Fiorentzis et al. Electrochemotherapy in uveal melanoma cell lines

1	Electrochemotherapy with bleomycin and cisplatin enhances cytotoxicity in primary and
2	metastatic uveal melanoma cell lines in vitro
3	
4	Authors: Miltiadis Fiorentzis ^{1,2} , Helen Kalirai ¹ , Periklis Katopodis ¹ , Berthold Seitz ³ , Arne Viestenz ² ,
5	Sarah E. Coupland ¹
6	1. Liverpool Ocular Oncology Research Group, Department of Molecular and Clinical Cancer
7	Medicine, Institute of Translational Medicine, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United
8	Kingdom
9	2. Department of Ophthalmology, University Hospital Halle (Saale), Martin-Luther University
10	Halle-Wittenberg, Halle (Saale), Germany
11	3. Saarland University Medical Center, Department of Ophthalmology, Homburg/Saar, Germany
12	
13	This study was supported by Gertrud Kusen-Stiftung and by Dr. Rolf M. Schwiete-Stiftung.
14	
15	
16	Key words: bleomycin; cisplatin; electrochemotherapy; uveal melanoma
17	
18	
19	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Correspondence to: Dr. Miltiadis Fiorentzis, MD, FICO Department of Ophthalmology, University Hospital Halle (Saale), Martin-Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Ernst-Grube-Straße 40 06120 Halle (Saale), Germany Email: Miltiadis.Fiorentzis@gmail.com
20	Tel: +49 (0)176-3577 1713

29 Abstract

30 Electrochemotherapy (ECT) enhances responsiveness to cytotoxic drugs in numerous cell lines in 31 vitro. Clinically ECT is widely applied for skin tumor ablation and has shown efficacy in treating nonresectable colorectal liver metastases. There is limited experience of ECT for ocular tumor therapy. 32 33 We investigated the cytotoxic effect of bleomycin and cisplatin in combination with electroporation 34 on chemoresistant human uveal melanoma (UM) cell lines in vitro. Four UM cell lines (Mel 270, 92-1, 35 OMM-1, OMM-2.5) were treated with electroporation (pulse amplitude 300-1000 V/cm, 8-80 pulses, 36 100µs, 5 Hz) and increasing concentrations of bleomycin and cisplatin (0-7.5µg/ml). Cell survival was 37 analyzed byMTT viability assay after 36 hours. UM cell lines were resistant to both bleomycin and cisplatin. In combination with electroporation, the effects of bleomycin and cisplatin were 38 39 increased8-70 fold and 3-15 fold, respectively, in all UM cell lines. At the lowest concentration of 40 bleomycin tested (1µg/ml),viability was maximally reduced in all UM cell lines by \geq 69% with 41 electroporation conditions of 750 Volts/cm and 20 pulses. All UM cell lines were more resistant to 42 cisplatin; however, electroporation of 1000Volts/cm and 8 pulses resulted in similar reductions in cell 43 viability of 92-1, Mel270 with2.5µg/ml cisplatin, OMM2-5 cells with 5µg/ml cisplatin and OMM1 cells 44 with 1µg/ml cisplatin. In vitroECT with bleomycin or cisplatin is more effective than the highest concentration of the antineoplastic drug or electroporation alone, opening new perspectives in 45 primary and metastatic UM treatment. 46

- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51

53 Introduction

54 Disseminated uveal melanoma (UM) is clinically resistant to many chemotherapy drugs, and indeed 55 the current standard of care, dacarbazine, is effective in <8% of individuals with metastaticUM [1,2]. 56 The mechanisms for the relative innate chemoresistance of UM cells are unclear. Those 57 chemoresistance mechanisms previously described in cancer include: decreased drug accumulation; enhanced anti-apoptotic mechanisms; and increased/altered DNA repair pathways. Electroporation, 58 59 which is based on the local application of short and intense electric pulses that transiently permeabilise cells, has been used to enhance drug entry into otherwise chemoresistant cancer cells 60 61 and resulted in their death [3-10]. This process of electrochemotherapy (ECT) is also used currently in 62 clinical practice to treat cutaneous and subcutaneous tumor nodules in patients with progressive 63 disease of different malignancies, e.g. soft tissue sarcomas and carcinomas, cutaneous melanoma [11,12], as well as colorectal liver metastases, located in the vicinity of major hepatic vessels, not 64 65 amenable to surgery or radiofrequency ablation [13]. The treatment can result in complete 66 responses of the tumors with very limited side effects [11] with drug doses that by themselves have 67 minimal or no antitumor activity.

Amongst the several clinically-approved drugs that have been tested in pre-clinical studies of ECT, bleomycin and cisplatin have been shown to be highly effective [4,8]; exposure of cells to electric pulses increases the cytotoxicity of bleomycin and cisplatin given either intravenously or intratumorally [14-16]. Previous studies examining the efficacy of cisplatin in UM cells isolated from primary tumors demonstrated no effect of the drug to reduce cell number in nine cultures tested [17].

In order to determine whether chemoresistance in UM is due to an inability to accumulate drug inside the cancer cell, this study evaluated the cytotoxic effect of cisplatin or bleomycin after electroporation of four UM cell lines; Mel 270, 92-1, OMM-1 and OMM-2.5. The initial electroporation conditions were selected according to the ESOPE protocol [12]. The aim of the study

- 78 was to examine the effect of ECT on cell viability after reduction of the voltage/pulses combined with
- 79 different concentrations of the drug. These parameters would support the hypothesis that ECT could
- 80 be applied on the eye with minor side effects.

82 Materials and Methods

83 *Cell lines and culture*

84 The human UM cell lines 92-1and Mel270, derived from primary tumor and the OMM-1 as well as 85 OMM-2.5, derived from subcutaneous and liver metastasis respectively, were kindly provided by 86 Prof. Dr. Martine Jager, Leiden University Medical Centre (LUMC), The Netherlands. All cell lines have 87 been STR profiled and mycoplasma tested. They were grown in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% L-Glutamine (all from Invitrogen, GIBCO, 88 89 USA) and 2% Penicillin Streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). All cell lines were maintained as 90 monolayers in 175 cm² tissue culture flasks (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) at 37 °C in a humidified 91 atmosphere containing 5% CO₂.

92 In vitro Electrochemotherapy (ECT)

When cells reached 70% confluence they were harvested with 0.05% trypsin, counted and 1x10⁶ cells were re-suspended in 400µl of RPMI,with or without bleomycin or cisplatin, in a 4mmgap electroporation cuvette with parallel aluminum plate electrodes (Geneflow, UK). A range of electroporation conditions were applied to the cell suspensions usingthe voltage pulse generator (Cliniporator[™]) designed by Igea S.p.A. (Capri, Modena, Italy). Details of all experimental conditions are given below.

All cells were treated with 0, 1 μg/ml, 2.5 μg/ml, 5 μg/ml and 7.5 μg/ml bleomycin or cisplatin
combined with all following electroporation settings:

101 (A) No electroporation;

- 102 (B) 80 square wave electric pulses of 300 Volts/cm pulse strength, 100 μs pulse duration, 5 Hz
 103 repetition frequency;
- 104 (C) 40 square wave electric pulses of 300 Volts/cm pulse strength, 100 μs pulse duration, 5 Hz
 105 repetition frequency;

- (D) 40 square wave electric pulses of 500 Volts/cm pulse strength, 100 μs pulse duration, 5 Hz
 repetition frequency;
- 108 (E) 20 square wave electric pulses of 500 Volts/cm pulse strength, 100 μs pulse duration, 5 Hz
 109 repetition frequency;
- (F) 20 square wave electric pulses of 750 Volts/cm pulse strength, 100 μs pulse duration, 5 Hz
 repetition frequency;
- (G) 8 square wave electric pulses of 750 Volts/cm pulse strength, 100 μs pulse duration, 5 Hz
 repetition frequency;
- (H) 8 square wave electric pulses of 1000 Volts/cm pulse strength, 100 μs pulse duration, 5 Hz
 repetition frequency.

Following treatment, $2x10^4$ cells were pipetted into 6 wells of a 96-well plate for each treatment condition and RPMI was added up to a maximum volume of 100μ l. The plates were then incubated for 36 hours.

The protocol was conducted for all fourUM cell lines. Each experiment was performed in triplicate on
different dates, giving a total of 18 biological replicates for each ECT setting.

121 MTT viability assay

122 RPMI-1640 medium was aspirated from each wellafter 36 hours and 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-

123 diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) stock solution (5 mg/ml) was added to each

well, equal to one-tenth the original culture volume following the protocol provided by Sigma-Aldrich (90 μ l media and 10 μ l MTT). All plates were then incubated at 37 °C for 4 hours. Following this, the solution was removed and the formazan formed in the cells was dissolved using 100 μ l of a 1:1 solution of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) and 2-propanol (isopropanol, Sigma-Aldrich, USA). Absorbance of converted dye was measured with a SPECTRAFLUOR (Tecan, Austria) spectrometer at a wavelength of 570 nm.

131 Results

Each of the fourUM cell lines were exposed to eight different electrical fields. The duration of 100µs and the pulse frequency of 5Hz remained stable whereas the amplitude and the number of pulses varied.Electroporation alone, reduced cell viability in all cell lines at amplitudes of 500 Volts/cmor higher and this effect was augmented with increasing number of pulses. The greatest reduction in cell viability was noted at 1000 Volts/cm for 8 pulses across all four cell lines ranging from a 29.5% reduction in the most sensitive 92.1 cell line to a 25.0% reduction in the least sensitive OMM-2.5 cell line (Figure 1).

139 Bleomycin alone had no effect on cell viability in the OMM-1 and OMM-2.5 cell lines and reduced cell 140 viability in the 92.1 and Mel270 cell lines by <10% at the maximum concentration tested (7.5µg/ml; 141 (Figure 2A).When electroporation conditions ≥750 Volts/cm were administered to the UM cells, 142 however, bleomycin cytotoxicity was maximally increased by 8-fold in the 92.1 cell line, 25-fold in the 143 Mel270 cell line and by more than 70-fold in the OMM-1 and OMM-2.5 cell lines (Figure 3). In order 144 to minimize systemic toxicity of bleomycin, we were interested in the electroporation conditions that 145 in combination with the lowest dose of bleomycin tested (1µg/ml) had the maximal effect to reduce 146 cell viability. In the 92.1 and Mel270 cell lines this was achieved at 750 Volts/cm for 20 pulses, 147 reducing cell viability by 74% and 69%, respectively (Figure 3A and 3B). In the OMM-1 and OMM-2.5 148 cell lines there was little difference between the effectiveness of 1µg/ml bleomycin when combined 149 with electroporation conditions of either 750 Volts/cm for 20 pulses or 1000 Volts/cm for 8 pulses, with a reduction in cell viability of between 76% and 89% (Figure 3C and 3D). 150

Similar to bleomycin, cisplatin alone had little effect on cell viability at the concentrations tested (Figure 2B), with a maximum 15% reduction in viability of the 92.1 cell line at 7.5 μ g/ml cisplatin. When electroporation conditions \geq 500 Volts/cm were administered to the UM cells, however, cisplatin cytotoxicity was maximally increased by 3, 6, 10 and 15-fold in the 92.1, Mel270, OMM-1 and OMM-2.5 UM cell lines respectively (Figure 4). In combination with electroporation the most

156 sensitive UM cell line was OMM-1, which showed an 80% reduction in cell viability with 1000 157 Volts/cm for 8 pulses and 1µg/ml cisplatin (Figure 4C). In the 92.1, Mel270 and OMM-2.5 cell lines, 158 higher concentrations of cisplatin in combination with electroporation conditions of 1000 Volts/cm 159 for 8 pulses were necessary to achieve similar reductions in viability as noted for the OMM-1 cells. 160 For example, 1000 Volts/cm for 8 pulses with 2.5µg/ml cisplatin was necessary to reduce viability of 161 the 92.1 and Mel270 cell lines by 77% and 70% respectively (Figure 4A and 4B); whilst 1000 Volts/cm for 8 pulses with 5.0µg/ml cisplatin was necessary to reduce viability of the OMM-2.5 cell line by 75% 162 163 (Figure 4D).

165 Discussion

In this novel study we investigated the efficiency of electroporation with bleomycin and cisplatin in
fourhuman UM cell lines that demonstrate resistance to these chemotherapeutic drugs at their
commonly achieved peak plasma concentrations of 0.5 – 5.0µg/ml and 0.5 – 2.0µg/ml, respectively.
We show for the first time that electroporation sensitizes UM cells to doses of either drug within
these ranges.

171 Bleomycin is an anti-tumor antibiotic that causes single and double strand DNA breaks in tumor cells 172 resulting in cell death. It is used to treat a range of malignancies, including head and neck cancer, 173 testicular carcinomas and lymphomas [18-22]. In UM it has been used in the metastatic setting as part of a multicentre study of bleomycin, vincristine, lomustine and dacarbazine (BOLD) in 174 175 combination with recombinant interferon alpha-2b, although only a modest effect of this regimen 176 against UM at hepatic sites was reported [23]. Cisplatin is another commonly used anti-cancer agent 177 that causes DNA crosslinks resulting in DNA damage, and subsequently inducing apoptosis in cancer 178 cells.It is commonly used in the treatment of lung-, ovarian-, and head-and-neck carcinomas, but has 179 been shown to have little effect in combination chemotherapy for metastatic UM [24].

Bleomycin is a large non-permeant drug, a characteristic that contributes to the resistance of many cell types to this agent [25]. Studies on the Chinese hamster lung cell line (DC-3F) have shown that if bleomycin can enter the cell, <500 molecules of the drug are needed to cause cell death [25,26]. Althoughresistance to cisplatin is considered to be multifactorial, evidence suggests that plasma membrane transporters resulting in the extrusion of cisplatin play a major role in the resistance mechanism(s)[27].

186 In this study we have shown that by applying an electrical field to UM cells above a threshold 187 amplitude of 500 Volts/cm, sensitivity to bleomycin and cisplatin are greatly increased, and that this 188 is further enhanced by an increased number of pulses as has previously been reported [28.29]. 189 Electroporation creates transient permeable pores in the cell membrane thus enhancing drug entry

and accumulation in the cell [30,31], and indeed ECT has been shown to be effective in a variety of other tumor cell types *in vitro*[3-10]. Furthermore, ECT for skin metastases from tumors of noncutaneous origin as well as for skin melanoma is currently part of the NICE interventional procedure guidance for these lesions [32].

194 Small differences in the sensitivity of the cell lines to ECT with both bleomycin and cisplatin were also 195 noted. In particular, the OMM-1 cell line was more sensitive to ECT with cisplatin than the 92.1, 196 Mel270 and OMM-2.5 cell lines. OMM-1 cells are derived from a subcutaneous metastatic UM; whilst 197 92.1 and Mel270 cells, are derived from primary tumors, and OMM-2.5 is from a hepatic UM 198 metastasis. Previous studies have reported thatcell size, shape, membrane structure, composition 199 and transmembrane potential can affect electroporation [33,34]. In the current study no differences 200 were observed in the response of the four UM cell lines to electroporation despite striking 201 differences in the size and shape of these cells. We did not examine, however, other membrane 202 features, but this will be pursued in primary and metastatic UM cell cultures in the near future. 203 Various preclinical models are available for the study of primary and metastatic UM, and would lend 204 themselves to the examination of new and older chemotherapeutic agents in combination with ECT 205 [35].

206 In summary, electroporation provides a more targeted pathway into UM cells for bleomycin and 207 cisplatin. The application of this treatment could lead to the shrinkage of large, non-treatable UM in 208 order to enable a further surgical intervention and avoid enucleation as primary treatment. 209 Furthermore the application of ECT could allow a lower drug doses and a reduction of systemic side 210 effects in the treatment of large non-resectable UM hepatic metastases, as has been demonstrated 211 in colorectal liver metastases located close to major hepatic vessels, not amenable to other 212 treatments [13]. The combination of various chemotherapy agents and ECT thus requires further 213 investigation in vitro and in vivo to investigate the challenges of a clinical application of the protocol 214 in disseminated UM.

216 Acknowledgements

- 217 This study was supported by Gertrud Kusen-Stiftung and by Dr. Rolf M. Schwiete-Stiftung. The authors
- are thankful to Prof. Dr. Martine J. Jager of the Laboratory of Ophthalmology at LUMC, Leiden, The
- 219 Netherlands for providing the UM cell lines.

221 References

- Triozzi PL, Singh AD. Adjuvant Therapy of Uveal Melanoma: Current Status. OculOncolPathol.
 2014;1(1):54-62.
- 224 2. Sacco JJ, Nathan PD, Danson S, Lorigan P, NicholsonS et al. Sunitinib versus dacarbazine as first-

line treatment in patients with metastatic uveal melanoma. J ClinOncol. 2013;31:Abstract 9031

- Esmekaya MA, Kayhan H, Coskun A, Canseven AG. Effects of Cisplatin Electrochemotherapy on
 Human Neuroblastoma Cells. J Membr Biol. 2016;249(5):601-610.
- 4. Jaroszeski MJ, Dang V, Pottinger C, Hickey J, Gilbert R, Heller R. Toxicity of anticancer agents
 mediated by electroporation in vitro. Anticancer Drugs. 2000;11(3):201-208.
- 5. Mitsui K, Taki T, Yamada Y, Honda N, Fukatsu H, Yoshikawa K. In-vitro and in-vivo studies of the
 efficacy of electrochemotherapy for renal cell carcinoma. Int J ClinOcol. 2005;5:303-307.
- 6. Ongaro A, Campana LG, De Mattei M, Dughiero F, Forzan M et al. Evaluation of the
 Electroporation Efficiency of a Grid Electrode for Electrochemotherapy: From Numerical Model to
 In Vitro Tests. Technol Cancer Res Treat. 2016;15(2):296-307.
- 7. Ongaro A, Pellati A, Caruso A, Battista M, De Terlizzi F et al. Identification of in vitro
 electropermeabilization equivalent pulse protocols. Technol Cancer Res Treat. 2011;10(5):465473.
- 8. Orlowski S, Belehradek J Jr, Paoletti C, Mir LM. Transient electropermeabilization of cells in
 culture. Increase of the cytotoxicity of anticancer drugs. BiochemPharmacol. 1988;37(24):4727 4233.
- Saczko J, Kamińska I, Kotulska M, Bar J, ChoromańskaA et al. Combination of therapy with 5 fluorouracil and cisplatin with electroporation in human ovarian carcinoma model in vitro.
 Biomed Pharmacother. 2014;68(5):573-580.

- 10.Shankayi Z, Firoozabadi SM, Hassan ZS. Optimization of electric pulse amplitude and frequency in
 vitro for low voltage and high frequency electrochemotherapy. J Membr Biol. 2014;247(2):147154.
- 247 11.Gothelf A, Mir LM, Gehl J. Electrochemotherapy: results of cancer treatment using enhanced
 248 delivery of bleomycin by electroporation. Cancer Treat Rev. 2003;29(5):371-387.
- 12.Marty M, Sersa G, Garbay JR, Garbaya JR, Gehl J et al. Electrochemotherapy An easy, highly
 effective and safe treatment of cutaneous and subcutaneous metastases: Results of ESOPE
 (European Standard Operating Procedures of Electrochemotherapy) study. European Journal of
 Cancer. 2006;4(11):3–13.
- 13.Edhemovic I, Brecelj E, Gasljevic G,Marolt Music M, Gorjup V et al. Intraoperative
 electrochemotherapy of colorectal liver metastases. J SurgOncol. 2014;110(3):320-327.
- 14.Cemazar M, Milacic R, Miklavcic D, Dolzan V, Sersa G. Intratumoral cisplatin administration in
 electrochemotherapy: antitumor effectiveness, sequence dependence and platinum content.
 Anticancer Drugs. 1998;9(6):525-30.
- 15.Gehl J, Skovsgaard T, Mir LM. Enhancement of cytotoxicity by electropermeabilization: an
 improved method for screening drugs. Anticancer Drugs. 1998;9(4):319-325.
- 16.Sersa G, Cemazar M, Miklavcic D. Antitumor effectiveness of electrochemotherapy with cis diamminedichloroplatinum(II) in mice. Cancer Res. 1995;55(15):3450-3455.
- 17.Neale MH, Myatt NE, Khoury GG. Comparison of the ex vivo chemosensitivity of uveal and
 cutaneous melanoma. Melanoma Res. 2001;11(6):601-609.
- 18.Agarwala, S.S. Adjuvant chemotherapy in head and neck cancer. HematOncolClin North Am.
 1999;13:743–752.

- 19.Bloom DC, Goldfarb PM. The role of intratumour therapy with electroporation and bleomycin in
 the management of advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Eur J SurgOncol.
 2005;31(9):1029-35.
- 269 20.Boell B, Goergen H, Behringer K, Bröckelmann PJ, Hitz F et al. Bleomycin in older early-stage
 270 favorable Hodgkin lymphoma patients: analysis of the German Hodgkin Study Group (GHSG) HD10
 271 and HD13 trials. Blood. 2016;127(18):2189-2192.
- 272 21.Cort A, Ozben T, Melchiorre M, Chatgilialoglu C, Ferreri C, Sansone A. Effects of bleomycin and
 antioxidants on the fatty acid profile of testicular cancer cell membranes. BiochimBiophysActa.
 274 2016;1858(2):434-441.
- 275 22.Krege S. PEB treatment of testicular cancer. Aktuelle Urol. 2012;43(5):342-345.
- 276 23.Pyrhönen S, Hahka-Kemppinen M, Muhonen T, Nikkanen V, Eskelin S et al. Chemoimmunotherapy
- 277 with bleomycin, vincristine, lomustine, dacarbazine (BOLD), and human leukocyte interferon for
- 278 metastatic uveal melanoma. Cancer. 2002;95(11):2366-72.
- 279 24.Buder K, Gesierich A, Gelbrich G, Goebeler M. Systemic treatment of metastatic uveal melanoma:
- review of literature and future perspectives. Cancer Med. 2013;2(5):674-686.
- 281 25.Mir LM, Orlowski S. The basis of electrochemotherapy. Methods Mol Med. 2000;37:99-117.
- 282 26.Silve A, Leray I, Mir LM. Demonstration of cell membrane permeabilization to medium-sized
- 283 molecules caused by a single 10 ns electric pulse. Bioelectrochemistry. 201;87:260-264.
- 27.Siddik ZH. Cisplatin: mode of cytotoxic action and molecular basis of resistance. Oncogene.
 285 2003;22(47):7265-7279.
- 28. Pucihar G, Kotnik T, Teissié J, Miklavcic D. Electropermeabilization of dense cell suspensions.
 EurBiophys J. 2007;36(3):173-185.

- 288 29.Rols MP, Teissié J. Electropermeabilization of mammalian cells to macromolecules: control by
- 289 pulse duration. Biophys J. 1998;75(3):1415-1423.
- 290 30.Neumann E, Sowers AE, Jordan CA, eds. Electroporation and Electrofusion in Cell Biology. New
- 291 York: Plenum Press; 1989:111.
- 292 31.Teissié J, Eynard N, Gabriel B, Rols MP. Electropermeabilization of cell membranes.Adv Drug Deliv
 293 Rev. 1999;35(1):3-19.
- 294 32.https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg446
- 33.Gehl J. Electroporation: theory and methods, perspectives for drug delivery, gene therapy and
 research. ActaPhysiol Scand. 2003;177(4):437-447.
- 297 34.Kotnik T, Kramar P, Pucihar G, Miklavcic D, Tarek M: Cell membrane electroporation- Part 1: The
- 298 phenomenon. IEEE ElectrInsul Mag. 2012;28:14–23.
- 299 35.Jager MJ, Cao J, Yang H, Decaudin D, Kalirai H et al. Animal models of ocular tumors. Heidelberg,

300 CA: Springer Verlag; 2015:127-140.

301 Figure legends

- Figure 1 Effects of electroporation on cell viability 36 hours following exposure. Data are the mean
 ± SEM of 6 individual experiments for the 92.1 (black bars), Mel270 (Dark grey bars), OMM-1 (white
 bars) and the OMM-2.5 (hashed bars) cell lines.
- 305 Figure 2 Effects of (A) bleomycin and (B) cisplatin on viability of the 92.1 (solid black line), Mel270
- 306 (dotted black line), OMM-1 (solid grey line) and OMM-2.5 (dashed black line) UM cell lines 36 hours
 307 after exposure to the drugs. Data are the mean ± SEM of 3 separate experiments.
- Figure 3 Cytotoxic effects of increasing doses of bleomycin on the viability of (A) 92.1, (B) Mel270,
 (C) OMM-1 and (D) OMM-2.5 UM cell lines following electroporation. Data are the mean of 18
 replicates across three separate experiments for the effect of electroporation alone (black bars),
 1µg/ml (dotted bars), 2.5µg/ml (grey bars), 5µg/ml (striped bars) and 7.5µg/ml (white bars)
 bleomycin to reduce cell viability.
- Figure 4 Cytotoxic effects of increasing doses of cisplatin on the viability of (A) 92.1, (B) Mel270, (C)
 OMM-1 and (D) OMM-2.5 UM cell lines following electroporation. Data are the mean of 18 replicates
 across three separate experiments for the effect of electroporation alone (black bars), 1µg/ml
 (dotted bars), 2.5µg/ml (grey bars), 5µg/ml (striped bars) and 7.5µg/ml (white bars) cisplatin to
 reduce cell viability.