LUNG CANCER RISK IN NEVER SMOKERS OF EUROPEAN DESCENT IS ASSOCIATED WITH GENETIC VARIATION IN THE 5_P15.33 *TERT-CLPTM1L* REGION

^a Raviean J. Hung, ^b Margaret R. Spitz, ^c Richard S. Houlston, ^d Ann G. Schwartz, ^e John K. Field, 3 ^fJun Ying, ^bYafang Li, ^bYounghun Han, ^gXuemei Ji, ^hWei Chen, ^hXifeng Wu, ^gIvan P. 4 Gorlov, ⁱ Jie Na, ⁱ Mariza de Andrade, ^j Geoffrey Liu, ^a Yonathan Brhane, ^k Nancy Diao, ^d 5 Angela Wenzlaff, ^e Michael P.A. Davies, ^e Triantafillos Liloglou, ^{I,m} Maria Timofeeva, ^{n,o} Thomas 6 7 Muley, ^pHedy Rennert, ^pWalid Saliba, ^qBríd M. Ryan, ^qElise Bowman, ^rJuan-Miguel Barros-Dios, ^rMónica Pérez-Ríos, ^sHal Morgenstern, ^tShan Zienolddiny, ^tVidar Skaug, ^u 8 9 Donatella Ugolini, ^{v,w} Stefano Bonassi, ^x Erik H.F.M. van der Heijden, ^y Adonina Tardon, ^z Stig 10 E. Bojesen, ^{aa} Maria Teresa Landi, ^{bb} Mattias Johansson, ^{cc} Heike Bickeböller, ^{dd} Susanne Arnold, ee Loic Le Marchand, ff Olle Melander, gg Angeline Andrew, hh Kjell Grankvist, a Neil 11 Caporaso, ⁱⁱ M. Dawn Teare, ^{jj} Matthew B. Schabath, ^{kk} Melinda C. Aldrich, ^x Lambertus A. 12 Kiemeney, ^{II}H-Erich Wichmann, ^{mm} Philip Lazarus, ⁿⁿ Jose Mayordomo, ^w Monica Neri, ^t Aage 13 Haugen, ^{oo} Zuo-Feng Zhang, ^r Alberto Ruano-Raviña, ¹ Hermann Brenner, ^q Curtis C. Harris, ^{pp} 14 Irene Orlow, ^pGadi Rennert, ^{I,qq,rr} Angela Risch, ^{bb} Paul Brennan, ^kDavid C. Christiani, ^b 15 Christopher I. Amos, ^{ss} Ping Yang, ^{g,*} Olga Y. Gorlova 16

17 Affiliations

^a Lunenfeld-Tanenbaum Research Institute, Sinai Health System, Box 18, 60 Murray Street, 18 19 Toronto, ON M5T 3L9, Canada; ^b Baylor College of Medicine, One Baylor Plaza, Houston, TX 77030, USA; ^c Institute of Cancer Research, 123 Old Brompton Road, London, SW7 3RP, UK; ^d 20 Wayne State University, 4100 John R, Detroit, MI 48201, USA; ^e University of Liverpool. The 21 22 Wiliam Duncan Building, 6 West Derby Street, Liverpool, L69 3BX, UK; ^fUniversity of Texas 23 McGovern Medical School, 6431 Fannin Street, Houston, TX 77030, USA; ^gGeisel School of 24 Medicine at Dartmouth, 1 Medical Center Dr, Lebanon, NH 03756, USA; ^h The University of Texas, MD Anderson Cancer Center, 1515 Holcombe Blvd, Houston, TX 77030, USA; ⁱ Mayo 25 Clinic . 200 First Street SW . Rochester, MN 55905, USA: ¹ Princess Margaret Cancer Center, 26 27 Room 7 – 207, 610 University Avenue, Toronto, ON M5G 2M9, Canada; ^k Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, 665 Huntington Ave, Boston, MA 2115, USA; German Cancer 28 29 Research Center (DKFZ), Im Neuenheimer Feld 280, Heidelberg, 69120, Germany; m University of Edinburgh, 4th Floor MRC-HGU, Crewe Road, Edinburgh, EH4 2XU, UK; " 30 German Center for Lung Research, Im Neuenheimer Feld 110, Heidelberg, 69120, Germany; ° 31 University Hospital Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 110, Heidelberg, 69120, Germany; P 32 Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, 7 Michal St, Haifa, 3436212, Israel; 9 Centre for Cancer 33 34 Research, NCI, 37 Convent Dr, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA; ^rUniversity of Santiago de 35 Compostela, Praza do Obradoiro, 0, Santiago de Compostela, A Coruña 15705, Spain; s Medical School, University of Michigan, 1301 Catherine Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA: t 36 National Institute of Occupational Health (STAMI), Gydas vei 8, Oslo, 0033, Norway; " 37 38 University of Genoa, L.go R. Benzi, 10, Genoa, 16132, Italy; * San Raffaele University, Via di 39 Val Cannuta, 247, Rome, 00166, Italy; "San Raffaele Pisana - Scientific Hospitalization and 40 Care Insitution, Via di Val Cannuta, 247, Rome, 00166, Italy; *Radboud University Medical Center, P.O.Box 9101, 6500 HB, Nijmegen, 614, The Netherlands; ^yUniversity of Oviedo and 41 CIBERESP, Campus del Cristo s/n, Oviedo, 33006, Spain; ^zCopenhagen University Hospital, 42 43 Herlev Ringvej 75, 2730 Herlev, Blegdamsvej 3, Copenhagen, 2200, Denmark; aa National Cancer Institute, 37 Convent Dr, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA; ^{bb} International Agency for 44 Research on Cancer, 150 Cours Albert Thomas, Lyon, 69372 CEDEX 08, France; ^{cc} University 45 Medical Center Goettingen, Humboldtallee 32, Goettingen, 37073, Germany; ^{dd} University of 46 Kentucky, First Floor, 800 Rose Street, Lexington, KY 40508, USA; ee University of Hawaii 47 Cancer Center, 701 Ilalo Street, Honolulu, HI 96813, USA; ^{ff}Lund University, Box 117, Lund, 48 221 00, Sweden; 99 Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center, 1 Medical Center Drive, Lebanon, NH 49 03756, USA; hh Umeå University, By 6M van 2, Sjukhusomradet, Umeå, 901 85, Sweden; ii 50

1 University of Sheffield, 30 Regent Street, Sheffield, S1 4DA, UK; ^{jj} H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center

- 2 and Research Institute, 12902, Magnolia Drive, Tampa, FL 33612, USA; ^{kk} Vanderbilt University
- 3 Medical Center, 609 Oxford House, Nashville, TN 37232, USA; ^{II} Helmholtz Zentrum Munchen,
- 4 German Research Center for Environmental Health (GmbH), Ingolstadter Landstr. 1,
- 5 Neuherberg, Bavaria 85764, Germany; mm Washington State University, PBS 431 PO Box
- 6 1495, Spokane, WA 99210, USA; ⁿⁿ University of Colorado, 13001 E. 17th Place, Campus Box
- 7 C290, Aurora, CO 80045, USA; ^{oo} University of California Los Angeles, 650 Charles E. Young
- 8 Dr. South, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA; ^{pp} Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, 1275 York
- 9 Ave, Box 353, New York, NY 10065, USA; ^{qq} University of Salzburg, Billrothstrasse 11,
- 10 Salzburg, 5020, Austria; "Cancer Cluster Salzburg, Müllner Hauptstraße 48, Salzburg, 5020,
- 11 Austria; ^{ss} Mayo Clinic, 13400 E. Shea Blvd, Scottsdale, AZ 85259, USA
- 12

*Corresponding author: Olga Y. Gorlova, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, 1 Medical Center Dr, Lebanon, NH 03756, USA, email <u>olga.y.gorlova@dartmouth.edu</u>

Disclosure of funding

This work was supported in part by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants CA149462, CA209414, CA092824, ES00002, U01CA209414, U19CA203654, 1K07CA172294, P50CA119997. R01CA060691. R01CA87895. P30CA22453. P30CA008748. P30CA076292, U01CA164973, and Department of Health and Human Services grant HHSN261201300011; James & Esther King Biomedical Research Program Grant 09KN-15; Helmholtz-DAAD fellowship A/07/97379; the Society of Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center through their annual appeal and Steps for Breath; Italian Ministry of Health grant for Institutional Research 2017-2018 and Associazione Italiana per la Ricerca sul Cancro grant IG2015/17564IO; Instituto de Salud Carlos III. PI15/01211 grant and Xunta de Galicia grant 10CSA208057PR: the LUCY study was funded in part by the Germany National Genome Research Network (NGFN), the DFG (BI576/2-1; BI 576/2-2, Bi 576/4-1; Bi 576/4-2; Wi 621/10-1; Wi 621/10-2), the Helmholtzgemeinschaft (HGF) and the Federal office for Radiation Protection (BfS:STSch4454): KORA Surveys were funded by the Helmholtz-Zentrum München (HMGU), which is funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Technology and the State of Bavaria. The Liverpool Lung Project is funded by the Roy Castle Lung Cancer Foundation. The Resource for the Study of Lung Cancer Epidemiology in North Trent (ReSoLuCENT) study was funded by the Sheffield Hospitals Charity, Sheffield Experimental Cancer Medicine Centre and Weston Park Hospital Cancer Charity.

The authors declare that none of them has a conflict of interest

13 Abstract

14 Introduction

- 15 Inherited susceptibility to lung cancer risk in never smokers is poorly understood. One of the
- 16 major reasons for this is that because this disease is uncommon in many populations (with a
- 17 notable exception of Asians), it is difficult to assemble an adequate sample. In this study we
- conducted a genome-wide association study (GWAS) on the largest, to date, set of European-
- 19 descent never smokers with lung cancer.
- 20 Methods

- 1 We conducted a two-phase (discovery and replication) GWAS in never smokers of European
- 2 descent. We further augmented the sample size by performing a meta-analysis with never
- 3 smokers from the recent OncoArray study, which resulted in a total of 3,636 cases and 6,295
- 4 controls. In addition, we compare our findings with those in smokers with lung cancer.

5 Results

- 6 We detected three genome-wide statistically significant SNPs rs31490 (OR 0.769, 95%
- 7 confidence interval (CI) [0.722-0.820], p-value 5.31x10⁻¹⁶), rs380286 (OR 0.770, 95% CI [0.723-
- 8 0.820], p-value 4.32x10⁻¹⁶), and rs4975616 (OR 0.778, 95% CI [0.730-0.829], p-value 1.04x10⁻
- ⁹¹⁴). All three mapped to Chromosome 5 *CLPTM1L-TERT* region, which has been previously
- 10 shown to be associated with lung cancer risk in smokers and in never smoker Asian women, as
- 11 well as risk of other cancers including breast, ovarian, colorectal and prostate.

12 Conclusions

- 13 We found that genetic susceptibility to lung cancer in never smokers is associated to genetic
- variants with pan-cancer risk effects. The comparison with smokers shows that top variants
- 15 previously shown to be associated with lung cancer risk only confer risk in the presence of
- 16 tobacco exposure, underscoring the importance of gene-environment interactions in the etiology
- 17 of this disease.
- 18

1 Introduction

2 Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer mortality worldwide, accounting for over 1 million

3 deaths each year ¹. Although most lung cancer is preventable, since the majority of cases

4 occur in tobacco smokers ², around 10% of cases are seen in lifetime never-smokers. Even

5 though lung cancer is diagnosed in a minority of never smokers it still ranks as the seventh to

- 6 ninth most common cause of cancer death worldwide ³.
- 7 In never smokers, lung cancer has characteristics distinct from those associated with
- 8 smoking, including different histology and mutation spectrum ⁴. The only well-established risk
- 9 factors for lung cancer in never smokers are exposure to radon ⁵, secondhand smoke and
- dust ⁶, asbestos ⁷, and, notably, family history of cancer ^{6, 8}, which has provided evidence for
- 11 inherited susceptibility.
- 12 To date, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) on lung cancer has largely been focused
- 13 on ever smokers ⁹⁻¹¹, and have identified 18 independent loci influencing risk ¹². While several
- 14 GWAS studies in never smokers have been conducted, these have primarily been based on
- 15 Asian women ¹³⁻¹⁵. Several environmental risk factors for lung cancer, including cooking
- 16 fumes and air pollution, are highly prevalent in Asian populations ¹⁶, raising the possibility of
- 17 effect modification. Identifying lung cancer susceptibility alleles among never smoking
- 18 European populations has been limited to candidate gene analyses ^{17, 18} and small GWA
- 19 studies ¹⁹⁻²¹. Reported here are the results of a large GWAS of lung cancer in never smokers
- 20 of European descent, based on 3,636 cases and 6,295 controls.

21 Materials and Methods

22 Study design and samples

Never smokers were defined as individuals who had smoked less than 100 cigarettes over their 23 24 lifetime. The study had a discovery and a replication series, both from studies participating in the International Lung Cancer Consortium (ILCCO; http://ilcco.iarc.fr). The discovery series, 25 26 after quality control (Appendix), comprised 1,287 cases and 1,655 controls with European 27 ancestry from seven centers (Table A.1). The replication series comprised 960 cases and 940 28 controls from 16 study centers, of which some centers (but not study subjects) participated also in the discovery phase (Table A.2). Comprehensive details of each series have been previously 29 reported ^{12, 20, 22-25}. To increase statistical power, data on never smokers recently generated by 30 the OncoArray lung cancer study from ILCCO¹² were also leveraged. After excluding samples 31 overlapping between the OncoArray and the discovery set and between the OncoArray and the 32 replication set, 1,149 cases and 1,144 controls from the discovery, 1,527 cases and 4,211 33 34 controls from the OncoArray, and 960 cases and 940 controls from the replication sets were included in the final analyses. Most of the lung cancer cases (76.7% in the discovery, 69.2% in 35 the replication, and 63.1% in the OncoArray sets) had histologically confirmed adenocarcinoma, 36 37 followed by squamous and small cell carcinoma (Tables A.1-A.3). Given that subtype-specific 38 associations are likely to exist, adenocarcinomas were also analyzed separately. Table 1 presents the demographic characteristics of the final dataset. 39

- 1 **Table 1.** Characteristics of never smoking lung cancer cases and controls included in the
- 2 final dataset.

Characteristic		Cas	es	Controls		
		(n=3,0	636)	(n=6,296)		
Age, mean, SD		63.6	12.4	61.9	11.9	
Sex, n, %	Male	1,156	31.8	2,595	41.2	
	Female	2,480	68.2	3,701	58.8	
Histology, n, %	Adenocarcinoma	2,509	69.0	6,296		
	Squamous cell carcinoma	310	8.5	6,296		

3

4 Genotyping and quality control

5 Both cases and controls from the discovery set were genotyped using Illumina Infinium

6 OmniExpress-24 v1.2 BeadChips, with the exception of cases and controls from Harvard School

7 of Public Health (HSPH), genotyped on Illumina Human660W-Quad BeadChip. Genotyping of

8 the replication series for 384 selected SNPs was performed using Illumina GoldenGate

9 technology. Genotyping quality control and SNP selection procedures are detailed in the

10 Appendix. The OncoArray genotyping platform, the never smoker samples to which it was

11 applied, and genotyping and quality control procedures are described in the Appendix and have

12 been previously characterized in detail ^{12, 26}.

13 Data analysis

To harmonize data and address population stratification in the discovery set, the studies were 14 15 grouped as follows. Provided they used the same genotyping array and study participants were from the similar geographic origin they were combined. This resulted in two groups: UK studies 16 17 and North American studies. Since the HSPH samples were genotyped on a different platform, these were analyzed separately. Thus the following clusters were used: (i) HSPH, (ii) UK, and 18 19 (iii) North America (see Table A.4 for more detail). Three separate GWAS analyses were ran 20 based on the three groups. We applied logistic regression analyses with case-control status as 21 the outcome and the SNP genotype as a predictor to identify risk-associated SNPs in these three groups. Additive models, with 0 for reference allele homozygotes, 1 for heterozygotes, and 22 23 2 for variant allele homozygotes were used. Reference alleles were defined as in hg19 24 reference genome. Age (continuous variable), sex, secondhand smoke exposure (SHS; from 25 any venue at any period in a lifetime), education level, and study site within the group (if more 26 than one site) were used as covariates. The definition of the education variables and more 27 information on the SHS assessment are given in the Appendix. Missing values for SHS and education status were treated as a separate category. To offset potential effects of population 28 stratification within clusters, SNP based principal components analyses (PCA) were performed 29 30 ²⁷ and the corresponding first five principal components were included as covariates, even though the PCA of these three GWAS clusters do not suggest population stratification (Figure 31 A.1). An inverse variance fixed effects meta-analysis was used to combine the results for the 32 three group-based GWASs ²⁸. 33

A brief description of the OncoArray never smoker dataset is provided in the Appendix. To

35 perform the joint analysis of the discovery and the OncoArray sets, inverse variance meta-

36 analysis was used, whereby studies were grouped into five clusters (Discovery-North America,

- 1 Discovery-UK, OncoArray-North America, OncoArray-UK, and OncoArray-Continental Europe),
- 2 as detailed in Table A.5. This joint analysis was adjusted for age, sex, study site within the
- 3 group, and the first five principal components, but not SHS or education level, as they were not
- 4 available in the OncoArray set.
- 5 Criteria for SNP selection and the quality control procedures in the replication phase are
- 6 described in the Appendix.

7 Results

- 8 We focus on the joint analysis of the discovery and OncoArray sets as having the largest
- 9 sample size (the results for the discovery set separately are presented in the Appendix, Figure
- 10 A.2 showing the Q-Q plot that demonstrates no indication of an inflation of type I error
- 11 (λ =1.005), and Table A.6 presenting the list of the top SNPs derived from the discovery set

12 (p<1x10⁻⁴)).

- 13 Figure 1 presents the scatter plot of the –log 10 p-values against the chromosome position (the
- so-called Manhattan plot) for the meta-analysis of the discovery and the OncoArray samples.
- 15 The analysis identified 71 genome-wide statistically significant SNPs (P<5x10⁻⁸, the accepted
- 16 genome-wide level of statistical significance ²⁹), all of them mapping to the 5p15.33 CLPTM1L-
- 17 TERT region. Table A.7 presents the 229 top SNPs at P<10⁻⁵. There is also a peak on
- 18 Chromosome 9 in the CDKN2A region, but none of the SNPs in this regions attained statistical
- 19 significance at the GWAS level.



20

Figure 1. Manhattan plot of the association analysis of lung cancer in European ancestry never smokers performed jointly in the discovery set and the OncoArray samples. The x-axis is chromosomal position, and the y-axis is the

23 statistical significance on a –log₁₀ scale.

The principal component analysis of the replication samples showed no differences by the casecontrol status for the first five principal components (Figure A.3).

- 26 Table A.8 presents the list of nominally statistically significant (p<0.05) SNPs from the
- 27 replication analysis. The most significant SNPs (rs380286 (p=3.88x10⁻⁷), rs31490 (p=4.68x10⁻⁷),
- and rs4975616 ($p=2.50x10^{-6}$) were located in the 5p15.33 (*CLPTM1L-TERT*) region (Table 2).
- 29 These three SNPs were significant after the Bonferroni correction for 370 tests resulting in the
- 30 p-value of 1.35x10⁻⁴ to declare significance (the FDR approach identified the same three SNPs
- 31 as statistically significant; Table A.8).

- 1 The 370 candidate SNPs selected for the replication (see Appendix for the selection criteria)
- 2 were analyzed using all three study population sets: the discovery, the replication, and the
- 3 OncoArray (total 3,636 cases and 6,295 controls). The analysis identified three SNPs
- 4 statistically significant at the genome wide level: rs380286 (P=1.6x10⁻¹⁴), rs31490 (P=5.1x10⁻¹⁴),
- 5 and rs4975616 (P=5.8x10⁻¹⁴; Table 2). These three SNPs are from the *CLPTM1L-TERT* region
- 6 and the association with the variant alleles was consistently negative (OR < 1). These SNPs
- 7 belong to a wide LD block corresponding to the LD Region 2 marked by rs451360 as described
- 30 in 30 . The very high LD between the pairs of SNPs (0.925 for rs380286 and rs31490; 0.915 for
- 9 rs380286 and rs4975616; 0.955 for rs31490 and rs4975616) did not allow identifying the
- 10 leading SNP among the three, as there was very little variation in a SNP when the genotypes of
- 11 the other two were fixed.
- 12 **Table 2**. The three GWAS-significant (P<5x10⁻⁸) variants for lung cancer in European ancestry
- 13 never smokers, found in the joint analysis of the original discovery set, the never smoker subset
- of the OncoArray set, and the replication set (6 clusters, 3636 cases, 6295 controls), adjusted
- 15 for age, sex, and the first five principal components.

SNP ID	CHR*	Position	Odds	95%	CI	P-value*	Reference	Effect	EAF*	Gene
			Ratio*	Lower	Upper		allele	allele		symbol
				boundary	boundary					
rs380286**	5	1320247	0.770	0.723	0.820	4.32x10 ⁻¹⁶	А	G	0.4169	CLPTM1L
rs31490 [†]	5	1344458	0.769	0.722	0.820	5.31x10 ⁻¹⁶	G	А	0.4142	CLPTM1L
rs4975616 [‡]	5	1315660	0.778	0.730	0.829	1.04x10 ⁻¹⁴	G	А	0.4005	CLPTM1L

16 Adjusted for age, gender, and the first 5 principal components; CHR, chromosome; EAF, effect allele frequency

- 17 ^{**} intronic variant
- 18 [†] splice variant

19 [‡] downstream gene variant

20

21 The results of the joint analysis of the discovery and replication sets without the OncoArray

samples are shown in the Table A.9. In brief, the same 3 SNPs from the *CLPTM1L-TERT*

23 region were identified as genome-wide statistically significant.

24 Analysis of only adenocarcinoma cases produced nearly identical results, with only CLPTM1L-

25 *TERT* region SNPs showing statistical significance (Tables A.10, A.11).

26 Table 3 summarizes the comparisons between our study results and previous published

27 findings reported in never smokers from genome-wide and candidate gene/SNP association

studies in both individuals of European descent and Asians. Our study confirmed SNPs located

in 5p15.33 (*CLPTM1L-TERT*) region. Notably, the direction of the association is highly

30 concordant among the studies for the SNPs in this region. The results for 3q28 (*TP63*) and

6q22.2 (*ROS1-DCBLD1*) regions are suggestive in our analysis (P-values of ~10⁻⁴ for both

32 these regions). The results from our study for the loci identified in the recently published largest-

to-date lung cancer study that involved mostly smokers ¹² are shown in Table A.12.

A comparison of the regional association plots for the *CLPTM1L-TERT* region and 15q25

35 (CHRNA3) region in never smokers and smokers was also performed (whereby the smokers'

data were obtained from the lung OncoArray project) (Figure 3 a,b). We found that the risk

association profile plotted as the $-\log_{10}P$ for the SNPs in the *CLPTM1L-TERT* region in never

38 smokers tightly followed that in smokers (Fig. 3a). By contrast, the association profiles in the

- 1 CHRNA3 region (implicated in nicotine dependence) are strikingly different in never and ever
- 2 smokers, with very high –log₁₀P values in smokers and a flat profile in never smokers (Fig. 3b).
- Analogous comparisons for two other regions, *TP63* and *CDKN2A*, are presented in the Figure
- 4 A.4.
- 5 The analyses of associations for the 3 most statistically significant SNPs from the CLPTM1L-
- 6 *TERT* region stratified by the SHS exposure status are shown in the Appendix (Table A.13).
- 7 There was no indication of SNP-SHS interaction effects or a SNP effect modification by the SHS
- 8 exposure, as the interaction term was not significant for any of the SNPs.

9 Table 3. Previous findings from the association analyses of lung cancer in never smokers, with

10 a comparison to this study

Previously Published Studies											This Study*	
Region	Gene	RefSeq*	Study type	Pubmed ID	Histology	Ethnicity	Discovery cases controls	Replication cases controls	OR*	P-value	OR	P-value
13q31.3	GPC5	rs2352028	GWAS*	Li et al ²⁰	NSCLC	Mostly Eur. descent	377 377	328 407	1.46	5.90E-06	0.99	0.95
5p15.33	CLPTM1L	rs4975616	Candidate	Wang et al	NSCLC	Eur. descent	239 553	-	0.69	7.90E-04	0.78	1.04E-14
5p15.33	CLPTM1L-TERT	rs2736100	GWAS	Hsiung et al ¹³	Adeno	Asian women	584 585	2184 2515	1.5	5.40E-11	1.3	2.66E-09
10q25.2	VTI1A	rs7086803	GWAS	Lan et al 14	NSCLC	Asian	5547 4492	1085 2877	1.3	5.10E-17	1.3	0.011
6q22.2	ROS1-DCBLD1	rs9387478				women			0.85	7.80E-08	0.86	1.50E-04
6p21.32	HLA II	rs2395185							1.16	2.60E-06	1.04	0.34
5p15.33	CLPTM1L-TERT	rs2736100							1.38	4.20E-27	1.27	2.66E-09
5p15.33	CLPTM1L-TERT	rs2853677	GWAS	Shiraishi et	Adeno	Asians	1695 5333	3328 8168	1.44	3.90E-23	1.28	1.12E-09
5p15.33	CLPTM1L-TERT	rs2736100	_	al		(Japanese	2)		1.37	9.90E-19	1.27	2.66E-09
3q28	TP63	rs10937405	0						1.28	2.00E-10	1.16	1.50E-04
1/q24.3	BPTF	rs/216064							1.21	1.50E-06	1.1	0.054
6p21.3	BINLZ	153817963		C .: 1	NICOLO	N.4 11	454 1 500		1.21	1.50E-07	1.06	0.2
1q25.1	ACVRIB	rs10127728	s Candidate	5pitz et al 17	NSCLC	Eur. descent	451 508	-	1.68	3.00E-04	1.06	0.34
3q28	ТР63	rs4488809	Replication of GWAS findings	Seow et al	Adeno	Asian women		7448 7007	0.8	4.30E-17	0.82	8.52E-07
5p15.33	TERT	rs2736100						7505 7070	1.43	6.12E-43	0.79	2.66E-09
6p21.1	FOXP4	rs7741164						10531 10648	1.17	3.96E-13	0.97	8.28E-01
6p21.3	BTNL2	rs3817963						7255 6745	1.16	1.63E-07	1.06	1.97E-01
6p21.32	HLA-DPB1	rs2179920						7457 7020	1.17	1.69E-05	1.08	9.42E-02
6p21.32	HLA class II	rs2395185						7757 9637	1.16	2.04E-09	1.04	3.91E-01
6a22.2	ROS1/DCBLD1	rs9387478						8022 9970	0.86	5.25E-11	0.86	1.53E-04
9p21.3	- ,	rs72658409)					10780 10938	0.76	2.37E-10	0.89	1.43E-01
10q25.2	VTI1A	rs7086803						7964 9914	1.25	9.22E-17	1.31	1.12E-02
12q13.13		rs11610143	3					10267 10634	0.85	3.55E-13	0.97	4.88E-01
17q24.3	BPTF	rs7216064						7720 8630	0.86	6.19E-09	1.10	5.43E-02

*"This study" pertains to the results of the meta-analysis of the discovery and OncoArray sets, except for rs4975616, for which the result from the meta-analysis of the discovery, OncoArray, and replication sets is shown; RefSeq, Reference sequence or SNP ID; GWAS, genome wide association study; OR, odds ratio; nominally significant p-values are shown in bold

11

12 Discussion

- 13 This is the largest lung cancer GWAS so far conducted in never smokers of European descent.
- 14 However, only one region (CLPTM1L-TERT) strongly associated with lung cancer risk in this

- 1 patient population was found. Our results for this region corroborate findings by earlier studies
- 2 of lung cancer in never smokers (Table 3), showing consistent direction of effect. The 5p15.33
- 3 *CLPTM1L-TERT* region SNPs have also been reported to be associated with multiple cancers
- 4 including lung cancer in smokers ^{19, 31}, breast cancer ³², glioma ³³, nasopharyngeal cancer ³⁴ and
- 5 prostate cancer ³⁵. *TERT* encodes the catalytic subunit of the telomerase reverse transcriptase,
- 6 which takes part in adding nucleotide repeats to chromosome ends ³⁶. While active in early
- 7 development and germ cells, this gene is not expressed in most adult tissues, resulting in a
- 8 shortening of telomeres with each cell division. When telomeres become critically short, the cell
- 9 can no longer divide. However, cancer cells can upregulate telomerase, which enables them to
- 10 continue dividing ³⁷. The *CLPTM1L* gene is reported to be overexpressed in lung and pancreatic
- cancer where it promotes growth and survival ^{38, 39}. Also there is a locus within the *CLPTM1L* gene that serves as a binding site for ZNF148, which promotes expression of *TERT*⁴⁰.
- 12 gene that serves as a binding site for ZNF148, which promotes expression of *TERT*⁴⁰.
- 13 Functional annotation of the top identified SNPs using Encyclopedia of DNA Elements
- 14 (ENCODE) **Ref** found that rs4975616 coincides with the binding site for three transcription
- 15 factors: ELF1, ZEB1 and BCLAF1. The target genes for the first two transcription targets include
- 16 TERT and CLPTM1L and the target genes for BCLAF1 include CLPTM1L only. According to
- 17 Ensemble regulatory database Ref, SNP rs31490 is located in the region that acts as promotor
- 18 for *CLPTM1L* in the developing lung. In the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) Ref all three
- 19 SNPs: rs31490, rs380286, and rs4975616 are reported as eQTLs for *TERT* in esophagus and
- 20 CLPTM1L in skin.
- 21 Previously, a fine-mapping study has been conducted on this locus (Kachuri et al 2016,
- 22 Carcinogenesis, PMID: 26590902); it included a limited number of never smokers and the
- 23 identified novel loci did not show a significant effect specifically in that group. However, the
- 24 direction of the effect was largely consistent with that in smokers, in line with what our study
- 25 found (Fig. 3a).
- For other SNPs, e.g. those reported by Li et al ²⁰, no association in our study was detected.
- However, Li et al.'s study ²⁰ used additional covariates (e.g. COPD, lung cancer family history)
- to adjust for in their analyses. This may have made a comparison of their results with our study
- 29 less straightforward, because the data on these covariates were not available from the majority
- of the sites participating in our study. The SNPs rs10937405 for 3q28 and rs9387478 for
- 6q22.2, previously reported to be significant in Asian never smoking women (Table 3), showed
- 32 at best a suggestive association (P-values of $\sim 10^{-4}$ in both cases). These two regions have been
- 33 shown also to be implicated in other cancer sites. SNPs in the *TP63* region have been shown to
- be associated with lung adenocarcinoma in the UK population ¹⁰, acute lymphoblastic leukemia
- ⁴¹, bladder cancer ⁴² and pancreatic cancer ⁴³. SNPs in the *ROS1-DCBLD1* region have been
- 36 shown to be associated with colorectal cancer ⁴⁴. This further suggests that SNPs/regions
- 37 associated with lung cancer risk in never smokers are not specific for this type of cancer but
- 38 rather have pleiotropic effects.
- 39 Our analysis was designed to control for demographic variables (age and sex, as controls were
- slightly but statistically significantly younger (p<0.001) and had a higher proportion of men than
- 41 cases (p<0.001)) as well as for known and potential risk factors, specifically, where possible, for
- 42 education status and self-reported secondhand smoke exposure ⁴⁵. To account for possible
- 43 population stratification, the first five principal components and the study site were also
- 44 adjusted. However, the information on radon exposure, asbestos, prior respiratory conditions,

- 1 and diet was not available from most studies. As such, these established and putative risk
- 2 factors were not accounted for in the analyses. A further limitation is the self-reported nature of
- 3 the never smoker status. Differential misreporting of the smoking status, e.g., if a modest
- 4 proportion of former or current smoker controls reported that they have never smoked, might
- 5 lead to SNPs associated with smoking appear as protective. Unfortunately, the great majority of
- 6 the participating studies did not verify it by cotinine measurements. However, SNPs in
- 7 CHRNA3-5 or CYP2A6 regions, known to be associated with smoking ¹², did not show any
- 8 effect in this study (Fig. 3b; Table A.11).

9 Latest GWASs of lung cancer in smokers have generated many more findings than did this study, which is not surprising given that the former are much larger. Most SNPs reported as statistically significant in smokers showed the same direction of effect in never smokers (Table A.12). Gene-smoking interaction may be another factor contributing to the higher number of positive findings among smokers than never smokers: some of the sequence variations that are neutral in the absence of tobacco smoking confer risk when smoking and the associated tissue and DNA damage are present.

High BMI ⁴⁶ and alcohol exposure ⁴⁷ are common and may also explain a proportion of the lung 16 cancer risk in never smokers. It is possible that there are rare variants influencing risk that could 17 not be detected by a GWAS that focuses on common variants. Additionally, gene-gene 18 interactions that are beyond the scope of this study may in part explain variability in the 19 incidence of lung cancer in never smokers. Very rarely, individuals can carry inherited mutations 20 in TP53 increasing lung cancer risk ^{48, 49}. The availability of results from our GWAS will allow 21 additional exposures to be studied using Mendelian Randomization approaches (as exemplified 22 in ⁵⁰), and developing models that can identify never smokers at highest risk for lung cancer 23 development could improve early detection. 24



- Figure 3. Regional association plots for smokers (red line) and never smokers (blue line) in CLPTM1L-
- TERT region (a) and CHRNA3-5 region (b). The y axis corresponds to -log₁₀P for 650 SNPs in the
- CLPTM1L-TERT region and -log10P for 535 SNPs in CHRNA3-5 region. To aid visual representation we
- selected the 10 closest SNP and computed average -log₁₀P- values.

1 References

2 1. Ferlay J, Colombet M, Soerjomataram I, et al. Estimating the global cancer incidence and 3 mortality in 2018: GLOBOCAN sources and methods. Int J Cancer 2018. 4 2. Carbone D. Smoking and cancer. AmJMed 1992;93:13S-17S. 5 3. Thun MJ, Hannan LM, Adams-Campbell LL, et al. Lung cancer occurrence in never-smokers: an 6 analysis of 13 cohorts and 22 cancer registry studies. PLoS Med 2008;5:e185. 7 4. Subramanian J, Govindan R. Lung cancer in 'Never-smokers': a unique entity. Oncology (Williston 8 Park) 2010;24:29-35. 9 5. Torres-Duran M, Ruano-Ravina A, Parente-Lamelas I, et al. Residential radon and lung cancer 10 characteristics in never smokers. Int J Radiat Biol 2015;91:605-610. Gorlova OY, Zhang Y, Schabath MB, et al. Never smokers and lung cancer risk: a case-control 11 6. 12 study of epidemiological factors. Int J Cancer 2006;118:1798-1804. 13 7. Markowitz SB, Levin SM, Miller A, et al. Asbestos, asbestosis, smoking, and lung cancer. New 14 findings from the North American insulator cohort. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2013;188:90-96. 15 8. Gorlova OY, Weng SF, Zhang Y, et al. Aggregation of cancer among relatives of never-smoking 16 lung cancer patients. Int J Cancer 2007;121:111-118. 17 Wang Y, Broderick P, Webb E, et al. Common 5p15.33 and 6p21.33 variants influence lung 9. 18 cancer risk. Nat Genet 2008;40:1407-1409. 19 10. Wang Y, Broderick P, Matakidou A, et al. Variation in TP63 is associated with lung 20 adenocarcinoma in the UK population. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2011;20:1453-1462. 21 11. Hung RJ, McKay JD, Gaborieau V, et al. A susceptibility locus for lung cancer maps to nicotinic 22 acetylcholine receptor subunit genes on 15g25. Nature 2008;452:633-637. 23 12. McKay JD, Hung RJ, Han Y, et al. Large-scale association analysis identifies new lung cancer 24 susceptibility loci and heterogeneity in genetic susceptibility across histological subtypes. Nature 25 genetics 2017;49:1126-1132. 26 13. Hsiung CA, Lan Q, Hong YC, et al. The 5p15.33 locus is associated with risk of lung 27 adenocarcinoma in never-smoking females in Asia. PLoS Genet 2010;6. 28 14. Lan Q, Hsiung CA, Matsuo K, et al. Genome-wide association analysis identifies new lung cancer 29 susceptibility loci in never-smoking women in Asia. Nat Genet 2012;44:1330-1335. 30 15. Shiraishi K, Kunitoh H, Daigo Y, et al. A genome-wide association study identifies two new 31 susceptibility loci for lung adenocarcinoma in the Japanese population. Nat Genet 2012;44:900-903. 32 16. Liao Y, Xu L, Lin X, et al. Temporal Trend in Lung Cancer Burden Attributed to Ambient Fine 33 Particulate Matter in Guangzhou, China. Biomed Environ Sci 2017;30:708-717. 34 17. Spitz MR, Gorlov IP, Amos CI, et al. Variants in inflammation genes are implicated in risk of lung 35 cancer in never smokers exposed to second-hand smoke. Cancer Discov 2011;1:420-429. 36 Wang Y, Broderick P, Matakidou A, et al. Role of 5p15.33 (TERT-CLPTM1L), 6p21.33 and 15q25.1 18. 37 (CHRNA5-CHRNA3) variation and lung cancer risk in never-smokers. Carcinogenesis 2010;31:234-238. 38 19. Landi MT, Chatterjee N, Yu K, et al. A genome-wide association study of lung cancer identifies a 39 region of chromosome 5p15 associated with risk for adenocarcinoma. Am J Hum Genet 2009;85:679-40 691. 41 20. Li Y, Sheu CC, Ye Y, et al. Genetic variants and risk of lung cancer in never smokers: a genome-42 wide association study. Lancet Oncol 2010;11:321-330. 43 Landi MT, Chatterjee N, Caporaso NE, et al. GPC5 rs2352028 variant and risk of lung cancer in 21. 44 never smokers. The Lancet Oncology 2010;11:714-716; author reply 716. 45 22. Eisen T, Matakidou A, Houlston R, et al. Identification of low penetrance alleles for lung cancer: 46 the GEnetic Lung CAncer Predisposition Study (GELCAPS). BMC Cancer 2008;8:244. 47 Schwartz AG, Yang P, Swanson GM. Familial risk of lung cancer among nonsmokers and their 23.

48 relatives. *Am J Epidemiol* 1996;144:554-562.

1 24. Wenzlaff AS, Cote ML, Bock CH, et al. GSTM1, GSTT1 and GSTP1 polymorphisms, environmental 2 tobacco smoke exposure and risk of lung cancer among never smokers: a population-based study. 3 Carcinogenesis 2005;26:395-401. 4 25. Ugolini D, Neri M, Canessa PA, et al. The CREST biorepository: a tool for molecular epidemiology 5 and translational studies on malignant mesothelioma, lung cancer, and other respiratory tract diseases. 6 Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2008;17:3013-3019. 7 26. Amos Cl, Dennis J, Wang Z, et al. The OncoArray Consortium: A Network for Understanding the 8 Genetic Architecture of Common Cancers. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2017;26:126-135. 9 27. Price AL, Patterson NJ, Plenge RM, et al. Principal components analysis corrects for stratification 10 in genome-wide association studies. Nat Genet 2006;38:904-909. 11 28. Viechtbauer. Conducting Meta-Analyses in R with the metafor Package. Journal of Statistical 12 Software 2010;36:1-48. 13 29. Amos CI. Successful design and conduct of genome-wide association studies. Hum Mol Genet 14 2007;16 Spec No. 2:R220-225. 15 30. Wang Z, Zhu B, Zhang M, et al. Imputation and subset-based association analysis across different 16 cancer types identifies multiple independent risk loci in the TERT-CLPTM1L region on chromosome 17 5p15.33. Hum Mol Genet 2014;23:6616-6633. 18 31. Hu Z, Wu C, Shi Y, et al. A genome-wide association study identifies two new lung cancer 19 susceptibility loci at 13q12.12 and 22q12.2 in Han Chinese. Nat Genet 2011;43:792-796. 20 Haiman CA, Chen GK, Vachon CM, et al. A common variant at the TERT-CLPTM1L locus is 32. 21 associated with estrogen receptor-negative breast cancer. Nat Genet 2011;43:1210-1214. 22 33. Rajaraman P, Melin BS, Wang Z, et al. Genome-wide association study of glioma and meta-23 analysis. Hum Genet 2012;131:1877-1888. 24 Bei JX, Su WH, Ng CC, et al. A GWAS Meta-analysis and Replication Study Identifies a Novel Locus 34. 25 within CLPTM1L/TERT Associated with Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma in Individuals of Chinese Ancestry. 26 Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2016;25:188-192. 27 Kote-Jarai Z, Olama AA, Giles GG, et al. Seven prostate cancer susceptibility loci identified by a 35. 28 multi-stage genome-wide association study. Nat Genet 2011;43:785-791. 29 36. Cheung AL, Deng W. Telomere dysfunction, genome instability and cancer. Front Biosci 30 2008;13:2075-2090. 31 37. Shay JW, Bacchetti S. A survey of telomerase activity in human cancer. Eur J Cancer 32 1997;33:787-791. 33 38. James MA, Wen W, Wang Y, et al. Functional characterization of CLPTM1L as a lung cancer risk 34 candidate gene in the 5p15.33 locus. PLoS One 2012;7:e36116. 35 39. Jia J, Bosley AD, Thompson A, et al. CLPTM1L promotes growth and enhances aneuploidy in 36 pancreatic cancer cells. Cancer Res 2014;74:2785-2795. 37 Fang J, Jia J, Makowski M, et al. Functional characterization of a multi-cancer risk locus on 40. 38 chr5p15.33 reveals regulation of TERT by ZNF148. Nat Commun 2017;8:15034. 39 41. Ellinghaus E, Stanulla M, Richter G, et al. Identification of germline susceptibility loci in ETV6-40 RUNX1-rearranged childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Leukemia 2012;26:902-909. 41 42. Figueroa JD, Ye Y, Siddiq A, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies multiple loci 42 associated with bladder cancer risk. Hum Mol Genet 2014;23:1387-1398. 43 Childs EJ, Mocci E, Campa D, et al. Common variation at 2p13.3, 3q29, 7p13 and 17q25.1 43. 44 associated with susceptibility to pancreatic cancer. Nat Genet 2015;47:911-916. 45 44. Peters U, Jiao S, Schumacher FR, et al. Identification of Genetic Susceptibility Loci for Colorectal 46 Tumors in a Genome-Wide Meta-analysis. Gastroenterology 2013;144:799-807 e724. 47 45. Couraud S, Zalcman G, Milleron B, et al. Lung cancer in never smokers--a review. Eur J Cancer 48 2012;48:1299-1311. 49 Gao C, Patel CJ, Michailidou K, et al. Mendelian randomization study of adiposity-related traits 46. 50 and risk of breast, ovarian, prostate, lung and colorectal cancer. International journal of epidemiology 51 2016;45:896-908.

- Fehringer G, Brenner DR, Zhang ZF, et al. Alcohol and lung cancer risk among never smokers: A
 pooled analysis from the international lung cancer consortium and the SYNERGY study. *Int J Cancer* 2017;140:1976-1984.
- 4 48. Hwang SJ, Cheng LS, Lozano G, et al. Lung cancer risk in germline p53 mutation carriers:
- association between an inherited cancer predisposition, cigarette smoking, and cancer risk. *Hum Genet*2003;113:238-243.
- 49. Leonard RC, MacKay T, Brown A, et al. Small-cell lung cancer after retinoblastoma. *Lancet*1988;2:1503.
- 9 50. Wang C, Qin N, Zhu M, et al. Metabolome-wide association study identified the association
- 10 between a circulating polyunsaturated fatty acids variant rs174548 and lung cancer. *Carcinogenesis*
- 11 2017;38:1147-1154.