Moduli spaces of toric manifolds

MODULI SPACES OF TORIC MANIFOLDS

Á. PELAYO, A. R. PIRES, T. S. RATIU, AND S. SABATINI

Abstract. We construct a distance on the moduli space of symplectic toric manifolds of dimension four. Then we study some basic topological properties of this space, in particular, path-connectedness, compactness, and completeness. The construction of the distance is related to the Duistermaat-Heckman measure and the Hausdorff metric. While the moduli space, its topology and metric, may be constructed in any dimension, the tools we use in the proofs are four-dimensional, and hence so is our main result.

1. Introduction

A *toric integrable system* $\mu := (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n) \colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is an integrable system on a connected symplectic $2n$-dimensional manifold (M, ω) in which all the flows generated by the μ_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, are periodic of a fixed period. That is, there is a Hamiltonian action of a torus $\mathbb{T}$ of dimension n on M with momentum map μ. We will assume that this action is effective and that M is compact. In this case, the quadruple $(M, \omega, \mathbb{T}, \mu)$ is often called a *symplectic toric manifold* of dimension $2n$, to emphasize the connection with toric varieties (in fact, all symplectic toric manifolds are toric varieties, e.g., see Remark 2.7 and [8, 10]).

The goal of the paper is to construct natural topologies on moduli spaces of compact symplectic toric 4-manifolds under natural equivalence relations and study some of their basic topological properties.

Throughout most of this paper, we will assume that $n = 2$, but several definitions and statements hold in more generality.

1.1. **Conventions.** Let, throughout this paper, $\mathbb{T} := \mathbb{T}^n$ denote the n-dimensional standard torus

$$\mathbb{T}^n = \overbrace{\mathbb{T}^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{T}^1}^{n \text{ times}},$$

i.e., the Cartesian product of n copies of the circle $\mathbb{T}^1$ equipped with the product operation. Denote by $\mathfrak{t}$ the Lie algebra $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{T})$ of $\mathbb{T}$ and by $\mathfrak{t}^*$ the dual of $\mathfrak{t}$. Strictly speaking, the momentum map μ of the Hamiltonian action of $\mathbb{T}$ on a manifold M is a map $M \to \mathfrak{t}^*$. However, the presentation is simpler, if from the beginning we consider this map as a map

Date: March 26, 2013.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* MSC 53D20 and MSC 53D05.

Key words and phrases. Toric manifold and Delzant polytope and Moduli space and Metric space.

AP was partly supported by NSF Grants DMS-0965738 and DMS-0635607, an NSF CAREER Award, a Leibniz Fellowship, Spanish Ministry of Science Grant MTM 2010-21186-C02-01, and by the Spanish National Research Council.

ARP was partly supported by an AMS-Simons Travel Grant.

TSR was partly supported by a MSRI membership, Swiss NSF grant 200021-140238, a visiting position at IHES, and by the government grant of the Russian Federation for support of research projects implemented by leading scientists, Lomonosov Moscow State University under the agreement No. 11.G34.31.0054.

$\mu\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$. How to do this is a standard, but not canonical, procedure. Choose an epimorphism $E\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{T}^1$, for instance, $x \mapsto e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}x}$. This Lie group epimorphism has discrete center $\mathbb{Z}$ and the inverse of the corresponding Lie algebra isomorphism is given by $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{T}^1) \ni \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mapsto \frac{1}{2\pi} \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, for $\mathbb{T}^n = (\mathbb{T}^1)^n$, we get the non-canonical isomorphism between the corresponding commutative Lie algebras

$$\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{T}^n) = \mathfrak{t} \ni \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \longmapsto \frac{1}{2\pi}\, \mathrm{e}_k \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where e_k is the k^{th} element in the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Choosing an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{t}$, we obtain an isomorphism $\mathfrak{t} \to \mathfrak{t}^*$, and hence taking its inverse and composing it with the isomorphism $\mathfrak{t} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ described above, we get an isomorphism $\mathfrak{I} : \mathfrak{t}^* \to \mathbb{R}^n$. In this way, we obtain a momentum map $\mu = \mu_{\mathfrak{I}}\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$.

If (M, ω) is a symplectic manifold, denote by $\mathrm{Sympl}(M)$ the group of symplectic diffeomorphisms of M.

1.2. **The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}$.** With the conventions in Section 1.1, where $\mathbb{T}$ and the identification $\mathfrak{I}\colon \mathfrak{t}^* \to \mathbb{R}^n$ are *fixed*, we next define the moduli space of toric manifolds. Let $(M, \omega, \mathbb{T}, \mu\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $(M', \omega', \mathbb{T}, \mu'\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n)$ be symplectic toric manifolds, with effective symplectic actions $\rho\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Sympl}(M, \omega)$ and $\rho'\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Sympl}(M', \omega')$. These two symplectic toric manifolds are *isomorphic* if there exists an equivariant symplectomorphism $\varphi\colon M \to M'$ (i.e., φ is a diffeomorphism satisfying $\varphi^*\omega' = \omega$ which intertwines the $\mathbb{T}$ actions) such that $\mu' \circ \varphi = \mu$ (see also [1, Definition I.1.16]). We denote by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}} := \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{I}}_{\mathbb{T}}$ the *moduli space* (the set of equivalence classes) of $2n$-dimensional symplectic toric manifolds under this equivalence relation. The motivation for introducing this moduli space comes from the following seminal result, due to Delzant [8].

Theorem 1.1 ([8, Theorem 2.1])**.** *Let $(M, \omega, \mathbb{T}, \mu)$ and $(M', \omega', \mathbb{T}, \mu')$ be two toric symplectic manifolds. If $\mu(M) = \mu'(M')$ then there exists an equivariant symplectomorphism $\varphi\colon (M, \omega) \to (M', \omega')$ such that the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (M, \omega) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & (M', \omega') \\ {\scriptstyle \mu}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu'} \\ \mu(M) & \xrightarrow{Id} & \mu'(M') \end{array}$$

commutes.

The convexity theorem of Atiyah [2] and Guillemin-Sternberg [13] asserts that the image of the momentum map is a convex polytope. In addition, if the action is toric (the acting torus is precisely half the dimension of the manifold) the momentum image is a Delzant polytope (see Section 2). Let $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}$ denote the set of Delzant polytopes. As a consequence of Theorem 1.1, the following map

$$[(M, \omega, \mathbb{T}, \mu)] \ni \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}} \longmapsto \mu(M) \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}, \tag{1}$$

is an injection. However, Delzant also shows how from a Delzant polytope it is possible to reconstruct a symplectic toric manifold, thus implying that (1) is a *bijection*.

To simplify notations, we usually write $(M, \omega, \mathbb{T}, \mu)$ identifying the representative with its equivalence class $[(M, \omega, \mathbb{T}, \mu)]$ in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}$.

Remark 1.2. If we choose a different identification $\mathcal{I}'\colon \mathfrak{t}^* \to \mathbb{R}^n$ in Section 1.1, then the resulting moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathcal{I}'}$ is, in general, a set *different* from $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}$. However, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathcal{I}'}$ are in bijective correspondence by a map which preserves the structures that this paper deals with (Section 1.4). An alternative and equivalent approach to this convention is to define $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}$ to be a space of pairs, where the second element of the pair involves the Lie algebra identification. We shall use the first convention throughout the paper (see Section 2.2).

Remark 1.3. Note that for every non-zero $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the equivalence class of $(M, \omega, \mathbb{T}, \mu)$ is different from that of $(M, \omega, \mathbb{T}, \mu + c)$. We distinguish these two spaces since for general Hamiltonian G-actions the constant c is an important element of $\mathfrak{g}^*$. Indeed, given a connected Lie group G and a Hamiltonian G-space (M, ω, G) with moment map $\mu\colon M \to \mathfrak{g}$, if $\mu'\colon M \to \mathfrak{g}$ is a different choice of moment map for the G-action then $\mu - \mu' = c \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]^0 \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$, the annihilator of the commutator ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$, which coincides with $H^1(\mathfrak{g}; \mathbb{R})$, the first Lie algebra cohomology group (see for example [4, §26.2]).

1.3. **The moduli space $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}}$.** Following [18], we say that two symplectic toric manifolds $(M, \omega, \mathbb{T}, \mu)$ and $(M', \omega', \mathbb{T}, \mu')$ are *weakly isomorphic* if there exists an automorphism of the torus $h\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ and an h-equivariant symplectomorphism $\varphi\colon M \to M'$, i.e., the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{T} \times M & \xrightarrow{\rho^*} & M \\ {\scriptstyle (h,\varphi)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi} \\ \mathbb{T} \times M' & \xrightarrow{\rho'^*} & M', \end{array} \tag{2}$$

where $\rho^*(x, m) := \rho(x)(m)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{T}$, $m \in M$, and similarly for ρ'^*.

We denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}}$ the *moduli space of weakly isomorphic* $2n$*-dimensional symplectic toric manifolds* (see Section 2.2 for more details).

Two weakly isomorphic toric manifolds $(M, \omega, \mathbb{T}, \mu)$ and $(M', \omega', \mathbb{T}, \mu')$ are isomorphic if and only if h in (2) is the identity and $\mu' = \mu \circ \varphi$.

1.4. **Topologies and metrics.** We consider the space of Delzant polytopes $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}$ and turn it into a metric space by endowing it with the distance function given by the volume of the symmetric difference

$$(\Delta_1 \smallsetminus \Delta_2) \cup (\Delta_2 \smallsetminus \Delta_1)$$

of any two polytopes.

The map (1) allows us to define a metric $d_{\mathbb{T}}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}$ as the pullback of the metric defined on $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}$, thereby getting the metric space $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}, d_{\mathbb{T}})$. *This metric induces a topology ν on* $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}$ (so, by definition, it follows that $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}, \nu)$ is a metrizable topological space).

Let $\mathrm{AGL}(n, \mathbb{Z}) := \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$ be the group of affine transformations of $\mathbb{R}^n$ given by

$$\mathbb{R}^n \ni x \mapsto Ax + c \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $A \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We say that two Delzant polytopes Δ_1 and Δ_2 are $\mathrm{AGL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$-*equivalent* if there exists $\alpha \in \mathrm{AGL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\alpha(\Delta_1) = \Delta_2$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}}$ be the moduli space of Delzant polytopes relative to $\mathrm{AGL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$-equivalence; we endow this space with the quotient topology induced by the projection map

$$\pi\colon \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}} \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}} / \mathrm{AGL}(n, \mathbb{Z}).$$

As we shall see in Section 2.2, there exists a bijection $\Psi : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}}$ (in fact, it is induced by (1)); thus $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}}$ is also a topological space, with topology $\widetilde{\nu}$ induced by Ψ. *We denote this topological space by* $(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}}, \widetilde{\nu})$.

1.5. **Main Theorem.** Let $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the σ-algebra of Borel sets of $\mathbb{R}^n$, the map

$$\lambda \colon \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$$

be the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and $\mathfrak{B}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the subset of Borel sets with finite Lebesgue measure. Define

$$d(A, B) := \|\chi_A - \chi_B\|_{\mathrm{L}^1}\,, \tag{3}$$

where $\chi_C \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ denotes the characteristic function of $C \in \mathfrak{B}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This extends the distance function defined above on $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}$, but it is *not* a metric on $\mathfrak{B}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Identifying the sets $A, B \in \mathfrak{B}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which $d(A, B) = 0$, we obtain a metric on the resulting quotient space of $\mathfrak{B}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Section 2.1 for details).

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the space of convex compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$ with positive Lebesgue measure, $\varnothing$ the empty set, and

$$\hat{\mathcal{C}} := \mathcal{C} \cup \{\varnothing\}.$$

Then $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ equipped with the distance function d in (3) is a metric space.

We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}}$ be the moduli spaces of toric four-dimensional manifolds, under isomorphisms and equivariant isomorphisms, respectively. Then:*

(a) $(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}}, \widetilde{\nu})$ *is path-connected;*

(b) $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}, d_{\mathbb{T}})$ *is neither locally compact nor a complete metric space. Its completion can be identified with the metric space $(\hat{\mathcal{C}}, d)$ in the following sense: identifying $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}, d_{\mathbb{T}})$ with $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}, d)$ via (1), the completion of $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}, d)$ is $(\hat{\mathcal{C}}, d)$.*

Remark 1.5. Metric spaces are Tychonoff (that is, completely regular and Hausdorff), therefore $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}$ is Tychonoff. The Stone-Čech compactification [29, 5] can be applied to Tychonoff spaces. The Stone-Čech compactification, in general, gives rise to a compactified space which is Hausdorff and normal. Hence $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}$ admits a Hausdorff compactification.

Remark 1.6. Theorem 1.4 positively answers the case $2n = 4$ of Problem 2.42 in [26]. We do not know if the analogous statement to Theorem 1.4 holds in dimensions greater than or equal to six. Note that the constructions of the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}}$ do not depend on dimension.

Structure of the paper. In Section 2 we introduce the topological spaces we are going to work with, involving Delzant polytopes and symplectic toric manifolds, under certain equivalence relations. The ingredient that allows us to relate these two categories of spaces is the Delzant classification theorem (Theorem 2.4).

Section 3 starts with a detailed analysis of how to construct Delzant polygons (i.e., polytopes of dimension 2) following a simple recursive procedure presented in [18]. This recipe is a main technical tool for the present paper; no such method is known for polytopes of dimension greater than or equal to three. The remainder of this section and Section 4 are

devoted to proving the path-connectedness and metric properties of the space of Delzant polygons (or rather, a natural quotient of it); the main theorem of the paper is implied by the results proven in these sections.

Finally, in Section 5, several open problems are presented. Appendix 6 contains a brief review of the polytope terms and results we use in the paper.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank the anonymous referee who made many useful comments and clarifications which have significantly improved an earlier version of the paper. AP is grateful to Helmut Hofer for discussions and support. He also thanks Isabella Novik for discussions concerning general polytope theory, and Problem 5.4, during a visit to the University of Washington in 2010. The authors are also grateful to Victor Guillemin and Allen Knutson for helpful advice.

2. Delzant polytopes and toric manifolds

2.1. **A metric on the space of Delzant polytopes $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}$.** In this paper we are interested only in convex full dimensional polytopes, which we will simply call polytopes. We refer to Appendix 6 for the basic terminology and results on polytopes.

Definition 2.1. (following [4]) A convex polytope Δ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a *Delzant polytope* if it is simple, rational and smooth:

(i) Δ is *simple* if there are exactly n edges meeting at each vertex $v \in V$;
(ii) Δ is *rational* if for every vertex $v \in V$, the edges meeting at v are of the form $v + tu_i$, where $t \geq 0$ and $u_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$;
(iii) A vertex $v \in V$ is *smooth* if the edges meeting at v are of the form $v + tu_i$, $t \geq 0$, where the vectors $u_1, \ldots, u_n$ can be chosen to be a $\mathbb{Z}$ basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Δ is *smooth* if every vertex $v \in V$ is smooth.

Let $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}$ denote the *space of Delzant polytopes in* $\mathbb{R}^n$, where $n = \dim \mathbb{T}$. We construct a topology on $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}$, coming from a metric.

Recall that the *symmetric difference* of two subsets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is

$$A \Delta B := (A \smallsetminus B) \cup (B \smallsetminus A).$$

Let $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the σ-algebra of Borel sets of $\mathbb{R}^n$, and let $\lambda \colon \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 2.2. Let $\mathfrak{B}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the Borel sets with finite Lebesgue measure. Define

$$d \colon \mathfrak{B}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathfrak{B}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

by

$$d(A, B) := \lambda(A \Delta B) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\chi_A - \chi_B| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda = \|\chi_A - \chi_B\|_{\mathrm{L}^1}, \tag{4}$$

where $\chi_C \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ denotes the characteristic function of $C \in \mathfrak{B}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Note that d is symmetric and satisfies the triangle inequality, since

$$A \Delta C \subset (A \Delta B) \cup (B \Delta C).$$

However, in this space, $d(A,B)=0$ does not necessarily imply that $A=B$. We introduce in $\mathfrak{B}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the equivalence relation $\sim$, where

$$A \sim B \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \lambda(A \Delta B) = 0.$$

Then the induced map, also denoted by $d\colon (\mathfrak{B}'(\mathbb{R}^n)/\sim) \times (\mathfrak{B}'(\mathbb{R}^n)/\sim) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, is a metric (associated to the L^1 norm).

Since $A, B \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}} \subset \mathfrak{B}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $A \sim B$ implies $A = B$, it follows that

$$(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}/\sim) \, = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}$$

and thus the restriction of d to $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}$ is a metric. Hence $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}, d)$ is a metric space, endowed with the topology induced by d.

2.2. **Symplectic toric manifolds.** We review below the ingredients from the theory of symplectic toric manifolds which we need for this paper, in particular the Delzant classification theorem. We follow the conventions in Section 1.1.

A *symplectic manifold* (M,ω) is a pair consisting of a smooth manifold M and a *symplectic form* ω, i.e., a non-degenerate closed 2-form on M. Suppose that the n-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}$ acts on $(M,\,\omega)$ symplectically (i.e., by diffeomorphisms which preserve the symplectic form). The action $\mathbb{T} \times M \to M$ of $\mathbb{T}$ on M is denoted by $(t,m) \mapsto t \cdot m$.

A vector X in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$ generates a smooth vector field X_M on M, called the *infinitesimal generator*, defined by

$$X_M(m) := \left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\right|_{t=0} \exp(tX) \cdot m,$$

where $\exp\colon \mathfrak{t} \to \mathbb{T}$ is the exponential map of Lie theory and $m \in M$. We write the contraction 1-form as $\iota_{X_M}\omega := \omega(X_M, \cdot) \in \Omega^1(M)$.

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{t}^* \times \mathfrak{t} \to \mathbb{R}$ be the duality pairing. The $\mathbb{T}$-action on (M,ω) is said to be *Hamiltonian* if there exists a smooth $\mathbb{T}$-invariant map $\mu\colon M \to \mathfrak{t}^*$, called the *momentum map*, such that for all $X \in \mathfrak{t}$ we have

$$\iota_{X_M}\omega = \mathrm{d}\langle \mu, X \rangle. \tag{5}$$

As defined in Section 1, a *symplectic toric manifold* $(M,\omega,\mathbb{T},\mu)$ is a symplectic compact connected manifold (M,ω) of dimension $2n$ endowed with an effective (i.e., the intersection of all isotropy subgroups is the identity) Hamiltonian action of an n- dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}$ admitting a momentum map $\mu : M \to \mathfrak{t}^*$. With the conventions of Section 1.1, the map $\mu : M \to \mathfrak{t}^*$ gives rise (in a non-canonical way) to a map $M \to \mathfrak{t}^* \to \mathbb{R}^n$ which, for simplicity, is also denoted by $\mu\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 2.3. Let $(M,\omega,\mathbb{T},\mu)$ and $(M',\omega',\mathbb{T},\mu')$ be symplectic toric manifolds, with effective symplectic actions $\rho\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Sympl}(M,\omega)$ and $\rho'\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Sympl}(M',\omega')$. We say that $(M,\omega,\mathbb{T},\mu)$ and $(M',\omega',\mathbb{T},\mu')$ are *isomorphic*[1] if there exists an equivariant symplectomorphism $\varphi\colon M \to M'$ such that $\mu' \circ \varphi = \mu$.

We denote by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}$ the moduli space of $2n$-dimensional isomorphic toric manifolds.

The following is an influential theorem by T. Delzant ([8]).

[1]In the literature, these manifolds are usually called *equivariantly symplectomorphic*. However, the same name is sometimes also used for the notion in Definition 2.9, and so we use different names to distinguish the two.

Theorem 2.4 (Delzant's Theorem)**.** *There is a one-to-one correspondence between isomorphism classes of symplectic toric manifolds and Delzant polytopes, given by:*

$$[(M, \omega, \mathbb{T}, \mu)] \ni \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}} \longmapsto \mu(M) \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}. \tag{6}$$

As a consequence of this bijection, we can endow $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}$ with the pullback metric.

Definition 2.5. Let $\mathbf{M}_1 = (M_1, \omega_1, \mathbb{T}, \mu_1)$ and $\mathbf{M}_2 = (M_2, \omega_2, \mathbb{T}, \mu_2)$ be two symplectic toric manifolds. We define $d_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbf{M}_1, \mathbf{M}_2)$ to be the Lebesgue measure of the symmetric difference of $\mu_1(M_1)$ and $\mu_2(M_2)$.

Remark 2.6. Note that the metric $d_{\mathbb{T}}$ defined above is related to the *Duistermaat-Heckman measure* ([9]). Indeed, for a symplectic toric manifold M with momentum map μ, the induced Duistermaat-Heckman measure of a Borel set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \simeq \mathfrak{t}^*$ is given by

$$m_{\mathrm{DH}}(U) = \lambda(U \cap \mu(M)).$$

Remark 2.7. T. Delzant [8, Section 5] observed that a Delzant polytope gives rise to a fan ("éventail" in French), and that the symplectic toric manifold with associated Delzant polytope Δ is $\mathbb{T}$-equivariantly diffeomorphic to the *toric variety* defined by the fan.

The toric variety is an n-dimensional complex analytic manifold, and the action of the real torus $\mathbb{T}$ on it has an extension to a complex analytic action on the complexification $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $\mathbb{T}$.

Remark 2.8. In dimension 4, there is another class of integrable systems which is classified: those called semitoric [24, 25]. The classification of almost toric systems is begun in [27].

Now we introduce a weaker notion of equivalence between toric manifolds, following [18].

Definition 2.9. Two symplectic toric manifolds $(M, \omega, \mathbb{T}, \mu)$ and $(M', \omega', \mathbb{T}, \mu')$ are *weakly isomorphic* if there exists an automorphism of the torus $h\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ and an h-equivariant symplectomorphism $\varphi\colon M \to M'$, i.e., the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{T} \times M & \xrightarrow{\rho^*} & M \\ {\scriptstyle (h,\varphi)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi} \\ \mathbb{T} \times M' & \xrightarrow{\rho'^*} & M', \end{array} \tag{7}$$

where $\rho^*(x, m) := \rho(x)(m)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{T}$, $m \in M$, and similarly for ρ'^*. We denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}}$ the moduli space of weakly isomorphic $2n$-dimensional toric manifolds.

It is easy to see that two weakly isomorphic toric manifolds are isomorphic if h is the identity and $\mu' \circ \varphi = \mu$.

Recall that the automorphism group of the torus $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}^n/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})^n$ is given by $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$; thus the automorphism h is represented by a matrix $A \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Let $\mathrm{AGL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ be the group of affine transformations of $\mathbb{R}^n$ given by

$$x \mapsto Ax + c, \tag{8}$$

where $A \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ and $x, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Two sets are $\mathrm{AGL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$-*congruent* if one is the image of the other by an affine transformation (8). We have the following result.

Proposition 2.10. ([18, Proposition 2.3 (2)]) *Two symplectic toric manifolds* $(M, \omega, \mathbb{T}, \mu)$ *and* $(M', \omega', \mathbb{T}, \mu')$ *are weakly isomorphic if and only if their momentum map images are* $\mathrm{AGL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$*-congruent.*

Thus, if we define $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}}$ to be the moduli space of $\mathrm{AGL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$-equivalent (or, congruent) Delzant polytopes,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}} := \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}} / \mathrm{AGL}(n, \mathbb{Z}),$$

Proposition 2.10 implies that the isomorphism in (6) descends to an isomorphism

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}}. \tag{9}$$

Let $\pi \colon \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}}$ be the projection map; we endow $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}}$ with the quotient topology $\widetilde{\delta}$.

We endow $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}}$ with the topology $\widetilde{\nu}$ induced by the isomorphism (9).

3. Connectedness of the space $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}}, \widetilde{\delta})$

3.1. **Classification of Delzant polygons in $\mathbb{R}^2$.** We introduce now the definitions of rational length and corner chopping of size ε, which will be used in the classification of the Delzant polytopes in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Definition 3.1. (following [18, 2.4 and 2.11])

(i) The *rational length* of an interval I of rational slope in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is the unique number $l = \mathrm{length}(I)$ such that the interval is $\mathrm{AGL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$-congruent to an interval of length l on a coordinate axis.

(ii) Let Δ be a Delzant polytope in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and v a vertex of Δ. Let

$$\{v + tu_i \mid 0 \leq t \leq \ell_i\}$$

be the set of edges emanating from v, where the $u_1, \ldots, u_n$ generate the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\ell_i = \mathrm{length}(u_i)$ (as defined above in (i)).

For $\varepsilon > 0$ smaller than the ℓ_i's, the *corner chopping of size* ε of Δ at v is the polytope Δ' obtained from Δ by intersecting it with the half space

$$\{v + t_1 u_1 + \cdots + t_n u_n \mid t_1 + \cdots + t_n \geq \varepsilon\}.$$



Figure 1. A corner chopping of size ε.

In $\mathbb{R}^2$, all Delzant polygons can be obtained by a recursive recipe, which can be found in [18, Lemma 2.16] and is recalled below.

Lemma 3.2. (*see* [11], Sec. 2.5 and Notes to Chapter 2) *The following hold:*

(1) Any Delzant polygon $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with three edges is $\mathrm{AGL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$*-congruent to the Delzant triangle Δ_λ for a unique $\lambda > 0$ (Example 3.3).*

(2) For any Delzant polygon $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with $4+s$ edges, where s is a non-negative integer, there exist positive numbers $a \geq b > 0$, an integer $0 \leq k \leq 2a/b$, and positive numbers $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_s$, such that Δ is $\mathrm{AGL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$*-congruent to a Delzant polygon that is obtained from the Hirzebruch trapezoid $H_{a,b,k}$ (see Example 3.3) by a sequence of corner choppings of sizes $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_s$.*



FIGURE 2. The Delzant triangle Δ_λ and the Hirzebruch trapezoid $H_{a,b,k}$.

Example 3.3. Figure 2 shows the Delzant triangle Δ_λ and the Hirzebruch trapezoid $H_{a,b,k}$. The *Delzant triangle*,

$$\Delta_\lambda := \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \;\middle|\; x_1 \geq 0,\ x_2 \geq 0,\ x_1 + x_2 \leq \lambda \right\},$$

is the momentum map image of the standard $\mathbb{T}^2$ action on $\mathbb{CP}^2$ endowed with the Fubini-Study symplectic form multiplied by λ.

The *Hirzebruch trapezoid*,

$$H_{a,b,k} := \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \;\middle|\; -\frac{b}{2} \leq x_2 \leq \frac{b}{2},\quad 0 \leq x_1 \leq a - k x_2 \right\},$$

is the momentum map image of the standard toric action on a Hirzebruch surface.

Here, b is the height of the trapezoid, a is its average width, and $k \geq 0$ is a non-negative integer such that the right edge has slope $-1/k$ or is vertical if $k = 0$. Moreover a and b have to satisfy $a \geq b$ and $a - k\frac{b}{2} > 0$.

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.4 (a).** Recall that $(\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{T}, d)$ is the space of Delzant polytopes in $\mathbb{R}^2$ together with the distance function given by the area of the symmetric difference and that $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{T}}$ is the quotient space $\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{T}/\mathrm{AGL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ with the quotient topology $\widetilde{\delta}$, induced by the quotient map $\pi\colon \mathcal{D}_\mathbb{T} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{T}}$.

In order to prove Theorem 1.4 (a), given the isomorphism (9), it is enough to prove the following statement.

Theorem 3.4. *The space $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{T}}, \widetilde{\delta})$ is path-connected.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{D}_\mathbb{T}$ be the subset that contains all Delzant triangles Δ_λ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$, all Hirzebruch trapezoids $H_{a,b,k}$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a \geq b > 0$ and $k \geq 2a/b$ a non-negative integer, and also all Delzant polygons obtained from Hirzebruch trapezoids by a sequence of corner choppings (cf. Lemma 3.2). Endow $\mathcal{S} \subset (\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{T}, d)$ with the subspace topology. We will prove that $\mathcal{S}$ is path-connected in $\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{T}$. In fact, by Lemma 3.2, we know that every

element of $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}}$ has a representative in $\mathcal{S}$. Hence, given $[P_0], [P_1] \in \widetilde{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}}$ with representatives $P_0, P_1 \in \mathcal{S}$, if we prove that there exists a continuous path $\gamma\colon [0,1] \to \mathcal{S}$ such that $\gamma(0) = P_0$ and $\gamma(1) = P_1$, by the continuity of $\pi|_{\mathcal{S}}\colon \mathcal{S} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}}$, it follows that there exists a continuous path $\pi \circ \gamma\colon [0,1] \to \widetilde{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}}$ connecting $[P_0]$ to $[P_1]$, thus proving that $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}}$ is path-connected.

First of all, note that the intuitive paths from a Delzant polygon P to a translation of P or a scaling of P by a positive factor (or a composition of the two) are clearly continuous with respect to the topology induced by d. Furthermore, if $P \in \mathcal{S}$ then the entire path also lies in $\mathcal{S}$. The same holds when moving an edge parallel to itself without changing the total number of edges (see Figure 3).



FIGURE 3. Moving an edge parallel to itself.

In particular, all Delzant triangles Δ_λ are connected by a path in $\mathcal{S}$ and so are the Hirzebruch trapezoids $H_{a,b,k}$, for each fixed k.

Secondly, if P_ε is obtained from P by a corner chopping of size ε at a vertex $v \in P$, then P and P_ε are connected by a continuous path in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}$; the path is simply given by

$$\gamma\colon [0,1] \to \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}} \text{ with } \gamma(t) := P_{t\varepsilon}.$$

Thus any Delzant polygon obtained from $H_{a,b,k}$ by a sequence of corner choppings is connected to $H_{a,b,k}$ via a path in $\mathcal{S}$.

Third, we next show that for $k \geq 0$ there is a continuous path between the Hirzebruch trapezoid $H_{a,b,k}$ and the Hirzebruch trapezoid $H_{a,b,k+1}$, where now $0 \leq k, k+1 \leq 2a/b$. The first half of the path connects $H_{a,b,k}$ to the polygon $H'_{a,b,k}$ by corner chopping at the top right vertex, and the inverse of the second half connects $H_{a,b,k+1}$ to $H'_{a,b,k}$ by corner chopping at the bottom right vertex (cf. Figure 4).



FIGURE 4. $H'_{a,b,k}$ for $k \geq 1$ and $H'_{a,b,0}$.

Note that $H'_{a,b,k}$ is still a Delzant polygon; it suffices to check smoothness at the new vertex, and indeed

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} -(k+1) & k \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = 1.$$

Combining with previous observations, we conclude that all Hirzebruch trapezoids with $k \geq 0$ lie in the same path-connected component of $\mathcal{S}$.

Finally, in order to conclude that $\mathcal{S}$ is path-connected, it remains to check that there exists a continuous path between, for example, $H_{\lambda,\lambda,0}$ and Δ_λ. Let $\gamma\colon [0,1] \to \mathcal{S}$ be the path such that:

(i) $\gamma(t)$ is the corner chopping of size λt at the top right vertex of the square $H_{\lambda,\lambda,0}$ for $0 < t < 1$,
(ii) $\gamma(0) := H_{\lambda,\lambda,0}$, and
(iii) $\gamma(1) := \Delta_\lambda$.

The path γ is continuous with respect to the topology on $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}$. □ □

4. Topology of the space $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}, d)$

In this section we prove Theorem 1.4 (b). By the isomorphism (9), it suffices to study the topological properties of $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}, d)$.

Let $(\mathfrak{B}'(\mathbb{R}^2)/\sim, d)$ be the metric space introduced in Section 2.1.

Proposition 4.1. *The space $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}, d)$ is not complete.*

Proof. We prove that $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}, d)$ is not complete, by giving an example of a Cauchy sequence in $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}, d)$ which converges in $(\mathfrak{B}'(\mathbb{R}^2)/\sim, d)$ whose limit is not a smooth polytope, hence not in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}$. For $k \neq 1$ consider the Hirzebruch surface $H_{a,b,k}$, and note that we can rewrite a as

$$a = c + \frac{bk}{2},$$

where c is the length of the top facet. Then, the sequence

$$H_{\frac{c}{n}+\frac{bk}{2},b,k}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$$

is Cauchy, but its limit is a right angle triangle that is not Delzant (see Figure 5). □ □

Remark 4.2. Note that $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}, d)$ is also non-compact, since compact metric spaces are automatically complete.



Figure 5. The vertex v is not smooth.

Let $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathfrak{B}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be the space of convex compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$ with positive Lebesgue measure. Note that if $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$ and $d(A, B) = 0$ then $A = B$, and so d is a metric on $\mathcal{C}$. The same observations hold for $\mathcal{P}_2$, the space of convex 2-dimensional polygons in $\mathbb{R}^2$, and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, the space of rational convex 2-dimensional polygons in $\mathbb{R}^2$ (see Definition 2.1). We have the following inclusions of metric spaces:

$$(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}, d) \subset (\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}, d) \subset (\mathcal{P}_2, d) \subset (\mathcal{C}, d).$$

As we will see in the proof of Theorem 4.7, all these inclusions are dense.

Let $\varnothing$ be the empty set, which clearly has zero Lebesgue measure, and define

$$\hat{\mathcal{C}} := \mathcal{C} \cup \varnothing.$$

Thus $\hat{\mathcal{C}} \subset \mathfrak{B}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $(\hat{\mathcal{C}}, d)$ is a metric space. Observe that $(\mathcal{C}, d) \subset (\hat{\mathcal{C}}, d)$, where the inclusion is a continuous map of metric spaces. With a similar argument

As before, we have the inclusions of metric spaces:

$$(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}, d) \subset (\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}, d) \subset (\mathcal{P}_2, d) \subset (\mathcal{C}, d) \subset (\hat{\mathcal{C}}, d).$$

We will prove in Theorem 4.4 that $(\hat{\mathcal{C}}, d)$ is complete. To do this, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $A \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be convex and non-bounded. If $\lambda(A) > 0$, then $\lambda(A) = \infty$.*

Proof. Consider two points $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in A$. By convexity of A, the straight line segment $\ell_{\mathbf{pq}}$ connecting $\mathbf{p}$ to $\mathbf{q}$ is contained in A. Since $\lambda(A) > 0$, there exists a point $\mathbf{r} \in A$ not collinear to $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{q}$. Hence the whole triangle $\mathbf{pqr}$ is contained in A.

Let C be the circle inscribed in the triangle $\mathbf{pqr}$, and $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of points in A such that $\|a_n\|_{\mathbb{R}^2} \to \infty$. For each a_n, there exists a diameter D_n of C such that the triangle $T_n \subset A$ with base D_n and third vertex a_n is isosceles, which guarantees that $\lambda(T_n) \to \infty$, and so $\lambda(A) = \infty$. □ □

Theorem 4.4. *$(\hat{\mathcal{C}}, d)$ is a complete metric space and it is the completion of $(\mathcal{C}, d)$.*

Proof. Let $\{A_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$, with A_n convex. By definition of d (see (4)), the sequence $\{\chi_{A_n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy in $\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. By completeness of $\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, there exists a function $f \in \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that

$$\|\chi_{A_n} - f\|_{\mathrm{L}^1} \to 0.$$

Thus, there exists a subsequence $\chi_{A_{n_k}}$ and a zero measure set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$\chi_{A_{n_k}}(x) \to f(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E$. Let

$$A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E \mid f(x) = 1\};$$

from the definitions it follows immediately that $\chi_{A_{n_k}}(x) \to \chi_A(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E$ and

$$\|\chi_{A_n} - \chi_A\|_{\mathrm{L}^1} \to 0.$$

It is easy to see that $\lambda(A) < \infty$, thus $A \in \mathfrak{B}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$. If $\lambda(A) = 0$ we can take A to be $\varnothing$, which belongs to $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$. Let us now assume that $\lambda(A) > 0$; we prove that A is almost everywhere equal to a convex compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Let A' be the convex hull of A. Then, for any $\mathbf{p} \in A'$ there exists $\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r} \in A$ such that

$$\mathbf{p} = t\mathbf{q} + (1-t)\mathbf{r}$$

for some $t \in [0, 1]$. Since $\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r} \in A$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $l > N$

$$\chi_{A_{n_l}}(\mathbf{q}) = \chi_{A_{n_l}}(\mathbf{r}) = 1\ ,$$

that is, $\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r} \in A_{n_l}$ for all $l > N$. Since A_n is convex for all n, this means that $\mathbf{p} \in A_{n_l}$ for all $l > N$, which implies that

$$\chi_{A_{n_k}}(x) \to \chi_{A'}(x)$$

almost everywhere in $A' \cup (\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus A) = \mathbb{R}^2$. Hence

$$\lambda(A' \setminus A) = 0.$$

Now it is sufficient to observe that, since A' is convex, its boundary $\partial A'$ has Lebesgue measure zero (see [20]), and since $\overline{A'}$ is also convex with $\lambda(\overline{A'}) = \lambda(A) < \infty$, by Lemma 4.3 it is bounded, hence compact. □ □

Before investigating what the completion of $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}, d)$ is, we first prove an auxiliary result, the content of which is related to resolving singularities on toric varieties (see Remark 2.7 and [7]). Recall that a vector $u \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ is called *primitive* if, whenever $u = kv$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $v \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, then $k = \pm 1$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let Δ be a simple rational polytope in $\mathbb{R}^2$ that fails to be smooth only at one vertex $\mathbf{p}$: the primitive vectors $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ which direct the edges at $\mathbf{p}$ do not form a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathbb{Z}^2$. Then there is a simple rational polytope $\widetilde{\Delta}$ with at most $|\det[u\, v]| - 1$ more edges than Δ that is a smooth polytope and is equal to Δ except in a neighborhood of $\mathbf{p}$.*

Proof. Let $u = (a, b)$ and $v = (c, d)$. We claim that there is always a matrix $A \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, a primitive vector, such that

$$A \begin{bmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha_0 \\ 0 & \alpha_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If we set $\alpha_1 = |\det[u\, v]|$, the claim is equivalent to being able to solve the following for α_0:

$$\begin{cases} a\,\alpha_0 \equiv c \mod \alpha_1 \\ b\,\alpha_0 \equiv d \mod \alpha_1 \end{cases}.$$

Note also that $\alpha_0 \not\equiv 0 \bmod \alpha_1$, for otherwise it would contradict that (α_0, α_1) is primitive.

The primitive vectors directing the edges of the polygon $A(\Delta)$ at the non-smooth vertex $A(\mathbf{p})$ are $(1, 0)$ and (α_0, α_1). We can additionally do a shear transformation via a matrix of the form

$$S_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and obtain a $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$-equivalent polygon $S_1 A(\Delta)$ for which the non-smooth vertex $S_1 A(\mathbf{p})$ has edge directing vectors $(1, 0)$ and (α_2, α_1) where $0 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_1$.

We now create a new vertical edge on the polygon $S_1 A(\Delta)$ as close to the vertex $S_1 A(\mathbf{p})$ as desired, thereby eliminating that vertex. Call this new polygon Δ_1. Of the two vertices at the endpoints of this new edge, one is clearly smooth: the one with edge directing vectors $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$. The other vertex has edge directing vectors $(0, -1)$ and (α_2, α_1) and is smooth if and only if

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha_2 \\ -1 & \alpha_1 \end{bmatrix} = \alpha_2 = 1.$$

If this second vertex is smooth, the desired polygon $\widetilde{\Delta}$ is

$$A^{-1} S_1^{-1}(\Delta_1).$$

Otherwise, the process continues: let B be the matrix

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the polygon $B(\Delta_1)$ is smooth except at one vertex $\mathbf{p}_1$, which has edge directing vectors $B((0,-1)) = (1,0)$ and $B((\alpha_2,\alpha_1)) = (-\alpha_1,\alpha_2)$. As before, we can apply a shear transformation to obtain $S_2B(\Delta_1)$ such that the edge directing vectors at the non-smooth vertex $S_2B(\mathbf{p}_1)$ are of the form $(1,0)$ and (α_3,α_2) with $0 < \alpha_3 < \alpha_2$. Proceeding exactly as before, we create a new vertical edge on the polygon $S_2B(\Delta_1)$ as close to the vertex $S_2B(\mathbf{p}_1)$ as desired, thereby eliminating it. Call this new polygon Δ_2. Of the two vertices at the endpoints of the new edge, the one with edge directing vectors $(0,1)$ and $(1,0)$ is clearly smooth, the other one has edge directing vectors $(0,-1)$ and (α_3,α_2) and is smooth if and only if $\alpha_3 = 1$. If that is so, the desired polygon $\widetilde{\Delta}$ is

$$A^{-1}S_1^{-1}B^{-1}S_2^{-1}(\Delta_2),$$

otherwise we repeat the process.

Because $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \ldots$ is a strictly decreasing sequence of non-negative integers, it will reach 1 in at most $\alpha_1 - 1$ steps, thus terminating the process and producing $\widetilde{\Delta}$ with at most $\alpha_1 - 1$ more edges than Δ. This proves the statement in the lemma. □ □

Remark 4.6. Note that the process described in the proof of Lemma 4.5 does not rely on Δ being smooth at all vertices other than $\mathbf{p}$. In fact, the result holds for any simple rational non-smooth polytope Δ, with any number of non-smooth vertices, except that the number of extra edges will be

$$\sum_{\mathbf{p}_i} \left(|\det\left[u_i\, v_i\right]| - 1\right),$$

where the $\mathbf{p}_i$s are the non-smooth vertices of Δ. The new polytope $\widetilde{\Delta}$ is equal to Δ except in neighborhoods of the vertices $\mathbf{p}_i$ that can be made as small as desired.

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 4.7. *The completion of* $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}, d)$ *is* $(\hat{\mathcal{C}}, d)$.

Proof. Recall the metric space inclusions

$$(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}, d) \subset (\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}, d) \subset (\mathcal{P}_2, d) \subset (\mathcal{C}, d).$$

We shall prove that the completion of $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}, d)$ contains $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}, d)$, that the completion of $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}, d)$ contains $(\mathcal{P}_2, d)$, and that the completion of $(\mathcal{P}_2, d)$ contains $(\mathcal{C}, d)$. Then by Theorem 4.4 the conclusion follows.

A.) *The completion of* $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}, d)$ *contains* $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}, d)$. Because d is a metric on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ that coincides with the given metric on $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}$, in order to prove that the completion of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}$ contains $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ it suffices to show that for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ there exists a polygon in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}$ as close to Δ as desired, relative to the metric d. Lemma 4.5 and, in particular Remark 4.6, guarantee that this is so.

B.) *The completion of* $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}, d)$ *contains* $(\mathcal{P}_2, d)$. Because d is a metric on $\mathcal{P}_2$ that coincides with the given metric on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, in order to prove that the completion of $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}, d)$ contains $(\mathcal{P}_2, d)$ it suffices to show that for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{P}_2$ there exists a polygon $\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ as close to Δ as desired, relative to the metric d. This rational polygon $\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}$ can be obtained by approximating the irrational slopes of the edges of Δ by rational numbers and choosing for directing vectors of the edges the corresponding lattice vectors, and also by changing the vertex points accordingly. This way, we can make the symmetric difference between the

original polygon Δ and the rational polygon $\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be contained in a ε-ball of the edges of Δ, the area of which can be made as small as needed by making ε small enough.

C.) *The completion of* $(\mathcal{P}_2, d)$ *contains* $(\mathcal{C}, d)$. Because d is a metric on $\mathcal{C}$ that coincides with the given metric on $\mathcal{P}_2$, in order to prove that the completion of $(\mathcal{P}_2, d)$ contains $(\mathcal{C}, d)$ it suffices to show that for each $C \in \mathcal{C}$ there exists a polygon $\Delta_2 \in \mathcal{P}_2$ as close to C as desired, relative to the metric d. In order to do this, observe that given a compact convex set $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a collection of disjoint rectangles $\{[a_i, b_i) \times [c_i, d_i)\}_{i=1}^N$ contained in C such that

$$\left\| \chi_C - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \chi_{[a_i,b_i)\times[c_i,d_i)} \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^1} < \varepsilon.$$

Let Δ_2 be the convex hull of $\bigcup_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i] \times [c_i, d_i]$. Since C is convex, we have

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} [a_i, b_i) \times [c_i, d_i) \subset \Delta_2 \subset C,$$

and hence

$$\|\chi_C - \chi_{\Delta_2}\|_{\mathrm{L}^1} < \varepsilon,$$

which proves the claim. □ □

Proposition 4.8. *The space* $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}, d)$ *is not locally compact.*

Proof. To prove that $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}, d)$ is not locally compact, we show that the closure of any open ball $B_\varepsilon(H_{1,1,0}) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}$ is not compact in $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}, d)$. For each fixed ε, let $\frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \delta < \varepsilon$ be an irrational number, and let Q_δ be the polygon in Figure 6. Note that $Q_\delta \in \mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}$. By a triangle inequality argument it is easy to see that

$$B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(Q_\delta) \subset B_\varepsilon(H_{1,1,0}).$$

Because $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}, d)$ is dense in $(\mathcal{P}_2, d)$ (see the proof of Theorem 4.7), there exists a sequence of Delzant polygons

$$\{A_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(Q_\delta)$$

that converges to Q_δ in $(\mathcal{P}_2, d)$. Thus any subsequence of $\{A_n\}$ also converges to Q_δ in $(\mathcal{P}_2, d)$, and hence does not converge in $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}, d)$. This proves that the closure of $B_\varepsilon(H_{1,1,0})$ in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}$ is not compact. □ □



FIGURE 6. The polygon Q_δ.

Remark 4.9. There is another metric commonly used on $\mathcal{C}$, namely the *Hausdorff metric* d_{H} (see [3]). Given two elements $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$, we define

$$d_{\mathrm{H}}(A,B) := \max\{\sup_{y\in B}\inf_{x\in A}\|x-y\|,\ \sup_{x\in A}\inf_{y\in B}\|y-x\|\}\,.$$

As proved in [28], the metrics d and d_H are equivalent on $\mathcal{C}$, and consequently all the topological properties proved for $(\mathcal{C}, d)$ and $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}, d)$ also hold for $(\mathcal{C}, d_H)$ and $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}, d_H)$. We chose to work with d because on $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}$ this is related to the Duistermaat-Heckman measure (see Remark 2.6).

Remark 4.10. Other moduli spaces of polygons have been studied, for example, in [15, 16] by Hausmann and Knutson and in [17] by Kapovich and Millson. The former focuses on the space of polygons in $\mathbb{R}^k$ with a fixed number of edges up to translations and positive homotheties, whereas the latter studies the space of polygons in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with fixed side lengths up to orientation preserving isometries. However these different contexts completely change the flavor of the topological problem.

5. Further problems

Theorem 1.4 leads to further questions (not directly related among themselves).

Problem 5.1 (Other moduli spaces)**.** First of all, we recall that symplectic toric manifolds are always Kähler (see [8, 12]). Besides the spaces we introduced, it would be interesting to study the topological properties of the following:

(a) $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}/\simeq$, where $\simeq$ corresponds to rescaling the symplectic form, with the quotient topology. This corresponds to considering the space $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}/\mathrm{PSL}(n;\mathbb{Z})$.
(b) $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}/\approx$, where we also identify the Kähler manifolds (M,ω) and (M,ω') if the cohomology classes of their Kähler forms live in the same connected component of the Kähler cone.

Problem 5.2 (Completeness at manifold level)**.** This question attempts to make more explicit the relation between the completion at the level of polytopes with the completion at the level of manifolds given in Theorem 1.4. View $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}$ as a subset of the set of (all) integrable systems on symplectic 4-manifolds

$$\mathcal{F} := \{(M,\omega,F) \mid F := (f_1,f_2)\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^2\}.$$

The map $v\colon \mathcal{F} \to \mathfrak{B}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ given by

$$v(M,\omega,F) := F(M)$$

extends (1). What can one say about the intersection

$$V := \hat{\mathcal{C}} \cap v(\mathcal{F})?$$

Even though in this question F is assumed smooth (because integrable systems $F\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^2$ are usually required to be everywhere smooth), the case when F is just continuous on M and smooth on an open dense subset of M is also interesting. Also, it would be interesting to investigate under which conditions F integrates to a $\mathbb{T}^2$-action on (an open dense subset of) M. (See, for example, [30, Proposition 2.12].)

A different but related approach to the same problem is to enlarge the category of objects by relaxing the smoothness condition on the polytope. For example, from the work of Lerman

and Tolman in [21], we know that any rational convex polytope is the momentum map image of a symplectic toric orbifold. However we do not know of a similar identification for generic convex compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Problem 5.3 (Higher dimensional moduli spaces)**.** This paper addresses the case $2n = 4$ of Problem 2.42 in [26]. We do not have results on the higher dimensional case $2n \geq 6$.

Problem 5.4 (Continuity of packing density function)**.** Consider the maximal density function

$$\Omega \colon \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}/\simeq \to (0, 1]$$

which assigns to a symplectic toric manifold its maximal density by equivariantly embedded symplectic balls of varying radii (see [23, Definition 2.4]). The function is most interesting when considered on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}/\simeq$, where $\simeq$ corresponds to rescaling the symplectic form (since rescaling the symplectic form rescales the polytope and does not change the density).

This paper gives a quotient topology on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}/\simeq$. With respect to this topology, where is Ω continuous? Ω is an interesting map even if one disregards topology, for instance the fiber over 1 consists of 2 points, $\mathbb{CP}^2$, and $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ (proven in [22, Theorem 1.7]) with scaled symplectic forms, but for any other $x \in (0, 1)$ the fiber is uncountable [23, Theorems 1.2, 1.3].

Problem 5.5 (Toric actions and symplectic forms)**.** It would be interesting to define a torus action on the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}$ or $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}}$. Similarly for a symplectic form (e.g., [6]).

If one has both a torus action and a symplectic form, then one can formulate a notion of Hamiltonian action and momentum map, and study connectivity and convexity properties of the image (see for instance [14]).

Problem 5.6 (Topological invariants)**.** Compute the topological invariants (fundamental group, higher homotopy groups, cohomology groups, etc.) of the path-connected space $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}}$.

Some preliminary questions in this direction are:

(a) find non-trivial loop classes in $\pi_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}})$;

(b) find non-trivial cohomology classes in $\mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}}, \mathbb{Z})$.

In view of the constructions of this paper, one should be able to compute these classes with the aid of the concrete description of the polytope space.

This problem is a particular case of [26, Problem 2.46].

6. Appendix: Polytopes

Let V be a finite dimensional real vector space. A *convex polytope* S in V is the closed convex hull of a finite set $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$, i.e., the smallest convex set containing S or, equivalently,

$$\mathrm{Conv}\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\} := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i v_i \;\middle|\; a_i \in [0, 1],\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = 1 \right\}.$$

The *dimension* of $\mathrm{Conv}\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ is the dimension of the vector space $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$. A polytope is *full dimensional* if its dimension equals the dimension of V.

Note that the definition implies that a convex polytope is a compact subset of V. An *extreme point* of a convex subset $C \subseteq V$ is a point of C which does not lie in any open line segment joining two points of C. Thus, a convex polytope is the closed convex hull of its

extreme points (by the Krein-Milman Theorem [19]) called *vertices*. In particular, the set of vertices is contained in $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$. Clearly, there are infinitely many descriptions of the same polytope as a closed convex hull of a finite set of points. However, the description of a polytope as the convex hull of its vertices is minimal and unique.

There is another description of convex polytopes in terms of intersections of half-spaces. Let V^* be the dual of V and denote by $\langle\, , \rangle : V^* \times V \to \mathbb{R}$ the natural non-degenerate duality pairing. The *positive (negative) half-space* defined by $\alpha \in V^*$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$V^{\pm}_{\alpha,a} := \left\{ v \in V \;\middle|\; \langle \alpha, v \rangle \gtreqless a \right\} .$$

Traditionally, in the theory of convex polytopes, the half spaces are chosen to be of the form $V^-_{\alpha,a}$. With these definitions, a convex polytope is given as a finite intersection of half-spaces. As for the convex hull representation, there are infinitely many representations of the same convex polytope as a finite intersection of half-spaces, but unlike it, a distinguished one that is minimal exists only for full dimensional polytopes, we will describe it in the next paragraph.

A *face* of a convex polytope is an intersection with a half-space satisfying the following condition: the boundary of the half-space does not contain any interior point of the polytope. Thus the faces of a convex polytope are themselves polytopes (and hence compact sets). Let m be the dimension of a convex polytope. Then the whole polytope is the unique m-dimensional face, or *body*, the $(m-1)$-dimensional faces are called *facets*, the 1-dimensional faces are the *edges*, and the 0-dimensional faces are the vertices of the polytope. If the convex polytope is full-dimensional, its minimal and unique description as an intersection of half-spaces is given when the boundary of those half-spaces contain the facets.

References

[1] M. Audin, A. Cannas da Silva, E. Lerman: *Symplectic geometry of integrable systems*, Advanced Courses in Mathematics. CRM Barcelona. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (2003).

[2] M. Atiyah: Convexity and commuting Hamiltonians, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **14**, 1–15 (1982).

[3] D. Burago, Y. Burago, and S. Ivanov: *A Course in Metric Geometry*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 33. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2001).

[4] A. Cannas da Silva, *Lectures on Symplectic Geometry*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1764, Corrected 2nd Printing, Springer (2008).

[5] E. Čech: On bicompact spaces, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **38**, 823–844 (1937).

[6] J .Coffey, L. Kessler, and Á. Pelayo: Symplectic geometry on moduli spaces of J-holomorphic curves, *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.* **41**, 265–280 (2012).

[7] D. Cox: Toric varieties and toric resolutions, in *Resolution of Singularities*, Progress in Math. **181**, Birkhäuser, Basel Boston Berlin, 259–284 (2000).

[8] T. Delzant: Hamiltoniens périodiques et images convexes de l'application moment, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **116**, 315–339 (1988).

[9] J.J. Duistermaat and G.J. Heckman: On the variation in the cohomology of the symplectic form of the reduced phase space, *Invent. Math.* **69**, 259–268 (1982).

[10] J.J. Duistermaat and Á. Pelayo: Reduced phase space and toric variety coordinatizations of Delzant spaces, *Math. Proc. Cambr. Phil. Soc.* **146**, 695–718 (2009).

[11] W. Fulton: *Introduction to Toric Varieties*, Annals of Mathematical Studies, 131. The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (1993).

[12] V. Guillemin: Kaehler structures on toric varieties, *J. Differential Geometry* **40**, 285–309 (1994).

[13] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg: Convexity properties of the moment mapping, *Invent. Math.* **67**, 491–513 (1982).

[14] M. Harada, T. S. Holm, L.C. Jeffrey, and A-L Mare: Connectivity properties of moment maps on based loop groups, *Geom. Topol.* **10**, 1607–1634 (2006).
[15] J.-C. Hausmann and A. Knutson: Polygon spaces and Grassmannian, *L'Enseign. Math.* **43**, 173–198 (1997).
[16] J.-C. Hausmann and A. Knutson: The cohomology ring of polygon spaces. *Ann. l'Inst. Fourier* **48**, 281–321 (1998).
[17] M. Kapovich and J. Millson: On the moduli space of polygons in the Euclidean plane, *J. Diff. Geom.* **42** No.1, 133–164 (1995).
[18] Y. Karshon, L. Kessler, and Pinsonnault: A compact symplectic four-manifold admits only finitely many inequivalent toric actions, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **5**, 139–166 (2007).
[19] M. Krein and D. Milman: On extreme points of regular convex sets, *Studia Math.* **9**, 133–138 (1940).
[20] R. Lang: A note on the measurability of convex sets, *Arch. Math.* **47**, 90–92 (1986).
[21] E. Lerman and S. Tolman: Hamiltonian torus actions on symplectic orbifolds and toric varieties. *Trans. of the Amer. Math. Soc.* **349**, 4201–4230 (1997).
[22] Á. Pelayo: Toric symplectic ball packing, *Topology Appl.* **153**, 3633–3644 (2006).
[23] Á. Pelayo and B. Schmidt: Maximal ball packings of symplectic-toric manifolds, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, ID rnm139, 24 pp (2008).
[24] Á. Pelayo and S. Vũ Ngọc: Semitoric integrable systems on symplectic 4-manifolds. *Invent. Math.* **177**, 571–597 (2009).
[25] Á. Pelayo and S. Vũ Ngọc: Constructing integrable systems of semitoric type. *Acta Math.* **206**, 93–125 (2011).
[26] Á. Pelayo and S. Vũ Ngọc: First steps in symplectic and spectral theory of integrable systems, *Discrete and Cont. Dyn. Syst., Series A* **32**, 3325–3377 (2012).
[27] Á. Pelayo, T.S. Ratiu, and S. Vũ Ngọc: Symplectic bifurcation theory for integrable systems, *arXiv:1108.0328*.
[28] G.C. Shephard and R.J. Webster: Metrics for sets of convex bodies, *Mathematika* **12**, 73–88 (1965).
[29] M.H. Stone: Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **41**, 375–481 (1937).
[30] S. Vũ Ngọc: Moment polytopes for symplectic manifolds with monodromy, *Adv. Math.*, **208**, 909–934 (2007).

Mathematics Department, Washington University, One Brookings Drive, St. Louis, MO 63130-4899, USA.

Current address: School of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Study, Einstein Drive, Princeton, NJ 08540 USA.

E-mail address: apelayo@math.wustl.edu

Department of Mathematics, Cornell University, 310 Malott Hall, Ithaca, NY, 14853-4201, USA.

E-mail address: apires@math.cornell.edu

Section de Mathématiques and Bernoulli Center, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Station 8, Lausanne, 1015, Switzerland.

E-mail address: tudor.ratiu@epfl.ch

Section de Mathématiques, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Station 8, Lausanne, 1015, Switzerland.

E-mail address: silvia.sabatini@epfl.ch