## PUBLIC HEALTH REPORTS

VOL. 38

**APRIL 20, 1923** 

No. 16

## EXPERIMENTAL ABORTION IN A COW PRODUCED BY INOCU-LATION WITH BACTERIUM MELITENSIS.<sup>1</sup>

By ALICE C. EVANS, Associate Bacteriologist, United States Public Health Service.

Comparative studies of the causative organisms of contagious abortion in cattle and of Malta fever in human beings which have been made in recent years have shown a close relationship between them. None of the several investigators has been able to show distinctions between the two organisms when morphological, cultural, or biochemical characteristics were considered. The organisms have also been shown to be closely related serologically, as determined by agglutinin absorption tests. The pathogenic effect on experimental animals has been found to be the same except for differences in degree of virulence. A review of the literature from which these conclusions were drawn will be given in another paper now in preparation. It is the purpose of this preliminary report to record briefly an experiment which gives further evidence of the close relationship of these two organisms which for many years were never considered together.

On February 9, 1923, a pregnant heifer was inoculated intravenously with Bacterium melitensis. The dose was the growth from a 24-hour culture on a serum glucose agar slope suspended in 10 cubic centimeters of physiological saline solution. The heifer was inoculated with a strain isolated in September, 1922, from the blood of a human case of Malta fever which occurred during the epidemic at Phoenix, Ariz. On March 26, 1923, the heifer aborted a fetus of about five and one-half months. Bact. melitensis was recovered from the stomach contents, from the contents of the small intestine, and from the peritoneal fluid of the fetus, and from the colostrum. The recovered strain was shown to be serologically identical with the strain used for inoculation, which is unmistakably distinguishable by the agglutination absorption test from the typical Bact. abortus.

The agglutinin titer of the serum taken before inoculation and at subsequent intervals of about two weeks is given below in tabular

**38748°—23——1** 825

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The writer is indebted to Dr. E. C. Schroeder and Dr. W. E. Cotton, of the Bureau of Animal Industry Experiment Station, United States Department of Agriculture, for providing the experimental animal used in making the inoculation and for the care of the animal.

form. Tests for agglutinin content were made with the homologous strain and with a strain of bovine *Bact. abortus* received from Dr. L. F. Rettger (Yale University).

The presence of agglutinins in low dilutions of the serum previous to inoculation is not considered indicative of an infection, because cow's serum occasionally contains agglutinins for Bact. abortus in small quantity. The rise in agglutinins for Bact. abortus in about equal titer with agglutinins for Bact. melitensis following the inoculation is in accordance with the results of numerous recent investigations which have shown that the two organisms are indistinguishable by the simple agglutination test.

The results of the experiments were similar to those which would have been expected if the inoculation had been made with a virulent strain of *Bact. abortus* of bovine origin.

Date blood was drawn.	Antigen.	Titer of agglutinins.
Mar. 22, 1923	Bact. melitensis. Bact. abortus Bact melitensis. Bact melitensis. Bact. abortus Bact. abortus Bact. abortus Bact. melitensis. Bact. melitensis. Bact. melitensis. Bact. melitensis.	Partial agglutination in 1:10. 1:20. 1:320 (partial in 1:640). 1:320 (partial in 1:320). 1:320. 1:320. 1:320. 1:320. 1:320.

Agglutinin titer of serum.

## PRESENT STATUS OF SANITARY ENGINEERING.

SUGGESTIONS FOR OBJECTS AND AIMS OF THE SANITARY ENGINEERING DIVISION OF THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CIVIL ENGINEERS.

By HARRISON P. EDDY, 2 C. E., member American Society of Civil Engineers.

The field occupied to-day by the sanitary engineer is so broad that it is not easy to frame a definition of sanitary engineering which is sufficiently comprehensive to include all of his activities.

"Civil engineering is the art of ecomonic construction; that is, the art of making structures for the public use at the minimum cost for installation and operation," according to Merriman.<sup>3</sup> From this basic statement he derives the following definition: "Sanitary engineering is that branch of civil engineering which is concerned with the constructions for promoting the health of the community."

But this definition is not sufficiently comprehensive to include many engineering works which are popularly conceived as coming within the field of sanitary engineering. Thus, an important function of municipal waterworks is that of furnishing adequate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Address made before the Sanitary Engineering Division of the American Society of Civil Engineers, at the meeting held in New York City, January 16, 1923.

<sup>2</sup> Of Metcalf & Eddy, Boston, Mass.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Elements of Sanitary Engineering," 3d ed., page 8.

fire protection, which can be classed as a health measure only by courtesy. Again, the treatment of industrial wastes, in some cases, is required merely to secure the acceptable appearance of streams, upon the theory that the public is entitled to enjoy the rivers in substantially their natural condition.

Whatever may have been the early conception of the scope of sanitary engineering, its field in recent years has been greatly broadened. It is now common to link together in matters coming under the jurisdiction of health boards and departments, not only the health and comfort of the public, but also its sensibilities. The sanitary engineer is about as frequently called upon for assistance in measures affecting public comfort and sentiment as in those relating directly to the public health. Many alleged nuisances, formerly believed to be detrimental to health, are now recognized to be merely inimical to comfort.

The advance in industrial sanitation has introduced many problems of an engineering nature. The manufacturer consults the sanitary engineer relative to the volume and the quality of the supply of water available for his use, the practicability of discharging the liquid wastes into the neighboring river or lake, or the type and cost of treatment plant which will be capable of rendering his wastes suitable for such discharge. Similar advice may be sought regarding offensive gases which may escape from the factory, for the manufacturer is becoming more and more jealous of the esteem in which his neighbors hold him. Upon the advice of the sanitary engineer may depend the decision of the manufacturer to go forward with a contemplated project or to abandon it for one more favorably situated.

A large proportion of the problems with which the sanitary engineer is dealing to-day may be included in five principal divisions:

Water supply and purification;

Sewerage, drainage, and the disposal of sewage and industrial wastes;

Refuse collection and disposal;

Eradication of the mosquito and other vermin which may carry infection; and

Air supply and purification.

#### WATER SUPPLY AND PURIFICATION.

The securing and distributing of an adequate supply of pure water is one of the oldest problems of sanitary engineering. It has received more scientific investigation, followed by careful engineering operation, than any of the other problems of this branch of engineering. Records of such investigations and operation have afforded a vast supply of data available for study and comparison.

At the time when the first municipal water supplies were established in this country there was little difficulty in securing pure water. The municipalities were small and the volume of water required was very moderate. The neighboring country was but sparsely populated, and there was little danger of contamination. Later, as the catchment areas became more generally populated, the possibility and in some cases the probability or certainty, of contamination was recognized. Supplies which had been of satisfactory quality had to be abandoned for others more remote.

Some cities adopted the policy of acquiring land on the margin of the reservoirs and along the streams which fed them, thus preventing the establishment of a resident population. This was followed by police measures, such as prohibition of bathing and fishing in the waters, of boating, and cutting ice upon the reservoirs. Sanitary inspection followed; and where the reservoir feeders flowed through populous districts, the water which they carried was filtered before its discharge into the reservoirs.

Coincident with these improvements, there were valuable scientific investigations which afforded much knowledge in support of the measures of protection previously taken, and a basis for further progress of this kind.

The contamination of some readily accessible waters and the great difficulty of securing safe, acceptable supplies in some parts of this country led to the investigation and installation of water filters, first of the slow sand type and later of the rapid or mechanical type.

While the adoption of filtration may fairly be said to have been founded upon the need of a water which would not endanger the public health, a very important consideration has been improvement in the appearance of the water. This is particularly true in those portions of the country where the water is naturally turbid, muddy, or highly colored.

Practice in filter design and operation became comparatively well standardized, and the great accomplishments of the filters, both as a means of protecting the public health and of providing an acceptable water from a very unattractive raw supply, were well demonstrated. Yet it was recognized that filters were not 100 per cent efficient. There were lapses, irregularities in character of output.

The next step was that of disinfection of the water, generally with chlorine, ozone, and the ultra-violet ray being utilized in some of the small installations.

Like filtration, sterilization has had a very important influence upon the problem of providing a safe water supply. In many of the smaller communities, and in some large cities, it has been the sole safeguard between a contaminated supply and the consumers. Other communities have adopted the more dependable and safer course

of adding this treatment to that of filtration, thus affording a very valuable factor of safety.

The efficiency of disinfection has proved to be such that there has been a tendency to substitute it, in cases where the water is reasonably satisfactory in appearance, for filtration or for combined filtration and disinfection. Some have advocated the use of filters of moderate efficiency, with a view to depending upon disinfection as the major protection against pathogenic bacteria. To what extent, other than to meet an emergency, these policies are justified is a matter upon which there is difference of opinion. This is one of the important problems before the profession at the present time.

While the present status of the art of water protection and purification is such that a large measure of protection against typhoid may be assured, there still are irregularities in efficiency which even in well-operated works are occasion for concern. There is also the human element, which is evident in several ways, such as insufficient appropriations for proper operation, absence of moral support from higher officials, unskilled supervision, and the lapses common to operating employees. Such deficiencies, in case the treatment is by disinfection alone, may immediately result in serving a dangerous water. Even with the best management a very brief lapse due to the human element may jeopardize the health of a great community.

Notwithstanding the creditable present status of the art of supplying a safe and attractive water, there are many unsolved problems. While the prevalence of water-borne typhoid has been a criterion for many years, is there reasonable assurance that other diseases are not transmitted by waters now assumed to be safe?

Will not more refined methods of investigation and further knowledge disclose dangers of which little is thought at present? Under what conditions and to what extent does the alum introduced into the water pass through the filters? What are the causes of corrosion of metals and how may they best be counteracted? What will be the effect of the hydrogen ion control of purification plants?

This list might be greatly amplified. Every new discovery opens up a field for research.

SEWERAGE, DRAINAGE, AND THE DISPOSAL OF SEWAGE AND INDUSTRIAL WASTES.

Sewers have become so common in American cities that it is not always recognized that they are very important sanitary works. It is only necessary, however, to recall the history of sewerage in England at the time when cesspools drained into basements of buildings, or to contemplate the modern theory of transmission of disease germs by the house fly, to appreciate that, as a health measure,

sewerage facilities are probably only secondary in importance to waterworks.

Those engineers who have had to do chiefly with separate sewers sometimes fail to realize that drainage, as well as sewerage, is an important sanitary measure. Except in so far as it is a means of mosquito eradication, however, there appears to be no known direct connection of a specific disease with the lack of drainage facilities. Notwithstanding this fact, there can be little doubt that lack of good drainage is deleterious to the public health. Persons who live in localities which are poorly drained are often forced to walk through mud and water and to live in damp quarters. Undoubtedly such exposure has an unfavorable effect upon health, even though not directly responsible for illness.

The early sewers were intended only as a means of carrying away storm water, but they were later used to carry sewage also. It was natural, therefore, that subsequent designs provided for carrying both storm water and sewage in the same conduits. Still later, separate sewers were proposed and built to a limited extent. Their real or apparent advantages have been considered to be so great as to lead to a change from the universal practice of constructing combined sewers.

It is an interesting fact that human thought moves to extremes, like a pendulum. At first sentiment was strongly in favor of combined sewers; later it swung to the other extreme, favoring separate sewers and tending to discourage the construction of combined sewers. But improper use of separate sewers and a restudy of the economics of the two systems indicate that the time may be approaching when the pendulum will swing in the other direction. The selection of the proper system in any case is one of the important duties of the sanitary engineer.

Much progress in the design of combined sewers and storm water drains is indicated by the change from the old empirical formulas to the rational method. But more dependable data are required to make the use of this method entirely satisfactory. While rainfall data have been greatly multiplied and improved during the last 25 years, very little is known as to the distribution of intense precipitation over such districts as are ordinarily considered in sewer design; little attention is paid to the design of inlets and catch basins upon which the flow within the sewers so largely depends; and there is a serious paucity of information relative to the time of flow over the surface of the ground to sewer inlets.

One of the most uncertain problems in connection with the use of the Kutter formula for computing the discharge of pipes and conduits which are to carry sewage is the value to be ascribed to the coefficient "n". Conditions within sewers vary so greatly that extreme

accuracy in this respect is not to be hoped for, and it is doubtful to what extent the determination of "n" by measurement will be helpful. It would be a source of satisfaction to engineers, however, and would doubtless aid in the exercise of judgment, if some really good measurements of flow in sewers carrying sewage could be made.

In the field of sewage disposal much experimental work has been done. Here again there are so many variables, and their range of variation is so great, that conclusions should be reached with the greatest care.

The art of sewage treatment has advanced to such an extent that it can be stated with assurance that ordinary municipal sewage can be treated successfully to almost any required extent. The more or less popular impression that the art is in the experimental stage and that, therefore, the construction of treatment plants may well be postponed, and that little progress has thus far been made, is erroneous. Sanitary engineers should take advantage of every opportunity to counteract this impression. The accomplishments in this field have been great, and much credit is due to the investigators and practicing engineers through whose efforts the advance has been made.

With the advent of each new process there is a popular inclination to look upon it as a substitute for those processes which have gone before. Experience has shown, however, that, in general, each problem presents its own peculiar conditions, and that there is a field of usefulness for every process which has proved to be of practical merit.

The most vital difficulty in the field of sewage disposal lies in securing efficient operation. As a rule, sewage is not treated for the benefit of the citizens producing it, but to protect those living in neighboring communities, who are neither citizens nor tax-payers of the city in question. It is unpopular to appropriate funds which are to be expended for the benefit of those living outside the community. The value of operation records and analytical tests is not often appreciated by city officials and too seldom by engineers.

The treatment of industrial wastes in many respects resembles that of municipal sewage. Most of the processes applicable to the latter are useful in the former. Many industrial wastes problems, however, are much more complicated and difficult than the ordinary sewage disposal problem.

This is a comparatively new field of activity for the sanitary engineer, and the opportunity for research and accumulation of knowledge is very great.

#### REFUSE COLLECTION AND DISPOSAL.

The accumulation of all kinds of refuse in the streets is a menace to the public health. Such refuse may contain pathogenic organisms which, when blown into the air and inhaled, may cause infection. Street cleaning, therefore, is properly classed as a branch of sanitary engineering, although having to do more largely with operation than with the construction of works. The change from horse-drawn to motor-driven vehicles and the enormous increase in such traffic, with the resultant increase in the use of smooth pavements, has greatly modified the problem of street cleaning.

The practice of periodically sweeping streets and gutters and of sprinkling and sweeping the pavements has been replaced in a measure by street flushing, which is by far the most sanitary of these processes. By this means paved streets can be cleaned thoroughly and generally kept in good sanitary condition. Street flushing, however, involves a number of serious problems, some of which are dependent upon the manner in which the water is applied. Where it is discharged under high pressure and impinges directly upon the surface of the pavement, it may have, under some conditions, a disintegrating effect. Some engineers object to the use of water under any conditions, upon the theory that, directly or indirectly, it is injurious to the pavement.

In some cases street flushing is objectionable because of the material washed into catch basins and sewers. The quantity of such matter also increases the burden upon treatment plants.

Some have advocated a combination of sprinkling, sweeping, and pick-up, with flushing; and much thought has been given to vacuum cleaning, which, perhaps, comes the nearest to being a theoretically ideal method of street cleaning.

The connection between the collection and disposal of rubbish and the public health is possibly not as clear as in the case of street cleaning. There can be no doubt, however, that regular and frequent collection of rubbish aids the householder in the maintenance of sanitary conditions about his premises.

By far the most difficult branch of the refuse collection and disposal problem is that pertaining to garbage. This is primarily because of the unstable character of the organic matter of which it is chiefly composed, which becomes offensive if not disposed of promptly. Difficulties have been overcome, in a measure, at least in many cities, by providing moderately frequent collections and by improved facilities for transportation. Yet the systems and equipment used in many places are far from the ideal.

In the matter of disposal, marked advance has been made within the last 25 years. The principles of engineering science have supplanted in a measure the rule-of-thumb methods of a generation ago. It is possible to-day to prescribe the essential requirements for the successful disposal of garbage in a sanitary manner, whether this be done by burial, incineration, reduction, or feeding to hogs.

The most difficult engineering problem, and one which is connected with practically all methods of garbage disposal, has been the con-

trol of objectionable odors to such an extent as to prevent complaint. The control of dust about incinerators and of flies and rats about garbage fills and hog ranches have also proved to be important problems.

Garbage disposal, like the disposal of sewage, suffers from lack of interest on the part of citizens and officials. Liberal appropriations are not generally forthcoming, either for construction or for operation. Garbage collection and disposal involves operating expenses which must be defrayed directly from the tax receipts. To this fact may be attributed much of the difficulty in the solution of this problem.

This field offers great opportunity for scientific research as well as for the creation of a public attitude which will require that ample funds be provided for the successful accomplishment of the object.

## ERADICATION OF THE MOSQUITO AND OTHER VERMIN WHICH MAY CARRY INFECTION.

It has been held for many years that disease may be transmitted by means of insects and other vermin. Positive proof, however, is of comparatively recent date, as in the noteworthy case of the transmission of yellow fever by the mosquito, proved by Dr. Jesse W. Lazear, acting assistant surgeon, United States Army, who suffered himself to be bitten by an infected mosquito for the purpose of demonstrating his theory. No greater sacrifice for sanitary science can by made by anyone than was made by Doctor Lazear. The eradication of yellow fever and malaria from Habana by the late Major General Gorgas was an achievement which demonstrated the value of the application of sanitary science to the benefit of man; so, too, his achievement at Panama, an even more spectacular example.

Since these demonstrations were made there have been many others of similar nature. It is stated that during the World War 2,000,000 American soldiers stationed in various cantonments in the malarious sections of the South were so effectively protected against malaria by sanitary engineering works that not over 40 cases of malaria occurred among the troops.

There is need, however, for further research in this field. Cases are reported where large drainage projects have actually increased, rather than decreased, the prevalence of malaria. Many engineering structures, like railroads and improved highways, have been built in a manner to create breeding places for mosquitoes.

Another important branch in this field, occupied by sanitary engineers, is rat proofing, which is being developed in some seaports by the construction of types of buildings which avoid refuges for rats and prevent their entrance into warehouses and other places where food is stored.

## AIR SUPPLY AND PURIFICATION.

The need of a supply of pure air has been recognized for more than two centuries. Many problems relating to air supply lie properly in the field of the architect, the physicist, or the heating and ventilating engineer. The sanitary engineer, however, is being called upon more and more frequently to solve problems of air supply, particularly those relating to its quality. Many of these appear to affect the public comfort rather than the public health.

The suppression and control of objectionable odors has assumed considerable importance in connection with sanitary engineering structures such as garbage and refuse disposal plants, sewers, catch basins, and sewage treatment plants. In fact, the principal object of many sewage treatment plants has been to prevent the objectionable odors which have emanated from polluted streams. Similar problems arise in many industrial plants, such as abattoirs, rendering works, fertilizer factories, and oil refineries. These problems naturally fall within the field of the sanitary engineer.

From the assertions of three-quarters of a century ago, that sewer gas was a deadly miasma laden with elements of almost every disease known, and the statement made by Charles Murchison 'that typhoid fever was "produced by emanations from decaying organic matter," the accumulation of knowledge has led to the present conception that "the chance of direct bacterial infection through the air of drains and sewers is so slight as to be practically negligible \* \* \*." The former theory, however, erroneous as it may have been, was not without its beneficial effects, for it undoubtedly resulted in the making of many sanitary improvements.

While present knowledge indicates that sewer air is comparatively free from pathogenic organisms and poisonous gases, it is important that familiarity shall not breed contempt, for danger may lurk in the air within sewers. Under some conditions products of putrefaction, like methane and carbon dioxide, may prevail to such an extent that the air will not support respiration. Hydrogen sulphide may be present in large volume, as at the Los Angeles siphon's; illuminating gas may occasionally be encountered; and, with the advent of the modern garage, gasolene has become an almost constant menace in the sewers of large cities. Odors from foul deposits, septic sewage, and industrial wastes may escape from sewers through defective plumbing, manholes, and gutter inlets. Remedies for many such conditions are known, but there are unsolved general

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The Sanitary Significance of Bacteria in the Air of Drains and Sewers. By C-E. A. Winslow. Mass. inst. Tech., San. Research, Vol.-V, p. 39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Ibid, p. 85.

Eng. Record, Aug. 28, 1909, p. 252; American Sewerage Practice, Vol. I, p. 551-2.

and local problems which will make their demands upon the ingenuity of the sanitary engineer of the future.

Objectionable odors about sewage treatment plants have been the cause of complaint and some litigation. Present knowledge enables the sanitary engineer to accomplish much toward their elimination or control. The work of Dr. W. W. Horrocks7 indicates that where sewage falls vertically the neighboring air may contain the colon bacillus and various streptococci. He also found that if such an easily recognizable organism as B. prodigiosus be introduced into sewage it may be recovered from the sewer air, into which he assumed that it had entered by the bursting of bubbles of gas rising through the sewage, from splashing of falling sewage, or from the drying of the sewage left on the walls of sewers when the depth of flow decreased. The results of such tests lead to interesting speculations regarding the bacterial flora of the atmosphere in the neighberhood of some of the modern sewage-treatment plants, such as fine screens, septic tanks, trickling filters, and aeration tanks of activated-sludge plants.

Probably offensive odors from garbage, both during collection and disposal, have caused more general complaint than those from sewage-treatment plants or industrial plants. Dust-laden air has also been a serious annoyance in some cases. Similar complaints of odor and dust from many industries have become common, have demanded recognition by State and municipal officials, and have been the subject of legal action.

The treatment of air has become as common as the treatment of water and sewage. Air is humidified and its temperature is regulated to make it more agreeable and perhaps more healthful to the consumer. It is washed and subjected to intense heat to remove dust, soluble gases, and odors. For the same reason it is treated with oxidizing agents, like chlorine and sulphurous acid, and it may be treated with deodorants like phenol, creosote oil, and pine tar oil; for, in a mixture of odors, if one be much stronger than the others, it will completely mask the weaker ones. This last method is particularly worthy of further investigation.

#### OBJECTS AND AIMS OF THE SANITARY ENGINEERING DIVISION.

There has been a growing feeling among sanitary engineers that the American Society of Civil Engineers should take an active part in the development of the art of sanitary engineering. This feeling has culminated in the formation of the Sanitary Engineering Division.

Sanitary science, or the knowledge of the fundamental truths of nature affecting the health of man, is the foundation upon which

Proc. Roy. Soc. London, B. 79, 255 (1907).

rests the art of sanitary engineering, or the application of such truths to sanitary engineering works for the health and comfort of the community. Advancement of knowledge may come through original research and the interchange of information acquired through experience.

These considerations suggest that the purpose of this division may be defined as follows:

The objects of this division shall be the increase of knowledge in and the advancement of the sanitary branch of the engineering profession, and the encouragement of social intercourse among sanitary engineers and sanitarians, to the end that sanitary engineers may be of greater service to the community.

In beginning the work of this division, consideration should be given to the fact that other societies are functioning in the field of sanitary engineering. Among these are the American Society for Municipal Improvements, the American Public Health Association, and the American Water Works Association. Duplication of effort is a waste of energy. It should be an aim of this division not to duplicate the work done by other societies, but to deal with underlying principles and with the state of the art rather than with mere descriptions of their practical application.

It should be an aim of this division to record, through papers and discussions, accurate records and conclusions drawn from dependable data. Theories which appear to be sound and are predicated upon known facts may serve a useful purpose. A guide which may be helpful in determining the character of papers and discussions to be formally presented may be found in one of the current rules regarding papers presented to the society, as follows:

Papers containing matter readily found elsewhere, those specially advocating personal interests, those carelessly prepared or controverting established facts, and those purely speculative or foreign to the purposes of the society, shall be rejected.

It is a lamentable fact that many sewage treatment plants have become seriously impaired through the action of natural agencies within a very few years from the date of their completion. It should be recognized that while good engineering requires the accomplishment of the purpose at a minimum cost, the measurement of cost must include, in addition to that of construction, the expense of maintenance and operation. It should be an aim of this division to encourage a high grade of engineering construction. It should also encourage the highest practicable standard of maintenance.

Perhaps the most serious difficulty encountered in sanitary engineering is in securing proper operation of sanitary engineering works. The view is rather generally held by city officials that operation is not a function of the engineer and that after works have been constructed

they should be turned over to operating executives. Many of these have neither the training nor the skill to exercise efficiently the duties involved by these works. On the contrary, the operation of such works should be under the direction of the sanitary engineer, for efficiency in operation depends upon the application of knowledge of sanitary science and of the principles of sanitary engineering.

It should be an aim of this division to render assistance, through cooperation with duly constituted official boards and by any other proper means, in the establishment of suitable methods and standards of operation.

The need of broader knowledge is apparent in every subdivision of sanitary engineering. It should be an aim of this division to foster research through its committees, and, wherever practicable, by cooperating with the operators of existing plants and with other agencies organized for this purpose.

Finally, the most important function of this division will be securing among its members a free interchange of information developed by investigation and experience. It is a creditable fact that the engineers of this country are in the habit of cordially exchanging information and rendering assistance to one another. It should be an aim of this division in every manner possible to foster this spirit of cooperation and the securing of high ethical standards in order best to serve the community. Such service will advance the standing of the profession.

## STATE HEALTH COMMISSIONER EMPHASIZES NECESSITY FOR USING DIPHTHERIA ANTITOXIN.

In compliance with a special request of the Public Health Council, Dr. Herman M. Biggs, State commissioner of health of New York, recently sent out a letter to all physicians of New York State, calling attention to the necessity for using antitoxin in the treatment of diphtheria. The following is taken from the Health News Service, dated April 6, 1923, issued by the department of health:

Several tragic occurrences due to absolute failure of physicians to give antitoxin in cases of diphtheria have recently come to the attention of the State department of health. The most striking instance was the death of four out of seven members of one family who contracted this disease, the attending physician stating that he "did not believe in antitoxin." Consequently, none was given until after the death of the fourth child, when another physician was called in. Since then, the physician first referred to has reported still another death from diphtheria, although in this case he offered to give antitoxin if the parents wished it. There was reason to believe, however, that the refusal of the parents in this instance

merely reflected the known attitude of their physician toward antitoxin. Another physician who had attended a fatal case, and who also says that he does not believe in antitoxin, has stated that he would not treat diphtheria at all in the future.

While such extreme examples are fortunately few in number, the State department of health holds that they differ in degree only from the less tragic but more numerous cases in which antitoxin is not given in sufficient dosage or early enough in the course of the disease to be effective.

Believing that the situation demands the serious consideration of the medical profession, the State commissioner of health brought the matter to the attention of the Public Health Council, the members of which included Dr. Simon Flexner, of the Rockefeller Institute; Mr. Homer Folks, of the State Charities Aid Association; Prof. Henry N. Ogden, of Cornell University; Dr. T. Mitchell Prudden, of New York; Dr. Jacob Goldberg, of Buffalo; and Dr. Stanton P. Hull, of Petersburgh; with Doctor Biggs as chairman. The council thereupon adopted the following resolutions:

Whereas the Public Health Council has been informed by the commissioner of health of numerous deaths which have occurred from diphtheria in different parts of the State due to the failure to use diphtheria antitoxin or to its administration too late in the course of the disease to be effective; and

Whereas in the opinion of the Public Health Council there can at this time be no justification for any physician holding an adverse opinion as to the specific value of diphtheria antitoxin as a therapeutic agent in the treatment of diphtheria: Be it

Resolved, That the commissioner of health be requested again to direct the special attention of the physicians of New York State to these facts and to the unnecessary deaths which have occurred; and be it

Further resolved, That the commissioner of health request the local health officers immediately upon receipt of a report of a case of diphtheria to ascertain whether the regulations of the sanitary code and of the State department of health are being complied with, and whether diphtheria antitoxin has been administered to the patient; and also whether antitoxin has been administered as a prophylactic measure to other members of the family wherein such case exists.

## POLLUTED WATER RESULTS FROM LACK OF COOPERATION BETWEEN WATER BOARD AND HEALTH OFFICER.

According to a statement issued April 5, 1923, by the State Department of Health of New York, more than 1,000 persons in an up-State community have recently been made ill as the result of the action of the water board in temporarily changing the source of the public water supply without taking precautions to insure its safety and without notifying the health officer.

On account of a shortage in the regular source of water supply, the superintendent of the waterworks was instructed by the water commissioners to draw an emergency supply from a lake seriously

polluted by sewage from a number of houses and by surface wash from a large area of the village. Eight or nine days later, after his suspicions had been aroused by the large number of complaints of illness which were reaching him, the local health officer learned of what had been done. Upon ascertaining the facts, he immediately telephoned to the State department of health, and an engineer from the division of sanitation was at once dispatched to install an emergency chlorination apparatus, which rendered safe the temporary use of the lake water.

Dr. Hermann M. Biggs, State commissioner of health, in making public the facts regarding this outbreak, emphasized the danger to the public health involved in any lack of cooperation between local "Other communities," he water boards and local health officers. said, "should assure themselves at once that the officials in charge of their public water supplies make it a practice immediately to notify the local health officer of any change in the source of supply. If the water board in this case had promptly informed the health officer of its plans, the State department of health would have supplied the chlorination facilities immediately, and much illness and serious danger to the community would have been avoided. The practice of treating public water supplies with chlorine is now practically universal, and in many instances it is vitally important to make use of this simple, inexpensive, and harmless expedient for the protection of the public health. The water supplies of New York City and many other communities in this State are already safeguarded in this manner."

In order to minimize the danger of such occurrences in the future, the State commissioner of health has caused to be introduced in the legislature, a bill making local health officers ex officio members of local water boards so that they may be fully informed of all conditions affecting the public water supply. Pending the enactment of such legislation, Commissioner Biggs will request the public health council to amend the State sanitary code so as to require local water boards to report to the health authorities any proposed change in the source of supply.

A slight accident occurred in connection with the installation of the chlorine apparatus mentioned above, and is noted here because of its interest to engineers. During the installation, the connecting tube of the apparatus broke while the engineer was working down in a well from which the water was being pumped, and, as a result of the lack of ventilation, his face was slightly burned with chlorine gas. This occurrence discloses the need of special protection to the engineers handling such apparatus under certain unusual conditions, and the Department of Health of New York has decided to purchase a gas mask to provide this protection.

## DEATHS DURING WEEK ENDED APRIL 7, 1923.

Summary of information received by telegraph from industrial insurance companies for week ended April 7, 1923, and corresponding week of 1922. (From the Weekly Health Index, April 10, 1923, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.)

	Week ended Apr. 7, 1923.	Corresponding week, 1922.
Policies in force	52, 175, 718	48, 931, 741
Number of death claims	12, 108	10, 113
Death claims per 1,000 policies in force, annual rate	12.1	10.8

Deaths from all causes in certain large cities of the United States during the week ended April 7, 1923, infant mortality, annual death rate, and comparison with corresponding week of 1922. (From the Weekly Health Index, Apr. 10, 1923, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.)

	Estimated		ended 7, 1923.	Annual death rate per	Deat	Infant mor- tality	
City.	population July 1, 1923.	Total deaths.	Death rate.1	1,000, corre- sponding week, 1922.	Week ended Apr. 7, 1923.	Corresponding week, 1922.	rate, week
Total	29, 087, 521	8, 388	15.0	14. 2	1,064	1,110	
Akron, Ohio Albany, N. Y Atlanta, Ga Baltimore, Md Birmingham, Ala Boston, Mass Bridgeport, Conn Buffalo, N. Y Cambridge, Mass Camden, N. J Chicago, Ill Cincinnati, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio Dallas, Tex Dayton, Ohio Dallas, Tex Dayton, Ohio Denver, Colo Detroit, Mich Duluth, Minn Erie, Pa Fall River, Mass Fint, Mich Fort Worth, Tex Grand Rapids, Mich Houston, Tex Indianapolis, Ind Jacksonville, Fla Jersey City, N. J Kansas City, Mo Los Angeles, Calif Louisville, Ky Lowell, Mass Lynn, Mass Memphis, Tenn Milwaukee, Wis Minneapolis, Minn Nashville, Tenn New Bedford, Mass New Haven, Conn New Orenas, La.	<sup>8</sup> 208, 435 117, 375	25 48 80 259 65 254 552 185 39 51 71 51 219 82 57 21 26 46 17 23 42 33 42 34 42 33 42 31 31 42 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31	6. 3 21. 3 18. 7 17. 5 17. 3 18. 9 18. 0 18. 0 18. 2 21. 4 10. 3 11. 1 14. 4 10. 3 15. 1 11. 1 17. 7 16. 3 15. 0 11. 1 17. 5 18. 0 19. 4 10. 3 11. 1 11. 1 11. 3 11. 3 11. 4 11. 3 11. 3 11. 4 11. 3 11. 3 11. 4 11. 3 11. 3 11. 4 11. 3 11. 4 11. 3 11. 3 11. 4 11. 3 11. 4 11. 3 11. 4 11. 3 11. 4 11. 3 11. 4 11. 4 11. 3 11. 4 11. 4 11. 4 11. 5 11. 6 11. 6 1	8. 5 20. 2 17. 8 15. 5 10. 9 17. 7 10. 8 17. 1 13. 4 16. 0 11. 3 12. 4 13. 9 17. 3 12. 4 13. 9 17. 3 12. 4 13. 9 17. 3 12. 4 13. 0 14. 6 13. 0 15. 6 16. 0 17. 1 18. 1 19.	2 3 13 23 14 377 10 33 2 8 8 115 10 34 8 10 2 5 34 5 5 1 1 4 16 14 18 10 9 1 2 2 17 6 9 10 10 10 10	13 6 10 23 5 4 9 129 15 33 8 4 3 5 45 45 10 2 2 12 3 16 11 22 9 7	24 66 82 106 138 138 36 93 83 33 66 93 83 114 61 114 99 95 85 107 108 156 55 109 92
New York, N. Y Bronx Borough. Brooklyn Borough Manhattan Borough Queens Borough Richmond Borough Newark, N. J.	5, 927, 625 840, 544 2, 156, 687 2, 267, 001 535, 844 127, 549 438, 699	1,637 175 557 762 105 38 105	14. 4 10. 9 13. 5 17. 5 10. 2 15. 5 12. 5	14. 5 10. 1 13. 5 17. 8 9. 8 18. 0 13. 0	205 15 62 115 8 5	210 20 83 95 9 3 14	82 53 66 112 43 91 89

<sup>3</sup> Enumerated population Jan. 1, 1920.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Annual rate per 1,000 population.

<sup>2</sup> Deaths under 1 year per 1,000 births—an annual rate based on deaths under 1 year for the week and estimated births for 1922. Cities left blank are not in the registration area for births.

Deaths from all causes in certain large cities of the United States during the week ended April 7, 1923, infant mortality, annual death rate, and comparison with corresponding week of 1922—Continued.

	Estimated		ended 7, 1923.	Annual death rate per	Deat!	Infant mor- tality	
City.	population July 1, 1923.	y 1,		1,000, corre- sponding week, 1922.	Week ended Apr. 7, 1923.	Corresponding week, 1922.	rate, week ended Apr. 7, 1923.
Norfolk, Va. Ookland, Calif. Omaha, Nebr. Paterson, N. J. Philadelphia, Pa. Pittsburgh, Pa. Portland, Oreg. Providence, R. I. Richmond, Va. Rochester, N. Y. St. Louis, Mo. St. Paul, Minn. Salf Lake City, Utah. San Francisco, Calif. Seattle, Wash. Spokane, Wash. Springfield, Mass. Syracuse, N. Y. Tacoma, Wash. Toledo, Ohio. Trenton, N. J. Washington, D. C. Wilmington, Del. Worcester, Mass.	311, 857 803, 853 241, 891 126, 241 181, 727 539, 038 * 315, 312 104, 573 144, 227 184, 511 101, 731 268, 338 127, 390 * 437, 571 117, 728	33 48 44 40 622 187 711 85 53 65 221 67 40 79 146 61 19 45 45 45 52 52 52 53 65 54 55 55 56 57 57 57 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58	10. 8 10. 4 11. 2 14. 9 16. 9 13. 5 18. 3 15. 3 10. 7 14. 4 16. 5 22. 3 14. 1 10. 1 9. 5 16. 3 12. 7 12. 8 12. 8 14. 1 12. 8 14. 1 12. 8 14. 1 14. 8 14. 1 15. 8 16. 9 16. 3 16. 3 1	8. 3 11. 4 15. 6 13. 6 14. 9 17. 0 12. 2 20. 2 16. 2 14. 2 11. 7 12. 6 14. 0 10. 3 13. 5 10. 8 15. 0	66 24 17 77 35 66 12 6 10 20 3 4 10 16 4 13 7 7 4 16 5 6	4 4 3 3 3 3 70 37 6 6 14 13 36 6 6 3 14 2 4 9 9 16 5 5 12	105 263 43 16 100 122 611 74 79 91 102 67 67
Yonkers, N. Y	107, 520 132, 358	24 37	11.6 14.6	10. 4 7. 9	2 6	7	43 81

<sup>\*</sup> Enumerated population Jan. 1, 1920.

82748°--23----2

## PREVALENCE OF DISEASE.

No health department, State or local, can effectively prevent or control disease without knowledge of when, where, and under what conditions cases are occurring.

## UNITED STATES.

### CURRENT STATE SUMMARIES.

### Reports for Week Ended April 14, 1923.

These reports are preliminary, and the figures are subject to change when later returns are received by the State health officers.

ALABA		ases.	CALIFORNIA.	Cases.
Chicken pox	-	34	Cerebrospinal meningitis:	/asoss.
Dengue		5	Los Angeles	3
Diphtheria		18	Santa Rosa	1
Influenza.		547	Sonoma County	1
Malaria		47	Diphtheria	155
Measles		919	Influenza.	63
Pellagra		6	Lethargic encephalitis:	
Pneumonia		121	Alameda County	1
Scarlet fever		7	Long Beach	1.
Smallpox		7	Los Angeles	1
Tuberculosis		43	Mercod County	1
Typhoid fever		16	San Francisco	1
Whooping cough		49	Mcasles	726
			Poliomyelitis:	
ARIZO			Chico	1
Chicken pox		55	Scarlet fever	120
Diphtheria		3	Smallpox	28
Dysentery		2	Typhoid fever	7
Influenza		1		
Measles	•	25	COLORADO.	
Mumps		7	· (Exclusive of Denver.)	
Pneumonia		5	Chicken pox	18
Scarlet fever		18	Diphtheria	10
Smallpox		1	Influenza	5
Tuberculosis		7	Measles	15
Whooping cough	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	. 9	Mumps	11
ARKAN	RAS.		Pneumonia	17
			Scarlet fever	24
Cerebrospinal meningitis		1	Tuberculosis	9
Chicken pox		6	Typhoid fever	2
Diphtheria		4	Whooping cough	30
Hookworm disease		1	CONNECTICUT.	
Influenza		138	***************************************	_
Malaria		33	Cerebrospinal meningitis	2
Measles		245	Chicken pox	48
Mumps		3	Conjunctivitis	1
Pellagra		5	Diphtheria	43
Scarlet fever		1	German measles	1
Smallpox		3	Influenza	16
Tuberculosis		22	Lethargic encephalitis	1
Typhoid fever		1	Measles	246
Whooping cough	••••••••	1	Mumps	50
		104	0\	

CONNECTICUT—continued.		INDIANA—continued.	
0011112011001	Cases.		ases.
Pneumonia (lobar)	41	Diphtheria	37
Scarlet fever		Influenza	12
Smallpox		Measles	818
Tuberculosis (all forms)		Pneumonia	23
Whooping cough	76	Scarlet fever	82
FLORIDA.		Smallpox	32
	_	Typhoid fever	4
Cerebrospinal meningitis	2	IOWA.	
Dengue	1	Diphtheria	18
Diphtheria	2 8	Scarlet fever	94
Influenza	9	Smallpox	13
Pneumonia	4	Typhoid fever	1
Scarlet fever.	1	KANSAS;	
Smallpox.	4	]	
Typhoid fever	8	Cerebrospinal meningitis	2
	·	Chicken pox	72
GZORGIA.		Diphtheria	31
Chicken pox	16	German measles	1
Diphtheria	3	Influenza.	30
Dysentery (amebic)	1	Lethargic encephalitis	4
Dysentery (bacillary)	1 7	Measles	2 404
Influenza.	-	Mumps	101
Malaria	60 5	Pellagra	101
Measles	130	Pneumonia	30
Mumps	2	Scarlet fever.	53
Pneumonia	9	Smallpox	18
Pellagra	1	Tetanus	1
Scarlet fever	5	Tuberculosis	<b>£3</b>
Smallpox	7	Typhoid fever	. 8
Tuberculosis (pulmonary)	5	Whooping cough	176
Typhoid fever	1	LOUISIANA.	
Whooping cough	23		
Whooping cough	23	Ccrebrospinal meningitis	1
Whooping cough	23	Ccrebrospinal meningitis	15
Whooping cough	23	Ccrebrospinal meningitis	15 57
Whooping cough	23	Ccrebrospinal meningitis  Diphtheria  Influenza  Scarlet fever	15 57 13
ULLINOIS.  Cerebrospinal meningitis: Chicago		Ccrebrospinal meningitis  Diphtheria  Influenza  Scarlet fever  Smallpox	15 57 13 8
Whooping cough  ILLINOIS.  Cerebrospinal meningitis: Chicago Peoria County Diphtheria:	4 1	Ccrebrospinal meningitis  Diphtheria  Influenza  Scarlet fever	15 57 13
Whooping cough  ILLINOIS.  Cerebrospinal meningitis: Chicago	4 1 123	Ccrebrospinal meningitis  Diphtheria  Influenza  Scarlet fever  Smallpox	15 57 13 8
Whooping cough  ILLINOIS.  Cerebrospinal meningitis: Chicago	4 1 123 109	Ccrebrospinal meningitis.  Diphtheria.  Influenza.  Scarlet fever.  Smallpox.  Typhoid fever.  MAINE.	15 57 13 8 3
Whooping cough  ILLINOIS.  Cerebrospinal meningitis: Chicago Peoria County Diphtheria: Cook County (including Chicago) Chicago Scattering	4 1 123 109 84	Ccrebrospinal meningitis.  Diphtheria. Influenza. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever.  MAINE. Cerebrospinal meningitis.	15 57 13 8
Whooping cough  ILLINOIS.  Cerebrospinal meningitis: Chicago	4 1 123 109	Ccrebrospinal meningitis.  Diphtheria.  Influenza.  Scarlet fever.  Smallpox.  Typhoid fever.  MAINE.	15 57 13 8 3
Whooping cough  ILLINOIS.  Cerebrospinal meningitis:     Chicago Peoria County  Diphtheria:     Cook County (including Chicago) Chicago Scattering  Influenza.  Lethargic encephalitis:	4 1 123 109 84 183	Ccrebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria Influenza. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever.  MAINE. Ccrebrospinal meningitis Chicken pox. Diphtheria. German measles.	15 57 13 8 3
Whooping cough  ILLINOIS.  Cerebrospinal meningitis: Chicago Peoria County  Diphtheria: Cook County (including Chicago). Chicago Scattering.  Influenza. Lethargic encephalitis: Macon County	4 1 123 109 84 183	Ccrebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria Influenza. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever.  MAINE. Cerebrospinal meningitis Chicken pox Diphtheria German measles Influenza.	15 57 13 8 3
Whooping cough  ILLINOIS.  Cerebrospinal meningitis: Chicago. Peoria County Diphtheria: Cook County (including Chicago). Chicago. Scattering. Influenza. Lethargic encephalitis: Macon County Pneumonia.	4 1 123 109 84 183	Ccrebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria Influenza. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever  MAINE.  Cerebrospinal meningitis Chicken pox. Diphtheria. German measles Influenza. Measles.	15 57 13 8 3 1 9 2 30 30 66
Whooping cough  ILLINOIS.  Cerebrospinal meningitis: Chicago Peoria County Diphtheria: Cook County (including Chicago) Chicago Scattering Influenza Lethargic encephalitis: Macon County Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis:	123 109 84 183 1 654	Ccrebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria Influenza. Scarlet fever Smallpox. Typhoid fever  MAINE.  Cerebrospinal meningitis Chicken pox. Diphtheria. German measles Influenza. Measles. Mumps.	15 57 13 8 3 1 9 2 30 30 66 2
Whooping cough  ILLINOIS.  Cerebrospinal meningitis:     Chicago Peoria County  Diphtheria:     Cook County (including Chicago) Chicago Scattering  Influenza Lethargic encephalitis: Macon County Pneumonia Poliomyelitis: Chicago	4 1 123 109 84 183 1 654	Ccrebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria Influenza. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever.  MAINE.  Cerebrospinal meningitis Chicken pox. Diphtheria. German measles Influenza. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia.	15 57 13 8 3 1 9 2 30 30 66 2 13
Whooping cough  ILLINOIS.  Cerebrospinal meningitis:     Chicago Peoria County  Diphtheria:     Cook County (including Chicago).     Chicago Scattering.  Influenza.  Lethargic encephalitis:     Macon County Pneumonia.  Poliomyelitis:     Chicago Kane County.	4 1 123 109 84 183 1 654	Ccrebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria Influenza. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever  MAINE.  Ccrebrospinal meningitis Chicken pox Diphtheria German measles Influenza. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia. Scarlet fever.	15 57 13 8 3 1 9 2 30 30 66 2 13 27
Whooping cough  ILLINOIS.  Cerebrospinal meningitis:     Chicago Peoria County  Diphtheria:     Cook County (including Chicago) Chicago Scattering  Influenza Lethargic encephalitis: Macon County Pneumonia Poliomyelitis: Chicago	4 1 123 109 84 183 1 654	Ccrebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria Influenza. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever  MAINE.  Cerebrospinal meningitis Chicken pox Diphtheria German measles Influenza. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia. Scarlet fever. Septic sore throat.	15 57 13 8 3 1 9 2 30 30 66 2 13 27 2
Whooping cough  ILLINOIS.  Cerebrospinal meningitis: Chicago Peoria County Diphtheria: Cook County (including Chicago) Chicago Scattering Influenza. Lethargic encephalitis: Macon County Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis: Chicago Kane County Sangamon County Scarlet fever:	4 1 123 109 84 183 1 654	Ccrebrospinal meningitis. Diphtheria. Influenza. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever.  MAINE.  Cerebrospinal meningitis. Chicken pox. Diphtheria. German measles. Influenza. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia. Scarlet fever. Septic sore throat. Smallpox.	15 57 13 8 3 1 9 2 30 66 2 13 27 2
Whooping cough  ILLINOIS.  Cerebrospinal meningitis: Chicago. Peoria County Diphtheria: Cook County (including Chicago). Chicago. Scattering. Influenza. Lethargic encephalitis: Macon County Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis: Chicago. Kane County. Sangamon County.	4 1 123 109 84 183 1 654	Ccrebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria Influenza. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever.  MAINE.  Ccrebrospinal meningitis Chicken pox. Diphtheria. German measles Influenza. Measles. Measles. Memps. Pneumonia. Scarlet fever. Septic sore throat. Smallpox. Tuberculosis	15 57 13 8 3 1 9 2 30 30 66 2 13 27 2 20 10
Whooping cough  ILLINOIS.  Cerebrospinal meningitis: Chicago Peoria County Diphtheria: Cook County (including Chicago) Chicago Scattering Influenza. Lethargic encephalitis: Macon County Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis: Chicago Kane County Sangamon County Scarlet fever: Cook County (including Chicago).	4 1 123 109 84 183 1 654	Ccrebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria Influenza. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever.  MAINE.  Ccrebrospinal meningitis Chicken pox. Diphtheria. German measles Influenza. Measles. Measles. Memps. Pneumonia. Scarlet fever. Septic sore throat. Smallpox. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever.	15 57 13 8 3 1 9 2 30 30 66 2 13 27 2 20 10 3
Whooping cough  ILLINOIS.  Cerebrospinal meningitis: Chicago. Peoria County Diphtheria: Cook County (including Chicago). Chicago. Scattering. Influenza. Lethargic encephalitis: Macon County Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis: Chicago. Kane County. Sangamon County Scarlet fever: Cook County (including Chicago). Chicago. Will County. Scattering.	4 1 123 109 84 183 1 654 1 1 1 1 111 95	Ccrebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria Influenza. Scarlet fever Smallpox. Typhoid fever  MAINE.  Cerebrospinal meningitis Chicken pox. Diphtheria. German measles Influenza. Measles. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia. Scarlet fever Septic sore throat. Smallpox. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever Whooping cough.	15 57 13 8 3 1 9 2 30 30 66 2 13 27 2 20 10
Whooping cough  ILLINOIS.  Cerebrospinal meningitis: Chicago Peoria County Diphtheria: Cook County (including Chicago) Chicago Scattering Influenza Lethargic encephalitis: Macon County Pneumonia Poliomyelitis: Chicago Kane County Sangamon County Scarlet fever: Cook County (including Chicago) Chicago Will County Scattering Smallpox	1 123 109 84 183 1 654 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 95 9	Ccrebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria Influenza. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever.  MAINE.  Ccrebrospinal meningitis Chicken pox. Diphtheria. German measles Influenza. Measles. Measles. Mensles. Pneumonia. Scarlet fever. Septic sore throat. Smallpox. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever. Whooping cough	15 57 13 8 3 1 9 2 30 30 66 2 13 27 2 20 10 3 46
Whooping cough  ILLINOIS.  Cerebrospinal meningitis: Chicago Peoria County Diphtheria: Cook County (including Chicago) Chicago Scattering Influenza Lethargic encephalitis: Macon County Pneumonia Poliomyelitis: Chicago Kane County Sangamon County Scarlet fever: Cook County (including Chicago) Chicago Will County Scattering Smallpox Typhoid fever	4 1 123 109 84 183 1 654 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 9 9	Ccrebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria Influenza. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever  MAINE.  Ccrebrospinal meningitis Chicken pox Diphtheria. German measles Influenza. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia. Scarlet fever. Septic sore throat. Smallpox. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever Whooping cough  MARYLAND.1 Chicken pox.	15 57 13 8 3 1 9 2 30 30 66 2 13 27 2 2 20 3 46
Whooping cough  ILLINOIS.  Cerebrospinal meningitis: Chicago Peoria County Diphtheria: Cook County (including Chicago) Chicago Scattering Influenza Lethargic encephalitis: Macon County Pneumonia Poliomyelitis: Chicago Kane County Sangamon County Scarlet fever: Cook County (including Chicago) Chicago Will County Scattering Smallpox	4 1 123 109 84 183 1 654 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 9 9 9	Ccrebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria Influenza. Scarlet fever Smallpox Typhoid fever  MAINE.  Cerebrospinal meningitis Chicken pox Diphtheria German measles Influenza. Measles Mumps Pneumonia. Scarlet fever Septic sore throat. Semilpox Tuberculosis Typhoid fever Whooping cough  MARYLAND.¹ Chicken pox Diphtheria	15 57 13 8 3 3 1 9 2 2 30 30 66 2 2 13 27 2 20 10 3 46
Whooping cough  ILLINOIS.  Cerebrospinal meningitis:     Chicago     Peoria County Diphtheria:     Cook County (including Chicago)     Chicago     Scattering Influenza.  Lethargic encephalitis:     Macon County Pneumonia.  Poliomyelitis:     Chicago     Kane County Sangamon County Scarlet fever:     Cook County (including Chicago)     Will County Scattering Smallpox Typhoid fever Whooping cough	4 1 123 109 84 183 1 654 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 9 9 1 6 1	Ccrebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria Influenza. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever.  MAINE.  Cerebrospinal meningitis Chicken pox. Diphtheria. German measles Influenza. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia. Scarlet fever. Septic sore throat. Smallpox. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever. Whooping cough  MARYLAND.¹ Chicken pox. Diphtheria. German measles	15 57 13 8 3 8 3 1 9 2 2 30 30 30 66 2 13 27 2 20 10 3 46
Whooping cough  ILLINOIS.  Cerebrospinal meningitis:     Chicago     Peoria County Diphtheria:     Cook County (including Chicago)     Chicago     Scattering Influenza.  Lethargic encephalitis:     Macon County Pneumonia.  Poliomyelitis:     Chicago     Kane County Sangamon County Scarlet fever:     Cook County (including Chicago)     Will County Scattering Smallpox Typhoid fever Whooping cough	4 1 123 109 84 183 1 654 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9 9 9 1 6 1	Ccrebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria Influenza. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever.  MAINE.  Ccrebrospinal meningitis Chicken pox. Diphtheria. German measles Influenza. Measles. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia. Scarlet fever. Septic sore throat. Smallpox. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever. Whooping cough  MARYLAND.¹ Chicken pox. Diphtheria. German measles Influenza.	15 57 13 8 3 3 1 9 2 2 30 30 30 66 2 2 13 27 2 20 10 3 46
ILLINOIS.  Cerebrospinal meningitis:     Chicago	1 123 109 84 183 1 1 654 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 6 6 6 6 1 1 3 4 7 1 1 3 4 7	Ccrebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria Influenza. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever.  MAINE.  Ccrebrospinal meningitis Chicken pox. Diphtheria. German measles Influenza. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia. Scarlet fever. Septic sore throat. Smallpox. Tuberculosis. Typhoid fever Whooping cough  MARYLAND.¹ Chicken pox. Diphtheria. German measles Influenza. Lethargic encephalitis.	15 57 13 8 3 3 1 9 2 30 30 66 2 2 13 27 2 20 10 3 46
ILLINOIS.  Cerebrospinal meningitis:     Chicago	1 123 109 84 183 1 1 654 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 5 9 9 9 1 6 6 1 1 3 4 7 1	Ccrebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria Influenza. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever  MAINE.  Ccrebrospinal meningitis Chicken pox. Diphtheria. German measles. Influenza. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia. Scarlet fever. Septic sore throat. Smallpox. Tuberculosis. Typhoid fever Whooping cough  MARYLAND.  Chicken pox. Diphtheria. German measles. Influenza. Lethargie encephalitis. Malaria.	15 57 13 8 3 3 1 9 2 2 30 66 2 13 27 2 20 10 3 46 43 3 8 8 9 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1
ILLINOIS.  Cerebrospinal meningitis:     Chicago	123 109 84 183 1 654 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 347	Ccrebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria Influenza. Scarlet fever. Smallpox Typhoid fever  MAINE.  Cerebrospinal meningitis Chicken pox Diphtheria. German measles Influenza. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia. Scarlet fever. Septic sore throat. Smallpox. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever Whooping cough  MARYLAND.¹  Chicken pox Diphtheria. German measles Influenza. Lethargic encephalitis Malaria. Measles.	15 57 13 8 3 8 3 1 9 2 2 30 30 66 2 13 27 2 2 20 10 3 46 43 3 8 8 9 44 45 45 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46
ILLINOIS.  Cerebrospinal meningitis:     Chicago	1 123 109 84 183 1 1 654 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 5 9 9 9 1 6 6 1 1 3 4 7 1	Ccrebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria Influenza. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever  MAINE.  Ccrebrospinal meningitis Chicken pox. Diphtheria. German measles. Influenza. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia. Scarlet fever. Septic sore throat. Smallpox. Tuberculosis. Typhoid fever Whooping cough  MARYLAND.  Chicken pox. Diphtheria. German measles. Influenza. Lethargie encephalitis. Malaria.	15 57 13 8 3 3 1 9 2 2 30 66 2 13 27 2 20 10 3 46 43 3 8 8 9 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1

MARYLAND—continued.	_	missount—continued.	_
	Cases.		Cases.
Ophthalmia neonatorum	2	Scarlet fever	. 73
Pneumonia (all forms)		Smallpox	. 8
Poliomyelitis	. 2	Trachome	. 8
Scarlet fever	91	Tuberculosis	. 63
Septic sore throat		Typhoid fever	. 7
Tuberculosis		Whooping cough	65
Typhoid fever		1	
Whooping cough		MONTANA.	
wasping wagn		Diphtheria	
Massachusetts.		Scarlet fever	
Charles and a sharp and a state	2	Smallpox	17
Cerebrospinal meningitis		Tenhoid forme	
Chicken pox		Typhoid fever	. 1
Conjunctivitis (suppurative)	8	nebraska.	
Diphtheria	149	Chicken now	
German measles	18	Chicken pox	4
Influenza	15	Diphtheria	17
Lethargic encephalitis	4	Influenza	
Malaria	1	Measles	
Measles.	901	Mumps	1
Mumps	275	Pneumonia	2
Ophthalmia neonatorum.	26	Scarlet fever	30
	139	Smallpox	1
Pneumonia (lobar)		Whooping cough	6
Poliomyelitis	1		
Scarlet fever	343	NEW JERSEY.	
Trachoma	4	Conthucaninal maninalitie	
Tuberculosis (all forms)	157	Chicken and	3
Typhoid fever	9	Chicken pox	177
Whooping cough	373	Diphtheria	123
242444		Influenza	33
MICHIGAN.		Measles	947
Diphtheria	117	Pineumonia	164
Measles	477	Scarlet fever	171
Pneumonia	185	Typhoid fever	8
Scarlet fever.	310	Whooping cough	136
Smallpox	36		
Tuberculosis	77	NEW MEXICO.	
Typhoid fever	14	Chicken pox	20
Whooping cough	243	Diphtheria	18
whooping cough	2780	Influenza	2
MINNESOTA.		Measles	30
O 1 ! 1 ! !	_ 1	Mumps	6
Cerebrospinal meningitis	1	Pneumonia	8
Chicken pox	7	Scarlet fever	8
Diphtheria	36	Smallpox.	3
Influenza	2		
Measles	691	Tuberculosis	15
Pneumonia	21	Typhoid fever	1
Scarlet fever	162	Whooping cough	2
Smallpox	37	NEW YORK.	
Tuberculosis	47		
Typhoid fever	6	(Exclusive of New York City.)	
Whooping cough	13	Contraction meninglish	
whooping cough	13	Cerebrospinal meningitis	3
Michiestppi.	1	Diphtheria	84
Dfphtheria	2	Influenza	98
Influenza	147	Lethargic encephalitis	5
Scarlet fever	3	Measles	1, 339
Typhoid fever	5	Pneumonia	353
- J p	9	Scarlet fever	305
MISSOURI.	- 1	Smallpox	20
Anthrax	5	Typhoid fever	19
Chicken pox	58	Whooping cough	270
Diphtheria.	61	• • •	
Epidemic sore throat	15	NORTH CAROLINA.	
Influenza	326	Cerebrospinal meningitis	
Measles			1
Mumps		Chicken pox	97
	28	Diphtheria	23
Pneumonia	13	O	5

NORTH CAROLINA—continued.	Cases.	VERMONT—continued.	C
Measles		Scarlet fever	Cases.
Ophthalmia neonatorum		Smallpox	. 13
Scarlet fever.	. 22	Typhoid fever	1
Smallpox		Whooping cough.	. 35
Typhoid fever	. 5	1	
Whooping cough	479	WASHINGTON.	
		Cerebrospinal meningitis:	
OREGON.		Whitman County	. 1
Chicken pox	. 10	Chicken pox	66
Diphtheria	. 5	Diphtheria:	
Influenza		Seattle	. 8
Measles		Scattering	
Pneumonia		Measles	
Scarlet fever	. 13	Mumps	
Smallpox:		Pneumonia	
Portland	, 17	Scarlet fever	
Scattering		Smallpox:	
Tuberculosis	. 8	Spokane	8
Whooping cough	. 6	Scattering.	
SOUTH DAKOTA.		Tuberculosis	6
Chicken pox	12	Typhoid fever	6
Diphtheria		Whooping cough.	89
Measles			09
		WEST VIRGINIA.	
Mumps Pneumonia		Chicken pox	12
Scarlet fever.	_	Diphtheria:	
		Charleston	102
Smallpox		Scattering	8
Tuberculosis		Scarlet fever	13
Whooping cough	4	Typhoid fever	3
TEXAS.		WISCONSIN.	
	_	Milwaukee:	
Cerebrospinal meningitis		Chicken pox	7
Chicken pox		Diphtheria	15
Dengue	8	Measles.	42
Diphtheria	35	Ophthalmia neonatorum	1
Influenza	150	Pneumonia.	10
Measles	75	Scarlet fever	261
Mumps	8	Tuberculosis.	31
Pellagra	1	Whooping cough	31
Pneumonia	22	Scattering:	91
Ecarlet fever	10	. •	54
Smallpox	14	Chicken pox	34
Trachoma	1	DiphtheriaGerman measles	-
Tuberculosis	148		1
Typhoid fever	5	Influenza	182
Whooping cough	94	Lethargic encephalitis	2
	l	Measles	980
VERMONT.	_	Pneumonia	20
Chicken pox	8	Scarlet fever	217
Diphtheria	1	Smallpox	22
Measles	36	Tuberculosis	37
Mumps	16	Typhoid fever	1
Pneumonia	2 ا	Whooping cough	62
Th 4	1 27		
Reports for w	еек Е	nded April 7, 1923.	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.	. 1	NORTH DAKOTA.	
	ases.	_	ases.
Chicken pox		Diphtheria	12
Diphtheria		Measles	12
Influenza		Pneumonia	9
Measles		Scarlet fever	12
Scarlet fever	. 21	Smallpox	1
Tuberculosis	. 24	Tuberculosis	2
Tuberculosis	. 24		2 15

<sup>2</sup> Deaths.

## SUMMARY OF CASES REPORTED MONTHLY BY STATES.

The following summary of monthly State reports is published weekly and covers only those States from which reports are received during the current week.

State.	Cerebrospinal meningitis.	Diphtheria.	Influenza.	Malaria.	Measles.	Pellagra.	Poliomyeitis.	Scarlet fever.	Smallpox.	Typhoid fever.
February, 1923 Ohio Oklahoma March, 1923.	4	773 45	2, 946 1, 877	2 262	5, 275 123		2 1	1,715 41	203 175	33 6
Connecticut Louisiana Massachusetts	9 5 11	259 103 790	765 1,710 486	27 1	1,328 50 3,612	3	1 2 5	372 29 1, 569	129 	9 35 42

Cases of Certain Communicable Diseases Reported for the Month of January, 1923, by State Health Officers.

			3	Number	of cases	reported.			
State.	Chicken pox.	Diphtheria.	Measles.	Mumps.	Scarlet fever.	Smallpox.	Tuberculosis.	Typhoid fever.	Whooping cough.
Alabama Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia <sup>1</sup>	95 231 778 315 202 47	108 13 68 924 347 191 21	120 737 30 1,575 405	9 83 100 270 3	71 17 15 756 269 362 53	18 14 32 97 47 10	140 108 50 811 27 107	38 39 57 12 5	56 517 166 303 18
Florida. Georgia 3. Idaho Illinois. Indiana.	46 65 1,856	48 13 1,697 571	13 1 2,154 800	733	30 1,556 417	55 28 367 251	30 3 1,775 90	38 1 65 10	13 6 1,103
Iowa <sup>1</sup>	476	461	146	177	591	30	149	15	134
Louisiana Maine Maryland Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri 1	19 106 715 990 1,149 793 369	148 46 397 890 912 466 99	221 764 3,624 619 680 2,303	234 839 124	134 396 1,216 1,614 1,239 33	86 6 443 398 41	197 42 213 554 397 313 226	72 6 24 38 57 15 47	71 347 580 1,532 762 80 702
Montana Nebraska Nevada  New Hampshire	114 126	62 <b>131</b>	38 38	56	100 277	47 20	81 25	8 7	12 36
New Jersey New Mexico  New York North Carolina North Dakota	994 2,714 425 44	886 1,673 261	5,674 3,487 1,678 41	1,615	918 2,482 207 209	64 351	394 1,598	21 142 30	598 1,879 909
Ohio. Oklahoma. Oregon. Pennsylvania.	1,633 52 147	57 1,293 28 104 1,661	5,651 21 24 20,620	164 3 13	2,116 50 69 1,813	48 226 97 83 4	493 15 79 616	5 69 5 5 153	33 856 25
Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee 2 Texas 2	47 29 105	75 258 78	819 81 62	9	53 26 225	31 56	46 32 19	6 9 8	25 14
Utah * Vermont Virginia. Washington. West Virginia.	293 897 414 223	23 454 116 231	126 1,289 18 544	45 80 13	83 433 250 184	14 22 188 34	21 231 74 37	6 30 36 25	286 167 64
Wisconsin	936	499	5,715		1,348	226	215	23	660

<sup>1</sup> Reports not received at time of going to press.

Reports received weekly.

<sup>\*</sup> Reports received annually.

## Reported Cases per 1,000 Population (Annual Basis) for the Month of January, 1923.

	Case rates per 1,000 population.									
State.	Chicken pox.	Diphtheria.	Measles.	Mumps.	Scarlet fever.	Smallpox.	Tubercu- losis.	Typhoid fever.	Whooping cough.	
Alabama. Arizona. Arkansas. California. Colorado. Connecticut. Delaware. District of Columbia 1.	0. 46 1. 50 2. 41 3. 75 1. 61 2. 40	0. 52 . 40 . 44 2. 86 4. 13 1. 52 1. 07	0. 32 . 78 2. 28 . 36 12. 56 20. 69	0. 05 .06 .26 1. 19 2. 15 .15	0. 34 . 53 . 10 2. 34 3. 20 2. 89 2. 71	0.09 .43 .21 .30 .56 .08	0. 68 3. 34 . 32 2. 51 . 32 . 85 . 97	0. 18 . 09 . 25 . 18 . 14 . 04 . 15	0. 59 . 36 1. 60 1. 97 2. 42 . 92	
Florida	. 52 1. 63 3. 22	.54 .33 2.94 2.23	. 15 . 03 3. 73 3. 13	. 05 1. 27	. 15 . 75 2. 70 1. 63	. 62 . 70 . 64 . 99	. 34 . 08 3. 08 . 35	.43 .03 .11 .04	. 15 . 15 1. 91	
Iowa 1 Kansas Kentucky 2 Louisiana Maine Maryland	3. 12 . 12 1. 61 5. 59	3. 02 . 94 . 70 3. 11	.96 .11 3.35 5.98	1. 16 . 01 1. 83	3. 87 . 14 2. 03 3. 10	. 20 . 55 . 09	. 98 1. 25 . 64 1. 67	. 10 . 46 . 09 . 19	. 88 . 45 5. 26 4. 54	
Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri	2.89 3.40 3.74 2.43	2.60 2.70 2.20 .65	10. 59 1. 83 3. 20 15. 16	2. 45 . 37	3. 55 4. 78 5. 81 . 22	1.31 1.87 .27	1. 62 1. 18 1. 47 1. 49	.11 .17 .07 .31	4. 48 2. 6 . 38 4. 62	
Montana Nebraska Nevada <sup>1</sup> New Hampshire <sup>1</sup> New Jersey New Mexico <sup>1</sup>	2. 20 1. 11 3. 46	1. 19 1. 16	. 73 . 34	. 49	1. 93 2. 45 3. 20	. 91	1. 56 . 22	.06	. 23 . 32 	
New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma	2. 95 1. 86 . 77 3. 14 . 28 2. 10	1.82 1.14 1.00 2.49 .15 1.49	3. 79 7. 35 . 72 10. 88 . 11 . 34	1.75 .32 .02 .19	2.69 .91 3.66 4.07 .27 .99	. 67 1. 54 . 84 . 44 . 53 1. 19	1. 74 . 16 . 95 . 08 1. 13	.15 .13 .09 .13 .03	2.04 3.98 .58 1.65	
Oregon	. 88 . 20 1. 89	2. 15 1. 41 1. 74 1. 40	26. 68 15. 39 . 55 1. 11	. 26	2.35 1.00 .18 4.04	.01 .21 1.01	.80 .86 .22 .34	.20 .11 .06 .14	.47	
Texas 2. Utah 3. Vermont. Virginia. Washington. West Virginia. Wisconsin.	9. 82 4. 40 3. 40 1. 69 4. 02	. 77 2. 23 . 95 1. 75 2. 14	4. 22 6. 33 . 15 4. 13 24. 56	1. 51 . 66 . 10	2. 78 2. 13 2. 05 1. 40 5. 79	. 47 . 11 1. 54 . 26 . 97	.70 1.13 .61 .28	.20 .15 .30 .19	9. 59 1. 37 . 49 2. 84	

Reports not received at time of going to press.
 Reports received weekly.

CMATIDO

## SMALLPOX.

## Outbreak at Standish, Me.

An outbreak of mild smallpox was reported April 12, 1923, at Standish, Me. Measures for the control of the disease are being taken.

## CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED MARCH 31, 1923.

#### ANTHRAX.

	City.		Cases.	Deaths.
Pennsylvania: Philadelphia			2	

<sup>3</sup> Reports received annually.

#### CEREBROSPINAL MENINGITIS.

The column headed "Median for previous years" gives the median number of cases reported during the corresponding week of the years 1915 to 1922, inclusive. In instances in which data for the full eight years are incomplete, the median is that for the number of years for which information is available.

City.	Median for pre-	or pre- vious City.		City.	Median for pre- vious	Week ended Mar. 31, 1923.	
	years.				years.	Cases.	Deaths.
California: Los Angeles. Sacramento San Bernardino. District of Columbia: Washingten Illinois: Kewanee Indiana: Elwood Louistana: New Orleans. Maine: Bath Maryland: Balthmore. Massachusetts: Boston. Framingham New Jersey: Jersey City. Morristown New York: Amsterdam New York: Rochester	0 0 0 0 0 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 5 5	Ohio: Akron. Ashtabula. Cleveland. Sandusky. Pennsylvania: Harrisburg. Reading. Rhode Island: Providence. Chattanooga Texas: Fort Worth. San Antonio Utah: Salt Lake City. West Virginia: Huntington. Wiscensin: Milwaukee	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	1 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 2

### DIPHTHERIA.

See p. 855; also Current State summaries, p. 842, and Monthly summaries by States, p. 846.

INFLUENZA.

	Са	ses.	Deaths,		Cas	ses.	Deaths,
City. W		Week ended Mar. 31, 1923.		City.	Week ended Apr. 1, 1922.	Week ended Mar. 31, 1923.	week ended Mar. 31, 1923.
Alabams:				Connecticut:			
Anniston		3		Bridgeport	4	1	
Birmingham	1	23 14	2	Greenwich		1	•••••
Mobile		14	2	Meriden	4	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • •
Montgomery			-	New Britain			
Tuscaloosa		1		New Haven		1	
Arkansas:				Waterbury	2	<del>.</del> .	
Fort Smith		2		District of Columbia:	_		
Little Rock	12	9		Washington	6	6	6
California:				Florida:	_		
Alameda	1	ľ		Tampa	9		
Bakerstield			1	Georgia:			ì
Berkeley		2	[	Atlanta	43	9	
Long Beach		6		Augusta	20		
Los Angèles	119	29	6	Rome	106	1	····· <u>-</u>
Oakland Pasadena		1 5	1 2	SavannahIllinois:			1
Riverside		9	2	Chicago	63	77	11
Sacramento		1	2	Decatur	3	"	11
San Diego		3	3.	East St. Louis		1	
San Francisco.			"	Oak Park	2	i	••••••
Santa Ana		7		Quincy	4	î	<b>-</b>
Senta Barbara			1	Springfield		3	2
Stockton		6	$\bar{2}$	Indiana:			· ·
Colorado:	_			Fort Wayne			1
Denver			2	Gary			1
Pueble			1 1	Indianapolis			[ 4

INFLUENZA—Continued.

	Cases.		Deaths,		Ca	Deaths,	
City.	Week ended Apr. 1, 1922.	Week ended Mar. 31, 1923.	week ended Mar. 31. 1923.	City.	Week ended Apr. 1, 1922.	Week ended Mar. 31, 1923.	week ended Mar. 31, 1923.
ndiana—Continued.				New York-Continued.		1	
Kokomo			1	Amsterdam		6	
Terre Haute			1	Auburn	2		
Cansas:	ļ	•	1	Binghamton	39		
Kansas City Lawrence		2		Buffalo Cohoes	19 2		
Pittsburg	2			Dunkirk	-	27	
Salina	63		:	Elmira	2		
Centucky:				Jamestown	3		
Covington		·····i	1	Lockport	1		
Louisvilleouisiana:	4	1 1	1 1	Mount Vernon New York	99	169	• • • • • •
Baton Rouge	4			Newburgh	99	109	
Now Orbanes	23	3	2	North Tonawanda	2		
faryland: Baltimore				Poughkeensie	3		
Baltimore	47	31	8	Rochester		1	
Cumberland	3	8	1	Rome. Saratoga Springs	6		
Attleboro		2		Schenectady	38 3	3	
Relmont	1	l		Syracuse	3		
Beverly	1			Watertown		3	
DOSIOI	26	5	4	i North Carolina:			
Braintree	3			Wilmington	17		
Cambridge Everett	1 2	8		Ohio: Akron	5	2	
Fall River	-	i		Ashtabula.	9	1	• • • • • •
Lawrence	1	l		Cambridge	i		
Lynn		2	i	Cincinnati	ī	4	
Malden	4			Cieveland.	11	4	
Newburyport North Adams	1		• • • • • • • •	Columbus	12		
Pittsfield	2			East Cleveland	1		
Saugus	4			Ironton	i		
Somerville		1		Mansfield			
Springfield	3	2	2	Tiffin		1	
West Springfield Winthrop	······2	• • • • • • •	1	Toledo			
Worcester			2	Youngstown Oregon:	1	1	
lichigan:			_	Portland	2		
Battle Creek		1		Pennsylvania: Philadelphia			
Detroft	17	5	3	Philadelphia	14	20	
Flint. Highland Park	1	1		Rhode Island: Cumberland.		,	
Kalamazoo		6	3	Providence	2	1	
Kalamazoo Marquette	6			South Carolina:	-		
Pontiac	<u>.</u> .	1	i	Charleston			
Port Huron	2			Greenville	37		
innesota: Minneapolis			1	Tennessee:			
Rochester	1			Memphis Nashville	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
St. Paul			2	Texas:			
issouri:			_	Austin		12	
Kansas City St. Joseph	9	8 1	. 5	Dallas. El Paso.		1	
St. Louis.	4		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Fort Worth	2	3	7.
Springfield			••••••	Houston	20	٦	
ontana:				San Antonio			
Missoula	1			Utah:			
evada: Reno	14	35		Provo.	10		
ew Jersey:	14	90		Salt Lake City Virginia:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
Clifton	1			Charlottesville			
Englewood	1			Petersburg	8		
Garneid	1	••••••		Richmond			
Harrison .		6	•••••	Roanoke			
Jersey City	3 7	3	•••••	West Virginia: Charleston	3		
Kearny. Long Branch	•	3 1		Fairmont	3		• • • • • •
Montclair	i			Wisconsin:			•••••
Newark	31	26	3	Fond du Lac	2		· · · · · ·
Orange Passale		1		La Crosse	1		
Trenten.	8	····i	2	Manitowoc Sheboygan	7	• • • • • • •	• • • • • •
West Orangeew York:	i			Wyoming:			
				Casper	28		
Albany	- 36	4		•			

#### LEPROSY.

	Cases.	Deaths.								
California: Los Angeles										
LETHARGIC ENCEPHALITIS.										
City.	Cases.	Deaths.	City.	Cases.	Deaths.					
West Virginia: Huntington		1	Wisconsin: Eau Claire	1 1						
p	<u>'</u>	MAL	ARIA.	<u></u>						
Alabama: Anniston Dothan Mobile Tuscaloosa	1 4 1 1		Illinois: Chicago New York: New York							
		MEA:	SLES.							
See p. 855, also Curr States, p. 846.	ent Stat	e summa	ries, p. 842, and Monthl	y summ	aries by					
City.	City. Cases. Deaths. City.		City.	Cases.	Deaths.					
Alabama: Birmingham	1	1 1 1	Louisiana: New Orleans Maryland: Baltimore Texas: Fort Worth Virginia: Petersburg	1						
	PNEU	JMONIA (	(ALL FORMS).	:	1					
Alabama: Anniston Birmingham Dothan Mobile Tuscaloosa. Arkansas: Little Rock California: Bakersfield Berkeley Long Beach Los Angeles Oakland Pasadena. Riverside Sacramento San Bernardino. San Diego San Jose Santa Barbara. Stockton Denver Pueblo		15 	Connecticut—Continued.  Milford. New Haven District of Columbia: Washington Florida: Tampa Georgia: Atlanta. Savannah Illinois: Alton Aurora Bloomington Champaign Chicago Cicero East St. Louis Elgin Evanston Galesburg Jacksonville Kewanee Mattoon Peoria. Ouiney	1 307 2 1 1 1 3	1 2 1					
onnecticut: Bridgeport Bristol Fairfield Hartford	3	· 1 1 1	Quincy	7 13	1 3 2 1					

## PNEUMONIA (ALL FORMS)—Continued.

City.	Cases.	Deaths.	City.	Cases.	Deaths.
Indians—Continued.			Michigan—Continued.		
Fort Wayne		4	Benton Harbor		4
Gary		4	Detroit	84	35 5 2 4 4 2 3
Hammond		3 14	Flint	<u>-</u> -	5
Indianapolis		2	Grand Rapids. Hamtramck.	5 5	. 2
Indianapolis Kokomo La Fayotte Logansport Mishawaka Muncie New Castle	i		Highland Park	8	4
Logansport	l	1	Jackson		2
Mishawaka		1	Kalamazoo		3
Muncie		1	Marquette Muskegon Pontiae	1	
		1	Muskegon	1	
South Bend Terre Haute		3	Port Huran	2 2	1
Iowa:		"	Port Huron. Sault Sts. Marie	_	1 2
Burlington	5	2	Minnesota:		
Marshalltown		Ī	Duluth		6
Muscatine	<b>-</b>	1 1	Minnea polis		17
Kansas:	_		Rochester St. Paul		1
Atchison	1 2	<b></b>	Missouri:		11
Kansas City	7		Cono Girondoou		1
Kansas City Parsons	l i		Independence	1	
TopekaWichita	,	2	Kansas City	15	10
Wichita		2 2	St. Joseph		3
Kentucky:			Independence. Kansas City. St. Joseph. Springfield.		6
Covington		3			
Henderson	2 18	14	BillingsGreat Falls	1	······································
Louisiana:	10	13	Helena		î
New Orleans	19	13	Missoula	3	$ar{2}$
Maine:			Nebraska:	_	
Biddeford		3	Lincoln	2	.1
Lewiston Portland		2 6	Omaha New Hampshire:		14
Sanford	4	3	Concord		3
Maryland:	7		Keene		2
Baltimore	74	40	ii New Jersey:		_
BaltimoreCumberland	4	1	Atlantic City		4
Frederick	1	<b></b>	Belleville	3	• • • • • • • • • •
Massachusetts:		_	Bloomfield	2 1	•
Adams Arlington Belmont Beverly Boston Broakline		1	II Fact Omango	9 1	••••••
Relmont	• • • • • • • • •	1	Elizabeth . Englewood		7
Beverly	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2	Englewood		2
Boston.		36	Garfield	3	
Braintree		1	II Harrison I	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Brookline	3	1	Hoboken Jersey City Kearny		4
Cholson	7	4	Kearny	3	····i
Cambridge Chelsea Chicopee	1	······································	Morristown		2
			Newark	59	15
Fall River		6	Orange	4	1
Fall River Fitchburg Framingham		1	Passaic	1	·····
Framingham		2	Paterson	- 1	····i
Greenfield		ī	Perth AmboyPlainfield		2
HaverhillHolyokeLawrence	2		Trenton	7	2 6
Lawrence.		2 2 7	West New York		1
Lowell		7	New York:		
Lynn Malden		4	Albany	19	• • • • • • • • •
Malden		4 3 2	AmsterdamAuburn	1 4	• • • • • • • • • •
		1	Buffalo	45	17
New Bedford		10	Dunkirk		3
New Bedford Newburyport	2		Elmira	11	3
Newton		1	Geneva	3	1
Northridge	2	1	Hornell		• • • • • • • • •
Pittsfield	2	1	Hudson	3	••••••
Plymouth	4	1	Ithaca. Jamestown	3	
QuincySomerville	4		Lackawanna	2	i
Springfield.	12	ì	Lockport Mount Vernon New York	2	. 1
SpringfieldWakefield	<b>:-</b>	1	Mount Vernon	3	2
Watertown		2	New York	355	203
Wo'burn		1	Newburgh	1	<i>:</i>
Worcester		6	Niagara Falls	8	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Michigan: Alpena	1	ļ	North TonawandaOlean	••••••	1
Ann Arbor		·····j	Peekskill.		1 2 1
Battle Creek	2	<u>.</u> 1	Port Chester	4	ī
				- ,	_

#### PNEUMONIA (ALL FORMS)-Continued.

City.	Cases.	Deaths.	City.	Cases.	Deaths.
New York—Continued.			Rhode Island—Continued.		
Rochester	13	4	Pawtucket	ļ	1
Rome		2	Providence		12
Cometone Consinue		2	Woonsocket		1 12
Saratoga Springs		5	Canal Canal		
Schenectady	17	2	South Carolina:	i	
Schenectady Syracuse	9	7 3	Columbia		. 6
		] 3	Greenville	l	1
Watertown	l	1	Tennessee:		1
White Plains		1 1	Memphis	1	17
Yonkers		3	Nashville		13
North Carolina:		, .	Texas:		1 10
Moren Caronna:	l	i .		f	
Durham		1	Beaumont		2
Greensboro		3	Corpus Christi		1
Raleigh		1	Dallas		7 5 5 7 6
Wilmington		1	El Paso		5
Ohio:			Fort Worth		l š
	8	l '	Houston		۱ ۶
Akron		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Bellaire	1		San Antonio		
Bucyrus		1	Waco		2
Cambridge Cincinnati	2	l	Utah:		
Cincinnati		19	Salt Lake City		7
Cleveland	66	27	Vermont:		
Cleveland Heights	Ϋ́		Burlington		1
Cleveland Heights		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Columbus		9	Virginia:		
Dayton	2		Alexandria		2
East Cleveland East Youngstown	3		Norfolk		6
East Youngstown		1	Petersburg		1
Windley		ī	Portsmouth		1
Kenmore	·····i	- 1	Richmond		Ā
Lima	-	i	Roanoke		-
			West Virginia:	*	
Lorain	2	1			
Mansfield	3	1	Charleston		1
Middletown		1	Clarksburg		2
Piqua		1	Parkersburg	1	1
Salem		3	Wheeling		1
Sandusky		ž	Wisconsin.		_
Common of a let		2	Ashland	1	9
Springfield	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-	Deleit		
Tiffin	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Beloit	- 1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Toledo		7	Eau Claire		
Youngstown		5	Fond du Lac		4
Zanesville		3	Janesville		2
)klahoma:		- 1	Kenosha		1
Oklahoma	1	4	Madison	2	i 1
			Janesville Kenosha Madison Milwaukee	7	-
regon:	1		Oshkosh.	11	
Portland		17			
ennsylvania:	ł		Racine		2
Philadelphia		95	Sheboygan		1
Rhode Island:			Wycming:	.1	
Cumberland	ľ	1	Cheyenne		1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- 1	,		_

#### POLIOMYELITIS (INFANTILE PARALYSIS).

The column headed "Median for previous years" gives the median number of cases reported during the corresponding week of the years 1915 to 1922, inclusive. In instances in which data for the full eight years are incomplete, the median is that for the number of years for which information is available.

City.	Median for pre- vious	Weel Mar.	c ended 31, 1923.	
	years.	Cases.	Deaths.	
Maine: Portland Michigan: Kalamazoo.	0	1		

## RABIES IN ANIMALS.

City.	Cases.	City.	Cases.
California: Los Angeles. Pasadena Kentucky: Louisville. Massachusetts: Braintree Methuen.	18 1 1	Missouri: Kansas City. Tennessee: Memphis. Texas: Dallas. Virginia: Alexandria.	1 2 2 1

#### SCARLET FEVER.

See p. 855; also Current State summaries, p. 842, and Monthly summaries by States, p. 846.

#### SMALLPOX.

The column headed "Median for previous years" gives the median number of cases reported during the corresponding week of the years 1915 to 1922, inclusive. In instances in which data for the full eight years are incomplete, the median is that for the number of years for which information is available.

Cify.	Median for pre-			Median for pre-	Week ended Mar. 31, 1923.		
\$	V1005		Deaths.		vious years.	Cases.	Deaths
California:				North Carolina—Contd.			
Los Angeles	2	1		Greensboro	0	9	
Colorado: Denver	18	3	2	Wilmington Winston-Salem	0 1	1 29	
Florida:	10	. 3	2	Ohio:	1	29	
St. Petersburg	1 1	4	i l	Columbus	0	6	İ
Georgia:		*	•••••	Dayton.	. 2	3	
Atlanta	4	3		Middletown	õ	2	
Illinois:	-	•		Toledo	5	9	
Chicago	4	2	l	Oklahoma:			
Springfield	3	1		Oklahoma	6	1	
Indiana:				Tulsa	1	5	
Anderson	0	2		Oregon:			l
Elwood	0	1		Portland	4	10	
Fort Wayne	0	4		Pennsylvania: Pittsburgh			ł
GaryIndianapolis	1 7	18 2		Steelton	0	1	
Newcastle	6	2	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	South Carolina:	ויי	1	
Iowa:	١ ٧	4		Greenville	0.1	2	1
Burlington	1	2	1	Tennessee:	١		
Davenport	3	3		Chattanooga	3	9	
Des Moines	3	7		Knoxville	2	. 22	
Kansas:		•		Memphis	3	2	
Atchison	2	2		Texas:			
Louisiana:				Beaumont	0	1	
New Orleans	7	6		Dallas	9	1	
Michigan:		_		Fort Worth	2	1	
Battle Creek	0	. 2		Waco.	1	2	<b>-</b>
DetroitIronwood	3	1		Washington: Everett	0	1	
Minnesota:	ויי	1		Seattle	3	15	
Duluth	1	6	1	Vancouver	ត់	4	
Faribault	ô	ĭ		Yakima	4	ĭ	
Minneapolis.	13	· ŝ		Wisconsin:	- 1	•	
St. Paul.	8	6		Beloit	0	1	
Missouri:				Ean Claire	0	ī	
St. Louis	6	2		Green Bay	0	1	
Montana:			1	Kenosha	0	1	
Great Falls	1 1	3		Madison	1	2	
Missoula	0	1		Oshkosh	3	3	
North Carolina	2		1	Racine	0	5	
Durham	2	6		Superior	1	7	

#### TETANUS.

City.	City. Cases.		City.	Cases.	Deaths.	
Alabama: Mobile California: Long Beach		1	New York: New York. Syracuse.	1	i	

#### TUBERCULOSIS.

See p. 855; also Current State summaries, p. 842.

#### TYPHOID FEVER.

The column headed "Median for previous years" gives the median number of cases reported during the corresponding week of the years 1915 to 1922, inclusive. In instances in which data for the full eight years are incomplete, the median is that for the number of years for which information is available.

City.	Median for pre-					Week ended Mar. 31, 1923.	
-					vious years.	Cases.	Deaths.
California:    Eureka.    Oakland.    Stockton. Connecticut:    New Haven. Georgia:    Atlanta.    Brunswick.    Savannah. Illinois:    Chicago.    Quincy    Springfield. Indiana:    Hammond. Kentucky:    Covington.    Louisville. Louisiana:    New Orleans. Maine:    Auburn. Maryland:    Baltimore. Massachusetts:    Beverly.    Boston.    Fall River.    Lawrence.    Lowell.    Newburyport. Minnesota:    St. Paul. Montana:    Helena.	0 0 0 3 0	1 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 5 1 4 4 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 5 1 4 4 1 2 2 1 1 5 1 4 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 2 2 1	1 1 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	New Jersey: East Orange. Jersey City. Trenton. New York: Olean. Troy Watertown. North Carolina: Greensboro. Ohio: Akron. Alliance. Cleveland. Elyria. Oregon: Portland. Pennsylvania: Coatesville. New Kensington. Norristown. Philadelphia. Shamckin. Wilkes Barre. South Carolina: Charleston. Virginia: Alexandria. Portsmouth West Virginia: Charleston. Wheeling. Wheeling. Wisconsin: Milwaukee. Stevens Point.	000 7000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1

	Popula-	Total deaths	Diph	theria	Me	asles.		arlet ver.		ber- osis.
City.	tion Jan. 1, 1920.	from all causes.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Alabama:										
AnnistonBirmingham	17,734 178,906	65	3	· · · · · ·	80		2		16	10
Dothan	10,034	4							1	1 2
Mobile	10,034 60,777	18	1 1		12	ļ				ī
Tuscaloosa	11,996		1 .	·····	12					
Little Rock	65, 142		1		52		ļ <u>.</u> .		4	
North Little Rock California:	14,048		·····	•••••	7		1		1	
Alameda	28, 906 18, 638	3	1		2	<b> </b>			2	
BakersfieldBerkeley	18,638 56,036	13 24	·····	•••••	21		6		1	i
Eureka	12,923	7			i		5			Ī
Glendale	13,536 55,593	6 25	6		36		4			2
Long Beach. Los Angeles	570.073	25 242	60	3	151	1	40	1	55	33
OaklandPasadena	216, 261 45, 354	50 20	9		104	1	12 7		2 2	1 2 1 33 4 1
Richmond	16.843	2			ļ <u>.</u>		1			
Sacramento	19, 341 65, 908	16 30	1 3	····i			2 3		4 2	2 3 2 3
San Bernardino San Diego San Jose	18,721	10	2	<u>-</u>	7		4			2
San Diego	74, <del>08</del> 3 39, 642	36 8	2	·····	137		8	1	3	3
Santa Ana	15, 485	3			16				ļ <b>.</b> .	
Santa BarbaraStockton	19, 441 40, 296	11 21	···· <sub>2</sub> ·	·····	52	<sub>i</sub> -	•••••		····i·	•••••
Colorado:	,	1	_	·····	1	٠.			•	
Denver	256, 491	89 3	20	1	68		14			13 1
Pueblo	10, 958 43, 050 10, 906	12	···i	i					3	i
TrinidadConnecticut:	10,906						1			
Bridgeport	143, 555	32	7		14	1	2		7	2
Bristol	20.620	3	1 2	• • • • • •	3	· • • • • •		•••••	4	
Fairfield (town)	11, 475 22, 123 138, 036						3	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	i	
Westford	138,036	57 8	14	1		· · · · · ·	6		3	2
Manchester (town) Milford (town)	18,370 10,193	4	i		4		····i			
New Haven New London	162, 537 25, 688	41 5	3		27 17		5	· · · · · •	5 1	1
District of Columbia:	-			•••••				•••••		•••••
Washington Florida:	437, 571	161	13	1	417	6	31	1	33	16
Key West	18,749	3	,							
St. Petersburg Tampa	14, 237 51, 608	11 15	•••••	•••••	i	•••••		•••••	1	•••••
Georgia: Albany			•••••	•••••		•••••		•••••	•••••	-
Albany	11,555 200,616	2 59	4	2	44	••••••	8	•••••	····i	
AtlantaBrunswick	14, 413								i	<del>-</del>
MaconRome	52,995   13 252	•••••	1	••••••	300			•••••	•••••	• • • • • •
Savannah	14, 413 52, 995 13, 252 83, 252	22	i							
ValdostaIdaho:	10, 783	0	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••		• • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • •
Boise	21,393	3					1	1		•••••
Tilinois:	24,682	9	1		19		1			1
Aurora	36,397 28,725	12	3	i	13		1		3	2
Bloomington	12 491 1	12	2	•••••	8		1		1	2
Champaign	15,873 2,701,705 44,995	<i>.</i> l			8				7	
Cinoro	2,701,705 44.995	751 7	126	10	793 12	7	68	5	261 1	59
East St. Louis	66.767 1	20	2 2		22	i				5
Elgin Evanston	27, 454 37, 234 10, 768	11	····i		5 53		1 4		2 2	•••••
Forest Park	10,768				1					• • • • • •
Galesburg	23,834 15,713	5 18	1		12				1	<u>ż</u>
Kewanee	16,026	9			1		1		1	. <i>.</i>

	Popula-	Total deaths	Diphtheria.		. Ме	Measles.		Scarlet fever.		ıber- losis.
City.	tion Jan. 1, 1920.	from all causes.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Illinois—Continued.										
Illinois—Continued.  Mattoon	13,552	2 9		.	. 7		.		.	
Oak Park Peoria	39,858	20	2		. 56		. 5		. 1	1
Oniney	76, 121 35, 978 59, 183	111								
SpringfieldIndiana:	. 59, 183	26			. 50	1				
Anderson	29,767	4								
Crawfordsville	29,767 10,139	3					. 1	ļ		
East Chicago Elwood	35, 967 10, 790	8 7	1		. 1		. 1		•	
Fort Wayne	86,549	25	4	1	: ::::::		. 5	1		
Fort WayneFrankfort	86,549 11,585	1	1				.		. 1	
Gary	55,378 36,004	17 24	1 1		34	i	7		-	i
Hammond Huntington	14,000	7	1	1	1	1				
Indianapolis	314, 194	116	3	i	88		1		. 18	10
Kokomo	30,067 22,486	5 13			····i		. 1		2	i
La Fayette Logansport	21,626	13			14				·  2	_
Michigan City	19, 457	3			.					i
Mishawaka Muncie	15, 195 36, 524	5 15	2		1		. 9		-	1
Newcastle	30, 324 14, 458		2		2 2		i	-	-	
South Bend	70,983	7		i	6	[	6		i	i
Terre Haute	66, 083	34	1		85	1	3			2
Iowa: Burlington	24,057	10	3	ŀ	Ī	l	1	1	. 2	1
Cunton	24, 151		ĭ						:  <b>.</b> -	
Davenport	24, 151 56, 727				1		3		.	
Des Moines Dubuque	126, 468 39, 141	• • • • • • • •	2 2		41		50 2			· · · · · · ·
Iowa City	11, 267						3	1		
Marshalltown	11,267 15,731 20,065	1	2				4			
Mason City	20,065 16,068	9	3		7					
Ottumwa	23,003 71,227		i		l					
Sioux City	71,227	0	4					]		
Waterloo	36, 230		1		33		5			
Atchison	12,630		1					1	1	1
Coffeyville	13, 452	4	<del>.</del>		3		i			[ <b>.</b>
Fort Scott	10,693	5	4		1		· · · · · · · ·		····	
Kansas CityLawrence.	101, 177 12, 456	3	2		9		3		3 2 2	·····i
Leavenworth	12, 456 16, 912								2	1 2
Parsons	16,028	.5	٠٠٠٠٠		3					l
Topeka	50, 022 72, 217	11 28	2 2		····i	····i	3			1
Kentucky:			-		*	•				1
Covington	57, 121	21				• • • • • •	3			1
HendersonLouisville	57, 121 12, 169 234, 891	83	4	i	40	• • • • • •	····2		6 34	5
Louisiana:	1	i		*						
New Orleans	387, 219	130	11	• • • • • •	9		3	1	31	15
Auburn	16,985	3			1		7			
Bangor	25, 978 14, 731		2		î		2		i	
Bath	14,731	2 7			<b> </b>					•••••
BiddefordLewiston.	18,008 31,791	15					·····2	•••••		i
Portland	69, 272	24	i		45		2			$\hat{2}$
Sanford (town)	10, 691 13, 351	6	• • • • • •							•••••
Waterville	13, 351	• • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • •			1	• • • • • •		•••••
Baltimore	733, 826	225	27		279		57	2	18	10
Cumberland	29, 837	18			92				1 1	1
Frederick	11,066	5	1			•••••	•••••		1	•••••
Adams (town)	12,967	2				]			<sub>-</sub>	
Amesbury (town)	10,036	3	i		2 37					•••••
Ariington (town)	18,665	3	2	···i			1		2	•••••
Attieboro	10 721	<i>a</i> 1								
AttleboroBelmont (town)Beverly	19, 731 10, 749 22, 561	6   3   9			4				2	ì

ov.	Popula-	Total deaths	Diphtheria.		. Measles.		Scarlet fever.		Tuber- culosis.	
City.	tion Jan. 1, 1920.	from all causes.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Massachusetts—Continued.										
BostonBraintree (town)	748, 060 10, 580	276	73	6	145 17	1	90	5	42	18
Brookline	37,748	5	I <del>.</del> .		. 4		4			
Cambridge	109,694	29	3 3		51		10		2	3
Chelsea	43, 184 36, 214	13	3						1	i
Clinton	12,979	3					3		1	
Danvers Dedham	11, 108	3	1		·				1	i
Everett	10, 792 40, 120	6	2		6		4			
Fall River	120, 485	45	2		9	1	6		4	2 3
Fitchburg	41,029	14	3		1		5 8		2	3
FraminghamGardner	17, 033 16, 971	8	i				l i		2	
Greenfield	15, 462	3	2				1 3 3			
Haverhill	53, 884 60, 203	11	2 2 3 7		14		20		1 2	·····ż
HolyokeLawrence	94, 270	31 21	7	i	4		ı		3	i
Leominster	19,744	2 33			. 2		7		1	
Lowell	112, 759 99, 148	33 26	3 2		72 11	1	4		2 5	·····
Malden	49, 103	10	2		17		5		ĭ	2 2
Medford	39,038	4 7	1		13		2		1	
Melrose	18, 204 15, 189	7			8		1		• • • • • •	
Milford	13, 471	3 2			î		7			
Natick	10,907				l		1			
New Bedford Newburyport	121, 217 15, 618	36 4	5	• • • • • •	11 4		i		6	5
Newton	46,054	10			4		3			
North Adams	22, 282	8								i
Northampton Northbridge	21,951	8	1	• • • • •	1	• • • • • •	4		1	2
Pittsfield	10, 174 41, 783	11	3				5		3	i
Plymouth	13.045	3								
Quincy Salem	47, 876 42, 529	8	2 3	• • • • •	12	• • • • • •	15 1		2	
Somerville	93, 091	27	ğ		21		13	i	i	4
Southbridge	14, 245	3					<u>.</u> .			1
SpringfieldTaunton	129, 614 37, 137	43 15	9	2	3 14	····i	5 4		6 2	
Wakefield	13,025	6			22		3			
Waltham	30,915	8	9		1		3			
Watertown	21, 457 13, 258	5	2	•••••	2		9 5	• • • • • •		1
West Springfield	13, 443	5 2								
Westfield	18,604	4 3		• 1				• • • • • •	1	
Winthrop Woburn	15, 455 16, 574	3 5	•••••	•••••	29		• • • • • •	• • • • • • •	•••••	
Worcester	16, 574 179, 754		4				12		3	4
Michigan:					١,١					
AlpenaAnn Arbor	11, 101 19, 516	9	•••••		1		3			• • • • • •
Battle Creek	19,516 36,164	1	5	1	1		6			
Benton Harbor	12.23	4	34	3	2 54	···· <sub>ż</sub> ·	2 118	2	75	29
DetroitFlint	993, 678 91, 599	247 29			7		21		6	29
Grand Rapids	91,599 137,634 48,615	41	6 7		6		6		2	2
Hamtramek	48,615	15	5	1	26		1		• • • • • •	• • • • •
Highland Park	46, 499 12, 183	11 0			20		7		•••••	
Ironwood	12, 183 15, 739 48, 374	0			i		8			
Jackson	48, 374 48, 487	14		•••••	9	•••••	5	•••••	2 2	•••••
Kalamazoo	12 718	28 3					3			•••••
Muskegon	36, 570 34, 273 25, 944	11				]	5			
Port Huron	34, 273	15 14	. 1	•••••	2		5	•••••	•••••	• • • • • •
Sault Ste. Marie	12,006	. 6							2	• • • • • •
Minnesota:	· 1	- 1							_ [	
DuluthFaribault	98, 917 11, 089	24			55		4		1	3

	Popula-	Total deaths		Measles.		ria. Measles. Scarlet fever. Cul			iber- losis.	
City.	tion Jan. 1, 1920.	from all causes.	Causes.	Dosths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Minnesota—Continued.										
Hibbing	15, 089 380, 582	107	6	ļ	102	ļ	26	i	10	6
Rochester	13.722	14			102		20	1	10	
St. Cloud St. Paul	15, 873 234, 698	1	1				ļ		. 1	
St. Paul	234,698	76	20		224		35		19	10
Cape Girardeau	10, 252	4	l	1	ļ					l
Independence	11,686 29,902		<u>-</u> -		1		ļ <u>.</u>			
Joplin	374 4111	89	1 9		110	1	13		15	9
St. Joseph	77, 939	31	1		2		5			1
St. Louis	77, 939 772, 897 39, 631	203	26	1	887	6	25		44	11 2
Springfield	39,031	18		ļ						2
Anaconda	11,668	1		<b> </b>			ļ <u>.</u> .			
Billings. Great Falls.	15, 100	3 6	3			ļ	3		•	
Helena	24, 121 12, 037	8	3				1			
Missoula	12,668	8					5			
Nebraska: Lincoln	54,948	1.5	1		1	ĺ	İ	l	1	l
Omaha	191,601	15 61	4		4		2		1	4
Nevada:	•	İ	_		· .	1	l			1 -
Reno New Hampshire:	12,016	4			1		1			
Berlin	16, 104	3	l			l	<b> </b>	l	l	l
Concord	22, 167 13, 029 11, 210	9			4		4		1	
Dover	13,029	2	····i·				····i			
New Jersey:		٥	•		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		1 *			•••••
Asbury Park	12, 400 50, 707 76, 754	6			3	ļ. <b>.</b>	[ <u>-</u> -		2	
Atlantic CityBayonne	50, 707 76, 754	12	3		10		4		1 1	1
Belleville	15,660				3		2	l	li	
Bloomfield	22,019	13			10		1			
CliftonEast Orange	28, 470 50, 710	1 4	• • • • •		1 13		3		3	
Elizabeth	95, 783		16	i	12		7		li	2
Englewood	11,627	4 7	3		10	<b></b>	2	<b></b>		<sub>2</sub>
Garfield. Hackensack.	19,381 17,667	4	• • • • • •		2		1 4		2	2
Harrison	15, 721				6				1	
Hoboken	68, 166	21	1	1		<b> </b>			2	1
Kaarny	298, 103 26, 724	6	15		6 32		10		6	
Long Branch	13, 521	1							1	
Montclair	28, 810 12, 548	5 7			8 2		1		1	i
Newark.	414, 524	102	9	2	191		16		ii.	9
Orange	33, 268	7			14		1		3	
Passaic	63, 841	12	2 13	• • • • • •	16 13		1 9		3 2	2
Perth Amboy.	135, 875 41, 707	8	2		13		9		4	i
Perth Amboy	16,923 27,700 10,174	3			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		1			
Plainfield	27,700	4	•••••		3 1		····i			• • • • • •
Trenton	119. 289	2 47	10	····i		i	5		3	3
Union (town)	20,651		. 1							•••••
West Hoboken	40, 074 29, 926	3 4	. 1	•••••	····i		3	• • • • • •	1 3	1
West Orange.	15, 573	2	i		14					
New Mexico:		_	!	1						
Albuquerque	15, 157	5	1		•••••		. 1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2	3
Albany	113, 344		2		11		4		9	<b>-</b>
Amsterdam	33, 524	10	1	•••••	10	•••••	2		4	
Auburn	33, 524 36, 192 506, 775	11 145	13	····i	13 320	3	1 75	•••••	26	····i4
Dunkirk	19, 336 45, 393	10					3			•••••
Elmira	45, 393	16		•••••	2	•••••				•••••
Geneva	14,648	4								
Hornell	15, 025	8 [								

Schenectady. Syracuse. Troy. Watertown. White Plains. Yonkers. North Carolina: Durham Greensboro.	Popula-	Total deaths	Diph	theria	Mea	sles.	Scarlet fever.			iber- losis.
City.	tion Jan. 1, 1920.	from all causes.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
New York—Continued.										
Ithaca	17, 004 38, 917	3 16	1		2		3			. 1
Lackawanna	17, 918	3							1	
Lattle Falls	13,029 21,308	3 8						·····		
Mount Vernon	21, 308 42, 726	111	4		2		5		3	
New York	5, 620, 048 30, 366	1,498	170	5	325	4	277	4	1 227	1 107
Niagara Falls	50,760	12			2		i			
North Tonowanda	15, 482 20, 506	4 8			23 41		7 24		4	
Peekskill	15, 968	l $\mathring{7}$	i		43	î	4		2	i
Port Chester	16, 573		8		61		2 6		12	2 4
Rome.	295, 750 26, 341 13, 181	66 11	2		1		3		12	1
Saratoga Springs	13, 181	11 10	ļ <u>.</u> .		20	<u>.</u> .	i			
Syracuse	88, 723 171, 717	26 44	10	····i	38	1	15		1 13	2
Trov	72, 013 31, 285	24	3	ļ <u>.</u>			1		5	3
White Plains	31, 285 21, 031	10 '7					1 8		3	·····
Yonkers	100, 176	26	3		Ī		6			i
North Carolina:	21,719	6	1		62				1	
Greensboro	15,861	14	i		2					2
Raleigh	24, 418 12, 742	11			163	2	1	•••••		2
Wilmington.	24, 418 12, 742 33, 372	1 7			1				i	
Winsten-Salem	48, 395	. 8			•••••		1		4	
North Dakota: Fargo	21,961	0					3			
Ohio: Akron	208, 435	30	3		39		4		20	
Alliance	208, 435 21, 603	2			19		1		ĭ	i
AshtabulaBarberton	22, 082 18, 811	5 2		• • • • • •	3		2	• • • • • •	····i	
Bellaire. Bucyrus. Cambridge.	15,061 10,425	4			4					
Bucyrus	10, 425 13, 104	3 2		•••••	18 4		····i	• • • • • •	····i	·····i
Chillicothe	15.831 1	6			15		*.			1
Cincinnati Cleveland	401, 247 796, 841	134 181	14 32	4	15 247	····i	13 132	<u>.</u> .	28 35	13 8
Cleveland Heights	15, 236		1	*	58	1	3			1
Columbus	237.031	91 44	4		139		12 12	1	4	13
Dayton East Cleveland	152, 559 27, 292	4	i		10 34		8			• • • • • •
East Cleveland East Youngstown	11,237 20,474	4			35		i		i	i
Elyria Findlay	17,021	3 5	····i	····i	54		2			
Findlay Fremont Hamilton	12, 468	1 12			2				···· <u>2</u> ·	<sub>i</sub>
Kenmore	39,675 12,683	12			18		····i		2	
Lancaster	14,706	4			2 2		····i			1
Lima Lorain	41,326 37,295	10	····i		46		12	• • • • • •		
Mansfield	27,824	10	2		25					1
Marion. Martins Ferry.	27, 891 11, 634	3		•••••	1		2	•••••		
Middletown	23.594				9					
NewarkNiles	26,718 13,080	4 7 3 2	1 1	····i	10 16		1	•••••	1	• • • • •
Norwood	24,966	2					i			
Piqua. Salem	15,044 10,305	6							···· <sub>2</sub> ·	·····ż
Sandusky	22,897	6	···i		41		···i		1	1 2
Springfield	60,840 28,508	13 10	2		175		3 1		1 1	
SteubenvilleTiffin	28,508 14,375 243,164	4	:::::	:					4	····i
Toledo	243,164 132,358 29,569	67 36	3 9	1 1	35 34	1	29		5	8
Youngstown										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pulmonary only.

City.	Popula-	Total deaths	eaths		Ме	Measles.		arlet ver.	Tuber- culosis.	
	tion Jan. 1, 1920.	from all causes.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Oklahoma:										
OklahomaTulsa	91,295 72,675	31	i	. 1	26	-	7	J		
Oregon:	12,010		1 1		20		1	1	1	
Portland	<b>25</b> 8, <b>2</b> 88	85	9	ļ	. 1		. 9		. 5	
Pennsylvania:	73,502	1	4	1	85	1	14	İ	2	1
Altoons	60.331				46		17		-	
Ambridge	60,331 12,730		i	1	1					
Beaver Falls	12.802				. 2					
Berwick Bethlehem	12, 181 50, 358		1 2				1 3			
Braddock	20,879		í		119	1	,		4	
Bradford	15, 525				3	1				
Butler	15,525 23,778		2	1	50					
Carbondale	18,640						1			J
Carlisle	10, <b>9</b> 16 13,171				14	1	3	1		····
Charleroi.	11,516		1	1	2	1		1	1	
Chester.	58,030		4	1	16			1	1	
Coatesville	14.515		ļ		4					
Connellsville	13,804			·	20					
Donora	14, 131 13, 681		3		8		····i			•
Duquesne.	19,011		3				•			
Easton.	33,813		1	1	38		3		l	
Erie	93,372		6		12		5		11	
Farrell	15,586		2		21					
Greensburg	15,083 75,917		1 2		83		1 11	<b>-</b>		
Hazelton.	32, 277				30		l 'i			
Homestead	20, 452		i		15		<del>.</del> .			
Jeannette	10,627				58					
Johnstown. Lancaster.	67,327	• • • • • • •	6 2	····	23 97		14		4	
McKees Rocks	53, 150 16, 713	••••••	2		7		4		1	• • • •
McKeesport	46, 781		2		2		····i			•
Meadville	14, 568				1					
Monessen	18, 179		2		1		1			
Mount Carmel Nanticoke	17, 469 22, 614		····i		18				1	
New Castle	44,938		1	• • • • • •	2					
New Kensington	11,987		1		15					
Norristown	32, 319		1		1		2			
North Braddock	14,928	• • • • • • • •			10		1			• • • • •
Oil CityOlyphant	21, 274 10, 236				135					• • • • •
Philadelphia	1,823,779	663	70	8	153	4	46		64	
Pittsburgh	588, 343		23		57 <b>7</b>		33		19	
Pittston	18, 497				7					• • • •
Pottstown	17, 431 21, 876			• • • • •	18 7				;	• • • • •
Reading.	107, 784		4		25		· · · i		2	• • • • •
Scranton	137, 783		2		46		î			
Shamokin	21, 204				2		3			
SharonSteelton	21,747				38		6		ا ٠٠٠٠	• • • • •
Tamaqua.	13,428 12,363		1		6		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		2	• • • • •
Uniontown	15,692				54		3			 
West Chester	11,717				6					• • • • •
Wilkes-Barre	73,833		. 4		17		5		2	• • • • •
Wilkinsburg Williamsport	24, 403 36, 198	•••••	2		43			•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • •
Woodlawn					···i					 
York	12, 495 47, 512		3		49		3			• • • • •
Cranston.	29, 407	5			5		!			
Cumberland (town)	10,077	5	ا ـ ي ا							
NewportPawtucket	30, 255 64, 248	5	2		10		····i			
Providence	237, 595	15 83	12	1	189	6	9			
Woonsocket	43, 496	8								
uth Carolina:		- 1						1		
Charleston	67, 957	31			•••••		• • • • •			
SANCTOONS.	37, 524 23, 127	32							1.1	

# CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED MARCH 31, 1923—Continued. DIPHTHERIA, MEASLES, SCARLET FEVER, AND TUBERCULOSIS—Continued.

<b></b>	Popula-	Total deaths		ntheria	Me	asles.		arlet ver.		uber- ılosis.
City.	tion Jan. 1, 1920.	from all causes.	.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
South Dakota:	or 000	11	1				Ī			
Sioux Falls Tennessee:	25, 202	1	1 -		· ·····		. 11	1		. 2
Chattanooga	57, 895 77, 818 162, 351 118, 342		. 10		. 12		2	;	·;	····i
Knoxville Memphis	162, 351	80	1 2		127	2	3	1	. 16	
Nashville	118, 342	56			. 279	2			. 2	
Texas: Amarillo	15, 494	l	.		.	l	. 1			
Austin	15, 494 34, 876	6			42				.]	
Beaumont	40, 422 10, 522	15	2	.	·		·	-	: ····i	2 1 4 13 4 2 6 11
Dallas	158, 976	3 45	2						. 2	1 4
El Paso	158, 976 77, 560	49	1		71	4	2 1		. 1	13
Fort WorthGalveston	10K 4X2	33 12		·	····i		1 2		. 4	4
Houston	44, 255 138, 276 161, 379	34	i		1		í			. 6
San Antonio	161, 379	53	1		6		ī			. 11
Waco	38, 500	12	2		. 23					. 3
Salt Lake CityVermont:	118, 110	45	3	ļ	. 6	ļ	2	ļ	-	. 3
Barre	10,008				. 1	]				
BurlingtonRutland	10, 008 22, 779 14, 954	10					3		-	i
Virginia:		1		1	1	l	1 -	1	1	1
Alexandria	18,060	5			. 2			.	- ;	
Lynchburg	10, 688 30, 070	10			106	i i			1 1	
Norfolk	115 777	1	4		64		i		. 3	3
Petersburg	31,012	12	1		3			.	. 5	1 3 1 3
Portsmouth Richmond	31, 012 54, 387 171, 667	8 42	3		103	····i			3	. 6
Roanoke.	50, 842	26	ĭ		226	2		1		
Washington: Bellingham	,		l					1		
Seattle	25, 585 315, 312		<u>.</u>		12	• • • • •	1 11		14	
Tacoma	96, 965		6		12		13		14	
Vancouver	12, 637						1			
Yakima West Virginia:	18, 539				1		3		. 1	
Charleston	39, 608	21	1	l	6		1	l		. 1
Clarksburg	27, 869 17, 851	17			22					. i
Fairmont	17, 851				14		3			
Huntington Matinsburg	50, 177 12, 515	18			15 7		i			4
Morgantown	12, 127		i		22				2	
Moundsville	10, 669 20, 050	4	• • • • • •			•••••	• • • • • •			·····i
Parkersburg Wheeling	56, 208	12 17	····i	• • • • • •	75		····· <u>·</u>		3	1
Wisconsin:	•				``				١	
Appleton	19, 561	4 6	2			•••••	1 2			
Beloit.	11, 334 21, 284	7		•••••	····2		Z			
Eau Claire	20, 906						2			
Fond du Lac	23, 427 31, 017	9			···· <sub>2</sub> ·		1			• • • • • •
Green BayJanesville.	18, 293	····ii	4	• • • • • •	19		2 2			
KenoshaLa Crosse	40, 472	5	5		17		1		i	1
La Crosse	30, 421 1		4		13		5			
Madison	38, 378 17, 563	6	3		135		4	• • • • •		•••••
Marinette	13 610 (	2					6		i	
Milwaukee	457, 147	131	19	5	99		209	4	8	6
Oshkosh Racine	33, 162 58, 593	10 16	···· <sub>2</sub> ·		48	•••••	4 3	•••••		· · · · · · ·
Shebovgan	30, 955	11	1		9				····i	
Stevens Point	11, 371				16					
Superior	39,671	3	•••••	•••••	19	• • • • •	1			1
Wausau	12, 558 18, 661		3	:	17		4		3	
West Allis	13, 745		ĭ		i l		8		ĭ	
Wyoming: Cheyenne	13, 829	10								1
1		ı	- 1	- 1	,	1	- 1	- 1		l

## FOREIGN AND INSULAR.

#### BRAZIL.

## Dengue Fever-Nictheroy-Rio de Janeiro.

An outbreak of dengue fever was reported April 6, 1923, at Nictheroy, Brazil, with 70 cases, and at Rio de Janeiro with several cases.

### Yellow Fever-Bahia.

During the week ended March 3, 1923, one case of yellow fever, with one death, was reported at Bahia, Brazil.

#### CANADA.

## Communicable Diseases-Ontario-March, 1923-Comparative.

During the month of March, 1923, communicable diseases were reported in the Province of Ontario, Canada, as follows:

<b>D</b>	March	, 1 <b>923</b> .	March, 1922.	
Discusse.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Cerebrospinal meningitis.		10	8	
Diphtheria. Gonorrhea Influenza	224 178	29 317	320 252	3:
Pneumonia. Pneumonia, influenzal		540 66 17		40 8
Scarlet fever Smallpox Syphilis	26 161		446 113 218	1
Fuberculosis. Typhoid fever Whooping cough	187 557 482	128 22 24	172 21 61	13 1

Population, estimated, 2,523,200.

### Lethargic Encephalitis - Dalhousie Junction.

A case of lethargic encephalitis has been reported as occurring at Dalhousie Junction, 9 miles from Campbellton, New Brunswick, Canada, March 17, 1923.

### Lethargic Encephalitis - Winnipeg.

During the three weeks ended March 31, 1923, eight cases of lethargic encephalitis with seven deaths were reported at Winnipeg, Manitoba.

## Typhoid Fever-Cochrane, Ontario.1

Typhoid fever was reported still present at Cochrane, Ontario, Canada, April 9, 1923, with 612 cases and 19 deaths notified to that date. Epidemic outbreaks were reported in small near-by towns, with origin in cases from Cochrane. The epidemic at Cochrane was reported March 21, with 125 cases and no mortality; on March 25, increased prevalence was reported, with 300 cases and no mortality. (Population of Cochrane, 4,000.)

## Typhoid Fever-North Bay, Ontario.

On April 9, 15 cases of typhoid fever were stated to have been brought from Cochrane to North Bay, Ontario, for treatment.

#### CUBA.

### Communicable Diseases - Habana.

Communicable diseases have been notified at Habana as follows:

	Mar. 1-	Remain- ing under	
Disease.	New cases.	Deaths.	treatment Mar. 31, 1923.
Cerebrospinal meningitis.	1 11		14
Diphtheria. Leprosy	10	1	, 6 11
Malaria Measles	19 3		* 31 2
Scarlet fever	5 11	1	.* 22

<sup>1</sup> From abroad, 3.

#### HAWAII.

### Plague-Infected Rats-Honokaa.

Two plague-infected rats were reported found, one on March 24 and one on March 25, 1923, in the vicinity of Honokaa, Hawaii.

#### MEXICO.

### Epidemic Influenza-Cunduacan, Tabasco.

Epidemic influenza was reported present at Cunduacan, State of Tabasco, Mexico, March 26, 1923.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> From the interior, 26.

<sup>\*</sup> From the interior, 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Public Health Reports, Apr. 6, 1923, p. 763; Apr. 13, 1923, p. 810.

#### · POLAND.

### Communicable Diseases-January 1-21, 1923.

During the period January 1 to 21, 1923, communicable diseases were reported in Poland as follows:

### January 1-6, 1923.

Disease.	Cases.	Deaths.	Districts and city showing greatest mortality.
Cerebrospinal meningitis	68 546 233 15 89 270 278	8 9 33 20 1 149 20 20 1 16	Congress Poland. Lodz, Warsaw city. Kielce. Warsaw city. Stanishawow. Lodz, Lwow, Warsaw city. Krakow, Lodz. Krakow, Lwow, Stanislawow. Bialystok. Stanislawow.

## January 7-13, 1923.

Cerebrospinal meningitis	15	6	Silesia.
Diphtheria	45	10	Lodz.
Measles.	621	24	Do.
Scarlet fever	273	24	Lodz, Lwow,
Smalinex	6	2	Stanislawow.
Tuberculosis	89	211	Lodz, Lwow, Warsaw city.
Typhoid fever	304	25	Krakow.
Typhus fever	374	23	Stanislawow, Vilna.
Typhus fever, recurrent.	139	4	Nowogrodek.

## January 14-21, 1923.

Cerebrospinal meningitis.  Diphtheria Measles. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis Typhnoid fever. Typhus fever. Typhus fever. Typhus fever, recurrent.	13 65 439 309 29 147 312 377 129	7 12 29 54 3 194 35 43	Silesia, Warsaw. Lwow, Pomerania. Warsaw city. Stanislawow. Do. Lwow, Warsaw city. Lodz. Lwow. Lwow, Upper Silesia.	
---	--	---	---	--

### PORTUGAL.

### Lethargic Encephalitis-Lisbon.

During the week ended March 17, 1923, four cases of lethargic encephalitis were reported at Lisbon, Portugal.

#### CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER.

The reports contained in the following tables must not be considered as complete or final as regards either the list of countries included or the figures for the particular countries for which reports are given.

### Reports Received During Week Ended April 20, 1923.1

#### CHOLERA.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
India: Calcutta	Feb. 18-Mar. 3	64	40	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> From medical officers of the Public Health Service, American consuls, and other sources.

# Reports Received During Week Ended April 20, 1923—Continued. PLAGUE.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Ceylon:				
Colombo	Feb. 18-24	10	13	
Hawaii:		i	ļ	Mar. 24-25: 2 plague rats found.
HonokaaIndia:	·····			Mar. 24-25: 2 plague rats round
Calcutta	Feb. 18-24	1	1	
Karachi	Feb. 25-Mar 3	688	466	•
Madras Presidency Portuguese West Africa:	do	000	400	
Angola—		١ .	I	]
Loanda	Dec. 31-Jan. 20	2		
Bangkok	Jan. 28-Feb. 10	6	4	
Straits Settlements:				
Singapore	Feb. 11-17	1	1	ļ
	SMAL	LPOX.		•
Austra				1
Arabia:	Mar. 4-10	3		
Brazil:		l		1
Para	Feb. 12-Mar. 18 Feb. 25-Mar. 10	7 11	8	
Rio de Janeiro Canada:	Feb. 25-Mar. 10	"		
British Columbia—			Ĺ	1
Fernie	Mar. 18-24	1		
Manitoba— Winnipeg	Mar. 18-31	35		
Ontario				Mar. 1-31, 1923: Cases, 26.
Ottawa	Mar. 25-31	10	1	
Quebec Sherbrooke	Mar. 1-31		2	
Ceylon:			_	
Colombo	Feb. 18-24	1		
China:	Feb. 18-Mar. 3			Present. One death.
AmoyCanton	Feb. 11-17			Present.
Chungking Foochow	Feb. 18-24	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Do. Do.
Hongkong.	Feb. 4-17	6	4	<b>D</b> 0.
Nanking	Feb. 18-Mar. 3			Do.
Colombia: Buenaventura	Feb. 16-26		,	From 6 to 9 cases reported 2 miles
Great Britain:	Feb. 10-20	•••••		from town limits.
Nottingham	Feb. 25-Mar. 10	5		
Greece: Seloniki	Jan. 29-Feb. 18	6	1	•
India:	Jan. 25-F 6D. 16	v	1	•
Calcutta	Feb. 19-Mar. 3	35	18	
Karachi	Feb. 25-Mar. 3 do	4 35	1 8	
Madras Java:		99	•	
West Java				
Batavia	Feb. 17-23	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Province.
Tabasco, State				Present in some localities.
Poland				Jan. 1-21, 1923: Cases, 50; deaths,
Portugal: Lisbon	Mar. 4-18	17	1	6.
Oporto	Feb. 25-Mar. 17	7	3	
Rumania: i			-	
Bucharest	Feb. 1-10 Feb. 1-28	1 9	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Galatz	Feb. 1-10	2		
Sierra Leone:				
Freetown	Feb. 16-28	1		
Spain: Valencia	Mar. 11-24.	11		
Switzerland:		]	·····	
Berne	Mar. 4-10	8		
ZurichSyria:	do	8		
Aleppo	Mar. 4-17	2		
Damascus	Feb. 11-20	3		
Union of South Africa:	1	1	1	
Cape Province	Feb. 18-25	t	1	Outbreaks.

### Reports Received During Week Ended April 20, 1923—Continued.

#### TYPHUS FEVER.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Chile: TalcahuanoFinland	Mar. 4-10	1		Feb. 15-28, 1923: Cases, 6; recur-
Greece: Saloniki	Feb. 4-25	63	1	rent typhus, 1 case.
Hungary: Budapest Mexico:	Feb. 25-Mar. 11	2	ļ	
San Luis Potosi	Mar. 25–31		1	Jan. 1-21, 1923: Cases, 1,029; deaths, 86. Recurrent typhus: Cases, 386; deaths, 7.
Portugal: Oporto	Mar. 11-17	3		Cases, 386; deaths, 7.
Rumania: Bucharest	Feb. 1–10 Feb. 1–28 Feb. 1–10	133 39		
Craiova Syria: Aleppo	Feb. 1-10 Mar. 4-17	1 38	7	Present among refugees.
Union of South Africa: Cape Province	Feb. 18-24			Outbreaks.
<u></u>	YELLOW	FEVE	R.	
Brazil: Bahia	Feb. 25-Mar. 3	1	1	-

# Reports Received from December 30, 1922, to April 13, 1923.1

# CHOLERA.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
China: Liutaoku	Sept. 22	60	20	
Yalu River Region	 			Sept. 22, 1922: 30 deaths reported.
India			.:	Sept. 24-Dec. 30, 1922; Cases,
Bombay	Oct. 27-Dec. 23	2	1	14,637; deaths, 8,833. Dec. 31,
Do	Feb. 4-10	2	2	1922-Jan. 20, 1923: Cases, 2,631;
Calcutta		102	60	deaths, 1,553.
Do	Dec. 31-Feb. 17	184	124	
Madras	Nov. 19-Dec. 16	4	2	
Do	Jan. 21-Feb. 24	9	4	
Rangoon	Nov. 12-Dec. 23	17	10	
Do	Dec. 31-Feb. 17	4	3	
Philippine Islands:				
Province-		_		
Laguna	Oct. 12-18	1		
Russia	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<u>.</u> .		Jan. 1-Oct. 7, 1922: Cases, 83,367.
Archangel (Government)	Oct. 1-7	7		
Moscow	Jan. 1-31 Oct. 1-7	<u>. 1</u>		
Tashkent	Oct. 1-7	27		Turkestan Republic: 3 cases re-
TT1 !				ported on waterways.
Ukraine	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••••		Sept. 1-30, 1922: Cases, 119.
Donetz (Government)		29 36		
Tchernigov (Govern- ment).	do	30	•••••	
Siam: Bangkok	Oct. 29-Dec. 23			
	Dec. 31-Jan. 27	4 3	1	
Do	Dec. 31-18n. 2/	3	• • • • • • • • •	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> From medical officers of the Public Health Service, American consuls, and other sources.

## Reports Received from December 30, 1922, to April 13, 1923—Continued.

#### PLAGUE.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Argentina: Rosario. Azores:	Feb. 10–27	8	3	
Fayal Island— Castelo Branco Do	Dec. 2-31	2	3	Vicinity of Horta. Dec. 30, 1922:
Horta	Mar. 23	í		Several cases. Actual occurrence about Mar. 6, 1923.
Pico Island— Lages St. Michaels Island	Nov. 27-Dec. 15		8	1 case present Dec. 15, 1922. Nov. 12-Dec. 30, 1922; Cases, 100;
Ponta Delgada	Nov. 26-Dec. 9	3		1 case present Dec. 15, 1922. Nov. 12-Dec. 30, 1922: Cases, 100; deaths, 35. At localities 3-6 miles from Ponta Delgada Dec. 31, 1922-Feb. 24, 1923. Cases, 126; deaths, 52. From 6 to 20 miles distant from port of Ponta Delgada.
Brazil: Bahia	Oct. 29-Dec. 30 Jan. 28-Feb. 3	5	5	
Do Pernambuco Porto Alegre. British East Africa: Kenya Colony—	Jan. 14-20 Nov. 19-25	1 3 1	1 2	
Tanganyika Territory Do	Oct. 15-Dec. 16 Jan. 14-20	12 7	7 6	
Uganda Entebbe	Dec. 1–31 Nov. 24–30	141 211	129 202	,
Celebes: Macassar	Feb. 15			Present, bubonic; epidemic,
Ceylon: Colombo	Nov. 12-Dec. 30	46	38	Plague rodents, 16.
Do Chile: Antofagasta	Dec. 31-Feb. 17	51	40	Plague rodents, 17.  Quarantine. Year, 1922. March,
China:				1 case; May, 1 case.
Hongkong Do Manchuria—	Nov. 5-Dec. 23 Dec. 31-Jan. 6	14 1	12	
Harbin Ecuador:	Jan. 29-Feb. 4	7		
Guayaquil Do	Nov. 1-Dec. 31 Jan. 1-Feb 28	9 18	5	Rats examined, 16,600; found infected, 72.
,	Jan. 1-Feb 28	18	5	Rais examined, 17,900; found infected, 83 Jan. 1-Dec. 28, 1922; Cases, 485;
EgyptCity— AlexandriaDo	Nov. 19-25 Jan. 8-10	2 1		Jan. 1-Dec. 28, 1922: Cases, 485; deaths, 228. Jan. 1, 1922-Jan. 4, 1923: Cases, 487; deaths, 228. Jan. 1-Mar. 8, 1923: Cases, 27;
Port Said Do	Nov. 19–27 Jan. 26–Mar. 5	4 2	$\begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\1\end{array}$	deaths, 17.
Suez Do Province—	Nov. 18-Dec. 5 Mar. 2	3 1	1	•
Assiout Do	Nov. 19-Dec. 29 Jan. 26-Mar. 8	4 14	1 9	Septicemic: 1 case, 1 death. Pneumonic: 6 cases, 4 deaths; septicemic, 1 case, 1 death.
Dakahlieh Kena	Dec. 3	1	1	Pneumonic. Pneumonic, 1 death.
Minieh Do Hawaii:	Feb. 24	2	1	
HonokaaIndia				Feb. 8-9, 1923: Plague rats, 3. Oct. 1-Dec. 30, 1922: Cases, 25,007;
Bombay	Oct. 27-Dec. 30 Dec. 31-Feb. 10 Feb. 11-17	41 44 1	32 34 1	deaths, 18,803. (Report for Nov. 19-25, 1922, not received.) Dec. 31, 1922-Feb. 10, 1923: Cases, 31,619; deaths, 24,706.
100	Feb. 11-17 Dec. 10-16 Dec. 31-Feb. 24	13	1 10	Cases, 31,619; deaths, 24,706.
Madras Presidency Do Madras	Dec. 31-Feb. 24	2,269 3,366	1,448 2,544 1	
Rangoon	Nov. 19-25 Jan. 21-27 Nov. 12-Dec. (0	1 52	1 49	
Do	Dec. 31-Feb. 17	122	108	

# Reports Received from December 30, 1922, to April 13, 1923—Continued.

### PLAGUE—Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Iraq (Mesopotamia): Bagdad Do				
Bagdad	Oct. 1-Nov. 30	16		
Japan:	Jan. 1-31	"		1 '
Osaka				July 1-Nov. 30, 1922: Cases, 70.
Java			· ·····	Oct. 1-Nov. 3, 1922: Cases, 900; deaths, 763. Jan. 1-31, 1923: Cases, 490; deaths, 549. Dec. 1-31, 1922: Deaths, 990.
	į		1	Cases, 490; deaths, 549.
East Java				Dec. 1-31, 1922: Deaths, 990.
Residences—	Dec. 1-31	56	1	·
Samarang	do	202		
Soerabaya	Oct. 22-Dec. 31	34	14	
Do	Jan. 14-20	2	2	Jan. 17-23, 1923: Cases, 5; deaths,
	Oct. 29-Dec. 16	18	18	Not a seaport.
Soerakarta— Klaten	Nov. 4	ļ		
Madagascar	NOV. 4			Present in epidemic form.
Province		l	1	Jan. 1-Dec. 10, 1923: Cases, 143. Jan. 1-15, 1923: Cases, 22.
Diego Suarez		2		
Moramanga	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			To Nov. 12, 1922: Cases, 24; deaths, 21. Cases reported to
				Uct. 30. pnenmonic.
Amparafara region .	Sept. 18-Nov. 5	21		Bubonic, 18; septicemic, 3 (doubtful, 2).
Moramanga	Dec. 6-9	3	1	Bubonic.
Tamatave	Feb. 10-Sept. 12	10		Do.
Miarinarivo				Dec. 14, 1922-Jan. 1, 1923: 1 case
Tananarive				(European). Jan. 1-Dec. 10, 1922: Cases, 73 (bubonic, 37; pneumonic, 8; septicemic, 28). Jan. 1-15, 1923: Cases 10
				(bubonic, 37; pneumonic, 8;
				septicemic, 28). Jan. 1–15, 1923:
Ambohimangakeley	Nov. 19-Dec. 9	9		Cases, 19. Bubonic, 3; pneumonic, 3; septi-
		-		cemic, 3.
Anketrina	Mar. 27-May 9	11		Bubonic, 4; pneumonic, 2; septi- cemic, 5 (3 doubtful).
Fenoarivo region	Oct. 7-Nov. 28	16		Bubonic, 3; pneumonic, 8; septi-
•				cemic, 5.
Tananarive	Oct. 23-Dec. 10 Dec. 14-Jan. 15	13	5	1 septicemic.
Mexico:	Dec. 14-3811. 15	19	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Tampico	Mar. 23	2	1	Plague rodent found, Mar. 14,
Palestine:				1923.
Jaffa	Nov. 27-Dec. 4	1		
Peru				Nov. 1-Dec. 31, 1922: Cases, 199;
Do	`			deaths, 93.
Do	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			Jan. 1-31, 1923: Cases, 151; deaths, 59.
Localities—				
CaneteDo.	Nov. 16-Dec. 31 Jan. 1-31	56 22	19 7	Including vicinity.
Casma	do	1		At Campina.
Catacaos	do	4	1	<del>-</del>
Chepen	Dec. 16-31	2	1	Present Nov. 9-15, 1922.
Do	Nov. 16-Dec. 15	17	7	
country).				
Do Eten	Jan. 1-31 Nov. 16-Dec. 15	18 4	9	
Guadeloupe	Nov. 1-Dec. 31	22	12	
Do	Jan. 1-31	4	1	
Huacho Do	Nov. 16-Dec. 31 Jan. 1-31	4	2	
Huara	do	6		Country.
Huaral	Nov. 16-30	1		
Do Huarmey	Jan. 1-31 Dec. 1-31	3 2	1 2	
Javanca	Nov. 16-Dec. 31	10	8	
JayancaLambayeque	do	7	3	
D0	Jan. 1-31 Nov. 1-Dec. 31	9 11	3 7 8 1	
Do	Jan. 131.	i	î	
Lima (country)	Nov. 1-Dec. 31	14	5	•
До	Jan. 1-31	4.1	2	

# Reports Received from December 30, 1922, to April 13, 1923—Continued.

### PLAGUE-Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
		-		
Peru—Continued. Localities—Continued.	Dec. 1-15	1 1		
Lurin Magdalena del Mar Do	Nov. 16-30 Jan. 1-31.	1 1	1	
Magdalena Vicja Mala	Dec. 16-31 Dec. 1-31	1 2	î	
Do	Jan. 1-31 Jan. 1-23	4 3		
Mochumi	Dec. 16-31	1 3	3 1	
Paita	Nov. 16-30. Dec. 16-31. Jan. 1-31.	10	7 7	
Piura Do	Nov. 16-Dec. 31 Jan. 1-31	12 14	4	
Pueblo Nuevo Do	Dec. 1-31	7 10	6	
San Pedro	Nov. 1-Dec. 31 Jan. 1-31	8	3 3	
Sullana Do	Nov. 16-30 Jan. 1-31 Nov. 1-Dec. 31	3 1 3	1 1	
Trujillo Do Tuman.	Jan. 1-31 Nov. 16-30	25 3	7	District.
Portugal: Lisbon	İ	4	2	
Oporto	Nov. 10-29 Jan. 21-27	ļ	ī	
Angola— Loanda	Oct. 1-Dec. 30		45	Fatal cases among white popula- tion.
Russia: Kirghiz Republic				Dec. 2, 1922-Feb. 16, 1923: Cases 116 (pneumonic), occurring in 2 out of 6 governments.
Siam: Bangkok Do	Nov. 12-Dec. 23 Dec. 31-Jan. 27	5 8	5 7	
Spain: Barcelona	Nov. 15-Dec. 18	1		Sept. 24-Nov. 14, 1922: Cases, 23
MalagaStraits Settlements:	Jan. 27	3		deaths, 9. 17 suspected cases.
Singapore Do	Dec. 17–23 Jan. 21–27	2 1	2 1	
Syria: Beirut	Nov. 6-30	4	3	
Turkey: Constantinople Do	Nov. 22-28 Jan. 28-Feb. 10	2 2		
Union of South Africa: Transvaal—				
Klipfontein Farm	Dec. 16	2	1	Natives. Jan. 25, 1923: Plague- infected wild rodent found in vicinity.
West Africa: Senegal—	T-1-100	2	2	
Dakar On vessels:	Feb. 1-28	1	2	A+ Thursday Island Overentine
S. S. Helcion	Dec. 1	1		At Thursday Island Quarantine, Australia, from Singapore, Straits Settlements. In Chi-
s. s. —	Dec. 30	••••	•••••	nese fitemen.  At port of London; plague- infected rats and cats found in grain ca'go on vessel from South America.
	SMAL	LPOX.		
	<u> </u>		• 1	
Algeria: Algiers Do	Dec. 1-10	1 2		
Arabia:	Nov. 19-Dec. 23	7	3	

# Reports Received from December 30, 1922, to April 13, 1923—Continued.

### SMALLPOX-Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Bolivia:				
La Paz Brazil:	Jan. 1-31	6	1	. ,
BahiaPara	Nov. 5-11 Feb. 12-Mar. 4	1 6		•
Pernambuco	Jan. 21-27	ľi		•
Rio de Janeiro	Nov. 25-Dec. 30 Dec. 31-Feb. 10	40	15	
Do Sao Paulo	Dec. 31-Feb. 10 Oct. 16-22	31 1		
British East Africa: Kenya Colony—	Oct. 10-22	·	1 1	•
Tanganyika Territory	Oct. 8-Dec. 23	193	10	· ·
До	Jan. 7-20	17		•
Uganda Entebbe	Sept. 1-Dec. 31 Nov. 24-30	3 3	1 3	· ·
Canada: Alberta—			"	
Calgary	Mar. 4-10	1		
Manitoba— Winnipeg	Dec. 10-30	14	1	1
Do	Jan. 21-27	~i		:1
New Brunswick—	1			1
Northumberland County.	Jan. 21-Feb. 17	8		
Restigouche	Mar. 11-17		1	Dec. 1-31, 1922: Casas, 51; deaths,
Hamilton	Dec. 31-Feb. 24	7		<ol> <li>Jan. 1-Feb. 28, 1923; Cases.</li> </ol>
Niagara Falls	Dec. 3-30	10		66.
Do Ottawa	Dec. 31-Jan. 12	12 6	}	
. Do	Dec. 10-23 Jan. 7-Mar. 24	11		ŀ
Toronto	Dec. 10-30	2		•
Do	Feb. 4-10	1		
Quebec— Quebec	Jan. 14-20	3	l	
Saskatchewan-			[	
Regina	Dec. 3-23	2		
Ceylon: ColomboChile:	Nov. 12-Dec. 24	9	4	1 case, 1 death outside city.
Concepcion	Oct. 30-Dec. 25		7	
Do	Feb. 6–26 Oct. 2–Dec. 26		.5	T- 1
Valparaiso Do	Jan. 9-Feb. 10	4	54 90	In hospital, 83 cases. Dec. 31, 1922-Jan. 27, 1923: Deaths, 66. Feb. 16, 1923: 80
China:				cases present (estimated).
Amoy	Nov. 5-Dec. 23		3	Nov. 26-Dec. 30, 1922: Present.
Do	Jan. 7-Feb. 3		5	•
Antung	Nov. 13-Dec. 10 Feb. 26-Mar. 4	2 1		
Canton.	Oct. 1-Nov. 30			Prevalent.
Do	Jan. 21-Feb. 10			Present.
Changsha	Feb. 11-17 Nov. 5-Dec. 30	1		Do.
Do	Dec 31-Feb 10			Do.
Foochow	Nov. 12-Dec. 30 Dec. 31-Feb. 17			Do.
Do	Dec. 31-Feb. 17			Do.
HankowHongkong	Dec. 31-Jan. 20 Nov. 5-11	4	1 1	•
Do	Dec. 31-Jan. 20	3	ī	
Manchuria— .	Now 00 Dec 01			
Harbin Do	Nov. 20-Dec. 31 Jan. 8-Feb. 11	13		
Mukden	Nov. 19-Dec. 16 Jan. 7-Feb. 3			Do.
Do	Jan. 7-Feb. 3			Do.
NankingDo	Nov. 5-Dec. 23 Jan. 7-20			Do. Do.
Shanghai	Jan. 15-Feb. 25	3	····i	Foreign. Death, Chinese.
Tientsin	Feb. 18-24	ĭ		Reported from foreign office.
hosen (Korea):	Oct 1 Dec 21	135	٠ ١	
Chemulpo	Oct. 1-Dec. 31 Jan. 1-31	26	84 17	
Fusan	Nov. 1-Dec. 31	4	<u>:</u> :	
Do	Jan. 1-31	5	انيا	
GensanSeoul	Dec. 1-31 Oct. 1-Dec. 31	6 19	2	
Do.	Jan. 1-31	35	iî i	

# Reports Received from December 30, 1922, to April 13, 1923—Continued.

### SMALLPOX-Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Colombia: Buenaventura	Jan. 25-Feb. 20	48		Estimated, 50 cases present; type mild; among colored popula-
Cuba:	l	1		tion.
Province— Camaguey	Nov. 11-Dec. 31	20	1	
Matanzas	Jan. 1-31	2	1	
Oriente	Nov. 21-Dec. 31	22		
Do Santa Clara	Jan. 1-Feb. 10 Dec. 21-31	10		
Czechoslovakia	Dec. 21-01			Oct. 1-31, 1922: Cases, 3.
Province—			1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Bohemia	Oct. 1-31	1		i
Moravia Slovakia	Oct. 1-Nov. 30	1 2		•
Domenica (West Indies)		<del>-</del> -		Feb. 26, 1923: Present with several thousand cases (esti- mated). Reported as alastrim.
Dominican Republic: Puerto Plata	Dog 14 20	2	1	
Santo Domingo	Dec. 14-30 Dec. 3-16			Present.
Do	Dec. 3-16 Feb. 28-Mar. 6	3		
San Pedro de Macoris Ecuador:	Jan. 13-19	2		
Guayaquil Do	Dec. 1-31	10 11		
Egypt:		_		
Alexandria	Feb. 19-25 Jan. 21-27			
Esthonia.	Jau. 21-21			Oct. 1-Dec. 31, 1922: Cases, 61.
Do				Jan. 1-31, 1923: Cases, 16.
France: Paris	Dec. 1-10	1		
Germany: Bremen	Dec. 3-9	1		
Great Britain: Liverpool	Dec. 11-17	1		From vessel.
London	Nov. 26-Dec. 23	3		Trom vesser.
London Nottingham	Nov. 26-Dec. 23 Nov. 19-Dec. 13	4		
DoGreece:	Jan. 7-Feb. 24	11	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Kalamata	Jan. 13-Feb. 13		1	
Patras	Jan. 13-Feb. 13 Jan. 21-Feb. 17		84	
Saloniki	Nov. 6-Dec. 31 Jan. 15-28	6	5	
DoZante	Jan. 15-28	3		Epidemic, Jan. 17, 1923.
Do	Jan. 7-14	13	4	- ,
Guadaloupe (West Indies)			,,,,	Feb. 26, 1923: Present. Reported as alastrim.
Guatemala: Guatemala City	Feb. 23			Present.
India				Nov. 5-Dec. 30, 1922: Cases, 5,783; deaths, 333. Dec. 31, 1922-Jan.
Bombay	Nov. 5-Dec. 30	22	10	deaths, 333. Dec. 31, 1922-Jan.
Do Calcutta	Dec. 31-Feb. 10 Nov. 12-Dec. 30	56 46	25 23	20, 1923: Cases, 4,316; deaths, 1,033.
Do	Dec. 31-Feb. 17	91	52	1,000.
Karachi	Nov. 26-Dec. 30 Dec. 31-Feb. 24	6		
Do Madras	Nov. 12-Dec. 30	34	15	
Do	Dec. 31-Feb. 24	71 169	23 49	
Rangoon	Nov. 5-Dec. 30	27	6	
Do Iraq (Mesopotamia):	Jan. 7-Feb. 17	92	36	
Bagdad	Oct. 1-Nov. 30	568	361	
Do	Jan. 1-31	32	20	
Jamaica			•••••	Dec. 31, 1922-Mar. 10, 1923: Cases,
Japan:			1	393. Previously reported as alastrim.
Kobe	Jan. 13-Feb. 16	6	. 2	
Yokohama	Jan. 22-28	1		
Java: East Java—				
Soerabaya	Nov. 5-11	4		
Do	Feb. 4-10	2		
West Java— Batavia	Nov. 11-Dec. 22	25	1	City and Province.
Do		6	i!	Province.

# Reports Received from December 30, 1922, to April 13, 1923—Continued.

## SMALLPOX—Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Latvia				Oct. 1-Dec. 31, 1922: Cases, 7. Mar. 31, 1923: Present. Re-
Martinique		······	· ·····	Mar. 31, 1923: Present. Reported as alastrim.
Mexico: Chihushus	Dec. 4-17		. 4	
Do	Jan. 1-Mar. 18	38 4	17	
Guadalajara Do	. Jan. 1-Feb. 28	. 1 54		
Mexico City	i	1	1	Including municipalities in Federal district.
Do Nogales	Dec. 31-Mar. 3 Dec. 10-19		1	Do.
Do	Dec. 31-Feb. 10 Jan. 28-Feb. 3		2	
Saltillo San Luis Potosi Sonora, State	Jan. 14-20		i	Non 1 20 1000 Propert in most
Empalme Torreon.	Nov. 1-30	4	1	Nov. 1-30, 1922: Present in north- ern section.
Vera Cruz	Dec. 1-31 Feb. 26-Mar. 11	9	1 4	
Palestine		ļ		Jan. 23-Feb. 19, 1923: Cases, 8. Northern district.
Persia:	Dog 19 21		2	Trongacta district.
Tabriz Teheran	Dec. 18-31 Oct. 24-Dec. 22		139	
Peru: Caliao	Nov. 1-15	2		
Lima (city) Lima (country)	Dec. 1-15 Nov. 1-15	3 2	1	,
Poland				Oct. 1-Dec. 23, 1922: Cases, 132;
Portugal:				deaths, 26.
LisbonDo	Nov. 19-Dec. 30 Dec. 31-Feb. 24	143 135	34 73	Dec. 25-31, 1922: Deaths, 12.
Oporto	Oct. 15-Dec. 30	24	12	Feb. 19-Mar. 3, 1923: Cases, 17; deaths, 3.
D <sub>0</sub>	Dec. 31-Feb. 24	15	8	Jan. 5-20, 1923: Cases, 22; deaths,
Portuguese, West Africa: Angola—				<b>0.</b>
Loanda	Oct. 27-Nov. 11		10	
Rumania: Chisinau	Jan. 1-31	17		
Russia: City—				
Moscow				Jan. 1-31, 1923: Cases treated in hospital, 10.
Province— Ukraine			·	• '
Spain:				JanSept., 1922: Cases, 8,744.
Corunna	Nov. 26-Dec. 2 Nov. 24-Dec. 31		1 4	
Madrid Do	Dec. 1-31	••••••	1	
SevilleDo	Nov. 27-Dec. 31 Jan. 1-Mar. 11		32 16	
Valencia	Nov. 26-Dec. 23	3		
Do	Dec. 31-Mar. 10	22	2	
Basel Berne	Feb. 23-Mar. 3 Nov. 19-Dec. 30	3 85		
DoLucerne	Dec. 31-Mar. 3 Jan. 1-31	158		
Zurich	Nov. 19-Dec. 30	19		
Do	Jan. 14-Mar. 3	7	••••••	
Aleppo	Nov. 19-Dec. 23 Dec. 31-Feb. 24	38 26	20 6	
Beirut	Dec. 11-20 Nov. 1-Dec. 31	97	16	
Do	Jan. 1-31	19		
unis: Tunis	Dec. 1-22	2	1	
110	Tom OO Dob /	1	1	
'urkey:	Jan. 22-Feb. 4 Nov. 19-Dec. 16	*	*	

# Reports Received from December 30, 1922, to April 13, 1923—Continued.

## SMALLPOX—Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Union of South Africa				Oct. 1-Dec. 31, 1922: Cases—Colored, 64; deaths, 1; white, cases,
Do		ļ		Jan. 1-31, 1923: Cases, 22 (colored, 18, white, 4; deaths, 1
Cape Province				(colored). Oct. 1-Dec. 31, 1922: Cases—Colored, 48; deaths, 1; white, 4
Do				cases. Jan. 1-31, 1923: Cases, 14 (colored, 10, white, 4).
Do East London Natal	Dec. 31-Feb. 10 Jan. 7-13	2		Outbreaks.  Dec. 1-31, 1922: Cases, 6 (colored).
Do		ł		Jan. 1–31, 1923: Cases, 4; deaths, 1 (colored).
Do Orange Free State Do	T 14 To-b 0			Dec. 1-31, 1922: Cases, 2 (colored). Jan. 1-31, 1923: Cases, 3 (colored). Outbreaks.
Do	1			Oct. 1-Dec. 31, 1922; Cases, 10,
Do Do Johannesburg	Dec. 31-Feb. 10 Nov. 1-30		1	Jan. 1-31, 1923: Cases, 1 (colored). Outbreaks.
Yugoslavia	Jan. 1–31	1		Aug. 1–31, 1922: Cases, 30; deaths,
SerbiaBelgrade	Nov. 12-Dec. 31	10	4	Aug. 1-31, 1922: Cases, 26.
S. S. Huntress				At Fremantle, Australia: from Cape Town, South Africa.
S. S. Junin	Jan. 13			At Antofagasta, Chile. Vessel proceeded to Arica, Chile, with patient on board.
s. s. ——	Dec. 17-23	1		At Liverpool.

### TYPHUS FEVER.

	1		<del>,</del>	
Algeria:		1	1	
Algiers	Nov. 11-Dec. 31	2	1	1
Do	Jan. 1-31	7	2	
Oran	Jan. 11-20	i	l ī	1
Austria:		1		
Vienna	Jan. 7-17	1	l	
Bolivia:				
La Paz	Jan. 1-31	17	9	
Brazil:			· ·	
Pernambuco	Dec. 3-9	2	2	
Porto Alegre	Nov. 19-Dec.16	3	l	,
Do	Feb. 25-Mar. 3	1	3	ĺ
Bulgaria:				
Sofia	Feb. 4-10	1	1	Paratyphus, 2 cases.
Chile:		Ì	ŀ	'* '
Antolagasta	Nov. 12-Dec. 30	24	5	Nov. 11-Dec. 5, 1922; Cases, 10;
Do	Dec. 31-Feb. 24	3	2	deaths, 2. Quarantine station;
Concepcion	Oct. 17-Dec. 18	1	9	October, 1922-1 fatal case,
Dô	Dec. 26-Jan. 15		7	On vessel from Valparaiso;
Iquique			1	November, 1922-cases, 7; De-
Talcahuano	Nov. 12-Dec. 23	10	6	cember, 1922—cases, 9; remain-
Do	Jan. 7-Feb 11	5	2	ing, Dec. 31, 3 cases.
Valparaiso	Dec. 3-30	1	9	,
Do	Dec. 31-Feb. 10	1	23	Daily hospital average, 25 cases.
China:		1		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Antung	Nov. 13-Dec. 10	7		
Manchuria-				
Harbin	Nov. 20-26	7		
Do	Jan. 1-Feb. 18	7		
Cuba:				
Matanzas	Dec 25-31	1 1	1	

# Reports Received from December 30, 1922, to April 13, 1923—Continued.

### TYPHUS FEVER-Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Czechoslovakia:				
City—		l		ı
Prague	Nov. 19-25	1		
Province	37 1 00	١.		
Bohemia Ruthenia	Nov. 1-30 Oct. 1-Dec. 31	1 25		4 1
Slovakia	Nov. 1-30	2.0		
Danzig (Free City)	Jan. 7-Feb. 24	2		Including one from Poland.
Egypt:				
Alexandria	Nov. 19-Dec. 31	2	1	
Do	Jan. 22-Mar. 4	2		
Cairo	Oct. 1-Dec. 31	19	9	
Do Esthonia	Jan. 1-7	3	1	Oet 1 Dec 21 1000, Cons.
250110011 <b>8</b>				Oct. 1-Dec. 31, 1922: Cases, ( Recurrent typhus: Cases, 10 Year 1922: Cases, 159; recurrent
				Year 1922; Cases, 159; recurrer
		1	'	typhus, 91 cases. Jan. 1-31, 1923: Cases, 2. Recur
Do				Jan. 1-31, 1923: Cases, 2. Recui
Libert.	Dec. 24-30	1		rent typhus, cases, 4.
Narva				rent typhus, cases, 4. Year 1922: Cases, 140; recurren
Germany:	1	4		typhus cases, 83.
Berlin	Nov. 26-Dec. 2		1	
Coblenz	Nov. 26-Dec. 2 Dec. 10-16	i		* *
Dresden	do	Î		
Great Britain:				
Glasgow	Jan. 7-Feb. 17	4	1	1.
Greece:	77.0			
Corfu Island	Feb. 8			Present.
Leucadia Patras	Jan. 17		i	Do
Do	Nov. 19-25 Jan. 1-17	3	5	
Piræus	Feb. 8			Do.
Prevesa	Jan. 17			Do.
Saloniki	Dec. 18-24	3		Among refugees.
Do	Jan. 7-28	16	3	Refugees.
Zante	Jan. 17			Present.
Guatemala:	Tam 1 21			
Guatemala City	Jan. 1-31		1	
Hungary Budapest	Jan. 14-Feb. 17	13	3	
reland:	Jun. 11 1 co. 11	. 10		
Belmullet	June 15-Dec. 14	20		In county Mayo.
Italy:	1			
Trieste	Feb. 26-Mar. 3	1		
Latvia				Oct. 1-Dec. 31, 1922: Cases, 74
Mexico:				Recurrent typhus cases, 8.
Mexico.	Nov. 12-Dec. 30	90		Including municipalities in Fed
Money city	1101112 200100111	"		eral District.
Do	Dec. 31-Mar. 3	112		Do.
San Luis Potosi	Jan. 28-Feb. 10		2	
Palestine				Dec. 5-25, 1922: Cases, 3; in north ern section. Feb. 27-Mar.
Jaffa	Dec. 12-18	2		ern section. Feb. 27-Mar.
Do	Jan. 16-Feb. 26 Dec. 26-Jan. 1	1		1922—1 case in northern section
Paraguay:	1900. 20-Jan. 1	1 1		· ·
Asuncion	Jan. 1-27	l	1	
Persia:			-	
Tabriz	Dec. 18-31		3	
Teheran	Sept. 24-Nov. 24.		3	
Poland				Oct. 1-Dec. 23, 1922: Cases, 1,916
	1			Oct. 1-Dec. 23, 1922: Cases, 1,916 deaths, 130. Recurrent ty phus: Cases, 2,071; deaths, 56
Dout upolt	1			imus. Casus, Livit, ucusius, 50
	Oct. 15-Dec. 2	1	1	
Portugal:		ļ <u> </u>	_	
Portugal: Oporto Rumania:				To Jan. 31, 1923: Cases, 96 deaths, 13.
Oporto				
Oporto	Nov. 1-30	_5		deaths, 13.
Oporto	Jan. 1-31	5 71		deaths, 13. Recurrent typhus: Cases, 33.
Oporto	Nov. 1-30 Jan. 1-31			Recurrent typhus: Cases, 33. July 30-Sept. 23. 1922: Cases
Oporto. Rumania: Bucharest. Chisinau Do.	Jan. 1-31	71 290		Recurrent typhus: Cases, 33. July 30-Sept. 23, 1922: Cases 23,803.
Oporto. Rumania: Bucharest Chisinau Do Russia Moscow	Jan. 1-31	71 290		Recurrent typhus: Cases, 33. July 30-Sept. 23, 1922: Cases 23,803. Undetermined cases, 38.
Oporto. Rumania: Bucharest. Chisinau Do. Russia.  Moscow. Ukraine, Tartar Republic,	Jan. 1-31	71 290		Recurrent typhus: Cases, 33. July 30-Sept. 23, 1922: Cases 23,803.
Oporto. Rumania: Bucharest Chisinau Do Russia.  Moscow. Ukraine. Ukraine, Tartar Republic, and Siberia.	Jan. 1-31 Jan31 JanSept. June 1-30	290 307, 323 35, 925		Recurrent typhus: Cases, 33. July 30-Sept. 23, 1922: Cases 23,803. Undetermined cases, 38. Provisional figures.
Oporto. Rumania: Bucharest. Chisinau Do. Russia.  Moscow. Ukraine, Tartar Republic,	Jan. 1-31	290 307, 323 35, 925		Recurrent typhus: Cases, 33. July 30-Sept. 23, 1922: Cases 23,803. Undetermined cases, 38.

## Reports Received from December 30, 1922, to April, 1923—Continued.

### TYPHUS FEVER—Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Siberia:				
Vladivostok	1	1		. Remittent, 1 case; indefinite, 6 cases.
Spain:	. Jan. 1-31	43		. Remittent, 1 case; indefinite, 10
BarcelonsDo.	Nov. 30-Dec. 27 Jan. 11-17		1	i
Madrid Syria: Aleppo	Dec. 1-31	1	. 1	
DoBeirut.		37	9	
Turkey: Constantinople.	1	l		
Do		70	5	Mar. 6, 1923: Present. Oct. 1-Dec. 31, 1922: Colored—
				cases, 3,097; deaths, 298; white-
Do				Jan. 1-31, 1923: Total—cases, 597 deaths, 66. (Colored—cases, 597 deaths, 65; white—cases, 10; 1
Cape Province				death.) Oct. 1-Dec. 31, 1922: Colored— cases, 2,799; deaths, 250; white—
Do				cases, 6; deaths, 1.  Jan. 1-31, 1923; Colored—cases, 513; deaths, 54; white—10 cases, 1 death.
Do Port Elizabeth	Dec. 31-Feb. 17 Jan. 28-Feb. 3	i		Outbreaks.
Natal				Oct. 1-Dec. 31, 1922: Colored— cases, 143; deaths, 32; white—
Do				cases, 2. Jan. 1-31, 1923: Colored—cases, 19: deaths, 1; white—1 case.
Do Orange Free State	Feb. 4-17			Outbreaks. Oct. 1-Dec. 31, 1922: Colored— cases, 91; deaths, 8; white—
Do				cases, 91; deaths, 8; white—cases, 3; deaths, 1.  Jan. 1-31, 1923: Colored—cases, 37; deaths, 5; white—1 case.
Do Transvaal	Jan. 7-Feb. 3			Outbreaks. Oct. 1-Dec. 31, 1922: Colored— cases, 64; deaths, 8. Jan. 1-31, 1923: Colored—cases,
Do		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Jan. 1-31, 1923: Colored—cases, 18: deaths, 6; white—cases, 2.
Do	Jan. 14-Feb. 17 Nov. 1-30 Jan. 1-31	3 4	6 2	Outbreaks.
Venezuela: Maracaibo			1	
Yugoslavia: Bosnia-Herzegovina Serbia	Aug. 1-31	1		Aug. 1-31, 1922: Recurrent ty- phus fever, cases, 4.
	YELLOW	FEVE	R.	
Brazil: Bahia	Dec. 31-Feb. 24	40	. 10	
Mexico: Ciudad Victoria Tampico	Dec. 17-23	1		Reported on bills of health.
West Africa: Gold Coast—	1			•
Saltpond Nigeria— Warrai.				Reported present Dec. 21, 1922.  Do.
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				