

# THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

# Edinburgh Research Explorer

# Synthetic cell-based sensors with programmed selectivity and sensitivity

# Citation for published version:

Bernard, E & Wang, B 2017, 'Synthetic cell-based sensors with programmed selectivity and sensitivity' Methods in Molecular Biology, vol. 1572, pp. 349-363. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4939-6911-1\_23

**Digital Object Identifier (DOI):** 

10.1007/978-1-4939-6911-1 23

# Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

**Document Version:** Peer reviewed version

**Published In:** Methods in Molecular Biology

## **General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



# Chapter 23

2

3

4

5

16

17

# Synthetic Cell-Based Sensors with Programmed Selectivity and Sensitivity

# **Elvis Bernard and Baojun Wang**

## Abstract

Bacteria live in an ever changing environment and, to adapt their physiology, they have to sense the changes. 6 Our current understanding of the mechanisms and elements involved in the detection and processing of 7 these environmental signals grant us access to an array of genetic components able to process such 8 information. As engineers can use different electronic components to build a circuit, we can rewire the 9 cellular components to create digital logic and analogue gene circuits that will program cell behaviour in a 10 designed manner in response to a specific stimulus. Here we present the methods and protocols for 11 designing and implementing synthetic cell-based biosensors that use engineered genetic logic and analogue 12 amplifying circuits to significantly increase selectivity and sensitivity, for example, for heavy metal ions in an 13 aqueous environment. The approach is modular and can be readily applied to improving the sensing limit 14 and performance of a range of microbial cell-based sensors to meet their real world detection requirement. 15

Key words Cell-based biosensor, Synthetic gene circuit, Selectivity, Sensitivity, Heavy metals

## 1 Introduction

To adapt their physiology to the changing environment, bacteria 18 have developed a plethora of sensors to probe their milieu. The 19 different signals gathered through these sensors are processed and 20 integrated by complex genetic networks involving the similar type 21 of logical operations we can find in a computational circuit. Hence, 22 similarly, such biological components (genetic sensors and circuits) 23 could be rewired to generate modular and programmable biosen-24 sors [1]. 25

A typical biosensor consists of three cascaded modules: an input 26 sensor, a regulatory circuit, and an output actuator (Fig. 1). A huge 27 variety of genetic sensors have been developed through the evolution and virtually all stimuli could be sensed by an organism or 29 another. Beside the large range of light-based outputs that have 30 been developed during the last few decades, alternative outputs like 31

Avraham Rasooly and Ben Prickril (eds.), *Biosensors and Biodetection: Methods and Protocols, Volume 2: Electrochemical, Bioelectronic, Piezoelectric, Cellular and Molecular Biosensors*, Methods in Molecular Biology, vol. 1572, DOI 10.1007/978-1-4939-6911-1\_23, © Springer Science+Business Media LLC 2017



**Fig. 1** Architecture of a modular synthetic cell-based biosensor. The cellular sensor comprises three interconnected and exchangeable modules, i.e., the input sensors, the internal genetic information processing circuits and the output actuators. The cells are engineered using various natural or synthetic sensors such as sensor kinases or intracellular receptor proteins to detect environmental signals and genetic circuits such as analog transcriptional amplifiers or digital-like AND logic gates to modulate and integrate these multiple input signals. The programmed cells can then initiate customized responses by activating different output genes according to the logic decision transmitted upstream. Adapted with permission from [4]

the production of specific chemicals or the change of motility or 32 morphology may also be generated. One big challenge in the 33 development of advanced cell-based biosensors is the design of 34 embedded genetic information processing circuits but great prog-35 ress has been made in the last decade and the toolbox for engineer-36 ing gene circuits continuously expands and more complex circuits 37 has become possible [2, 3]. Moreover, as we will exemplify later, by 38 carefully designing the embedded genetic circuit, we can engineer a 39 biosensor with sophisticated function. 40

By taking advantage of the ability of specialized bacteria to 41 sense particular compounds in their environmental niche, a range 42 of single input-sensors have been constructed to detect pollutants 43 like arsenic [4], xylene, or even explosives [5]. Multi-input biosen-44 sors have also been constructed and found their utilities in the 45 identification of complex conditions such as the precise detection 46 of a cancer disease [6]. For instance, we may connect the inputs of a 47 multi-input AND logic gate to pathogenicity-related cellular sig-48 nals and couple the device output to a therapeutics such as a suicide 49 gene to achieve specific in vivo cell targeting and killing. 50

Here we describe the strategies and methods for designing and 51 characterizing highly sensitive and selective synthetic cell-based 52 sensors that use engineered digital-like genetic logic gates or analogue transcriptional amplifiers to process the transduced sensory 54 signals. Cellular sensors containing relative small circuits have been 55 chosen below to illustrate the design method on purpose. 56 However, readers interested in advanced sensors with more com- <sup>57</sup> plex gene circuits such as a 3-input AND gate [4, 7] or a tunable <sup>58</sup> transcriptional amplifier [8] may refer to our previous published <sup>59</sup> works [4, 7–9]. In the following examples, we used a fluorescent <sup>60</sup> reporter as the sensor output but it can be readily swapped to a <sup>61</sup> more application-oriented output if needed. <sup>62</sup>

In general, synthetic cell-based sensors could be built in two differ- 63 ent manners [10, 11]. In the first case, we can use the host endoge- 64 nous genetic pathways and rewire the final output of a relevant 65 pathway to a desired reporter gene. Because the whole pathway 66 comes from the same organism as the one where the cellular sensors 67 will operate, it is unlikely that these sensors will not be functional. 68 However, the cell native signalling systems have evolved to respond 69 to their cognate ligands with a particular sensitivity, selectivity and 70 dynamic ranges, and are therefore not optimized for direct reuse in 71 environmental biosensing. Among the issues we may encounter, 72 the sensor high basal activities and low output dynamic range may 73 be addressed by tuning the translational rate of the output reporter 74 gene or its protein lifetime e.g., using a degradation tag. Another 75 potential issue in using inherent signaling sensor is the lack of 76 sufficient sensitivity. As we have shown previously, this may be 77 addressed by tuning the concentration of the cognate sensor recep-78 tor protein in the cytoplasm [12]. Since the different signalling 79 components of the cellular sensors are inherent to the host, the 80 sensor circuits may be crosslinked to other components present in 81 the same organism and thus could be more prone to variation in 82 response to change in the environment or the growth condition. 83

In the second case when the host chassis could not be able per 84 se to sense the signal we intend to detect, we may resort to import- 85 ing heterogeneous signalling pathway and sensors from other 86 specialized bacterial species. Indeed, many microorganisms have 87 evolved to use different substrates present in their native environ-88 ment. However, to avoid unnecessary energy spending, most of 89 these modules will be only induced in presence of these substrates. 90 Since the different imported components are not derived from the 91 host, their compatibility with the endogenous machineries and 92 their functionalities are not guaranteed in the new host chassis. 93 Each part needs to be characterized and optimized separately. On 94 the other hand, as the genetic circuit is not an integrative part of the 95 host, the potential interference between the synthetic circuit and 96 the endogenous pathways present in the host should be low or 97 negligible compared to an endogenous circuit. 98

As shown in Fig. 2, the simplest synthetic cell-based sensors 99 may only consist of an output reporter expressed from a signalling 100 promoter or with the further incorporation of a receptor gene. 101 Here we present exemplar results for four different single-input 102 sensors that have been constructed in *E. coli* TOP10 for sensing 103

# 1.1 Design and Engineering of Synthetic Cell-Based Sensors



**Fig. 2** Design and characterization of a set of single-input cellular biosensors. (a) The arsenic sensor were characterized under various arsenite concentrations (0, 0.125, 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 1.2, 2.0, 4.0, 8.0, 16.0, 32  $\mu$ M NaAsO<sub>2</sub>). (b) The mercury sensor was characterized under various HgCl<sub>2</sub> levels (0, 0.0625, 0.125, 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0  $\mu$ M). (c) The zinc or lead sensor was characterized under various ZnCl<sub>2</sub>/PbCl<sub>2</sub> levels (0, 0.0041, 0.0123, 0.037, 0.111, 0.222, 0.333, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.2 mM). (d) The cadmium or zinc sensor was characterized under various levels of CdCl<sub>2</sub> (0, 0.00137, 0.0041, 0.0123, 0.037, 0.111, 0.222, 0.333, 0.4 mM) or ZnCl<sub>2</sub> as the same as indicated in (c). *E. coli* TOP10, LB, 37 °C, 6 h post induction. Error bars, s.d. (*n* = 4). *a.u.* arbitrary units

heavy metal ions in an aqueous environment [3]. The first one is the arsenic sensor (Fig. 2a) derived from the pathway that naturally confers resistance to high concentration of arsenic in *Escherichia coli*. The second sensor is a mercury sensor (Fig. 2b) built using the mercury resistance module present on the R100 plasmid from *Shigella flexneri*. These two examples represent the design of an 109

124

endogenous sensor and the design of a heterogeneous sensor 110 respectively. The receptor gene *arsR* is expressed to allow tuning 111 the sensitivity and dynamic range of the arsenic sensor [12] while 112 the mercuric receptor gene *merR* is necessary for the mercury 113 sensor. The last two single-input sensors are two zinc responsive 114 sensors. The construction of these genetic sensors relies on the 115 endogenous signaling systems present in the cell: the two compo-116 nent system ZraSR and the one component ZntR sensor. Under 117 these circumstances, only the cognate regulatory promoter ( $P_{zraP}$  118 or  $P_{zntA}$ ) is used and coupled to an output reporter (*gfp*). As shown 119 in Fig. 2c, d, the two sensors respond to the presence of not only 120 zinc but also other metals (i.e., lead or cadmium). Such lack of 121 specificity can be addressed by the use of a genetic AND gate as 122 described below. 123

1.2 Engineered Genetic AND Logic Gates Enable Highly Selective Biosensors A multi-input AND gate is characterized by the feature that its 125 output is ON only when all the inputs are ON at the same time. 126 Such logic gate can be very useful to increase the selectivity of a cell-127 based biosensor [4]. As illustrated in Fig. 3a, with the incorporation 128 of more individual nonspecific sensors, the intersection between 129 these sensors will become narrower. Thus increased sensing selec-130 tivity can be obtained using an AND logic gate to couple these 131 sensors. Such genetic logic gates may be generated using split or 132 heteromeric activators or specific promoters requiring two or more 133 activator proteins to be active such as the 2- or 3-hybrid system used 134 for protein-protein interaction assay. 135

Previously we have engineered a modular and orthogonal 136 genetic AND gate in E. coli [9]. The modular two-input AND 137 gate comprises two heterologous genes, hrpR and hrpS, and one 138  $\sigma^{54}$ -dependent output promoter,  $P_{brpL}$ , from the *hrp* (hypersensi-139 tive response and pathogenecity) regulatory system of the plant 140 pathogen P. syringae (Fig. 3b). The hrpR and hrpS encode two 141 regulatory enhancer binding proteins that act synergistically by 142 forming a heteromeric protein complex to co-activate the tightly 143 regulated P<sub>brpL</sub> promoter. Both the inputs and output of the AND 144 gate were designed to be promoters to facilitate their connection to 145 different upstream and downstream transcriptional modules. Due 146 to this modularity, the inputs can be rewired to different input 147 sensors and the output can be used to drive various cellular 148 responses. 149

To design a logic AND-gated cellular biosensor, we connected 150 two transcriptional inputs of the single input sensors to the modu-151 lar genetic AND gate with gfp as the output readout. Figure 3c 152 shows the design and characterization of a double-input AND 153 gated biosensor that can distinguish between  $Zn^{2+}$  and  $Pb^{2+}$  or 154  $Cd^{2+}$  [4]. The sensor circuit employs  $P_{zraP}$  (responsive to  $Zn^{2+}$  and 155  $Pb^{2+}$ ) and  $P_{zntA}$  (responsive to  $Cd^{2+}$  and  $Zn^{2+}$ ) as the sensory 156 inputs to the AND gate and the *gfp* as the output reporter. Because 157



**Fig. 3** Design and characterization of a two-input AND logic gated cellular biosensor. (a) Venn diagram illustrating a multi-input AND logic gate. The number of substrates (represented by different shapes) recognizable by the whole set of sensors decreases when the number of sensors increases. (b) Schematic showing the HrpR/HrpS hetero regulation motif in the *hrp* system of *P. syringae*. The *hrp* (hypersensitive response and pathogenicity) system in *Pseudomonas syring* app. *tomato* DC3000 determines its ability to cause disease in the plant host. The  $\sigma^{54}$ -dependent *hrpL* promoter is the primary regulator of this system and is activated by the hetero HrpR and HprS bacterial enhancer-binding proteins. (c) Design of the AND-gated sensor with increased selectivity to zinc ions. (d) The AND logic gated zinc sensor was measured using various levels of ZnCl<sub>2</sub> or PbCl<sub>2</sub> (0, 0.0041, 0.0123, 0.037, 0.111, 0.222, 0.333, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.2 mM) or CdCl<sub>2</sub> (0, 0.00137, 0.0041, 0.0123, 0.037, 0.111, 0.222, 0.333, 0.5, 0.75,

both of the two sensory inputs have to be activated in order to 158 generate the fluorescent output, this AND logic gated sensor is 159 only responsive to  $Zn^{2+}$  but not  $Pb^{2+}$  or  $Cd^{2+}$  in conditions 160 containing only a single contaminant of these metals. The dose 161 response curves of the sensor to  $Zn^{2+}$ ,  $Pb^{2+}$  or  $Cd^{2+}$  confirm 162 that the sensor is not only highly selective to zinc but also has an 163 increased absolute fluorescent output, i.e., signal-to-noise ratio 164 (Fig. 3d).

166

1.3 Engineered Transcriptional Amplifiers Enable Highly Sensitive Biosensors When the sensitivity or output amplitude of a genetic sensor is low, 167 genetic amplifiers can be used to scale up the transduced transcriptional signal from the input sensor. By doing this, the sensitivity 169 and output dynamic range of these sensors can be significantly 170 increased to meet their real world detection limits. For example, 171 the WHO safe limit for arsenic in drinking water is 10 ppb, i.e., 172 0.133  $\mu$ M [12].

Previously we have engineered a set of modular genetic ampli- 174 fiers in E. coli capable of amplifying a transcriptional signal with 175 wide tuneable gain control in cascaded gene networks [8]. The 176 fixed-gain amplifier was built by expressing in an operon the coop- 177 erative activator proteins, HrpR and HrpS, whose high order func- 178 tional forms synergistically activate the downstream tightly 179 controlled  $\sigma^{54}$ -dependent P<sub>brbL</sub> promoter, thus assisting amplifica- 180 tion of the transcriptional input signal (Fig. 4a). To obtain different 181 amplification gains, two configurations of the amplifier (Amp32<sup>C</sup> 182 and Amp30<sup>C</sup>) were designed using two RBS (ribosome binding 183 site) sequences of distinct translational strengths [9] in front of the 184 *hrpS* gene. Amp30<sup>C</sup>, with a strong RBS sequence (rbs30), should 185 produce a higher signal gain than Amp32<sup>C</sup> with a weaker RBS 186 sequence (rbs32). 187

To verify their amplification capability, we connected the arsenic responsive transcriptional sensor to the input of the fixed gain 189 amplifier with *afp* as the output. By itself, the arsenic sensor gener-190 ated a transcriptional output with limited dynamic range and sensi-191 tivity in response to varying levels of arsenite (Fig. 2a). When the 192 transduced transcriptional input from the arsenic sensor was 193 connected to our amplifier, the resulting output signal amplitude 194 and dynamic range increased significantly, as well as the response 195 sensitivity to the inducer (Fig. 4b) for both devices (Amp32<sup>C</sup> and Amp30<sup>C</sup>).



**Fig. 4** Engineering and characterization of the arsenic sensor enhanced by transcriptional amplifiers  $Amp32^{C}$  and  $Amp30^{C}$ . (a) The transcriptional amplifier comprises two terminals corresponding to the signal input and signal output. Here two amplifiers with different gains,  $Amp32^{C}$  and  $Amp30^{C}$ , are designed by using two different RBS sequences ahead of the *hrpS* gene. An arsenic responsive sensor is the input signal and *gfp* the output. (b) Steady state responses of the arsenic sensor without amplification and with amplification by  $Amp32^{C}$  and  $Amp30^{C}$ . The cells are induced by 12 varying concentrations of arsenite (0, 0.125, 0.25, 0.35, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0, 2.0, 0.05).

# 2 Materials

- 96-well microplate (Greiner Bio-One, chimney black, flat clear 200 bottom, Catalog No.655096). To prevent fluorescence spill 201 from neighbouring wells, the wall of the wells should not be 202 transparent and black wall gives better result than the white 203 one. The presence of a lid will reduce the evaporation and also 204 prevent potential contamination of the sample during the 205 growth. The lid (Greiner Bio-One, Catalog No. L3911- 206 100EA) should be transparent to the different lights used 207 during the measurement.
- 2. Plate reader such as the BMG Labtech FLUOstar fluorometer 209 for repeated absorbance (OD at 600 nm) and green fluores- 210 cence (485 nm for excitation,  $520 \pm 10$  nm for emission, 211 Gain = 1200, bottom reading) or red fluorescence (584 nm 212 for excitation,  $620 \pm 10$  nm for emission, Gain = 2000, bot- 213 tom reading) readings (20 min/cycle) (see Note 1). 214
- Plate shaker such as the BMG Labtech THERMOstar. While 215 this is not mandatory, it allows culturing and characterization 216 up to four 96-well plates at the same time by incubating the 217 plates at appropriate temperature with continuous shaking. 218
- 4. A spectrophotometer and associated 1 ml cuvettes.
- 5. A repetitive pipette (Gilson REPETMAN Electronic Pipette 220 0.1–50 ml, F164503) for fast loading cell culture into 96-221 well plates, and associated repet tips (e.g., F164550—5 ml 222 syringe tips; F164560—12.5 ml syringe tips).
- 6. A multichannel pipette (Gilson PIPETMAN Concept Multi 224 C8x10 1–10 μl, F31032) for fast loading sample inducers 225 into 96-well plates.
- 7. A range of dilutions of culture inducers. In this study, we use 227 arsenic in its arsenite form (Catalog No. 35000-1L-R, Sigma-228 Aldrich, St Louis, MO) as inducer. To characterize the sensor 229 cell response to different arsenite concentrations, we use a serial 230 dilution in deionized water, for example, 0, 0.125, 0.25, 0.5, 231 1.0, 2.0, 4.0, 8.0, 16.0  $\mu$ M. 232
- 8. LB (Luria–Bertani Broth) media (10 g/L peptone, 5 g/L 233 NaCl, 5 g/L yeast extract) for cell culture.
  234
- 9. Relevant antibiotics. The antibiotic concentrations used in the  $_{235}$  final cell culture are 50  $\mu$ g/ml for kanamycin and 50  $\mu$ g/ml for  $_{236}$

199

**Fig. 4** (continued) 4.0, 8.0, 16, 32  $\mu$ M NaAsO<sub>2</sub>). (c) The scatter plot shows the linear relationships between the non-saturated transcriptional inputs (the signal inputs that do not lead to maximum output level of the device) and the amplified outputs of Amp32<sup>C</sup> and Amp30<sup>C</sup> by fitting to a linear function. *E. coli* TOP10, LB, 37 °C, 5 h post induction. Error bars, s.d. (n = 3)



**Fig. 5** Plasmid maps showing some representative circuit constructs used in this chapter. (a) The plasmid for encoding the single input arsenic sensor with *gfp* as the output (Fig. 2a). (b) The plasmid for encoding the single input mercury sensor with *gfp* as the output (Fig. 2b). (c) The plasmid for encoding the AND gated zinc sensor with *gfp* as the output (Fig. 3c, d). (d) The plasmid for encoding the fixed-gain amplifier Amp32<sup>C</sup> with the arsenic sensor as input and *gfp* as the output (Fig. 4)

ampicillin. The stock solution is generally prepared  $1000 \times 237$  concentrated in deionized water. 238

- 10. Cell strain containing the empty plasmids without the reporter 239 gene (negative control). 240
- Cell strain containing the circuit plasmids to characterize.
   Some representative sensor plasmid constructs used in this
   work are shown in Fig. 5.
   243

# 3 Methods

3.1 Design and Constructing the Sensor Genetic Constructs

- 1. The sensor construct design is generally based on various sen- 246 sory components that have been reported in different bacterial 247 species in the literature. Hence, one can search relevant genomic 248 database to extract the useful related genetic sequences, design 249 the sensor accordingly (*see* **Note 2**) and then synthesize them de 250 novo by a commercial gene synthesis company before cloning 251 them into a customized plasmid (Fig. 5a, b) [4]. 252
- 2. Alternatively, one can request the reported sensor elements/ 253 bacterium from the authors of the relevant literature and then 254 apply standard molecular biology methods (e.g., PCR, restric- 255 tion enzyme digestion and ligation, sequencing) to clone them 256 into a customized expression plasmid. As an example, for the 257 transcriptional amplifier sensor circuit described in Fig. 5d, 258 hrpR, hrpS, P<sub>hrpL</sub> and the arsenic responsive sensor construct 259 arsR-P<sub>arsR</sub> were synthesized by gene synthesis company Gen- 260 eArt following the BioBrick standard by eliminating the four 261 restriction sites (EcoRI, XbaI, SpeI, and PstI) for the BioBrick 262 standard via synonymous codon exchange and flanking with 263 prefix and suffix sequences containing the appropriate restriction 264 sites and ribosome binding site (RBS) sequences. The double 265 terminator BBa\_B0015 from the Registry of Standard 266 Biological Parts (http://partsregistry.org) was used to terminate 267 gene transcription. The GFP (Green Fluorescent Protein, 268 gfpmut3b, BBa\_E0840) reporter was from the Registry of Stan- 269 dard Biological Parts (http://partsregistry.org). The various 270 RBS sequences (rbs30 and rbs32) for each gene construct were 271 introduced with PCR primers if necessary (amplification utilized 272 high-fidelity Phusion DNA polymerase from NEB and an 273 Eppendorf Mastercycler gradient thermal cycler). The sensor 274 circuit construct was assembled following the three-way Bio- 275 Brick DNA assembly method into plasmid pSB3K3 (p15A ori, 276 Kan<sup>r</sup>) and verified by DNA sequencing prior to its use [8]. For 277 brevity, we will not elaborate on the design and cloning proce-278 dure of other sensor plasmid constructs here, but interested 279 readers can refer to our previous publications for details [4, 7, 280 8, 12]. 281
- To obtain the final sensor cell strain, the sensor plasmid constructs built above can be transformed directly into a target cell 283 strain (e.g., *E. coli* TOP10) following either a chemical or electroporation transformation protocol. At the same time, a negative control strain will also need to be constructed using the corresponding reporter-free plasmids.

3.2 Preparing Sample Inducers at Different Dilutions/ Concentrations

- $1. For induction of cell culture samples, we generally add 5 \ \mu l 289 inducer to 195 \ \mu l cell culture. So the different stock solutions 290 of inducers that will be used should be 40 \times concentrated of 291 their final concentration in the media. 292$
- 2. For example, to obtain the 16  $\mu$ M target arsenite induction, add 16  $\mu$ l of 50 mM NaAsO<sub>2</sub> (stock solution) to 1234  $\mu$ l of deionized water in a microtube to obtain 1.25 ml of a 640  $\mu$ M arsenite solution (16  $\mu$ M × 40). 295
- 3. Add 500  $\mu l$  of the previous solution (16  $\mu M$ ) to 500  $\mu l$  of 297 deionized water to obtain 1 ml of a 320  $\mu M$  arsenite solution 298 (8  $\mu M \times 40$ ).
- 5. Repeat the above dilution process until all the inducer concentrations needed are obtained. 303
- 6. Keep the inducers at  $4 \degree C$  if used in the next few days; otherwise, 305 keep them at  $-20 \degree C$  (to prevent any degradation or 306 contamination). 307

308

# 3.3 Culturing and Assaying Sensor Cell Samples

- Day -1: Re-streak the different sensor cell strains needed (negative control and the strain(s) to characterize) on fresh LB agar plates containing the appropriate antibiotic(s) (*see* Note 3).
- Day 0: From a single colony, inoculate 5 ml media containing 312 the appropriate antibiotic in a 30 ml sterile Falcon tube and 313 incubate it overnight at 37 °C with continuous shaking 314 (200 rpm). As this stage, it would be preferable to prepare 315 several biological repeats for the overnight culture of each strain 316 to characterize.
- 3. Day 1: Measure the optical density  $(OD_{600})$  of the overnight 318 culture. 319
- 4. Dilute the overnight culture to an  $OD_{600} = 0.025$  into 4 ml of 320 fresh medium containing the appropriate antibiotic (*see* **Note 4**). 321
- 5. Dispense 195 μl of the appropriate culture in each well, if 322 appropriate, using a repetitive pipette. Figure 6 shows a typical 323 layout including negative control (reporter-free cell culture) and blank (medium only wells). 325
- 6. Load 5  $\mu$ l of the inducer solution prepared the day before into the wells containing corresponding samples, if appropriate, using a multichannel pipette to reduce operation time. Note that the inducer solution needs to be mixed thoroughly by vortexing prior to use. 320 330
- 7. Incubate the plate at 37 °C with shaking (200 rpm, linear mode) 331 in the plate reader. Setup the plate reader for repeated 332

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Α	Sensor 1 induced by varying concentrations of inducer 1 – clone 1											Negative controls (GFP-free samples)
В	Sensor 1 induced by varying concentrations of inducer 1 – clone 2											
С	Sensor 1 induced by varying concentrations of inducer 1 – clone 3											
D	Sensor 1 induced by varying concentrations of inducer 1 – clone 4											
E	Sensor 2 induced by varying concentrations of inducer 2 – clone 1											Blank wells (media only samp
F	Sensor 2 induced by varying concentrations of inducer 2 – clone 2											
G	Sensor 2 induced by varying concentrations of inducer 2 – clone 3											
Н		Sensor 2 induced by varying concentrations of inducer 2 – clone 4										

**Fig. 6** Exemplar experimental setup for characterizing cellular sensor response. An exemplar 96-well plate layout showing two different cellular sensors to be characterized in response to varying ligand inducers with four biological repeats each

3.4 Analyzing the

Assay Results

fluorescence and optical density reading each well every 20 min. 333 Alternatively, a plate shaker can be used when more than one 334 plate are used at the same time. In this case, a snapshot-reading 335 can be performed 4–6 h post induction (depending on the 336 strain/media used and the circuit tested). 337

- 1. Since dynamic monitoring data were obtained for a cellular 339 sensor in response to varying concentrations of a target ligand, 340 we generally select the 5 or 6 h data post initial induction for 341 subsequent analysis when the cell growth are at the transition 342 from exponential to stationary phases. The first step in the 343 analysis of the assay results is to subtract the background from 344 both the optical density and the fluorescence readings. This can 345 be done by subtracting the value from the well containing only 346 the media (blank wells). 347
- The second step is to normalize the measurement result. It is 348 obvious that the more cells are present in the culture the higher 349 the fluorescent measurement will be. To normalize fluorescence 350 reading, the blank-corrected fluorescence will be divided by the 351 blank corrected optical density (*see* Note 5). 352
- Finally, since the host cells have auto-fluorescent background, 353 we need to subtract this value from the normalized ratio we 354 obtained. To do so, we simply subtract the mean ratio of the 355 negative control samples from the ratios of the cognate sensor 356 culture samples.

- 4. The obtained sensor outputs can be plotted against different 358 concentrations of the cognate sensor inducers used to obtain the 359 sensor dosage response curve. 360
- 5. Curve fitting will then be applied to the above obtained dosage response curve to obtain the standard measurement curve that may be used for the assay of future unknown samples [4].
  363

364

365

#### 4 Notes

- 1. Gain of fluorescence readings: As the plate reader has a maxi-366 mum threshold, the gain should be adjusted to the experimen-367 tal conditions. Otherwise, the reading can saturate and it will 368 not be possible to characterize the dynamic range of the out-369 put. On the other hand, if the gain is too low, the detection 370 level of the biosensor cannot be accurately and reliably esti-371 mated. To obtain sufficient output signal dynamic range, we set 372 our gain at 1200 for the green fluorescent protein and at 2000 373 when we read the red fluorescent protein. The highest gain of 374 the BMG Labtech FLUOStar plate reader we used in this work 375 is 4095 and it has been suggested by the manufacturer that gain 376 beyond 2800 may significantly amplify the background elec-377 tronic signal noise. 378
- 2. Generally there are two options for the sensor design: One 379 uses only an endogenous promoter (e.g.,  $P_{zraP}$  and  $P_{zntA}$ 380 shown in Fig. 2c, d) that is usually coupled to the host signal-381 ling network. The other utilizes both the transcription factor 382 receptor and the cognate regulatory promoter that are 383 organized into a single architecture as shown in Fig. 2a, b. 384 For the second sensor design, generally a constitutive pro-385 moter is used to express the receptor protein while an efficient 386 terminator is incorporated between the receptor gene and the 387 cognate regulatory promoter to prevent any transcriptional 388 read through. 389
- 3. To obtain robust reproducible results, always restreak sensor 390 strains from glycerol stocks on fresh media plates such that 391 the physiological state of the sensor strain is predictable and 392 guaranteed. Avoid inoculation directly from old plates or 393 glycerol stocks. To minimize the variation caused by different 394 media batches, we suggest using growth media that is 395 prepared following the same media recipe and autoclaving 396 protocol. 397
- 4. Minimal media: When a minimal medium is used, one can set the initial optical density of the culture to a higher level. We usually set the optical density at  $OD_{600} = 0.05$ . The purpose is to compensate the slower growth in this kind of media and ensure enough cells will be produced for the characterization. 402

- 5. Fluctuation of  $OD_{600}$  readings: Due to potential clumping and 403 aggregation of cells growing in plate wells, the optical density 404 reading can sometimes fluctuate between adjacent cycles of read-405 ings in the plate reader. If abnormal absorbance readings are seen in a cycle, we recommend not using such readings since using them to calculate the ratio of fluorescence per  $OD_{600}$  will lead to misleading value.
- 410 References

406

407

408

409

- 412 1. Bradley RW, Wang B (2015) Designer cell sig-
- nal processing circuits for biotechnology. N
  Biotechnol 32:635–643. doi:10.1016/j.nbt.
- 415 2014.12.009
- 416
  417
  418
  418
  419
  419
  410
  410
  411
  411
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
  412
- 3. Brophy JAN, Voigt CA (2014) Principles of
  genetic circuit design. Nat Methods
  11:508–520. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2926
- 423 4. Wang B, Barahona M, Buck M (2013) A modular cell-based biosensor using engineered genetic logic circuits to detect and integrate multiple environmental signals. Biosens Bioelectron 40:368–376. doi:10.1016/j.bios. 2012.08.011
- 5. De Las HA, Carreño CA, De Lorenzo N 429 430 (2008) Stable implantation of orthogonal sen-431 sor circuits in Gram-negative bacteria for envirelease. Environ 432 ronmental Microbiol 10:3305-3316. 433 doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920. 2008.01722.x 434
- 6. Xie Z, Wroblewska L, Prochazka L, Weiss R, Benenson Y (2011) Multi-input RNAi-based
  logic circuit for identification of specific cancer cells. Science 333:1307–1311. doi:10.1126/ science.1205527
- 7. Wang B, Buck M (2014) Rapid engineering ofversatile molecular logic gates using

heterologous genetic transcriptional modules. Chem Commun (Camb) 50:11642–11644. doi:10.1039/c4cc05264a

- Wang B, Barahona M, Buck M (2014) Engineering modular and tunable genetic amplifiers for scaling transcriptional signals in cascaded gene networks. Nucleic Acids Res 42:9484–9492. doi:10.1093/nar/gku593
- 9. Wang B, Kitney RI, Joly N, Buck M (2011) Engineering modular and orthogonal genetic logic gates for robust digital-like synthetic biology. Nat Commun 2:508. doi:10.1038/ ncomms1516
- 10. Checa SK, Zurbriggen MD, Soncini FC (2012) Bacterial signaling systems as platforms for rational design of new generations of biosensors. Curr Opin Biotechnol 23:766–772. doi:10.1016/j.copbio.2012.05.003
- 11. van der Meer JR, Belkin S (2010) Where microbiology meets microengineering: design and applications of reporter bacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol 8:511–522. doi:10.1038/ nrmicro2392
- 12. Wang B, Barahona M, Buck M (2015) Amplification of small molecule-inducible gene expression via tuning of intracellular receptor densities. Nucleic Acids Res 43:1955–1964. doi:10.1093/nar/gku1388

442

443

444

445

446

465 466 467

468