migration speed averaging 384 twice-daily locations across groups of individuals can only realistically measure speed of migration at 385 the level of kilometres travelled per day, i.e. they include periods when a bird is migrating (probably at 386 a speed of about 45 km/h without wind effects; Bruderer & Boldt 2001) and when a bird is resting or 387 foraging. Therefore, slower speeds for legs over Continental Europe, for example, reflect longer daily 388 periods of resting or foraging, and/or periods of headwind. Faster speeds for legs over the Sahara 389 reflect shorter resting or foraging periods and/or the periods of tailwind. The average speed over the 390 Sahara was of the order of continuous flight with no stop-overs at all, if there were no wind effects. This 391 is theoretically possible, and such continuous migration over the whole 24-hour period or longer has 392 probably been found in other small passerine species (DeLuca et al. 2015, Adamik et al. 2016, 393 Ouwehand & Both 2016, Xenophontos et al. 2017).

Alternatively, Whinchats may well stop-over for rest periods when crossing the Sahara and only cross when they have favourable tailwinds which may increase ground speed considerably, allowing several hours each day for stop-overs (Erni *et al.* 2005, Liechti 2006). The fastest average speeds were recorded for Whinchats which crossed both the Sahara and the Mediterranean without a major stopover. Even if flights were continuous in these cases, tailwinds were still very likely to be involved and, indeed, may be a factor in determining whether a Whinchat could continue migration over the

400 Mediterranean after crossing the Sahara. The slowest speeds were recorded during legs over land 401 outwith the Sahara and the Mediterranean. This again may reflect daily stop-over periods to rest and 402 refuel and, at least over land, probably reflects predominantly typical nocturnal migration (Bairlein 1988, 403 Backman et al. 2017). When crossing the Mediterranean, this suggestion logically would then mean 404 routes involving islands or through Italy, where stop-overs are possible. Alternatively, the slow speeds 405 over the Mediterranean may reflect strong headwinds and again, if this was the case, this may have 406 been a factor in determining whether a Whinchat stopped migration for a major stop-over after crossing 407 the Mediterranean rather than continuing for a longer leg.

408 Major stop-over duration was longer after migratory legs crossing the Sahara, and in general 409 flights of increasing duration or distance required longer major stop-overs afterwards, with major stop-410 over duration afterwards increasing by about a day for every 1000km travelled. However, when 411 controlling for barrier type in a model, stop-over durations decreased slightly as the distance of the 412 migratory leg increased, suggesting that longer migratory legs may well reflect easier conditions such 413 as tailwinds or profitable daily refuelling stop-overs. Much stop-over variation may also be due to 414 adaptive timing ensuring a Whinchat arrives at the breeding ground at the optimum time, rather than 415 reflecting a constraint where stop-over duration is as long as it takes to refuel. Individual variation in 416 speed and stop-over duration afterwards was high: approximately one third of the explained variation 417 in speed and stop-over duration in the models was accounted for by the random effect of individual. 418 Variation in the timing of migration initiation also exerted a strong effect in some models: the latest 419 leaving individuals might have stop-overs 6 days less on average than the earliest leaving individuals. 420 Other studies have shown that timing rather than routes primarily determines stop-over duration and so 421 overall speed of migration (Fraser et al. 2013, Cohen et al. 2014, Loon et al. 2017). Adaptive shortening 422 or even dropping of daily stop-overs may be a common strategy for faster migration (Schmaljohann & 423 Both 2017).

First year birds did not show any differences in response to barriers compared to adults, suggesting that age and so experience did not affect key migration characteristics. Although timing of the start of migration varied with age, none of the other characteristics involved with crossing the Sahara (faster speed during a migratory leg and longer stop-overs) were different for first years (see also McKinnon *et al.* 2014). Furthermore, there were no differences in survival with sex and age within this cohort (Blackburn & Cresswell 2016a), suggesting that spring migration overall does not represent more

of a challenge for first years (see also Sillett & Holmes 2002). Again, although crossing the Sahara does
result in a change in behaviour (faster migration) suggesting increased constraint, first year birds on
their first spring migration responded to the barrier to the same degree as adults, and without additional
survival costs.

434 It is however important to note that we do not consider autumn migration. Most studies of 435 migrant passerines show much lower survival for first year birds compared to adults (Saether 1989, 436 Donovan et al. 1995, Saether & Bakke 2000), and although some of this will reflect lower natal site 437 philopatry for first years and reduced survival post fledging, it might also arise during autumn migration 438 (Sillett & Holmes 2002, Matthew et al. 2013). Studies on non-passerines large enough to carry satellite 439 tags also show higher mortality in naive juveniles on their first migration (e.g. Rotics et al. 2016, 440 Vansteelant et al. 2017). The Mediterranean and Sahara may represent much wider barriers during the 441 autumn because migrants arrive in Southern Europe and North Africa at the end of the summer when 442 conditions for rapid refuelling may be poor because no significant rain will have fallen in these areas 443 since April. Migration may also benefit from experience (Perdeck 1967, Thorup et al. 2007, Rotics et al. 444 2016), although the lack of a difference in survival in Whinchats after the first migration (Blackburn & 445 Cresswell 2016a) argues against this, as does the fact that spring migration routes and timing are 446 usually different to autumn routes, as in this study (unpubl. data) and as shown in many others (e.g. 447 Schmaljohann et al. 2012, Tottrup et al. 2012, Kristensen et al. 2013).

448

449 Conclusion

450 Our results overall may suggest that the width of the Sahara may not be the major limiting factor in 451 determining migration characteristics. There may be a variety of successful ways to migrate between 452 Africa and Europe in terms of distances of migratory legs, speed during a migratory leg and major stop-453 over duration, whether for more experienced adult birds or for first year birds carrying out their first 454 spring migration. Whinchats can and often do employ migration legs that far exceed what is necessary 455 to cross the Sahara. This is all likely related to the need for time-minimisation on spring migration 456 (Hedenstrom & Alerstam 1997), to reach the breeding ground as quickly as possible to reduce risk 457 associated with long stop-overs (Houston 1998), to ensure arriving early to be able to time breeding to 458 match peaks of food availability (Both & te Marvelde 2007), and to gain or maintain the best territories 459 (Kokko 1999). These selective pressures will have driven the evolution of the time-minimising migration

characteristics that pre-adapt migrants for barrier crossing. Put simply, Whinchats probably need to
behave as if they are crossing real barriers during spring migration most of the time anyway. However,
reducing short daily stop-overs (i.e. increasing daily distance travelled and so speed of migration) likely
increases the need for major stop-overs or high quality stop-over sites afterwards (Bayly *et al.* 2012).
This perhaps highlights the importance of stop-over site quality rather than presence of a barrier as the
likely key component to successful migration (Hewson *et al.* 2016, Halupka *et al.* 2017, Schmaljohann *et al.* 2017).

467 Despite apparent flexibility in distance travelled and speed of a migratory leg, and stop-over 468 duration afterwards with respect to the width of the barriers, crossing the Sahara or Mediterranean Sea 469 may still represent a problem. Factors associated with areas such as the Sahara like storms and 470 reduced stop-over habitat quality because of unpredictable environmental conditions may lower survival 471 for migrants, and these events likely affect all migrants in a similar way regardless of their age, 472 experience, migratory capability and even species (Newton 2006). It is perhaps possible that it is mostly 473 unpredictable conditions that make migration difficult for birds, and the probability of encountering these 474 increases with the width of a barrier and so the duration of the migratory leg. As unpredictable conditions 475 increase through climate and anthropogenic habitat change, so we may observe population declines 476 across migrant species regardless of their individual ecology (Sanderson et al. 2006). Many more data 477 are needed to show when, where and how small passerine migrants die during migration, and how 478 successful adult migration strategies arise through differential survival and/or experience.

479

480

481 The study was carried out in Nigeria where no licences are required for the procedures used. 482 Nevertheless, this study was carried out under the ethical guidelines of the AP Leventis Ornithological Research Institute Scientific Committee (APLORI is the only ornithological research institute in Nigeria) 483 484 based on the Association for the Study of Animal Behaviour guidelines and those of the British Trust for Ornithology's ringing scheme. All personnel involved in fieldwork - either catching, colour-ringing or 485 tagging birds had BTO ringing licences. MB had been previously licensed to fit geolocators in the UK. 486 487 This work was supported by Chris Goodwin, A.P. Leventis Conservation Foundation, AP Leventis Ornithological Research Institute, the British Ornithologists' Union and the Linnean Society. This is 488 489 paper number (to be completed at proof stage) from the AP Leventis Ornithological Research Institute.

490 **REFERENCES**

- Adamik, P., Emmenegger, T., Briedis, M., Gustafsson, L., Henshaw, I., Krist, M., Laaksonen, T., Liechti,
 F., Prochazka, P., Salewski, V. & Hahn, S. 2016. Barrier crossing in small avian migrants:
 individual tracking reveals prolonged nocturnal flights into the day as a common migratory
 strategy. *Scientific Reports*, 6.
- Alerstam, T., Hedenstrom, A. & Akesson, S. 2003. Long-distance migration: evolution and
 determinants. *Oikos*, **103**: 247-260.
- Alerstam, T., Lindstrom, A. & Gwinner, E. 1990. Optimal bird migration: the relative importance of time,
 energy and safety. In *Bird Migration: physiology and ecophysiology.* (ed. E. Gwinner), pp. 331351. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Backman, J., Andersson, A., Alerstam, T., Pedersen, L., Sjoberg, S., Thorup, K. & Tottrup, A. P. 2017.
 Activity and migratory flights of individual free-flying songbirds throughout the annual cycle:
 method and first case study. *Journal of Avian Biology*, **48**: 309-319.
- Bairlein, F. 1988. How do migratory songbirds cross the Sahara? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 3:
 191-194.
- Bayly, N., Atkinson, P. & Rumsey, S. 2012. Fuelling for the Sahara crossing: variation in site use and
 the onset and rate of spring mass gain by 38 Palearctic migrants in the western Sahel. *Journal*of Ornithology, **153**: 931-945.
- Biebach, H. 1995. Stopover of migrants flying across the Mediterranean Sea and the Sahara. *Israel Journal of Zoology*, **41**: 387-392.
- Blackburn, E., Burgess, M., Freeman, B., Risely, A., Izang, A., Ivande, S., Hewson, C. & Cresswell, W.
 2016. An experimental evaluation of the effects of geolocator design and attachment method
 on between-year survival on Whinchats Saxicola rubetra, a long distance migrant. *Journal of Avian Biology*, **47**: 530-539.
- Blackburn, E., Burgess, M., Freeman, B., Risely, A., Izang, A., Ivande, S., Hewson, C. & Cresswell, W.
 2017. Low and annually variable migratory connectivity in a long distance migrant: Whinchats
 Saxicola rubetra may show a bet-hedging strategy. *Ibis*, **159**: 902-918.

- Blackburn, E. & Cresswell, W. 2015. Fine-scale habitat use during the non-breeding season suggests
 that winter habitat does not limit breeding populations of a declining long-distance Palearctic
 migrant. *Journal of Avian Biology*, 46: 622-633.
- 521 Blackburn, E. & Cresswell, W. 2016a. High overwinter and annual survival for a declining Palearctic 522 migrant: evidence that wintering conditions may not limit migrant populations *Ibis*, **158**: 92-105.
- 523 Blackburn, E. & Cresswell, W. 2016b. High winter site fidelity in a long-distance migrant: implications 524 for wintering ecology and survival estimates. *Journal of Ornithology*, **157**: 93-108.
- 525 Both, C., Bouwhuis, S., Lessells, C. M. & Visser, M. E. 2006. Climate change and population declines 526 in a long-distance migratory bird. *Nature*, **441:** 81-83.
- Both, C. & te Marvelde, L. 2007. Climate change and timing of avian breeding and migration throughout
 Europe. *Climate Research*, 35: 93-105.
- Bridge, E. S., Kelly, J. F., Contina, A., Gabrielson, R. M., MacCurdy, R. B. & Winkler, D. W. 2013.
 Advances in tracking small migratory birds: a technical review of light-level geolocation. *Journal*of *Field Ornithology*, 84: 121-137.
- 532 Bruderer, B. & Boldt, A. 2001. Flight characteristics of birds: 1. radar measurements of speeds. *Ibis*,
 533 143: 178-204.
- Chen, I. C., Hill, J. K., Ohlemuller, R., Roy, D. B. & Thomas, C. D. 2011. Rapid Range Shifts of Species
 Associated with High Levels of Climate Warming. *Science*, 333: 1024-1026.
- 536 Cohen, E., Moore, F. & Fischer, R. 2014. Fuel stores, time of spring, and movement behavior influence 537 stopover duration of Red-eyed Vireo Vireo olivaceus. *Journal of Ornithology*, **155**: 785-792.
- 538 Crawley, M. J. (2007) *The R Book,* Chichester: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- 539 Cresswell, W. 2014. Migratory connectivity of Palaearctic-African migratory birds and their responses
 540 to environmental change: the serial residency hypothesis. *Ibis*, **156**: 493-510.
- 541 DeLuca, W. V., Woodworth, B. K., Rimmer, C. C., Marra, P. P., Taylor, P. D., McFarland, K. P.,
 542 Mackenzie, S. A. & Norris, D. R. 2015. Transoceanic migration by a 12 g songbird. *Biology*543 *Letters*, **11**.
- 544 Donovan, T. M., Thompson, F. R., III, Faaborg, J. & Probst, J. R. 1995. Reproductive Success of 545 Migratory Birds in Habitat Sources and Sinks. *Conservation Biology*, **9:** 1380-1395.

- 546 Doswald, N., Willis, S. G., Collingham, Y. C., Pain, D. J., Green, R. E. & Huntley, B. 2009. Potential
 547 impacts of climatic change on the breeding and non-breeding ranges and migration distance of
 548 European Sylvia warblers. *Journal of Biogeography*, 36: 1194-1208.
- Ekstrom, P. A. 2004. An advance in the geolocation by light. In *Memoirs of the National Institute of Polar Research, Special Issue.* (ed. Y. Naito), pp. 210-226. Tokyo: National Institute of Polar
 Research.
- Erni, B., Liechti, F. & Bruderer, B. 2005. The role of wind in passerine autumn migration between Europe
 and Africa. *Behavioral Ecology*, **16**: 732-740.
- 554 Faaborg, J., Holmes, R. T., Anders, A. D., Bildstein, K. L., Dugger, K. M., Gauthreaux, S. A., Heglund,

555 P., Hobson, K. A., Jahn, A. E., Johnson, D. H., Latta, S. C., Levey, D. J., Marra, P. P., Merkord,

556 C. L., Nol, E., Rothstein, S. I., Sherry, T. W., Sillett, T. S., Thompson, F. R. & Warnock, N. 2010.

557 Recent advances in understanding migration systems of New World land birds. *Ecological*558 *Monographs*, 80: 3-48.

- 559 Fox, J. 2010. Geolocator manual v8 (March 2010). 560 <u>http://www.antarctica.ac.uk/engineering/geo_downloads/Geolocator_manual_v8.pdf</u>.
- Fraser, K. C., Stutchbury, B. J. M., Kramer, P., Silverio, C., Barrow, J., Newstead, D., Mickle, N.,
 Shaheen, T., Mammenga, P., Applegate, K., Bridge, E. & Tautin, J. 2013. Consistent rangewide pattern in fall migration strategy of Purple Martin (*Progne subis*), despite different
 migration routes at the Gulf of Mexico. *Auk*, **130**: 291-296.
- 565 Fudickar, A. M., Wikelski, M. & Partecke, J. 2012. Tracking migratory songbirds: accuracy of light-level 566 loggers (geolocators) in forest habitats. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, **3:** 47-52.
- Gill, R. E., Tibbitts, T. L., Douglas, D. C., Handel, C. M., Mulcahy, D. M., Gottschalck, J. C., Warnock,
 N., McCaffery, B. J., Battley, P. F. & Piersma, T. 2009. Extreme endurance flights by landbirds
 crossing the Pacific Ocean: ecological corridor rather than barrier? *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*, **276**: 447-458.
- Hahn, S., Bauer, S. & Liechti, F. 2009. The natural link between Europe and Africa 2.1 billion birds on
 migration. *Oikos*, **118**: 624-626.
- Halupka, L., Wierucka, K., Sztwiertnia, H. & Klimczuk, E. 2017. Conditions at autumn stopover sites
 affect survival of a migratory passerine. *Journal of Ornithology*, **158**: 979-988.

- Hedenstrom, A. & Alerstam, T. 1997. Optimum fuel loads in migratory birds: Distinguishing between
 time and energy minimization. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, **189**: 227-234.
- Henningsson, S. S. & Alerstam, T. 2005. Barriers and distances as determinants for the evolution of
 bird migration links: the arctic shorebird system. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*, 272: 2251-2258.
- Hewson, C. M., Thorup, K., Pearce-Higgins, J. W. & Atkinson, P. W. 2016. Population decline is linked
 to migration route in the Common Cuckoo. *Nature Communications*, **7**.
- Hill, R. D. & Braun, M. J. 2001. Geolocation by light level the next step: Latitude. In *Electronic tagging and tracking in marine fisheries.* (eds. J. R. Silbert & J. L. Nielsen), pp. 315-330. Dordrecht:
 Kluwer Academic publishers.
- Houston, A. I. 1998. Models of optimal avian migration: state, time and predation. *Journal of Avian Biology*, 29: 395-404.
- Hulme, M. F. & Cresswell, W. 2012. Density and behaviour of Whinchats *Saxicola rubetra* on African
 farmland suggest that winter habitat conditions do not limit European breeding populations. *Ibis*, **154**: 680-692.
- 590 Jenni, L. & Winkler, R. (1994) Moult and ageing of European Passerines, London: Academic Press.
- 591 Klaassen, R. H. G., Hake, M., Strandberg, R., Koks, B. J., Trierweiler, C., Exo, K.-M., Bairlein, F. &
- 592 Alerstam, T. 2014. When and where does mortality occur in migratory birds? Direct evidence 593 from long-term satellite tracking of raptors. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **83:** 176-184.
- 594 Kokko, H. 1999. Competition for early arrival in migratory birds. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **68**: 940-595 950.
- Kristensen, M. W., Tottrup, A. P. & Thorup, K. 2013. Migration of the common redstart (*Phoenicurus*) *phoenicurus*): a Eurasian songbird wintering in highly seasonal conditions in the West African
 Sahel. *Auk*, **130**: 258-264.
- Liechti, F. 2006. Birds: blowin' by the wind? *Journal of Ornithology*, **147**: 202-211.
- Lisovski, S. & Hahn, S. 2012. GeoLight-processing and analysing light-based geolocator data in R.
 Methods in Ecology and Evolution, **3:** 1055-1059.
- 602 Lisovski, S., Hewson, C. M., Klaassen, R. H. G., Korner-Nievergelt, F., Kristensen, M. W. & Hahn, S.
- 603 2012. Geolocation by light: accuracy and precision affected by environmental factors. *Methods*604 *in Ecology and Evolution*, **3:** 603-612.

- Lockwood, R., Swaddle, J. P. & Rayner, J. M. V. 1998. Avian wingtip shape reconsidered:wingtip shape
 indices and morphological adaptations to migration. *Journal of Avian Biology*, 29: 273-292.
- Lok, T., Overdijk, O. & Piersma, T. 2015. The cost of migration: spoonbills suffer higher mortality during
 trans-Saharan spring migrations only. *Biology Letters*, **11**: 20140944.
- Loon, A. V., Ray, J. D., Savage, A., Mejeur, J., Moscar, L., Pearson, M., Pearman, M., Hvenegaard, G.
 T., Mickle, N., Applegate, K. & Fraser, K. C. 2017. Migratory stopover timing is predicted by
 breeding latitude, not habitat quality, in a long-distance migratory songbird. *Journal of Ornithology*, **158**: 745-752.
- Matthew, I. M.-L., Jeffrey, P. H., Thomas, J. B. & Wendy, M. S. 2013. Juvenile survival in a neotropical
 migratory songbird is lower than expected. *PLoS ONE*, 8.
- McKinnon, E. A., Fraser, K. C., Stanley, C. Q. & Stutchbury, B. J. M. 2014. Tracking from the Tropics
 Reveals Behaviour of Juvenile Songbirds on Their First Spring Migration. *PLoS ONE*, 9.
- 617 McKinnon, E. A., Stanley, C. Q., Fraser, K. C., MacPherson, M. M., Casbourn, G., Marra, P. P., Studds,
- 618 C. E., Diggs, N. & Stutchbury, B. J. 2012. Estimating geolocator accuracy for a migratory 619 songbird using live ground-truthing in tropical forest. *Animal Migration*, **1**: 31-38.
- Mettke-Hofmann, C. & Greenberg, R. 2005. Behavioral and cognitive adaptations to long-distance
 migration. In *Birds of two worlds: the ecology and evolution of migration*. (eds. R. Greenberg &
- 622 P. P. Marra), pp. 114-123. Baltimore, Maryland: John Hopkins University Press.
- Newton, I. 2006. Can conditions experienced during migration limit the population levels of birds?
 Journal of Ornithology, **147**: 146-166.
- 625 Newton, I. (2008) *The migration ecology of birds,* Oxford: Academic Press.
- Oppel, S., Dobrev, V., Arkumarev, V., Saravia, V., Bounas, A., Kret, E., Velevski, M., Stoychev, S. &
 Nikolov, S. C. 2015. High juvenile mortality during migration in a declining population of a longdistance migratory raptor. *Ibis*, **157**: 545-557.
- Ouwehand, J. & Both, C. 2016. Alternate non-stop migration strategies of pied flycatchers to cross the
 Sahara desert. *Biology Letters*, **12:** 20151060.
- 631 Perdeck, A. C. 1967. Orientation of Starlings after displacement to Spain. *Ardea*, **55**: 93-104.
- 632 R Development Core Team. 2014. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna,
- 633 Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

Rotics, S., Kaatz, M., Resheff, Y. S., Turjeman, S. F., Zurell, D., Sapir, N., Eggers, U., Flack, A., Fiedler,
W., Jeltsch, F., Wikelski, M. & Nathan, R. 2016. The challenges of the first migration: movement
and behaviour of juvenile vs. adult white storks with insights regarding juvenile mortality.

637 Journal of Animal Ecology, **85:** 938-947.

- Saether, B. E. 1989. Survival rates in relation to body-weight in European birds *Ornis Scandinavica*,
 20: 13-21.
- Saether, B. E. & Bakke, O. 2000. Avian life history variation and contribution of demographic traits to
 the population growth rate. *Ecology*, **81:** 642-653.
- Sanderson, F. J., Donald, P. F., Pain, D. J., Burfield, I. J. & van Bommel, F. P. J. 2006. Long-term
 population declines in Afro-Palearctic migrant birds. *Biological Conservation*, **131**: 93-105.
- Schmaljohann, H. & Both, C. 2017. The limits of modifying migration speed to adjust to climate change.
 Nature Clim. Change, **7:** 573-576.
- Schmaljohann, H., Buchmann, M., Fox, J. W. & Bairlein, F. 2012. Tracking migration routes and the
 annual cycle of a trans-Sahara songbird migrant. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 66:
 915-922.
- Schmaljohann, H. & Eikenaar, C. 2017. How do energy stores and changes in these affect departure
 decisions by migratory birds? A critical view on stopover ecology studies and some future
 perspectives. *Journal of Comparative Physiology a-Neuroethology Sensory Neural and Behavioral Physiology*, 203: 411-429.
- Schmaljohann, H., Liechti, F. & Bruderer, B. 2007. Songbird migration across the Sahara: the non-stop
 hypothesis rejected! *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*, 274: 735-739.
- Schmaljohann, H., Lisovski, S. & Bairlein, F. 2017. Flexible reaction norms to environmental variables
 along the migration route and the significance of stopover duration for total speed of migration
 in a songbird migrant. *Frontiers in Zoology*, 14.
- Sillett, T. S. & Holmes, R. T. 2002. Variation in survivorship of a migratory songbird throughout its annual
 cycle. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **71**: 296-308.
- Strandberg, R., Klaassen, R. H. G., Hake, M. & Alerstam, T. 2010. How hazardous is the Sahara Desert
 crossing for migratory birds? Indications from satellite tracking of raptors. *Biology Letters*, 6:
 297-300.

- Thorup, K., Bisson, I. A., Bowlin, M. S., Holland, R. A., Wingfield, J. C., Ramenofsky, M. & Wikelski, M.
 2007. Evidence for a navigational map stretching across the continental US in a migratory
 songbird. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America,*104: 18115-18119.
- Tottrup, A. P., Klaassen, R. H. G., Strandberg, R., Thorup, K., Kristensen, M. W., Jorgensen, P. S.,
 Fox, J., Afanasyev, V., Rahbek, C. & Alerstam, T. 2012. The annual cycle of a trans-equatorial
 Eurasian-African passerine migrant: different spatio-temporal strategies for autumn and spring
 migration. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*, **279**: 1008-1016.
- Vagasi, C. I., Pap, P. L., Vincze, O., Osvath, G., Erritzoe, J. & Moller, A. P. 2016. Morphological
 Adaptations to Migration in Birds. *Evolutionary Biology*, 43: 48-59.
- Vansteelant, W. M. G., Kekkonen, J. & Byholm, P. 2017. Wind conditions and geography shape the
 first outbound migration of juvenile honey buzzards and their distribution across sub-Saharan
 Africa. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 284.
- Vickery, J. A., Ewing, S. R., Smith, K. W., Pain, D. J., Bairlein, F., Skorpilova, J. & Gregory, R. D. 2014.
 The decline of Afro-Palaearctic migrants and an assessment of potential causes. *Ibis*, **156**: 122.
- Walther, G. R., Post, E., Convey, P., Menzel, A., Parmesan, C., Beebee, T. J. C., Fromentin, J. M.,
 Hoegh-Guldberg, O. & Bairlein, F. 2002. Ecological responses to recent climate change. *Nature*, **416**: 389-395.
- Kenophontos, M., Blackburn, E. & Cresswell, W. 2017. Cyprus wheatears Oenanthe cypriaca likely
 reach sub-Saharan African wintering grounds in a single migratory flight. *Journal of Avian Biology*, 48: 529-535.

686 Table 1. Results from a GLMM to test how distance travelled on a migration leg varied depending on 687 the type of barrier crossed, controlling for age, sex, wing length, year and migration start date, with 688 individual bird included as a random effect (n = 85 migration legs from n = 31 individuals; marginal R^2 689 = 0.81, random effects accounted for no additional variance). Adult, female, 2013 and Sahara set as 690 the intercept; wing length scaled relative to a mean of 78.66 mm and migration start date rescaled to 0 691 for earliest day an individual started migration, March 28th. Interactions between barrier type and age $(F_{5,59.5} = 1.0, P = 0.46)$, or sex $(F_{5,59.5} = 2.1, P = 0.074)$ or wing length $(F_{5,59.5} = 0.8, P = 0.54)$ were not 692 693 significant when added to the model. The Estimate and se columns give the estimates and their standard errors for locations and so distance travelled between them calculated with a sun elevation 694 695 angle (SEA value) of -4.5, which gives the most biologically sensible locations after crossing the Sahara. 696 The range of estimates obtained using different values of SEA to calculate locations is given in the 697 fourth column: estimates are biologically and statistically similar in significance in all analyses. 698 Significant ($P \le 0.05$) effects are given in bold. Note that, although some distance differences by barrier 699 type are inevitably larger because they combine barrier types, these increases are not simply additive, 700 being much larger than necessary to cross the barrier.

701

	Estimate	se	Estimate variation dependent on SEA value	df	t value	<i>P</i> value
(Intercept)	1991.5	306.6	1992 to 2174	71.6	6.5	<0.001
Age (First year)	-261.8	157.1	-261 to -241	71.6	-1.7	0.10
Sex (Male)	479.7	169.1	434 to 483	71.6	2.8	0.006
Wing length	-121.3	53.4	-121 to -111	71.6	-2.3	0.026
Year (2014)	-291.4	127.8	-335 to -264	71.6	-2.3	0.026
Migration start date	25.7	9.1	23 to 27	71.6	2.8	0.0061
Sea	-1606.2	237.6	-1595 to -1808	71.6	-6.8	<0.001
Land	-1465.7	145.0	-1445 to -1615	71.6	-10.1	<0.001
Sea + Land	-490.9	181.5	-717 to -491	71.6	-2.7	0.009
Sahara + Sea	835.0	245.7	532 to 914	71.6	3.4	0.001
Sahara + Sea + Land	2043.7	259.9	1741 to 2043	71.6	7.9	<0.001

702

704 Table 2. Results from a GLMM to test how speed (km/h) travelled on a migration leg varied depending 705 on the type of barrier crossed, controlling for age, sex, wing length, year and migration start date, with 706 individual bird included as a random effect (n = 85 migration legs from n = 31 individuals; marginal R^2 707 = 0.28, random effects accounted for 0.10 additional variance). Adult, female, 2013 and Sahara set as 708 the intercept; wing length scaled relative to a mean of 78.66 mm and migration start date rescaled to 0 709 for earliest day an individual started migration, March 28th. Interactions between barrier type and age 710 $(F_{5,59.5} = 1.0, P = 0.45)$, or sex $(F_{5,59.5} = 2.1, P = 0.074)$ or wing length $(F_{5,59.5} = 0.8, P = 0.54)$ were not 711 significant when added to the model. The Estimate and se columns give the estimates and their standard errors for locations calculated and so speed between them using a sun elevation angle (SEA 712 713 value) of -4.5, which gives the most biologically sensible locations after crossing the Sahara. Significant 714 $(P \le 0.05)$ effects are given in bold. The range of estimates obtained using different values of SEA to calculate locations is given in the fourth column: estimates are biologically and statistically similar in 715 716 significance in all analyses.

- 717
- 718 719

			Estimate variation			
	Estimate	se	value	df	t value	P value
(Intercept)	32.4	10.4	32 to 46	27.8	3.1	<0.001
Age (First year)	4.3	5.4	2.5 to 5.4	24.5	0.8	0.44
Sex (Male)	-0.16	5.9	-2.6 to -0.2	22.3	-0.03	0.98
Wing length	1.1	1.8	0.6 to 1.5	24.6	0.6	0.57
Year (2014)	2.8	4.4	-104 to 3.5	26.2	0.6	0.53
Migration start date	0.43	0.32	0.3 to 0.4	23.7	1.4	0.18
Sea	-14.5	7.1	-18.0 to -13.8	68.3	-2.0	0.046
Land	-17.8	4.4	-20.7 to -17.1	69.9	-4.1	<0.001
Sea + Land	-5.1	5.3	-11.8 to -5.1	58.4	-1.0	0.34
Sahara + Sea	8.8	7.5	2.3 to 10.1	73.2	1.2	0.24
Sahara + Sea + Land	-2.7	7.9	-10.5 to -2.7	73.6	-0.3	0.73

Table 3. Results from a GLMM to test how duration of a stop-over after a migration leg varied depending on the type of barrier crossed, controlling for distance travelled, age, sex, wing length, year and migration start date, with individual bird included as a random effect (n = 54 migration legs from n = 29individuals; marginal R^2 = 0.45, random effects accounted for 0.21 additional variance). Adult, female, 2013 and Sahara set as the intercept; wing length scaled relative to a mean of 78.66 mm and migration start date rescaled to 0 for earliest day an individual started migration, March 28th. Interactions between barrier type and age ($F_{5,16.8} = 0.4$, P = 0.82), or sex ($F_{2,28.6} = 1.4$, P = 0.27) or wing length ($F_{4,19.5} = 0.1$, P = 0.96) were not significant when added to the model. Significant ($P \le 0.05$) effects are given in bold. Note that if barrier type is removed from the model and replaced with duration of the migratory leg prior to the stop-over, distance becomes positively associated with stop-over duration (see main text for this model analysis)

	Estimate	se	df	t value	P value
(Intercept)	15.2	3.3	36.1	4.6	<0.001
Distance	-0.0023	0.0012	41.7	-2.0	0.050
Age (First year)	1.1	1.2	21.6	0.9	0.40
Sex (Male)	1.7	1.4	20.9	1.3	0.22
Wing length	-0.44	0.42	24.8	-1.1	0.30
Year (2014)	-1.1	1.0	23.6	-1.0	0.31
Migration start date	-0.14	0.08	25.33	-1.8	0.09
Sea	-6.4	2.3	39.8	-2.8	0.008
Land	-6.6	2.1	41.5	-3.1	0.003
Sea + Land	-4.4	1.3	35.6	-3.3	0.002
Sahara + Sea	-0.10	1.7	39.2	-0.06	0.95
Sahara + Sea + Land	6.5	2.1	37.9	3.1	0.003

738 Figure legends

739 Figure 1. Example data from one individual Whinchat tagged in 2014 (logger 072) to show how 740 stationary periods, and migratory and stop-over periods were determined. The left hand graph shows 741 location data and their variance. The light grey top line labelled GL shows the results of the Geolight 742 analysis with dips in the line showing non-stationary periods as determined by a significant increase in 743 variance in sunrise and sunset times; this was also confirmed by exploring how the product of 5-day 744 moving average standard deviations for latitude and longitude varied, the black top line labelled SD, 745 where dips in the line represent increased variation. The bottom two lines show the twice daily latitude 746 (mid-grey line) and longitude (white line) locations calculated from the geolocator light records for a sun 747 elevation value of -4.5. The right hand map plots median latitude and longitude for stationary periods B 748 to D as open circles with interguartile ranges plotted as black asymmetric crosses, with the initial 749 stationary period A being where the individual was resident on winter territory at the site of initial capture 750 (and where marked with a darker grey rectangle, labelled O, observed on territory after tagging). Note 751 migratory periods 1 to 3 (initially identified from Geolight) start and end points were ascertained by 752 visual examination of latitude and longitude traces to determine the points of change; occasionally, as 753 in this example marked f, Geolight indicated a non-stationary period when there was no other supporting 754 evidence for a migration. An analysis of variance to compare periods either side of the Geolight non-755 stationary period confirmed whether locations had changed: where they were more or less identical as 756 in this example, the migratory period was ignored. The duration of each migratory leg (1 to 3) and each 757 stop-over period between them (B and C) were then calculated by from the start and end dates (to the 758 nearest half day) of each period. Note that for this example, migratory leg 1 was classified as crossing 759 the Sahara, leg 2 crossing the Mediterranean Sea + Land, and leg 3 crossing Land; if legs 1 and 2 had 760 been continuous without stop-over B then the leg would have been classified as Sahara + Sea + Land; 761 if leg 2 had stopped close to the 2 on the right hand map then the leg would have been classified as 762 Sea; (i.e. these are the barrier types used in Fig. 2). The dotted line connecting A, the start point, with 763 X represents a flight distance of 2,750 km which is the approximate distance from the study site to the 764 opposite side of the Sahara for a Whinchat flying due north.

Figure 2. Stationary periods during spring migration for 35 Whinchats, plotted as grey circles with size scaled to stop-over duration (longer = larger), and connected by shortest distance migratory tracks, with length of track proportional to how dark the line is (longer = darker). Starting non-breeding and tagging

site shown as an open triangle in Nigeria; breeding locations for 2013 birds shown as filled squares for
2013 and open squares for 2014.

770 Figure 3. A. Variation in migration stage distance dependent on barrier type: note that distances 771 crossing the Sahara only were significantly different from all other barrier types apart from Sea + Land. 772 B. Variation in hourly speed of migration dependent on barrier type; note that speeds crossing the 773 Sahara, or the Sahara + Sea, were significantly different from crossing land. C. Variation in stopover 774 duration dependent on the type of barrier crossed; note that stop-overs after continuous migration, 775 crossing the Sahara + Sea + Land were significantly longer than almost all other barrier types. Predicted 776 values from the model in Table 1 for A, Table 2 for B and Table 3 for C are plotted (+/- 1 se) for an adult 777 male of mean wing length and median migration start date, in 2014, and also mean migration leg 778 distance for C. Small letters associated with the bars indicate significant differences in pair-wise Tukey 779 contrasts by barrier type; different letters are significantly different, and unlabelled bars were not 780 significantly different in any pairwise tests. Numbers within the bars indicate the sample size of migration 781 legs (overall n = 96 flights without stop-overs of greater than 2 days).

Figure 4. Variation in Whinchat stopover duration dependent on migration start date. Predicted values
from the model in Table 3 (but without barrier type included) are plotted (+/- 1 se), for an adult male of
mean wing length and median migration distance, in 2014.



¦ 90

STOP-OVER DURATION

MIGRATION DURATION

Days since 1st February



788

0-

789 Figure 2:







Figure 4:

