



SYMCOMP 2019  
Porto, 11-12 April 2019  
©ECCOMAS, Portugal

## ADVANCES IN GEOMETRY INDEPENDENT APPROXIMATIONS

Cosmin Anitescu <sup>1</sup>, Elena Atroshchenko <sup>2</sup>, Stéphane Bordas <sup>3\*</sup>,

Chensen Ding <sup>3</sup>, Chintan Jansari <sup>4</sup>, Haojie Lian <sup>3</sup>

Sundararajan Natarajan <sup>5</sup>, Camilo Suarez <sup>3</sup> and Satyendra Tomar <sup>3,6</sup>

and Javier Videla <sup>2</sup>

1: Bauhaus Universität, Weimar

2: University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia

3: University of Luxembourg, Department of Computational Engineering Sciences

4: IIT Madras, India and University of Luxembourg, Department of Computational Engineering Sciences

5: Department of Mechanical Engineering, IIT Madras, India

6: Centre for Information Technologies and Applied Mathematics,  
University of Nova Gorica, Slovenia

\*: e-mail: [stephane.bordas@uni.lu](mailto:stephane.bordas@uni.lu) web: <http://legato-team.eu/people/>

**Keywords:** Instructions, international conference, algebraic, symbolic computation

**Abstract** *We present recent advances in geometry independent field approximations. The GIFT approach is a generalisation of isogeometric analysis where the approximation used to describe the field variables no-longer has to be identical to the approximation used to describe the geometry of the domain.*

*As such, the geometry can be described using usual CAD representations, e.g. NURBS, which are the most common in the CAD area, whilst local refinement and meshes approximations can be used to describe the field variables, enabling local adaptivity.*

*We show in which cases the approach passes the patch test and present applications to various mechanics, fracture and multi-physics problems.*

**REFERENCES**

- [1] Nguyen, V. P., Rabczuk, T., Bordas, S., & Dufloy, M. (2008). Meshless methods: A review and computer implementation aspects. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matcom.2008.01.003>.
- [2] Béchet E., Moës, N., Wolhuth, B., Moumnassi, M., François, V., Simulations in ambient space : freeing mesh generation techniques from the respect of boundaries in the context of the FEM , 11th International Society for Geometry and Graphics (ISGG) Conference.
- [3] Burman, E., Claus, S., Hansbo, P., Larson, M. G., & Massing, A. (2015). CutFEM: Discretizing geometry and partial differential equations. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nme.4823>.
- [4] Moumnassi, M., Bordas, S. P. A., Figueredo, R., & Sansen, P. (2014). Analysis using higher-order XFEM: implicit representation of geometrical features from a given parametric representation. *Mechanics & Industry*. <https://doi.org/10.1051/meca/2014033>.
- [5] Moumnassi, M., Belouettar, S., Béchet, É., Bordas, S. P. A., Quoirin, D., & Potier-Ferry, M. (2011). Finite element analysis on implicitly defined domains: An accurate representation based on arbitrary parametric surfaces. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2010.10.002>.
- [6] Liu, G. R., Dai, K. Y., & Nguyen, T. T. (2007). A smoothed finite element method for mechanics problems. *Computational Mechanics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00466-006-0075-4>.
- [7] Song, C., Wolf, J. P. (1997). The scaled boundary finite-element method - Alias consistent infinitesimal finite-element cell method - For elastodynamics. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-7825\(97\)00021-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-7825(97)00021-2).
- [8] Hughes, T. J. R., Cottrell, J. A., & Bazilevs, Y. (2005). Isogeometric analysis: CAD, finite elements, NURBS, exact geometry and mesh refinement. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2004.10.008>.
- [9] Legrain, G., Geuzaine, C., Remacle, J. F., Moës, N., Cresta, P., & Gaudin, J. (2013). Numerical simulation of CAD thin structures using the eXtended Finite Element Method and Level Sets. *Finite Elements in Analysis and Design*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.finel.2013.08.007>.
- [10] Nguyen, V. P., Anitescu, C., Bordas, S. P. A., & Rabczuk, T. (2015). Isogeometric analysis: An overview and computer implementation aspects. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matcom.2015.05.008>
- [11] Atroshchenko, E., Tomar, S., Xu, G., & Bordas, S. P. A. (2018). Weakening the tight coupling between geometry and simulation in isogeometric analysis: From sub- and super-geometric analysis to Geometry-Independent Field approximaTion (GIFT). *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nme.5778>.

- 
- [12] Courtecuisse, H., Allard, J., Kerfriden, P., Bordas, S. P. A., Cotin, S., & Duriez, C. (2014). Real-time simulation of contact and cutting of heterogeneous soft-tissues. *Medical Image Analysis*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2013.11.001>
- [13] Sherman, J., & Morrison, W. (1950). Adjustment of an Inverse Matrix Corresponding to a Change in One Element of a Given Matrix. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 21(1), 124-127.
- [14] Simpson, R. N., Bordas, S. P. A., Trevelyan, J., & Rabczuk, T. (2012). A two-dimensional Isogeometric Boundary Element Method for elastostatic analysis. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2011.08.008>.
- [15] Lian, H., Simpson, R. N., & Bordas, S. P. A. (2013). Stress analysis without meshing: isogeometric boundary-element method. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Engineering and Computational Mechanics*. <https://doi.org/10.1680/eacm.11.00024>.
- [16] Scott, M. A., Simpson, R. N., Evans, J. A., Lipton, S., Bordas, S. P. A., Hughes, T. J. R., & Sederberg, T. W. (2013). Isogeometric boundary element analysis using unstructured T-splines. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2012.11.001>
- [17] Simpson, R. N., Bordas, S. P. A., Lian, H., & Trevelyan, J. (2013). An isogeometric boundary element method for elastostatic analysis: 2D implementation aspects. *Computers and Structures*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruc.2012.12.021>.
- [18] Marot, C., Pellerin, J., & Remacle, J. F. (2019). One machine, one minute, three billion tetrahedra. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nme.5987>.
- [19] Bui, H. P., Tomar, S., Courtecuisse, H., Cotin, S., & Bordas, S. P. A. (2018). Real-Time Error Control for Surgical Simulation. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TBME.2017.2695587>.
- [20] Ding, C., Cui, X., Huang, G., Li, G., & Tamma, K. K. (2017). Exact and efficient isogeometric reanalysis of accurate shape and boundary modifications. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2017.02.004>.
- [21] Kirsch, U. (2000). Combined approximations—a general reanalysis approach for structural optimization. *Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization*, 20(2), 97-106
- [22] Hackbusch, W. (1999). Sparse matrix arithmetic based on H-matrices. Part I: Introduction to H-matrices. *Computing* (Vienna/New York). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s006070050015>.
- [23] Börm, S., Grasedyck, L., & Hackbusch, W. (2003). Introduction to hierarchical matrices with applications. *Engineering Analysis with Boundary Elements*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0955-7997\(02\)00152-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0955-7997(02)00152-2).