Copyright © 2019 Bulitta et al.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

1	Generating robust and informative nonclinical <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> bacterial
2	infection model efficacy data to support translation to humans
3	
4	Jürgen B. Bulitta ^{1,*} , William Hope ² , Ann E. Eakin ³ , Tina Guina ³ , Vincent H. Tam ⁴ ,
5	Arnold Louie ⁵ , George L. Drusano ⁵ , Jennifer L. Hoover ^{6,*}
6	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 AFFILIATIONS: 1) Center for Pharmacometrics and Systems Pharmacology, Department of Pharmaceutics, College of Pharmacy, University of Florida, Orlando, FL 2) Centre for Antimicrobial Pharmacodynamics, Department of Molecular and Clinica Pharmacology, Institute of Translational Medicine, University of Liverpool, UK 3) National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Rockville, MD 4) College of Pharmacy, University of Houston, Houston, TX 5) Institute for Therapeutic Innovation and Department of Medicine, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Orlando, FL 6) Antibacterial Discovery Performance Unit, GlaxoSmithKline, Collegeville, PA
19	*Joint corresponding authors:Jürgen B. BulittaJennifer L. HooverCenter for PharmacometricsAntibacterial Discovery Performance Unitand Systems PharmacologyInfectious Disease DiscoveryDepartment of PharmaceuticsGlaxoSmithKlineCollege of Pharmacy1250 S. Collegeville RoadUniversity of FloridaCollegeville, PA 19426-09896550 Sanger Road, Office 475Office (610) 917-7146Orlando, FL 32827-7445Email Jennifer.L.Hoover@gsk.comOffice (407) 313-7010Fax (407) 313-7030E-mail jbulitta@cop.ufl.eduEmail State
20	
21	Running title: Robust and informative nonclinical PK/PD models
22	Koy words: in vitro infection models, hollow fiber system, mouse infection models
∠⊃ 24	ney words. In vito intection models, nonow liber system, model intection models,

- , optimal design, progression
- and decision criteria, best practices / validation 25
- 26

Chemotherapy

27 ABSTRACT

In June 2017, The National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, part of the 28 National Institutes of Health, organized a workshop entitled "Pharmacokinetics-29 30 Pharmacodynamics (PK/PD) for Development of Therapeutics against Bacterial 31 Pathogens". The aims were to discuss details of various PK/PD models and identify 32 sound practices for deriving and utilizing PK/PD relationships to design optimal dosage regimens for patients. Workshop participants encompassed individuals from academia, 33 industry and government, including the United States Food and Drug Administration. 34 35 This and the accompanying review on clinical PK/PD summarize the workshop 36 discussions and recommendations. Nonclinical PK/PD models play a critical role in 37 designing human dosage regimens and are essential tools for drug development. These 38 include in vitro and in vivo efficacy models that provide valuable and complementary 39 information for dose selection and translation from the laboratory to human. It is crucial 40 that studies be designed, conducted and interpreted appropriately. For antibacterial PK/PD, extensive published data and expertise are available. These have been 41 leveraged to develop recommendations, identify common pitfalls and describe the 42 43 applications, strengths and limitations of various nonclinical infection models and translational approaches. Despite these robust tools and published guidance, 44 characterizing nonclinical PK/PD relationships may not be straightforward, especially for 45 a new drug or new class. Antimicrobial PK/PD is an evolving discipline that needs to 46 adapt to future research and development needs. Open communication between 47 48 academia, pharmaceutical industry, government, and regulatory bodies is essential to 49 share perspectives and collectively solve future challenges.

Chemotherapy

50 **INTRODUCTION**

51 Nonclinical infection models commonly characterize are used to pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) relationships for antibacterials and provide 52 53 critical information for designing human dosage regimens (1). The discipline of PK/PD 54 has been developing for several decades, and there is extensive evidence 55 demonstrating that nonclinical infection models can predict clinical outcomes (1, 2). Since typical antibacterial drugs target the pathogen and not the host, the basic 56 antimicrobial pharmacology and microbiology of the drug-pathogen interaction can be 57 58 studied outside of the clinical setting. These insights can be assumed to hold true, in 59 general, for drug-pathogen interactions that occur during infection of a human host (3). 60 While there are many elements that cannot easily be studied outside the setting of a human infection, the insights gained from nonclinical infection models strongly support 61 the rational design of optimal antibacterial dosage regimens for evaluation in future 62 63 clinical trials.

The goal of conducting nonclinical PK/PD infection models is, first and foremost, 64 65 to elucidate exposure-response relationships, and to subsequently design and optimize 66 dosage regimens. It is crucial to understand how drug concentration profiles at the primary infection site can maximize bacterial killing and minimize the emergence of 67 bacterial resistance. Armed with this knowledge, dosage regimens can be designed to 68 balance these goals while maintaining an acceptable level of safety in humans. 69 Establishing exposure-toxicity relationships and identifying optimal regimens which 70 71 account for between patient variability can greatly support achieving this balance (4, 5).

The existing armamentarium of PK/PD models is commonly employed to support these goals throughout the phases of drug development. Data from nonclinical PK/PD

AAC

models are indispensable for selecting the doses and regimens for patients,
establishing susceptibility breakpoints, and ultimately refining clinical dosage regimens.
The latter should reliably achieve PK/PD targets to maximize the probability that all
patients will achieve efficacious drug exposures while limiting resistance development.

78 In the current environment, it can be challenging or virtually impossible to find 79 and recruit a sufficient number of patients (e.g. those with infections caused by multidrug-resistant pathogens) for multiple, large-scale clinical trials designed for 80 inferential testing. Consequently, there may be a heavy reliance on nonclinical PK/PD 81 82 data to support and enhance the insights gained from human studies. These data also 83 comprise an important element of regulatory submissions, as evidenced by guidelines 84 published by the European Medicines Agency (6, 7). For submissions to the Center for 85 Drug Evaluation and Research that rely on limited clinical data, the importance of nonclinical PK/PD information is magnified, and nonclinical data packages need to be 86 87 thorough to strongly support safety and efficacy in patients (8).

Generating robust nonclinical PK/PD data was a key topic in the workshop 88 sponsored by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) in June 89 2017 entitled "Pharmacokinetics-Pharmacodynamics (PK/PD) for Development of 90 Therapeutics against Bacterial Pathogens". This review aims to summarize the 91 information presented and discussed regarding nonclinical PK/PD models. Workshop 92 participants came from across academia, industry and government, including the United 93 94 States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to provide a wide range of perspectives. 95 Characterizing PK/PD for new drugs can be complex, and there is no single roadmap 96 that can be applied for all drugs. In this review, we sought to provide guidance and 97 considerations for designing, performing and interpreting studies to develop a robust Downloaded from http://aac.asm.org/ on April 3, 2019 by gues

Chemotherapy

99 these models into perspective to design safe and effective dosage regimens for future 100 clinical studies.

and informative nonclinical PK/PD package. Moreover, we aimed to put the roles of

101

98

102 **IN VITRO PK/PD MODELS**

103 Static concentration time-kill (SCTK) assays are suitable screening tools for 104 assessing drug structure activity and exposure-response relationships and for choosing 105 informative drug exposures for subsequent dynamic infection model studies over longer 106 treatment durations. SCTK studies are used to assess antibacterial activity and are 107 typically performed over 24 (to 48) h. They use constant antibiotic concentrations and 108 assume no or limited drug degradation; however, this should be experimentally 109 confirmed, especially in studies with resistant strains. This experimental model can 110 efficiently assess exposure-response relationships against the predominant bacterial 111 population for antibiotic monotherapy and evaluate PD drug interactions for combinations. Further, SCTK studies can identify the rate of bacterial killing, help to 112 113 define whether microbial killing is concentration- or time-dependent, identify antibiotic 114 exposures that maximize bacterial killing and minimize regrowth, as well as evaluate the 115 effect of the initial bacterial inoculum on antibiotic activity (9-11). Depending on the study objectives, viable counts on agar plates with and without the antibiotic can be 116 117 utilized to determine the impact of drug exposure on both total and less-susceptible 118 bacterial population(s) and identify whether regrowth is caused by less-susceptible 119 bacteria (12-14). Results from 24 or 48 h SCTK studies may predict outcomes in the 120 dynamic one-compartment (chemostat) or hollow fiber infection model (HFIM) for the 121 first 24 to 48 h, but not at later time points.

122 The SCTK can efficiently assess a large number of treatment and control arms. 123 Other advantages include its low cost and minimal equipment requirements; limitations 124 include the use of constant drug concentrations and typically short treatment duration 125 (24 to 48 h). The study duration can be extended to over one week, if needed, by 126 replacing the medium with fresh (antibiotic-containing) broth every 24 h. For less stable 127 drugs, small antibiotic doses can additionally be supplemented to offset degradation 128 (15). Dynamic in vitro PK/PD models offer the additional capability of evaluating the 129 effect of drug concentrations that change over time and can thereby mimic drug 130 concentration profiles in humans. Dynamic systems include the one-compartment 131 model (also called chemostat) and the two-compartment HFIM (16-20). To more 132 precisely achieve PK/PD targets in these more labor-intensive dynamic infection 133 models, it is often beneficial to perform arithmetic MICs using finer than 2-fold dilutions, 134 particularly for higher MIC values (e.g. >0.5 mg/L) where the large incremental 135 increases in test concentrations reduce the precision of the measurement (e.g. lower test concentrations have 2 to 3 significant figures while higher test concentrations only 136 137 have 1).

138 Dynamic one-compartment models. Chemostats are one-compartment, 139 bacterial culture bioreactors with a typical culture volume of 100 to 250 mL. Fresh media is added continuously while culture contents are removed at the same rate to maintain a 140 141 constant volume (16). Drugs are either administered directly as a bolus or infused (via a 142 pump) into the bioreactor or as continuous infusion with the inflowing medium (Figure 143 1). The chemostat can simulate drug concentrations changing over time following a 144 single half-life to evaluate efficacy. This model can also assess dose fractionation by 145 splitting the same daily dose into various dosing intervals. Moreover, chemostats can

6

Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy

simulate different durations of infusion and front-loaded regimens, for example (**Table 1**) (21-24). With the continuous replenishment of growth medium and nutrients, the onecompartment system supports testing longer treatment durations for dose-range and dose-fractionation studies. This system can simulate the time-course of antibiotic concentrations for monotherapies and combinations to study bacterial killing and regrowth.

152 Limitations of the chemostat include the potential for washout of bacteria and 153 contamination of the media, particularly for studies with longer treatment duration. Most 154 published studies have been conducted over 96 h or shorter (and often only over 24 h). 155 Simulating concentration-time profiles for drugs with a short half-life in the chemostat 156 results in washout of a considerable number of bacteria. The latter will cause the drug 157 exposure needed for bacterial killing and resistance prevention to be underestimated, 158 especially for slowly replicating bacteria or subpopulations. Filters can be used to help 159 mitigate this issue but are not ideal due to clogging by bacteria (20, 25). Both washout 160 of bacteria and incomplete oxygenation can lead to substantially lower maximum 161 bacterial densities in the chemostat compared to those in SCTK and HFIM. Depending 162 on the simulated half-life, bacterial waste products may accumulate over time in the 163 chemostat. These features limit the ability of the chemostat to evaluate bacterial killing 164 and resistance prevention at high bacterial densities and over long study durations.

165 **Dynamic two-compartment models.** In our opinion, the HFIM is the preferred 166 and most capable *in vitro* model for evaluating PK/PD indices (26) and concentrations 167 that best predict bacterial killing and resistance prevention (**Table 1**). The HFIM is a 168 two-compartment system where bacteria are entrapped in the extra-capillary space of a 169 hollow fiber cartridge that serves as a peripheral infection site (**Figure 2**). This system 170

187

171 with the same or different half-lives (27-30). Multi-exponential profiles can be simulated by switching the pump rates at appropriate times (31). Bacteria are contained within the 172 173 peripheral compartment of the hollow fiber cartridge, which completely prevents 174 washout of bacteria. The cartridge has a large surface-to-volume ratio (32), providing 175 optimized growth conditions for aerobic bacteria since bacteria are constantly exposed 176 to fresh broth and oxygen, and waste products are continually removed (Figure 2). 177 Thus, the maximum achievable bacterial density in the HFIM is usually over one order 178 of magnitude higher compared with that in the SCTK assay. Due to these differences in 179 growth conditions, the SCTK model tends to show an extensively attenuated bacterial 180 killing at high compared to low initial inocula for some drug classes (9, 10, 33). This 181 attenuation (i.e. an inoculum effect) tends to be less pronounced in the HFIM (34, 35), 182 since bacterial replication is faster in the HFIM compared to SCTK at the same bacterial density (e.g. 10⁸ CFU/mL). The clinical relevance of experimental inoculum effects is not 183 184 fully understood; however, it has been shown in a mouse model that higher drug exposures are required to achieve stasis or $1-\log_{10}$ killing against a higher (10^7 CFU/mL) 185 compared to a lower (10⁵ CFU/mL) inoculum of multiple *Staphylococcus aureus* strains 186

for four classes of antibiotics (36).

The HFIM offers the advantage that it can assess resistance prevention over typical antibiotic treatment durations for serious bacterial infections in patients (i.e. approximately 5 to 14 days). For slowly replicating bacteria such as *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, studies can be extended to 28 days (37, 38) and longer, if needed. Moreover, the HFIM is the most capable and informative *in vitro* model for evaluating the efficacy of drug combination regimens, front-loaded dosage regimens and for

can simulate virtually any time-course of drug concentrations for one or multiple drugs

Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy

antibiotics with a short half-life, since there is no washout of the microbe (39, 40). The HFIM is further suitable for studies with highly communicable or virulent BSL-3 pathogens (such as Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Bacillus anthracis, Burkholderia mallei, Burkholderia pseudomallei, Francisella tularensis, and Yersinia pestis), since the bacteria are contained in the HFIM cartridge (27, 41).

199 Limitations of the HFIM include its relatively high cost, which is compounded by 200 the single use of cartridges, and the more extensive effort required to plan, set-up, and 201 execute studies. Some (lipophilic) drugs bind to HFIM components, which hinders their 202 testing. Different hollow fiber materials (including cellulosic, polysulfone and 203 polyvinylidendifluoride [PVDF]) are available to minimize binding, if needed (32). Given 204 the molecular weight cutoff of HFIM cartridges, β-lactamase enzymes are entrapped in 205 the extracellular space. For sub-therapeutic regimens which provide limited or no 206 bacterial killing, ß-lactamase enzymes may accumulate over time in the cartridge and 207 degrade β -lactams (35). This is likely moderated by bacterial proteases that break down 208 β-lactamase enzymes and can be mitigated by washing of the bacterial suspension 209 before it is inoculated into the HFIM cartridge. Therefore, quantifying β-lactam 210 concentrations in the extra-capillary space of the HFIM cartridge (Figure 2) is warranted 211 for β -lactamase (over)-producing strains. This is also essential for high inoculum studies 212 of resistant strains for highly permeable pathogens such as Escherichia coli and 213 Klebsiella pneumoniae. These β -lactamase-producing strains can cause a rapid decline 214 of the extracellular β -lactam concentration due to β -lactamase activity in the periplasmic 215 space of bacteria, an issue which also applies to SCTK and chemostat studies.

216

217 CONSIDERATIONS FOR DESIGN AND CONDUCT OF IN VITRO PK/PD MODELS

Accepted Manuscript Posted Online

Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy

AAC

Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 218 Strain selection. Robust PK/PD analyses require examination of multiple strains 219 that should include one reference strain (e.g. a widely available ATCC strain), one susceptible and two less-susceptible clinical isolates; the latter may include one strain 220 221 from an intensive care unit (ICU) patient and one strain from a non-ICU patient. Strains 222 should be relevant for the clinical indication and study purpose; they should include 223 different resistance mechanisms and a relevant (i.e. wide) range of susceptibility to the 224 studied drug(s). Studies evaluating isogenic sets of strains can provide valuable 225 information about the impact of a specific resistance mechanism.

226 Furthermore, the chosen strains should represent the most common mutation 227 frequency (MF), and strains with the lowest MF (i.e. strains with a small number of pre-228 existing resistant mutants) should be avoided. This necessitates determining the MF for 229 a range of strains; it is recommended to test at least 3 strains of a given bacterial 230 species for this purpose. For strains with multiple bacterial populations of different 231 susceptibility towards an antibiotic, the impact of these less susceptible populations on 232 PK/PD relationships and targets may need to be evaluated (34, 42). Appropriate 233 reference strains (such as ATCC strains) should be used throughout the research 234 program to demonstrate reproducibility. Finally, if possible, the chosen strains should be 235 virulent in animal models to support efficient translation to animal studies, and virulence 236 should be confirmed before conducting HFIM studies.

Inoculum and mutation frequency. The initial bacterial inoculum needs to be relevant for the clinical indication and study purpose. A high inoculum with a total bacterial burden of approximately 10^{8.5} CFU or greater (equivalent to 15 mL of a bacterial suspension at 10^{7.3} CFU/mL in the HFIM) is typically used in studies that target ventilator-associated and hospital-acquired bacterial pneumonia (VABP/HABP) and in

Chemotherapy

resistance prevention studies (43). Experiments with a total bacterial inoculum lower 242 than approximately 10⁶ CFU (equivalent to 15 mL of a bacterial suspension at 10^{4.8} 243 CFU/mL or lower) are usually not relevant for clinical indications. However, such low 244 245 inoculum studies may be highly suitable to address mechanistic research questions on 246 the rate of *de novo* formation of resistant mutants or on phenotypic tolerance of the 247 predominant population (in absence of pre-existing mutants at initiation of therapy), for 248 example. Knowing the MF for the tested antibiotic(s) is essential (14). By considering 249 the expected number of resistant mutants in the initial inoculum, one can increase or 250 decrease the probability of a resistant mutant being present or absent, depending on 251 the study objectives. To assess suppression of amplification of pre-existing less-252 susceptible mutants, the number of bacteria in the total system volume should be at 253 least 1 log₁₀ CFU higher than the inverse of the MF. This ensures that all treatment and 254 control arms contain at least one pre-existing less susceptible mutant (with a probability 255 of 99.9% for a 16-arm study, see useful formulas in the Supplementary Materials).

256 **Duration of therapy and resistance prevention.** The study duration depends on the study objective. To determine the PK/PD index (e.g. AUC/MIC, Peak/MIC or 257 258 T>MIC) that best predicts bacterial killing, short-term studies over approximately 1 to 3 259 days may be sufficient; longer studies are required for slowly replicating bacteria and 260 should consider the cell division time. These data can be used to determine the drug 261 exposure required to achieve $1-\log_{10}$ or $2-\log_{10}$ reduction in bacterial burden, or 262 bacteriostasis at 24 h and end-of-study. To assess the drug exposure and dosage 263 regimens that suppress resistance amplification, the treatment duration should mimic 264 the therapy duration for the intended clinical indication (usually at least 5 to 8 days). 265 Some antibiotic classes show emergence of resistance more rapidly (21, 34), but

Chemotherapy

absence of resistance emergence over the first two days often does not correlate with
resistance prevention over 10 days. Therefore, HFIM studies to evaluate resistance
prevention often use 7, 10 or 14 days of treatment (28, 44).

Drug stability. It is critical to evaluate drug solubility and stability under relevant conditions (e.g. solvents, media, storage and experiment temperatures, as well as durations consistent with those of the planned experiments) (45, 46). Many antibiotics are hydrophilic and soluble in water (47), but some have limited solubility and their concentrations may decrease over time due to (slow) precipitation. In addition, drugs may bind non-specifically to flasks, tubing, filters, and fibers; thus, it is important to assess whether these issues exist.

276 Drug concentration profiles. When available, protein binding and 277 pharmacokinetic data from patients with an infection should be used to simulate the 278 non-protein bound (or "free") concentration-time course of drugs in plasma or, ideally, 279 tissue exposures at the primary infection site for the intended clinical indication (e.g. 280 lung epithelial lining fluid [ELF] for pneumonia). This is important because exposure 281 profiles in patients may differ from those in healthy volunteers, and between patient 282 variability in PK can be substantial in the critically ill. Of note, infection and the 283 associated inflammation can alter drug exposure in ELF or cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) 284 (48, 49) and some antibiotics have heterogeneous distribution across major tissues and 285 organs. For example, polymyxin B accumulates in kidney (50) but less in lung (51). It is 286 further important to understand and simulate non-protein bound (i.e. free) drug 287 exposures that are relevant to the infection site.

If an active metabolite contributes to the overall bacterial killing, both the parentand metabolite should be evaluated separately and the concentration-time profiles of

Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy both compounds should be generated *in vitro* at the values found at the intended infection site in patients. This provides the most accurate characterization of bacterial killing and resistance prevention for antibiotics with an active metabolite. For prodrugs that are inactive and/or rapidly converted to the parent, such as tedizolid, ceftaroline or colistin methanesulfonate, the drug exposure and PK profile of the biologically active compound should be dosed in *in vitro* PD systems (52, 53) due to different formation rates *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

297 Quantifying drug concentrations. Determining the time-course of achieved 298 drug concentrations in dynamic PK/PD models is a best practice, both to validate the 299 simulated PK profiles and provide observed data for analysis. This is an essential step, 300 rather than relying solely on mathematically predicting the expected drug exposures. 301 This is particularly important for intermittent dosing and complex dosage regimens (e.g. 302 front-loading (40, 54)). Collecting these data allows correlation of actual drug exposures 303 with the extent of bacterial killing and resistance suppression and may explain 304 unexpected results.

Drug concentrations should be quantified at multiple times per dosing interval, e.g. at approximately 30 min after the end of infusion (to allow for proper equilibration of the system), one to three intermediate samples, and a sample towards the end of the dosing interval. This sampling scheme should be adjusted for more complex regimens and repeated during multiple dosing intervals to confirm reliability of the dosing (including the syringe pump), performance of the peristaltic pump, and characterize attainment of steady-state (35).

312 *Quantifying bacterial populations.* The impact of drug exposure on the total 313 and less-susceptible bacterial population(s) should be assessed (12, 13, 27-31, 34)

316 the predominant bacterial population is usually determined by quantitative viable counts 317 on antibiotic-free agar. In contrast, killing and amplification of less-susceptible bacterial 318 population(s) is assessed by viable counting onto antibiotic-containing agar. Sub-319 culturing should be done on agar containing the same antibiotic(s) used in a respective 320 treatment arm; and for all antibiotics for the growth control. Agar containing 3x and 5x 321 the MIC is commonly used; however, this choice depends on the initial (i.e. pre-322 treatment) MIC and the step size of the MIC change (e.g. due to loss of an outer 323 membrane porin [OprD] or up-regulation of an efflux pump) associated with relevant 324 resistance mechanism(s). The MF can also guide selection of appropriate antibiotic Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 325 concentration(s) in agar that should be between the MIC of the parent strain and that of 326 the first-step mutant. To identify potential second- and third-step mutants with further 327 decreased susceptibility, higher multiples of the MIC in agar can be used. For drugs 328 with a large increase in the MIC of first-step mutants, higher multiples of the MIC or a

329

330

331

314

315

to quantify less susceptible population(s) (56).
For most antibiotics, enumerating colonies of sub-cultured bacteria after 24 h of
incubation on antibiotic-containing agar is not sufficient and may greatly underestimate
the less-susceptible population. Additional colonies may become visible after 48 to 72 h
of incubation. Loss of moisture in agar can be minimized via a humidified incubator,
increased agar volume per plate, or by incubating a tray of agar plates in a partially

fixed concentration in agar (e.g. 300 mg/L rifampicin for Pseudomonas aeruginosa) can

be employed (55). Strains with high baseline MICs and combination therapy studies

require special attention for selecting the most suitable antibiotic concentrations in agar

when the study objective includes assessing resistance prevention. The importance of

conducting these types of studies is described in the Supplemental Materials. Killing of

14

AAC

Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy opened plastic bag. Drug stability in the agar during incubation should be experimentally tested, especially for bacteriostatic antibiotics that inhibit growth but cause only slow bacterial killing. Moreover, the MICs should be determined for a subset of colonies growing on antibiotic-containing agar to validate their decreased susceptibility to the antibiotic.

343 Data analysis approaches. Empirical and mechanism-based mathematical 344 models both have their roles for analyzing in vitro PK/PD data. Empirical models (23, 345 57-72) are efficient and typically analyze viable counts at the end of therapy, or the area 346 under the viable count curve (on linear or log scale) during different time intervals (e.g. 347 from 0 to 5 h, 0 to 24 h, and 0 h to end-of-study). Time-independent exposure-response 348 relationships can identify exposure targets for efficacy and empirically describe the 349 observed synergy of drug combinations; however, time-independent exposure-response 350 analyses are not suitable to rationally optimize combinations or monotherapy regimens 351 with changing dose intensity over time (e.g. front-loading), and do not describe the time-352 course of drug concentrations. Empirical time-course models can describe drug-353 concentration and viable count profiles, but lack mechanistic insights (e.g. receptors) 354 and do not account for multiple resistance mechanisms. Particularly for combination 355 therapy, empirical models cannot rationally optimize the effects elicited by antibiotics 356 with multiple target sites or multiple mechanisms of action (10, 73, 74), or for 357 combinations with several synergy mechanisms (14, 35, 75).

Mechanism-based (MB) as well as Quantitative and Systems Pharmacology (QSP) models have been developed to overcome many of these limitations. While MB and QSP models both implement mechanism(s) of drug action, resistance or both, QSP models usually describe multiple different types of experimental observations to Accepted Manuscript Posted Online

Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy

AAC

362 characterize the mechanisms in more depth. Both of these models can simultaneously 363 describe and predict the time course of bacterial killing and resistance emergence, and have been developed for antibiotic monotherapy and combinations (9, 10, 14, 15, 30, 364 365 31, 33, 34, 40, 41, 55, 58, 71, 72, 76-84)(56). These models incorporate genotypic 366 resistance development by multiple bacterial populations with different susceptibilities 367 and phenotypic tolerance of slowly replicating bacteria. They offer the advantage of 368 integrating molecular experimental data and allow rational optimization of innovative 369 monotherapy and combination dosage regimens (including front-loading) for more than 370 two drugs, if needed. Further, translational MB and QSP models can incorporate toxico-371 dynamics (4, 5, 39, 85, 86) and account for the impact of the immune system (87-90). 372 Independent of the approach employed, prospective experimental validation is essential 373 (31, 72).

Interpretation of results: When interpreting in vitro PK/PD results, it is 374 375 important to consider the mode of drug action; i.e. is the antibiotic rapidly or slowly 376 killing, and which endpoint (e.g. stasis, $1-\log_{10}$ or $2-\log_{10}$ killing) is most clinically 377 relevant. A stasis endpoint may be sufficient for less acute clinical indications such as 378 uncomplicated skin and skin structure infections and complicated urinary tract 379 infections. However, 1- or 2-log₁₀ killing may be more desirable for severe infections 380 (such as VABP). In addition, while the primary PK/PD index is often consistent between 381 different pathogens and strains, the drug exposures required to achieve a target 382 endpoint may vary greatly (91). This may have implications for translation to broad 383 coverage and clinical utility of antibiotics (53, 92-94). Moreover, this reinforces the need 384 to include a sufficiently diverse spectrum of bacterial strains in nonclinical PK/PD

models and to consider the potentially substantial between patient variability in PK,
especially in unstable patients with sepsis or septic shock (see companion review).

Potential extreme observations that fall outside of a predetermined threshold for an "outlier" (e.g. >2 SD from mean) should not be automatically discarded. Such data point(s) may represent an unexpected but important behavior (e.g. a mutation, with low frequency, leading to emergence of resistance; or development of tolerance to the drug). While mathematical approaches are available to handle potential "outliers", experimental replicates and further laboratory investigation (such as characterization of resistant mutants and/or evaluation of potential drug tolerance) are strongly preferred.

394

395 CHALLENGES OF INTERPRETING IN VITRO RESULTS

396 The data generated using in vitro systems provide valuable insights into the 397 direct interaction between the pathogen and the drug, and it is recommended that drug 398 developers incorporate these types of models into their development programs. 399 However, in some cases, the results may not directly translate to the clinic because in 400 vitro systems do not fully mimic the in vivo environment. The PK/PD targets required in 401 patients may be lower or higher than those in vitro if host factors affect bacterial killing 402 or if the fitness of resistant mutants is reduced in vivo (95). An in vivo PK/PD target may 403 be lower if the immune response contributes significantly to bacterial killing (3, 88); 404 conversely, the *in vivo* target may be higher if host factors reduce the susceptibility of 405 the bacteria (e.g. due to binding to lung surfactant, or persistence in deep seated or 406 sequestered infection sites). Moreover, drug binding in plasma needs to be considered, 407 since generally only free (i.e. unbound) drug is available to interact with bacterial 408 receptors. Therefore, translation of PK/PD targets should be based on free drug

409 concentrations unless another rationale (e.g. for very highly bound drugs) is provided. It 410 should be noted that *in vitro* studies generally do not incorporate plasma proteins (by 411 design). Binding of many antibiotics to the *in vitro* pharmacodynamic systems is 412 negligible (91), and the experiments inherently characterize free drug. This is in contrast 413 to *in vivo* studies, in which results should be adjusted for protein binding in the test 414 species.

415 For emergence of resistance studies, it may be prudent to interpret results as an 416 assessment of risk in the absence of host factors (e.g. the immune system), rather than 417 as a direct prediction of clinical outcome. For example, while in vitro models are 418 excellent for studying aminoglycosides as part of combination regimens (15, 31, 35, 55, 419 56, 81, 96), they are not suitable for testing aminoglycoside monotherapy because this 420 drug class readily generates small colony variants that are less common in vivo (10, 12, 421 83, 95, 97). For these bacterial populations that cause failure of therapy in vitro, 422 assessing the resistance mechanism(s), ability of high drug concentrations to kill these 423 mutants, and the MIC-shifts towards potential partner antibiotics may be valuable. 424 Further, evaluating synergistic drug combinations, as well as the in vivo fitness and 425 virulence (98) may guide translation to animal models and ultimately to patients.

Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy

Accepted Manuscript Posted Online

Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy

426 IN VIVO PK/PD MODELS

427 Laboratory animal models have been used for decades to identify effective dosing regimens for clinical trials. Although dosages, drug clearance (including 428 429 metabolism), and other factors often differ considerably between animals and humans, 430 in vivo models play a critical role in characterizing the PK/PD for antibacterial agents 431 (Figure 3). Animal models provide an *in vivo* infection environment and anatomical 432 barriers that are difficult to reproduce in vitro. Animal infection models can forecast drug 433 efficacy in patients, and the probability of regulatory approval increases with the 434 probability of PK/PD target attainment (1, 2, 72, 99).

435 The most widely used in vivo models for antibacterial PK/PD are the murine thigh 436 and lung infection models (99). The thigh model is performed by injecting a bacterial 437 suspension directly into the musculature of one or both thighs. The most commonly 438 used lung infection model is performed by pipetting droplets of a bacterial suspension 439 onto the nares and allowing the mice to inhale the inoculum. Both models often use cyclophosphamide-induced neutropenic mice to allow growth of a range of bacterial 440 441 pathogens. Some bacterial strains can also produce robust infections in normal (i.e. 442 non-neutropenic) mice, which provide information about the contribution of the immune 443 response to the drug efficacy and may be better suited for studying resistance (which 444 necessitates use of higher inocula). The primary endpoint is reduction of the bacterial 445 burden in the infected tissue, which is typically assessed at 24 or 48 h after initiation of 446 antibiotic therapy. Bacteriostasis, 1- or $2-\log_{10}$ bacterial killing at 24 h (compared to the 447 burden at the time therapy is initiated) is often used as an endpoint and has been 448 shown to correlate with clinical outcome, including patients with infections such as hospital-acquired pneumonia, community-acquired respiratory tract infections, 449

19

Chemotherapy

456

450 bacteremia, and complicated skin and skin structure infections (1, 2, 99). Of note, 2-451 log₁₀ bacterial killing in mice at 24 h may not be achievable by slowly killing 452 ('bacteriostatic') antibiotics. Considerable amounts of published data are available for 453 many antibacterial agents in mice that can be used as positive controls; this presents a 454 particular advantage of the murine neutropenic thigh and lung models compared to 455 larger animal models.

457 CONSIDERATIONS FOR DESIGN AND CONDUCT OF IN VIVO PK/PD MODELS

Pharmacodynamic studies. Although the basic approach to conducting in vivo 458 459 PK/PD studies is fairly standard, there is considerable variation among laboratories in 460 the details of study design and conduct. These details can have a large impact on the 461 results and should be carefully considered (101). Recommendations (Table 2) have 462 been developed based on experiments that predicted clinical success (1, 2, 99), and 463 this topic has been reviewed previously (100). Some recommendations may need to be 464 adapted for specific drug-pathogen combinations or for other animal models. Benchmarking studies and the inclusion of comparator active control therapies to 465 466 establish appropriate experimental conditions can enhance the utility of animal infection 467 models and the robustness of predictions for translation to patients.

468 Considering the number of mice per group is an important design choice for PD 469 studies. It is difficult to provide explicit guidance on the number of animals required to 470 appropriately power a study since it depends on a variety of factors (such as variability 471 associated with a model, strain or drug; the number of groups within an experiment; and 472 the type of analysis to be conducted). Sample sizes can be calculated for statistical 473 comparisons of viable counts at the end-of-therapy via t-test or ANOVA statistics (see

474 Supplemental Materials). As these analyses only consider a single time-point, the 475 resulting samples sizes are conservative (i.e. higher) compared to the sample size required for time-course analyses via population PK/PD modeling. The latter approach 476 477 estimates treatment differences based on the time-course of viable counts at multiple 478 sampling times.

479 In practice, there are typically 4 observations collected for each group using the 480 standard neutropenic thigh or lung infection models, and consideration should be given 481 to studying both sexes. Of interest, when using the thigh model, many investigators 482 utilize both thighs as independent samples (thus including only 2 mice per group). 483 Although this reduces the overall number of animals required, it may not be a best 484 practice since two samples from the same animal are not independent. We recommend 485 that the design and conduct of studies be supported by prospective statistical or 486 modeling analyses to ensure an adequate number of truly independent observations are 487 obtained to appropriately power the experiment for the intended purpose.

Plasma protein binding. In order to interact with its molecular target, a drug 488 489 must be freely available (e.g. not bound to host proteins), and only unbound drug 490 molecules can penetrate through the outer membrane porins of Gram-negative 491 pathogens. Therefore, results from in vivo studies should be adjusted for protein binding 492 and expressed in terms of free (f), i.e. non-protein bound, drug. It is recommended to 493 conduct protein binding studies across a relevant concentration range with an 494 appropriate in vitro assay. Whenever possible, at least 3 concentrations covering the 495 anticipated in vivo plasma and tissue concentrations should be studied. A number of 496 different in vitro assays are available. Currently, equilibrium dialysis is considered the 497 reference method and is preferred over ultracentrifugation (101). The most accurate

Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy measurements can be made using radiolabeled drug; however, this may not be possible in the early stages of development. Typically, a single protein binding value is determined (for example, an average across the concentrations tested) and all *in vivo* PK measurements are adjusted by multiplying the measured concentration by the assumed free percentage. If significant concentration-dependent binding exists, this nonlinear binding should be incorporated into the data analysis using mathematical modeling.

505 Pharmacokinetic studies. Generating high guality PK data is critical for PK/PD 506 analyses. The goal of PK experiments is to define the time course of drug 507 concentrations in plasma, serum or blood, and potentially at the primary infection site. 508 Several factors need to be considered for study design. As a best practice, exposure 509 data should be collected from animals under the same conditions as the PD studies 510 since infection may alter the PK (e.g. clearance and volume of distribution). If different 511 matrices are collected across species (e.g. if drug concentrations are measured in whole blood for animal studies but in plasma for human studies), then red blood cell 512 513 (RBC) partitioning needs to be determined and used to adjust for blood:plasma 514 differences. Characterizing the PK at the infection site becomes comparatively more 515 important for deep infection sites that equilibrate slowly or poorly with plasma and may 516 be sequestered due to the infection (24, 48, 49, 102, 103).

If a drug is being developed for treatment of bacterial pneumonia, it is recommended to utilize lung infection models for both PK and PD, and to determine lung epithelial lining fluid (ELF) concentration data. The latter is critical since the drug exposure profile at the infection site may substantially differ from that in plasma. The 'gold standard' approach in both clinical and nonclinical studies is to characterize drug

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

infections.

by extracellular pathogens. Briefly, a bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) is performed, and the BAL fluid is gently centrifuged to remove alveolar macrophages and other cells; this prevents bias in the ELF concentration, since some drugs accumulate extensively in these cells. Drug concentrations in the supernatant (i.e. diluted ELF) are measured and adjusted for the lavage dilution factor using the urea correction method (48, 104-107). This yields the drug concentration in the ELF. The cell pellet may also be utilized to determine concentrations within alveolar macrophages (104); these intracellular drug concentrations can be particularly important for some drugs (such as macrolides) and For logistical reasons, systemic and/or tissue PK data are usually obtained

concentrations in ELF, which is believed to represent the key compartment for infections

533 separately in satellite PK experiments. A sufficient number of dose levels (usually 3 to 534 4) are needed to identify and characterize non-linear PK, if present, and these should 535 include the smallest and largest doses used in the PD studies to minimize extrapolation 536 outside that range. The PK samples are typically collected via terminal procedures; 537 thus, each animal usually contributes one concentration measurement at a single time 538 point (especially in mice). Collecting serial blood samples from the same animal (e.g. 539 multiple retro-orbital, facial vein or tail vein bleeds) at different time points better informs 540 the PK parameters and allows one to separate between animal variability from residual 541 error noise (e.g. bioanalytical noise). Serial blood sampling may not be possible in all 542 infection models; however, methods have been developed and employed by some 543 investigators (108-115). Destructive sampling with one PK sample per mouse remains 544 the most common approach.

Accepted Manuscript Posted Online

Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy

AAC

Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 545 Measuring drug concentrations in blood, plasma, and BAL (for ELF) can usually 546 be accomplished via sensitive and specific LC-MS/MS assays. These are preferred over 547 older bioanalytical methods (such as bioassays) because of their superior specificity, 548 sensitivity and precision. Bio-active metabolites should also be measured and 549 accounted for, if they are present at relevant concentrations.

550 PK sampling times. Due to technical limitations and animal welfare 551 considerations, there is a practical limit of approximately 6 to 8 sampling time points 552 during any given experiment. Sampling times should be carefully chosen (and informed 553 by any available PK data) to provide robust information within these experimental 554 constraints. Studies should be designed and repeated, if necessary, to adequately 555 capture information related to the absorption phase, peak concentration, drug 556 distribution and elimination. Ideally, the chosen sampling times should reasonably 557 characterize the overall drug exposure (i.e. the area under the curve, AUC), terminal 558 half-life and the time when drug concentrations decline below the lowest MIC of interest. Mathematical modeling and simulation approaches (including optimal design 559 560 methods) can be prospectively applied to select the most informative sampling time 561 points prior to conducting the PK experiment (116-120). If the design is suboptimal, the 562 study may not provide adequate data to fully characterize the drug exposure profile. 563 This is important because even the most sophisticated retrospective PK modeling and 564 simulation approach will not compensate for poorly informative data; accuracy of PK 565 predictions will suffer and ultimately, the calculated PK/PD targets may be biased. If no 566 or insufficient prior PK data is available to aid in study design, a small pilot experiment 567 may be warranted. Collection of high-quality PK data may require multiple, sequential 568 experiments. This iterative process is considered best practice if a single experiment

does not adequately capture the PK profile. Although this approach may be complicated
by factors such as limited time, resources and drug supply, it is imperative to collect
suitably informative PK data.

572 Studying drug combinations is more complex than evaluating monotherapies and 573 requires additional consideration, such as potential drug-drug or drug-vehicle (e.g. for 574 dimethyl sulfoxide, DMSO) interactions. Furthermore, it is important to assure that both 575 drugs combined are present at the primary infection site at the same time. The design 576 and interpretation of combination PK (and PD) studies benefits greatly from prospective 577 application of mathematical modeling and optimal design approaches that are beyond 578 the scope of this review (116-124).

579 Testing human-like exposures. The PK/PD index (e.g. fPeak/MIC, fAUC/MIC 580 or fT>MIC) and its magnitude required for a chosen efficacy endpoint are typically 581 determined using murine infection models. However, drug half-lives are usually much 582 shorter in mice compared to those in humans (125), which results in concentration-time 583 profiles with different shapes, even if both profiles are matched in the AUC. The 584 importance of this aspect for bridging from animals to humans has been shown by 585 Deziel et al. (126), where different dosage regimens were designed to achieve human-586 like levofloxacin concentration-time profiles, but did not result in equivalent efficacy. 587 Evaluating humanized PK profiles in animals can provide complementary information to 588 traditional PK/PD indices and should be considered during drug development. 589 Additional guidance on humanization (87) is provided in the Supplementary Materials.

590 **Analysis of PD data.** To analyze viable bacteria count data (e.g. CFU at 24 h) at 591 a single time point, a Hill model is commonly employed. Characterizing exposure-592 response relationships (e.g. fAUC/MIC vs. effect) is strongly preferred over doseAccepted Manuscript Posted Online

Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy

AAC

response relationships (72), since the former account for PK and are thus much more informative. This basic PD approach is often useful for optimizing antibacterial monotherapy based on single time-point data. If multiple time points are evaluated (from different mice), population PK/PD modeling can characterize the time-course of bacterial killing and regrowth. Empirical, MB and QSP mathematical PK/PD models can be used to describe and predict the drug effect over time to rationally optimize dosage regimens as descried above for *in vitro* models.

600 **PK modeling approaches.** Drug concentration profiles can be modeled by 601 various approaches (127, 128), depending on the type of experimental data collected, 602 the complexity of the results (e.g. linear vs. nonlinear PK), and the skillset of the 603 modeler. For a typical dataset that contains one measurement per animal (e.g. terminal 604 sampling at a single time-point), naïve pooling is often used. For this approach, all 605 observations at a given dose are assumed to come from one animal. Alternatively, 606 naïve averaging can be employed by calculating the average concentration at each time 607 point. Both naïve approaches ignore between subject variability and only estimate one 608 clearance and one volume of distribution for the pooled data. Estimates tend to be 609 biased unless variability is small (e.g. coefficients of variation [CV] are less than 610 approximately 15%) (127-129). To obtain standard errors for these datasets, the Bailer 611 method (130, 131) and bootstrap re-sampling techniques have been developed (132-612 134). The Bailer method uses linear combinations of mean concentrations at different 613 time points to statistically compare the drug exposures between treatment groups. The 614 bootstrap resampling approach randomly creates a number of pseudo-profiles to allow 615 for statistical comparisons and estimate the between animal variability; this method is

Downloaded from http://aac.asm.org/ on April 3, 2019 by guest

Chemotherapy

very flexible and uses non-compartmental techniques for analysis of the pseudo-profiles.

If serial samples are obtained from the same animal, the standard two-stage 618 619 method can be used where the data from each animal is fit separately. If each profile 620 characterizes all PK phases (i.e. absorption, distribution and elimination), this method 621 provides reasonable estimates of the mean PK parameters, but it may substantially 622 over-estimate the variability between subjects (127, 128). Fitting the average plasma 623 concentration profile via naïve pooling or the standard two-stage approach may be 624 adequate to predict the mean concentration profile for datasets with small between 625 subject variability. This allows a broader range of scientists to perform PK modeling 626 and to progress a drug development program efficiently. However, for datasets with 627 large between subject variability, nonlinear PK, or multiple different types of 628 observations (e.g. plasma, ELF, urine or efficacy data), population modeling offers 629 substantial benefits.

630 Population PK modeling. Population modeling borrows information across all 631 subjects by fitting one subject in the context of all other subjects. This approach can 632 simultaneously describe and predict exposure in multiple compartments, such as 633 plasma and ELF (107, 135-138), and enables Monte Carlo simulations to predict the range of expected exposure profiles in patients (1, 14, 139). Population estimation 634 635 algorithms have proven robust to estimate PK parameters both for frequently sampled 636 and sparse datasets (129, 136) and are the method of choice for drugs with non-linear 637 PK and for datasets with sparse sampling. This includes datasets with one plasma and 638 ELF concentration per mouse. Population modeling is particularly powerful if advanced 639 estimation algorithms based on the exact log-likelihood are employed. This approach

645 CHALLENGES OF IN VIVO STUDY CONDUCT AND INTERPRETATION

(e.g. for sensitivity analyses) and advanced modeling skills (129, 142).

646 The success of characterizing PK/PD in animal models depends largely on sound 647 experimental design, suitable data analysis, and the ability to control variance. This 648 involves learning and refining in an iterative fashion to understand the sources of 649 variability and then to minimize variance until the results converge around a final PK/PD 650 target. This process benefits greatly from being executed by a close knit, highly 651 functional team that regularly discusses experimental designs, results and 652 interpretation. Several scenarios warrant special attention.

provides unbiased and precise estimates and predictions in a reasonable time frame

considering the time for performing the experiments (Table 3) (129, 136, 140, 141).

While full Bayesian approaches are appealing and powerful, they require more time

653 Pharmacokinetic considerations:

654 Drugs with short half-lives in rodents can complicate study design (e.g. when the 655 goal is to achieve a wide range of exposures in dose fractionation studies).

656 Species specific toxicities or PK profiles may hinder the ability to understand the full 657 exposure-response (e.g. when sufficiently high doses to observe near-maximal 658 effect cannot be tested).

659 Incorporating tissue concentration data may be complicated, yet it should not be 660 assumed that the extent and rate of penetration is the same across animal species and humans. For pneumonia, approaches have been established and applied to 661 662 design optimal dosage regimens based on ELF penetration data (48, 87, 104, 143, 663 144).

664

concentrations and may differ across species (e.g. for oritavancin; (103)). This may 665 be particularly critical when maximizing synergy of drug combinations. 666

The time-course of penetration at the target site may not mirror circulating drug

667 Plasma protein binding of drugs may differ between animals and humans and 668 between 'normal' and critically-ill patients (145, 146).

669 Pharmacodynamic considerations:

670 PD models are acute. Severe (often rapidly lethal) infections are usually required for 671 model stability and minimizing variability, but this may not mimic the course of 672 infections in humans.

Different PK/PD target values can be obtained from different models, studies and 673 674 bacterial strains, as well as from various infection sites and/or test conditions.

675 Some studies and bacterial strains may not perform the same as others, even in well- characterized animal models; between strain variability is expected, and can 676 677 complicate the establishment of PK/PD targets and subsequently human dose 678 predictions.

679 Opinions vary on which endpoints should be used to establish PD targets (i.e. stasis 680 vs. 1- or 2-log₁₀ reduction in CFU; or alternatively using the doses associated with 681 50% [ED₅₀] or 90% [ED₉₀] of maximal effect).

682 Different endpoints may be required for various types of infections and patient 683 groups (e.g. for immuno-compromised patients or those with more serious infections 684 such as VABP/HABP).

685 A more stringent endpoint such as 2-log₁₀ reduction in CFU at 24 h in a mouse 686 infection model may not be achievable for slowly killing antibiotics. Studies with 687 longer treatment durations may be warranted to explore this situation.

688 Variability within and between studies. Variability associated with the conduct 689 of animal infection models can be largely minimized via careful planning and execution. However, uncontrollable sources of variability associated with the PK, PD, infection site 690 691 and immune response will remain and are difficult to control (Figure 4). This variability 692 may lead to one or more extreme observations, and it can be tempting to remove such 693 presumed "outlier(s)". However, with the exception of a priori documented experimental 694 reasons (such as those due to a missed dose), removal of outliers is not appropriate 695 and will likely yield biased conclusions. Performing and presenting a data analysis with 696 and without a 'suspected' outlier is good practice, as is the use of a suitable number of 697 experimental replicates. If a whole experimental group (or entire study) appears to be 698 an "outlier", then a repeat evaluation is warranted. It is important to understand if such 699 results are reproducible and to investigate why the results differ between replicated 700 groups.

701 It is common for results from studies conducted in different models or by different 702 labs to vary to some degree and sometimes widely. In extreme cases, one set of results 703 may support termination of a new drug candidate while another dataset for the same 704 compound supports progression. It is likely that differences in the design, conduct and 705 analysis of studies, even for the 'workhorse' murine PK/PD models, contribute to this 706 situation. Careful experiment conduct is critical, and it may be helpful when using the 707 'workhorse' models to standardize certain components such as inoculum size and 708 preparation, strain fitness, timing of infection, infection site, inoculation method, and 709 immune status. These variables can have a large impact on the results and conclusions 710 (100). It is further helpful to benchmark PK/PD models and methods using relevant 711 positive controls (i.e. effective reference treatments; Table 2 and Figure 5) for which

Downloaded from http://aac.asm.org/ on April 3, 2019 by gues

both animal and human PK/PD data are available for the target indication. By use of such active controls, a collection of data under standardized test methodology can be developed to support drug development and regulatory review. This will allow the performance of a new drug to be assessed in the context of benchmarked controls and endpoints.

717 Clinical dose selection. Guidelines have been published (e.g. by EMA) that 718 recommend calculating PK/PD targets based on specific efficacy endpoints in the 719 'workhorse' models for different clinical indications (6-8). In general, more antibacterial 720 effect is required for more serious infections. Thus, targets based on no change in 721 viable counts (stasis) or a 1-log₁₀ reduction in CFU compared to pre-treatment baseline 722 have been recommended for less severe infections such as skin and soft tissue as well 723 as complicated urinary tract infections (cUTI); in contrast, 2-log₁₀ reductions in CFU 724 have been suggested for more severe infections such as pneumonia (43). Importantly, 725 these endpoints are calculated relative to the bacterial density at initiation of antibiotic 726 treatment, and not relative to the viable counts of the growth control group at end of 727 therapy. The rationale for a higher 2-log₁₀ hurdle is to rapidly reduce the bacterial 728 burden to a density that can be controlled by the immune system; in the latter case, the 729 surviving bacterial population is so small that the risk for emergence of resistance 730 during therapy due to *de novo* formation of resistant mutants is low (1, 14). Although 731 these are laudable goals, focusing on specified endpoints requires standardized model 732 systems with benchmarking based on positive controls. Such highly-controlled animal 733 infection models currently do not exist.

Aiming for a stringent target endpoint (e.g. $\geq 2 - \log_{10}$ reduction in CFU) or the maximum tolerated dose is common in the early stages of clinical drug development. 736 High doses may help mitigate potential PK concerns, such as low drug exposure at the 737 primary infection site, altered PK in special populations, and substantial variability in 738 patients. However, almost invariably, the amount of drug that can be dosed in patients 739 is limited by nonclinical safety coverage, clinical adverse events, lack of therapeutic 740 index, cost-of-goods, and other factors. This typically leaves two options. First, drug 741 developers can keep the same target endpoint and risk not covering the encountered MIC range; or second, a less stringent endpoint (e.g. stasis or 1-log₁₀ reduction instead 742 743 of $2-\log_{10}$ could be used to set the target. The latter choice is the more common path, 744 as not being able to cover the full MIC range is a poor starting point for a new drug and 745 creates problems for establishing susceptibility breakpoints. However, use of less 746 stringent endpoints may reduce the probability of achieving an adequate therapeutic 747 response for more severe infections, can accelerate the development of resistance, and 748 may result in breakpoints that are higher than appropriate. In this scenario, 749 characterizing the impact of the immune system and, if mutants with reduced 750 susceptibility are found, assessing their fitness in animals, as well as evaluating 751 combination therapies for severe infections may be a path forward.

752 Despite these complexities, the guiding principle should always be the scientific 753 method, and there are steps that can provide additional confidence in the chosen 754 nonclinical PK/PD targets and endpoints. It is best practice to generate data in more 755 than one model system (i.e. another animal model and/or dynamic *in vitro* models). To 756 enhance the information gained from the primary endpoint (e.g. reduction in CFU), 757 secondary endpoints such as viable counts of resistant bacteria, biomarkers, survival, 758 histopathology, inflammatory markers, radiology, bioluminescence, and others can 759 provide valuable insights. Concerns may arise if discordant results are obtained from

Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy

760 different model systems and bacterial strains. However, this should not dissuade drug 761 developers from conducting different types of experiments. Discordant results can be actively managed, explanations for the differences sought, and the insights gained can 762 763 be highly valuable. 764

765 Future perspectives on *in vivo* models.

766 The field of antibacterial pharmacology is fortunate to have a considerable 767 armamentarium of PK/PD tools and expertise. Commonly used models (such as murine 768 neutropenic thigh and lung models) have provided a sound basis to-date. However, 769 PK/PD is an evolving discipline, and challenges as well as open questions remain. 770 Optimizing, standardizing and benchmarking the 'workhorse' models likely ensures 771 better reproducibility from study-to-study and lab-to-lab, and enhances our ability to 772 interpret the results for different types of infections and various antibacterial classes 773 (Figure 5). Leveraging suitable modeling, simulation and optimal design approaches 774 and engaging team members across disciplines to discuss feasible study designs, 775 results and clinical goals is undoubtedly highly mutually fruitful.

776 Establishing additional animal models for PK/PD characterization would expand 777 translational tools available to the community. The murine thigh infection model 778 reasonably mimics soft tissue infections, and the mouse lung infection model mirrors 779 pneumonia. However, neither may be ideal for characterization of PK/PD at other infection sites. For lower urinary tract infections (e.g. cystitis), urine and/or bladder wall 780 781 concentrations are likely important for efficacy. However, the mouse thigh model may 782 not be adequate to determine reliable PK/PD targets for these infections, and other 783 validated models do not (yet) exist. Similarly, there is a need for better models to

AAC

784 characterize PK/PD for complicated intra-abdominal infections (cIAI) and cUTI, 785 especially since these are common target indications for Phase II studies. A rat model for cIAI is available (147, 148); however, some laboratories may not be able to conduct 786 787 this model due to the increased complexity and animal species. As a surrogate, the 788 neutropenic murine thigh infection model can be a reasonable alternative for infections 789 involving a rapidly equilibrating PK compartment such as pyelonephritis, where intra-790 kidney concentrations are important; however, more data are required to fully assess 791 nonclinical-to-clinical translation in these instances. Consideration should also be given 792 to develop models that better mimic human disease (e.g. more natural disease 793 progression), although such models are likely to be low throughput and less practical for 794 routine PK/PD characterization. As one example, rabbit infection models have been 795 developed and can provide serial blood samples for assessing PK and biomarkers of 796 efficacy and safety over time (149-151). When combined with results from murine 797 infection models, these more complex models could provide supporting information for 798 new drugs and play an increasingly important role during drug development.

799 A final point for consideration is publication of PK/PD data. It is important to 800 provide sufficiently detailed information to allow readers to assess the validity of the 801 work and resulting PK/PD targets, and to reproduce the methods employed. All 802 pertinent details of the experiments (including detailed experimental protocols) and 803 associated data analyses (including units, modeling choices and the enabling equations 804 of the final model) should be published, at least in the supplementary materials. For 805 common models and analyses, workshops with hands-on example datasets and (video) 806 tutorials can provide effective training tools. Variability in PD response should be 807 reported and details on the performance of individual bacterial strains (e.g. growth in

808 untreated control animals and variability of drug effect) and their individual PD targets 809 provided. The PK data should be adequately described, and a thorough assessment of 810 the quality of modeling and simulation methods provided (including an evaluation of bias 811 and precision). It is suggested that editors consider both the ARRIVE guidelines (152) to 812 ensure adequate reporting of in vivo data, as well as a set of extended criteria 813 specifically for PK/PD studies to improve the quality of publications. Collections of 814 resistant bacterial strains (e.g. from CDC and ATCC) are available, and future research 815 and joint discussions are needed to select suitable reference strains.

816

817 CONCLUSIONS

818 Both in vitro and in vivo infection models provide powerful PK/PD information and 819 have been shown to predict clinical outcomes. This review provides perspectives on 820 current models, applications, challenges, potential issues and paths forward. This is a 821 healthy and required evolutionary process to define and critique available methods. The 822 goal is to improve approaches, models, study designs, study performance, analyses, 823 interpretation and communication. Optimizing the available translational PK/PD tools 824 has become increasingly important as we rely more and more on nonclinical data to 825 predict successful clinical treatment regimens, often to combat serious infections by 826 multidrug-resistant bacterial 'superbugs'.

827 Guidelines for conducting and interpreting nonclinical models are meant to 828 improve the process, not to stifle innovation or eliminate the need for rational thought. 829 Regular discussions among multi-disciplinary project teams are essential to optimally 830 leverage these translational tools and early/frequent discussions with regulatory 831 agencies are critical to maximize utility of the data. Future studies will likely identify

scenarios where the recommendations in this review will need to be modified for special 832 833 infection models, bacterial strains, innovative combination regimens, and novel-acting 834 therapies. Some therapies may require special considerations, and PK/PD approaches 835 should be tailored to the specific needs of the individual compound or drug class and 836 ultimately to the target patient population.

837

838 Acknowledgements

839 The authors would like to thank David Andes, Alasdair McGowan, Paul Ambrose, Patricia Bradford, Dan Pevear, Francois Franceschi and John Rex for their insights and 840 841 contributions to the workshop. The authors thank Mr. Ingo Menhard for support with the 842 graphics design.

843

Disclaimer 844

The opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors and should not be 845 846 interpreted as the position of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration nor of the National 847 Institutes of Health.

848

849

Accepted Manuscript Posted Online

Antimicrobial Agents and

Chemotherapy

850 **References**

- Drusano GL. 2004. Antimicrobial pharmacodynamics: critical interactions of 'bug and drug'. Nat Rev Microbiol 2:289-300.
- Ambrose PG, Bhavnani SM, Rubino CM, Louie A, Gumbo T, Forrest A, Drusano GL.
 2007. Pharmacokinetics-pharmacodynamics of antimicrobial therapy: it's not just for
 mice anymore. Clin Infect Dis 44:79-86.
- Andes D, Craig WA. 2002. Animal model pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics: a
 critical review. Int J Antimicrob Agents 19:261-268.
- Drusano GL, Ambrose PG, Bhavnani SM, Bertino JS, Nafziger AN, Louie A. 2007. Back to
 the future: using aminoglycosides again and how to dose them optimally. Clin Infect Dis
 45:753-760.
- Bhavnani SM, Ambrose PG, Hammel JP, Rubino CM, Drusano GL. 2015. Evaluation of
 Daptomycin Exposure and Efficacy and Safety Endpoints To Support Risk-versus-Benefit
 Considerations. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 60:1600-1607.
- 864 6. European Medicines Agenc (EMA) Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use
 865 (CHMP). 2012. Guideline on the evaluation of medicinal products indicated for
 866 treatment of bacterial infections (CPMP/EWP/558/95 rev 2).
- 867 7. European Medicines Agenc (EMA) Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use
 868 (CHMP). 2016. Guideline on the use of pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics in the
 869 development of antimicrobial medicinal products (EMA/CHMP/594085/2015).
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration (FDA),
 Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER), Center for Biologics Evaluation and
 Research (CBER). 2018. Limited Population Pathway for Antibacterial and Antifungal
 Drugs Guidance for Industry (Draft Guidance).
- Bulitta JB, Ly NS, Yang JC, Forrest A, Jusko WJ, Tsuji BT. 2009. Development and qualification of a pharmacodynamic model for the pronounced inoculum effect of ceftazidime against Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:46-56.
- Bulitta JB, Ly NS, Landersdorfer CB, Wanigaratne NA, Velkov T, Yadav R, Oliver A, Martin L, Shin BS, Forrest A, Tsuji BT. 2015. Two mechanisms of killing of Pseudomonas aeruginosa by tobramycin assessed at multiple inocula via mechanism-based modeling. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59:2315-2327.
- 11. Craig WA, Ebert SC. 1990. Killing and regrowth of bacteria in vitro: a review. Scand J
 Infect Dis Suppl 74:63-70.
- Rees VE, Bulitta JB, Oliver A, Tsuji BT, Rayner CR, Nation RL, Landersdorfer CB. 2016.
 Resistance suppression by high-intensity, short-duration aminoglycoside exposure against hypermutable and non-hypermutable Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Antimicrob Chemother 71:3157-3167.
- Rees VE, Bulitta JB, Nation RL, Yadav R, Rogers K, Cheah S-E, Oliver A, Landersdorfer
 CB. 2015. Shape of the Meropenem Profile in Combination with Tobramycin is Critical to
 Combat Hypermutable Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Abstract: A-508 55th Interscience
 Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy / ICC 2015, San Diego, CA, USA;
 September 17-21, 2015.

Chemotherapy

- Bulitta JB, Landersdorfer CB, Forrest A, Brown SV, Neely MN, Tsuji BT, Louie A. 2011.
 Relevance of pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic modeling to clinical care of critically ill patients. Curr Pharm Biotechnol 12:2044-2061.
- Yadav R, Landersdorfer CB, Nation RL, Boyce JD, Bulitta JB. 2015. Novel approach to
 optimize synergistic carbapenem-aminoglycoside combinations against carbapenem resistant Acinetobacter baumannii. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59:2286-2298.
- 898 16. Grasso S, Meinardi G, de Carneri I, Tamassia V. 1978. New in vitro model to study the
 899 effect of antibiotic concentration and rate of elimination on antibacterial activity.
 900 Antimicrob Agents Chemother 13:570-576.
- Blaser J. 1985. In-vitro model for simultaneous simulation of the serum kinetics of two
 drugs with different half-lives. J Antimicrob Chemother 15 Suppl A:125-130.
- Blaser J, Zinner SH. 1987. In vitro models for the study of antibiotic activities. Prog Drug
 Res 31:349-381.
- 905 19. Bilello JA, Bauer G, Dudley MN, Cole GA, Drusano GL. 1994. Effect of 2',3'-didehydro-3' 906 deoxythymidine in an in vitro hollow-fiber pharmacodynamic model system correlates
 907 with results of dose-ranging clinical studies. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 38:1386 908 1391.
- 20. Lowdin E, Odenholt I, Bengtsson S, Cars O. 1996. Pharmacodynamic effects of sub-MICs
 of benzylpenicillin against Streptococcus pyogenes in a newly developed in vitro kinetic
 model. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 40:2478-2482.
- 912 21. Cheah SE, Li J, Tsuji BT, Forrest A, Bulitta JB, Nation RL. 2016. Colistin and polymyxin B
 913 dosage regimens against Acinetobacter baumannii: Differences in activity and the
 914 emergence of resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother doi:10.1128/AAC.02927-15.
- 915 Bergen PJ, Tsuji BT, Bulitta JB, Forrest A, Jacob J, Sidjabat HE, Paterson DL, Nation RL, 22. 916 Li J. 2011. Synergistic killing of multidrug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa at multiple 917 combined inocula by colistin with doripenem in an in vitro 918 pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic model. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy 919 55:5685-5695.
- Harigaya Y, Bulitta JB, Forrest A, Sakoulas G, Lesse AJ, Mylotte JM, Tsuji BT. 2009.
 Pharmacodynamics of vancomycin at simulated epithelial lining fluid concentrations against methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA): implications for dosing in MRSA pneumonia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:3894-3901.
- Tsuji BT, Rybak MJ. 2005. Short-course gentamicin in combination with daptomycin or vancomycin against Staphylococcus aureus in an in vitro pharmacodynamic model with simulated endocardial vegetations. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 49:2735-2745.
- 927 25. Gloede J, Scheerans C, Derendorf H, Kloft C. 2010. In vitro pharmacodynamic models to
 928 determine the effect of antibacterial drugs. J Antimicrob Chemother 65:186-201.
- 26. Louie A, Maynard M, Duncanson B, Nole J, Vicchiarelli M, Drusano GL. 2018.
 Determination of the Dynamically Linked Indices of Fosfomycin for Pseudomonas aeruginosa in the Hollow Fiber Infection Model. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 62.
- 27. Louie A, Deziel MR, Liu W, Drusano GL. 2007. Impact of resistance selection and mutant
 growth fitness on the relative efficacies of streptomycin and levofloxacin for plague
 therapy. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 51:2661-2667.

38

Chemotherapy

- 935 28. Louie A, Grasso C, Bahniuk N, Van Scoy B, Brown DL, Kulawy R, Drusano GL. 2010. The 936 combination of meropenem and levofloxacin is synergistic with respect to both 937 Pseudomonas aeruginosa kill rate and resistance suppression. Antimicrob Agents 938 Chemother 54:2646-2654.
- 939 29. Louie A, Heine HS, Kim K, Brown DL, VanScoy B, Liu W, Kinzig-Schippers M, Sorgel F, 940 Drusano GL. 2008. Use of an in vitro pharmacodynamic model to derive a linezolid 941 regimen that optimizes bacterial kill and prevents emergence of resistance in Bacillus 942 anthracis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 52:2486-2496.
- 943 30. Drusano GL, Neely MN, Yamada WM, Duncanson B, Brown D, Maynard M, Vicchiarelli 944 M, Louie A. 2018. The combination of fosfomycin plus meropenem is synergistic for 945 Pseudomonas aeruginosa PA01 in a Hollow Fiber Infection Model (HFIM). Antimicrob 946 Agents Chemother doi:10.1128/AAC.01682-18.
- 947 31. Landersdorfer CB, Yadav R, Rogers KE, Kim TH, Shin BS, Boyce JD, Nation RL, Bulitta JB. 948 2018. Combating Carbapenem-Resistant Acinetobacter baumannii by an Optimized Imipenem-plus-Tobramycin Dosage Regimen: Prospective Validation via Hollow-Fiber 949 950 Infection and Mathematical Modeling. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 62.
- 951 32. Cadwell JJS. 2018. The Hollow Fiber Infection Model: Principles and Practice. Advances 952 in Antibiotics and Antibodies 1:1-5.
- 953 33. Bulitta JB, Yang JC, Yohonn L, Ly NS, Brown SV, D'Hondt RE, Jusko WJ, Forrest A, Tsuji 954 **BT.** 2010. Attenuation of colistin bactericidal activity by high inoculum of Pseudomonas 955 aeruginosa characterized by a new mechanism-based population pharmacodynamic model. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54:2051-2062. 956
- Ly NS, Bulitta JB, Rao GG, Landersdorfer CB, Holden PN, Forrest A, Bergen PJ, Nation 957 34. 958 RL, Li J, Tsuji BT. 2015. Colistin and doripenem combinations against Pseudomonas 959 aeruginosa: profiling the time course of synergistic killing and prevention of resistance. J 960 Antimicrob Chemother 70:1434-1442.
- 961 35. Drusano GL, Bonomo RA, Bahniuk N, Bulitta JB, Vanscoy B, Defiglio H, Fikes S, Brown 962 D, Drawz SM, Kulawy R, Louie A. 2012. Resistance emergence mechanism and 963 mechanism of resistance suppression by tobramycin for cefepime for Pseudomonas 964 aeruginosa. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56:231-242.
- 965 36. Lee DG, Murakami Y, Andes DR, Craig WA. 2013. Inoculum effects of ceftobiprole, 966 daptomycin, linezolid, and vancomycin with Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus 967 pneumoniae at inocula of 10(5) and 10(7) CFU injected into opposite thighs of 968 neutropenic mice. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57:1434-1441.
- 969 37. Drusano GL, Sgambati N, Eichas A, Brown DL, Kulawy R, Louie A. 2010. The 970 combination of rifampin plus moxifloxacin is synergistic for suppression of resistance 971 but antagonistic for cell kill of Mycobacterium tuberculosis as determined in a hollow-972 fiber infection model. MBio 1.
- 973 38. Brown AN, Drusano GL, Adams JR, Rodriguez JL, Jambunathan K, Baluya DL, Brown DL, 974 Kwara A, Mirsalis JC, Hafner R, Louie A. 2015. Preclinical Evaluations To Identify 975 Optimal Linezolid Regimens for Tuberculosis Therapy. MBio 6:e01741-01715.
- 976 39. Tsuji BT, Brown T, Parasrampuria R, Brazeau DA, Forrest A, Kelchlin PA, Holden PN, 977 Peloquin CA, Hanna D, Bulitta JB. 2012. Front-loaded linezolid regimens result in

<u>Antimi</u>crobial Agents and <u>Chemotherapy</u> 978 increased killing and suppression of the accessory gene regulator system of 979 Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56:3712-3719.

- 980 40. Tsuji BT, Okusanya OO, Bulitta JB, Forrest A, Bhavnani SM, Fernandez PB, Ambrose PG. 2011. Application of pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic modeling and the justification 981 982 of a novel fusidic acid dosing regimen: raising Lazarus from the dead. Clin Infect Dis 52 983 Suppl 7:S513-519.
- 984 41. Louie A, Duncanson B, Myrick J, Maynard M, Nole J, Brown D, Schmidt S, Neely M, 985 Scanga CA, Peloguin C, Drusano GL. 2018. The Activity of Moxifloxacin against Acid-986 Phase and Non-Replicative Persister Phenotype Phase Mycobacterium tuberculosis in a 987 Hollow Fiber Infection Model. Antimicrob Agents Chemother doi:10.1128/AAC.01470-988 18.
- 989 42. Li J, Rayner CR, Nation RL, Owen RJ, Spelman D, Tan KE, Liolios L. 2006. 990 Heteroresistance to colistin in multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii. Antimicrob 991 Agents Chemother 50:2946-2950.
- 992 Drusano GL, Corrado ML, Girardi G, Ellis-Grosse EJ, Wunderink RG, Donnelly H, Leeper 43. 993 KV, Brown M, Malek T, Hite RD, Ferrari M, Djureinovic D, Kollef MH, Mayfield L, Doyle 994 A, Chastre J, Combes A, Walsh TJ, Dorizas K, Alnuaimat H, Morgan BE, Rello J, Torre 995 CAM, Jones RN, Flamm RK, Woosley L, Ambrose PG, Bhavnani S, Rubino CM, Bulik CC, 996 Louie A, Vicchiarelli M, Berman C. 2018. Dilution Factor of Quantitative Bacterial 997 Cultures Obtained by Bronchoalveolar Lavage in Patients with Ventilator-Associated 998 Bacterial Pneumonia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 62:e01323-01317.
- 999 44. Drusano GL, Liu W, Brown DL, Rice LB, Louie A. 2009. Impact of short-course quinolone 1000 therapy on susceptible and resistant populations of Staphylococcus aureus. J Infect Dis 1001 **199:**219-226.
- 1002 Viaene E, Chanteux H, Servais H, Mingeot-Leclercq MP, Tulkens PM. 2002. 45. Comparative stability studies of antipseudomonal beta-lactams for potential 1003 1004 administration through portable elastomeric pumps (home therapy for cystic fibrosis 1005 patients) and motor-operated syringes (intensive care units). Antimicrobial agents and 1006 chemotherapy 46:2327-2332.
- 1007 46. Traub WH, Leonhard B. 1995. Heat stability of the antimicrobial activity of sixty-two 1008 antibacterial agents. The Journal of antimicrobial chemotherapy 35:149-154.
- 1009 47. O'Shea R, Moser HE. 2008. Physicochemical properties of antibacterial compounds: 1010 implications for drug discovery. J Med Chem 51:2871-2878.
- 48. Rodvold KA, George JM, Yoo L. 2011. Penetration of anti-infective agents into 1011 1012 pulmonary epithelial lining fluid: focus on antibacterial agents. Clin Pharmacokinet 1013 50:637-664.
- 1014 Nau R, Sorgel F, Eiffert H. 2010. Penetration of drugs through the blood-cerebrospinal 49. 1015 fluid/blood-brain barrier for treatment of central nervous system infections. Clin 1016 Microbiol Rev 23:858-883.
- 1017 50. Manchandani P, Zhou J, Ledesma KR, Truong LD, Chow DS, Eriksen JL, Tam VH. 2016. 1018 Characterization of Polymyxin B Biodistribution and Disposition in an Animal Model. 1019 Antimicrob Agents Chemother 60:1029-1034.
- 1020 51. He J, Gao S, Hu M, Chow DS, Tam VH. 2013. A validated ultra-performance liquid 1021 chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry method for the quantification of

Chemotherapy

polymyxin B in mouse serum and epithelial lining fluid: application to pharmacokinetic
 studies. J Antimicrob Chemother 68:1104-1110.
 Bergen PJ, Li J, Rayner CR, Nation RL. 2006. Colistin methanesulfonate is an inactive

- Bergen PJ, Li J, Rayner CR, Nation RL. 2006. Colistin methanesulfonate is an inactive
 prodrug of colistin against Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrob Agents Chemother
 50:1953-1958.
- 102753.Louie A, Castanheira M, Liu W, Grasso C, Jones RN, Williams G, Critchley I, Thye D,1028Brown D, Vanscoy B, Kulawy R, Drusano GL. 2012. Pharmacodynamics of beta-1029lactamase inhibition by NXL104 in combination with ceftaroline: examining organisms1030with multiple types of beta-lactamases. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56:258-270.
- Bulitta JB, Okusanya OO, Forrest A, Bhavnani SM, Clark K, Still JG, Fernandes P, Ambrose PG. 2013. Population pharmacokinetics of fusidic acid: rationale for front-loaded dosing regimens due to autoinhibition of clearance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57:498-507.
- 1035 55. Landersdorfer CB, Rees VE, Yadav R, Rogers KE, Kim TH, Bergen PJ, Cheah SE, Boyce JD,
 1036 Peleg AY, Oliver A, Shin BS, Nation RL, Bulitta JB. 2018. Optimization of a Meropenem 1037 Tobramycin Combination Dosage Regimen against Hypermutable and Nonhypermutable
 1038 Pseudomonas aeruginosa via Mechanism-Based Modeling and the Hollow-Fiber
 1039 Infection Model. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 62.
- 1040 56. Yadav R, Bulitta JB, Nation RL, Landersdorfer CB. 2017. Optimization of Synergistic 1041 Combination Regimens against Carbapenem- and Aminoglycoside-Resistant Clinical 1042 Pseudomonas aeruginosa Isolates Mechanism-Based via Pharmacokinetic/Pharmacodynamic Modeling. 1043 Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1044 61:e01011-01016.
- 1045 57. Cheah SE, Li J, Nation RL, Bulitta JB. 2015. Novel rate-area-shape modeling approach to
 1046 quantify bacterial killing and regrowth for in vitro static time-kill studies. Antimicrob
 1047 Agents Chemother 59:381-388.
- 1048 58. Lenhard JR, Bulitta JB, Connell TD, King-Lyons N, Landersdorfer CB, Cheah SE,
 1049 Thamlikitkul V, Shin BS, Rao G, Holden PN, Walsh TJ, Forrest A, Nation RL, Li J, Tsuji BT.
 1050 2017. High-intensity meropenem combinations with polymyxin B: new strategies to
 1051 overcome carbapenem resistance in Acinetobacter baumannii. J Antimicrob Chemother
 1052 72:153-165.
- 105359.Czock D, Keller F. 2007. Mechanism-based pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic1054modeling of antimicrobial drug effects. J Pharmacokinet Pharmacodyn 34:727-751.
- 105560.Yano Y, Oguma T, Nagata H, Sasaki S. 1998. Application of logistic growth model to1056pharmacodynamic analysis of in vitro bactericidal kinetics. J Pharm Sci 87:1177-1183.
- Lenhard JR, Gall JS, Bulitta JB, Thamlikitkul V, Landersdorfer CB, Forrest A, Nation RL,
 Li J, Tsuji BT. 2016. Comparative pharmacodynamics of four different carbapenems in
 combination with polymyxin B against carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii.
 Int J Antimicrob Agents 48:719-724.
- 1061 62. Campion JJ, McNamara PJ, Evans ME. 2005. Pharmacodynamic modeling of 1062 ciprofloxacin resistance in Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1063 49:209-219.

 1064 63. Katsube T, Yano Y, Yamano Y, Munekage T, Kuroda N, Takano M. 2008.
 1065 Pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic modeling and simulation for bactericidal effect in an in vitro dynamic model. J Pharm Sci 97:4108-4117.

- Meagher AK, Forrest A, Dalhoff A, Stass H, Schentag JJ. 2004. Novel pharmacokinetic pharmacodynamic model for prediction of outcomes with an extended-release
 formulation of ciprofloxacin. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 48:2061-2068.
- 1070 65. Vanscoy B, Mendes RE, McCauley J, Bhavnani SM, Bulik CC, Okusanya OO, Forrest A,
 1071 Jones RN, Friedrich LV, Steenbergen JN, Ambrose PG. 2013. Pharmacological basis of
 1072 beta-lactamase inhibitor therapeutics: tazobactam in combination with Ceftolozane.
 1073 Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57:5924-5930.
- 1074 66. Nicasio AM, VanScoy BD, Mendes RE, Castanheira M, Bulik CC, Okusanya OO,
 1075 Bhavnani SM, Forrest A, Jones RN, Friedrich LV, Steenbergen JN, Ambrose PG. 2016.
 1076 Pharmacokinetics-Pharmacodynamics of Tazobactam in Combination with Piperacillin in
 1077 an In Vitro Infection Model. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 60:2075-2080.
- 1078 67. Tam VH, Louie A, Deziel MR, Liu W, Drusano GL. 2007. The relationship between quinolone exposures and resistance amplification is characterized by an inverted U: a new paradigm for optimizing pharmacodynamics to counterselect resistance.
 1081 Antimicrob Agents Chemother 51:744-747.
- 108268.Tam VH, Louie A, Fritsche TR, Deziel M, Liu W, Brown DL, Deshpande L, Leary R, Jones1083RN, Drusano GL. 2007. Impact of drug-exposure intensity and duration of therapy on1084the emergence of Staphylococcus aureus resistance to a quinolone antimicrobial. J1085Infect Dis 195:1818-1827.
- Bhagunde P, Chang KT, Hirsch EB, Ledesma KR, Nikolaou M, Tam VH. 2012. Novel modeling framework to guide design of optimal dosing strategies for beta-lactamase inhibitors. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56:2237-2240.
- 1089 70. Mouton JW, Vinks AA, Punt NC. 1997. Pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic modeling of activity of ceftazidime during continuous and intermittent infusion. Antimicrob Agents
 1091 Chemother 41:733-738.
- 1092 71. Gumbo T, Louie A, Deziel MR, Parsons LM, Salfinger M, Drusano GL. 2004. Selection of a moxifloxacin dose that suppresses drug resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis, by use of an in vitro pharmacodynamic infection model and mathematical modeling. J Infect Dis 190:1642-1651.
- 1096 72. Jumbe N, Louie A, Leary R, Liu W, Deziel MR, Tam VH, Bachhawat R, Freeman C, Kahn
 1097 JB, Bush K, Dudley MN, Miller MH, Drusano GL. 2003. Application of a mathematical
 1098 model to prevent in vivo amplification of antibiotic-resistant bacterial populations
 1099 during therapy. J Clin Invest 112:275-285.
- Sutaria DS, Moya B, Green KB, Kim TH, Tao X, Jiao Y, Louie A, Drusano GL, Bulitta JB.
 First Penicillin-Binding Protein Occupancy Patterns of beta-Lactams and beta-Lactamase Inhibitors in Klebsiella pneumoniae. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 62.
- 1103 74. Davies TA, Page MG, Shang W, Andrew T, Kania M, Bush K. 2007. Binding of 1104 ceftobiprole and comparators to the penicillin-binding proteins of Escherichia coli, 1105 Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus aureus, and Streptococcus pneumoniae. 1106 Antimicrob Agents Chemother 51:2621-2624.

Chemotherapy

- 1107 75. Zavascki AP, Klee BO, Bulitta JB. 2017. Aminoglycosides against carbapenem-resistant 1108 Enterobacteriaceae in the critically ill: the pitfalls of aminoglycoside susceptibility. 1109 Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther 15:519-526.
- Landersdorfer CB, Ly NS, Xu H, Tsuji BT, Bulitta JB. 2013. Quantifying subpopulation 1110 76. 1111 synergy for antibiotic combinations via mechanism-based modeling and a sequential 1112 dosing design. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57:2343-2351.
- 1113 77. Drusano GL, Neely M, Van Guilder M, Schumitzky A, Brown D, Fikes S, Peloguin C, 1114 Louie A. 2014. Analysis of combination drug therapy to develop regimens with 1115 shortened duration of treatment for tuberculosis. PLoS One 9:e101311.
- 1116 78. Okusanya OO, Tsuji BT, Bulitta JB, Forrest A, Bulik CC, Bhavnani SM, Fernandes P, 1117 Ambrose PG. 2011. Evaluation of the pharmacokinetics-pharmacodynamics of fusidic 1118 acid against Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus pyogenes using in vitro infection 1119 models: implications for dose selection. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 70:101-111.
- 1120 79. Patel K, Batty KT, Moore BR, Gibbons PL, Bulitta JB, Kirkpatrick CM. 2013. Mechanism-1121 based model of parasite growth and dihydroartemisinin pharmacodynamics in murine 1122 malaria. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57:508-516.
- 1123 80. Pires de Mello CP, Tao X, Kim TH, Vicchiarelli M, Bulitta JB, Kaushik A, Brown AN. 2018. 1124 Clinical Regimens of Favipiravir Inhibit Zika Virus Replication in the Hollow-Fiber 1125 Infection Model. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 62.
- 1126 81. Yadav R, Bulitta JB, Schneider EK, Shin BS, Velkov T, Nation RL, Landersdorfer CB. 1127 2017. Aminoglycoside Concentrations Required for Synergy with Carbapenems against Pseudomonas aeruginosa Determined via Mechanistic Studies and Modeling. 1128 1129 Antimicrob Agents Chemother 61.
- Mohamed AF, Kristoffersson AN, Karvanen M, Nielsen EI, Cars O, Friberg LE. 2016. 1130 82. 1131 Dynamic interaction of colistin and meropenem on a WT and a resistant strain of 1132 Pseudomonas aeruginosa as quantified in a PK/PD model. J Antimicrob Chemother 1133 **71:**1279-1290.
- 1134 83. Mohamed AF, Nielsen EI, Cars O, Friberg LE. 2012. Pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic 1135 model for gentamicin and its adaptive resistance with predictions of dosing schedules in 1136 newborn infants. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56:179-188.
- 1137 84. Tsuji BT, Bulitta JB, Brown T, Forrest A, Kelchlin PA, Holden PN, Peloguin CA, Skerlos L, 1138 Hanna D. 2012. Pharmacodynamics of early, high-dose linezolid against vancomycin-1139 resistant enterococci with elevated MICs and pre-existing genetic mutations. J 1140 Antimicrob Chemother 67:2182-2190.
- 1141 85. Croes S, Koop AH, van Gils SA, Neef C. 2012. Efficacy, nephrotoxicity and ototoxicity of 1142 aminoglycosides, mathematically modelled for modelling-supported therapeutic drug 1143 monitoring. Eur J Pharm Sci 45:90-100.
- 1144 86. Boak LM, Rayner CR, Grayson ML, Paterson DL, Spelman D, Khumra S, Capitano B, 1145 Forrest A, Li J, Nation RL, Bulitta JB. 2014. Clinical population pharmacokinetics and 1146 toxicodynamics of linezolid. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:2334-2343.
- 1147 87. Drusano GL, Liu W, Fikes S, Cirz R, Robbins N, Kurhanewicz S, Rodriquez J, Brown D, 1148 Baluya D, Louie A. 2014. Interaction of drug- and granulocyte-mediated killing of 1149 Pseudomonas aeruginosa in a murine pneumonia model. J Infect Dis **210**:1319-1324.



Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy

- 1150 88. Drusano GL, Liu W, Kulawy R, Louie A. 2011. Impact of granulocytes on the 1151 antimicrobial effect of tedizolid in a mouse thigh infection model. Antimicrob Agents 1152 Chemother 55:5300-5305.
- Drusano GL, Vanscoy B, Liu W, Fikes S, Brown D, Louie A. 2011. Saturability of 1153 89. 1154 granulocyte kill of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in a murine model of pneumonia. 1155 Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55:2693-2695.
- 90. 1156 Drusano GL, Fregeau C, Liu W, Brown DL, Louie A. 2010. Impact of burden on granulocyte clearance of bacteria in a mouse thigh infection model. Antimicrob Agents 1157 1158 Chemother 54:4368-4372.
- 1159 91. Bergen PJ, Bulitta JB, Forrest A, Tsuji BT, Li J, Nation RL. 2010. 1160 Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic investigation of colistin against Pseudomonas 1161 aeruginosa using an in vitro model. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54:3783-3789.
- 1162 92. MacGowan AP, Reynolds R, Noel AR, Bowker KE. 2009. Bacterial strain-to-strain 1163 variation in pharmacodynamic index magnitude, a hitherto unconsidered factor in 1164 establishing antibiotic clinical breakpoints. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:5181-5184.
- 1165 93. Bowker KE, Garvey MI, Noel AR, Tomaselli SG, Macgowan AP. 2013. Comparative 1166 antibacterial effects of moxifloxacin and levofloxacin on Streptococcus pneumoniae 1167 strains with defined mechanisms of resistance: impact of bacterial inoculum. J 1168 Antimicrob Chemother 68:1130-1138.
- 94. Bowker KE, Noel AR, Tomaselli S, MacGowan AP. 2016. Differences in the 1169 1170 pharmacodynamics of ceftaroline against different species of Enterobacteriaceae studied in an in vitro pharmacokinetic model of infection. J Antimicrob Chemother 1171 1172 71:1270-1278.
- 95. Gerber AU, Craig WA. 1982. Aminoglycoside-selected subpopulations of Pseudomonas 1173 1174 aeruginosa: characterization and virulence in normal and leukopenic mice. J Lab Clin 1175 Med **100:**671-681.
- 1176 96. Drusano GL, Liu W, Fregeau C, Kulawy R, Louie A. 2009. Differing effects of combination 1177 chemotherapy with meropenem and tobramycin on cell kill and suppression of 1178 resistance of wild-type Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1 and its isogenic MexAB efflux 1179 pump-overexpressed mutant. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:2266-2273.
- 1180 97. Gerber AU, Vastola AP, Brandel J, Craig WA. 1982. Selection of aminoglycoside-1181 resistant variants of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in an in vivo model. J Infect Dis 146:691-1182 697.
- 1183 98. Moya B, Juan C, Alberti S, Perez JL, Oliver A. 2008. Benefit of having multiple ampD 1184 genes for acquiring beta-lactam resistance without losing fitness and virulence in 1185 Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 52:3694-3700.
- 1186 99. Craig WA. 1998. Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic parameters: rationale for 1187 antibacterial dosing of mice and men. Clin Infect Dis 26:1-12.
- Andes DR, Lepak AJ. 2017. In vivo infection models in the pre-clinical 1188 100. 1189 pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic evaluation of antimicrobial agents. Curr Opin 1190 Pharmacol 36:94-99.
- 1191 Vuignier K, Schappler J, Veuthey JL, Carrupt PA, Martel S. 2010. Drug-protein binding: a 101. 1192 critical review of analytical tools. Anal Bioanal Chem **398:**53-66.

<u>Chemotherapy</u>

1193 102. Landersdorfer CB, Bulitta JB, Kinzig M, Holzgrabe U, Sorgel F. 2009. Penetration of 1194 antibacterials into bone: pharmacokinetic, pharmacodynamic and bioanalytical 1195 considerations. Clin Pharmacokinet 48:89-124.

- Ambrose PG, Drusano GL, Craig WA. 2012. In vivo activity of oritavancin in animal 1196 103. 1197 infection models and rationale for a new dosing regimen in humans. Clin Infect Dis 54 1198 Suppl 3:S220-228.
- 1199 104. Lodise TP, Kinzig-Schippers M, Drusano GL, Loos U, Vogel F, Bulitta J, Hinder M, Sorgel 1200 F. 2008. Use of population pharmacokinetic modeling and Monte Carlo simulation to 1201 describe the pharmacodynamic profile of cefditoren in plasma and epithelial lining fluid. 1202 Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy 52:1945-1951.
- 1203 105. Connors KP, Housman ST, Pope JS, Russomanno J, Salerno E, Shore E, Redican S, 1204 Nicolau DP. 2014. Phase I, open-label, safety and pharmacokinetic study to assess 1205 bronchopulmonary disposition of intravenous eravacycline in healthy men and women. 1206 Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:2113-2118.
- 1207 106. Lodise TP, Sorgel F, Melnick D, Mason B, Kinzig M, Drusano GL. 2011. Penetration of 1208 meropenem into epithelial lining fluid of patients with ventilator-associated pneumonia. 1209 Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55:1606-1610.
- 1210 107. Drusano GL, Lodise TP, Melnick D, Liu W, Oliver A, Mena A, VanScoy B, Louie A. 2011. 1211 Meropenem penetration into epithelial lining fluid in mice and humans and delineation 1212 of exposure targets. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **55**:3406-3412.
- 1213 108. Hoover J, Lewandowski T, Straub RJ, Novick SJ, DeMarsh P, Aubart K, Rittenhouse S, Zalacain M. 2015. Pharmacokinetics/Pharmacodynamics of Peptide Deformylase 1214 1215 Inhibitor GSK1322322 against Streptococcus pneumoniae, Haemophilus influenzae, and 1216 Staphylococcus aureus in Rodent Models of Infection. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1217 **60:**180-189.
- 1218 Hoover J, Mininger C, Novick S, Rittenhouse S. 2013. Efficacy of GSK2140944 against 109. 1219 Streptococcus pneumoniae in a Non-Neutropenic Mouse Lung Infection Model. 53rd 1220 InterScience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy Abstract: A-014. 1221 September 10-13, 2013, Denver CO.
- 1222 110. Berry V, Hoover J, Singley C, Woodnutt G. 2005. Comparative bacteriological efficacy of 1223 pharmacokinetically enhanced amoxicillin-clavulanate against Streptococcus 1224 pneumoniae with elevated amoxicillin MICs and Haemophilus influenzae. Antimicrob 1225 Agents Chemother 49:908-915.
- 1226 111. Hoover JL, Singley CM, Elefante P, DeMarsh P, Zalacain M, Rittenhouse S. 2017. 1227 Reducing Antibacterial Development Risk for GSK1322322 by Exploring Potential Human 1228 Dose Regimens in Nonclinical Efficacy Studies Using Immunocompetent Rats. Antimicrob 1229 Agents Chemother 61.
- 1230 Matsumoto S, Singley CM, Hoover J, Nakamura R, Echols R, Rittenhouse S, Tsuji M, 112. 1231 Yamano Y. 2017. Efficacy of Cefiderocol against Carbapenem-Resistant Gram-Negative 1232 Bacilli in Immunocompetent-Rat Respiratory Tract Infection Models Recreating Human Plasma Pharmacokinetics. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 61. 1233
- 1234 Woodnutt G, Berry V, Mizen L. 1992. Simulation of human serum pharmacokinetics of 113. 1235 cefazolin, piperacillin, and BRL 42715 in rats and efficacy against experimental 1236 intraperitoneal infections. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 36:1427-1431.

Chemotherapy

1237

1238

114.

1239 concentrations in human serum. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 32:1705-1709. Database JSE. 2018. Blood Withdrawal II. JoVE, Cambridge, MA. 1240 115. 1241 116. Duffull S, Waterhouse T, Eccleston J. 2005. Some considerations on the design of 1242 population pharmacokinetic studies. J Pharmacokinet Pharmacodyn **32:**441-457. 1243 117. Lestini G, Mentre F, Magni P. 2016. Optimal Design for Informative Protocols in 1244 Xenograft Tumor Growth Inhibition Experiments in Mice. AAPS J 18:1233-1243. 1245 118. Drusano GL, Forrest A, Snyder MJ, Reed MD, Blumer JL. 1988. An evaluation of optimal 1246 sampling strategy and adaptive study design. Clin Pharmacol Ther 44:232-238. 1247 119. Drusano GL, Forrest A, Plaisance KI, Wade JC. 1989. A prospective evaluation of optimal 1248 sampling theory in the determination of the steady-state pharmacokinetics of 1249 piperacillin in febrile neutropenic cancer patients. Clin Pharmacol Ther 45:635-641. 1250 120. Drusano GL, Forrest A, Yuen G, Plaisance K, Leslie J. 1994. Optimal sampling theory: 1251 effect of error in a nominal parameter value on bias and precision of parameter 1252 estimation. J Clin Pharmacol 34:967-974. 1253 121. **Cohen A.** 2008. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic data to be derived from early-1254 phase drug development: designing informative human pharmacology studies. Clin 1255 Pharmacokinet 47:373-381. 1256 Holford N, Ma SC, Ploeger BA. 2010. Clinical trial simulation: a review. Clin Pharmacol 122. 1257 Ther 88:166-182. 1258 Ogungbenro K, Dokoumetzidis A, Aarons L. 2009. Application of optimal design 123. 1259 methodologies in clinical pharmacology experiments. Pharm Stat 8:239-252. 1260 Roberts JK, Stockmann C, Balch A, Yu T, Ward RM, Spigarelli MG, Sherwin CM. 2015. 124. 1261 Optimal design in pediatric pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic clinical studies. 1262 Paediatr Anaesth 25:222-230. 1263 Anderson BJ, Holford NH. 2008. Mechanism-based concepts of size and maturity in 125. 1264 pharmacokinetics. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 48:303-332. 1265 126. Deziel MR, Heine H, Louie A, Kao M, Byrne WR, Basset J, Miller L, Bush K, Kelly M, 1266 Drusano GL. 2005. Effective antimicrobial regimens for use in humans for therapy of 1267 Bacillus anthracis infections and postexposure prophylaxis. Antimicrob Agents 1268 Chemother 49:5099-5106. 1269 127. Sheiner LB, Beal SL. 1980. Evaluation of methods for estimating population 1270 pharmacokinetics parameters. I. Michaelis-Menten model: routine 1271 pharmacokinetic data. J Pharmacokinet Biopharm 8:553-571. 1272 Sheiner LB, Beal SL. 1981. Evaluation of Methods for Estimating Population 128. 1273 Pharmacokinetic Parameters II. Biexponential Model and Experimental 1274 Pharmacokinetic Data. J Pharmacokinet Biopharm 9:635-651. Bauer RJ, Guzy S, Ng C. 2007. A survey of population analysis methods and software for 1275 129. 1276 complex pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic models with examples. AAPS J 9:E60-1277 83. 1278 130. Bailer AJ. 1988. Testing for the equality of area under the curves when using destructive 1279 measurement techniques. J Pharmacokinet Biopharm 16:303-309.

Woodnutt G, Catherall EJ, Kernutt I, Mizen L. 1988. Temocillin efficacy in experimental

Klebsiella pneumoniae meningitis after infusion into rabbit plasma to simulate antibiotic

clinical

Antimicrobial Agents and

Chemotherapy

1280

1281

1282

1283

131.

132.

- 1284 133. Mager H, Goller G. 1998. Resampling methods in sparse sampling situations in 1285 preclinical pharmacokinetic studies. J Pharm Sci 87:372-378. 1286 134. Bulitta JB, Holford NHG. 2008. An Introductory Guide to Non-Compartmental Analysis, 1287 p 1-28. In D'Agostino RB, Sullivan L, Massaro J (ed), Wiley Encyclopedia of Clinical Trials 1288 doi:dx.doi.org/10.1002/9780471462422.eoct340. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, Hoboken, NJ. 1289 135. Bulitta JB, Holford NHG. 2008. Population Pharmacokinetic and Pharmacodynamic 1290 Methods In D'Agostino RB, Sullivan L, Massaro J (ed), Wiley Encyclopedia of Clinical 1291 Trials doi:dx.doi.org/10.1002/9780471462422.eoct338. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, 1292 Hoboken, NJ. 1293 136. Bulitta JB, Landersdorfer CB. 2011. Performance and robustness of the Monte Carlo importance sampling algorithm using parallelized S-ADAPT for basic and complex 1294 1295 mechanistic models. AAPS J 13:212-226. 1296 137. Drusano GL, Preston SL, Gotfried MH, Danziger LH, Rodvold KA. 2002. Levofloxacin 1297 penetration into epithelial lining fluid as determined by population pharmacokinetic 1298 modeling and monte carlo simulation. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 46:586-589. 1299 138. Louie A, Fregeau C, Liu W, Kulawy R, Drusano GL. 2009. Pharmacodynamics of 1300 levofloxacin in a murine pneumonia model of Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection: determination of epithelial lining fluid targets. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:3325-1301 1302 3330. Drusano GL, Preston SL, Hardalo C, Hare R, Banfield C, Andes D, Vesga O, Craig WA. 1303 139. 1304 2001. Use of preclinical data for selection of a phase II/III dose for evernimicin and 1305 identification of a preclinical MIC breakpoint. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 45:13-22. 1306 140. Bulitta JB, Bingolbali A, Shin BS, Landersdorfer CB. 2011. Development of a new pre-1307 and post-processing tool (SADAPT-TRAN) for nonlinear mixed-effects modeling in S-1308 ADAPT. AAPS J 13:201-211. 1309 141. Landersdorfer CB, Kinzig M, Hennig FF, Bulitta JB, Holzgrabe U, Drusano GL, Sorgel F, 1310 Gusinde J. 2009. Penetration of moxifloxacin into bone evaluated by Monte Carlo 1311 simulation. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:2074-2081. 1312 Duffull SB, Kirkpatrick CM, Green B, Holford NH. 2005. Analysis of population 142. 1313 pharmacokinetic data using NONMEM and WinBUGS. J Biopharm Stat **15:**53-73. 1314 143. Rodvold KA, Nicolau DP, Lodise TP, Khashab M, Noel GJ, Kahn JB, Gotfried M, Murray 1315
 - 145. Incorola IX, Incolad DF, Louise IF, Kitashab IK, Noel C, Kalm JD, Cothied IK, Malruy
 1315 SA, Nicholson S, Laohavaleeson S, Tessier PR, Drusano GL. 2009. Identifying exposure
 1316 targets for treatment of staphylococcal pneumonia with ceftobiprole. Antimicrob
 1317 Agents Chemother 53:3294-3301.
 1318 144. Ambrose PG, Bhavnani SM, Ellis-Grosse EJ, Drusano GL. 2010. Pharmacokinetic-

Nedelman JR, Gibiansky E, Lau DT. 1995. Applying Bailer's method for AUC confidence

Mager H, Goller G. 1995. Analysis of pseudo-profiles in organ pharmacokinetics and

intervals to sparse sampling. Pharm Res 12:124-128.

toxicokinetics. Stat Med 14:1009-1024.

1319pharmacodynamic considerations in the design of hospital-acquired or ventilator-1320associated bacterial pneumonia studies: look before you leap! Clin Infect Dis **51 Suppl**1321**1:**S103-110.

Antimicrobial Agents and

Chemotherapy

- Sol to 1322 145. 1323 1324 1325 146. 1326 1327
 - protein binding. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55:4277-4282.
 1328 147. Onderdonk AB, Weinstein WM, Sullivan NM, Bartlett JG, Gorbach SL. 1974.
 Experimental intra-abdominal abscesses in rats: quantitative bacteriology of infected animals. Infect Immun 10:1256-1259.

Dorn C, Kratzer A, Liebchen U, Schleibinger M, Murschhauser A, Schlossmann J, Kees F,

Simon P, Kees MG. 2018. Impact of Experimental Variables on the Protein Binding of

Tigecycline in Human Plasma as Determined by Ultrafiltration. J Pharm Sci **107**:739-744.

Butterfield JM, Patel N, Pai MP, Rosano TG, Drusano GL, Lodise TP. 2011. Refining

vancomycin protein binding estimates: identification of clinical factors that influence

- 1331 148. Onderdonk AB, Kasper DL, Mansheim BJ, Louie TJ, Gorbach SL, Bartlett JG. 1979.
 1332 Experimental animal models for anaerobic infections. Rev Infect Dis 1:291-301.
- 1333149.Hope WW, Petraitis V, Petraitiene R, Aghamolla T, Bacher J, Walsh TJ. 2010. The initial133496 hours of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis: histopathology, comparative kinetics of1335galactomannan and (1->3) beta-d-glucan and consequences of delayed antifungal1336therapy. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54:4879-4886.
- 1337 150. Walsh TJ, Aoki S, Mechinaud F, Bacher J, Lee J, Rubin M, Pizzo PA. 1990. Effects of preventive, early, and late antifungal chemotherapy with fluconazole in different granulocytopenic models of experimental disseminated candidiasis. J Infect Dis 161:755-1340 760.
- 1341 151. Warn PA, Livermore J, Howard S, Felton TW, Sharp A, Gregson L, Goodwin J,
 1342 Petraitiene R, Petraitis V, Cohen-Wolkowiez M, Walsh TJ, Benjamin DK, Jr., Hope WW.
 1343 2012. Anidulafungin for neonatal hematogenous Candida meningoencephalitis:
 1344 identification of candidate regimens for humans using a translational pharmacological
 1345 approach. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56:708-714.
- 1346152.Kilkenny C, Browne WJ, Cuthill IC, Emerson M, Altman DG. 2010. Improving bioscience1347research reporting: the ARRIVE guidelines for reporting animal research1348(<u>https://www.nc3rs.org.uk/arrive-guidelines</u>). PLoS Biol 8:e1000412.

1359

1351	Figure 1: Dynamic one-compartment in vitro infection model ('chemostat'). Fresh
1352	media is added continuously while culture contents are removed at the same rate to
1353	maintain a constant volume. A: Chemostat model for simulating a mono-exponential
1354	decline of drug concentrations after intravenous dosing; antibiotic(s) are dosed into the
1355	central reservoir as bolus doses or zero-order infusions. B: Chemostat for oral dosing
1356	which can simulate drug concentration-time profiles with first-order absorption and
1357	elimination; antibiotic(s) are dosed into the antibiotic reservoir as bolus doses or zero-
1358	order infusions.

1359

1360 1361

Downloaded from http://aac.asm.org/ on April 3, 2019 by guest

AAC

1362	Figure 2: Dynamic two-compartment hollow fiber <i>in vitro</i> infection model. A: Cross
1363	section of a hollow fiber cartridge. Many hollow fibers provide a large surface area
1364	(typically 0.2 to 0.3 m ² , depending on the cartridge). According to the molecular weight
1365	cutoff of the hollow fiber membrane, medium, drugs, oxygen, nutrients, bacterial
1366	metabolites ('waste products') and other small molecules can exchange between the
1367	central circulation (which includes the inside of the hollow fibers) and the extra-capillary
1368	space of the cartridge. In contrast, bacteria, other cells (if present), and large molecules
1369	are entrapped in the extra-capillary space of the hollow fiber cartridge. B: Flow of broth
1370	medium from the fresh broth to the central reservoir. From the latter, broth is circulated
1371	to the peripheral compartment (i.e. the extra-capillary space of the hollow fiber
1372	cartridge) or is eliminated. Elimination occurs from the central into the waste broth
1373	reservoir. A high precision dosing pump is used to dose drugs into the central
1374	circulation.
1375	

1376

Figure 3: Overview of important variables which contribute to the outcome of animal 1377 1378 infection models. These factors may need to be considered for study design and 1379 execution as well as for the data analysis and ultimate translation of rationally optimized regimens to patients. 1380

1381 1382 1383

1384

1385

1386 Figure 4: Different sources of variability that may affect the results of animal 1387 infection models. The between system variability can be handled by appropriate choices for and the selection of experiments to be performed. The within- system variability can 1388 be split into a controllable portion and a random (i.e. usually not-controllable) part. 1389 1390 Experimental design choices and careful execution of animal infection model studies 1391 can minimize the controllable variability. The random, unexplained variability will 1392 necessarily include components such as between subject variability (BSV) in 1393 pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, the infection site, and the immune system.

1394 1395 1396 Downloaded from http://aac.asm.org/ on April 3, 2019 by guest

AAC

1397	Figure 5	Cons	sidera	tions and	perspec	ctives to e	enhance the	robu	stness o	f animal
1398	infection	models	and	ultimately	better	translate	efficacious	and	reliable	dosage
1399	regimens	to patien	its							
1400										
1401										
1402										

1403

1404	Table 1.	Types of experiments that can be performed with widely used non-clinical
1405		pharmacodynamic (PD) infection models.

1406

Study objective	Static time-kill model	One-compart- ment system ('chemostat')	Two-compart- ment hollow fiber system	Mouse infection model
1. Dose-range study: Killing of parent strain	Yes ^a	Yes ^a	Yes ^a	Yes ^a
2. Dose-range study: Suppression of resistance	+/- ^b	+/- ^b	Yes ^b	+/- ^b
3. Dose-fractionation study: Killing of parent strain	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
4. Dose-fractionation study: Suppression of resistance	+/-	+/-	Yes	+/-
5. Combination therapy: Killing of parent strain	Yes	Yes (short term)	Yes	Yes
6. Combination therapy: Suppression of resistance	No	+/-	Yes	+/-
7. Toxin suppression by drugs	Yes	+/-	Yes	Yes
8. Dissecting the interaction of the parent drug and metabolites on antimicrobial effect	+/- ^c	+/- ^c	Yes ^c	No
9. Bacterial physiologic state & drug activity	+/-	+/-	Yes	+/-
10. PD index for drug toxicity	No	No (unless toxicity is acute)	Yes	+/- ^d

Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy

1407 1408

Bacterial strains which display the lowest mutation frequency of resistance should
 be avoided in dose-range studies; instead strains which best represent the most

+/-: Study objective can potentially be addressed in this system.

1411 commonly observed resistance rates are preferred.

Strains with relevant resistance mechanism(s) should be chosen for in vitro studies.
 The MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ for the pathogen of interest may be used to guide strain selection.

1415

1416	с. :	Biologically active metabolite (s) need to be available, since they are most likely not
1417		formed in the <i>in vitro</i> system.

 ^d: Some dosage regimens (e.g. to assess time over a toxicity threshold) may also lead to high peak concentrations, especially for short half-life drugs, which complicates the interpretation of these studies.

1421

1422

U
ž
Q
<
2
2
-
0
CO CO
~
<u>v</u>
Ð
õ
4
-
_
0
×
_
-
±
H.
$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$
-
-
<u>ש</u>
0)
~
0

ш
S
-
_ _
0
\simeq
3
ų,
_
\circ
9
⊐
⊳
_
0
-
=:
ω
N
\sim
Ó
2
019
019
019 b
019 by
019 by
019 by (
019 by g
019 by gu
019 by gue
019 by gue:
019 by gues

σ	
σ	
0	
Ë.	>
-	Ċ
۳,	C
2	5
τ.	ੁਘ
	÷
2	C
0	ج ا
0	ā
	Ē
2	(
	~
-	

1423**Table 2.**Recommendations for murine neutropenic thigh and lung infection models to
determine nonclinical *in vivo* PK/PD targets (data from Andes and Lepak1425[100])1426

Study component **Recommendation**^a Comments Mouse strain Outbred Historically female; studies in both sexes have been strongly (e.g. CD-1, ICR or Swiss Webster) encouraged recently and, if feasible, should be considered Induction of Cyclophosphamide IP or SC at 150 Results in neutrophils < 100 / mm³ mg/kg at 4 days prior to infection neutropenia for at least 2 days. and 100 mg/kg at 1 day prior to infection Inoculum Culture should be in log growth Subculture aliquot from an preparation phase overnight broth culture in fresh media for several hours prior to study start Mouse inoculation Infect thigh via IM injection of Culture for inoculation should be 10⁶ to 10⁷ CFU/mL 100 µL and lung via intranasal inhalation of 50 µL (i.e. 25 µL per nare)^b 10⁶ to 10⁷ CFU/tissue Baseline bacterial Note that this represents the burden burden at the time therapy begins (may differ by pathogen and strain) Delay may be necessary for Start of therapy 2 h post infection baseline tissue burden to reach 10⁶ to 10⁷ 24 h (sometimes 48 h) Post inoculation Study duration **Bacterial growth** Tissue burden should increase by Note that this assumes the initial over study period 2-3 log₁₀ CFU in untreated mice inoculum is sufficiently below the compared to baseline at initiation plateau for a given strain; less of therapy virulent strains may underestimate the PK/PD target Number of strains At least 4 strains of each target Include enough strains to assess pathogen (including a reference strain-to-strain variability; mean strain), if possible, with relevant and median PK/PD target values resistance profiles and should converge mechanisms Bacterial Cover MIC range of compound, Consider in vivo virulence when include clinically relevant resistant phenotypes choosing strains phenotypes **Control therapies** Inclusion of active comparator Especially important for evaluation control (e.g. standard of care) may of combination therapies against be beneficial. Dosage regimen multidrug-resistant strains. Dosing algorithm should be supported by (with/without humanizing) should PK/PD considerations. be considered.

1427 1428

CD-1: Outbred strain of albino mice

56

ICR: Outbred strain of albino mice.

<u> </u>
Ö
osted
cript I
Aanus
2
vccepted
\triangleleft

Φ

and	
Ś	
U.	â
ဗီ	ğ
∢	ē
-	_
σ	t
0	Ē
9	ē
Ξ	승
Ε	0

IP:	: Intraperitoneal	SC: Subcutaneous
IM	: Intramuscular	CFU: Colony Forming Units
a.	These specific recommendations	are for 'routine' establishment of PK

^a: These specific recommendations are for 'routine' establishment of PK/PD targets.
 Study design elements may need to be modified to achieve different experimental
 goals. Examples include the use of other bacterial phenotypes (including growth
 stages), use of immune-competent mice (which can inform how targets may differ in
 the presence of white blood cells and/or support longer treatment durations), and a
 different bacterial burden (such as using a higher burden to study resistance).

^b: The maximum volume of the bacterial suspension which can be given per nare will
depend on the mouse weight. This volume may affect the regional deposition of
bacteria in the lung.

1441

1429

1430

1431

Table 3.	Co of c	Comparison of PK modeling and simulation approaches in increasing order of complexity from top to bottom.				
Appro	ach	Between Subject Variability	Accuracy of Predictions	Comments		

	Variability	Predictions	
Naïve pooling	Ignored (i.e. assumed to be zero or very small)	Only mean profiles can be predicted	Can be adequate to simulate mean concentration profiles, if variability is small. Yields biased predictions if variability is moderate or large. Cannot simulate between subject variability.
Standard two-stage	Often overestimated	Predicted concentration range may be too broad.	Can be adequate to simulate mean concentration profiles, if variability is small. Requires serial sampling which may be problematic for mouse PK studies.
Population modeling (approximate log-likelihood)	Bias can be large for sparse data	Can simulate variability, but may be considerably biased	Can simulate mean concentration profiles and between subject variability, but may yield biased results for sparse data.
Population modeling (exact log- likelihood)	Often most suitable choice	Often most reasonable choice	Can simulate mean concentration profiles and between subject variability with no (or less) bias. Can handle complex PK models with multiple dependent variables (e.g. PK, PD and resistance).
Population modeling (advanced three-stage methods)	Very powerful, can leverage prior information via a Bayesian approach	Can account for uncertainty as well as between subject variability	Powerful, but more complex; requires more expertise and modeling time (e.g. for sensitivity analyses).

1446

Downloaded from http://aac.asm.org/ on April 3, 2019 by guest



AAC



AAC



- · Drug exposure at the infection site
- Severity of infection •
- Immune system response .

Optimal patient therapy:

Maximize bacterial killing Minimize resistance and toxicity Save patients' lives

Between system variability

- Which animal model
- Which and number of strains / isolates
- Which variables to measure
- Which endpoint(s)

Within system variabili	ty
-------------------------	----

Controllable variability

- Standardization within a model
- Reference strains
- Standard-of-care
 active controls
- Unexplained random variability

Random

variability

- BSV in PK and PD
- Random mutants
 conferring resistance
- Immune system

