Nemat6lc



Plant Parasitic Nematodes Associated with Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in Central Java

Prabowo Lestari^{1,2}, Nurul Dwi Handayani^{1,2}, Lisa Joos³, Lieven Waeyenberge³, Marjolein Couvreur², Nicole Viaene³, Antarjo Dikin¹, Johannes Helder⁴ and Wim Bert²

 ¹Indonesian Agricultural Quarantine Agency, E Building 5th Floor, JI. Harsono RM 3 Ragunan, Jakarta 12550;
 ²Biology Department, University of Ghent, KL Ledeganckstraat 35, B9000 Gent, Belgium;
 ³Flanders Research Institute for Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (ILVO), B-9820 Merelbeke, Belgium;
 ⁴Department of Plant Science, Wageningen University and Research, Droevendaalsesteeg 1 6708PB Wageningen. email: Prabowo.Lestari@UGent.Be

Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is an important food crop in the world, being the staple food for more than half of the earth's population⁽¹⁾, including in Indonesia. Various genera and species of nematodes have been reported from upland and paddy rice in many countries^(1, 2), but the Indonesian nematofauna has not yet been well studied. Accurately identifying the phytonematodes and its damage is one of the most fundamental elements of pest management.

Objectives

The objectives of this project are to provide:

- A more comprehensive description of plant-parasitic nematode biodiversity from organic and conventional rice crops in three regencies of Central Java, based on the molecular, morphological and plant-pathological information,
- To analyze the effect of organic and conventional farming practices on nematode and soil microbial communities, and
- To compare metabarcoding with microscopic identification and sanger-based DNA barcoding.



B. Microscopic identification plant-parasitic species



Figure 1. The five genera of plant-parasitic nematodes found to be associated with rice were; (a) *Helicotylenchus* spp., (b) *Hirschmanniella* oryzae, (c) *Meloidogyne* spp., (d) *Tylenchorhynchus* spp., and (e) *Mesocriconema* spp.



Analytical methods linking molecular and morphological data of plant-

D. Microscopic identification nematodes, including freeliving nematodes on family level (preliminary results)

The following nematode families have been found in organic and conventional fields: Alaimidae, Aphelenchoididae, Cephalobidae, Criconematidae, Dolichodoridae, Dorylaimida, Hoplolaimidae, Meloidogynidae, Mononchidae, Monhysteridae, Panagrolaimidae, Pratylenchidae, Tylenchidae. Cephalobidae, Hoplolaimidae, and Mononchidae have been identified only in organic fields.

E. Metabarcoding of nematode and microbial community (preliminary





parasitic are explored. Well-known rice plant parasitic nematodes (e.g. *Hirschmanniella oryzae*) can be directly linked to existing barcodes. For other species, barcodes are, not yet available in GenBank. Hence, linking "traditional" identifications to useful barcodes is needed.

Q: What next???

A: Compare metabarcoding identification of PPN with traditional identification and sanger based DNA barcoding.

References

(1) Bridge, J., Luc, M., and A. Plowright, R. (1990) Nematodes Parasites of Rice. In Luc, M., Sikora, R.A., and Bridge, J. (eds) (1990) Plant Parasitic Nematodes in Subtropical and Tropical Agriculture. CAB International, Chapter 3, pp: 69-108.

(2) Fortuner, R., and Merny, G. (1979) Root Parasitic Nematodes of Rice. Revue Nématol. 2 (1): 79-102.
(3) Moeskops, B., Sukristiyobuwono, Buchan, D., Sleutel, S., Herawaty, L., Husen, E., Saraswati, R., Setyorini, D., and De Neve, S. (2010) Soil microbial communities and activities under intensive organic and conventional vegetable farming in West Java, Indonesia. Applied Soil Ecology 45: 112-120.

Figure 2. Relative abundance of nematode families in two cropping systems obtained via next-generation sequencing.

The nematode and microbial community of organic and conventional agriculture do not appear significantly different. Communities are preferably arranged according to the region.

Q: What next???

A: (1) Compare nematode and microbial communities of organic and conventional rice production, (2) Compare metagbarcoding community analyses with traditional identification, and (3) Compare metabarcoding community analyses with PLFA analyses (Moeskops *et al*, 2010).

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by The Dutch Ministry of Economic Affairs, Directorate "Agro- en Natuurkennis".

ESN Conference 2018, September 9-13th, Ghent, Belgium