Accepted Manuscript

Structural Characterization and Corrosion Properties of Electroless Processed Ni–P– MnO₂ Composite Coatings on SAE 1015 Steel for Advanced Application

O.S.I. Fayomi, I.G. Akande, A.P.I. Popoola, S.I. Popoola

PII: S2468-2179(19)30031-0

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsamd.2019.04.001

Reference: JSAMD 218

To appear in: Journal of Science: Advanced Materials and Devices

Received Date: 27 January 2019

Revised Date: 25 March 2019

Accepted Date: 1 April 2019

Please cite this article as: O.S.I. Fayomi, I.G. Akande, A.P.I. Popoola, S.I. Popoola, Structural Characterization and Corrosion Properties of Electroless Processed Ni–P–MnO₂ Composite Coatings on SAE 1015 Steel for Advanced Application, *Journal of Science: Advanced Materials and Devices*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsamd.2019.04.001.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Structural Characterization and Corrosion Properties of Electroless Processed Ni–P–MnO₂ Composite Coatings on SAE 1015 Steel for Advanced Application

O.S.I. Fayomi^{a, c}, I.G. Akande^b A.P.I. Popoola^c and S.I. Popoola^d

^aDepartment of Mechanical Engineering, Covenant University,Ota,Ogun state,Nigeria ^bDepartment of Mechanical Engineering,University of Ibadan,Ibadan,Oyo state,Nigeria. ^cDepartment of Chemical, Metallurgical and Materials Engineering, Tshwane University of Technology, Pretoria, South Africa.

^dDepartment of Electrical and Information Engineering, Covenant University, Ota, Corresponding emails:ojosundayfayomi3@gmail.com,aigodwin2015@gmail.com

Abstract

In recent year electroless Ni–P coatings with the incorporation of metallic oxides have received profound interest due to their unique properties and ability to enhance the operational performance of the based metal. These coatings have been utilised for numerous applications such as aerospace, automotive and industrial field where materials with exceptional qualities are required. This present work focused on the improvement of the surface characteristics of mild steel via the electroless deposition of Ni-P-MnO₂. The deposition was achieved varying the mass concentration of MnO₂ at a constant temperature and deposition time of 85 °C and 20 minutes respectively. The examinations of the coated surfaces using Scanning Electron Microscope revealed that the surface morphology of the coated steel improved as the mass concentration of MnO₂ increases. Linear potentiodynamic polarization experiment unveiled that Ni-P-MnO₂ coating exhibits good corrosion resistance, protecting the steel from the penetration of corrosive ions in the test medium. Moreso, the investigation of the microhardness behaviour of the coated samples using the Vickers hardness tester shows that Ni-P-MnO₂ coating enhanced the microhardness of the steel substrate.

Key words: Electroless; Coating; Morphology; Corrosion and Hardness.

1. Introduction

The durability and applicability of material is decided by its surface properties. To achieve superior performance, physical or chemical modification of surfaces is inevitable. Surface modifications have been largely used as a benchmark for various applications so as to enhance properties and advanced functionalities of materials [1]. Ni-P electroless deposition has been considered a vital surface engineering technology with multifunctional industrial applications. Embedding composite nanoparticles in electroless deposited Ni-P is a convenient strategy of attaining optimal deposition and enhanced performance characteristics [2].

Ni-P has been co-deposited with different types of second-phase nanoparticles to enhance mechanical, electrical, magnetic and electrochemical properties of metals [3, 4]. The remarkable hardness and exceptional corrosion resistance ability of electroless Ni-P thin films account for their deposition on metal surfaces [5]. Moreso, irregular shaped surfaces and substrates of aluminium, steel, plastic and glasses have been coated via electroless

deposits of low porosity [6]. The particulate content in Ni-P matrix and the properties incorporated in the composite deposits are functions of the shape, size, type of particle and plating bath conditions such as pH, stirring rate and temperature [7-9]. Electroless Ni coating, unlike electrodeposition, is an autocatalytic reaction where electricity or passage of current through the plating solution is not required for homogeneous deposition [10, 11]. Good dispersion of particles can be achieved by maintaining the particles suspension in the solution via vigorous agitation. However, it is quite difficult to achieve adequate suspension of particles because of the large surface area. The high surface energy results in the agglomeration of particles during the coating process, although some other factors might lower the agglomeration tendency [12, 13].

More so, the choice of the embedded nanocomposite particles in Ni-P electroless coating is significant. The inherent properties of the particles are important factors that must be put into consideration. The notable improvement in properties has been recorded by several investigators having co-deposited particles such as Al₂O₃, SiO₂, SiC and MoS₂ in binary Ni–P alloy [14]. Improvement in properties of Ni-P electroless coatings has widened its application. This present work investigates the effect of incorporation of MnO2 particles and MnO2 concentration on the anti-corrosion properties of Ni-P and Ni-P-MnO2 on mild steel in 3.5 % NaCl solution via linear potentiodynamic polarization techniques. The microhardness of the samples was determined using Vickers hardness techniques. SEM was used to investigate the morphology of the samples. The coated steel can be utilized in various applications such as aerospace, automotive and marine.

2. Experimental Procedure

2.1. Sample Preparation

Mild steel and all the chemicals used for this experiment were purchased in South Africa. The mild steel was cut into coupon of dimension 40 mm x 40 mm x2 mm and 99.9 % Nickel plate of 50 mm x 40 mm x 10 mm. Table 1 shows the steel's chemical composition. The samples were polished and cleaned via immersion in 0.01 M of Na₂CO₃ solution at a room temperature of 25 °C for about 10 s. The samples were pickled and activated using 10 % HCl for 10 s at room temperature and this was closely followed by quick rinsing in deionized water.

| Element | Mn | С | S | Si | Р | Ni | Al | Fe |
|-------------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|--------|
| Composition | 0.44 | 0.15 | 0.032 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 0.009 | 0.006 | 99.183 |

Table 1. Composition of mild steel in wt. %

2.2. Coating Bath Preparation

Four different baths were prepared varying the mass composition of MnO_2 . All reagents and particulates were dissolved in deionized water and left for 48 hours maintaining a pH value of 5.5. The bath was heated to 85 °C and stirred using magnetic stirrer for better dissolution. The Composition of the bath prepared is shown in Table 2.

| Composition | Mass concentration(g/L) | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| Nickel chloride | 75 | | |
| Sodium hypophosphite | 35 | | |
| Sodium Chloride | 45 | | |
| Thiourea | 5 | | |
| Boric acid | 10 | | |
| MnO ₂ | 0-15 | | |
| Operating conditions | | | |
| рН | 5.5 | | |
| Time | 30 mins | | |
| Temp | 85 °C | | |

Table 2. Bath composition and Operating conditions

2.3. Electroless Plating of Ni-P and Ni-P-MnO₂

The bath prepared was continuously stirred at 250 rpm and a constant temperature of 85 $^{\circ}$ C during the deposition process to achieve suspension stability and minimize agglomeration of particles. Minimal agglomeration improves the electrophoresis mobility of the bath solution [15]. Stirring of the bath keeps the particles of Ni-P and Ni-P-MnO₂ suspended in the electrolyte bath and more so enables the mass transportation of the particles to the steel surface. Continuous agitation enhances the quantity of deposition of the particles on the steel surface. However, excessive agitation could affect electrodes stability; alter the transfer

region of the charges which might consequently lead to low-quality deposit on the steel surface [16]. During the electroless deposition process, the mild steel (cathode) was placed equidistance between two Ni plates. The distance between the steel (cathode) and Ni (anodes) was 3.2 cm. The deposition time, pH and temperature were kept constant varying the mass concentration of MnO₂. In the course of the deposition, a lot of reactions occur. Eqn. 3 is the cell reactions between Ni and the base metal during the electroless deposition process.

(1)

(2)

(3)

At the cathode, Reduction reaction, $Fe^{2+} + 2e^- \rightarrow Fe$ At the anode, Oxidation reaction Ni \rightarrow Ni²⁺ + 2e⁻ Overall Cell reaction, Ni + Fe²⁺ \rightarrow Ni²⁺ + Fe

2.4. Mechanism of Electroless Ni-P Deposition Reaction

The electroless Ni-P deposition reaction mechanisms are yet to be well understood [17]. However, there are two widely acceptable reaction mechanisms [18]. The mechanisms are "Electrochemical mechanism' and "Atomic hydrogen mechanism". The electrochemical mechanism involves the catalytic oxidation of the hypophosphite to produce electrons at the catalytic surface which consequently minimises nickel and hydrogen ion as shown below:

$$\begin{array}{ll} H_{2}PO_{2}^{-}+H_{2}O \rightarrow H_{2}PO_{3}^{-}+2H+2e^{-} & (4) \\ Ni^{2+}+2e^{-} \rightarrow Ni & (5) \\ 2H^{+}+2e^{-} \rightarrow H_{2} & (6) \\ H_{2}PO_{2}^{-}+2H^{+}+e^{-} \rightarrow P+2H_{2}O & (7) \end{array}$$

The "Atomic hydrogen mechanism" involves the release of atomic hydrogen because the product of the catalytic hydrogenation of hypophosphite molecule adsorb at the surface as shown below;

$$H_2PO_2^- + H_2O \rightarrow HPO_3^{2-} + H^+ + 2H$$
(8)

$$2H + Ni^{2+} \rightarrow Ni + 2H^{+} \tag{9}$$

$$H_2PO_2 + H \rightarrow H_2O + OH^- + P \tag{10}$$

The active hydrogen adsorbed reduces Ni at the catalyst surface.

$$(H_2PO_2)^{2-} + H_2O \rightarrow H^+ + (HPO_3)^{2-} + H_2$$
(11)

2.5. Linear Potentiodynamic Polarization Test

The electrochemical test was carried out using the three-electrode cell in a 3.5 % NaCl solution. The corrosion behaviour of the samples was examined at a room temperature of 25 oC with the aid of the three-electrode cell. The graphite rod acted as the contact electrode, Ag/AgCl as the reference electrode and mild steel was the working electrode. Tafel curves were obtained from -2.5 V to 0.5 V at 0.005 m/s scan rate.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Potentiodynamic Polarization Test

Potentiodynamic polarization experiment carried out on Ni-P and Ni-P-MnO₂ electroless coated steel revealed their corrosion resistance ability in 3.5 % simulated NaCl solution. The corrosion test result was generated from the extrapolation of the polarization curve shown in Fig.1 which established the corrosion resistance improvement as the mass concentration of MnO₂ increases. The rate of corrosion of Ni-P coated sample was 4.6375 mm/year and this reduces drastically to 1.1871 mm/year for the Ni-P-15MnO₂ coated sample. It can also be seen in Table 3 that the Ni-P-15MnO₂ coated sample posses the maximum polarization resistance of 113.93 Ω and the lowest current density of 0.003084 A/cm². This could be attributed to the adhesiveness, nature and chemical stability of the passive film generated by Ni-P-15MnO₂ on the surface of steel [19]. Generally, the low current densities of the Ni-P-MnO₂ samples are indication that addition of MnO₂ into the matrix of Ni-P offered more defence against the penetration of chloride ion at the active site of the steel. The barrier formed by the coating reduces the cathodic evolution and metal dissolution reactions at the anodic site of the steel [20, 21]. Generally the presence of Ni-P and Ni-P-MnO₂ in the steel matrix limits the concentration of chloride ion. This consequently lowers the density of current in the charge transfer controlled and mixed potential region.



Fig.1: Potentiodynamic polarization curves of coated samples

The degree of charge transfer at the metal and liquid interface depends on the utilised potential and the mass of reacting species. The degree of the charge transfer effect at the interface depends not solely on the employed potential but also on the concentration of reacting species predominant at the metal surface [22]. The closed value of Ecorr confirms the mixed inhibitive nature of the coating [23, 24].

| ruoto or rotonicou jinanice polarization data or samptos | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|--|--------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Samples | E _{corr} (V) | j _{corr} (A/cm ²) | Cr (mm/year) | Pr (Ω) | | | | | | |
| Ni-P | -0.98894 | 0.012033 | 4.6375 | 50.929 | | | | | | |
| Ni-P-5MnO ₂ | -0.96072 | 0.006406 | 2.4689 | 78.527 | | | | | | |
| Ni-P-10MnO ₂ | -0.92377 | 0.003798 | 1.4636 | 93.784 | | | | | | |
| Ni-P-15MnO ₂ | -0.92351 | 0.003084 | 1.1871 | 113.93 | | | | | | |

Table 3: Potentiodynamic polarization data of samples

3.2. Surface morphologies of coated samples

Fig.2 (a-d) revealed the surface morphologies of Ni-P coating and Ni-P-MnO₂ composite coatings. The agglomeration of MnO₂ nano-particles and particles mixing can be seen clearly in Fig.2c and d. These were minimal in Fig.2b due to the low mass concentration of MnO₂. Fig.2a exhibits predominantly single clustered morphology with some pores. However, the cluster disappeared gradually on the inclusion of MnO₂. The presence of pores could be attributed to the formation of hydrogen at the surface of the Ni-P surface [25]. Generally, the porosity of the coated surfaces decreases as the mass concentration of MnO₂ increases. Fig.

2a and b showed typical flake structures while Fig. 2c and d are predominantly nodular structures with redefined morphology making it look smoother and more attractive.



Fig.2 SEM micrograph of (a) Ni-P (b) Ni-P-5MnO_2 (c) Ni-P-10MnO_2 (d) Ni-P-15MnO_2 (d) Ni-

coated samples

3.3. Microhardness of Ni-P and Ni-P-MnO₂ Coated Samples

Fig.3 shows the microhardness result obtained for Ni-P and Ni-P-MnO₂ Coated Samples. The microhardness values were obtained using the Vickers hardness testing technique. The test was carried out in accordance with ASTM A-370 [26]. Ni-P coated sample was found to possess the lowest microhardness value of 125kgf/mm². Fig.3 revealed that the value of the microhardness of the samples increases as the mass concentration of MnO₂ increases. The Ni-P-15MnO₂ coated steel exhibit the highest value of 197 kgf/mm² which represents a 57.6 % increase in microhardness when compared to the microhardness value of Ni-P coated steel. The improvement in the microhardness value could be traceable to the development of adhesive mechanism by the Ni-P-15MnO₂ coating, strain energy in the boundary of the composited coated steel and bath processing parameters [27-29].



Fig. 3: Microhardness of Ni-P and Ni-P-MnO₂ Coated Samples

4. Conclusions

Ni-P and Ni-P-MnO₂ electroless coating were successfully produced. The particles of MnO₂ were discovered to have improved the corrosion resistance, microhardness and morphology of the Ni-P coated steel. The Ni-P-15MnO₂ coated sample exhibit the highest hardness value of 197 kgf/mm² which represents a 57.6 % increase in microhardness when compared to the microhardness value of Ni-P coated steel. The potentiodynamic polarization experiment shows that MnO₂ lowers the corrosion rate of the steel by limiting the ingression of chloride ion to the active site of the steel. Ni-P and Ni-P-MnO₂ behaved predominantly as a mixed inhibitor due the close values of the corrosion potentials.

Acknowledgments

Surface Engineering Research Centre, Tshwane University of Technology is appreciated for the assistance offered to carry out this research.

References

[1] J.K. Pancrecious, S.B. Ulaeto, R. Ramya, T.P Rajan, B.C. Pai. Metallic composite coatings by electroless technique–a critical review. International Materials Reviews. Vol. 63 (2018), Pg. 488-512.

[2] G.Jiaqiang, L. Lei, W.Yating, S. Bin, H. Wenbin Electroless Ni–P–SiC composite coatings with superfine particles. Surface and Coatings Technology. Vol. 200 (2006), Pg. 5836-5842.

[3] G.H. Zhou, H.Y. Ding, Z. Fei, Y. Zhang. Structure and mechanical properties of Ni-Pnano Al₂O₃ composite coatings synthesized by electroless plating. Journal of Iron and Steel Research, International. Vol. 15 (2008), Pg. 65-9.

[4] Y. Huang, K. Shi, Z. Liao, Y. Wang, L. Wang, F. Zhu. Studies of electroless Ni–Co–P ternary alloy on glass fibers. Materials letters. Vol. 61 (2007), Pg. 1742-1746.

[5] S. Ranganatha, T.V. Venkatesha, K.Vathsala. Process and properties of electroless Ni– Cu–P–ZrO2 nanocomposite coatings. Materials Research Bulletin. Vol. 47 (2012), Pg. 635-645.

[6] Y. Gao, L. Huang, Z.J Zheng, H Li, M. Zhu. The influence of cobalt on the corrosion resistance and electromagnetic shielding of electroless Ni–Co–P deposits on Al substrate. Applied Surface Science. Vol. 253 (2007), Pg.9470-9475.

[7] S. Alirezaei, S.M Monirvaghefi, M. Salehi, A. Saatchi. Wear behavior of Ni–P and Ni–P– Al₂O₃ electroless coatings. Wear. Vol. 262 (2007) Pg. 978-985.

[8] V.K. Bulasara, H. Thakuria, R. Uppaluri, M.K. Purkait. Effect of process parameters on electroless plating and nickel-ceramic composite membrane characteristics. Desalination. Vol. 268 (2011), Pg. 195-203.

[9] B. Szczygieł, A. Turkiewicz, J. Serafińczuk. Surface morphology and structure of Ni–P, Ni–P–ZrO₂, Ni–W–P, Ni–W–P–ZrO₂ coatings deposited by electroless method. Surface and Coatings Technology. Vol. 202 (2008), Pg. 1904-1910

[10] D. Seifzadeh, Z. Rajabalizadeh. Environmentally-friendly method for electroless Ni–P plating on magnesium alloy. Surface and Coatings Technology. Vol. 218 (2013), Pg. 119-126.

[11] Y.J Hu, L. Xiong, J.L. Meng. Electron microscopic study on interfacial characterization of electroless Ni–W–P plating on aluminium alloy. Applied Surface Science. Vol. 253 (2007)
 Pg. 5029-5034.

[12] W. Chen, W. Gao, Y. He. A novel electroless plating of Ni–P–TiO₂ nano-composite coatings. Surface and Coatings Technology. Vol. 204 (2010), Pg. 2493-2498.

[13] B. Veeraraghavan, H. Kim, B. Popov. Optimization of electroless Ni–Zn–P deposition process: experimental study and mathematical modeling. Electrochimica acta. Vol. 49 (2004), Pg. 3143-3154.

[14] Z.A. Hamid, S.A. El Badry, A.A, Aal. Electroless deposition and characterization of Ni–P–WC composite alloys. Surface and Coatings Technology. Vol.201 (2007), Pg. 5948-5953.

[15] A.A. Daniyan, L.E. Umoru, O.S.I. Fayomi. Structural evolution, optoelectrical and corrosion properties of electrodeposited WO₃ integration on Zn-TiO₂ electrolyte for defence super application. Defence Technology. (2018), Pg. 1-7

[16] C.T. Low, R.G. Wills, F.C. Walsh. Electrodeposition of composite coatings containing nanoparticles in a metal deposit. Surface and Coatings Technology. Vol. 201 (2006), Pg. 371-383.

[17] P. Sahoo, S.K. Das. Tribology of electroless nickel coatings–a review. Materials & Design. Vol. 32 (2011), Pg. 1760-1775.

[18] R.C. Agarwala, V. Agarwala. Electroless alloy/composite coatings: A review. Sadhana.Vol. 28 (2003) Pg. 475-93.

[19] A.P.I. Popoola, A.A. Daniyan, L.E. Umoru, O.S.I. Fayomi. Effect of WO₃ nanoparticles loading on the microstructural, mechanical and corrosion resistance of Zn matrix/TiO₂-WO₃ nanocomposite coatings for marine application. J Mar Sci Appl. Vol. 16 (2017), Pg. 1389-1387.

[20] A. Dutta, S.K Saha, U. Adhikari, P. Banerjee, D. Sukul. Effect of substitution on corrosion inhibition properties of 2-(substituted phenyl) benzimidazole derivatives on mild steel in 1 M HCl solution: A combined experimental and theoretical approach. Corrosion Science. Vol. 123 (2017), Pg. 256-266.

[21] L. Rossrucker, A. Samaniego, J.P. Grote, A.M. Mingers, C.A. Laska, N. Birbilis, G.S. Frankel, K.J. Mayrhofer. The pH dependence of magnesium dissolution and hydrogen evolution during anodic polarization. Journal of the Electrochemical Society. Vol. 7 (2015), Pg. 333-339.

[22] G.S. Frankel. Fundamentals of corrosion kinetics. In Active Protective Coatings. Springer, Dordrecht (2016), Pg. 17-32..

[23] S. Benabid, T. Douadi, S. Issaadi, C. Penverne, S. Chafaa. Electrochemical and DFT studies of a new synthesized Schiff base as corrosion inhibitor in 1 M HCl. Measurement. Vol. 99 (2017), Pg. 53-63.

[24] R.K. Gupta, M. Malviya, C. Verma, M.A. Quraishi. Aminoazobenzene and diaminoazobenzene functionalized graphene oxides as novel class of corrosion inhibitors for mild steel: experimental and DFT studies. Materials Chemistry and Physics. Vol. 198 (2017), Pg. 360-373.

[25] R. Soleimani, F. Mahboubi, S.Y. Arman, M. Kazemi, A. Maniee. Development of mathematical model to evaluate microstructure and corrosion behavior of electroless Ni-

P/nano-SiC coating deposited on 6061 aluminum alloy. Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry. Vol. 23 (2015), Pg. 328-337.

[26] H. Soares, T. Zucarelli, M. Vieira, M. Freitas, L. Reis. Experimental characterization of the mechanical properties of railway wheels manufactured using class B material. Procedia Structural Integrity. Vol. 1 (2016), Pg. 265-272.

[27] X. Wang, H.L. Chan, C.L. Choy. Piezoelectric and dielectric properties of CeO₂-added
(Bi0. 5Na0. 5) 0.94 Ba0. 06TiO₃ lead-free ceramics. Solid State Communications. Vol. 8, (2003), Pg. 395-399.

[28] R. Subasri, T. Shinohara, K. Mori. Modified TiO₂ coatings for cathodic protection applications. Science and Technology of Advanced Materials. Vol. 5 (2005), Pg. 501-507.

[29] D.E. Rusu, A. Ispas, A. Bund, C. Gheorghies, G. Carac. Corrosion tests of nickel coatings prepared from a Watts-type bath. Journal of Coatings Technology and Research. Vol. 1 (2012), Pg. 87-95.