

Engaging stakeholders to improve innovation in the water industry

Zuzana Bohrerova^{1,2}, Linda Weavers^{1,2}, Megan Patterson^{2,3}, Tim Wolfe³

¹The Ohio Water Resources Center, ²Department of Civil, Environmental, and Geodetic Engineering; ³Stantec Consultants





Agenda

- 1. Why do we want to improve innovation?
 - a) Lack of Innovation in Small PWSs
 - b) Previous Work in Ohio to Enhance Innovation
 - c) Cost of Innovation
- 2. How are we overcoming barrier to innovation?
 - a) Stakeholders Interaction
 - b) Overview of the Ohio Water Resources Center (WRC)
 - c) Ohio WRC Approach

Technology Innovation Challenging in the Water Industry







Nationwide discussion to improve innovation b/c

Innovation:

- Improves finished water quality better public heath outcomes
- Reduces cost



WATER RESOURCES CENTER O H I O WRC

Barriers to Approval of Drinking Water Technologies for Small Public Water Systems (PWSs)

• Water Innovation Network for Sustainable Small Systems reported results of a survey of 49 state water regulating agencies, with 38 responding (Ringenberg 2017)

Barriers	Respondents n (%)
Staff time for review/approval	29 (76)
Limited staff to run program	23 (61)
Lack of information from vendors (data)	23 (61)
Lack of training of staff for adequate evaluation	22 (58)
Lack of funding for testing/evaluation	21 (55)
Concern over cost to systems	19 (50)
Risk from deceptive vendors	13 (34)
Regulation	9 (24)
Lack of product/technology support	9 (24)
Cost to vendors to meet program requirements	8 (21)
Procedural	8 (21)
Statute	4 (11)

Total number of respondents = 38





Additionally:

- Systems being risk averse
- Lending agencies being averse to funding new technologies
- Long life expectancy and complexity of treatment
- Limited resources of small public water systems
- Complicated regulatory requirements and restrictions

Sources and Level of Investment Dollars for U.S. Innovation in the Clean Energy and Water Sectors, 2000–13



Source: Cleantech Group 2014.

Note: Clean energy = biomass generation + energy efficiency + energy storage + solar + wind + geothermal + nuclear + hydro & marine + smart grid; and water = water + wastewater.



Building new drinking water treatment plant or plant upgrades have to follow:

- WATER QUALITY BASED Regulation and Rules:
 - a) US EPA regulation
 - b) Individual State rules (+ guidelines in Ohio)

To achieve the regulated water quality, Ten States Standards (TSS) document

was developed in 1953

- Contains three sections:
 - a) Policy statements
 - b) Interim standards
 - c) Design standards



GLUMRB: Great Lakes Upper Mississippi River Board (of State Public Health and Environmental Managers; water treatment)



"Emerging Technologies" in Ohio are those for which there are no design criteria in TSS

There are 10+ technologies successfully used in drinking water treatment plants that are still considered "emerging technologies"







History of Plan Approval in Ohio



OEPA could not provide Plan Approval for Emerging Technologies



OEPA can provide Plan Approval with a demonstration study



With Supplemental Design Criteria (Our Project)

OEPA can provide Plan Approval without a demonstration study



Demonstration studies

Different scales (sizes)

- bench scale
- pilot scale
- full scale

Exact conditions of study described in guidelines, but generally:

- Have to represent production scale
- Appropriate amount

 of time under most
 challenging water quality
- Continuous data collection



Figure 1-2. Existing WTP and Pilot Plant Process Flow Schematic





Project Goal







Potential Impacts Beyond Ohio





Stakeholders







Ohio Water Resources Center

- Enables and conducts water resources research,
- Fosters collaboration among water professionals,
- Trains the next generation of water scientists,
- Educates the public on water resources issues in the State of Ohio.





wrc.osu.edu #Ohio_WRC



Stakeholder interaction:

Ohio EPA	 Review demonstration study protocols submitted by PWSs and unofficially approve technology based on demonstration study data Review detailed plans and Officially approve detail plans
PWSs	 Commission design engineers to create demonstration study protocol and most of the times to preform demonstration study Commission design engineers to develop detailed plans for installing emerging technology
Design engineer	 Communicates with vendor to determine design criteria to test in demonstration study Create and performs demonstration study Creates detailed design plans
Vendor	 Runs membrane models to recommend preliminary design criteria Recommends design criteria to test in demonstration study
	45

The Ohio State University



Ohio WRC Steps to Develop Design Criteria for Emerging Technology





Acknowledgement

<u>Core Advisory Committee:</u>

Avon Lake Regional Water Cleveland Division of Water Columbus Division of Water Greater Cincinnati Water Works Newark Water Department Ohio EPA Westerville Water Department USEPA

- <u>Technical Advisors</u>: Rob Shoaf, AECOM; Joe Jacangelo, Stantec, Johns Hopkins University
- Project Funding:
 Ohio Water Development Authority







Questions?