

Original citation:

Walklet, Elaine, Mahoney, Berenice, Bradley, Eleanor and O'Hickey, Stephen (2019) Application of Health Psychology: Development of a Practitioner Training Intervention in Anaphylaxis. Journal of Continuing Education in the Health Professions, doi: 10.1097/CEH.000000000000254

Permanent WRaP URL:

https://eprints.worc.ac.uk/7840/

Copyright and reuse:

The Worcester Research and Publications (WRaP) makes this work available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRaP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Publisher's statement:

This is a non-final version of an article published in final form in Walklet, Elaine, Mahoney, Berenice, Bradley, Eleanor and O'Hickey, Stephen (2019) Application of Health Psychology: Development of a Practitioner Training Intervention in Anaphylaxis. Journal of Continuing Education in the Health Professions, doi: 10.1097/CEH.00000000000254. © 2019 Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Inc.

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or, version of record, if you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the 'permanent WRaP URL' above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact wrapteam@worc.ac.uk

1 Application of health psychology: Development of a practitioner training

- 2 intervention in anaphylaxis
- 3

4 Abstract

Adrenaline auto-injectors (AAIs) improve outcomes and reduce fatalities in patients with 5 anaphylaxis, but many patients neither carry them nor know how to use them. 6 Practitioner training in evidence-based strategies designed to increase patient 7 8 adherence could improve the likelihood of AAI adherence, as well as increase confidence amongst practitioners to initiate discussions about practical and perceptual 9 barriers to AAI adherence. This paper reports the development of a new practitioner 10 training intervention, grounded in health psychology theory and evidence designed for 11 practitioners in contact with patients with anaphylaxis to encourage adherence to AAIs. 12 Potential implications for the design, implementation and evaluation of future 13 practitioner training in strategies they can use to encourage anaphylaxis patients' AAI 14 adherence are discussed. Although designed for those working with anaphylaxis 15 patients, this step-by-step process to encouraging adherence could be adapted for 16 practitioners working with patients living with other long-term conditions. 17

18

Keywords: Anaphylaxis, adrenaline auto-injectors, adherence, behaviour change
 intervention, practitioners

22 Problem Statement

Anaphylaxis is a "severe, life-threatening generalised or systemic hypersensitivity 23 reaction".^{1 p. 835} Hospital admission data indicates a UK population prevalence of 7 per 24 100.000 people, an increase of 615% from 1992-2012.² Early and appropriate 25 intervention with adrenaline auto-injectors (AAIs) improves outcomes and reduces 26 fatalities.³ Despite this, patients with anaphylaxis frequently do not adhere to essential 27 self-care behaviours; evidence suggests less than 30% of patients carry their AAI at all 28 times and only 44% are able to demonstrate correctly how to use them.⁴ Furthermore, 29 healthcare practitioners including physicians and pharmacists are frequently unable to 30 demonstrate the correct procedure for AAI use.⁵ Patients and practitioners both report 31 numerous practical, psychological and organisational barriers to adherence to 32 anaphylaxis self-care and /or treatment behaviours.^{6–9} Specialist Allergy staff may feel 33 ill-equipped to manage the psychological aspects associated with anaphylaxis, including 34 adherence, reporting time pressure, lack of clinic space and lack of confidence as key 35 barriers.⁹ This issue is not unique to anaphylaxis since approximately half of healthcare 36 practitioners perceive they have insufficient skills and confidence to promote behaviour 37 changes in their patients.¹⁰ 38

39

Recent guidelines from the European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology
state that current approaches to prescription and instruction for AAI use are generally
insufficient to promote patient adherence.¹¹ Given the vital function of AAIs in reducing
anaphylaxis-related fatalities³, improving the delivery of AAI training and evaluating the

impact on subsequent behaviour change (adherence) is a priority for research.¹¹
Evidence-based training interventions designed to support specialist and general
practitioners to work alongside patients to deliver AAI training and promote AAI
adherence are required urgently.

48

49 Solution

Health psychology involves the application of psychological theory and evidence to health and healthcare delivery.¹² A key objective of this rapidly expanding discipline is the development and evaluation of evidence-based behaviour change interventions to enhance the physical and psychological health of patients living with long-term conditions. Approaches such as Intervention Mapping (IM) outline step by step procedures to identify the behavioural indicators associated with change, to develop, then evaluate behaviour change interventions.¹³

57

This paper outlines how a health psychology informed approach was used to develop a 58 practitioner training workshop and focusses in detail on the processes involved with 59 adopting such an approach to develop training to enhance patient adherence to AAIs, 60 This was informed by the principles of IM, a recently published guide to intervention 61 development for health behaviour change ¹³⁻¹⁴ and grounded in previous gualitative 62 research conducted by the team, who comprised colleagues with academic and clinical 63 expertise in the area.⁹ The IM approach is comprised of six steps as shown in Figure 64 1. 65

66

<Insert figure 1 here>

67

68	IM was used because evidence suggests interventions developed using it have greater
69	uptake of the behaviours being promoted compared to interventions developed using
70	alternative models. ¹⁵ Additionally, unlike other models of health promotion such as
71	PRECEDE/PROCEED and logic models, IM provides a step - by - step protocol that
72	health promotion and education planners can use to develop behaviour change
73	interventions based on available theory and empirical evidence. ^{16–19} The IM protocol
74	starts with an early assessment of the health behaviours associated with the problem,
75	which are then mapped to relevant health behaviour change theories that can be
76	applied to underpin the subsequent intervention for development and evaluation. ¹⁸
77	
78	Step 1 in developing this training intervention comprised of a needs assessment. A
79	multi-disciplinary working group, including representatives from health psychology,
80	allergy, clinical immunology and general practice was set-up to conduct this assessment
81	specifying (1) the target population, (2) defining the health problem and (3) the
82	behaviour(s) associated with the problem/s as defined. The target population in this
83	case were:
84	(1) Primary and secondary healthcare staff who train adults, adolescents and parents
85	of children with a diagnosis of anaphylaxis (any trigger) to use AAIs.
86	To understand the health problem, a definition of poor anaphylaxis self-care

87 management was identified from the literature:

88	(2) Approximately 7 in 100,000 people experience anaphylaxis in the UK. Of these,
89	less than 30% carry an AAI at all times. Poorly managed anaphylaxis includes
90	failure to carry, use and maintain AAIs and continuation of exposure to known,
91	avoidable triggers. The consequences of poorly managed anaphylaxis include
92	A&E admission, fatality (approximately 2% of cases) and psychological distress. 2
93	Finally, the problematic patient health behaviours which the intervention would target
94	were identified as:
95	(3) Failure to carry an AAI at all times, check AAI in date/ renew prescriptions at
96	appropriate intervals, use the device when experiencing anaphylaxis and follow
97	the correct step-by-step procedure for use.
98	Due to the target population for the proposed intervention, problematic practitioner
99	behaviours were also identified:
100	Failure to ask patients about barriers to carrying and using AAIs, and use
101	established behaviour-change techniques in training delivery
102	Step 2 involved identifying determinants of the problematic patient and practitioner
103	behaviours to target in the training intervention. To identify key determinants, research
104	completed and published by the authors was used ⁹ , followed by consultation with
105	patients and healthcare practitioners working in primary and secondary care. Thus, a
106	range of evidence informed the final framework of determinants. Identified determinants
107	were then integrated and grouped according to theories of behaviour change, including
108	the Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF) and the COM-B model. $^{20-21}$ The COM – B
109	model is a supra – theory that proposes individuals need capability, opportunity and

110	motivation to perform a health behaviour. ^{22 - 23} The Theoretical Domains Framework
111	(TDF) is a more granular description of the components which comprise the COM – B
112	model, including the specific behavioural domains and determinants of a target health
113	behaviour ²⁴ The TDF was applied in this instance given that it has previously served as
114	a practical guide for developers of health behaviour change interventions. ²⁵ The
115	determinants of the problematic patient and practitioner AAI behaviour as identified
116	within Step 2 can be found in Table 1.
117	<insert 1="" here="" table=""></insert>
118	
119	Step 3 comprised of identifying, then setting objectives for the training intervention for
120	patients and practitioners. Select determinants were mapped against key performance
121	objectives to create a series of change objectives. An example of this mapping exercise
122	can be found in Table 2:
123	<insert 2="" here="" table=""></insert>
124	
125	Step 4 comprised of the identification of behaviour change techniques (BCTs) which
126	could help practitioners to target problematic behaviour, ready for inclusion in the
127	training intervention. BCTs are known as the active ingredients of interventions or
128	mechanisms of change within specified behaviour change theories. The TDF specifies
129	and defines 93 potentially relevant BCTs developed using a consensus method ²⁹ , for
130	example goal setting, action planning and habit formation. ²⁶ In the current intervention,

131 15 relevant BCTs were selected on the basis of having reviewed the 93 BCTs and
132 selecting those relevant to the specific target problem behaviours of this project.

133

In step 5 practical plans were developed to translate these BCTs into a feasible training 134 package for practitioners. Feedback from the consultation with patients and staff was 135 taken into consideration, for example, practitioners suggested that the training be 136 delivered at their work place, over a lunch-time to maximise engagement. The final 137 intervention comprised of an interactive workshop delivered in a 90 minute session by a 138 trainee Health Psychologist. Four workshops were delivered in total across three sites 139 to mixed groups of specialist and non-specialist nurses, GPs and pharmacists. The 140 141 presentation and supporting manual for the workshop incorporated brief lectures, application of principles to case studies and reflective exercises and was organised into 142 4 sections: 143

(1) What is adherence and why do we need to improve it for AAIs? (a brief 15 minutelecture)

(2) Barriers and facilitators to behaviour change (a brief 15 minute lecture)

(3) Theory-based AAI training using behaviour change techniques (a brief 15 minute
 lecture followed by the application of techniques to two case studies with attendees

working in groups of 3-5 individuals lasting 25 minutes) and

(4) Reflection and taking things forward (discussion of how the techniques learnt could
be applied in attendees practice with attendees working in groups of 3-5 individuals

152 lasting 20 minutes).

153 Additional worksheets were developed to support the workshop (introduced at (3)) but also to guide practitioners with their strategies with patients following the workshops. 154 The worksheets included an "AAI training checklist" and "Anaphylaxis management 155 plan". The AAI training checklist detailed a step by step approach to patient training in 156 AAI use linked to the identified BCTs and supporting resources. The management plan 157 was designed to facilitate the delivery of specific BCTs such as action planning, goal 158 setting and problem solving. All materials were developed in consultation with patients 159 and practitioners and were informally piloted to check for suitability. 160

161

In step 6 the evaluation of the intervention is described to provide an example of how 162 an evaluation could be undertaken in line with the IM approach. Evaluations of any 163 behaviour change training intervention should not rely solely on the assessment of 164 effectiveness or outcomes, but also consider mechanisms involved in the process of 165 change and the acceptability of the training. ²⁶ A mixed - methods evaluation designed 166 for a 'real-world' setting (i.e. without randomisation) was deemed the most suitable 167 approach that could be used to evaluate an intervention of this nature. Given the focus 168 on adherence and any change in practitioner behaviour, self-reported outcome 169 measures for practitioners and patients, designed to capture any behaviour changes as 170 targeted by the intervention, were identified as important to evaluate. ²⁷ A mixed 171 methods evaluation of this type could provide an opportunity for qualitative feedback 172 from participant groups to enhance understanding of any quantitatively estimated 173 outcomes. Such approaches move beyond the traditional 'black box' evaluation of pre-174 and post-outcomes, accounting for detailed feedback about the 'why' and 'how' of 175

176	outcomes. Evaluators are increasingly considering how to evaluate longer-term impact
177	of training on practice. Given the desire to capture longer-term impact of such
178	interventions, evaluators should consider how to 'contract' participants to the provision
179	of ongoing feedback – for example the sharing of contact details for online survey
180	contact or invitations to focus group sessions. Project leads also need to consider how
181	to accommodate longer-term evaluations within their own training and project planning.
182	Disseminating early findings from evaluations, with participants and other stakeholders,
183	can be another mechanism to engender longer-term commitment to evaluation.
184	Adoption of an Action Research-type cycle to evaluation, where the trainers evaluate
185	and amend their intervention work in line with feedback iteratively, rather than as a pre-
186	post model, could be another method for consideration. This approach could
187	potentially help evaluators fully account for any practical, as well as any psychological,
188	barriers to the implementation of behaviour changes into practice. The evaluation
189	proposed for this particular intervention is provided here as a guide and shown in Table
190	3:

191

<Insert table 3 here>

192

The approach outlined demonstrates in detail the step-by-step development of a comprehensive, evidence-based practitioner training intervention designed to support practitioners and patients with strategies to encourage AAI adherence. The application of health psychology theory (via the COM-B model and TDF) are crucial in providing a clear framework to enable multi-disciplinary teams to articulate the target problem and

198 target population, then to identify the potential mechanisms associated with desired behaviour change and relevant behaviour change techniques. The use of consultation 199 with practitioner and patient groups helps to ensure the training has face validity in 200 terms of targeted relevant problematic behaviours. Consultation is also vital to ensure 201 training amongst the target population is delivered in an acceptable, practical format 202 that is relevant for a 'real-world' rather than research environment. The multi-203 disciplinary input (e.g. health psychology, allergy and clinical immunology, general 204 practice) and subsequent consensus approach enables synthesis of expertise to inform 205 the development of the training intervention. It is anticipated that the consensus 206 approach will increase the likelihood that the intervention as developed will be adopted, 207 implemented and sustained over the longer-term, embedding into routine practice. 208

209

Whilst there are many advantages to this approach, a potential limitation relates to 210 211 resources. This approach to the design of training is time consuming. The development of the intervention reported here took around 8 weeks to complete using steps 1 - 6 of 212 the IM protocol. In addition, the IM approach captures context specific information to 213 identify determinants of the target problem health behaviours, and health education and 214 promotion developers would need to start the design process from step 1 each time in 215 order to develop a training intervention with contextual relevance. Furthermore, 216 opportunities for trainees to practice the learnt behaviours are important for effective 217 interventions but not included as part of the design reported. Specialist health 218 psychology expertise is also needed in following through this complex approach to 219 intervention design. Therefore, use of the COM – B Model and TDF must be planned 220

221 and resourced carefully. This may present a challenge to implementation in practice but should be weighed against evidence that traditional CPD activities are often ineffective 222 at improving healthcare practitioner and /or patient outcomes.³⁰ Evidence-based, multi-223 disciplinary approaches to training, which incorporate methods for overcoming barriers 224 to change, are required for successful knowledge translation.³⁰ Where time is 225 particularly limited for the development period, lengthy processes such as identifying 226 determinants can be shortened using existing literature reviews and greater emphasis 227 on clinical experience.¹⁴ A lack of consensus between patients and staff feedback on 228 the proposed intervention is a key risk associated with this approach, particularly at the 229 earliest stage of intervention development. For those adopting this method, the 230 sensitive management of expectations and regular communication is vital. 231

232

233 Conclusion

Health psychology approaches to intervention development can be applied to the 234 design and evaluation of healthcare staff training. However, they take time to carry out 235 and require stakeholder investment at each stage. Although the training intervention in 236 this case was developed for a specific target population and health problem, there are 237 key areas of transferability for the development of accessible, evidence-based CPD 238 239 training, particularly for staff working alongside patients with long-term conditions who commonly experience challenges associated with self-care behaviours, including 240 adherence to prescribed treatments.³¹ 241

242

243 **References**

244	1.	Johansson SGO, Bieber T, Dahl R, et al. Revised nomenclature for allergy for
245		global use: Report of the Nomenclature Review Committee of the World Allergy
246		Organization, October 2003. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2004;113(5):832-836.
247		doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2003.12.591.
248	2.	Turner PJ, Gowland MH, Sharma V, et al. Increase in anaphylaxis-related
249		hospitalizations but no increase in fatalities: An analysis of United Kingdom
250		national anaphylaxis data, 1992-2012. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2015.
251		doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2014.10.021.
252	3.	Simons FER. 9. Anaphylaxis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2008;121(2 SUPPL. 2):402-
253		407. doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2007.08.061.
254	4.	Goldberg A, Confino-Cohen R. Insect sting-inflicted systemic reactions: Attitudes
255		of patients with insect venom allergy regarding after-sting behavior and proper
256		administration of epinephrine. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2000;106(6):1184-1189.
257		doi:10.1067/mai.2000.110927.
258	5.	Mehr S, Robinson M, Tang M. Doctor - How do I use my EpiPen? Pediatr Allergy
259		Immunol. 2007. doi:10.1111/j.1399-3038.2007.00529.x.
260	6.	Johnstone J, Hobbins S, Parekh D, O'Hickey S. Excess subcutaneous tissue may
261		preclude intramuscular delivery when using adrenaline autoinjectors in patients
262		with anaphylaxis. <i>Allergy</i> . 2015;70(6):703-706. doi:10.1111/all.12595.
263	7.	Jones CJ, Smith HE, Frew AJ, Toit G Du, Mukhopadhyay S, Llewellyn CD.

- 264 Explaining adherence to self-care behaviours amongst adolescents with food
- allergy: a comparison of the health belief model and the common sense self-
- regulation model. *Br J Health Psychol*. 2014;19(1):65-82. doi:10.1111/bjhp.12033.
- Money AG, Barnett J, Kuljis J, Lucas J. Patient perceptions of epinephrine auto injectors: Exploring barriers to use. *Scand J Caring Sci.* 2013;27(2):335-344.
 doi:10.1111/j.1471-6712.2012.01045.x.
- 9. Walklet E, Taylor C, Bradley E, Mahoney B, Scurlock-Evans L, O'Hickey S.
- 271 "Because it kind of falls in between, doesn"t it? Like an acute thing and a chronic':
- The psychological experience of anaphylaxis in adulthood. *J Health Psychol*.
- 273 2016. doi:10.1177/1359105316664130.
- 10. Jallinoja P, Absetz P, Kuronen R, et al. The dilemma of patient responsibility for
- lifestyle change: perceptions among primary care physicians and nurses. *Scand J*
- 276 *Prim Health Care*. 2007;25(4):244-249. doi:10.1080/02813430701691778.
- 11. Muraro A, Roberts G, Worm M, et al. Anaphylaxis: guidelines from the European
- Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. *Allergy*. 2014;69(8):1026-1045.
- 279 doi:10.1111/all.12437.
- Marks DF, Murray M, Evans B. *Health Psychology: Theory, Research and Practice.* Sage; 2005.
- 282 13. Eldredge LKB, Markham CM, Ruiter RAC, Kok G, Parcel GS. *Planning Health Promotion Programs: An Intervention Mapping Approach*. John Wiley & Sons;
 284 2016.

- 14. Heath G, Cooke R, Cameron E. A Theory-Based Approach for Developing
- 286 Interventions to Change Patient Behaviours: A Medication Adherence Example
- from Paediatric Secondary Care. *Healthcare*. 2015.
- doi:10.3390/healthcare3041228.
- 15. Garba R. M, Gadanya M. A The role of intervention mapping in designing disease
- 290 prevention interventions: A systematic review of the literature. *PLoS* ONE;12(3):
- 291 e0174438. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0174438
- 292 16. Green L, Kreuter M. *Health program planning: An educational and ecological*293 *approach* (4th Edition). 2005. New York: McGraw Hill.
- 17. Renger R, Titcomb A Kirby. A Three-Step Approach to Teaching Logic Models.
 American Journal of Evaluation.2002;23(4):493 503
- 18. Kok G, Schaalma H, Ruiter R.A, van Empelen P Intervention Mapping: A Protocol
- for Applying Health Psychology Theory to Prevention Programmes. Journal of
- 298 Health Psychology. 2004; 9(1):85–98. DOI: 10.1177/1359105304038379
- 19. Kok G, Gottlieb N.H, Peters G-J. Y, Dolan Mullen P, Parcel G.S, , Ruiter R.A.C,
- 300 Fernández M.E, Markham C, Bartholomew L.K. A taxonomy of behaviour change
- 301 methods: an Intervention Mapping approach. *Health Psychology Review.*
- 302 2016;10(3): 297-312. DOI: 10.1080/17437199.2015.1077155
- 20. Cane J, O'Connor D, Michie S. Validation of the theoretical domains framework
- for use in behaviour change and implementation research. *Implement Sci.* 2012.
 doi:10.1186/1748-5908-7-37.
- 306 21. Michie S, van Stralen MM, West R. The behaviour change wheel: A new method

- for characterising and designing behaviour change interventions. *Implement Sci.*2011. doi:10.1186/1748-5908-6-42.
- 209 22. Alexander K, Brijnath B, Mazza D. Barriers and enablers to delivery of the
- 310 HealthyKids Check: an analysis informed by the TheoreticalDomains Framework
- and COM-B model. *Implement Sci.* 2014. doi:10.1186/1748-5908-9-60
- 23. Barker F, Atkins L, Lusignan ds S. pplying the COM-B behaviour model and
- 313 behaviour change wheel to develop an intervention to improve hearing-aid use in
- adultauditory rehabilitation. *International Journal of Audiology*.2016. DOI:
- 315 10.3109/14992027.2015.1120894.
- 24. Atkins L, Francis J, Islam R, et al. A guide to using the Theoretical Domains
- 317 Framework of behaviour change to investigate implementation problems.

318 Implement Sci. 2017. DOI 10.1186/s13012-017-0605-9

- 25. Michie S, Richardson M, Johnston M, et al. The behavior change technique
- taxonomy (v1) of 93 hierarchically clustered techniques: Building an international
- 321 consensus for the reporting of behavior change interventions. *Ann Behav Med.*
- 322 2013. doi:10.1007/s12160-013-9486-6.
- Michie S, Atkins L, West R. The behaviour change wheel: a guide to designing
 interventions. *Needed: physician leaders*. 2014;26:146.
- 325 27. Sedgwick P. What is a non-randomised controlled trial? *BMJ*. 2014. doi:
- 326 10.1136/bmj.g4115 (2014)
- 28. Jamieson S. Likert scales: how to (ab)use them. *Medical Education*.2004; 38:

328 1212–1218

329	29.	Kuhlmann T, Dantlgraber M, Rieps U - D. Investigating measurement equivalence
330		of visual analogue scales and Likert-type scales in Internet-based personality
331		questionnaires. Behav Res. 2017. 49:2173–2181. DOI 10.3758/s13428-016-
332		0850-x2017
333	30.	Davis D. The case for knowledge translation: shortening the journey from
334		evidence to effect. BMJ. 2003. doi:10.1136/bmj.327.7405.33.
335	31.	Kok G, Mesters I. Getting inside the black box of health promotion programmes
336		using Intervention Mapping. Chronic Illness. 2011;7(3):176–180. DOI:
337		10.1177/1742395311403013.
338		
339	Les	sons for practice
339 340	Les:	
		•
340		CPD training designed to tackle adherence in relation to AAIs could be enhanced
340 341		CPD training designed to tackle adherence in relation to AAIs could be enhanced by utilizing the principles of Intervention Mapping when developing new materials.
340 341 342	•	CPD training designed to tackle adherence in relation to AAIs could be enhanced by utilizing the principles of Intervention Mapping when developing new materials.
340 341 342 343	•	CPD training designed to tackle adherence in relation to AAIs could be enhanced by utilizing the principles of Intervention Mapping when developing new materials. Intervention Mapping principles provide step-by-step guidance around the
340 341 342 343 344	•	CPD training designed to tackle adherence in relation to AAIs could be enhanced by utilizing the principles of Intervention Mapping when developing new materials. Intervention Mapping principles provide step-by-step guidance around the development, implementation and evaluation of programmes, including those
340 341 342 343 344 345	•	CPD training designed to tackle adherence in relation to AAIs could be enhanced by utilizing the principles of Intervention Mapping when developing new materials. Intervention Mapping principles provide step-by-step guidance around the development, implementation and evaluation of programmes, including those developed for multi-disciplinary audiences.
 340 341 342 343 344 345 346 	•	CPD training designed to tackle adherence in relation to AAIs could be enhanced by utilizing the principles of Intervention Mapping when developing new materials. Intervention Mapping principles provide step-by-step guidance around the development, implementation and evaluation of programmes, including those developed for multi-disciplinary audiences. Drawing together behaviour change techniques, staff expertise and experience

could be enhanced, maximizing the potential for impact on practice.