# Identification of *Urochloa humidicola* hybrids with waterlogging tolerance and Biological Nitrification Inhibition (BNI) capability

<u>Ashly Arévalo</u>; Jacobo Arango; Valheria Castiblanco; Juan Andrés Cardoso. International Center for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT) - Tropical Forages Program, Colombia. **CONTACT**: <u>a.arevalo@cgiar.org</u>; <u>j.a.cardoso@cgiar.org</u>

## Introduction

Soil waterlogging (flooding of the soil) is a major limitation to pasture productivity due to the slow diffusion of gases in water that reduces plant growth, as  $O_2$  availability in the root zone decreases (Cardoso et al., 2014). Biological nitrification inhibition (BNI) is a process where roots exudate organic substances that inhibit the activity of soil nitrifiers - nitrification (Subbarao et al., 2007, 2009, Nunez et al., 2017).

*Urochloa humidicola* (*Uh*) is an important forage grass in humid lowland tropics that has been identified and characterized for having good waterlogging tolerance (Keller-Grein et al. 1996; Calisto et al. 2008; Cardoso et al., 2013) and high soil nitrification inhibitory potential (Subbarao et al, 2007;



**Figure 1:** Ranges of waterlogging tolerance among *Urochloa humidicola*. Note that Uh16-1351 and Uh16-1756 show greater green leaf area than checks.



Gopalakrishnan et al., 2007).

# Objective

To evaluate the variation in waterlogging tolerance and BNI of twenty seven hybrids of *Urochloa humidicola* developed by the *Urochloa* breeding program of CIAT. Two commercial cultivars of *Urochloa humidicola* (cvs. Tully and Llanero) were included for comparison purposes (checks).

# **Materials and Methods**

### Waterlogging tolerance test

Shoot growth (pixels) was measured in plants growing in a top Oxisol which was mixed with river sand in a proportion of 2:1 (w/w) and fertilized to avoid nutrient deficiencies. Plants were planted into PVC pipes of 80 cm high and 7.5 cm diameter in a factorial combination of two drainage conditions: drained (field capacity) and waterlogged.

The trial was established in a four-replicate randomized complete block, for 23 days under greenhouse conditions. Shoot growth was estimated as in Jiménez et al (2017).

**Figure 2:** Time course of growth and acclimation processes of 27 *Urochloa humidicola* hybrids after 23 days of growth under drained or waterlogged soil conditions.

One promising hybrid for waterlogging (Uh16-1351) also showed highest BNI capacity among hybrids and similar to that shown by a commercial cultivar with high BNI (CIAT 679 cv. Tully, Figure 3).

## **Potential nitrification**

Soil nitrification potential was measured to evaluate BNI capability from top soil samples taken in plots of  $1m^2$  using a modified shaken slurry procedure (Hart et al., 1994, L. He et al. 2018) One g of soil (air-dried) was mixed with 10mL assay solution (30mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>; 0.7mM K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> and 0.75mM ammonium sulfate, pH = 7.2) in a 50 mL covered flask. Then, incubated at 120 rpm at 25 °C. Potential nitrification rates were determined at 0h, 24h, until 96h intervals to calculate the slope of a linear regression of (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>)-N production versus time.

# Results

Two hybrids were identified as promising based on similar biomass to that of commercial cultivars under drained and waterlogged soil conditions. These two genotypes showed ability to maintain positive growth under waterlogging conditions. This ability is most likely the outcome of a larger root (dry mass) than most of the other hybrids and the two checks tested (Figures 1 & 2).



**Figure 3:** Potential nitrification from soil rhizospheric of 27 *Urochloa humidicola* hybrids. Low potential nitrification (expressed as mg N-NO3– Kg-1 day -1) represents high BNI capacity.

# Conclusions

50

45

We identified one *Urochloa humidicola* hybrid (Uh16-1351) with both waterlogging tolerance and high BNI capacity. This promising hybrid needs to be further tested under field conditions.

Nuñez J; Arévalo A; Karwat H; Egenolf K; Miles J; Chirinda N; Cadisch G; Rasche F; Rao I; Subbarao G; Arango J. 2018. Biological nitrification inhibition activity in a soil-grown biparental population of the forage grass, *Brachiaria humidicola*. Plant and Soil 426:401–411. doi: <u>10.1007/s11104-018-3626-5</u> Subbarao GV; Rondon M; Ito O; Ishikawa T; Rao IM; Nakahara K; Lascano C; Berry WL. 2007. Biological nitrification inhibition (BNI)—is it a widespread phenomenon?. Plant and Soil 294:5–18. doi: <u>10.1007/s11104-006-9159-3</u>

#### References

Calisto V; Fuzinatto VA; Message HJ; Mendes-Bonato AB; Boldrini KR; Pagliarini MS; do Valle CB. (2008) Desynapsis and precocious cytokinesis in *Brachiaria humidicola* (Poaceae) compromise meiotic division. Journal of Genetics 87:27–31. doi: <u>10.1007/s12041-008-0004-8</u>

Cardoso JA; Jiménez JC; Rincón JD; Guevara E; Van Der Hoek R; Jarvis A; Peters M; Miles J; Ayarza M; Cajas S; Rincón A; [...]; Idupulapati Rao. 2013. Advances in improving tolerance to waterlogging in *Brachiaria* grasses. Trop. grassl.-Forrajes trop. 1:197–201. doi: <u>10.17138/TGFT(1)197-201</u>

Cardoso JA; Jiménez J; Rao IM. 2014. Waterlogging-induced changes in root architecture of germplasm accessions of the tropical forage grass Brachiaria humidicola. AoB PLANTS 6:plu017. doi: 10.1093/aobpla/plu017

Gopalakrishnan S; Subbarao GV; Nakahara K; Yoshihashi T; Ito O; Maeda I; Ono H; Yoshida M. 2007. Nitrification inhibitors from the root tissues of *Brachiaria humidicola*, a tropical grass. J. Agric. Food Chem. 55:1385–1388. doi: <u>10.1021/jf0625930</u>

Hart SC; Stark JM; Davidson EA; Firestone MK. 1994. Nitrogen mineralization, immobilization, and nitrification. In Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2, Microbiological and Biochemical Properties. Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI. p. 985–1018. doi: <u>10.2136/sssabookser5.2.c42</u>

He L; Bi Y; Zhao J; Pittelkow CM; [...] Xing G. 2018. Population and community structure shifts of ammonia oxidizers after four-year successive biochar application to agricultural acidic and alkaline soils. Science of the Total Environment 619–620:1105–1115. doi: <u>10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.029</u>

Jiménez JC; Cardoso JA; Leiva LF; Gil J; Forero MG; Worthington ML; Miles JW; Rao IM. 2017. Non-destructive Phenotyping to Identify *Brachiaria* Hybrids Tolerant to Waterlogging Stress under Field Conditions. Front. Plant Sci. 8:167. doi: <u>10.3389/fpls.2017.00167</u>

Keller-Grein G; Mass BL; Hanson J. 1996. Natural variation in *Brachiaria* and existing germplasm collection. In: Miles JW; Maass BL; do Valle CB, editors. *Brachiaria*, biology, agronomy and improvement. CIAT/Embrapa, Cali, Colombia. p. 16–42. <u>hdl.handle.net/10568/82023</u>



This poster is licensed for use under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC BY 4.0) 2019-03. Design: JL Urrea (CIAT)

Subbarao GV, Nakahara K, Hurtado MP, Ono H, Moreta DE, Salcedo AF, Yoshihashi AT, Ishikawa T, Ishitani M, Ohnishi-Kameyama M et al. (2009). Evidence for biological nitrification inhibition in *Brachiaria* pastures. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 106:17302–17307. doi: <u>10.1073/pnas.0903694106</u>

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge support from the UK Research and Innovation (UKRI) Global Challenges Research Fund (GCRF) GROW Colombia grant via the UK's Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BB/P028098/1).

This work was conducted as part of the CGIAR Research Program on Livestock, and is supported by contributors to the CGIAR Trust Fund. CGIAR is a global research partnership for a food-secure future. Its science is carried out by 15 Research Centers in close collaboration with hundreds of partners across the globe. www.cgiar.org.

