brought to you by 28 CORE

Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Ansdell, Paul, Brownstein, Callum, Škarabot, Jakob, Hicks, Kirsty, Camargo Madeira Simoes, Davina, Thomas, Kevin, Howatson, Glyn, Hunter, Sandra and Goodall, Stuart (2019) Menstrual cycle-associated modulations in neuromuscular function and fatigability of the knee extensors in eumenorrheic women. Journal of Applied Physiology, 126 (6). pp. 1701-1712. ISSN 8750-7587

Published by: American Physiological Society

URL:

https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.01041.2018

https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.01041.2018

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link: http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/38227/

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)





Menstrual cycle associated modulations in neuromuscular function and fatigability of the knee extensors in eumenorrheic females. Paul Ansdell¹, Callum G Brownstein¹, Jakob Škarabot¹, Kirsty M Hicks¹, Davina CM Simoes¹, Kevin Thomas¹, Glyn Howatson^{1,2}, Sandra K Hunter³, Stuart Goodall¹ ¹Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Northumbria University, UK ²Water Research Group, School of Environmental Sciences and Development, Northwest University, Potchefstroom, South Africa ³Exercise Science Program, Department of Physical Therapy, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI, USA Running title: Neuromuscular function across the menstrual cycle Word count: 7141 References: 83 Corresponding author: Dr. Stuart Goodall Faculty of Health and Life Sciences Department of Sport, Exercise & Rehabilitation Northumbria University Newcastle upon Tyne UK NE18ST Email: stuart.goodall@northumbria.ac.uk Telephone: +44(0)191 227 4749 Fax: +44(0)191 227 4713

39 ABSTRACT

Sex hormone concentrations of eumenorrheic females typically fluctuate across the
menstrual cycle and can affect neural function such that oestrogen has neuro-excitatory
effects, and progesterone induces inhibition. However, the effects of these changes on
corticospinal and intracortical circuitry, and the motor performance of the knee-
extensors, are unknown. The present two-part investigation aimed to i) determine the
measurement error of an exercise task, transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) and
motor nerve stimulation (MNS) derived responses in females ingesting a monophasic
oral contraceptive pill (hormonally-constant), and ii) investigate whether these
measures were modulated by menstrual cycle phase (MCP), by examining them before
and after an intermittent isometric fatiguing task (60% of maximal voluntary contraction,
MVC) with the knee-extensors until task failure in eumenorrheic females on days 2, 14,
and 21 of the menstrual cycle. The repeatability of neuromuscular measures at baseline
and fatigability ranged between moderate-excellent in females taking the oral
contraceptive pill. Maximal voluntary contraction was not affected by MCP (P =0.790).
Voluntary activation (MNS and TMS) peaked on day 14 (P =0.007 and 0.008, respectively).
Whilst corticospinal excitability was unchanged, short-interval intracortical inhibition
was greatest on day 21 compared to days 14 and 2 (P =0.001). Additionally, time to task
failure was longer on day 21 compared to both days 14 and 2 (24 and 36%, respectively;
P=0.030). The observed changes were larger than the associated measurement errors.
These data demonstrate that neuromuscular function and fatigability of the knee-
extensors varies across the menstrual cycle, and may influence exercise performance
involving locomotor muscles.

Keywords: corticospinal excitability, fatigue, intracortical inhibition, menstrual cycle,

voluntary activation

NEW AND NOTEWORTHY

The present two-part study first demonstrated the repeatability of transcranial magnetic
stimulation and electrical motor nerve stimulation evoked variables in a hormonally-
constant female population. Subsequently, it was demonstrated that the eumenorrheic
menstrual cycle affects neuromuscular function. Changing concentrations of neuroactive
hormones corresponded with greater voluntary activation on day 14, greater
intracortical inhibition on day 21, and lowest fatigability on day 21. These alterations of
knee extensor neuromuscular function have implications for locomotor activities.

80	INTRODUCTION
81	The cyclical changes in concentrations of multiple sex hormones, including oestrogen and
82	progesterone (55), across the eumenorrheic menstrual cycle can affect central nervous
83	system (CNS) function due to their ability to cross the blood-brain barrier (62). In vitro
84	models have shown direct evidence for the effect of sex hormones on neuronal function.
85	For instance, oestradiol (an oestrogenic steroid hormone) binds to oestrogen receptor $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$
86	(ER- $lpha$) sites on γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA) mediated neurons, causing an attenuation in
87	GABA synthesis and release (54, 70). Additionally, oestrogen potentiates the effects of
88	excitatory glutamatergic (both NMDA and non-NMDA) receptors (61), resulting in a net
89	excitatory effect. Additionally, oestrogen has been shown to decrease firing thresholds
90	and increase discharge frequency of cerebral neurons (58, 72). On the contrary,
91	progesterone has a net inhibitory effect on the nervous system, as the activity and effects
92	of GABA are potentiated, leading to decreased neuronal discharge rate (60) and increased
93	inhibition of pyramidal neurons in rats (37). Some evidence also suggests that the

99

100

101

102

103

104

94

95

96

97

98

The differential effect of oestrogen and progesterone concentrations on indices of nervous system excitability have also been established in humans. Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) studies show increased intracortical excitability and reduced intracortical inhibition in the late-follicular phase, when oestradiol concentration is high and progesterone low (56, 57), substantiating the alterations seen in the aforementioned

presence of progesterone directly antagonises estrogenic actions by lowering the

available ER- α and - β receptor numbers on various sites of neuronal cells (47). Indeed,

as Smith and Woolley (61) outlined, the neurosteroidal actions of hormones act upon the

neurotransmitter receptors. Thus, given GABAergic and glutamatergic synapses are

located within the motor cortex (43), a hormonal effect would be expected

in vitro studies. While these in vivo studies show clear changes in human CNS function, they were conducted in the resting upper limbs, specifically in hand muscles associated with fine motor control. However, properties of intracortical and corticospinal circuits vary between upper and lower limb projections (7, 15). Thus, the menstrual cycle associated modulations in neural function of a small, upper limb muscle group cannot be extrapolated to larger, lower limb muscle groups. Understanding how the menstrual cycle affects the neural control of large locomotor muscle groups has significant implications for everyday locomotive tasks, injury rehabilitation, and athletic performance. For instance, neuroplasticity following stroke (17), and strength training (71) are influenced by GABAergic inhibition.

To date, there is minimal research investigating menstrual cycle induced changes in nervous system and motor function of the knee extensors (KE). Previous studies investigating motor function, such as the ability to produce maximum voluntary contraction force (MVC), are equivocal, with studies showing 8-23% greater maximal force with the KE mid-cycle (4, 53, 64). Multiple studies however, report no difference in maximal strength (20, 24, 40, 46). The studies that have shown changes in maximal strength have suggested that mechanisms such as motor unit firing rates (65) and intracortical excitability (56, 57) could be contributing factors. However, the proposed mechanistic factors, and the neuromuscular response (e.g. MVC), have not been concurrently studied. Voluntary activation (VA) of the quadriceps has been assessed using motor nerve stimulation twice with no menstrual cycle effect shown (40, 46). However, as it is thought that the assessment of VA using TMS (VA_{TMS}) reflects the ability of the motor cortex to activate the motor units within the target muscle group (68), if supraspinal properties are modulated by the menstrual cycle (i.e. 56, 57), VA_{TMS} could

provide a more appropriate measure to discern whether the ability to voluntarily activate the KE is affected.

Other aspects of motor performance, such as performance fatigability (38), have also been studied throughout the menstrual cycle with inconclusive results. Sarwar et al. (53) showed that the KE of eumenorrheic females were less fatigable in the luteal phase during an electrically stimulated isometric fatiguing protocol. However, this finding has not been corroborated with dynamic, voluntary contractions performed with the KE (21, 40). Additionally, none of the aforementioned studies were open-ended, with a fatigue index calculated after a set amount of time/contractions. Thus, due to the causes of fatigability being task specific (66), discrepancies in the aforementioned investigations could be due to the differences in fatiguing protocols used and their respective limiting factors.

The effect of hormonal fluctuations on neuromuscular function and fatigability of the KE remains unclear. Conflicting literature exists for the majority of neuromuscular variables, despite a rationale for change based on neuroendocrine and upper-limb studies. The inclusion of statistical measures of error are recommended for investigations utilising methods of neurostimulation to inform the contribution of random variation to any modifications in neuromuscular function (29). Therefore, the present investigation recruited a population of monophasic oral contraceptive (mOCP) users to discern test-retest repeatability of neuromuscular function measures without the influence of endogenous hormones (*Study A*). The consistent dosage of exogenous oestrogen and progesterone in the mOCP precludes ovulation (28), creating a physiological environment in which the effects of endogenous hormones are negated. Thereafter, *Study B* aimed to investigate KE neuromuscular function and fatigability across the menstrual

cycle. It was hypothesised that when oestrogen levels increased (and progesterone remained low) from day 2 to 14, maximum force production would concomitantly rise, alongside a reduction in intracortical inhibition and an increase in VA. Secondly, it was hypothesized that the rise in progesterone from day 14 to 21 would occur alongside a reversal in these changes, and improved time to task failure (TTF).

162	Ethical Approval
163	$The study \ received \ institutional \ ethical \ approval \ from \ the \ Northumbria \ University \ Health$
164	and Life Sciences Research Ethics Committee (HLSPA301116) and was conducted
165	according to all aspects of the Declaration of Helsinki, apart from registration in a
166	database. Participants provided written, informed consent to volunteer for the study
167	
168	Participants
169	A total of 30 participants volunteered to participate in the study. Fifteen mOCP users (age: approximately app
170	23 \pm 2 years; stature: 170 \pm 6 cm; mass: 70.6 \pm 8.5 kg) and fifteen eumenorrheic females
171	(age: 25 \pm 4 years; stature: 169 \pm 6 cm; mass: 68.3 \pm 7.8 kg; mean cycle duration: 29 \pm 3
172	days, range: 24-34 days). The mOCPs reported taking an mOCP for at least 6 months as
173	prescribed (i.e. a seven-day break after every 21-day pill consumption period), whereas
174	eumenorrheic females reported having regular cycles without using any form of
175	hormonal contraceptives for at least six months. A full list of the mOCPs taken by
176	participants is presented in Table 1. Participants arrived at the laboratory rested and
177	hydrated, with strenuous physical activity avoided for 48 hours, and caffeine and alcohol
178	prohibited for 24 hours.
179	
180	TABLE 1 HERE
181	
182	Experimental Design
183	Study A
184	Oral contraceptive users visited the laboratory three times, completing a familiarisation
185	and two experimental visits. Experimental visits were completed during the final 14 days

METHODS

of the pill cycle, with a minimum of 48 h between visits to allow recovery (13). The visits were identical to those described below for *Study B*, however, blood sampling was not performed as it is established that endogenous hormone concentrations do not fluctuate throughout the consumption phase of the mOCP cycle (10).

Study B

Eumenorrheic females visited the laboratory four times, completing a familiarisation session prior to three experimental visits. Participants completed experimental visits on days 2 (D2, Early-follicular), 14 (D14, Late-follicular), and 21 (D21, Mid-luteal) of the menstrual cycle. Testing days were counted from the onset of menstruation and, to verify menstrual cycle phase, fasted venous blood samples were taken between the hours of 0600 – 0900 on testing days to analyse serum oestradiol and progesterone concentrations. The order of visits was pseudorandomized and counterbalanced to minimize order effects, with five participants beginning on each testing day (D2, D14 or D21). All testing visits occurred within the same menstrual cycle (order: D2, D14, D21), or two consecutive cycles (order: D14, D21, D2 or D21, D2, D14). Experimental visits consisted of a baseline neuromuscular assessment, intermittent, isometric contractions at 60% MVC until task failure, followed immediately by a post-task neuromuscular assessment. The intensity for the fatiguing task was likely far greater than the critical torque (~30% MVC [12]), and therefore an unsustainable intensity, with task failure attributable to decrements in neuromuscular function (1, 11).

Experimental Procedures

For *Study B*, upon arriving between the hours of 0600 – 0900, fasted venous blood samples were taken following 10 minutes of seated rest. Participants were then

instructed to consume a typical breakfast and return to the laboratory at their designated testing time. The breakfast and time of testing were replicated (± 1 hour) for each experimental visit to control for diurnal variations in corticospinal excitability and maximal force production (63). Time of testing was also controlled for in *Study A*, with participants consuming the mOCP a constant time before trials in order to standardise circulating exogenous hormone concentrations between visits. In both studies, experimental sessions began with participants completing a standardised voluntary isometric contraction warm up (2 × contractions at 25, 50, and 75% perceived maximal effort) followed by a baseline neuromuscular assessment (described below). The fatiguing task involved sets of intermittent isometric contractions (3 s contraction, 2 s rest at 60% MVC) to task failure. Contractions were paced with an audible metronome to ensure the duty cycle was maintained. One set was defined as 11 submaximal contractions followed by a 3 s MVC with motor nerve stimulation (MNS) delivered at the peak force and 2 s post, lasting one minute. Task failure was defined as an inability to reach the target force three times at any stage of the protocol. Rating of perceived exertion (RPE; 6) was recorded using a 6-20 scale following each MVC throughout the fatiguing task. Real-time visual force feedback using target forces set as percentages of maximum force was provided to participants on a computer screen to aid a constant force level. The post-task neuromuscular assessment began immediately following task failure.

230

231

232

233

234

235

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

Neuromuscular Assessments

Measures of neuromuscular function were assessed pre- and post- exercise with MNS of the femoral nerve, and TMS of the contralateral motor cortex at rest and during voluntary contractions of the right knee-extensors. Pre-exercise neuromuscular assessments began with two practice MVCs to ensure potentiation of subsequent evoked measures, followed

by three \sim 3 s MVCs, all separated by 30 s. During these 3 MVCs, MNS was delivered when peak force plateaued, and then \sim 2 s after the MVC to measure voluntary activation (VA_{MNS}) and potentiated twitch amplitude (Q_{tw.pot}) of the knee-extensors. Single-pulse TMS was subsequently delivered during two sets of five 3-5 s contractions at 100, 87.5, 75, 62.5 and 50% MVC, with 5 s rest between contractions and 10 s rest between sets, to determine VA_{TMS} (19). The TMS silent period (SP) was determined during the 50% MVC contraction of each set. Participants were instructed to maintain a constant force on the guideline and "push through the stimulation" (52). Finally, ten single- and ten paired-pulse TMS stimulations were delivered during a 10% MVC contraction in an alternate order to determine corticospinal excitability and short-interval cortical inhibition (SICI), respectively. The neuromuscular assessment was repeated immediately post-exercise. Measures of neuromuscular function (MVC, Q_{tw.pot}, VA_{MNS}) were measured within 30 s of task failure, and VA_{TMS} measured within 2-2.5 minutes, in an attempt to minimise the dissipation of fatigue, however it is possible that the sensitivity of these measures was compromised due to the rapid recovery of central fatigue post-exercise (32).

Force and Electromyographical Recordings

During assessments of neuromuscular function and fatiguing tasks, participants sat on a custom-built chair with knee and hip angles kept constant (both 90° flexion). A calibrated load cell (MuscleLab force sensor 300, Ergotest technology, Norway) was attached via a non-compliant cuff positioned 2 cm superior to the ankle malleoli on the participants' right leg, to measure knee extensor force (N). Surface Ag/AgCl electrodes (Kendall H87PG/F; Covidien, Mansfield, MA) were placed over the *rectus femoris* (RF), and *biceps femoris* (BF) with a 2 cm inter-electrode distance, to record the compound muscle action potential (M-wave) elicited by the electrical stimulation of the femoral nerve, the MEP

elicited by TMS, and the root-mean-square amplitude during isometric contractions (rmsEMG). Electrode placement was consistent with SENIAM guidelines (35), and a reference electrode was placed over the patella. Prior to placement, the skin-electrode contact area was cleaned using a 70% IPA alcohol wipe (FastAid, Robinson Healthcare, Worksop, UK). Signals were amplified: gain ×1000 for EMG and ×300 for force (CED 1902; Cambridge Electronic Design, Cambridge, UK), bandpass filtered (EMG only: 20–2000 Hz), digitized (5 kHz; CED 1401, Cambridge Electronic Design), and analysed offline (Spike2 v8, Cambridge Electronic Design).

Motor Nerve Stimulation

Single electrical stimuli (200 μ s duration) were delivered to the right femoral nerve using a constant current stimulator (DS7AH Digitimer Ltd, Welwyn Garden City, UK) via adhesive surface electrodes (CF3200; Nidd Valley Medical Ltd., Harrogate, UK). The cathode was placed over the nerve, high in the femoral triangle, in the position that elicited the greatest twitch amplitude (Q_{tw}) and M-wave in the RF at rest. The anode was placed halfway between the greater trochanter and iliac crest. Optimum stimulus intensity was determined as the minimum current that elicited maximum values of Q_{tw} and M-wave (M_{max}) at rest. To ensure a supramaximal stimulus, the optimum stimulus intensity was increased by 30% and was not different between trials in either study (A: 230 \pm 61 vs. 241 \pm 65 mA, P = 0.271; B: 233 \pm 72, 244 \pm 68, and 262 \pm 67 mA, P = 0.125).

Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation

Single and paired pulse stimuli (1 ms duration) were delivered to the contralateral (left) motor cortex via a concave double cone coil (110 mm diameter, maximum output 1.4 T) powered by two linked monopulse stimulators (Magstim Bistim and Magstim²⁰⁰, The

Magstim Company, Whitland, UK). Optimal coil placement was determined as the position that elicited the greatest RF motor evoked potential (MEP) with concomitant smallest antagonist (BF) MEP during a 10% MVC at 50-70% stimulator output. This position was marked on the scalp with indelible marker to ensure consistent placement during trials. Stimulator intensity for VA_{TMS} was determined as the intensity that elicited the greatest superimposed twitch (SIT) during a contraction at 50% MVC. Stimulator intensity was increased in 5% intervals from 50% stimulator output and two stimuli were delivered during a \sim 5 s contraction, with the mean of two SITs recorded (9, 18). Mean stimulator intensity was not different between trials in either study (A: 67 ± 10 vs. $66 \pm 10\%$, P = 0.737; $B: 63 \pm 10$, 63 ± 11 , and $63 \pm 12\%$, P = 0.984). The stimulator output activated a large proportion of the KE motoneuron pool at baseline in each experimental visit with no difference between trials in Study A (69 \pm 35 vs. 68 \pm 36% M_{max} amplitude, P = 0.916) or Study B (61 ± 17; 57 ± 17; 55 ± 14% M_{max} amplitude; P = 0.788). Small coactivation of the antagonist muscle (BF) was observed in response to TMS and did not differ between trials in Study A (0.60 \pm 0.37 vs. 0.72 \pm 0.53 mV, P = 0.106) or Study B (0.53 \pm 0.39, 0.71 \pm 0.42 and 0.60 \pm 0.34 mV, P = 0.211).

Active motor threshold (aMT) was determined as the stimulator intensity that elicited a MEP of > 200 μ V in three out of five stimulations during a 10% MVC contraction. Stimulator intensity was increased in 5% steps from 35% of stimulator output until a consistent MEP amplitude >200 μ V was found. Thereafter, stimulus intensity was reduced in 1% steps until the lowest intensity to elicit a MEP of >200 μ V was found. aMT was not different on any testing visit in *Study A* (40 ± 6 vs. 40 ± 7%, P = 0.746) or *Study B* (43 ± 9, 42 ± 8, and 43 ± 9%, P = 0.874). SICI was assessed with ten paired and ten single pulse stimulations delivered. Paired-pulse TMS consisted of a conditioning pulse at 70%

of aMT, and a test pulse at 120% aMT, with an inter-stimulus interval of 2 ms. All stimuli were delivered during a 10% contraction. This paradigm has previously been demonstrated as the optimal configuration for eliciting SICI in the KE (8), and has been used previously in our laboratory in male populations (31). Two sets of 10 stimuli were used, with a 10 s rest between contractions.

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

311

312

313

314

315

Blood Sampling and Hormone Analysis (Study B only)

Venous blood sampling was performed on the morning of each testing session. A 10 mL blood sample was drawn from an antecubital vein into a silica coated tube by a trained phlebotomist, then left upright for 15 minutes to coagulate before centrifuging. Samples were centrifuged at 2,500 rpm for 10 minutes at room temperature (Allegra-X22R, Beckman Coulter, USA). Using a 500-1000 μ l pipette, the supernatant serum was separated into three aliquots ($\sim 1000 \,\mu$ l each) and stored at -80° C until oestradiol and progesterone analysis were performed. Total concentrations of 17-β oestradiol and progesterone were measured in duplicate using hormone-specific enzyme-linked immunoassay kits (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI). All samples were analysed using the ELISA technique with absorbance detection (wavelength 405 nm). The minimal oestradiol and progesterone detection was 15 pg/ml and 7.5 pg/mL, respectively. To calculate 17-β oestradiol and progesterone levels, a standard curve was plotted using eight standards against their absorbance. Using the mean absorbance from the duplicate of each sample, the concentration of the sample was interpolated directly from the standard curve. The coefficients of variation (CV) for the ELISA kits, as provided by the manufacturer, were 8-12% for 17-β oestradiol, and 5-8% for progesterone. In one instance, the CV of a duplicate sample exceeded the manufacturer's CV due to an excessively high (non-physiological) reading in one well. Therefore, the lower of the two was used for data analysis. Participants' hormonal profiles were deemed 'acceptable' when a peak in progesterone concentration was observed during the luteal phase (D21) and an increase in $17-\beta$ oestradiol was observed from D2 to D14. If neither peak was observed, then participants were deemed anovulatory and excluded from further analyses.

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

336

337

338

339

Data Analysis

Voluntary activation using motor nerve stimulation was determined using the ITT (48) by comparing the amplitude of the superimposed twitch (SIT) with the amplitude of the potentiated resting twitch ($Q_{tw.pot}$) using the following formula: VA_{MNS} (%) = (1 - [SIT ÷ Qtw,pot]) × 100]. Voluntary activation using TMS was assessed during two sets of contractions at 100, 87.5, 75, 62.5 and 50% MVC (19). Single pulse TMS was delivered during each contraction, and the linear regression between SIT amplitude and contraction intensity was extrapolated to the y intercept to obtain an estimated resting twitch (ERT; 68). In order to achieve significant linearity (P < 0.05), a total of 4 out of 300 SITs across all trials in *Study A* were excluded (1.3%), which led to four regressions containing 9 data points rather than 10 (1 pre-exercise, 3 post-exercise). In Study B, six out of 870 SITs were excluded from all linear regressions (0.7%), meaning that there were 86 ten point regressions, three nine point regressions, and one eight point regression used to estimate resting twitches. Mean r^2 values for ERTs in *Study A* were 0.94 ± 0.04 pre exercise vs. 0.94 ± 0.04 post exercise, and in Study B were 0.92 ± 0.05 pre exercise, and 0.89 ± 0.07 post-exercise. The SIT during 100% MVC was compared with the ERT using the following formula: VA_{TMS} (%) = (1 - [SIT ÷ ERT]) × 100. SICI was quantified as the percentage ratio between the amplitude of conditioned MEPs to the amplitude of unconditioned MEPs. Corticospinal excitability was determined by expressing the mean MEP amplitude during the 10% MVC as a percentage of M_{max}. The

root-mean-square of EMG activity (rmsEMG) was recorded during the middle 500 ms epoch of each 3 s contraction during the fatiguing task. rmsEMG was then expressed as a percentage of M_{max} . For the data presented as %TTF, the MVC, VAMNS, and $Q_{tw,pot}$ for the nearest minute during the fatiguing protocols were taken, and the average rmsEMG for the nearest full set of contractions to the target percentage (i.e. 25, 50, or 75% TTF) was taken, for 0 and 100% TTF, the first and last complete set was used. All data analysis was performed offline. In *Study* B, despite a rigorous familiarisation and verbal encouragement, one participant failed to maintain the intermittent contractions for the required 3 s during the fatiguing task, thus invalidating the TTF duration. Therefore, it was deemed appropriate to remove the participant's TTF duration and post-trial neuromuscular assessment from further analysis (n = 14), however, baseline data was included for statistical analysis (n = 15).

Statistical Analysis

Data are presented as mean \pm SD within the text and figures. Normal Gaussian distribution of data was confirmed using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. If a violation was detected, the data was logarithmically transformed. The alpha for all statistical tests was set at $P \le 0.05$.

For Study A, between session and pre-post exercise differences were explored using two-way (2×2) repeated measures ANOVAs, if assumptions of sphericity were violated, then the Greenhouse-Geisser correction was applied. If significant main or interaction effects were detected, Bonferroni-corrected post hoc tests were performed. For between session test-retest reliability multiple indices were calculated (paired samples t-tests, typical error, intraclass correlation coefficient, 2, 36) between the two time points. Within-

subjects variation was calculated as the standard deviation of the mean differences divided by the square root of 2, and termed typical error (TE) throughout the manuscript. Typical error was expressed as absolute raw values and as a percentage of the mean (coefficient of variation, CV). Intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC_{3,1}) were calculated according to Bland and Altman (5). ICC values were defined as follows: <0.5 = poor, 0.5-0.75 = moderate, 0.75-0.9 = good, >0.9 = excellent (45). Due to the ceiling effect (i.e. all values grouped close to 100%) associated with VA_{MNS} and VA_{TMS}, the ICCs were not calculated (16, 67).

For study B, one-way repeated measures ANOVAs were run for all pre-exercise dependent variables to assess MCP changes in neuromuscular function and hormone concentrations. Sphericity was assessed using Mauchly's test and if necessary, controlled using the Greenhouse-Geisser correction. Two-way repeated measures ANOVAs were run using pre and post exercise variables to obtain both fatigue, and MCP × fatigue interaction effects. To explore potential differences in the fatigue profiles of neuromuscular and perceptual variables, two-way repeated measures ANOVAs were run including data points from baseline, 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100% of TTF. Significant main and interaction effects were explored using Bonferroni-corrected tests.

RESULTS

Study A

407 Exercise performance and pre-post exercise changes

The TTF was not different between experimental visits ($560 \pm 275 \text{ s}$ vs. $603 \pm 357 \text{ s}$ respectively, P = 0.314). When assessing exercise-induced changes in neuromuscular function, the two-way ANOVAs detected no between-trial differences in change scores

(trial × time interactions: $P \ge 0.331$), therefore to assess the pre-post change, data from both visits were pooled. The MVC decreased pre-post exercise (time effect: 507 ± 95 vs. $379 \pm 85 \text{ N}$; $F_{1.14} = 136.66$, P < 0.001, $\eta^2 = 0.91$). Similarly, indices of contractile function (Q_{tw.pot} and ERT) decreased pre-post trial (Q_{tw.pot}: 169 ± 24 vs. 109 ± 21 N; F_{1,14} = 92.61, P < 0.001, $\eta^2 = 0.87$; ERT: 120 ± 36 vs. 93 ± 28 N; $F_{1,14} = 19.07$, P = 0.001, $\eta^2 = 0.56$). Indices of VA also decreased pre-post trial: VA_{MNS} (93.6 ± 3.2 vs. 85.1 ± 6.8%; $F_{1,14}$ = 36.60, P <0.001, $\eta^2 = 0.72$) and VA_{TMS} (94.6 ± 3.1 vs. 83.1 ± 10.6%; F_{1,14} = 20.82, P < 0.001, $\eta^2 = 0.60$). Corticospinal excitability (MEP/ M_{max}) was not different pre-post exercise (P = 0.057). There were no changes in SICI pre-post exercise ($80.8 \pm 14.2 \text{ vs. } 79.8 \pm 13.9\%, P = 0.667$), whereas SP duration lengthened (189 ± 46 vs. 202 ± 50 ms, $F_{1,14}$ = 5.49, P = 0.034, η^2 = 0.28). Lastly, M_{max} was not different pre-post exercise (3.02 ± 1.19 vs. 2.81 ± 1.01 mV, P =0.362).

TABLE 2 HERE

Reliability of neuromuscular measures

Pre-exercise data from mechanical variables (Table 2) showed good (ERT, and TTF) and excellent (MVC, and $Q_{tw,pot}$) reliability. The TE and CV were also low for the majority of variables (CV \leq 12.5%), except TTF (CV = 20.0%). Post-exercise reliability (Table 2) was weaker, but still interpreted as predominantly good ($Q_{tw,pot}$, ERT) or excellent (MVC). These values were all poorer post-exercise; however, remained relatively low (CV \leq 14.9%). The relative reliability (ICCs) of the pre-post change was either moderate (MVC, ERT, VA_{MNS}) or good ($Q_{tw,pot}$), however there was a high degree of random error (CV range: 19.7 – 62.7%).

TABLE 3 HERE

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

436

Surface EMG variables (Table 3) showed moderate (MEP/M_{max}, SP) or good (SICI, M_{max}) reliability pre-exercise, but with larger test-retest CVs than mechanical variables (range: 9.2 – 30.1%). Post-exercise reliability was similar to pre- for most variables, with ICCs either moderate (MEP/M_{max}, SP) or good (M_{max}), and comparable CVs (range 13.0 -31.0%). Despite this, the post-exercise reliability of SICI was poor (ICC = 0.42), which was further supported by a significant bias between visits 1 and 2 (-9.1%, P = 0.031). When the pre-post change was significant for a variable, i.e. SP, the relative reliability of change value was deemed poor (ICC = 0.44), with a high degree of random error (CV: 155.1%).

447 Study B 448 Hormonal Profiles 449 450 TABLE 4 HERE 451 452 Thirteen out of 15 participants presented a regular hormonal profile (see Table 4). Two 453 participants had no increase in progesterone on D21; given the hypothesis that changing 454 hormone concentrations would modulate neuromuscular function, and these 455 participants did not exhibit any change in hormone concentrations, they were excluded 456 from further statistical analyses. The repeated measures ANOVAs showed an effect of 457 MCP on 17- β oestradiol (F_{1.4,19.5} = 3.55, P = 0.040, $\eta_{p^2} = 0.18$) and progesterone 458 concentration (F=1.0,14.1 = 8.35, P = 0.012, $\eta_p^2 = 0.37$). Post hoc tests revealed that 17- β 459 oestradiol concentrations were greater on D14 compared to D2 (P = 0.033), and greater 460 on D21 than D2 (P = 0.029). Progesterone was greater on D21 than D2 and D14 (P = 0.011, 461 and 0.012, respectively). 462 463 Baseline Neuromuscular Function 464 465 FIGURE 1 HERE 466 467 MVC force was unaffected by MCP (Figure 1A, $F_{1.4,16.8} = 0.15$, P = 0.790, $\eta_p^2 = 0.01$). Potentiated twitch force was also unchanged (Figure 1B, $F_{2,24} = 0.25$, P = 0.782, $\eta_{\rm p}^2 = 0.02$); 468 however, the SIT elicited by MNS was affected by MCP ($F_{2,28} = 3.69$, P = 0.040, $\eta_p^2 = 0.24$), 469

with greater SITs on D14 compared to D2 (mean difference: 2 N, P = 0.031). The reduced

SIT on D14 meant that VA_{MNS} was affected by MCP (Figure 1C, $F_{2,28} = 9.23$, P = 0.001, η_D^2

470

- 472 = 0.44) with post hoc tests showing greater VA_{MNS} on D14 compared to D2 (mean difference: 1.9%, P = 0.007), however, there was no difference between D14 and D21 (mean difference: 1.0%, P = 0.059). VA_{TMS} was also affected by MCP (Figure 1D, F_{2,28} = 5.89, P = 0.008, $\eta_p^2 = 0.33$) with greater values on D14 compared to D21 (mean difference: 3.0%, P = 0.016), however, D14 and D2 were not different (mean difference: 2.5%, P = 0.080). Despite the change in VA_{TMS}, neither of its constituent parts were altered by MCP:
- 478 ERT ($F_{1.3,15.3} = 0.25$, P = 0.784, $\eta_p^2 = 0.02$) and SIT elicited by TMS ($F_{1.3,15.3} = 2.17$, P = 0.136,
- 479 $\eta_p^2 = 0.15$).

480

481 FIGURE 2 HERE

482

- As shown in Table 3, M_{max} was unaffected by MCP ($F_{2,28} = 0.24$, P = 0.786, $\eta_p^2 = 0.02$), nor was normalized MEP amplitude (Figure 2A, $F_{2,28} = 2.24$, P = 0.129, $\eta_p^2 = 0.16$). However, SICI was affected (Table 5 and Figure 2B, $F_{1.4, 16.8} = 13.52$, P < 0.001, $\eta_p^2 = 0.53$) with post
- 486 hoc tests showing greater inhibition on D21 compared to D2 (mean difference: −10%, *P*
- 487 = 0.048) and D14 (mean difference: -14%, P = 0.001). The pre-stimulus normalised
- 488 rmsEMG activity was not different between MCPs (D2: 1.16 ± 0.43 , D14: 1.07 ± 0.53 , D21:
- 489 1.20 \pm 0.64% M_{max}, $F_{2,28}$ = 0.31, P = 0.736, η_p^2 = 0.025) and neither was the SP (Figure 2C,
- 490 Table 5, $F_{2,28} = 0.53$, P = 0.594, $\eta_P^2 = 0.04$).

491

492 FIGURE 3 HERE

493

494

495

Fatigability

497

498 Time to task failure during the intermittent, isometric, fatiguing task was significantly 499 affected by MCP (see Figure 3, $F_{1.4, 14.8} = 6.89$, P = 0.030, $\eta_p^2 = 0.32$), with post hoc tests 500 showing greater TTF on D21 compared to D2 (mean difference: 187 s, P = 0.025). 501 However, there was no difference between D21 and D14 (mean difference: 135 s, P =502 0.103), or D2 and D14 (P = 0.594). The two-way ANOVA (MCP × time) time effect showed 503 that MVC decreased pre-post exercise ($F_{1,11} = 80.056$, P < 0.001, $\eta_p^2 = 0.88$), as did $Q_{tw,pot}$ $(F_{1,11} = 123.53, P < 0.001, \eta_p^2 = 0.92), VA_{MNS} (F_{1,11} = 15.219, P = 0.002, \eta_p^2 = 0.58), and$ 504 505 VA_{TMS} (F_{1,11} = 13.99, P = 0.003, η_p^2 = 0.56). SP also increased pre-post exercise (F_{1,11} = 506 9.68, P = 0.010, $\eta_p^2 = 0.468$). The MCP × time interaction effects for the aforementioned 507 variables that changed pre-post exercise indicated no difference between MCPs (all $P \ge$ 508 0.128). The only exception to this was VA_{TMS} ($F_{2,22} = 3.48$, P = 0.049, $\eta_p^2 = 0.24$), however, 509 post hoc tests revealed that the differences were only apparent pre-exercise (as indicated 510 above), and not post-exercise ($P \ge 0.670$). Despite no time effect (P = 0.578), SICI displayed a MCP × time interaction effect (F_{1.4, 15.0} = 5.26, P = 0.028, $\eta_p^2 = 0.32$), however, 511 512 the only differences were evident pre-exercise (as indicated above), with no post-513 exercise difference ($P \ge 0.247$).

514

515

TABLE 5 HERE

516

517

518

519

520

521

All variables measured during the fatiguing tasks (see Figure 4) demonstrated time effects ($P \le 0.024$), however, only some (MVC, $Q_{tw.pot}$, VA_{MNS}) demonstrated an absence of MCP × time interaction effects ($P \ge 0.205$). MVC (Figure 4A) decreased progressively from baseline to 75% TTF (all intervals $P \le 0.001$), however, between 75% and 100% TTF no further decrease was observed (P = 0.776). A similar pattern was observed with $Q_{tw.pot}$

(Figure 4B), with decreases exhibited until 50% TTF (both intervals $P \le 0.009$), however, between 50-100% TTF $Q_{\text{tw.pot}}$ did not further decrease ($P \ge 0.593$). VAMNS (Figure 4C) demonstrated the inverse time course, with no change from 0 to 50% TTF ($P \ge 0.345$), then a progressive decrease from 50 to 100% TTF ($P \le 0.034$). rmsEMG (Figure 4D) and RPE (Figure 4E) exhibited phase × time interaction effects ($P \le 0.032$). RPE increased progressively throughout all trials ($P \le 0.008$), however, at 25% TTF RPE was greater on D21 compared to D14 (+2, P = 0.006), at 50% TTF D21 was greater than D2 (+2, P < 0.001), and at 75% TTF D21 was greater than D2 (+1, P = 0.005). The only significant increase in rmsEMG was between 25 and 50% TTF (P = 0.003), and despite the phase × time interaction effect, no post hoc differences between phases were apparent ($P \ge 0.205$).

FIGURE 4 HERE

DISCUSSION

The present investigation aimed to assess the influence of modulations in female sex hormones across the eumenorrheic menstrual cycle on neuromuscular function and fatigability. The data from *Study A* established repeatability of the measures in a hormonally-constant female population (mOCP users). Subsequently, *Study B* showed that in eumenorrheic females, the hormone-induced changes in neuromuscular function and fatigability across the menstrual cycle were greater than the associated error from hormonally-constant females in *Study A*. Whilst one index of neuromuscular function (MVC) did not change, modulations in CNS control of muscle contraction were observed. Specifically, VA was greatest on D14 which was concurrent with an increase in the concentration of oestrogen. Additionally, parallel to an increase in progesterone, SICI was

greatest on D21. Time to task failure during the open-ended intermittent, isometric protocol was greatest on D21 of the cycle. Collectively, the present data suggest that neuromuscular function and fatigability are modulated by the eumenorrheic menstrual cycle.

Maximum strength and voluntary activation across the menstrual cycle

There was no effect of MCP on MVC force. As mentioned, previous data regarding maximum voluntary strength across the menstrual cycle is equivocal. In agreement with the present study, multiple studies have shown no effect (24, 40, 46), however, several studies have shown that strength peaks mid-cycle (49, 53, 64). Previously, discrepancies such as the time of day (4), or variability in menstrual cycle duration, as well as the chosen days of the menstrual cycle for testing (27) have been used as explanatory reasons for this discrepancy. The present study controlled these factors within Study B, by testing at the same time of day and confirming participants were in the correct phase by serum hormone analysis, yet no effect of MCP was observed.

Interestingly, Study B demonstrated changes in VA (assessed by both MNS and TMS) despite no change in MVC. VA_{MNS} peaked on D14 and VA_{TMS} was greater on D14 compared to D21 (see Figure 1). As Q_{tw.pot} and ERT were not affected by MCP, these changes in VA were mediated by a decreased SIT amplitude on D14 in response to both motor nerve and motor cortical stimulation. This could indicate that there was a decrease in the capacity of the CNS to elicit extra force in response to stimulation. The TMS and MNS evoked SITs represent the extra force from motor units that the CNS is not able to voluntarily recruit or discharge at a sufficient rate (68). As acknowledged by Todd *et al*

(68), a change in SIT force could be caused by changes in the CNS altering activation of the motoneuron, therefore changes within the motor cortex could provide an explanation for the change in VA. An alternative explanation could be the magnitude of the respective measurement errors of these variables. In Study A, when hormones were controlled, the CVs of VA_{MNS} (1.7%) and VA_{TMS} (3.0%) are lower than the typical error for MVC (5.0%). Whilst changes seen in the present data set (i.e. the 1.8% increase in VA_{MNS} between D2 - D14, or the 3.1% decrease in VA_{TMS} between D14 - D21) were similar to typical error, it could be the case that the increase in VA was not large enough to elicit a detectable increase in MVC due to its larger typical error. Previous studies that have shown VA_{MNS} not to change have used the CAR equation (40, 46), which is less sensitive to change than the ITT (50). It is likely therefore, that the magnitude of menstrual cycle effect on VA_{MNS} and VA_{TMS} is marginally greater than the random error associated with the techniques used to assess it, thus based on current evidence, the true effect is unclear. Also of note is the MCP \times time interaction effect for VA_{TMS}, which would indicate that the magnitude of change from pre-post exercise was different between MCPs. However, this appears to be driven by the increased VA_{TMS} pre-exercise on D14, as there were no differences in postexercise values. Therefore, it is unlikely that participants experienced a greater degree of CNS adjustment following exercise during the late-follicular phase (D14).

589

590

591

592

593

594

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

Corticospinal and intracortical function across the menstrual cycle

As mentioned, the increase in both measurements of VA on D14 (VA_{MNS} and VA_{TMS}) could represent changes in supraspinal properties altering synaptic drive to the motoneuron pool across the menstrual cycle (51). To investigate the state of the corticospinal tract and motor cortex, the present study employed single- and paired-pulse TMS. No

menstrual cycle effect was observed on corticospinal excitability, however, intracortical inhibition was increased on D21. Single pulse MEPs in the resting FDI muscle have previously been shown not to be affected by oestrogen concentrations (day 1 vs. day 14 of the menstrual cycle, 39), and the present study extends this conclusion to the active knee extensors, whilst demonstrating that the increase of progesterone concentrations on D21 is not concurrent with changes in corticospinal excitability. When considering paired-pulse responses, however, the increase in progesterone concentrations were concomitant with a ~14% increase in SICI, which when considered with previous evidence (37, 59), was likely through potentiation of GABAA inhibition. Indeed, GABA agonist pharmacological interventions (e.g. baclofen and gabapentin) have shown similar changes (74). The difference between days 14 and 21 demonstrated in *Study B* (14%) was double the measurement error of SICI observed in Study A (7%), however, there was no difference between D2 and D14 (difference = 4%). Interestingly, SICI followed a similar pattern to the E:P ratio (see Table 4), with the only significant change demonstrated on D21 concurrent to a decrease in the E:P ratio. Furthermore, the MCP × time interaction effect for SICI in Study B would suggest that intracortical inhibition is differentially modulated by exercise throughout the menstrual cycle. Whilst this is a concept that has been postulated before (23), and the present data appears to show this phenomenon, the interaction should be treated with caution as the post-exercise reliability of SICI in Study A was poor. A significant bias was observed (P = 0.031), with a poor ICC value (0.42), thus, a conclusion regarding MCP specific changes in intracortical inhibition following exercise cannot be confidently made using the present data.

617

618

619

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

The TMS SP, thought to partly reflect GABA_B inhibitory mechanisms (14) was not affected by MCP, supporting previous data recorded in the FDI muscle (33). However, the

conclusion that the menstrual cycle affects only GABAA neurotransmission cannot be made with the current data, as Yacyshyn et al. (73) showed that the SP has a large spinal contribution. Additionally, glutamatergic intracortical facilitation (ICF) was not measured in the present study, but has previously been shown to be affected by the menstrual cycle, with augmented ICF demonstrated mid-cycle (56, 57). Whilst the causal link between intracortical function and voluntary activation is under researched, it is possible that the adjustments of intracortical circuitry altered the capacity of TMS and MNS to evoke a SIT. For instance, if intracortical excitability was greatest on D14, there may have been a 'ceiling effect', meaning the stimulations were not able to induce additional excitation in the motor cortex, thus, innervating fewer additive motor units during MVCs, and evoking a smaller SIT, and the contrary occurring on D21, when inhibition was greatest. The modulation of neurotransmitters has previously shown to affect VA, with pharmacological increases in noradrenaline (44) and serotonin (42) resulting in a $\sim 1-2\%$ increase in VA. Indeed the effects of serotonin have been shown to be augmented by oestrogen (3), and inhibited by progesterone (34). Therefore, it is possible that the modulation of inhibitory and facilitatory intracortical circuitry across the menstrual cycle might collectively contribute to the changes in VAMNS and VATMS.

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

636

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

Fatigability across the menstrual cycle

Fatigability, as measured by the TTF of the open-ended fatiguing protocol, was lowest on D21 (i.e. greatest TTF), thus supporting the findings of Sarwar et al. (53), who showed that fatigue index was lowest in the luteal phase during a three-minute intermittent involuntary contraction protocol. The present data, however, contradicts Janse de Jonge et al. (40), who showed no effect of MCP during voluntary or electrically evoked fatiguing protocols performed with the knee extensors. The differences between tasks could

explain these discrepancies. The voluntary task used by Janse de Jonge et al. (44) involved both dynamic knee extension and flexion, rather than a single muscle group. This anisometric, multi-muscle group exercise likely elicits a different pattern of sensory afferent feedback (30), and was not open-ended like the present study, which could explain the discrepancies in fatigability. The same reasons might also apply to why the findings of DiBrezzo et al. (21) are inconsistent with the present study, who similarly demonstrated no menstrual cycle effect on fatigue during a set amount of dynamic contractions. Thus, the task employed in the present study likely permitted a greater degree of fatigue to develop, allowing the aforementioned MCP differences to be discerned.

As widely acknowledged, fatigability has both physiological and perceptual components that interact to determine exercise tolerance (26, 66). The fatiguing task in the present study involved high intensity (60% MVC) intermittent, isometric contractions, which were assumed to be far greater than the critical torque (~30% MVC [12]), and limited by decrements in neuromuscular adjustments (1, 11). With no MCP x time interaction effects displayed for neuromuscular variables (MVC, Qtw.pot, and VA), the degree of pre-post exercise adjustment was not different between menstrual cycle phases. Accordingly, one hypothesis for why TTF was longer on D21 could be the influence of neurotransmitter systems on perceptions of fatigue. The present study measured GABAergic inhibition and demonstrated a large increase in SICI on D21 (Figure 2B), and it has previously been shown that GABA can have anti-nociceptive properties (25) acting as an analgesic (41). Indeed, it has recently been postulated that "luteal analgesia" occurs in eumenorrheic females when progesterone is elevated, where the affective response to nociceptive pain is reduced due to alterations in functional connectivity in the emotional regulation

network (69). Thus, it could be possible that the analgesic effects of enhanced GABAergic neurotransmission permitted participants to continue exercising for a longer period due to a lower perception of pain. However, more evidence is needed to explore the effects of GABAergic inhibition on exercise-induced fatigue.

Further Considerations

In *Study* B it would appear that there was substantial between-subject variation in neuromuscular function and the changes across the menstrual cycle (Figures 1-3). Potential explanations for this could be the large standard deviations in hormone concentrations at each time point (Table 4), which has been reported in previous investigations (55). Additionally, inter-individual differences in hormone receptor numbers and sensitivity could contribute to the variation in changes across the menstrual cycle. In muscle tissue (22), expression of sex hormone receptors is altered by changing hormonal environments, which could conceivably occur in neuronal tissues such as the motor cortex, however the present data cannot answer this research question.

Whilst serum hormones were quantified for the eumenorrheic females in Study B, the mOCP users' serum hormone concentrations were not quantified in Study A. These data would have provided useful information about the measurement error of the sample, however individual 'meaningful' changes might differ between participants in order to achieve ovulation.

Conclusion

The present investigation demonstrated that when neuro-active hormones are constant, females demonstrate stable neuromuscular function (*Study A*). In contrast, when

eumenorrheic females were tested at three distinct phases of the menstrual cycle (Study B), the changing hormonal environment coincided with large changes in CNS function, which affected aspects of motor performance. Specifically, oestrogen had neuro-excitatory effects that were associated with an increase in VA on D14, whereas progesterone's neuro-inhibitory effects was concurrent with an increased intracortical inhibition and decreased VA. Additionally, fatigability was modulated by MCP, with the greatest TTF seen on D21, concurrent with an increase in progesterone. Thus, the menstrual cycle elicits changes in neuromuscular function and fatigability in locomotor muscle of eumenorrheic females.

705	The authors would like to thank Mr Tom Pearson of Cambridge Electronic Design Ltd for
706	designing the scripts that facilitated data analysis.
707	
708	Competing Interests
709	The authors have no competing interests of any kind to declare.
710	
711	Funding
712	No funding was received for the completion of this work.
713	
714	Author Contributions
715	PA, KT, GH, SKH and SG devised the study protocol. PA, CB, JS, KMH and DCMS collected ${\sf PA}$
716	the data. PA analysed the data. PA, KMH, KT, GH, and SG interpreted the results. PA
717	drafted the manuscript. All authors revised and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

718 Reference List

- 720 1. **Amann M**. Central and peripheral fatigue: interaction during cycling exercise in
- 721 humans. Medicine Sci Sports Exerc 43: 2039-2045, 2011.
- 722 2. **Atkinson G, and Nevill AM**. Statistical Methods For Assessing Measurement Error
- 723 (Reliability) in Variables Relevant to Sports Medicine. Sports Med 26: 217-238, 1998.
- 3. **Bethea CL, Lu NZ, Gundlah C, and Streicher JM**. Diverse actions of ovarian steroids in
- 725 the serotonin neural system. *Front Neuroendocrinol* 23: 41-100, 2002.
- 726 4. **Birch K, and Reilly T**. The Diurnal Rhythym In Isometric Muscular Performance
- 727 Differs With Eumenorrheic Menstrual Cycle Phase. Chronobiol Int 19: 731-742, 2002.
- 728 5. **Bland JM, and Altman DG**. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two
- methods of clinical measurement. *Lancet.* 1: 307-310, 1986.
- 730 6. **Borg GA**. Psychophysical bases of perceived exertion. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 14: 377-731 381, 1982.
- 732 7. **Brouwer B, and Ashby P**. Corticospinal projections to upper and lower limb spinal
- 733 motoneurons in man. Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol 76: 509-519, 1990.
- 734 8. **Brownstein CG, Ansdell P, Škarabot J, Howatson G, Goodall S, and Thomas K**. An
- optimal protocol for measurement of corticospinal excitability, short intracortical inhibition
- and intracortical facilitation in the rectus femoris. *Journal of the Neurological Sciences* 394:
- 737 45-56, 2018.
- 738 9. Brownstein CG, Dent JP, Parker P, Hicks KM, Howatson G, Goodall S, and Thomas
- 739 K. Etiology and Recovery of Neuromuscular Fatigue following Competitive Soccer Match-
- 740 Play. Front Physiol 8: 2017.
- 741 10. Bryant AL, Clark RA, Bartold S, Murphy A, Bennell KL, Hohmann E, Marshall-
- 742 **Gradisnik S, Payne C, and Crossley KM**. Effects of estrogen on the mechanical behavior of
- the human Achilles tendon in vivo. J Appl Physiol 105: 1035-1043, 2008.
- 744 11. Burnley M, Vanhatalo A, Fulford J, and Jones AM. Similar metabolic perturbations
- 745 during all-out and constant force exhaustive exercise in humans: a (31)P magnetic
- resonance spectroscopy study. Exp Physiol 95: 798-807, 2010.
- 747 12. Burnley M, Vanhatalo A, and Jones AM. Distinct profiles of neuromuscular fatigue
- 748 during muscle contractions below and above the critical torque in humans. J Appl Physiol
- 749 113: 215-223, 2012.
- 750 13. Carroll TJ, Taylor JL, and Gandevia SC. Recovery of central and peripheral
- 751 neuromuscular fatigue after exercise. *J Appl Physiol* 122: 1068-1076, 2017.
- 752 14. Chen R, Lozano AM, and Ashby P. Mechanism of the silent period following
- 753 transcranial magnetic stimulation Evidence from epidural recordings. Exp Brain Res 128: 539-
- 754 542, 1999.
- 755 15. Chen R, Tam A, Bütefisch C, Corwell B, Ziemann U, Rothwell JC, and Cohen LG.
- 756 Intracortical Inhibition and Facilitation in Different Representations of the Human Motor
- 757 Cortex. J Neurophysiol 80: 2870-2881, 1998.
- 758 16. Clark BC, Cook SB, and Ploutz-Snyder LL. Reliability of techniques to assess human
- 759 neuromuscular function in vivo. J Electromyogr Kinesiol 17: 90-101, 2007.
- 760 17. Clarkson AN, Huang BS, MacIsaac SE, Mody I, and Carmichael ST. Reducing
- 761 excessive GABA-mediated tonic inhibition promotes functional recovery after stroke. *Nature*
- 762 468: 305, 2010.

- 763 18. Dekerle J, Ansdell P, Schäfer L, Greenhouse-Tucknott A, and Wrightson J.
- 764 Methodological issues with the assessment of voluntary activation using transcranial
- 765 magnetic stimulation in the knee extensors. Eur J Appl Physiol In Press 2018.
- 766 19. Dekerle J, Greenhouse-Tucknott A, Wrightson J, Schäfer L, and Ansdell P. Improving
- 767 the measurement of TMS-assessed voluntary activation in the knee extensors. SportRxiv
- 768 2018.
- 769 20. Dibrezzo R, Fort I, and Brown B. Dynamic Strength and Work Variations during Three
- 770 Stages of the Menstrual Cycle. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 10: 113-116, 1988.
- 771 21. DiBrezzo R, Fort IL, and Brown B. Relationships among strength, endurance, weight
- and body fat during three phases of the menstrual cycle. J Sports Med Phys Fitness 31: 89-
- 773 94, 1991.
- 774 22. Ekenros L, Papoutsi Z, Fridén C, Dahlman Wright K, and Lindén Hirschberg A.
- 775 Expression of sex steroid hormone receptors in human skeletal muscle during the menstrual
- 776 cycle. *Acta Physiol* 219: 486-493, 2017.
- 777 23. El-Sayes J, Harasym D, Turco CV, Locke MB, and Nelson AJ. Exercise-Induced
- 778 Neuroplasticity: A Mechanistic Model and Prospects for Promoting Plasticity. *Neuroscientist*
- 779 In Press, 2018.
- 780 24. Elliott KJ, Cable NT, Reilly T, and Diver MJ. Effect of menstrual cycle phase on the
- 781 concentration of bioavailable 17-β oestradiol and testosterone and muscle strength. Clin Sci
- 782 105: 663-669, 2003.
- 783 25. Enna SJ, and McCarson KE. The Role of GABA in the Mediation and Perception of
- 784 Pain. Adv Pharmacol 2006, p. 1-27.
- 785 26. **Enoka RM, and Duchateau J**. Translating Fatigue to Human Performance. *Med Sci*
- 786 *Sports Exerc* 48: 2228-2238, 2016.
- 787 27. **Fehring RJ, Schneider M, and Raviele K**. Variability in the Phases of the Menstrual
- 788 Cycle. *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs* 35: 376-384, 2006.
- 789 28. Frye CA. An overview of oral contraceptives: mechanism of action and clinical use.
- 790 Neurology 66: S29-36, 2006.
- 791 29. Furlan L, and Sterr A. The Applicability of Standard Error of Measurement and
- 792 Minimal Detectable Change to Motor Learning Research—A Behavioral Study. Front Hum
- 793 Neurosci 12: 2018.
- 794 30. **Gandevia SC**. Spinal and Supraspinal Factors in Human Muscle Fatigue. *Physiol Rev*
- 795 81: 1725-1789, 2001.
- 796 31. Goodall S, Howatson G, and Thomas K. Modulation of specific inhibitory networks in
- 797 fatigued locomotor muscles of healthy males. Exp Brain Res 236: 463-473, 2018.
- 798 32. Gruet M, Temesi J, Rupp T, Levy P, Verges S, and Millet GY. Dynamics of
- 799 corticospinal changes during and after high-intensity quadriceps exercise. Exp Physiol 99:
- 800 1053-1064, 2014.
- 801 33. Hausmann M, Tegenthoff M, Sänger J, Janssen F, Güntürkün O, and Schwenkreis P.
- 802 Transcallosal inhibition across the menstrual cycle: A TMS study. *Clinical Neurophysiology*
- 803 117: 26-32, 2006.
- 804 34. Henderson JA, and Bethea CL. Differential effects of ovarian steroids and raloxifene
- on serotonin 1A and 2C receptor protein expression in macaques. Endocrine 33: 285-293,
- 806 2008.
- 807 35. Hermens HJ, Freriks B, Disselhorst-Klug C, and Rau G. Development of
- 808 recommendations for SEMG sensors and sensor placement procedures. J Electromyogr
- 809 *Kinesiol* 10: 361-374, 2000.

- 810 36. **Hopkins WG**. Measures of Reliability in Sports Medicine and Science. *Sports Med* 30:
- 811 1-15, 2000.
- 812 37. **Hsu F-C, and Smith S**. Progesterone Withdrawal Reduces Paired-Pulse Inhibition in
- 813 Rat Hippocampus: Dependence on GABAA Receptor α4 Subunit Upregulation. J
- 814 *Neurophysiol* 89: 186-198, 2003.
- 815 38. **Hunter SK**. Performance Fatigability: Mechanisms and Task Specificity. *Cold Spring*
- 816 Harb Perspect Med 8: 2018.
- 817 39. Inghilleri M, Conte A, Currà A, Frasca V, Lorenzano C, and Berardelli A. Ovarian
- 818 hormones and cortical excitability. An rTMS study in humans. Clin Neurophysiol 115: 1063-
- 819 1068, 2004.
- 40. Janse de Jonge XA, Boot CR, Thom JM, Ruell PA, and Thompson MW. The influence
- 821 of menstrual cycle phase on skeletal muscle contractile characteristics in humans. J Physiol
- 822 530: 161-166, 2001.
- 823 41. Jasmin L, Rabkin SD, Granato A, Boudah A, and Ohara PT. Analgesia and
- 824 hyperalgesia from GABA-mediated modulation of the cerebral cortex. *Nature* 424: 316,
- 825 2003.
- 826 42. Kavanagh JJ, McFarland AJ, and Taylor JL. Enhanced availability of serotonin
- 827 increases activation of unfatigued muscle but exacerbates central fatigue during prolonged
- sustained contractions. J Physiol 597: 319-332, 2019.
- 829 43. Keller A. Intrinsic Synaptic Organization of the Motor Cortex. Cereb Cortex 3: 430-
- 830 441, 1993.
- 831 44. Klass M, Roelands B, Meeusen R, and Duchateau J. Acute Effect of Noradrenergic
- Modulation on Motor Output Adjustment in Men. Med Sci Sports Exerc 50: 1579-1587,
- 833 2018.
- 834 45. Koo TK, and Li MY. A Guideline of Selecting and Reporting Intraclass Correlation
- Coefficients for Reliability Research. *Journal of Chiropractic Medicine* 15: 155-163, 2016.
- 836 46. Kubo K, Miyamoto M, Tanaka S, Maki A, Tsunoda N, and Kanehisa H. Muscle and
- tendon properties during menstrual cycle. *Int J Sports Med* 30: 139-143, 2009.
- 838 47. McEwen B. Invited Review: Estrogens effects on the brain: multiple sites and
- 839 molecular mechanisms. *J Appl Physiol* 91: 2785-2801, 2001.
- 840 48. Merton PA. Voluntary strength and fatigue. J Physiol 123: 553-564, 1954.
- 841 49. Phillips SK, Sanderson AG, Birch K, Bruce SA, and Woledge RC. Changes in maximal
- voluntary force of human adductor pollicis muscle during the menstrual cycle. *J Physiol* 496:
- 843 551-557, 1996.
- 844 50. Place N, Maffiuletti NA, Martin A, and Lepers R. Assessment of the reliability of
- 845 central and peripheral fatigue after sustained maximal voluntary contraction of the
- 846 quadriceps muscle. *Muscle Nerve* 35: 486-495, 2007.
- 847 51. Rekling JC, Funk GD, Bayliss DA, Dong XW, and Feldman JL. Synaptic control of
- motoneuronal excitability. *Physiol Rev* 80: 767-852, 2000.
- 849 52. Säisänen L, Pirinen E, Teitti S, Könönen M, Julkunen P, Määttä S, and Karhu J.
- 850 Factors influencing cortical silent period: Optimized stimulus location, intensity and muscle
- 851 contraction. *J Neurosci Methods* 169: 231-238, 2008.
- 852 53. Sarwar R, Niclos BB, and Rutherford OM. Changes in muscle strength, relaxation
- rate and fatiguability during the human menstrual cycle. J Physiol 493: 267-272, 1996.
- 854 54. Schultz KN, von Esenwein SA, Hu M, Bennett AL, Kennedy RT, Musatov S, Toran-
- 855 Allerand CD, Kaplitt MG, Young LJ, and Becker JB. Viral Vector-Mediated Overexpression of

- 856 Estrogen Receptor-α in Striatum Enhances the Estradiol-Induced Motor Activity in Female
- 857 Rats and Estradiol-Modulated GABA Release. J Neurosci 29: 1897-1903, 2009.
- 858 55. Sherman BM, and Korenman SG. Hormonal characteristics of the human menstrual
- 859 cycle throughout reproductive life. *J Clin Invest* 55: 699-706, 1975.
- 860 56. Smith MJ, Adams LF, Schmidt PJ, Rubinow DR, and Wassermann EM. Effects of
- ovarian hormones on human cortical excitability. *Ann Neurol* 51: 599-603, 2002.
- 862 57. Smith MJ, Keel JC, Greenberg BD, Adams LF, Schmidt PJ, Rubinow DA, and
- 863 Wassermann EM. Menstrual cycle effects on cortical excitability. *Neurology* 53: 2069, 1999.
- 864 58. Smith SS. Estrogen administration increases neuronal responses to excitatory amino
- acids as a long-term effect. *Brain Res* 503: 354-357, 1989.
- 866 59. Smith SS, Waterhouse BD, Chapin JK, and Woodward DJ. Progesterone alters GABA
- and glutamate responsiveness: a possible mechanism for its anxiolytic action. *Brain Res* 400:
- 868 353-359, 1987.
- 869 60. Smith SS, Woodward DJ, and Chapin JK. Sex steroids modulate motor-correlated
- increases in cerebellar discharge. *Brain Res* 476: 307-316, 1989.
- 871 61. Smith SS, and Woolley CS. Cellular and molecular effects of steroid hormones on
- 872 CNS excitability. *Cleve Clin J Med* 71 Suppl 2: S4-10, 2004.
- 873 62. **Stoffel-Wagner B.** Neurosteroid metabolism in the human brain. *Eur J Endocrinol*
- 874 145: 669-679, 2001.
- 875 63. Tamm AS, Lagerquist O, Ley AL, and Collins DF. Chronotype Influences Diurnal
- Variations in the Excitability of the Human Motor Cortex and the Ability to Generate Torque
- during a Maximum Voluntary Contraction. J Biological Rhythms 24: 211-224, 2009.
- 878 64. **Tenan MS, Hackney AC, and Griffin L**. Maximal force and tremor changes across the
- 879 menstrual cycle. Eur J A Physiol 116: 153-160, 2016.
- 880 65. **Tenan MS, Peng YL, Hackney AC, and Griffin L**. Menstrual cycle mediates vastus
- medialis and vastus medialis oblique muscle activity. Med Sci Sports Exerc 45: 2151-2157,
- 882 2013.
- 883 66. Thomas K, Goodall S, and Howatson G. Performance Fatigability Is Not Regulated to
- A Peripheral Critical Threshold. Exerc Sport Sci Rev 46: 240-246, 2018.
- 885 67. Todd G, Gorman RB, and Gandevia SC. Measurement and reproducibility of strength
- and voluntary activation of lower-limb muscles. *Muscle Nerve* 29: 834-842, 2004.
- 887 68. Todd G, Taylor JL, and Gandevia SC. Measurement of voluntary activation of fresh
- and fatigued human muscles using transcranial magnetic stimulation. J Physiol 551: 661-
- 889 671, 2003.
- 890 69. Vincent K, Stagg CJ, Warnaby CE, Moore J, Kennedy S, and Tracey I. "Luteal
- 891 Analgesia": Progesterone Dissociates Pain Intensity and Unpleasantness by Influencing
- 892 Emotion Regulation Networks. *Front Endocrinol* 9: 413, 2018.
- 893 70. Wallis CJ, and Luttge WG. Influence of Estrogen and Progesterone on Glutamic Acid
- 894 Decarboxylase Activity in Discrete Regions of Rat Brain. J Neurochem 34: 609-613, 1980.
- 895 71. Weier AT, Pearce AJ, and Kidgell DJ. Strength training reduces intracortical
- 896 inhibition. *Acta Physiol* 206: 109-119, 2012.
- 897 72. Wong M, and Moss R. Long-term and short-term electrophysiological effects of
- estrogen on the synaptic properties of hippocampal CA1 neurons. J Neurosci 12: 3217-3225,
- 899 1992.
- 900 73. Yacyshyn AF, Woo EJ, Price MC, and McNeil CJ. Motoneuron responsiveness to
- 901 corticospinal tract stimulation during the silent period induced by transcranial magnetic
- 902 stimulation. Exp Brain Res 234: 3457-3463, 2016.

74. **Ziemann U, Lönnecker S, Steinhoff BJ, and Paulus W**. Effects of antiepileptic drugs on motor cortex excitability in humans: A transcranial magnetic stimulation study. *Ann Neurol* 40: 367-378, 1996.

Tables

Table 1: Monophasic combined oral contraceptive pills (mOCPs) taken by participants in Study A.

OCP brand	No.	Synthetic	Dosage	Synthetic	Dosage
OCI Dianu	Participants	Estrogen	(μg)	Progestin	(μg)
Rigevidon®	6	Ethinylestradiol	30	Levonorgestrel	150
Cilest®	3	Ethinylestradiol	35	Norgestimate	250
Yasmin®	2	Ethinylestradiol	30	Drospirenone	300
Gedarel®	1	Ethinylestradiol	20	Desogestrel	150
Gedarel®	1	Ethinylestradiol	30	Desogestrel	150
Microgynon®	1	Ethinylestradiol	30	Levonorgestrel	150
Levest®	1	Ethinylestradiol	30	Levonorgestrel	150

Table 2: Reliability data for mechanical variables pre- and post-exercise in Study A. Pre-post change (Δ) is presented when a significant (P < 0.05) change was observed.

Measure		Visit 1	Visit 2	P	Bias	TE	CV (%)	ICC (95% CI)
MVC	Pre	502 ± 90	511 ± 103	0.314	-9	23	4.5	0.96 (0.89 - 0.98)
(N)	Post	375 ± 93	383 ± 81	0.356	-8	24	6.2	0.94 (0.82 - 0.98)
	Δ	-128 ± 43	-128 ± 51	0.972	0	30	23.9	0.62 (0.18 - 0.85)
Qtw.pot	Pre	168 ± 27	170 ± 22	0.589	-2	9	5.0	0.90 (0.72 - 0.96)
(N)	Post	110 ± 22	110 ± 21	0.942	0	11	10.4	0.75 (0.40 - 0.91)
	Δ	-58 ± 29	-61 ± 21	0.643	-2	12	19.7	0.81 (0.53 - 0.93)
ERT	Pre	121 ± 38	118 ± 34	0.689	3	15	12.5	0.85 (0.62 - 0.95)
(N)	Post	94 ± 30	91 ± 27	0.605	3	14	14.9	0.79 (0.48 - 0.92)
	Δ	-27 ± 25	-27 ± 28	0.943	0	17	62.7	0.63 (0.20 - 0.86)
VA _{TMS}	Pre	94.3 ± 3.3	94.8 ± 2.9	0.679	-0.5	2.8	3.0	-
(%)	Post	82.3 ± 11.1	83.9 ± 10.4	0.406	-1.6	5.1	6.1	-
	Δ	-12.0 ± 11.2	-10.9 ± 9.6	0.573	1.1	5.2	45.4	0.78 (0.46 - 0.92)
VA _{MNS}	Pre	93.6 ± 3.0	93.7 ± 3.2	0.834	-0.1	1.6	1.7	-
(%)	Post	84.5 ± 7.2	85.8 ± 6.7	0.942	-1.3	3.6	4.2	-
	Δ	-9.1 ± 6.0	-7.9 ± 6.1	0.390	1.2	3.7	43.2	0.66 (0.24 - 0.87)
TTF (s)		560 ± 275	603 ± 357	0.338	-43	117	20.0	0.88 (0.69 - 0.96)

CV: Coefficient of variation; ICC: Intraclass correlation coefficient; MVC: Maximum voluntary contraction; $Q_{tw,pot}$: Potentiated quadriceps twitch; ERT: Estimated resting twitch; VA_{TMS}: Voluntary activation assessed with TMS; VA_{MNS}: Voluntary activation assessed with MNS; TE: Typical error; TTF: Time to task failure

Table 3: Reliability values for electromyographical data pre- and post-exercise. Pre-post change (Δ) is presented when a significant (P < 0.05) change was observed.

Measure		Visit 1	Visit 2	P	Bias	TE	CV (%)	ICC (95% CI)
MEP/M _{max}	Pre	22.4 ± 12.0	19.8 ± 10.5	0.291	2.6	6.40	30.1	0.71 (0.34 - 0.89)
(%)	Post	17.8 ± 9.0	16.9 ± 10.1	0.677	0.9	5.4	31.0	0.72 (0.34 - 0.90)
	Δ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SICI	Pre	78.7 ± 15.0	82.9 ± 13.5	0.148	-4.2	7.4	9.2	0.75 (0.42 - 0.91)
(%)	Post	75.3 ± 13.3	84.3 ± 13.3	0.031	-9.1	10.4	13.0	0.42 (0.00 - 0.75)
	Δ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
M_{max}	Pre	2.96 ± 1.13	3.08 ± 1.28	0.507	-0.12	0.48	15.9	0.86 (0.64 - 0.92)
(mV)	Post	2.74 ± 1.01	2.88 ± 1.03	0.466	-0.14	0.50	17.8	0.79 (0.47 - 0.92)
	Δ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SP	Pre	187 ± 45	190 ± 50	0.791	-3	31	16.4	0.60 (0.24 - 0.82)
(ms)	Post	201 ± 56	202 ± 46	0.947	-8	37	19.2	0.63 (0.19 - 0.86)
	Δ	14 ± 29	12 ± 23	0.815	2	19.8	155.1	0.44 (0.00 - 0.77)

CV: Coefficient of variation; ICC: Intraclass correlation coefficient; MEP: Motor evoked potential, MVC: maximum voluntary contraction, SICI: Short interval cortical inhibition, M_{max}: maximum compound action potential; TE: Typical error 918

Table 4: Group average concentrations for 17- β oestradiol and progesterone across the three tested phases of the menstrual cycle. * = greater than d2, # = greater than d14, † = greater than d21 (all P < 0.05).

	Day 2	Day 14	Day 21
17-β Oestradiol (pg.ml ⁻¹)	248 ± 129	328 ± 160*	341 ± 186*
Progesterone (ng.ml ⁻¹)	1.27 ± 0.50	1.38 ± 0.69	4.41 ± 4.60*#
E:P ratio	0.20 ± 0.13	0.28 ± 0.18	0.12 ± 0.10* #

Table 5: Variables assessed throughout the pre- and post-exercise testing battery across the menstrual cycle. P values from the baseline ANOVA (1 × 3 repeated measures), and the pre-post exercise ANOVA (2 × 3 repeated measures) are reported. When a significant effect of exercise was found, the Δ in a variable from pre-post exercise was reported. * = greater than day 2, # = greater than day 14, † = greater than day 21.

	Day 2			Day 14				Day 21			Pre-post exercise	MCP × Exercise
	Pre	Post	Δ	Pre	Post	Δ	Pre	Post	Δ	1×3 ANOVA	2×3 ANOVA	2×3 ANOVA
MVC (N)	457 ± 79	344 ± 59	-25%	454 ± 78	337 ± 67	-26%	460 ± 87	355 ± 93	-24%	0.790	< 0.001	0.236
SIT _{MNS} (N)	9 ± 5#	11 ± 7	-	7 ± 4	10 ± 8	-	8 ± 4	11 ± 6	-	0.040	0.069	0.452
Qtw.pot (N)	148 ± 20	95 ± 24	-36%	150 ± 20	91 ± 22	-39%	151 ± 23	94 ± 26	-38%	0.782	< 0.001	0.634
VA _{MNS} (%)	93.4 ± 2.8	88.4 ± 8.8	-6%	95.3 ± 1.9*	87.9 ± 6.9	-8%	94.3 ± 2.2	88.0 ± 5.6	-7%	0.001	0.002	0.303
SIT _{TMS} (N)	6 ± 2	10 ± 8	67%	5 ± 3	9 ± 7	80%	7 ± 6	10 ± 9	43%	0.136	0.028	0.292
ERT (N)	94 ± 43	74 ± 37	-35%	96 ± 39	64 ± 60	-40%	93 ± 43	70 ± 36	-35%	0.784	0.001	0.128
VA _{TMS} (%)	93.2 ± 2.8	87.5 ± 8.5	-6%	95.7 ± 2.4 [†]	84.3 ± 9.4	-12%	92.6 ± 3.2	86.7 ± 9.7	-7%	0.008	0.003	0.049
MEP/M _{max} (%)	17 ± 5	17 ± 9	-	22 ± 7	18 ± 9	-	18 ± 10	15 ± 8	-	0.129	0.278	0.485
SICI (%)	77 ± 11*	84 ± 14	-	82 ± 10*	74 ± 22	-	67 ± 12	75 ± 19	-	0.001	0.578	0.028
SP (ms)	160 ± 42	176 ± 49	12%	173 ± 70	176 ± 54	2%	174 ± 48	176 ± 49	3%	0.594	0.010	0.360
M _{max} (mV)	4.05 ± 2.19	3.93 ± 2.27	-	4.39 ± 1.88	4.06 ± 2.12	-	4.30 ± 2.50	3.80 ± 2.28	-	0.786	0.087	0.436
TTF (s)	519 ± 16	54		571 :	± 179		706 ±	: 262*		0.030	-	-

MVC: maximum voluntary contraction, SIT_{MNS}: superimposed twitch elicited by motor nerve stimulation; Q_{tw.pot}: potentiated quadriceps twitch; VA_{MNS}: voluntary activation assessed with motor nerve stimulation; SIT_{TMS}: superimposed twitch elicited by transcranial magnetic stimulation; ERT: estimated resting twitch; VA_{TMS}: voluntary activation assessed with TMS; MEP/M_{max}: corticospinal excitability; SICI: short-interval cortical inhibition; SP: TMS evoked silent period; M_{max}: maximum compound muscle action potential; TTF: time to task failure.

934

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Baseline neuromuscular measures across the three timepoints. Panel A: maximum voluntary contraction; Panel B: potentiated twitch force; Panel C: voluntary activation assessed with motor nerve stimulation; Panel D: voluntary activation assessed with transcranial magnetic stimulation; Panel E: superimposed motor nerve stimulation evoked twitch during a maximum voluntary contraction; Panel F: superimposed transcranial magnetic stimulation evoked twitch during a maximum voluntary contraction. Individual data are shown with mean data overlaid as the filled symbols and connecting line.

Figure 2: Transcranial magnetic stimulation evoked responses across the three testing time points. Panel A: corticospinal excitability; Panel B: short interval cortical inhibition; Panel C: TMS evoked silent period. Individual data are shown with mean data overlaid as the filled symbols and connecting line.

Figure 3: Time to task failure during the submaximal intermittent isometric fatiguing task at the three testing time points. Individual data are shown with mean data overlaid as the filled symbols and connecting line.

Figure 4: Neuromuscular variables assessed at 25, 50, 75 and 100% TTF throughout the fatiguing tasks in each MCP. Panel A: maximum voluntary contraction; B: potentiated quadriceps twitch; C: voluntary activation assessed with motor nerve stimulation; D: root-mean-squared EMG; E: rating of perceived exertion. Data are means with the standard deviation shown in panels A-D for the final point. Data are displayed as % baseline, although statistical analyses were performed on absolute data. Statistical differences (P < 0.05) are depicted by a: significantly difference between baseline-25% TTF; b: significant difference between 25-50% TTF; c: significant difference between 50-75% TTF; d: significant difference between 75-100% TTF; *: significant difference between D21-D14; #: significant difference between D21-D2.















