



Strathprints Institutional Repository

Mao, Wei and Mao, Xuerong (2013) *Approximate solutions of hybrid stochastic pantograph equations with Levy jumps*. Abstract and Applied Analysis, 2013.

Strathprints is designed to allow users to access the research output of the University of Strathclyde. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. You may not engage in further distribution of the material for any profitmaking activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute both the url (<http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/>) and the content of this paper for research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge.

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to Strathprints administrator: <mailto:strathprints@strath.ac.uk>

Research Article

Approximate Solutions of Hybrid Stochastic Pantograph Equations with Levy Jumps

Wei Mao¹ and Xuerong Mao²

¹ School of Mathematics and Information Technology, Jiangsu Second Normal University, Nanjing 210013, China

² Department of Statistics and Modelling Science, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G1 1XH, UK

Correspondence should be addressed to Wei Mao; jsjysxx_mwzy@126.com

Received 17 March 2013; Accepted 9 May 2013

Academic Editor: Svatoslav Staněk

Copyright © 2013 W. Mao and X. Mao. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We investigate a class of stochastic pantograph differential equations with Markovian switching and Levy jumps. We prove that the approximate solutions converge to the true solutions in L^2 sense as well as in probability under local Lipschitz condition and generalize the results obtained by Fan et al. (2007), Milošević and Jovanović (2011), and Marion et al. (2002) to cover a class of more general stochastic pantograph differential equations with jumps. Finally, an illustrative example is given to demonstrate our established theory.

1. Introduction

Stochastic delay differential equations (SDDEs) have come to play an important role in many branches of science and industry. Such models have been used with great success in a variety of application areas, including biology, epidemiology, mechanics, economics and finance. Similar to SDEs, an explicit solution can rarely be obtained for SDDEs. It is necessary to develop numerical methods and to study the properties of these methods. There are many results for the numerical solutions of SDDEs [1–12].

Recently, as a special case of SDDEs, a class of stochastic pantograph delay equations (SPEs) has been received a great deal of attention and various studies have been carried out on the convergence of SPEs [13–16]. However, all equations of the above-mentioned works are driven by white noise perturbations with continuous initial data, and white noise perturbations are not always appropriate to interpret real data in a reasonable way. In real phenomena, the state of stochastic pantograph delay system may be perturbed by abrupt pulses or extreme events. A more natural mathematical framework for these phenomena takes into account other than purely Brownian perturbations. In particular, we incorporate the Levy perturbations with jumps into stochastic pantograph delay system to model abrupt changes.

The study of the convergence of the numerical solutions to SDDEs with jumps is in its infancy [17–20], and there is no research on the numerical solutions to SPEs with Markovian switching and Levy jumps (SPEwMsLJs). In this paper, we study the strong convergence of the Euler method for a class of SPEs with Markovian switching and Levy jumps (SPEwMsLJs). SPEwMsLJs may be regarded as an extension of SPEs with Markovian switching and SPEs with Levy jumps. The main aim is to prove that the Euler approximate solutions converges to the true solutions for SPEwMsLJs in L^2 sense. On the other hand, we study the convergence in probability of the Euler approximate solutions to the true solutions under local Lipschitz condition and some additional conditions in term of Lyapunov-type functions. It should be pointed out that the proof for SPEwMsLJs is certainly not a straightforward generalization of that for SPEs and SPEwMs without Levy jumps. Although the way of analysis follows the ideas of [21], we need to develop several new techniques to deal with Levy jumps. Some known results in Fan et al. [14], Milošević and Jovanović [16], and Marion et al. [21] are generalized to cover a class of more general SPEwMsLJs.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some notations and hypotheses concerning (4), and the Euler methods is used to produce a numerical solutions. In Section 3, we establish some useful lemmas and prove that

the approximate solutions converge to the true solutions of SPEwMsLJs in L^2 sense. By applying Theorem 4, we study the convergence in probability of the approximate solutions to the true solutions in Section 4. Finally, we give an illustrative example in Section 5.

2. Preliminaries and the Approximate Solution

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a complete probability space with a filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfying the usual condition; that is, the filtration (\mathcal{F}_t) is continuous on the right and (\mathcal{F}_0) contains all P -null sets. Let $\{W(t), t \geq 0\}$ be a d -dimensional Wiener process defined on the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) adapted to the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$. Let $D([0, T], R^n)$ denote the family of function f from $[0, T] \rightarrow R^n$ that are right continuous and have limits on the left. Also $D([0, T], R^n)$ is equipped with the norm $\|f\| = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |f(t)|$, where $|\cdot|$ is the Euclidean norm in R^n ; that is, $|x| = \sqrt{x^T x}$ ($x \in R^n$). Let $T > 0$, $P \geq 2$, and $\mathcal{L}^P([0, T]; R^n)$ denote the family of all R^n -valued measurable (\mathcal{F}_t) -adapted processes $f = \{f(t)\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ such that $E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |f(t)|^P < \infty$. Let $(R^n, \mathcal{B}(R^n))$ be a measurable space and $\pi(du)$ a σ -finite measure on it. Let $p = p(t)$, and let $t \in D_p$ be a stationary \mathcal{F}_t -Poisson point process on R^n with characteristic measure π . Denote by $N(dt, du)$ the Poisson counting measure associated with p ; that is,

$$N(t, A) = \sum_{s \in D_p, s \leq t} I_A(p(s)). \quad (1)$$

We refer to Mao [3] for the properties of a Wiener process and SDEs and to Ikeda and Watanabe [22] for the details on Poisson point process.

Let $r(t)$, $t \geq 0$, be a right-continuous Markov chain on the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) taking values in a finite state space $S = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ with generator $\Gamma = (\gamma_{ij})_{N \times N}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} P(r(t + \Delta) = j \mid r(t) = i) \\ = \begin{cases} \gamma_{ij}\Delta + o(\Delta), & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ 1 + \gamma_{ii}\Delta + o(\Delta), & \text{if } i = j, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\Delta > 0$. Here $\gamma_{ij} \geq 0$ is the transition rate from i to j , $i \neq j$, while

$$\gamma_{ii} = -\sum_{j \neq i} \gamma_{ij}. \quad (3)$$

We assume that Markov chain $r(\cdot)$ is independent of the Brownian motion $W(\cdot)$ and compensated Poisson random measure $\tilde{N}(\cdot, \cdot)$. It is known that almost every sample path of $r(\cdot)$ is right-continuous step function with a finite number of simple jumps in any finite subinterval of R_+ .

In this paper, we study the following hybrid stochastic pantograph equations with Levy jump:

$$\begin{aligned} dX(t) &= f(X(t), X(qt), r(t)) dt \\ &+ g(X(t), X(qt), r(t)) dW(t) \\ &+ \int_{R^n} h(X(t), X(qt), r(t), u) \tilde{N}(dt, du), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$X(0) = X_0,$$

where $0 < q < 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f &: R^+ \times R^n \times S \longrightarrow R^n, \\ g &: R^+ \times R^n \times S \longrightarrow R^{n \times m}, \\ h &: R^+ \times R^n \times S \times R^n \longrightarrow R^n, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$W(t)$ is a standard m -dimensional Brownian motion, and $\tilde{N}(dt, du)$ is the compensated Poisson random measure given by

$$\tilde{N}(dt, du) = N(dt, du) - \pi(du) dt. \quad (6)$$

Here $\pi(du)$ is the Levy measure associated to N .

Let $C^2(R^n \times S, R_+)$ denote the family of all nonnegative functions $V(x, i)$ on $R^n \times S$ which are continuously twice differentiable in x . For each $V \in C^2(R^n \times S, R_+)$, define an operator LV from $R^n \times R^n \times S$ to R by

$$\begin{aligned} LV(x, y, i) \\ \equiv V_x(x, i) f(x, y, i) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}[g^T(x, y, i) V_{xx}(x, i) g(x, y, i)] \\ + \int_{R^n} [V(x + h(x, y, u), i) - V(x, i) \\ - V_x(x, i) h(x, y, u)] \pi(du) \\ + \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_{ij} V(x, j), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_x(x, i) &= \left(\frac{\partial V(x, i)}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial V(x, i)}{\partial x_n} \right), \\ V_{xx}(x, i) &= \left(\frac{\partial^2 V(x, i)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)_{n \times n}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

In order to define the Euler approximate solution of (4), we need the property of embedded discrete Markov chain. The following lemma [23] describes this property.

Lemma 1. For $h > 0$ and $n \geq 0$, then $\{r_n^h, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ is a discrete Markov chain with the one-step transition probability matrix

$$P(h) = (P_{ij}(h))_{N \times N} = e^{h\Gamma}. \quad (9)$$

Given a step size $h > 0$, the discrete Markov chain $\{r_n^h, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ can be simulated as follows (see Mao and Yuan [24]). Let $r(0) = i_0$ and generate a random number ζ_1 which is uniformly distributed in $[0, 1]$. If $\zeta_1 = 1$, then let $r_1^h = i_1 = N$ or otherwise find the unique integer $i_1 \in S$ for

$$\sum_{j=1}^{i_1-1} P_{i_0,j}(h) \leq \zeta_1 < \sum_{j=1}^{i_1} P_{i_0,j}(h) \quad (10)$$

and let $r_1^h = i_1$, where we set $\sum_{i=1}^0 P_{i_0,j}(h) = 0$ as usual. Generate independently a new random number ζ_2 which is again uniformly distributed in $[0, 1]$. If $\zeta_2 = 1$ then let $r_2^h = i_2 = N$ or otherwise find the unique integer $i_2 \in S$ for

$$\sum_{j=1}^{i_2-1} P_{i_1,j}(h) \leq \zeta_2 < \sum_{j=1}^{i_2} P_{i_1,j}(h) \quad (11)$$

and let $r_2^h = i_2$. Repeating this procedure, a trajectory of $\{r_n^h, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ can be generated. This procedure can be carried out independently to obtain more trajectories.

Now we define the Euler approximate solution to (4) with discrete Markov chain $\{r_n^h = r(nh), n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. For system (4), the discrete approximation is given by the iterative scheme

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{n+1} &= Y_n + f(Y_n, Y_{[qn]}, r_n^h)h \\ &\quad + g(Y_n, Y_{[qn]}, r_n^h) \Delta W_n \\ &\quad + \int_{R^n} h(Y_n, Y_{[qn]}, r_n^h, u) \tilde{N}(h, du), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

with initial value $Y_0 = X(0)$, and $[u]$ represents the integer part of u . Here $t_n = nh$ for $n \geq 0$. We have $Y_n \approx X(t_n)$, $Y_{[qn]} \approx X(qt_n)$, $\Delta W_n = W(t_{n+1}) - W(t_n)$, and $\tilde{N}(h, du) = \tilde{N}(t_{n+1}, du) - \tilde{N}(t_n, du)$.

Let us introduce the following notations:

$$Z_1(t) = Y_n, \quad Z_2(t) = Y_{[qn]}, \quad \bar{r}(t) = r_n^h \quad (13)$$

for $t \in [t_n, t_{n+1})$. Then we define the continuous Euler approximate solution as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Y(t) &= Y(0) + \int_0^t f(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t g(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s)) dW(s) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{R^n} h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u) \tilde{N}(ds, du), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$0 \leq t \leq T,$$

which interpolates the discrete approximation (7).

In order to establish the strong convergence theorem, we suppose the following assumptions are satisfied.

Assumption 2. For each $i \in S$ and $u \in R^n$,

$$|f(0, 0, i)|^2 = |g(0, 0, i)|^2 = \int_{R^n} |h(0, 0, i, u)|^2 \pi(du) = 0. \quad (15)$$

Assumption 3. For every $d \geq 1$, there exists a positive constant K_d such that for all $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, u \in R^n$ and $i \in S$, $|x_1| \vee |y_1| \vee |x_2| \vee |y_2| \leq d$,

$$\begin{aligned} &|f(x_1, y_1, i) - f(x_2, y_2, i)|^2 \\ &\quad \vee |g(x_1, y_1, i) - g(x_2, y_2, i)|^2 \\ &\quad \vee \int_{R^n} |h(x_1, y_1, i, u) - h(x_2, y_2, i, u)|^2 \pi(du) \\ &\leq K_d (|x_1 - x_2|^2 + |y_1 - y_2|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

3. Strong Convergence of Numerical Solutions

In this section, we will prove that the Euler approximate solutions converge to the true solutions in L^2 sense under the local Lipschitz condition.

Theorem 4. If Assumptions 2 and 3 hold, then the Euler approximate solutions converge to the true solutions of (4) in L^2 sense with order $1/2$; that is, there exists a positive constant C_d such that

$$E \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T \wedge \tau_d} |X(t) - Y(t)|^2 \right] \leq C_d [h + o(h)], \quad (17)$$

where $\theta_d = \inf\{t \in [0, T] : |X(t)| \geq d\}$ and $\rho_d = \inf\{t \in [0, T] : |Y(t)| \geq d\}$, and let $\tau_d = \theta_d \wedge \rho_d$.

The proof of Theorem 4 is very technical, so we present some useful lemmas.

Lemma 5. Under Assumptions 2 and 3, for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $P \geq 2$, there exists a positive constant $C_1(d)$ such that

$$E \int_0^t |Y(s \wedge \tau_d) - Z_1(s \wedge \tau_d)|^P ds \leq C_1(d) h^{P/2} T, \quad (18)$$

where $C_1(d)$ is a positive constant independent of the step size h .

Proof. For any $t \in [0, T \wedge \tau_d]$, there exists an integer n such that $t \in [nh, (n+1)h)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &Y(t) - Z_1(t) \\ &= Y(t) - Y_n \\ &= \int_{nh}^t f(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_{nh}^t g(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s)) dW(s) \\ &\quad + \int_{nh}^t \int_{R^n} h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u) \tilde{N}(ds, du). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Using the inequality $|a + b + c|^P \leq 3^{P-1} [|a|^P + 3|b|^P + 3|c|^P]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left[\sup_{nh \leq t \leq (n+1)h} |Y(t) - Z_1(t)|^P \right] \\
& \leq 3^{P-1} \left[E \left(\sup_{nh \leq t \leq (n+1)h} \left| \int_{nh}^t f(Z_1(s), \right. \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. \left. Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s)) ds \right|^P \right) \right. \\
& \quad + 3^{P-1} E \\
& \quad \times \left(\sup_{nh \leq t \leq (n+1)h} \left| \int_{nh}^t g(Z_1(s), \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. \left. Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s)) dW(s) \right|^P \right) \right. \\
& \quad + 3^{P-1} E \left(\sup_{nh \leq t \leq (n+1)h} \left| \int_{nh}^t \int_{R^n} h(Z_1(s), \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. \left. Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. \left. \times \tilde{N}(ds, du) \right|^P \right) \right]. \quad (20)
\end{aligned}$$

By the Hölder inequality and Assumptions 2 and 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left(\sup_{nh \leq t \leq (n+1)h} \left| \int_{nh}^t f(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s)) ds \right|^P \right) \\
& \leq E \left(\left| \int_{nh}^{(n+1)h} f(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s)) ds \right|^P \right) \\
& \leq h^{P-1} E \int_{nh}^{(n+1)h} |f(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s))|^P ds \\
& \leq h^{P-1} E \int_{nh}^{(n+1)h} [|f(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s))|^2]^{P/2} ds \\
& \leq h^{P-1} E \int_{nh}^{(n+1)h} [2|f(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s)) \\
& \quad - f(0, 0), \bar{r}(s)|^2 \\
& \quad + 2|f(0, 0), \bar{r}(s)|^2]^{P/2} ds \\
& \leq h^{P-1} E \int_{nh}^{(n+1)h} [2K_d (|Z_1(s)|^2 + |Z_2(s)|^2)]^{P/2} ds \\
& \leq h^{P-1} 2^{P-1} K_d^{P/2} E \int_{nh}^{(n+1)h} (|Z_1(s)|^P + |Z_2(s)|^P) ds. \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

By the definition of τ_d , we have $|Y(t)| < d$, $t \in [0, \tau_d \wedge T]$. so we get that $|Z_1(t)|^P < d^P$, $|Z_2(t)|^P < d^P$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left(\sup_{nh \leq t \leq (n+1)h} \left| \int_{nh}^t f(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s)) ds \right|^P \right) \\
& \leq 2^P d^P K_d^{P/2} h^P. \quad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

By using the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality and Assumptions 2 and 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left(\sup_{nh \leq t \leq (n+1)h} \left| \int_{nh}^t g(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s)) dW(s) \right|^P \right) \\
& \leq C_P E \left[\int_{nh}^{(n+1)h} |g(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s))|^2 ds \right]^{P/2} \\
& \leq C_P h^{(P/2)-1} E \left[\int_{nh}^{(n+1)h} |g(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s))|^P ds \right] \\
& \leq C_P 2^P d^P K_d^{P/2} h^{P/2}, \\
& E \left(\sup_{nh \leq t \leq (n+1)h} \left| \int_{nh}^t \int_{R^n} h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u) \tilde{N}(ds, du) \right|^P \right) \\
& \leq C_P E \left[\int_{nh}^{(n+1)h} \int_{R^n} |h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u)|^2 \pi(du) ds \right]^{P/2} \\
& \leq C_P h^{(P/2)-1} E \\
& \quad \times \int_{nh}^{(n+1)h} \left[\int_{R^n} |h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u)|^2 \pi(du) \right]^{P/2} ds \\
& \leq C_P h^{(P/2)-1} E \\
& \quad \times \int_{nh}^{(n+1)h} \left\{ \int_{R^n} [2|h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - h(0, 0, \bar{r}(s), u)|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + |h(0, 0, \bar{r}(s), u)|^2] \pi(du) \right\}^{P/2} ds \\
& \leq C_P h^{(P/2)-1} E \int_{nh}^{(n+1)h} [2K_d (|Z_1(s)|^2 + |Z_2(s)|^2)]^{P/2} ds \\
& \leq C_P 2^P d^P K_d^{P/2} h^{P/2}. \quad (24)
\end{aligned}$$

Combing (22)–(24) together, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E|Y(t) - Z_1(t)|^P \\
& \leq 3^{P-1} [2^P d^P K_d^{P/2} h^P + 2C_P 2^P d^P K_d^{P/2} h^{P/2}], \quad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

$$E \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_d} |Y(s) - Z_1(s)|^P ds \leq C_1(d) h^{P/2} T,$$

where $C_1(d) = 3^{P-1} [2^P d^P K_d^{P/2} + 2C_P 2^P d^P K_d^{P/2}]$. The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 6. Under Assumptions 2 and 3, for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $P \geq 2$, then

$$E \int_0^t |Y(q(s \wedge \tau_d)) - Z_2(s \wedge \tau_d)|^P ds \leq C_2(d) h^{P/2} T, \quad (26)$$

where $C_2(d)$ is a positive constant independent of the stepsize h .

The proof of this lemma is similar to that of Lemma 5.

Lemma 7. Under Assumptions 2 and 3, for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $P \geq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} E \int_0^t & |f(Z_1(s \wedge \tau_d), Z_2(s \wedge \tau_d), r(s \wedge \tau_d)) \\ & - f(Z_1(s \wedge \tau_d), Z_2(s \wedge \tau_d), \bar{r}(s \wedge \tau_d))|^P ds \\ & \vee E \int_0^t |g(Z_1(s \wedge \tau_d), Z_2(s \wedge \tau_d), r(s \wedge \tau_d)) \\ & - g(Z_1(s \wedge \tau_d), Z_2(s \wedge \tau_d), \bar{r}(s \wedge \tau_d))|^P ds \\ & \vee E \int_0^t \int_{R^n} |h(Z_1(s \wedge \tau_d), Z_2(s \wedge \tau_d), r(s \wedge \tau_d), u) \\ & - h(Z_1(s \wedge \tau_d), Z_2(s \wedge \tau_d), \bar{r}(s \wedge \tau_d), u)|^P \\ & \times \pi(du) ds \leq C_3(d) [h^{P/2} + o(h^{P/2})], \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where $C_3(d)$ is a positive constant independent of the stepsize h .

The proof of this lemma is similar to that of [16, 24].

Proof of Theorem 4. Combining (4) and (14), one has

$$\begin{aligned} X(t) - Y(t) &= \int_0^t [f(X(s), X(qs), r(s)) \\ & - f(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s))] ds \\ &+ \int_0^t [g(X(s), X(qs), r(s)) \\ & - g(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s))] dW(s) \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{R^n} [h(X(s), X(qs), r(s), u) \\ & - h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u)] \tilde{N}(ds, du). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Then applying the generalized Itô's formula, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} & |X(t) - Y(t)|^2 \\ &= 2 \int_0^t |X(s) - Y(s)| \\ & \quad \times |f(X(s), X(qs), r(s)) \\ & \quad - f(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s))| ds \\ &+ \int_0^t |g(X(s), X(qs), r(s)) - g(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s))|^2 ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{R^n} |h(X(s), X(qs), r(s), u) \\ & \quad - h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u)|^2 \pi(du) ds \\ &+ 2 \int_0^t |X(s) - Y(s)| \\ & \quad \times |g(X(s), X(qs), r(s)) \\ & \quad - g(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s))| dW(s) \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{R^n} [2 |X(s) - Y(s)| \\ & \quad \times |h(X(s), X(qs), r(s), u) \\ & \quad - h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u)|] \tilde{N}(ds, du) \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{R^n} |h(X(s), X(qs), r(s), u) \\ & \quad - h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u)|^2 \tilde{N}(ds, du). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Hence, for any $t \in [0, T]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T \wedge \tau_d} & |X(t) - Y(t)|^2 \\ & \leq E \int_0^{T \wedge \tau_d} |X(s) - Y(s)|^2 ds \\ & + E \int_0^{\tau_d \wedge T} |f(X(s), X(qs), r(s)) \\ & \quad - f(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s))|^2 ds \\ & + E \int_0^{\tau_d \wedge T} |g(X(s), X(qs), r(s)) \\ & \quad - g(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s))|^2 ds \\ & + E \int_0^{\tau_d \wedge T} \int_{R^n} |h(X(s), X(qs), r(s), u) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u))^2 \pi(du) ds \\
& + 2E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau_d \wedge T} \int_0^t |X(s \wedge \tau_d) - Y(s \wedge \tau_d)| \\
& \quad \times |g(X(s \wedge \tau_d), X(q \wedge \tau_d), r(s)) \\
& \quad - g(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s))| dW(s) \\
& + E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau_d \wedge T} \int_0^t \int_{R^n} [2|X(s) - Y(s)| \\
& \quad \times |h(X(s), X(qs), r(s), u) \\
& \quad - h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u))|] \\
& \quad \times \tilde{N}(ds, du) \\
& + E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau_d \wedge T} \int_0^t \int_{R^n} |h(X(s), X(qs), r(s), u) \\
& \quad - h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u))|^2 \\
& \quad \times \tilde{N}(ds, du).
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

By Assumption 3 and Lemmas 5–7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \int_0^{\tau_d \wedge T} |f(X(s), X(qs), r(s)) \\
& \quad - f(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s))|^2 ds \\
& \leq 2E \int_0^{\tau_d \wedge T} |f(X(s), X(qs), r(s)) \\
& \quad - f(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), r(s))|^2 ds \\
& \quad + 2E \int_0^{\tau_d \wedge T} |f(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), r(s)) \\
& \quad - f(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s))|^2 ds \\
& \leq 2K_d E \int_0^{\tau_d \wedge T} (|X(s) - Z_1(s)|^2 \\
& \quad + |X(qs) - Z_2(s)|^2) ds + 2C_3(d)h \\
& \leq 2K_d E \\
& \quad \times \int_0^{\tau_d \wedge T} (2|X(s) - Y(s)|^2 + |Y(s) - Z_1(s)|^2) \\
& \quad + (2|X(qs) - Y(qs)|^2 + 2|Y(qs) - Z_2(s)|^2) ds \\
& \quad + 2C_3(d)[h + \circ(h)] \\
& \leq 4K_d [C_1(d) + C_2(d)] hT + 2C_3(d)[h + \circ(h)] \\
& \quad + 8K_d \int_0^{\tau_d \wedge T} E \sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} |X(u) - Y(u)|^2 ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Similarly, by Assumption 3 and Lemmas 5–7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \int_0^{\tau_d \wedge T} |g(X(s), X(qs), r(s)) \\
& \quad - g(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s))|^2 ds \\
& \leq 4K_d [C_1(d) + C_2(d)] hT + 2C_3(d)[h + \circ(h)] \\
& \quad + 8K_d \int_0^{\tau_d \wedge T} E \sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} |X(u) - Y(u)|^2 ds, \\
& E \int_0^{\tau_d \wedge T} \int_{R^n} |h(X(s), X(qs), r(s), u) \\
& \quad - h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u))|^2 \pi(du) ds \\
& \leq 4K_d [C_1(d) + C_2(d)] hT + 2C_3(d)[h + \circ(h)] \\
& \quad + 8K_d \int_0^{\tau_d \wedge T} E \sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} |X(u) - Y(u)|^2 ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

On the other hand, by the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, Young's inequality, and Lemmas 5–7, we have for any $\varepsilon_1 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau_d \wedge T} \int_0^t |X(s) - Y(s)| \\
& \quad \times |g(X(s), X(qs), r(s)) \\
& \quad - g(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s))| dW(s) \\
& \leq 3E \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau_d \wedge T} |X(t) - Y(t)| \right. \\
& \quad \times \left(\int_0^{\tau_d \wedge T} |g(X(t), X(qt), r(t)) \\
& \quad - g(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \bar{r}(t))|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq 3 \left[\varepsilon_1 E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau_d \wedge T} |X(t) - Y(t)|^2 \right]^{1/2} \\
& \quad \times \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1} E \left(\int_0^{\tau_d \wedge T} |g(X(t), X(qt), r(t)) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - g(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \bar{r}(t))|^2 dt \right) \right]^{1/2} \\
& \leq 6\varepsilon_1 E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau_d \wedge T} |X(t) - Y(t)|^2 \\
& \quad + \frac{6}{\varepsilon_1} E \int_0^{\tau_d \wedge T} |g(X(t), X(qt), r(t)) \\
& \quad - g(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \bar{r}(t))|^2 dt
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq 6\varepsilon_1 E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau_d \wedge T} |X(t) - Y(t)|^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{24}{\varepsilon_1} K_d [C_1(d) + C_2(d)] hT \\
 &\quad + \frac{12}{\varepsilon_1} C_3(d) [h + \circ(h)] \\
 &\quad + \frac{48}{\varepsilon_1} K_d \int_0^{\tau_d \wedge T} E \sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} |X(u) - Y(u)|^2 ds.
 \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

We have for any $\varepsilon_2 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau_d \wedge T} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [2|X(s) - Y(s)| |h(X(s), X(qs), r(s), u) \\
 &\quad - h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u))|] \tilde{N}(ds, du) \\
 &\leq CE \left(\sum_{t \in D_p, t \leq \tau \wedge T} |X(t) - Y(t)|^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \times |h(X(t), X(qt), r(t), p_t) \\
 &\quad \left. - h(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \bar{r}(t), p_t)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\leq CE \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau_d \wedge T} |X(t) - Y(t)| E \\
 &\quad \times \left(\sum_{t \in D_p, t \leq \tau_d \wedge T} |h(X(t), X(qt), r(t), p_t) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - h(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \bar{r}(t), p_t)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\leq CE \left[\varepsilon_2 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau_d \wedge T} |X(t) - Y(t)|^2 \right]^{1/2} \\
 &\quad \times E \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_2} \sum_{t \in D_p, t \leq \tau_d \wedge T} |h(X(t), X(qt), r(t), p_t) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - h(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \bar{r}(t), p_t)|^2 \right]^{1/2} \\
 &\leq C\varepsilon_2 E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau_d \wedge T} |X(t) - Y(t)|^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{C}{\varepsilon_2} E \left(\sum_{t \in D_p, t \leq \tau_d \wedge T} |h(X(t), X(qt), r(t), p_t) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - h(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \bar{r}(t), p_t)|^2 \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq C\varepsilon_2 E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau_d \wedge T} |X(t) - Y(t)|^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{C}{\varepsilon_2} E \int_0^{\tau_d \wedge T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h(X(t), X(qt), r(t), u) \\
 &\quad - h(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \bar{r}(t), u)|^2 \pi(du) dt \\
 &\leq C\varepsilon_2 E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau_d \wedge T} |X(t) - Y(t)|^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{4C}{\varepsilon_2} K_d [C_1(d) + C_2(d)] hT + \frac{2C}{\varepsilon_2} C_3(d) [h + \circ(h)] \\
 &\quad + \frac{8C}{\varepsilon_2} K_d \int_0^{\tau_d \wedge T} E \sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} |X(u) - Y(u)|^2 ds,
 \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where C is some constant that may change from line to line. Similar, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau_d \wedge T} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h(X(s), X(qs), r(s), u) \\
 &\quad - h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u))|^2 \tilde{N}(ds, du) \\
 &\leq CE \left(\sum_{t \in D_p, t \leq \tau_d \wedge T} |h(X(t), X(qt), r(t), p_t) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - h(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \bar{r}(t), p_t)|^4 \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\leq CE \left(\sum_{s \in D_p, s \leq \tau_d \wedge T} |h(X(t), X(qt), r(t), p_t) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - h(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \bar{r}(t), p_t)|^2 \right) \\
 &\leq CE \int_0^{\tau_d \wedge T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h(X(t), X(qt), r(t), u) \\
 &\quad - h(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \bar{r}(t), u)|^2 \pi(du) dt \\
 &\leq 4CK_d [C_1(d) + C_2(d)] hT + 2CC_3(d) [h + \circ(h)] \\
 &\quad + 8CK_d \int_0^{\tau_d \wedge T} E \sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} |X(u) - Y(u)|^2 ds.
 \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Substituting (31)–(36) into (30), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau_d \wedge T} |X(t) - Y(t)|^2 \right] \\
& \leq (6\varepsilon_1 + C\varepsilon_2) E \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau_d \wedge T} |X(t) - Y(t)|^2 \right] \\
& \quad + \left(10 + \frac{24}{\varepsilon_1} + 2C \frac{1 + \varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_2} \right) K_d [C_1(d) + C_2(d)] Th \\
& \quad + \left(4 + \frac{12}{\varepsilon_1} \right) C_3(d) [h + o(h)] \\
& \quad + \left[1 + \left(20 + \frac{48}{\varepsilon_1} + 4C \frac{1 + \varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_2} \right) K_d \right] \\
& \quad \times \int_0^T E \sup_{0 \leq u \leq \tau \wedge s} |X(u) - Y(u)|^2 ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

By choosing $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ sufficiently small and letting $6\varepsilon_1 + C\varepsilon_2 = 2/3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau \wedge T} |X(t) - Y(t)|^2 \right] \\
& \leq 3 \left(10 + \frac{24}{\varepsilon_1} + 2C \frac{1 + \varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_2} \right) K_d [C_1(d) + C_2(d)] Th \\
& \quad + 3 \left(4 + \frac{12}{\varepsilon_1} \right) C_3(d) [h + o(h)] \\
& \quad + 3 \left[1 + \left(20 + \frac{48}{\varepsilon_1} + 4C \frac{1 + \varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_2} \right) K_d \right] \\
& \quad \times \int_0^T E \sup_{0 \leq u \leq \tau \wedge s} |X(u) - Y(u)|^2 ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Therefore, we apply Gronwall's inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau \wedge T} |X(t) - Y(t)|^2 \right] \\
& \leq \left\{ 3 \left(10 + \frac{24}{\varepsilon_1} + 2C \frac{1 + \varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_2} \right) K_d [C_1(d) + C_2(d)] Th \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 3 \left(4 + \frac{12}{\varepsilon_1} \right) C_3(d) [h + o(h)] \right\} e^{3[1 + (20 + (48/\varepsilon_1) + 4C((1 + \varepsilon_2)/\varepsilon_2))K_d]}.
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 8. Under the local Lipschitz condition, Theorem 4 not only tells us the strong convergence of the approximate solutions to the true solutions but also tells us the rate of the convergence with order 1/2 by (39).

Remark 9. When $r(t) \equiv 0$ or $h \equiv 0$, (4) reduces to which was studied by Fan et al. [14], Xiao and Zhang [15], and Milošević and Jovanović [16]. Our results in the present paper generalized and improved the results in [14–16].

4. Convergence of Numerical Solutions in Probability

In this section, by applying Theorem 4, we will show the convergence in probability of the approximate solutions to the true solutions under local Lipschitz condition. Before we give the convergence theorem, we need some additional conditions based on Lyapunov-type functions.

Assumption 10. For $x \in R^n$ and $i \in S$, there exist a positive function $V \in C^2(R^n \times S; R^+)$, $K > 0$, and two constants $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \geq 0$ such that

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x, i) = \infty, \tag{40}$$

$$LV(x, y, i) \leq K [1 - \lambda_1 V(x, i) + \lambda_2 V(y, i)]. \tag{41}$$

Assumption 11. There exists a positive constant L_d such that, for all $x, y \in R^n$ and $i \in S$ with $|x| \vee |y| \leq d$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |V(x, i) - V(y, i)| \vee |V_x(x, i) - V_x(y, i)| \\
& \vee |V_{xx}(x, i) - V_{xx}(y, i)| \leq L_d |x - y|.
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Now, let us state our convergence theorem.

Theorem 12. Let the assumptions of Theorem 4 hold. Also assume that there exists a C^2 function $V : R^n \times S \rightarrow R_+$ satisfying (40)–(42). Then the Euler approximate solutions converges to the true solutions of (4) in the sense of the probability. That is,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y(t) - X(t)|^2 = 0, \quad \text{in probability.} \tag{43}$$

Proof. The proof is rather technical, and we divide it into three steps.

Step 1. We assume the existence of the nonnegative Lyapunov function $V(x, i)$ satisfying (40). Applying the Itô's formula, $V(X(t), r(t))$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& dV(X(t), r(t)) \\
& = LV(X(t), X(qt), r(t)) dt \\
& \quad + V_x(X(t), r(t)) g(X(t), X(qt), r(t)) dW(t) \\
& \quad + \int_{R^n} [V(X(t) + h(X(t), X(qt), r(t), u), r(t)) \\
& \quad - V(X(t), r(t))] \tilde{N}(dt, du).
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Integrating from 0 to $t \wedge \theta_d$ and taking expectations gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& EV(X(t \wedge \theta_d), r(t \wedge \theta_d)) \\
& = V(X_0, r_0) + E \int_0^{t \wedge \theta_d} LV(X(s), X(qs), r(s)) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

By (41), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & EV(X(t \wedge \theta_d), r(t \wedge \theta_d)) \\ & \leq V(X_0, r_0) + KE \int_0^{t \wedge \theta_d} [1 - \lambda_1 V(X(s), r(s)) \\ & \quad + \lambda_2 V(X(qs), r(s))] ds \quad (46) \\ & \leq V(X_0, r_0) + KT \\ & \quad + K(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \int_0^{t \wedge \theta} \sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} EV(X(u), r(u)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any $t_1 \in [0, T]$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_1} EV(X(t \wedge \theta_d), r(t \wedge \theta_d)) \\ & \leq V(X_0, r_0) + KT \\ & \quad + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) K \int_0^{t_1} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq s} EV(X(t \wedge \theta_d), r(t \wedge \theta_d)) ds. \quad (47) \end{aligned}$$

Using the Gronwall inequality, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} EV(x(t \wedge \theta_d), r(t \wedge \theta_d)) \\ & \leq [V(X_0, r_0) + KT] e^{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)KT}. \quad (48) \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{V}_d = \inf\{V(x, i) : |x| \geq d\}$. By (40), we have $\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{V}_d = \infty$. Noting that $|X(\theta_d)| = d$, as $\theta_d < T$, we derive from (48) that

$$\begin{aligned} & [V(X_0, r_0) + KT] e^{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)KT} \\ & \geq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} EV(x(t \wedge \theta_d), r(t \wedge \theta_d)) \\ & \geq E[V(X(\theta_d), r(\theta_d)) I_{\{\theta_d < T\}}(w)] \\ & \geq \mathcal{V}_d P(\theta_d < T). \quad (49) \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$P(\theta_d < T) \leq \frac{[V(X_0, r_0) + KT] e^{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)KT}}{\mathcal{V}_d}. \quad (50)$$

Recall that $\mathcal{V}_d \rightarrow \infty$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$. For a given T , X_0 , and $r(0)$, it follows that

$$\frac{[V(X_0, r_0) + KT] e^{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)KT}}{\mathcal{V}_d} \rightarrow 0, \quad (51)$$

as $d \rightarrow \infty$. Let

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \frac{[V(X_0, r_0) + KT] e^{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)KT}}{\mathcal{V}_d} \in (0, 1). \quad (52)$$

Thus we have

$$P(\theta_d < T) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}. \quad (53)$$

Step 2. We will give the estimate of $P(\rho_d < T)$. By (14), applying the Itô's formula to $V(Y(t), r(t))$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} & dV(Y(t), r(t)) \\ & = V_x(Y(t), r(t)) f(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \bar{r}(t)) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}[g^\top(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \bar{r}(t)) \\ & \quad \times V_{xx}(Y(t), r(t)) g(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \bar{r}(t))] dt \\ & \quad + V_x(Y(t), r(t)) g(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \bar{r}(t)) dW(t) \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [V(Y(t) + h(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \bar{r}(t), u), r(t)) \\ & \quad - V(Y(t), r(t)) - V_x(Y(t), r(t)) \\ & \quad \times h(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \bar{r}(t), u)] \Pi(du) dt \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [V(Y(t) + h(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \bar{r}(t), u), r(t)) \\ & \quad - V(Y(t), r(t))] \tilde{N}(dt, du) \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_{r(t)j} V(Y(t), j) dt. \quad (54) \end{aligned}$$

By (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & dV(Y(t), r(t)) \\ & = LV(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \bar{r}(t)) dt \\ & \quad + [V_x(Y(t), r(t)) - V_x(Z_1(t), \bar{r}(t))] \\ & \quad \times f(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \bar{r}(t)) dt \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}[g^\top(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \bar{r}(t)) \\ & \quad \times [V_{xx}(Y(t), r(t)) - V_{xx}(Z_1(t), \bar{r}(t))] \\ & \quad \times g(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \bar{r}(t))] dt \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \{[V(Y(t) + h(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \bar{r}(t), u), r(t)) \\ & \quad - V(Z_1(t) + h(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \bar{r}(t), u), \bar{r}(t))] \\ & \quad - [V(Y(t), r(t)) - V(Z_1(t), \bar{r}(t))] \\ & \quad - [V_x(Y(t), r(t)) - V_x(Z_1(t), \bar{r}(t))] \\ & \quad \times h(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \bar{r}(t), u)] \Pi(du) dt \\ & \quad + V_x(Y(t), r(t)) g(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \bar{r}(t)) dW(t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [V(Y(t) + h(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \bar{r}(t), u), r(t)) \\
& \quad - V(Y(t), r(t))] \bar{N}(dt, du) \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_{r(t)j} V(Y(t), j) dt - \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_{\bar{r}(t)j} V(Z_1(t), j) dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Integrating from 0 to $\rho_d \wedge t$, taking expectations, and by (41), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& EV(Y(t \wedge \rho_d), r(t \wedge \rho_d)) \\
& \leq V(X_0, r_0) + KT + \lambda_1 KE \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} V(Y(s), r(s)) ds \\
& \quad + \lambda_2 KE \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} V(Y(qs), r(s)) ds \\
& \quad - \lambda_1 KE \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} [V(Z_1(s), \bar{r}(s)) + V(Y(s), r(s))] ds \\
& \quad + \mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2 + \mathcal{J}_3 + \mathcal{J}_4 + \mathcal{J}_5,
\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_1 &= \lambda_2 KE \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} |V(Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s)) - V(Y(qs), r(s))| ds, \\
\mathcal{J}_2 &= E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} |V_x(Y(s), r(s)) - V_x(Z_1(s), \bar{r}(s))| \\
& \quad \times f(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s)) ds, \\
\mathcal{J}_3 &= \frac{1}{2} E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} |V_{xx}(Y(s), r(s)) - V_{xx}(Z_1(s), \bar{r}(s))| \\
& \quad \times |g(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s))|^2 ds, \\
\mathcal{J}_4 &= E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [|V(Y(s) + h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \\
& \quad \bar{r}(s), u), r(s)) \\
& \quad - V(Z_1(s) \\
& \quad + h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u), \bar{r}(s))| \\
& \quad + |V(Y(s), r(s)) - V(Z_1(s), \bar{r}(s))| \\
& \quad + |V_x(Y(s), r(s)) - V_x(Z_1(s), \bar{r}(s))| \\
& \quad \times |h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u)|] \pi(du) ds, \\
\mathcal{J}_5 &= E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} \sum_{j=1}^N |\gamma_{r(s)j} V(Y(s), j)| ds \\
& \quad - E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} \sum_{j=1}^N |\gamma_{\bar{r}(s)j} V(Z_1(s), j)| ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

By (42) and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_1 &\leq \lambda_2 KE \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} |V(Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s)) - V(Y(qs), \bar{r}(s))| ds \\
&\quad + \lambda_2 KE \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} |V(Y(qs), \bar{r}(s)) - V(Y(qs), r(s))| ds \\
&\leq \lambda_2 KL_d E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} |Z_2(s) - Y(qs)| ds \\
&\quad + \lambda_2 KE \int_0^T |V(Y(qs), \bar{r}(s)) - V(Y(qs), r(s))| ds \\
&\leq \lambda_2 KL_d \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} (E|Z_2(s) - Y(qs)|^2)^{1/2} ds + \lambda_2 \mathcal{J}.
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

Let $N = [T/h]$, the integer part of T/h , and let I_G be the indicator function of the set G . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J} &\leq K \sum_{n=0}^N E \int_{nh}^{(n+1)h} |V(Y_{[qn]}, r_n^h) - V(Y_{[qn]}, r(s))| ds \\
&\leq K \sum_{n=0}^N E \int_{nh}^{(n+1)h} |V(Y_{[qn]}, r_n^h)| \\
&\quad + |f(Y_{[qn]}, r(s))| I_{\{r(s) \neq r_n^h\}} ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

By setting $V_1 = \sup_{|x| \leq R, i \in S} V(x, i)$ and using the Markov property, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J} &\leq 2KV_1 \sum_{n=0}^N E \int_{nh}^{(n+1)h} I_{\{r(s) \neq r_n^h\}} ds \\
&= 2KV_1 \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{nh}^{(n+1)h} E[E[I_{\{r(s) \neq r_n^h\}}] | r_n^h] ds \\
&= 2KV_1 \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{nh}^{(n+1)h} E \left[\sum_{i \in S} I_{\{r_n^h = i\}} P(r(s) \neq i | r_n^h = i) \right] ds \\
&= 2KV_1 \\
&\quad \times \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{nh}^{(n+1)h} E \left[\sum_{i \in S} I_{\{r_n^h = i\}} \sum_{j \neq i} (\gamma_{ij}(s - nh) + o(s - nh)) \right] \\
&\quad \times ds \\
&\leq 2KV_1 \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{nh}^{(n+1)h} E \left[\left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} (-\gamma_{ii}) h + o(h) \right) \sum_{i \in S} I_{\{r_n^h = i\}} \right] ds \\
&= 2KV_1 \left[\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} (-\gamma_{ii}) h + o(h) \right] T.
\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

Inserting (60) into (58), we have, by Lemma 5,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_1 &\leq \lambda_2 K L_d \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} \left(E |Z_2(s) - Y(qs)|^2 \right)^{1/2} ds \\ &\quad + 2\lambda_2 K V_1 \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} (-\gamma_{ii}) h + \circ(h) T \\ &\leq \lambda_2 K L_d \sqrt{C_2(d) T h^{1/2}} + 2\lambda_2 K V_1 T \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} (-\gamma_{ii}) [h + \circ(h)]. \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

Similarly, by Assumptions 2, 3, and 11, Lemma 5, and Markov property, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_2 &\leq E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} |V_x(Y(s), r(s)) - V_x(Z_1(s), r(s))| \\ &\quad \times |f(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s))| ds \\ &\quad + E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} |V_x(Z_1(s), r(s)) - V_x(Z_1(s), \bar{r}(s))| \\ &\quad \times |f(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s))| ds \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{K_d} d E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} |V_x(Y(s), r(s)) - V_x(Z_1(s), r(s))| ds \\ &\quad + 2\sqrt{K_d} d E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} |V_x(Z_1(s), r(s)) \\ &\quad \quad - V_x(Z_1(s), \bar{r}(s))| ds \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{K_d} d L_d E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} |Y(s) - Z_1(s)| ds \\ &\quad + 2d\sqrt{K_d} E \int_0^T |V_x(Z_1(s), r(s)) - V_x(Z_1(s), \bar{r}(s))| ds \\ &\leq 2d\sqrt{K_d} L_d \sqrt{C_1(d) T h^{1/2}} \\ &\quad + 4\sqrt{K_d} d V_2 T \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} (-\gamma_{ii}) [h + \circ(h)], \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

where $V_2 = \sup_{|x| \leq R, i \in S} V_x(x, i)$. For the term \mathcal{J}_3 in (56), by Assumptions 2, 3, and 11 and Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_3 &\leq \frac{1}{2} E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} |V_{xx}(Y(s), r(s)) - V_{xx}(Z_1(s), r(s))| \\ &\quad \times |g(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s))|^2 ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} |V_{xx}(Z_1(s), r(s)) - V_{xx}(Z_1(s), \bar{r}(s))| \\ &\quad \times |g(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s))|^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq 2d^2 K_d E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} |V_{xx}(Y(s), r(s)) - V_{xx}(Z_1(s), r(s))| ds \\ &\quad + 2d^2 K_d E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} |V_{xx}(Z_1(s), r(s)) \\ &\quad \quad - V_{xx}(Z_1(s), \bar{r}(s))| ds \\ &\leq 2d^2 K_d L_d E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} |Y(s) - Z_1(s)| ds \\ &\quad + 2d^2 K_d E \int_0^T |V_{xx}(Z_1(s), r(s)) \\ &\quad \quad - V_{xx}(Z_1(s), \bar{r}(s))| ds \\ &\leq 2d^2 K_d L_d \sqrt{C_1(d) T h^{1/2}} \\ &\quad + 4d^2 K_d V_3 T \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} (-\gamma_{ii}) [h + \circ(h)], \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

where $V_3 = \sup_{|x| \leq R, i \in S} V_{xx}(x, i)$. For the term \mathcal{J}_4 in (56), by Assumptions 2, 3, and 11 and Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_4 &\leq E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} \int_{R^n} |V(Y(s) \\ &\quad \quad + h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u), r(s)) \\ &\quad \quad - V(Z_1(s) + h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u), \\ &\quad \quad \quad r(s))| \pi(du) ds \\ &\quad + E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} \int_{R^n} |V(Z_1(s) \\ &\quad \quad \quad + h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u), r(s)) \\ &\quad \quad \quad - V(Z_1(s) \\ &\quad \quad \quad \quad + h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u), \bar{r}(s))| \\ &\quad \quad \quad \times \pi(du) ds \\ &\quad + E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} \int_{R^n} |V(Y(s), r(s)) - V(Z_1(s), r(s))| \\ &\quad \quad \times \pi(du) ds \\ &\quad + E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} \int_{R^n} |V(Z_1(s), r(s)) - V(Z_1(s), \bar{r}(s))| \\ &\quad \quad \times \pi(du) ds \\ &\quad + E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} \int_{R^n} |V_x(Y(s), r(s)) - V_x(Z_1(s), r(s))| \\ &\quad \quad \times |h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u)| \pi(du) ds \\ &\quad + E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} \int_{R^n} |V_x(Z_1(s), r(s)) - V_x(Z_1(s), \bar{r}(s))| \\ &\quad \quad \times |h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u)| \pi(du) ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2L_d E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} \int_{R^n} |Y(s) - Z_1(s)| \pi(du) ds \\
&\quad + 2d \sqrt{K_d \int_{R^n} \pi(du)} \\
&\quad \times E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} |V_x(Y(s), r(s)) - V_x(Z_1(s), r(s))| ds \\
&\quad + \int_{R^n} \pi(du) E \\
&\quad \times \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} |V(Z_1(s), r(s)) - V(Z_1(s), \bar{r}(s))| ds \\
&\quad + 2d \sqrt{K_d \int_{R^n} \pi(du)} \\
&\quad \times E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} |V_x(Z_1(s), r(s)) - V_x(Z_1(s), \bar{r}(s))| ds \\
&\quad + E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} \int_{R^n} |V(Z_1(s) \\
&\quad \quad + h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u), r(s)) \\
&\quad \quad - V(Z_1(s) \\
&\quad \quad + h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u), \bar{r}(s))| \\
&\quad \quad \times \pi(du) ds \\
&\leq 2 \left[\int_{R^n} \pi(du) + 2d \sqrt{K_d \int_{R^n} \pi(du)} \right] \\
&\quad \times \sqrt{C_1(d)} L_d T h^{1/2} \\
&\quad + 2 \left[\int_{R^n} \pi(du) V_1 + 2d \sqrt{K_d \int_{R^n} \pi(du)} V_2 \right] \\
&\quad \times T \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} (-\gamma_{ii}) [h + \circ(h)] + \mathcal{L},
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} &\leq E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} \int_{R^n} |V(Z_1(s) + h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u), r(s)) \\
&\quad - V(Z_1(s), \bar{r}(s))| \pi(du) ds \\
&\quad + E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} \int_{R^n} |V(Z_1(s), r(s)) \\
&\quad \quad - V(Z_1(s), \bar{r}(s))| \pi(du) ds \\
&\quad + E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} \int_{R^n} |V(Z_1(s), \bar{r}(s)) \\
&\quad \quad - V(Z_1(s) + h(Z_1(s), Z_2(s), \bar{r}(s), u), \\
&\quad \quad \bar{r}(s))| \pi(du) ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 4dL_d \sqrt{K_d \int_{R^n} \pi(du)} T \\
&\quad + 2V_1 T \int_{R^n} \pi(du) \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} (-\gamma_{ii}) [h + \circ(h)].
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

Inserting (65) into (64), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_4 &\leq 2 \left[\int_{R^n} \pi(du) + 2d \sqrt{K_d \int_{R^n} \pi(du)} \right] \sqrt{C_1(d)} L_d T h^{1/2} \\
&\quad + 4dL_d \sqrt{K_d \int_{R^n} \pi(du)} T \\
&\quad + 4 \left[\int_{R^n} \pi(du) V_1 + d \sqrt{K_d \int_{R^n} \pi(du)} V_2 \right] \\
&\quad \times T \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} (-\gamma_{ii}) [h + \circ(h)].
\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

For the term \mathcal{J}_5 , by Assumption 11, equation (56), and Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_5 &\leq E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} \sum_{j=1}^N |\gamma_{r(s)j}| |V(Y(s), j) - V(Z_1(s), j)| ds \\
&\quad + E \int_0^{\rho_d \wedge t} \sum_{j=1}^N |\gamma_{r(s)j} - \gamma_{\bar{r}(s)j}| |V(Z_1(s), j)| ds \\
&\leq N \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} (-\gamma_{ii}) L_d \sqrt{C_1(d)} T h^{1/2} + 2V_1 N T \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} (-\gamma_{ii}).
\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

Combing these inequalities and (53), we derive that, for $t_1 \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_1} EV(Y(t \wedge \rho_d), r(t \wedge \rho_d)) \\
&\leq V(X_0, r_0) \\
&\quad + \left[K + 4dL_d \sqrt{K_d \int_{R^n} \pi(du)} + 2V_1 N \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} (-\gamma_{ii}) \right] T \\
&\quad + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) K \\
&\quad \times \int_0^{t_1} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq s} EV(Y(t \wedge \rho_d)) ds + M_1 h^{1/2} + M_2 [h + \circ(h)],
\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_1 &= L_d \sqrt{C_1(d)} T \left[2d \sqrt{K_d} + 2d^2 K_d + 2 \int_{R^n} \pi(du) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 4d \sqrt{K_d \int_{R^n} \pi(du) + N \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} (-\gamma_{ii})} \right] \\
 &\quad + \lambda_2 K L_d \sqrt{C_2(d)} T, \\
 M_2 &= \left[\left(2\lambda_2 K + 4 \int_{R^n} \pi(du) \right) V_1 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left(4d \sqrt{K_d} + 4d \sqrt{K_d \int_{R^n} \pi(du)} \right) V_2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 4d^2 K_d V_3 \right] T \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} (-\gamma_{ii}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

For arbitrary $0 \leq t_1 \leq T$, by the Gronwall inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} EV(Y(t \wedge \rho_d), r(t \wedge \rho_d)) \\
 &\leq \left\{ V(X_0, r_0) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left[K + 4dL_d \sqrt{K_d \int_{R^n} \pi(du) + 2V_1 N \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} (-\gamma_{ii})} \right] T \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + M_1 h^{1/2} + M_2 [h + \circ(h)] \right\} e^{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)KT}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

Noting that $|Y(\rho_d)| = d$, as $\rho_d < T$, we derive from (70) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left\{ V(X_0, r_0) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left[K + 4dL_d \sqrt{K_d \int_{R^n} \pi(du) + 2V_1 N \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} (-\gamma_{ii})} \right] T \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + M_1 h^{1/2} + M_2 [h + \circ(h)] \right\} e^{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)KT} \\
 &\geq EV(Y(t \wedge \rho_d), r(t \wedge \rho_d)) \\
 &\geq E[V(Y(\rho_d), r(\rho_d)) I_{\{\rho_d < T\}}(w)] \\
 &\geq \mathcal{V}_d P(\rho_d < T).
 \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &P(\rho_d < T) \\
 &\leq \frac{\left\{ V(X_0, r_0) + \left[K + 4dL_d \sqrt{K_d \int_{R^n} \pi(du)} \right] T \right\} e^{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)KT}}{\mathcal{V}_d} \\
 &\quad + \frac{\left\{ [2V_1 N \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} (-\gamma_{ii})] T \right\} e^{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)KT}}{\mathcal{V}_d} \\
 &\quad + \frac{\left\{ M_1 h^{1/2} + M_2 [h + \circ(h)] \right\} e^{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)KT}}{\mathcal{V}_d}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

Step 3. Let $\epsilon, \delta \in (0, 1)$ be arbitrarily small. By setting

$$\bar{\Omega} = \left\{ w : \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y(t) - X(t)|^2 \geq \delta \right\} \tag{73}$$

and using Theorem 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &C_d [h + \circ(h)] \\
 &\geq E \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y(t) - X(t)|^2 I_{\tau_d \geq T}(w) \right] \\
 &\geq E \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y(t) - X(t)|^2 I_{\tau_d \geq T}(w) I_{\bar{\Omega}}(w) \right] \\
 &\geq \delta E [I_{\tau_d \geq T}(w) I_{\bar{\Omega}}(w)] = \delta P(\{\tau_d \geq T\} \cap \bar{\Omega}) \\
 &\geq \delta [P(\bar{\Omega}) - P(\tau_d < T)].
 \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

Combing (53) and (72) together, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
 &P(\tau_d < T) \\
 &\leq P(\rho_d < T) + P(\theta_d < T) \\
 &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{3} \\
 &\quad + \frac{\left\{ V(X_0, r_0) + \left[K + 4dL_d \sqrt{K_d \int_{R^n} \pi(du)} \right] T \right\} e^{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)KT}}{\mathcal{V}_d} \\
 &\quad + \frac{\left\{ [2V_1 N \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} (-\gamma_{ii})] T \right\} e^{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)KT}}{\mathcal{V}_d} \\
 &\quad + \frac{\left\{ M_1 h^{1/2} + M_2 [h + \circ(h)] \right\} e^{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)KT}}{\mathcal{V}_d}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

Hence on using (74), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(\bar{\Omega}) &= P\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y(t) - X(t)|^2 \geq \delta\right) \\
 &\leq \frac{\left\{V(X_0, r_0) + \left[K + 4dL_d \sqrt{K_d \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \pi(du)}\right] T\right\} e^{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)KT}}{\mathcal{V}_d} \\
 &\quad + \frac{\left\{[2V_1 N \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} (-\gamma_{ii})] T\right\} e^{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)KT}}{\mathcal{V}_d} \\
 &\quad + \frac{\left\{M_1 h^{1/2} + M_2 [h + \circ(h)]\right\} e^{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)KT}}{\mathcal{V}_d} \\
 &\quad + \frac{C_d}{\delta} [h + \circ(h)] + \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

Recalling that $\mathcal{V}_d \rightarrow \infty$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$, we can choose d sufficiently large for

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{\left\{V(X_0, r_0) + \left[K + 4dL_d \sqrt{K_d \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \pi(du)}\right] T\right\} e^{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)KT}}{\mathcal{V}_d} \\
 &+ \frac{\left\{[2V_1 N \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} (-\gamma_{ii})] T\right\} e^{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)KT}}{\mathcal{V}_d} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}
 \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

and then choose h sufficiently small for

$$\frac{\left\{M_1 h^{1/2} + M_2 [h + \circ(h)]\right\} e^{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)KT}}{\mathcal{V}_d} + \frac{C_d}{\delta} [h + \circ(h)] < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \tag{78}$$

to obtain

$$P\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y(t) - X(t)|^2 \geq \delta\right) < \varepsilon. \tag{79}$$

The proof of Theorem 12 is now complete. \square

5. An Example

In this section, we construct one example to demonstrate the effectiveness of this theory. Let $r(t)$ be a right-continuous Markov chain taking values in $S = \{1, 2\}$ with the generator

$$\Gamma = (\gamma_{ij})_{2 \times 2} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2 \\ 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \tag{80}$$

Let $\tilde{N}(dt, du)$ be a compensated Poisson random measures and is given by $\pi(du)dt = \lambda f(u)du dt$, where $\lambda = 2$ and

$$f(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi u}} e^{-(\ln u)^2/2}, \quad 0 \leq u < \infty \tag{81}$$

is the density function of a lognormal random variable. Of course $\tilde{N}(dt, du)$ and $r(t)$ are assumed to be independent. Consider a linear stochastic pantograph delay equations with Markovian switching and pure jumps

$$\begin{aligned}
 dX(t) &= a(r(t)) X(t) dt \\
 &\quad + \int_{|u| \leq 1} ub(r(t)) X(0.5t) \tilde{N}(dt, du).
 \end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

Here $a(1) = -3$, $a(2) = -1$, $b(1) = 1/\sqrt{10}$, and $b(2) = 1/\sqrt{6}$. Then (82) can be regarded as the result of the two equations

$$\begin{aligned}
 dX(t) &= -3X(t) dt + \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \int_{|u| \leq 1} uX(0.5t) \tilde{N}(dt, du), \\
 dX(t) &= -X(t) dt + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \int_{|u| \leq 1} uX(0.5t) \tilde{N}(dt, du),
 \end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

switching among each other according to the movement of the Markov chain $r(t)$. Obviously, (82) satisfies Assumptions 2 and 3. Given the stepsize h , we can have the Euler method

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_{n+1} &= Y_n + a(r_n^h) Y_n h \\
 &\quad + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \int_{|u| \leq 1} ub(r_n^h) Y_{[0.5n]} \tilde{N}(dt, du),
 \end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

with $Y_0 = X(0)$. Let $\bar{Z}_1(t) = Y_n$, $\bar{Z}_2(t) = Y_{[0.5n]}$, and $\bar{r}(t) = r_n^h$, for $t \in [t_n, t_{n+1})$. Then we define the continuous Euler approximate solution

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y(t) &= Y(0) + \int_0^t a(\bar{r}(s)) \bar{Z}_1(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{|u| \leq 1} ub(\bar{r}(s)) \bar{Z}_2(s) \tilde{N}(ds, du).
 \end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

Since the conditions of Theorem 4 are satisfied, then the approximate solution (85) will converge to the true solution of (82) in the sense of the L^2 -norm. To examine the convergence in the sense of the probability, we construct a function

$$V(x, i) = \begin{cases} \beta_1 x^2, & \text{if } i = 1, \\ \beta_2 x^2, & \text{if } i = 2. \end{cases} \tag{86}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 LV(x, y, i) &= \begin{cases} (2\beta_2 - 8\beta_1) x^2 + \frac{1}{10} \int_{|u| \leq 1} u^2 \pi(du) \beta_1 y^2, & \text{if } i = 1, \\ (2\beta_1 - 4\beta_2) x^2 + \frac{1}{6} \int_{|u| \leq 1} u^2 \pi(du) \beta_2 y^2, & \text{if } i = 2. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

From the properties of the lognormal distribution, we have

$$LV(x, y, 1) \leq -\left(8 - \frac{2\beta_2}{\beta_1}\right) \beta_1 x^2 + \frac{1}{5} e^2 \beta_1 y^2, \tag{88}$$

$$LV(x, y, 2) \leq -\left(4 - \frac{2\beta_1}{\beta_2}\right) \beta_2 x^2 + \frac{1}{3} e^2 \beta_2 y^2. \tag{89}$$

If $6/(12 - e^2) < (\beta_2/\beta_1) < 4 - (1/10)e^2$, then it follows that Assumptions 10 and 11 are satisfied. Consequently, the approximate solution (85) will converge to the true solution of (82) for any $t \in [0, T]$ in the sense of Theorem 12.

Acknowledgment

This work is sponsored by Qing Lan project of Jiangsu Province (2012) and supported by the Grant of Jiangsu Second Normal University (Jsie2011zd04) and the Jiangsu Government Overseas Study Scholarship.

References

- [1] G. N. Milstein, *Numerical Integration of Stochastic Differential Equations*, vol. 313 of *Mathematics and Its Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1995.
- [2] C. T. H. Baker and E. Buckwar, "Numerical analysis of explicit one-step methods for stochastic delay differential equations," *LMS Journal of Computation and Mathematics*, vol. 3, pp. 315–335, 2000.
- [3] X. Mao, *Stochastic Differential Equations and Applications*, Horwood, Chichester, UK, 1997.
- [4] X. Mao, "Numerical solutions of stochastic differential delay equations under the generalized Khasminskii-type conditions," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 217, no. 12, pp. 5512–5524, 2011.
- [5] X. Mao, "Numerical solutions of stochastic functional differential equations," *LMS Journal of Computation and Mathematics*, vol. 6, pp. 141–161, 2003.
- [6] X. Mao and S. Sabanis, "Numerical solutions of stochastic differential delay equations under local Lipschitz condition," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 151, no. 1, pp. 215–227, 2003.
- [7] F. Wu, X. Mao, and L. Szpruch, "Almost sure exponential stability of numerical solutions for stochastic delay differential equations," *Numerische Mathematik*, vol. 115, no. 4, pp. 681–697, 2010.
- [8] F. Wu, X. Mao, and P. E. Kloeden, "Almost sure exponential stability of the Euler-Maruyama approximations for stochastic functional differential equations," *Random Operators and Stochastic Equations*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 165–186, 2011.
- [9] E. Buckwar, "Introduction to the numerical analysis of stochastic delay differential equations," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 125, no. 1-2, pp. 297–307, 2000.
- [10] E. Buckwar, "One-step approximations for stochastic functional differential equations," *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, vol. 56, no. 5, pp. 667–681, 2006.
- [11] U. Küchler and E. Platen, "Strong discrete time approximation of stochastic differential equations with time delay," *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, vol. 54, no. 1-3, pp. 189–205, 2000.
- [12] Y. Hu, S. E. A. Mohammed, and F. Yan, "Discrete-time approximations of stochastic delay equations: the Milstein scheme," *The Annals of Probability*, vol. 32, no. 1A, pp. 265–314, 2004.
- [13] C. T. H. Baker and E. Buckwar, "Continuous Θ -methods for the stochastic pantograph equation," *Electronic Transactions on Numerical Analysis*, vol. 11, pp. 131–151, 2000.
- [14] Z. Fan, M. Liu, and W. Cao, "Existence and uniqueness of the solutions and convergence of semi-implicit Euler methods for stochastic pantograph equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 325, no. 2, pp. 1142–1159, 2007.
- [15] Y. Xiao and H. Zhang, "Convergence and stability of numerical methods with variable step size for stochastic pantograph differential equations," *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, vol. 88, no. 14, pp. 2955–2968, 2011.
- [16] M. Milošević and M. Jovanović, "A Taylor polynomial approach in approximations of solution to pantograph stochastic differential equations with Markovian switching," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 53, no. 1-2, pp. 280–293, 2011.
- [17] L.-s. Wang, C. Mei, and H. Xue, "The semi-implicit Euler method for stochastic differential delay equations with jumps," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 192, no. 2, pp. 567–578, 2007.
- [18] M. Wei, "Convergence of numerical solutions for variable delay differential equations driven by Poisson random jump measure," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 212, no. 2, pp. 409–417, 2009.
- [19] N. Jacob, Y. Wang, and C. Yuan, "Numerical solutions of stochastic differential delay equations with jumps," *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 825–853, 2009.
- [20] F. Jiang, Y. Shen, and L. Liu, "Taylor approximation of the solutions of stochastic differential delay equations with Poisson jump," *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 798–804, 2011.
- [21] G. Marion, X. Mao, and E. Renshaw, "Convergence of the Euler scheme for a class of stochastic differential equation," *International Mathematical Journal*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 9–22, 2002.
- [22] N. Ikeda and S. Watanabe, *Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion Processes*, vol. 24 of *North-Holland Mathematical Library*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2nd edition, 1989.
- [23] W. J. Anderson, *Continuous-Time Markov Chains*, Springer Series in Statistics: Probability and Its Applications, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1991.
- [24] X. Mao and C. Yuan, *Stochastic Differential Equations with Markovian Switching*, Imperial College Press, London, UK, 2006.

