## PLOS GENETICS

RESEARCH ARTICLE

De novo mutations in the GTP/GDP-binding region of RALA, a RAS-like small GTPase, cause intellectual disability and developmental delay

Susan M. Hiatt[1], Matthew B. Neu[1,2], Ryne C. Ramaker[1,2], Andrew A. Hardigan[1,2], Jeremy W. Prokop[3], Miroslava Hancarova[4], Darina Prchalova[4], Marketa Havlovicova[4], Jan Prchal[5], Viktor Stranecky[6], Dwight K. C. Yim[7], Zöe Powis[8], Boris Keren[9], Caroline Nava[9], Cyril Mignot[9,10,11], Marlene Rio[10,12], Anya Revah-Politi[13], Parisa Hemati[13], Nicholas Stong[13], Alejandro D. Iglesias[14], Sharon F. Suchy[15], Rebecca Willaert[15], Ingrid M. Wentzensen[15], Patricia G. Wheeler[16], Lauren Brick[17], Mariya Kozenko[17], Anna C. E. Hurst[2], James W. Wheless[18,19], Yves Lacassie[20,21], Richard M. Myers[1], Gregory S. Barsh[1], Zdenek Sedlacek[4], Gregory M. Cooper[1]*

1 HudsonAlpha Institute for Biotechnology, Huntsville, AL, United States of America, 2 Department of Genetics, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, United States of America, 3 Department of Pediatrics and Human Development, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, United States of America, 4 Department of Biology and Medical Genetics, Charles University 2nd Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Motol, Prague, Czech Republic, 5 Laboratory of NMR Spectroscopy, University of Chemistry and Technology, Prague, Czech Republic, 6 Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Diagnostic and Research Unit for Rare Diseases, Charles University 1st Faculty of Medicine and General University Hospital, Prague, Czech Republic, 7 Kaiser Permanente-Hawaii, Honolulu, HI, United States of America, 8 Department of Emerging Genetic Medicine, Ambry Genetics, Aliso Viejo, CA, United States of America, 9 Department of Genetics, La Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, France, 10 Centre de Référence Déficiences Intellectuelles de Causes Rares, Paris, France, 11 Groupe de Recherche Clinique UPMC "Déficience Intellectuelle et Autisme", Paris, France, 12 Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, service de Génétique, Hôpital Necker-Enfants-Malades, Paris, France, 13 Institute for Genomic Medicine, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY, United States of America, 14 Division of Clinical Genetics, Department of Pediatrics, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY, United States of America, 15 GeneDx, Gaithersburg, MD, United States of America, 16 Arnold Palmer Hospital, Division of Genetics, Orlando, FL, United States of America, 17 Department of Genetics, McMaster Children's Hospital, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada, 18 Division of Pediatric Neurology, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Neuroscience Institute & Le Bonheur Comprehensive Epilepsy Program, Memphis, TN, United States of America, 19 Le Bonheur Children's Hospital, Memphis, TN, United States of America, 20 Division of Clinical Genetics, Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center, New Orleans, LA, United States of America, 21 Department of Genetics, Children's Hospital, New Orleans, LA, United States of America

☯ These authors contributed equally to this work.
* gcooper@hudsonalpha.org

Abstract

Mutations that alter signaling of RAS/MAPK-family proteins give rise to a group of Mendelian diseases known as RASopathies. However, among RASopathies, the matrix of genotype-phenotype relationships is still incomplete, in part because there are many RAS-related proteins and in part because the phenotypic consequences may be variable and/or pleiotropic. Here, we describe a cohort of ten cases, drawn from six clinical sites and over 16,000 sequenced probands, with *de novo* protein-altering variation in *RALA*, a RAS-like small GTPase. All probands present with speech and motor delays, and most have intellectual disability, low weight, short stature, and facial dysmorphism. The observed rate of *de novo*

RALA variants in affected probands is significantly higher (p = 4.93 x 10^{-11}) than expected from the estimated random mutation rate. Further, all *de novo* variants described here affect residues within the GTP/GDP-binding region of *RALA*; in fact, six alleles arose at only two codons, Val25 and Lys128. The affected residues are highly conserved across both RAL- and RAS-family genes, are devoid of variation in large human population datasets, and several are homologous to positions at which disease-associated variants have been observed in other GTPase genes. We directly assayed GTP hydrolysis and RALA effector-protein binding of the observed variants, and found that all but one tested variant significantly reduced both activities compared to wild-type. The one exception, S157A, reduced GTP hydrolysis but significantly increased RALA-effector binding, an observation similar to that seen for oncogenic RAS variants. These results show the power of data sharing for the interpretation and analysis of rare variation, expand the spectrum of molecular causes of developmental disability to include *RALA*, and provide additional insight into the pathogenesis of human disease caused by mutations in small GTPases.

Author summary

While many causes of developmental disabilities have been identified, a large number of affected children cannot be diagnosed despite extensive medical testing. Previously unknown genetic factors are likely to be the culprits in many of these cases. Using DNA sequencing, and by sharing information among many doctors and researchers, we have identified a set of individuals with developmental problems who all have changes to the same gene, *RALA*. The affected individuals all have similar symptoms, including intellectual disability, speech delay (or no speech), and problems with motor skills like walking. In nearly all of these cases (10 of 11), the genetic change found in the child was not inherited from either parent. The locations and biological properties of these changes suggest that they are likely to disrupt the normal functions of RALA. Functional experiments also show that the genetic changes found in these individuals alter two key functions of RALA. Together, we have provided evidence that genetic changes in *RALA* can cause developmental disabilities. These results will allow doctors and researchers to identify additional children with the same condition, providing a clinical diagnosis to these families and leading to new research opportunities.

Introduction

Developmental delay and intellectual disability (DD/ID) affect about 1–2% of individuals worldwide [1]. Many highly penetrant genetic variants underlying DD/ID have been identified, but a large fraction of disease risk remains unexplained [2, 3]. While some DD/ID-cases may result from environmental factors and small-effect common variants [4], it is likely that many probands harbor pathogenic, highly penetrant variation in as-yet-unknown disease-associated genes.

The RASopathies are a group of genetic conditions often associated with developmental disorders [5], having in common mutational disruption of genes in the RAS/MAPK pathway that alter patterns of signal transduction. RASopathies are individually rare and pleiotropic but are collectively one of the most common causes of developmental disorders. Associated

features include neurocognitive impairment, craniofacial dysmorphology, anomalies of the cardiovascular and musculoskeletal systems, cutaneous lesions, and increased risk of tumor formation [6]. For example, variation in *HRAS* is associated with Costello Syndrome (MIM:218040), variation in *KRAS* is associated with Noonan Syndrome 3 (MIM:609942) and Cardiofaciocutaneous syndrome 2 (MIM:615278), and variation in *NRAS* has been observed in probands with Noonan syndrome 6 (MIM:613224) and other RASopathy-associated phenotypes [7].

Given the genetic and phenotypic heterogeneity among DD/ID in general and RASopathies in particular, collaboration and data sharing among clinicians, researchers, and sequencing centers is necessary to enable, or accelerate, discoveries of new forms of disease. One tool to facilitate such collaborations is GeneMatcher, launched in 2013 as a way to connect researchers and clinicians with interests in specific genes [8].

Here, we present details of a cohort, assembled via GeneMatcher, of eleven total probands (including one set of monozygotic twins) with protein-altering variation in *RALA*, which encodes a RAS-like small GTPase; the variants arose *de novo* in ten of these probands. All probands present with developmental delay. Detailed phenotyping, computational analyses of observed variation, and functional studies lead to the conclusion that missense variation affecting the GTPase activity and downstream signaling of RALA underlies a new neurodevelopmental RASopathy-like disorder.

Results

This study originated as a collaboration facilitated by GeneMatcher through shared interest in *RALA* as a result of observations from exome sequencing (ES) or genome sequencing (GS) as part of DD/ID-related clinical or research testing. In the Methods and Supporting Information (S1 Text, S1 Table), we describe the research sites that identified one or more affected probands reported in this study, the methods used for sequencing and analysis, and related details. In total, we identified *RALA* mutations in eleven affected probands from ten unrelated families. These variants were identified from a combined set of over 16,000 probands sequenced by six groups who independently submitted *RALA* to GeneMatcher (Table 1, S1 Table).

Phenotypic details

All eleven probands presented with speech problems, including absent speech in seven and speech delay in the remaining four. Ten of the eleven probands are reported to have hypotonia, with eight unable to walk. Intellectual disability was specifically noted for 8 of 11, (but not ruled out for the remaining three, see Table 1). Birth measurements were available for nine probands and three (33%) reported either length or weight (or both) at less than the tenth percentile. Height and weight measurements at last examination were available for all probands (except for height in one). Six of ten probands (60%) were reported to have heights less than the 10th percentile at last examination, while eight of eleven (73%) were reported to have weights less than the 10th percentile. Three probands had head circumference measurements greater than or equal to the 90th percentile at last evaluation. Nine of eleven probands were reported to have dysmorphic facial features. Several consistent features were observed, including a broad, prominent forehead, horizontal eyebrows, epicanthus, mild ptosis, slightly anteverted nares, wide nasal bridge, short philtrum, thin upper lip vermillion with an exaggerated Cupid's bow, pointed chin, and low-set ears with increased posterior angulation (Fig 1).

Additional common but variable features were observed: seizures were present in most probands (6/11), as were structural brain abnormalities detected by MRI (9/11). Six of eleven probands were reported to have skeletal anomalies such as clinodactyly (3 of 6) and/or 2/3 toe

Table 1. Genotypes and phenotypes of individuals with variation in *RALA*.

	Proband 1	Proband 2	Proband 3	Proband 4*	Proband 5*	Proband 6	Proband 7	Proband 8	Proband 9	Proband 10	Proband 11
Sequencing Site	Site A	Site B	Site C	Site D	Site D	Site E	Site F	Site F	Site F	Site F	Site A
Variant (NM_005402.3)	c.73G>A	c.73G>A	c.73G>A	c.73G>T	c.73G>T	c.383A>G	c.383A>G	c.389A>G	c.469T>G	c.472_474delGCT	c.526C>T
Variant (NP_005393.2)	p.(V25M)	p.(V25M)	p.(V25M)	p.(V25L)	p.(V25L)	p.(K128R)	p.(K128R)	p.(D130G)	p.(S157A)	p.(A158del)	p.(R176X)
CADD v1.3	33	33	33	33	33	26.6	26.6	29.6	31	22.1	41
Inheritance	de novo	de novo	de novo	de novo	de novo	de novo	de novo	de novo	de novo	de novo	unknown
Age at last examination	11y	1y 8m	7y 5m	15y	15y	13y	2y 8m	3y 6m	3y 9m	2y 3m	16m
Gender	female	male	male	male	male	male	female	male	male	male	male
Growth Parameters											
Length at birth ≤10%ile	-	-	-	+	+	NR	-	NR	-	-	+
Weight at birth ≤10%ile	-	-	-	+	-	NR	-	-	-	-	+
Height at last examination ≤10%ile	+	-	+	+	+	NR	-	+	-	-	+
Weight at last examination ≤10%ile	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
OFC at last evaluation (%ile)	NR	90–97	75 (at 5 y)	53	53	90	56	75	75–80	>98	<3
Cognitive abilities	moderate ID	severe ID	ID/global developmental delay	profound ID	profound ID	ID/severe global developmental delay	ID/developmental delay	moderate to marked ID	global developmental delay	global developmental delay	profound global developmental delay
Verbal abilities	speech delay	absent speech	speech delay	absent speech	absent speech	absent speech	absent speech	absent speech	speech delay	speech delay	absent speech (tracheostomy in place)
Autism Spectrum Disorder	+	+	+	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Hypotonia	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Able to walk?	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Facial dysmorphism	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
Seizures	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
Skeletal Anomalies	mid-fifth finger clinodactyly	fifth finger clinodactyly, 2/3 toe syndactyly	NR	long, thin fingers with hyperextensible joints	long, thin fingers with hyperextensible joints	NR	fifth toe clinodactyly, 2/3 toe syndactyly	left mild clubfoot	NR	NR	NR
Brain MRI**	normal	abnormal	normal	abnormal	abnormal	abnormal	abnormal	abnormal	abnormal	abnormal	abnormal
Other variants of interest**	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+

*Probands 4 and 5 are monozygotic twins.

**See clinical summaries in S2 Text for further description of MRI findings, other variants of interest, and additional phenotype information.

CADD, Combined Annotation-Dependent Depletion [9]; y, years; m, months; NR, not reported; OFC, occipitofrontal circumference; ID, intellectual disability.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007671.t001

Fig 1. Facial features of individuals with variation in *RALA*. Overlapping features include a broad, prominent forehead, horizontal eyebrows, epicanthus, mild ptosis, slightly anteverted nares, wide nasal bridge, short philtrum, thin upper lip vermillion with an exaggerated Cupid's bow, pointed chin, and low-set ears with increased posterior angulation.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007671.g001

syndactyly (2 of 6). None of the probands are reported to have had cancer. Clinical summaries with additional details are available in Supporting Information (S2 Text).

Molecular characterization of variation

Genetic variation within this cohort includes eight *de novo* heterozygous missense variants in nine probands (including the monozygotic twin pair), one *de novo* heterozygous in-frame deletion of one amino acid, and one heterozygous premature stop of unknown inheritance (Table 1, Fig 2A). Except for R176X (see below), all observed variants are absent from gnomAD [10] and TopMed genomes ("Bravo") [11]. These variants have scaled CADD scores ranging from 22.1 to 41 suggesting they are highly deleterious, similar to the majority of mutations previously reported to cause Mendelian diseases [9].

Seven probands (1–7), including the monozygotic twin pair, harbor recurrent *de novo* variants affecting one of only two codons, those encoding residues Val25 and Lys128, while the remaining three *de novo* variants affect Asp130, Ser157, and Ala158. All of these residues are computationally annotated as one of 24 residues, within a total protein length of 206 amino acids, that form the GTP/GDP-binding region of the RALA protein (Fig 2, Methods). While Val25 does not directly interact with GTP/GDP, variation observed at this position (Val25Met and Val25Leu) would likely result in distortion of the structure of the GTP/GDP-binding pocket (Fig 2B and 2C; S1 Fig). Lys128, Asp130, and Ser157 all form hydrogen bonds with GTP/GDP in the wild type protein (Fig 2B and 2C; S2 Fig, S3 Fig, S4 Fig). Although Lys128Arg would retain the positive charge of the side chain, steric hindrance resulting from the larger size of the Arg side chain would likely result in disruption of this binding pocket (S2 Fig). Both Asp130Gly and Ser157Ala are predicted to result in loss of hydrogen bond formation (Fig 2B and 2C; S3 Fig, S4 Fig). The remaining *de novo* variant, an in-frame deletion of Ala158, results

Fig 2. Variation observed in RALA clusters in GTP/GDP-binding regions. A. Linear model of RALA, including GTP/GDP-binding regions (depicted in yellow, as defined by molecular modeling data) and the CAAX motif (CCIL in the case of RALA; depicted in green). Positions of amino acid residues that form the GTP/GDP-binding region are listed below the model, and residues within those regions are listed above the model. Residues affected by variation observed here are shown in red. The predicted protein changes for described variation are shown above the affected amino acid residues. B. Positions of RALA amino acid residues affected by variation relative to the GDP molecule. C. A zoomed in view of the variation observed within the GTP/GDP-binding region. GDP is shown in a licorice representation in orange. The RALA protein is shown in a cartoon representation in green, with the mutated residues in licorice representation. V25 is in yellow, K128 in blue, D130 in red, S157 in magenta, and A158 in black. Hydrogen bonds between the side chains of these amino acids and GDP are shown as black dashed lines. See S1–S5 and S8 Figs for consequences of individual variants on the protein structure.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007671.g002

in a shift of Lys159 into the GTP/GDP binding region of RALA, which likely hinders GTP/GDP binding (S5 Fig). Variation at all five of these residues is thus structurally predicted to alter GTP/GDP binding. This conclusion is consistent with the high degree of conservation at these residues throughout evolution of *RALA* (S6 Fig) as well as in other related genes including HRAS, KRAS, and NRAS (S7 Fig) and RAP1A/B and RHOA[12].

The predicted nonsense variant Arg176X in proband 11 lies within the last exon of *RALA*, and thus may not result in nonsense-mediated decay (NMD) of the transcript. This would yield a protein that lacks the 29 C-terminal residues (S8 Fig), which are known to contain at least two critical regulatory regions. Phosphorylation of Ser194 by Aurora kinase A (AURKA) activates RALA, affects its localization, and results in activation of downstream effectors like RALBP1 [13, 14]. Additionally, the C-terminal CAAX motif (CCIL in the case of RALA) is essential for proper localization and activation of RALA via prenylation of Cys203 [15, 16].

Enrichment and clustering of missense variation

We next assessed whether the *de novo* variants in our cohort were enriched compared to that which would be expected in the absence of a disease association. The background frequency of *de novo* missense or loss-of-function variation in *RALA* (6.16×10^{-6} per chromosome) was taken from Samocha et al. [17], in which the authors estimated the expected rates of *de novo* mutation based on gene length and trinucleotide sequence context. In our study, eight unrelated individuals were drawn from cohorts of at least 400 proband-parent trios, collectively spanning 16,086 probands (S1 Table). When comparing the frequency of observed *de novo* variation to the expected background frequency of *de novo* missense or loss-of-function variation in *RALA* (6.16×10^{-6} per chromosome) [17], we find a highly significant enrichment for *de novo* variants in affected probands (8 observed *de novo* variants in 32172 screened alleles vs. 0.198 expected, Exact Binomial test p = 4.93×10^{-11}). We note that this p-value is likely conservative, as it results from comparison of the observed rate to the expected frequency of *de novo* variation over the entire gene. However, six of the nine *de novo* alleles affect only two codons, and all observed *de novo* variants are within the GTP-interacting space of 24 residues (11.7% of the 206-aa protein, Fig 2A). This clustering likely reflects a mechanism of disease that depends specifically on alterations to GTP/GDP binding and, subsequently, RALA signaling.

Population genetic data also support pathogenicity of the observed *de novo* variants. *RALA* has a pLI score of 0.95 in ExAC [10], suggesting that it is intolerant to loss-of-function variation. While *RALA* has an RVIS score rank [18] of 50.45%, it also has an observed/expected ratio percentile of 0.92%, a score that has been suggested to be more accurate for small proteins wherein observed and expected allele counts are relatively small [19]. Furthermore, population genetic data also support the likely special relevance of mutations in the GTP/GDP-binding pocket. No high-quality ("PASS" only) missense variants are observed at any frequency at any of the 24 GTP/GDP-coordinating residues in either gnomAD [10] or BRAVO [11]; in contrast, there are missense variants observed at 34 of the 182 RALA residues outside the GTP/GDP-interaction region (S2 Table). This distribution across *RALA* is likely non-random (Fisher's exact test p = 0.017) and suggestive of especially high variation intolerance in this region of RALA.

Comparison to disease-associated variation in other small GTPases

RALA and *RAS*-family genes have a high degree of similarity, and germline variation in several RAS-family GTPases is known to be associated with developmental disorders [5]. Comparisons of phenotypes observed here to those reported in these RASopathies suggest considerable overlap, including DD/ID, growth retardation, macrocephaly, high broad forehead, and mildly dysplastic dorsally rotated ears. Further, we compared the specific variants observed here to variants in HRAS, KRAS, or NRAS previously reported as pathogenic for RASopathies (S3 Table, S7 Fig). *De novo* heterozygous missense variation at Val14 of KRAS, the homologous equivalent of Val25 in RALA, was previously reported in four unrelated individuals with Noonan syndrome [20, 21]. Functional studies showed that this variant may alter intrinsic and stimulated GTPase activity and may increase the rate of GDP release [20, 21]. A *de novo* variant in HRAS at Lys117, the homologous equivalent of Lys128 in RALA, was found in two unrelated probands with Costello Syndrome [22]. Lastly, a *de novo* HRAS variant at Ala146, the homologous equivalent of Ala158 in RALA, was reported in at least three patients with Costello Syndrome [23]. Variation at this residue has also been reported as a recurrent somatic variant in colorectal cancers [24].

Additionally, a recent study identified three probands with brain malformations and *de novo* missense variants in *ARF1*, encoding a small GTP-binding protein [25]. The location of

one of the observed missense variants, ARF1 K127E, is analogous to RALA K128, a residue affected by variation in our cohort. This previous study also found that *ARF1* missense variation is depleted from the general human population, particularly for missense variation affecting the GTP/GDP-binding region; that conclusion is consistent with the observation here that missense variants are not observed in the GTP/GDP-binding residues of *RALA* in large population databases.

Functional analysis

We investigated the functional consequences of the variants described above by expressing and purifying recombinant RALA proteins, and then measuring their abilities to hydrolyze GTP (see Methods). While wild-type RALA showed robust GTPase activity under these experimental conditions, all mutants tested here exhibited a dramatic reduction in GTPase activity, including a mutant RALA that was not observed in probands but which carries a missense substitution, G23D, homologous to the G12D KRAS or HRAS variant commonly observed in tumors (Fig 3A). As GTPase activity of mutant RAS family proteins alone is not always a clear indication of downstream effects [20, 21], we also assessed binding of these mutants to a RALA effector protein using an ELISA-based method (see Methods). In this assay, recombinant G23D RALA protein exhibited approximately two-fold increased binding (p < 0.0001, Fig 3B), as anticipated for a constitutively active gain-of-function alteration [20, 26]. V25L, V25M, D130G and R176X each showed a 2-5-fold reduction in effector binding compared to wild-type (each p < 0.0001, Fig 3B). In contrast, the S157A mutant exhibited increased binding compared to wild-type, suggesting that it may act in a constitutively-active manner similar to G23D (p < 0.0001, Fig 3B). We note that while there is some variation among mutants in the efficiency of protein production and purification (Methods, S9 Fig), whether or not one normalizes to relative band intensity from Western blots of purified protein does not qualitatively affect these conclusions (S10 Fig).

Other candidate variants in probands with RALA variants

In this and other cases of rare disease sequencing, it is important to consider other variation in any given patient that may be pathogenic. In six of the eleven cases presented here, the *RALA* variant was found to be the only plausible candidate. In five cases, other variants were discovered that were also initially considered as potential disease-causing mutations (Table 1, S2 Text). Proband 2 has a hemizygous variant in *FLNA* (p.V606L), inherited from his unaffected heterozygous mother. Phenotype comparison, consultation with a filaminopathy disease expert, and application of the ACMG variant interpretation guidelines [27] resulted in the scoring of this variant as a VUS. FLNA is an interaction partner of RALA [28], but the disease relevance of this variant is unclear. Proband 7 has a *de novo* variant in *SHANK2* (p.A1101T); however, this allele is present in gnomAD three times and thus is not likely to be a highly penetrant allele resulting in DD/ID. Proband 8 has a variant in *SCN1A*, p.R187Q; however, this variant was inherited from an unaffected father, is present in gnomAD in one heterozygote, and, according to the referring clinician, the phenotype observed in the proband is not consistent with Dravet syndrome. Finally, proband 10 carries a paternally-inherited 1.349 Mb duplication of 1q21.1-q21.2. This duplication has been reported to be associated with mild to moderate DD/ID, autism spectrum disorders, ADHD and behavioral problems, and other variable features [29]. While the patient may have some phenotypic features of this duplication, the patient's MRI findings and severity of delays are not likely explained by this inherited duplication.

Proband 11 carries a nonsense variant, R176X, which is unusual given the apparent specificity for the GTP/GDP-binding region of RALA observed in the other cases in our cohort.

**Fig 3. Missense variation in RALA affects GTPase activity and RALA effector binding.** A. GTPase activity of purified recombinant RALA proteins was assessed using a luminescence assay. Raw luminescence values (measuring remaining free

GTP) were subtracted from 100 to calculate activity, and were then normalized to a no template control (NTC). WT, wild-type RALA. G23D, predicted constitutively active mutant (not from a proband). ** indicates p-value = 0.0015 compared to WT, *** indicates p-value = 0.0003, and **** indicates p-value < 0.0001 compared to WT. Mean values of one experiment performed in triplicate are shown. B. Binding of purified recombinant RALA proteins to an effector molecule was assessed using an ELISA-based assay. Absorbances were normalized to a no template control (NTC). Mean values of one experiment performed in triplicate are shown. WT, wild-type RALA. **** indicates p-value < 0.0001 compared to WT. #### indicates p-value < 0.0001 compared to NTC. ### indicates p-value = 0.0001 compared to NTC.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007671.g003

Clinically, we consider the R176X to be a variant of uncertain significance for several reasons. The R176X allele has been observed twice in the Bravo genome database, and parental DNA for this proband was not available, so we do not know whether the variant is *de novo* or inherited. In addition, the proband has microcephaly and more profound delays than others in the cohort, and also has large regions of homozygosity consistent with parental consanguinity. These regions of homozygosity suggest an additional and/or more complex molecular pathogenesis.

Discussion

The application of genome sequencing to clinical settings is rapidly expanding our knowledge of mutations that cause rare disease, and has engendered new strategies for analysis, new rubrics for molecular pathology, and new platforms for collaboration. Here we apply these advances to show that mutations in the GTP/GDP-binding region of *RALA* cause developmental and speech delay, together with minor dysmorphic features. Mutations in RAS family members and RAS signaling pathways are well-recognized causes of several dysmorphic syndromes and cancer, but germline mutations in *RALA* have not to our knowledge been previously associated with disease. Our results add to basic knowledge about the biology and function of RAS super-family members, raise new questions about the molecular pathogenesis of mutations that affect small GTPases, and have important implications for clinical genomics.

Among the RAS super-family of small GTPases, RALA and RALB are among the most closely related to the RAS subfamily (~50% amino acid similarity), and function as a third arm of the RAS effector pathway in addition to RAF and PI3K activation [5]. RALA and RALB have different expression patterns—RALA is broadly expressed whereas expression of RALB is enriched in endocrine tissues [30]—but also exhibit some degree of genetic redundancy: in gene-targeted mice, loss of function for RALA causes a severe neural tube defect that is exacerbated by simultaneous loss of RALB [31]. In neuronal culture systems, RALA has been implicated in the development, plasticity, polarization, migration, branching, and spine growth of neurons [32–36], as well as the renewal of synaptic vesicles and trafficking of NMDA, AMPA, and dopamine receptors to the postsynaptic membrane [28, 35, 37].

Previous studies have evaluated the effects of RALA in multiple ways, including through loss of function studies (e.g., mouse knockouts, RNA interference, etc.), and designed mutational alterations to GTP/GDP hydrolysis, suggesting that multiple types of RALA perturbation have molecular and cellular consequences. Several aspects of our results suggest that developmental delay in humans is not caused by a simple loss-of-function of RALA. First, no clearly pathogenic loss-of-function (i.e., nonsense, frameshift, splice-site) alleles were reported to GeneMatcher or exist in the literature to our knowledge. Second, in mice, heterozygosity for loss of function does not obviously affect development or viability [31]. Third, the *de novo* missense alleles described here are clearly enriched in GTP/GDP-binding residues, with six alleles recurring at only two codons. Fourth, missense *RALA* alleles in the general population all lie outside of the GTP/GDP-binding residues, suggesting selective depletion similar to that seen for other small GTP-binding proteins [25]. Finally, multiple disease-associated RALA

positions observed here are homologous to positions at which mutations in other small GTPases have been shown to alter GTPase activity and thereby lead to disease. Combined, these observations suggest that reduced RALA dosage is not per se pathogenic, but instead that disease results from a mechanism that depends specifically on alterations to GTP/GDP binding dynamics. In functional assays, we found that all of the proband alleles exhibited reduced GTPase activity, similar to most oncogenic RAS alleles. However, they exhibited variability in their ability to bind RALA effector protein, with one showing increased effector binding, as is typically observed in tumor-associated RAS alleles, and the others all reducing effector binding. Similar variability of *in vitro* functional effects were reported for *KRAS* GTP/GDP-binding domain mutations observed in patients with developmental disorders [21]. Thus, while a commonality of altered GTP/GDP-binding is apparent, our results are consistent with multiple potentially relevant molecular mechanisms, including 1) altered levels of GTPase activity, 2) altered GTP/GDP release; and 3) altered regulatory and/or effector protein binding.

We note that the phenotypes of individuals with the same allele were not qualitatively more similar to one another than phenotypes of individuals with distinct alleles (see Table 1). For example, seizures were observed for five of 10 probands with a *de novo* missense variant, including only one of three probands with V25M and one of two probands with K128R. Thus, genetic, environmental, or stochastic variability beyond the specific molecular effects of any given RALA mutation also contribute to disease manifestation. Additional functional assessments of RALA variants and larger cohorts of affected individuals are needed to clarify the relevant molecular mechanisms and the extent of genotype-phenotype correlations within the umbrella of *RALA*-associated disease.

In summary, we show that *de novo* missense variation disrupting the GTP/GDP-binding functions of *RALA* lead to developmental delay, intellectual disability, and related phenotypes. These observations add to the diverse and pleiotriopic group of Mendelian disorders caused by variation in RAS-family GTPases and related RAS pathways.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

Informed consent for participation in research was obtained from all families described here. Further, written informed consent to publish clinical photographs was also obtained for all probands pictured in Fig 1. Additional research approval details are as follows. Proband 1 enrolled in a study approved by review boards at Western (20130675) and the University of Alabama at Birmingham (X130201001). Proband 2 enrolled in a research study approved by the Ethics Committee of University Hospital Motol, Prague (20120627). Proband 3 was sequenced and analyzed in a diagnostic setting, and oral consent was obtained for research purposes approved by Kaiser Permanente Hawaii. Probands 4 and 5 were sequenced and analyzed in a diagnostic setting, and written consent was obtained for research purposes approved by the Agence Régionale de Santé, Île de France. Proband 6 enrolled in a research study approved by the Institute for Genomic Medicine at Columbia University (AAAO8410). Probands 7 and 10 enrolled in a study approved by the Western Institutional Review Board (1175206). Proband 8 enrolled in a study approved by review boards at the University of Tennessee Health Science Center (UTHSC201801). Proband 9 was sequenced and analyzed in a diagnostic setting, and written consent was obtained for research purposes approved by Orlando Health. Proband 11 enrolled in a research study approved by a review board at University of Alabama at Birmingham (F170303004).

Exome/genome sequencing

Exome sequencing (ES) or genome sequencing (GS) was performed at one of six sites, in either a research or clinical setting. Named sites and additional details, including cohort sizes used in p-value calculations, are provided in Supporting Information (S1 Text, S1 Table).

Three dimensional modeling

The protein structure determined by Holbourn et al. [38] was used for the assessment of the potential effect of the mutations on RALA activity (PDB ID: 2BOV). The structure was visualized using PyMOL 0.99rc6 [39]. Additional protein modeling was performed as previously described [40]. The GTP/GDP-binding residues of RALA were defined as those in which any atom of a residue (side chain or backbone) lies within 1.5 angstroms of an atom of the ligand.

Cloning, protein expression, and purification

RALA cDNA was synthesized (Integrated DNA Technologies, Skokie, IL, USA) based on the coding sequence of NM_005402.3, with substitutions identified in patients described here (probands 1–9, 11; see Note below) used to represent variation. Following PCR amplification, coding sequences were cloned into Champio pET302/NT-His (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA, # K630203) using Gibson Assembly Master Mix (New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA, USA, #E2611). All *RALA* coding sequences were Sanger sequenced and compared to NM_005402.3. The only differences within the coding regions of *RALA* were those observed in the probands. Single Step (KRX) Competent Cells (#L3002, Promega Corporation, Madison WI, USA) were transformed with plasmids, and bacteria were grown overnight at 37˚C in LB plus ampicillin. Bacteria were diluted 1:100 in fresh LB plus 0.05% glucose and 0.1% rhamnose to induce a 6-His-tagged recombinant RALA protein. Bacteria were collected after 8 h incubation at 25˚C, and snap-frozen on dry ice. 6-His-tagged proteins were purified using Dynabeads His-Tag Isolation and Pulldown (#10103D, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Protein purity was assessed using standard SDS-PAGE and Coomassie Blue staining. Protein concentration was quantified using a Take3 microplate reader (BioTek, Winooski, VT, USA) by assessing absorbance at 280 nm. Protein amounts were normalized among samples in Dynabead elution buffer prior to use in assays.

GTPase activity

GTPase activity of 0.95 μg of purified, recombinant proteins was assessed using the GTPase-Glo Assay (#V7681, Promega Corporation, Madison WI, USA). Luminescence was quantified using an LMax II 384 Microplate Reader (Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA, USA).

Effector-binding assay

Binding of purified, recombinant proteins to a proprietary Ral effector protein was assessed using the RalA G-LISA Activation Assay Kit (#BK129, Cytoskeleton, Inc. Denver, CO), as per the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, purified RALA protein was incubated in the presence or absence of 15 μM GTP (#P115A, Promega) for 1.5 h at 25˚C, then 23.75 ng of purified RALA/GTP mixture was applied to the Ral-BP binding plate. A Take3 microplate reader was used for quantification of this colorimetric assay.

Western blot

Purified proteins were detected using a polyclonal RALA Antibody (#3526S, Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA) at a dilution of 1:1000, and an anti-rabbit IgG secondary

antibody (#926–32211, IRDye 800CW Goat anti-Rabbit IgG, Li-cor, Lincoln, NB, USA) at a dilution of 1:20,000. Proteins were also detected using a 6x-His Tag monoclonal antibody (#MA1-21315, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) at a dilution of 1:1000, and an anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody (#102673–408, VWR, Radnor, PA, USA) at a dilution of 1:20,000. These antibodies were used to confirm protein levels and determine appropriate binding of the RALA antibody (See panel B of S9 Fig). An Odyssey CLx Imaging System (Li-cor, Lincoln, NB, USA) was used to visualize the Western. Relative quantification of the image was performed using Image J (https://imagej.net/).

We note that while we attempted to study the effects of all variation observed here, Proband 10 was identified after functional validation began, and the recombinant protein with the K128R variant (observed in probands 6 and 7) was not able to be expressed and purified consistently. Thus GTPase and G-LISA experiments were not performed using K128R or A158del mutants.

Supporting information

S1 Text. Supplemental Materials and Methods.
(PDF)

S2 Text. Clinical summaries.
(PDF)

S1 Table. Sequencing sites, experiment types, and data used in calculation of observed frequency of variation in *RALA*.
(PDF)

S2 Table. Missense variants present in the gnomAD and Bravo databases.
(PDF)

S3 Table. Evidence for association of variation in RAS proteins with rasopathies, at residues corresponding to GTP/GDP-binding regions.
(PDF)

S1 Fig. Detailed view of the wild type V25 residue (A) and its substitutions V25M (B) and V25L (C).
(PDF)

S2 Fig. Detailed view of the wild type K128 residue and its substitution K128R.
(PDF)

S3 Fig. Detailed view of the wild type D130 residue and its substitution D130G.
(PDF)

S4 Fig. Detailed view of the wild type S157 residue and its substitution S157A.
(PDF)

S5 Fig. Detailed view of the wild type A158 residue, neighboring residue K159 and GDP (in orange).
(PDF)

S6 Fig. Alignment of RALA protein sequences across various species.
(PDF)

S7 Fig. Alignment of RALA, HRAS, KRAS, and NRAS protein sequences.
(PDF)

S8 Fig. The extent of the C-terminal truncation (in turquoise) of the RALA molecule caused by the R176* mutation relative to the position of the GDP/GTP-binding region. (PDF)

S9 Fig. Western blots of purified RALA proteins. (PDF)

S10 Fig. Results of GTPase activity and RALA effector binding experiments, uncorrected for protein levels. (PDF)

S1 Dataset. Raw data underlying the GTPase and effector binding (G-LISA) assays. (XLSX)

Acknowledgments

Author Contributions

References

1. Ropers HH. Genetics of intellectual disability. Curr Opin Genet Dev. 2008; 18(3):241–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gde.2008.07.008 PMID: 18694825

2. Yang Y, Muzny DM, Xia F, Niu Z, Person R, Ding Y, et al. Molecular findings among patients referred for clinical whole-exome sequencing. JAMA. 2014; 312(18):1870–9. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2014.14601 PMID: 25326635

3. Taylor JC, Martin HC, Lise S, Broxholme J, Cazier JB, Rimmer A, et al. Factors influencing success of clinical genome sequencing across a broad spectrum of disorders. Nat Genet. 2015; 47(7):717–26. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3304 PMID: 25985138

4. Niemi M, Martin HC, Rice DL, Gallone G, Gordon S, Kelemen M. Common genetic variants contribute to risk of rare severe neurodevelopmental disorders; 2018. Preprint. Available from bioRxiv: https://doi.org/10.1101/309070.

5. Simanshu DK, Nissley DV, McCormick F. RAS Proteins and Their Regulators in Human Disease. Cell. 2017; 170(1):17–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.06.009 PMID: 28666118

6. Cao H, Alrejaye N, Klein OD, Goodwin AF, Oberoi S. A review of craniofacial and dental findings of the RASopathies. Orthod Craniofac Res. 2017; 20 Suppl 1:32–8.

7. Altmuller F, Lissewski C, Bertola D, Flex E, Stark Z, Spranger S, et al. Genotype and phenotype spectrum of NRAS germline variants. Eur J Hum Genet. 2017; 25(7):823–31. https://doi.org/10.1038/ejhg.2017.65 PMID: 28594414

8. Sobreira N, Schiettecatte F, Valle D, Hamosh A. GeneMatcher: a matching tool for connecting investigators with an interest in the same gene. Hum Mutat. 2015; 36(10):928–30. https://doi.org/10.1002/humu.22844 PMID: 26220891

9. Kircher M, Witten DM, Jain P, O'Roak BJ, Cooper GM, Shendure J. A general framework for estimating the relative pathogenicity of human genetic variants. Nat Genet. 2014; 46(3):310–5. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2892 PMID: 24487276

10. Lek M, Karczewski KJ, Minikel EV, Samocha KE, Banks E, Fennell T, et al. Analysis of protein-coding genetic variation in 60,706 humans. Nature. 2016; 536(7616):285–91. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19057 PMID: 27535533

11. The NHLBI Trans-Omics for Precision Medicine (TOPMed) Whole Genome Sequencing Program. BRAVO variant browser. University of Michigan and NHLBI. 2018 [cited 20 June 2018]. Available from: https://bravo.sph.umich.edu/freeze5/hg38/.

12. Wilson JM, Prokop JW, Lorimer E, Ntantie E, Williams CL. Differences in the Phosphorylation-Dependent Regulation of Prenylation of Rap1A and Rap1B. J Mol Biol. 2016; 428(24 Pt B):4929–45.

13. Wu JC, Chen TY, Yu CT, Tsai SJ, Hsu JM, Tang MJ, et al. Identification of V23RalA-Ser194 as a critical mediator for Aurora-A-induced cellular motility and transformation by small pool expression screening. J Biol Chem. 2005; 280(10):9013–22. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M411068200 PMID: 15637052

14. Lim KH, Brady DC, Kashatus DF, Ancrile BB, Der CJ, Cox AD, et al. Aurora-A phosphorylates, activates, and relocalizes the small GTPase RalA. Mol Cell Biol. 2010; 30(2):508–23. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.00916-08 PMID: 19901077

15. Gentry LR, Nishimura A, Cox AD, Martin TD, Tsygankov D, Nishida M, et al. Divergent roles of CAAX motif-signaled posttranslational modifications in the regulation and subcellular localization of Ral GTPases. J Biol Chem. 2015; 290(37):22851–61. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M115.656710 PMID: 26216878

16. Kinsella BT, Erdman RA, Maltese WA. Carboxyl-terminal isoprenylation of ras-related GTP-binding proteins encoded by rac1, rac2, and ralA. J Biol Chem. 1991; 266(15):9786–94. PMID: 1903399

17. Samocha KE, Robinson EB, Sanders SJ, Stevens C, Sabo A, McGrath LM, et al. A framework for the interpretation of de novo mutation in human disease. Nat Genet. 2014; 46(9):944–50. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3050 PMID: 25086666

18. Petrovski S, Wang Q, Heinzen EL, Allen AS, Goldstein DB. Genic intolerance to functional variation and the interpretation of personal genomes. PLoS Genet. 2013; 9(8):e1003709. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003709 PMID: 23990802

19. Petrovski S, Ren N, Goldstein D. Genic Intolerance. Institute for Genomic Medicine. 2018 [cited 20 June 2018]. Available from: http://genic-intolerance.org/about.jsp.

20. Schubbert S, Zenker M, Rowe SL, Boll S, Klein C, Bollag G, et al. Germline KRAS mutations cause Noonan syndrome. Nat Genet. 2006; 38(3):331–6. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1748 PMID: 16474405

21. Gremer L, Merbitz-Zahradnik T, Dvorsky R, Cirstea IC, Kratz CP, Zenker M, et al. Germline KRAS mutations cause aberrant biochemical and physical properties leading to developmental disorders. Hum Mutat. 2011; 32(1):33–43. https://doi.org/10.1002/humu.21377 PMID: 20949621

22. Kerr B, Delrue MA, Sigaudy S, Perveen R, Marche M, Burgelin I, et al. Genotype-phenotype correlation in Costello syndrome: HRAS mutation analysis in 43 cases. J Med Genet. 2006; 43(5):401–5. https://doi.org/10.1136/jmg.2005.040352 PMID: 16443854

23. Chiu AT, Leung GK, Chu YW, Gripp KW, Chung BH. A novel patient with an attenuated Costello syndrome phenotype due to an HRAS mutation affecting codon 146-Literature review and update. Am J Med Genet A. 2017; 173(4):1109–14. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.38118 PMID: 28328122

24. Edkins S, O'Meara S, Parker A, Stevens C, Reis M, Jones S, et al. Recurrent KRAS codon 146 mutations in human colorectal cancer. Cancer Biol Ther. 2006; 5(8):928–32. PMID: 16969076

25. Ge X, Gong H, Dumas K, Litwin J, Phillips JJ, Waisfisz Q, et al. Missense-depleted regions in population exomes implicate ras superfamily nucleotide-binding protein alteration in patients with brain malformation. NPJ Genom Med. 2016;1.

26. Chen XW, Leto D, Chiang SH, Wang Q, Saltiel AR. Activation of RalA is required for insulin-stimulated Glut4 trafficking to the plasma membrane via the exocyst and the motor protein Myo1c. Dev Cell. 2007; 13(3):391–404. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2007.07.007 PMID: 17765682

27. Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster J, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Genet Med. 2015; 17(5):405–24. https://doi.org/10.1038/gim.2015.30 PMID: 25741868

28. Zheng M, Zhang X, Sun N, Min C, Zhang X, Kim KM. RalA employs GRK2 and beta-arrestins for the filamin A-mediated regulation of trafficking and signaling of dopamine D2 and D3 receptor. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2016; 1863(8):2072–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2016.05.010 PMID: 27188791

29. National Library of Medicine (US). 1q21.1 microduplication: Genetics Home Reference [Internet]. Bethesda, MD. 2018 [cited 26 June 2018]. Available from: https://ghr.nlm.nih.gov/condition/1q211-microduplication.

30. Thul PJ, Akesson L, Wiking M, Mahdessian D, Geladaki A, Ait Blal H, et al. A subcellular map of the human proteome. Science. 2017; 356(6340). Available from: v18.proteinatlas.org, https://www.proteinatlas.org/ENSG00000006451-RALA/tissue, https://www.proteinatlas.org/ENSG00000144118-RALB/tissue). Cited 27 June 2018.

31. Peschard P, McCarthy A, Leblanc-Dominguez V, Yeo M, Guichard S, Stamp G, et al. Genetic deletion of RALA and RALB small GTPases reveals redundant functions in development and tumorigenesis. Curr Biol. 2012; 22(21):2063–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2012.09.013 PMID: 23063435

32. Carmena A, Makarova A, Speicher S. The Rap1-Rgl-Ral signaling network regulates neuroblast cortical polarity and spindle orientation. J Cell Biol. 2011; 195(4):553–62. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201108112 PMID: 22084305

33. Jossin Y, Cooper JA. Reelin, Rap1 and N-cadherin orient the migration of multipolar neurons in the developing neocortex. Nat Neurosci. 2011; 14(6):697–703. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2816 PMID: 21516100

34. Lalli G, Hall A. Ral GTPases regulate neurite branching through GAP-43 and the exocyst complex. J Cell Biol. 2005; 171(5):857–69. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200507061 PMID: 16330713

35. Teodoro RO, Pekkurnaz G, Nasser A, Higashi-Kovtun ME, Balakireva M, McLachlan IG, et al. Ral mediates activity-dependent growth of postsynaptic membranes via recruitment of the exocyst. EMBO J. 2013; 32(14):2039–55. https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2013.147 PMID: 23812009

36. Lalli G. RalA and the exocyst complex influence neuronal polarity through PAR-3 and aPKC. J Cell Sci. 2009; 122(Pt 10):1499–506. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.044339 PMID: 19383721

37. Polzin A, Shipitsin M, Goi T, Feig LA, Turner TJ. Ral-GTPase influences the regulation of the readily releasable pool of synaptic vesicles. Mol Cell Biol. 2002; 22(6):1714–22. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.22.6.1714-1722.2002 PMID: 11865051

38. Holbourn KP, Sutton JM, Evans HR, Shone CC, Acharya KR. Molecular recognition of an ADP-ribosylating Clostridium botulinum C3 exoenzyme by RalA GTPase. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005; 102 (15):5357–62. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0501525102 PMID: 15809419

39. DeLano WL. PyMOL. DeLano Scientific, San Carlos, CA, USA. 2002.

40. Prokop JW, Lazar J, Crapitto G, Smith DC, Worthey EA, Jacob HJ. Molecular modeling in the age of clinical genomics, the enterprise of the next generation. J Mol Model. 2017; 23(3):75. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00894-017-3258-3 PMID: 28204942