

City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Hurt, C. S. ORCID: 0000-0003-1571-0040, Rixon, L., Chaudhuri, K. R., Moss-Morris, R., Samuel, M. and Brown, R. G. (2019). Barriers to reporting non-motor symptoms to health-care providers in people with Parkinson's. Parkinsonism & Related Disorders, doi: 10.1016/j.parkreldis.2019.04.014

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/22065/

Link to published version: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2019.04.014

Copyright and reuse: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

City Research Online:

http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/

publications@city.ac.uk

Barriers to reporting non-motor symptoms to Health-care Providers in people with Parkinson's

Catherine S Hurt^a (corresponding author), Lorna Rixon^a, K Ray Chaudhuri^{b,c}, Rona Moss-

Morris^b, Mike Samuel^{c,d} and Richard G Brown^{b,e}

^aCentre for Health Services Research, School of Health Sciences, City, University of London, London EC1R 1UW, UK

^bKing's College London, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, De Crespigny Park, Denmark Hill, London, SE5 8AF, UK

^cKing's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, National Parkinson's Foundation International Centre of Excellence, Denmark Hill, London, SE5 9RS, UK

dEast Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust, Ashford, Kent, TN24 OLZ, UK

^eSouth London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, London, SE5 8AZ, UK

Email:

Catherine Hurt Catherine.hurt.1@city.ac.uk

Lorna Rixon Lorna.rixon.1@city.ac.uk

K Ray Chaudhuri Ray.chaudhuri@nhs.net

Rona Moss-morris <u>rona.moss-morris@kcl.ac.uk</u>

Mike Samuel m.samuel@nhs.net

Richard Brown Richard.g.brown@kcl.ac.uk

Key words: Help-seeking, Parkinson's disease, Non-motor Symptoms, barriers,

Abstract

Background

Non-motor symptoms (NMS) are common in Parkinson's disease (PD) and cause significant distress. A high rate of non-declaration of NMS by patients to healthcare providers (HCP) means that many NMS remain untreated. Current understanding of the factors preventing disclosure of NMS to HCPs is limited. The present study aimed to i) further assess the prevalence of NMS and associated distress, ii) establish current rates of NMS reporting across a range of sources, and iii) explore overall and any symptom specific barriers to help-seeking for NMS.

Methods

358 PD patients completed a cross-sectional survey of NMS severity, reporting and barriers to help-seeking. A series of Generalised Estimating Equations were used to determine whether barriers were symptom specific.

Results

A mean of 10.5 NMS were reported by each patient. Rates of non-reporting of NMS ranged from 15-72% of those experiencing distressing symptoms. The most commonly reported barriers to help-seeking were acceptance of symptoms; lack of awareness that a symptom was associated with PD, and belief that no effective treatments were available. Symptom specific barriers were found for sexual dysfunction (embarrassment), unexplained pain and urinary problems (belief about lack of treatment availability).

Conclusion

A diverse range of barriers prevent PD patients reporting NMS to HCPs and these barriers differ between NMS. The study provides the foundations for developing interventions to increase reporting by targeting individual NMS. Increasing rates of help-seeking for NMS by patients to their Parkinson's healthcare providers will increase appropriate clinical care which may improve quality of life and well-being.

Introduction

Non-motor symptoms (NMS) of Parkinson's disease (PD) are common and problematic. Up to 98% of people with Parkinson's report experiencing at least one NMS [1-3]. Several studies have demonstrated the negative impact of NMS on patient quality of life (QoL) and psychological well-being which is often found to be greater than the impact attributable to motor symptoms [4-8]. Mood symptoms, urinary symptoms, fatigue and leg pain are amongst the most commonly reported NMS [1-3]. Other common symptoms include unexplained pain, bowel problems, sleep problems and issues with memory and concentration.

The issue of non-reporting of NMS by patients to clinicians has been highlighted by Chaudhuri et al. [9, 10]. In a large scale European survey they found that in some cases over 50% of patients experiencing NMS did not report them to a healthcare provider (HCP). Despite several reviews outlining potentially efficacious treatments for a range of NMS [11-16], they are still not routinely screened for, even in specialist centres [9]. This failure to screen for NMS combined with a lack of reporting by patients results in distressing symptoms remaining untreated.

Preliminary research based on a single question 'why have you not told your healthcare provider' in response to self-reported NMS [10] highlighted a range of potential barriers. These barriers included lack of awareness that symptoms were related to PD, embarrassment at discussing symptoms, and limited time in consultations for discussing non-motor issues. More recently a theoretically driven qualitative study explored the complexity of barriers to help-seeking for NMS in more depth [17]. A total of 23 individual barriers to help-seeking for a range of NMS were identified. Uncertainty about the link between NMS and PD, and lack of clarity around the availability of treatments were common barriers to reporting a range of NMS. However some barriers appeared to be symptom specific. For example embarrassment and communication difficulties were common for potentially sensitive symptoms such as sexual dysfunction, bowel and bladder problems.

Several questions remain to be answered if we are to improve our understanding of the factors which prevent patients reporting NMS to their HCP and consequently, how we might

overcome these challenges. The complex range of barriers to help-seeking identified by Hurt et al [17] need to be validated in a larger sample of people experiencing undeclared NMS to ascertain their generalisability to all people with Parkinson's. It remains unclear whether barriers to symptom reporting are patient related or if barriers are symptom specific.

The aims of the present study were three fold: i) to further assess the prevalence of NMS and associated levels of distress, ii) to establish current rates of NMS reporting across a range of formal and informal sources, and iii) to explore overall and any symptom specific barriers to help-seeking for NMS.

Methods

Design

Data on presence of non-motor symptoms, help-seeking behaviour and barriers to help-seeking was collected in a large-scale cross-sectional survey. Data were collected using an online survey tool (Survey Monkey) [18], and using paper-based questionnaires. Data collection took place between March 2015 and August 2015. Ethical approval was granted by Cornwall and Plymouth Research Ethics Committee (ref:14/SW/0099).

Measures

The NMSQuest [1] which consists of 30 common NMS, was used to assess the presence of NMS. For the purpose of the present study the item 'altered interest in sex' was replaced by two items, 'increased' and 'decreased' interest giving a total of 31 items. For each symptom reported as present over the past 12 months, the participant was asked to rate how distressing the symptom was from 0 (not at all) to 3 (severely distressing). They were also asked if they had sought help for the symptom and, if so, from whom using a pre-defined list of sources of help whom patients with Parkinson's have regular contact (Formal sources: Consultant (senior doctor), Parkinson's Nurse Specialist and General Practitioner; Informal sources: Pharmacist, friends and family). Within the UK National Health Service patients with Parkinson's may receive management of their PD from a range of sources most commonly a Consultant Neurologist (a senior doctor specialising in movement disorders) or a Consultant

Geriatrician (a senior doctor specialising in care of older adults). Many patients not requiring complex management are treated by their General Practitioner in primary care. All patients should have access to a Parkinson's Disease Specialist Nurse (a nurse trained and specialising in the management of PD). Those experiencing distressing symptoms who had not sought help from an HCP were asked to endorse any and all relevant barriers from a pre-defined list based on the findings of Hurt et al. [17] (The full list of barriers is available in the supplementary materials, Table S1). A self-report measure of impairment of activities of daily living associated with PD, the Parkinson's Activities of Daily Living Scale (PADL) [19] was used as a proxy for disease severity. Clinical and demographic data were collected for all participants (see Table 1).

Participants

Participants were recruited via the Parkinson's UK Research Support Network and neurology outpatient clinics across south London, UK. All participants had the option of completing an online or paper questionnaire. To provide a broad and inclusive sample no eligibility criteria were set beyond a clinical diagnosis of Parkinson's disease, ability to give informed consent and complete the study questionnaires.

Sample size and statistical analysis

Categorical data on presence/absence of each barrier were collected from each patient for all reported symptoms. Data were modelled to compare the distribution of barriers across different NMS. Logistic Generalised Estimating Equation (GEE) Models were run for each barrier separately, with the binary indicator variable for presence of barrier as the outcome, and the 31 indicator variables for symptoms as explanatory variables. This model allowed us to assess the difference in the probability of choosing the barrier by comparing symptom 2 to symptom 1, and symptom 3 to symptom 1, etc. As a general rule, an average sample size of at least 300 is required for a maximum of 30 parameters (symptoms). For each model we selected symptoms where at least 1 person reported the barrier as a reason for not reporting a symptom

Results

A total of 358 participants were recruited (206 via Parkinson's UK, 150 from NHS trusts and 2 from other sources). 159 paper questionnaires were completed and the remaining 199 questionnaires were completed online. The sample characteristics are shown in table 1.

Table 1 here

Frequency of Non-Motor Symptoms

The frequency of NMS reported by the sample and the associated levels of distress are shown in figure 1, with symptoms ranked from most to least frequent. In line with previous research, urinary symptoms were the most commonly experienced NMS and delusions the least common. Bowel incontinence, unexplained pain and falling due to fainting/blacking out were identified as the most likely to be associated with significant distress when present, while swallowing/choking, nausea/vomiting and dribbling were rated least likely to cause distress. Overall the proportion of participants rating their NMS as moderately or severely distressing ranged from 16.4% to 58.9%.

Figure 1 here

The mean number of NMS experienced by each patient over the previous 12 months was 10.5 (SD=5.4, range=0-25). NMS burden was calculated using the cut-offs provided by Chaudhuri et al. [20]. Only four of the 358 participants had no NMS (1.1%), 18.4% reported 1-5 NMS (mild burden), 27.7% reported 6-9 symptoms (moderate burden), 26% reported 10-13 symptoms (severe burden) and 26.8% reported greater than 13 NMS representing very severe burden.

Help-seeking for NMS

The frequency of declaration of NMS to a range of formal and informal sources is shown in table 2. For 22 of the 31 symptoms at least 30% of participants who described experiencing

distressing NMS had not reported them to an HCP. 'Loss of interest in sex' was the least reported NMS with only 28% of patients telling their HCP, although the figure rose to 48% when informal sources of help were included. Falling due to fainting or blacking out had the highest reporting rate to an HCP with 85% of patients experiencing the symptom reporting it, rising to 92% when informal sources of help were included. A large proportion of patients reported their NMS to a family member or friend, even when not reporting them to an HCP. For 25 of the 31 NMS the PD nurse was the HCP that symptoms were most commonly reported to.

Table 2 here

Barriers to reporting NMS

Barriers to help-seeking were recorded for those with distressing NMS who had not reported their symptoms to an HCP. Eighteen barriers to help-seeking were endorsed by one or more participants (range 10 – 292), with 8 barriers endorsed by 100 participants or more. These included 'Acceptance of the symptom as part of life' (n=292), 'Uncertainty that effective treatment is available' (n=222), 'Uncertainty whether the symptom is part of PD' (n=206), 'Belief that the symptom is not serious' (n=156), 'Concern that treatment will require change of PD medication or taking extra medication' (n=125), 'Not a priority at the time' (n=114), 'Belief that raising the problem not socially acceptable' (n=108), and 'Lack of priority to NMS in the consultation' (n=108). A series of chi-squared tests were run to compare barrier reporting between males and females. No significant differences found at p<.05.

A full break down of barrier frequency is given in the supplementary information (Table S2).

As no barriers to reporting delusions were endorsed this symptom was excluded from further analyses. Additionally, due to the small number of participants reporting the barrier 'speaking to an HCP of the same gender' (N=10) this barrier was excluded from further analyses.

A series of GEEs were used to determine whether certain barriers to help-seeking were associated with specific symptoms. Inferential statistics for the barriers found to have statistically significant associations with specific NMS are presented in table 3. The full inferential statistics for all models are available from the authors on request.

Symptoms relating to sexual function were associated with embarrassment and being difficult to talk about. Urinary urgency and unexplained pain were associated with acceptance, were not associated with PD and not perceived as treatable.

Table 3 here

Discussion

The NMS frequencies found in the present study are in line with previous research using the NMSQuest [1-3]. The high mean number of NMS reported by each participant over a 12 month period (10.5) reinforces the importance of identifying and treating these symptoms to improve patient QoL and well-being.

Failure to disclose NMS to HCPs has been previously documented [10] and similarly high rates of non-disclosure were found in the present study. However, this research is the first to provide an in-depth analysis of NMS reporting to both formal and informal sources. A number of significant findings emerged. Firstly, participants were likely to have discussed their symptom with a friend or family member even if they had not discussed it with an HCP. This highlights the importance of providing family members and carers with good quality information about NMS, available treatments and services. Recent research identified patient and carer dissatisfaction with the quality of information and explanation of NMS during consultations with HCPs [21]. This suggests an urgent need to provide educational resources for both patients and caregivers.

Secondly, for the majority of symptoms the largest proportion of patients reported NMS to their PD nurse rather than any other HCP. While it is positive that patients are reporting to an HCP with specialist PD knowledge, those without regular access to a PD nurse might be at greatest risk of having undisclosed NMS [22]. Gaps in PD nurse service provision are not confined to the UK and are apparent across a range of countries indicating the potentially global nature of the problem [23-25]. The larger proportion of patients reporting NMS to their PD nurse than their consultant could be attributable to a range of factors: it may reflect ease of access to PD nurses comparative to consultants, patients may feel more confident to

discuss NMS with a specialist nurse or there may simply be more time available during appointments with PD nurses for discussion of a range of concerns.

A range of barriers to reporting NMS were endorsed with the most common being accepting the symptom as part of everyday life, not associating the symptom with PD and believing that no effective treatments were available. Significant associations were found between barriers to symptom reporting and individual NMS suggesting that the type of barrier preventing reporting may be specific to the symptom. Interestingly no gender differences were found in barriers to symptom reporting. Due to the predominantly white British sample we were unable to explore the effect of ethnicity on help-seeking and the associated barriers. Ethnicity is likely to play a significant role in symptom reporting for a variety of reasons including language and cultural barriers [26]. Future research is required to explore these barriers.

The findings of the present research suggest that any interventions aimed at increasing help-seeking for non-motor symptoms may be most effective if different strategies were used to target individual NMS. For example the use of normalising information and reassurance about asking for help may be helpful for patients experiencing sexual dysfunction. Normalising information and reassurance have increased help-seeking intentions for gynaecological cancer symptoms where embarrassment also acts as a barrier to accessing care [27]. Information about NMS and available treatment options may be more effective in encouraging help-seeking for unexplained pain and urinary symptoms. Supporting patients to consider the pros and cons of reporting symptoms they have 'accepted as part of life', such as constipation, excessive sweating and insomnia, may encourage help-seeking. Pros and cons exercises are commonly used in Cognitive Behaviour Therapy to help patients consider all perspectives and outcomes before making decisions [28]. This exercise may illustrate that reporting symptoms is relatively low cost and has the potential to lead to significant improvements in quality of life through appropriate symptom management.

Also notable from the study was the significant proportion of participants reporting moderate or low levels of distress. While this may link to symptom frequency or severity, it may also be associated with protective psychological factors. One of these may be acceptance. While acceptance of the symptom as part of PD emerged as a barrier to help seeking in those with distressing symptoms, it can be a positive adaptation in those living with a chronic condition

where no symptomatic treatment is available. Evidence supports an association with better health outcomes (lower levels of depression and increased health related quality of life) in conditions such as chronic pain[29]. In the present study, low levels of distress associated with a symptom in some participants may relate to a positive adjustment to the presence of the symptoms. However, while such acceptance may be a positive or protective psychological factor for some, it still presents a barrier to help seeking when the symptom is treatable. Indeed for all but three NMS explored in this study 100% of participants endorsing acceptance as a barrier to help-seeking were experiencing moderate to severely distressing NMS. This suggests that in the present sample acceptance acted as a barrier rather than psychologically protective factor.

The study explored a wide range of symptoms which are all known to be non-motor symptoms of PD. However we cannot say definitively that these symptoms are associated with PD rather than other co-morbid conditions. Regardless of aetiology the sample reported experiencing untreated distressing symptoms. Encouraging and supporting patients to report these symptoms should enable them to receive appropriate management and potentially reduce distress and improve well-being whatever their cause.

The present study is not without limitations. The sample was recruited via two methods, outpatient clinics and the Parkinson's UK Research Network. The latter consists of people diagnosed with PD who have actively opted to participate in research projects. It is likely that these patients are proactive and knowledgeable about their condition which may have led to a lower rate of non-disclosure than may be seen in a broader outpatient clinic sample. The NMSQuest item 'altered interest in sex' covers both loss of interest in sex and hypersexuality. Barriers preventing help-seeking are likely to vary between these two symptoms, for example loss of interest in sex may be associated with increasing age but it seems unlikely that hypersexuality would be attributed to aging. Consequently to achieve the aims of the present study it was necessary to subdivide this item. However the NMSQuest was developed as an evaluation and not a discriminant tool [1] and consequently issues of validity and reliability are not relevant here. The barriers list was developed from qualitative research by Hurt et al. [17]. It was designed as a checklist rather than a quantitative scale and consequently no assessment of the validity and reliability was undertaken.

Despite these limitations the findings do highlight the prevalence and burden of NMS and the high rates of non-disclosure. The identification of common barriers to symptom reporting provides a basis from which to develop much needed interventions to encourage patients with untreated, distressing NMS to report them to their HCP. Increased NMS reporting should lead to receipt of appropriate clinical care potentially improving well-being and quality of life.

Acknowledgments:

This study was supported by Parkinson's UK (G-1306). Parkinson's UK played no role in the study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication.

This study represents independent research part funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

We would like to thank Parkinson's UK for facilitating recruitment.

References

- [1] K.R. Chaudhuri, P. Martinez-Martin, A.H. Schapira, F. Stocchi, K. Sethi, P. Odin, R.G. Brown, W. Koller, P. Barone, G. MacPhee, L. Kelly, M. Rabey, D. MacMahon, S. Thomas, W. Ondo, D. Rye, A. Forbes, S. Tluk, V. Dhawan, A. Bowron, A.J. Williams, C.W. Olanow, International multicenter pilot study of the first comprehensive self-completed nonmotor symptoms questionnaire for Parkinson's disease: the NMSQuest study, Movement disorders: official journal of the Movement Disorder Society 21(7) (2006) 916-23.
- [2] D.Y. Kwon, S.B. Koh, J.H. Lee, H.K. Park, H.J. Kim, H.W. Shin, J. Youn, K.W. Park, S.A. Choi, S.J. Kim, S.M. Choi, J.Y. Park, B.S. Jeon, J.Y. Kim, S.J. Chung, C.S. Lee, J.H. Park, T.B. Ahn, W.C. Kim, H.S. Kim, S.M. Cheon, H.T. Kim, J.Y. Lee, J.S. Kim, E.J. Kim, J.M. Kim, K.S. Lee, J.S. Kim, M.J. Kim, J.S. Baik, K.J. Park, H.J. Kim, M.Y. Park, J.H. Kang, S.K. Song, Y.D. Kim, J.Y. Yun, H.W. Lee, H.G. Oh, J. Cho, I.U. Song, Y.H. Sohn, P.H. Lee, J.W. Kim, The KMDS-NATION Study: Korean Movement Disorders Society Multicenter Assessment of Non-Motor Symptoms and Quality of Life in Parkinson's Disease NATION Study Group, J Clin Neurol 12(4) (2016) 393-402.
- [3] P. Barone, A. Antonini, C. Colosimo, R. Marconi, L. Morgante, T.P. Avarello, E. Bottacchi, A. Cannas, G. Ceravolo, R. Ceravolo, G. Cicarelli, R.M. Gaglio, R.M. Giglia, F. Iemolo, M. Manfredi, G. Meco, A. Nicoletti, M. Pederzoli, A. Petrone, A. Pisani, F.E. Pontieri, R. Quatrale, S. Ramat, R. Scala, G. Volpe, S. Zappulla, A.R. Bentivoglio, F. Stocchi, G. Trianni, P.D. Dotto, The PRIAMO study: A multicenter assessment of nonmotor symptoms and their impact on quality of life in Parkinson's disease, Movement disorders: official journal of the Movement Disorder Society 24(11) (2009) 1641-9.
- [4] L. Kadastik-Eerme, M. Rosenthal, T. Paju, M. Muldmaa, P. Taba, Health-related quality of life in Parkinson's disease: a cross-sectional study focusing on non-motor symptoms, Health Qual. Life Outcomes 13 (2015) 8.
- [5] C. Hinnell, C.S. Hurt, S. Landau, R.G. Brown, M. Samuel, P.-P.D.S.G. on behalf of the, Nonmotor versus motor symptoms: How much do they matter to health status in Parkinson's disease?, Movement Disorders 27(2) (2012) 236-241.
- [6] D.A. Gallagher, A.J. Lees, A. Schrag, What are the most important nonmotor symptoms in patients with Parkinson's disease and are we missing them?, Movement Disorders 25(15) (2010) 2493-2500.
- [7] A. Nicoletti, G. Mostile, F. Stocchi, G. Abbruzzese, R. Ceravolo, P. Cortelli, M. D'Amelio, M.F. De Pandis, G. Fabbrini, C. Pacchetti, G. Pezzoli, A. Tessitore, M. Canesi, M. Zappia, Factors influencing psychological well-being in patients with Parkinson's disease, PloS one 12(12) (2017) e0189682.
- [8] R. Balestrino, P. Martinez-Martin, Neuropsychiatric symptoms, behavioural disorders, and quality of life in Parkinson's disease, Journal of the neurological sciences 373 (2017) 173-178.
- [9] K.R. Chaudhuri, P. Odin, A. Antonini, P. Martinez-Martin, Parkinson's disease: The non-motor issues, Parkinsonism & Related Disorders 17(10) (2011) 717-723.
- [10] K.R. Chaudhuri, C. Prieto-Jurcynska, Y. Naidu, T. Mitra, B. Frades-Payo, S. Tluk, A. Ruessmann, P. Odin, G. Macphee, F. Stocchi, W. Ondo, K. Sethi, A.H. Schapira, J.C. Martinez Castrillo, P. Martinez-Martin, The nondeclaration of nonmotor symptoms of Parkinson's disease to health care professionals: an international study using the nonmotor symptoms questionnaire, Movement disorders: official journal of the Movement Disorder Society 25(6) (2010) 704-9.
- [11] S. Wishart, G.J.A. Macphee, Evaluation and Management of the Non-Motor Features of Parkinson's Disease, Therapeutic Advances in Chronic Disease 2(2) (2011) 69-85.
- [12] T.A. Zesiewicz, K.L. Sullivan, I. Arnulf, K.R. Chaudhuri, J.C. Morgan, G.S. Gronseth, J. Miyasaki, D.J. Iverson, W.J. Weiner, Practice Parameter: treatment of nonmotor symptoms of Parkinson disease: report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology, Neurology 74(11) (2010) 924-31.

- [13] K. Seppi, D. Weintraub, M. Coelho, S. Perez-Lloret, S.H. Fox, R. Katzenschlager, E.-M. Hametner, W. Poewe, O. Rascol, C.G. Goetz, C. Sampaio, The Movement Disorder Society Evidence-Based Medicine Review Update: Treatments for the non-motor symptoms of Parkinson's disease, Movement Disorders 26(S3) (2011) S42-S80.
- [14] A. Su, R. Gandhy, C. Barlow, G. Triadafilopoulos, A practical review of gastrointestinal manifestations in Parkinson's disease, Parkinsonism & related disorders 39 (2017) 17-26.
- [15] A.W. Amara, L.M. Chahine, A. Videnovic, Treatment of Sleep Dysfunction in Parkinson's Disease, Current Treatment Options in Neurology 19(7) (2017) 26.
- [16] I. Koychev, D. Okai, Cognitive—behavioural therapy for non-motor symptoms of Parkinson's disease: a clinical review, Evidence Based Mental Health 20(1) (2017) 15-20.
- [17] C.S. Hurt, L. Rixon, K.R. Chaudhuri, R. Moss-Morris, M. Samuel, R.G. Brown, Identifying barriers to help-seeking for non-motor symptoms in people with Parkinson's disease, J Health Psychol (2016) 1359105316683239.
- [18] S. Inc, Survey Monkey Inc www.surveymonkey.com, in: S. Inc (Ed.) San Mateo, California, USA. [19] J.P. Hobson, N.I. Edwards, R.J. Meara, The Parkinson's Disease Activities of Daily Living Scale: a new simple and brief subjective measure of disability in Parkinson's disease, Clinical Rehabilitation 15(3) (2001) 241-246.
- [20] K.R. Chaudhuri, A. Sauerbier, J.M. Rojo, K. Sethi, A.H.V. Schapira, R.G. Brown, A. Antonini, F. Stocchi, P. Odin, K. Bhattacharya, Y. Tsuboi, K. Abe, A. Rizos, C. Rodriguez-Blazquez, P. Martinez-Martin, The burden of non-motor symptoms in Parkinson's disease using a self-completed non-motor questionnaire: A simple grading system, Parkinsonism & related disorders 21(3) (2015) 287-291.
- [21] S.J. Udow, D.E. Hobson, G. Kleiner, M. Masellis, S.H. Fox, A.E. Lang, C. Marras, Educational Needs and Considerations for a Visual Educational Tool to Discuss Parkinson's Disease, Movement Disorders Clinical Practice 5(1) (2018) 66-74.
- [22] M. Christodoulou, Neurological nurse specialists: a vital resource under threat, The Lancet Neurology 11(3) (2012) 210-211.
- [23] B. Jones, G. Hopkins, S.A. Wherry, C.J. Lueck, C.P. Das, P. Dugdale, Evaluation of a Regional Australian Nurse-Led Parkinson's Service Using the Context, Input, Process, and Product Evaluation Model, Clin Nurse Spec 30(5) (2016) 264-70.
- [24] B.R. Bloem, F. Stocchi, Move for Change Part III: a European survey evaluating the impact of the EPDA Charter for People with Parkinson's Disease, Eur J Neurol 22(1) (2015) 133-41, e8-9.
- [25] F. Stocchi, B.R. Bloem, Move for Change Part II: a European survey evaluating the impact of the EPDA Charter for people with Parkinson's disease, Eur J Neurol 20(3) (2013) 461-72.
- [26] M. Niksic, B. Rachet, F.G. Warburton, L.J. Forbes, Ethnic differences in cancer symptom awareness and barriers to seeking medical help in England, Br J Cancer 115(1) (2016) 136-44.
- [27] M. Morris, C. F. Smith, E. Boxell, J. Wardle, A. Simon, J. Waller, Quantitative evaluation of an information leaflet to increase prompt help-seeking for gynaecological cancer symptoms, BMC Public Health 16 (2016) 374.
- [28] J. A. Cully, A. L. Teten, A Therapist's guide to brief Cognitive Behavioral Therapy, Department of Veterans Affairs South Central MIRECC, Houston, (2008).
- [29] R.M. Miller, R.S. Kaiser, Psychological Characteristics of Chronic Pain: a Review of Current Evidence and Assessment Tools to Enhance Treatment, Curr Pain Headache Rep 22(3) (2018) 22.

Table 1. Sample Characteristics (N=358)

Characteristic	Mean/N	Range/Percentage
Age	66.3 years	51-83 years
Gender		
Male	186	52.5%
Female	168	47.5%
PD duration	5.9 years	1-33 years
PADL	2.3	1-5
Employment status		
Full-time	45	12.6%
Part-time	25	7%
Unemployed	10	2.8%
Retired	228	63.9%
Retired due to health	49	13.7%
Education		
Left school before 15	42	11.9%
GCSE or equivalent level	76	21.5%
A-levels or equivalent	56	15.8%
University level	180	50.9%
Ethnicity		
White	323	90.7 %
Asian/Asian British	17	4.8 %
Black/African/Caribbean/Black	10	2.8 %
British		
Other	6	1.7 %
Marital status		
Married/civil	277	77.4%
partnership/cohabiting		
Widowed	29	8.1%
Single (never married)	28	7.8%
Divorced/separated	19	5.3%
Other	5	1.4%
Primary PD HCP		
GP	24	6.8%
Consultant Neurologist	253	72.1%
Consultant Geriatrician	14	4.0%
PD Nurse	57	16.2%
Other	3	0.9%




Figure 1. Frequency of NMS and associated level of distress across total sample (N=358)

Symptoms are ranked by prevalence from high to low. No distress rating = symptom was endorsed but distress data missing.

Table 2. Declaration of NMS by source of help

NMS	Not reported	Consultant	PD Nurse	GP %	Pharmacist	Friend or	Not reported	
	at anyone %	%	%		%	family %	to HCP* %	
Urinary urgency	20.3	31.6	54.5	37.7	6.1	42	29.0	
Nocturia	21.8	32	53.3	35.1	4.9	41.3	29.8	
Forgetting	18.0	35.4	48.9	26.4	6.7	53.9	37.1	
Restless legs	21.6	34.1	54	24.4	4.5	50	32.4	
Feeling low	25.6	31.3	44.9	30.1	7.4	52.8	40.9	
Constipation	14.9	34.9	55.4	42.3	6.9	34.3	22.3	
Insomnia	12.3	45	60.2	35.1	6.4	54.4	22.2	
Unexplained pain	16.7	39.3	48.8	43.5	7.1	48.2	29.8	
Feeling anxious	24.2	31.2	53.5	28.7	7	48.4	35.0	
Dizziness	24.2	32.2	47	33.6	7.4	49	34.9	
Change in taste and smell	25.9	36.1	44.9	21.8	4.1	42.9	39.5	
Difficulty concentrating	23.8	31.5	47.6	27.3	7	46.9	42.0	
Incomplete bowel emptying	44.4	19.7	40.1	27.5	7	23.9	48.6	
Dribbling			46.9	20.3	3.1	31.1	39.1	
Vivid dreams	15.6	41.8	57.4	24.6	4.9	62.3	31.1	
Acting out dreams	14.7	32.8	54.3	24.1	6	62.9	32.8	
Swallowing or choking	21.6	31	56.9	21.6	6	46.6	37.1	
Loss of interest in sex	52.3	11.7	13.5	16.2	1.8	31.5	72.1	
Difficulty having sex	44.3	15.1	15.1	31.1	2.8	34	58.5	
Loss of interest	33.7	24	45.2	20.2	4.8	42.3	47.1	
Falling due to fainting/blacking out	8.0	53	65	53	10	64	15.0	
Daytime sleepiness	12.6	37.9	57.5	25.3	11.5	57.5	24.1	
Swelling in the legs	16.3	29.1	41.9	53.5	2.3	50	25.6	
Change in weight	22.2	35.8	40.7	44.4	4.9	46.9	29.6	
Excessive sweating	27.8	35.4	41.8	34.2	5.1	44.3	43.0	
Vomiting/Nausea	25.4	32.8	38.8	29.9	6	43.3	41.8	
Hallucinations	16.4	49.1	52.7	16.2	7.3	43.6	27.3	
Double vision	25.5	41.2	41.2	49	9.8	41.2	35.3	
Bowel incontinence	17.8	33.3	48.9	42.2	6.7	42.2	26.7	
Increased interest in sex	43.9	14.6	22	24.4	2.4	29.3	58.5	
Delusions	8.7	39.1	60.9	39.1	17.4	56.5	26.1	

^{*}HCP = GP, PD nurse or consultant

Italics = HCP the largest proportion of patients reported symptoms to

Table 3. Generalised estimating equations for barriers to help-seeking for non-motor symptoms (only significant associations shown, p<0.05)

				Wald Chi-					Wald Chi-		
Barrier / NMS	Odds Ratio	Confidence	Confidence interval		Square <i>p</i> -value		Confidence interval		Square	<i>P</i> -value	
Barrier	Uncertainty v	whether the sy	mptom is p	Belief that th	ne symptom i	s not serio	us				
 Urinary Urgency 	.342	.137	.851	5.325	.021	-	-	-	-	-	
 Unexplained Pain 	.125	.055	.284	24.662	<.001	.237	.098	.576	10.09	.001	
Barrier		lf-help strateg	ies are a pr	eferable or a	cceptable	Belief that ra	ising the pro	blem is no	t socially ac	ceptable	
	option					250	000	747	C 240	012	
Unexplained PainConstipation	- .278	.090	- .860	- 4.930	- .026	.258	.089	.747	6.248	.012	
Constipation	.270	.050	.800	4.550	.020						
Barrier	A perception	of the sympto	ion skills								
 Urinary urgency 	-	-	-	-	-	.123	.015	.990	3.878	.049	
 Lower libido 	.177	.039	.811	4.970	.026	.074	.010	.574	6.207	.013	
 Difficulty having sex 	.149	.033	.671	6.155	.013	.064	.008	.490	7.004	.008	
Barrier	Acceptance of	of the sympton	n as 'part o	f life'		Uncertainty that effective treatment is available					
Dribbling	-	-	-	-	-	6.085	1.012	36.602	3.891	.049	
 Taste and smell 	.109	.014	.866	4.390	.036	-	-	-	-	-	
 Constipation 	.064	.008	.490	7.004	.008	-	-	-	-	-	
 Bowel emptying 	.069	.009	.529	6.613	.010	-	-	-	-	-	
 Urinary urgency 	.036	.005	.267	10.562	.001	.364	.146	.911	4.661	.031	
 Nocturia 	.060	.008	.456	7.380	.007	-	-	-	-	-	
 Unexplained pain 	.027	.004	.197	12.606	<.001	.193	.083	.452	14.378	<.001	
 Forgetting 	.097	.012	.770	4.877	.027	-	-	-	-	-	
• Sad	.069	.009	.529	6.613	.010	-	-	-	-	-	
 Difficulty having sex 	.047	.006	.357	8.764	.003	.390	.155	.980	4.009	.045	
 Dizzy from standing 	.097	.012	.770	4.877	.027	-	-	-	-	-	
 Insomnia 	.081	.010	.628	5.783	.016	-	-	-	-	-	
 Excessive sweating 	.123	.015	.990	3.878	.049	-	-	-	-	-	

Supplementary Files

Table S1. Potential Barriers to Reporting Non-Motor Symptoms to an Healthcare Professional

Barriers to NMS Reporting	Participant barrier list
Uncertainty whether the symptom is part of PD	'I am unsure whether this symptom is related to Parkinson's Disease'
Belief that the symptom is not serious	'The symptom is not serious enough to report it'
Acceptance of the symptom as 'part of life'	'I have accepted the symptom as part of my everyday life'
Belief that self-help strategies are a preferable or acceptable option	'I do not report the symptom because there are things that I can do to control the symptom myself'
Uncertainty that effective treatment is available	'I am not sure if anything can be done about my symptom'
Communication skills	'I find it difficult to talk about my symptom'
Concern that treatment will require change of PD medication or taking extra medication	'I don't want to increase my medication dose or treatment'
Not a priority at the time	'The priority for reporting this symptom is either low or is lower than the other symptoms or problems that I am experiencing at the time when I see my doctor'
Lack of energy	'I don't have the energy to discuss all of my symptoms'
Problems with memory and concentration	'I forget or get side tracked'

Presence of another non-HCP	'Having someone in the consultation room with me stops me reporting my symptom'
A perception of the symptom as embarrassing	'Asking for help with this symptom would be embarrassing'
Belief that raising the problem is not socially acceptable	'I feel I would be making a fuss'
Lack of rapport with the HCP	'I don't have a good rapport with my doctor'
Lack of time in the consultation	'There is a lack of time during consultations'
Preference to talk to a different HCP (familiarity/availability)	'I would rather talk to someone I know about this symptom'
Preference to talk to an HCP of the same gender	'I would rather talk to someone of the same gender about this symptom'
Lack of priority to NMS in the consultation	'The consultation focus on the main symptoms of Parkinson's and this is not one of the main symptoms'

Table S2. Frequency of barriers to reporting Non-motor Symptoms to a healthcare provider

				Belief that self-			Concer n that treatm ent will											
				help	Uncert		require						Belief			Prefere		
	Uncert			strateg	ainty		change					Α	that			nce to		
	ainty	Belief	Accept	ies are	that		of PD			Proble		percep	raising			talk to	Prefere	
	wheth	that	ance of	a	effectiv		medica			ms		tion of	the			differe	nce to	Lack of
	er the	the	the	prefera	e		tion or			with	Presen	the	proble			nt HCP	talk to	priority
	sympto	sympto	sympto	ble or	treatm	Comm	taking	Not a		memor	ce of	sympto	m not	Lack of		(familia	HCP of	to NMS
	m is	m is	m as	accept	ent is	unicati	extra	priority		y and	anothe	m as	socially	rappor		rity/av	the	in the
	part of	not	'part of	able	availab	on	medica	at the	Lack of	concen	r non-	embarr	accept	t with	Lack of	ailabilit	same	consult
	PD	serious	life'	option	le	skills	tion	time	energy	tration	HCP	assing	able	HCP	time	y)	gender	ation
Total freq.																		
of Barrier	206	156	292	99	222	74	125	114	42	57	21	51	108	23	37	37	10	108