View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk
brought to you by CORE
provided by Repositório Científico do Instituto Politécnico do Porto

UMR 5131 CNRS

INTERNATIONAL FEDERATION OF
AUTOMATIC CONTROL

IFAC

1st IFAC Workshop on

FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIATION and its APPLICATIONS

FDA'04



ENSEIRB, Bordeaux, France, July 19-21, 2004

WORKSHOP PREPRINTS/PROCEEDINGS N° 2004-1

UNIVERSITÉ BORDEAUX 1 Sciences Technologies

CNRS

ENSEIRB ÉCOLE NATIONALE SUPÉRIEURE ELECTRONIQUE, INFORMATIQUE & RADIOCOMMUNICATIONS BORDEAUX

FRACTIONAL ORDER CALCULUS ON THE ESTIMATION OF SHORT-CIRCUIT IMPEDANCE OF POWER TRANSFORMERS

W. Malpica Albert[#], J. Fernando Silva[§*], J. Tenreiro Machado[+], M. T. Correia de Barros[*]

[#] *Escuela de Ing. Eléctrica. Facultad de Ingeniería. Universidad Central de Venezuela. Caracas. PhD Student, Instituto Superior Técnico, Departamento de Engenharia Electrotécnica e de Computadores, Lisboa, Portugal (email: wmalpic@elecrisc.ing.ucv.ve)*
[§] *CAUTL – Centro de Automática da Universidade Técnica de Lisboa, Instituto Superior Técnico, Máquinas Eléctricas e Electrónica de Potência, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal (email: fernandos@alfa.ist.utl.pt)*
[*] *Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade Técnica de Lisboa, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal (email: teresa.correiadebarros@mrh.edp.pt)*
[+] *Institute of Engineering of Porto, Dept. of Electrotechnical Engineering, Rua Dr. Antonio Bernardino de Almeida, 4200-072 Porto, Portugal (email: jtm@dee.isep.ipp.pt)*

Abstract: This paper reports investigation on the use of fractional order calculus to analytically estimate the influence of skin and proximity effects in the short circuit impedance of power transformers. The aim is to better characterize the medium frequency range behavior of leakage inductances of power transformer models, which include terms to represent the magnetic field diffusion process in the windings. Comparisons between calculated and measured values are shown and discussed. *Copyright © 2004 IFAC*

Keywords: Transformers, fractional Maxwell equations, fractional diffusion, skin effect, proximity effect, leakage impedance, fractional order models.

1. INTRODUCTION

Neglecting displacement currents, electromagnetic field in conductive media is described by a diffusion type equation. In power transformers, a non-linear diffusion is considered to occur within their core, whereas a linear diffusion is supposed to occur in the windings and usually modeled by leakage impedances. The precise estimation of these leakage impedances, prior to transformer building, is very important to tune the transformer de-rating K factor and to calculate or optimize several power supply network characteristics, such as short-circuit currents, network protection sub-systems, and assess power quality.

Conductors, like copper or aluminum, standing diffusion process of magnetic fields at frequency ω ($\omega > 0$), such as skin and proximity effects, are supposed to typically show an impedance Z_{cond} proportional to the square root of ω, $Z_{cond} \propto \omega^{1/2}\ e^{i/4}$, ($i = (-1)^{1/2}$). This behavior can be obtained solving the magnetic field diffusion equations within the conductor. If measured impedances, including terms from diffusion phenomena, show arguments different from $\pi/4$ and magnitudes not proportional to $\omega^{1/2}$, the diffusion process they represent might be described by a differential equation with non-integer derivatives, usually called a fractional order differential equation.

This work is supported by FCT POSI/FEDER contract no. POCTI / 1999 / ESE / 33648. W. Malpica Albert thanks "Consejo de Desarrollo Científico y Humanístico" of "Universidad Central de Venezuela" for financial support.

This paper uses the extension of magnetic field diffusion equations to situations where α, the order of the time partial derivative in the diffusion equation, assumes fractional values (Bisquert, Comte, 2001), leading to conductor impedances taking the form $Z_{cond} \propto \omega^{\alpha/2} e^{i\,\alpha/4}$.

The fractional approach, here proposed, will be shown to provide a better description of the transformer short-circuit impedance behavior with frequency.

The magnetic field diffusion at the power transformer windings is studied, with Maxwell equations extended with a fractional order Faraday law. Solving the fractional order differential diffusion equation obtained, the voltage drops in the frequency domain and equivalent leakage impedance components, due to diffusion, are found.

From the relevant Maxwell equations, section 2 proposes a magnetic field fractional order diffusion model. Section 3 applies the fractional order diffusion model to power transformers, to calculate the winding fractional dispersion impedance and section 4 give suitable high and low frequency approximations. Section 5 shows some results concerning short-circuit impedances of power transformers.

2. MAGNETIC FIELD FRACTIONAL ORDER DIFFUSION

Motionless magnetic field systems, consisting primarily of magnetizable and conducting materials with conductivity $1/\rho$, permittivity ε, permeability μ and characteristic length l, operated at frequencies $\omega << 1/[l\,(\varepsilon\mu)^{1/2}]$ (quasi-steady regime), experience mainly magnetic field diffusion. Assuming all materials to be electrically linear, homogeneous, isotropic, negligible charges (Johnk, 1996) and neglecting displacement currents ($1/\rho >> \varepsilon\omega$), when compared to conduction currents, the relevant Maxwell and material equations (Panofsky, Phillips, 1955; Perry, 1985), are $\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0$, $\nabla \times \vec{H} \approx \vec{J}$, $\vec{B} = \mu \vec{H}$, $\vec{E} = \rho \vec{J}$, where ∇ is the nabla operator, $\vec{B}$ is the magnetic flux density vector, $\vec{H}$ is the magnetic field vector and $\vec{J}$ is the electrical current density vector.

2. 1. *Fractional Order Faraday's Law*

Fractional order Faraday's law (Bisquert, Comte, 2001) is expressed as a differential equation with fractional order α, being an extension of the classical Electrical field $\vec{E}$ Faraday's law:

$$\nabla \times \vec{E} = -\,{}_m D_t^{\alpha} \vec{B}\,, \qquad (1)$$

where ${}_m D_t^{\alpha}$ represents the time t partial derivative of fractional order α (Samko, *et al.*, 1993; Kleinz, Osler, 2000; Machado, 2003), defined for $t > m$ (here $m = 0$), or:

$${}_m D_t^{\alpha} \vec{B} = \frac{\partial^{\alpha}}{\partial t^{\alpha}} \vec{B} \qquad \text{for } 0 < \alpha < 2 \quad \text{and} \quad t > m \qquad (2)$$

The Riemann-Liouville partial derivative of fractional order α, applied to function $f(x,y)$, regarding variable x, for $x > m$, is calculated as:

$${}_m D_x^{\alpha} f(x,y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} \int_m^x \frac{f(\tau,y)}{(x-\tau)^{\alpha-n+1}} d\tau \qquad (3)$$

where n is an integer satisfying $n-1 < \alpha < n$; and Γ represents the gamma function (Spiegel,1963).

2. 2. *Fractional Order Diffusion Vector Equation for **H** Field Inside Conducting Materials*

Applying the curl operator to $\nabla \times \vec{H} \approx \vec{J}$, $\nabla\times\left(\nabla\times\vec{H}\right)=\nabla\times\vec{J}$, substituting $\vec{J}$ from $\vec{E} = \rho\vec{J}$, $\nabla\times\left(\nabla\times\vec{H}\right)=\frac{1}{\rho}\left(\nabla\times\vec{E}\right)$, and $\vec{E}$ from (1), it follows that:

$$\nabla\times\left(\nabla\times\vec{H}\right) = -\frac{1}{\rho}\left[{}_0 D_t^{\alpha} \vec{B}\right] \qquad (4)$$

As, from $\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0$ and $\vec{B} = \mu\,\vec{H}$, $\vec{B}$ and $\vec{H}$ present zero divergence, using the vector identity $\nabla\times\left(\nabla\times\vec{H}\right) = \nabla\left(\nabla\cdot\vec{H}\right) - \nabla^2\vec{H}$ in (4), (5) is obtained.

$$\nabla^2 \vec{H} - \frac{\mu}{\rho}\left[{}_0 D_t^{\alpha} \vec{H}\right] = 0 \qquad (5)$$

The above differential equation describes a magnetic field "diffusion phenomenon" (Perry, 1985). The equation (5) is an ordinary integer order differential equation if $\alpha = 1$, or a fractional order differential equation if $\alpha \neq 1$. Therefore, (5) is the fractional extension of the classical diffusion equation $\nabla^2 \vec{H} - (\mu/\rho)\left[\partial\vec{H}/\partial t\right] = 0$.

In the following section, (5) will be applied to transformer windings with cylindrical symmetry, in order to obtain a better model for the transformer short-circuit impedance behavior with frequency, specially in the medium to high frequency range (300-6000 Hz) which includes most current harmonics when the transformer supplies non-linear loads.

3. FRACTIONAL ORDER DIFFUSION EQUATION APPLIED TO POWER TRANSFORMERS

3. 1. Fractional Order Diffusion Vector Equation for leakage field $\vec{\boldsymbol{H}}$ in one turn with circular cylindrical geometry.

This work applies to single-phase transformers, with coaxial or concentric cylindrical windings, as shown in fig. 1. The transformer has a ferromagnetic core and two windings, with current flowing only in winding 1. The main induced magnetic flux path (shown in dashed line) is assumed to be all inside the core, and links all the turns of all windings (unity magnetic coupling). The leakage flux (shown in solid lines) through the air or insulators, only partially links the windings turns. Since, compared to the core, air or insulating material present constant but much higher reluctance, leakage inductances can be assumed to be linear.

Figure 2 depicts the magnetic flux lines at the winding 1 head. Line A represents flux linking all the turns of winding 1, but only partly the turns of winding 2. Therefore, there is magnetic coupling between windings due to leakage flux (mutual inductance). Flux represented by line B links only all the turns of winding 1, meaning a magnetic coupling between all turns of winding 1. Flux in line C means magnetic coupling between some turns of winding 1.

Fig. 3 shows the winding q layers, with m turns in each layer, together with a leakage flux path. To calculate the magnetic field $\vec{H}$ in one turn of layer k, assume the turn with internal radius r_k and the magnetic field direction shown in fig. 4. To obtain a closed solution, consider the magnetic field with cylindrical geometry, with vector components only in the z-axis direction. Then, in cylindrical coordinates, $\vec{H}$ is $\vec{H} = H(r,t)\cdot\vec{a}_z$. Its Laplacian, in cylindrical coordinates, is $\nabla^2\vec{H} = \vec{a}_z\ \nabla^2 H(r,t)$, giving:

$$\nabla^2\vec{H} = \vec{a}_z\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}H(r,t) + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}H(r,t)\right] \qquad (6)$$

Using (6) into (5), it is obtained:



Fig. 1. Main (dashed line) and leakage (solid line) fluxes in the transformer.



Fig. 2. Main (dashed line) and leakage (solid lines) fluxes



Fig. 3. Winding with q layers, and m turns per layer.



Fig. 4. Cross-sections of turn k in layer k of fig. 3 winding.

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}H(r,t) + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}H(r,t) - \frac{\mu}{\rho}\,{}_0D_t^{\alpha}H(r,t) = 0 \qquad (7)$$

Equation (7) describes, in cylindrical coordinates, the fractional diffusion phenomenon of the magnetic field strength $H(r,t)$ in one winding turn.

3. 2. Leakage magnetic field $\vec{\boldsymbol{H}}$

Assuming zero initial conditions and applying Laplace transform (t is the independent variable) to (7), (8) is obtained, where $H(r)$ is the magnetic field strength in the Laplace transform domain.

$$\frac{d^2}{dr^2}H(r) + \frac{1}{r}\frac{d}{dr}H(r) - \frac{\mu}{\rho}s^{\alpha}H(r) = 0 \qquad (8)$$

Multiplying (8) by r^2, and using a new variable x:

$$x = \frac{i}{\delta}r, \qquad (9)$$

where $i = (-1)^{1/2}$ and δ is the fractional skin depth,

$$\delta = \sqrt{\rho/(s^{\alpha}\mu)}, \tag{10}$$

For $n = 0$, (11) is derived:

$$x^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} H(x) + x \frac{d}{dx} H(x) + \left(x^2 - n^2\right) H(x) = 0 \tag{11}$$

The previous identity is a classical Bessel differential equation (Spiegel, 1963) of order n ($n = 0$).

For $x_k + d' > x > x_k$, (11) has a family of solutions:

$$H(x) = A\, J_0(x) + B\, Y_0(x) \tag{12}$$

In these solutions $J_0(x)$ and $Y_0(x)$ are respectively the zero order first and second kind Bessel functions. The A and B parameters are calculated using boundary conditions. The domain of the x variable, in terms of r_k and d (fig. 4) is:

$$x_k = \frac{i}{\delta} r_k, \quad x_k + d' = \frac{i}{\delta}\left(r_k + d\right) \tag{13}$$

Boundary conditions can be obtained using the integral form of Ampère's law (Johnk, 1996) and considering fig. 3 and 4. The magnetic field, at the surface inside the turn with radius $r = r_k$, with the current i_s (in the Laplace domain), and considering l as the length of the leakage flux path out of the core (fig. 3), is:

$$\oint \vec{H} \cdot d\vec{l} = \iint_S \vec{J} \cdot d\vec{s} = F_{mm} = m\, k\, i_s \Rightarrow H(r_k) = \frac{F_{mm}(r_k)}{l} \tag{14}$$

where F_{mm} is the "magnetomotive force" due to the currents crossing the surface limited by the integration contour. Similarly, the magnetic field in the outside surface of the turn, $H(r_k + d)$, is:

$$H(r_k + d) = \frac{F_{mm}(r_k + d)}{l} = \frac{m\,(k-1)\, i_s}{l} \tag{15}$$

Using (10), (12), (13), (14) and (15), the values A and B of (12) can be determined and substituted in (12), to obtain the leakage magnetic field strength:

$$\begin{aligned} H(x) = {} & \frac{1}{l}\left[\frac{F_{mm}(r_k + d)\, Y_0(x_k) - F_{mm}(r_k)\, Y_0(x_k + d')}{J_0(x_k + d')\, Y_0(x_k) - J_0(x_k)\, Y_0(x_k + d')}\right] J_0(x) + \\ & + \frac{1}{l}\left[\frac{F_{mm}(r_k)\, J_0(x_k + d') - F_{mm}(r_r + d)\, J_0(x_k)}{J_0(x_k + d')\, Y_0(x_k) - J_0(x_k)\, Y_0(x_k + d')}\right] Y_0(x) \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

This equation is difficult to use, since Bessel functions $J_0(x)$ and $Y_0(x)$ are given by infinite series. A possible simplification, suitable for high-frequency modeling of leakage inductances, is to use an asymptotic approximation $J_n(x)$ and $Y_n(x)$ (Spiegel, 1963) of Bessel functions for high values of x, since, from (9) and (10), the argument x of the Bessel functions increases with increasing frequency.

$$J_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} \cos\left(x - \frac{n\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots \tag{17}$$

$$Y_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} \sin\left(x - \frac{n\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots \tag{18}$$

Using (9), (13), (16), (17), (18) and simplifying, the fractional equation of the leakage magnetic field (in the Laplace domain) $\vec{H} = H(r) \cdot \vec{a}_z$ is obtained for $r_k < r < r_{k+d}$, being $H(r)$ given by (19), where sinh is the hyperbolic sinus:

$$\begin{aligned} H(r) = {} & \frac{\sqrt{r_k (r_k + d)}}{l\, \sinh(d/\delta)} \times \\ & \times \left[\frac{F_{mm}(r_k + d)}{\sqrt{r_k\, r}} \sinh\left(\frac{r - r_k}{\delta}\right) - \frac{F_{mm}(r_k)}{\sqrt{r (r_k + d)}} \sinh\left(\frac{r - r_k - d}{\delta}\right)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

After calculating the leakage magnetic field, next the current density vector $\vec{J}$ in the winding turns is determined. Both are needed to estimate leakage inductances.

3. 3. *Current Density Vector $\vec{J}$ at the Winding Turns*

The current density vector $\vec{J}$ is given from $\nabla \times \vec{H} \approx \vec{J}$ and (12), giving $\nabla \times \vec{H} = \nabla \times [(A\, J_0(x) + B\, Y_0(x)) \cdot \vec{a}_z] \approx \vec{J}$, which can be solved, in cylindrical coordinates, to write:

$$\vec{J} = \left[-\frac{d}{dx}\left[A\, J_0(x) + B\, Y_0(x)\right] \cdot \frac{d}{dr} x\right] \cdot \vec{a}_\phi \tag{20}$$

Differentiating the Bessel equations (Spiegel, 1963), $\vec{J} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\delta}\left[A\, J_1(x) + B\, Y_1(x)\right] \cdot \vec{a}_\phi$, and using (9), (10), (13), the values A, B of (12), (17), (18), and simplifying, in the Laplace domain, $\vec{J} = J(r) \cdot \vec{a}_\phi$ is obtained for $r_k < r < r_k + d$, being $J(r)$ given by (21), where cosh is the hyperbolic co-sinus.

$$\begin{aligned} J(r) = {} & \frac{\sqrt{r_k (r_k + d)}}{l\, \delta\, \sinh(d/\delta)} \times \\ & \times \left[\frac{F_{mm}(r_k)}{\sqrt{r (r_k + d)}} \cosh\left(\frac{r - r_k - d}{\delta}\right) - \frac{F_{mm}(r_k + d)}{\sqrt{r\, r_k}} \cosh\left(\frac{r - r_k}{\delta}\right)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Equations (21) and (19) are useful to calculate the voltage in each winding turn.

3. 4. *Voltage per Winding Turn, Considering the Conductor Resistivity and Leakage Flux*

The transformer is first considered to have a two turn winding (fig. 5). Vector Φ_j represents the leakage flux linked by the conductor of turn j, Φ_k represents the leakage flux linked by the conductor of turn k, and Φ_a is the leakage flux across the insulating layers.

Voltage at k turn due to i) the conductor resistance; ii) the self leakage flux Φ_k. The winding voltage of turn k depends on *i*) the conductor resistance; *ii*) the self-leakage flux Φ_k, *iii*) the leakage fluxes Φ_j and Φ_a. The voltage of turn j depends also on *i*) the conductor resistance; *ii*) the self-leakage flux Φ_j, but leakage fluxes Φ_k and Φ_a do not induce a voltage since they do not link the turn j.

The magnetic flux density $\vec{B}$ has zero divergence, being defined as the curl of an auxiliary vector, a potential vector $\vec{A}$, $\vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A}$, or considering (1):

$$\nabla \times \vec{E} = -_0 D_t^{\alpha} \left(\nabla \times \vec{A} \right) \tag{22}$$

Rewriting the previous equation $\nabla \times \left[\vec{E} +_0 D_t^{\alpha} \vec{A} \right] = 0$, it is shown that the curl of the sum of the two vectors is zero. Therefore, ($\vec{E} +_0 D_t^{\alpha} \vec{A}$) is a conservative field and can be defined as the gradient of the scalar potential function V, $\vec{E} +_0 D_t^{\alpha} \vec{A} = -\nabla V$.

Integrating the potential along a closed path, $\oint_l \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{l} + \oint_l {}_0 D_t^{\alpha} \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{l} = -\oint_l \nabla V \cdot d\vec{l} = 0$, applying the Stokes theorem to vector $\vec{A}$ in the last identity, $\oint_l \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{l} + \int_S {}_0 D_t^{\alpha} \left(\nabla \times \vec{A} \right) \cdot d\vec{s} = -\oint_l \nabla V \cdot d\vec{l} = 0$, and using $\vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A}$, it follows that:

$$\oint_l \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{l} +_0 D_t^{\alpha} \int_S \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{s} = -\oint_l \nabla V \cdot d\vec{l} = 0 \tag{23}$$

From the electromagnetism viewpoint, the previous equation is the fractional Kirchhoff voltage law along a closed path. It will be used to calculate the winding voltage V_{kk} of turn k in layer k (fig. 4) of the winding (fig. 3), due to the conductor resistance and to the self-flux Φ_k (fig. 5).



Fig. 5. Transformer with a two-turn winding

The calculation uses Ohm's law $\vec{E} = \rho \vec{J}$ and (23). Considering the integration path l embracing the surface S, shown in fig. 4, the voltage V_{kk} at turn k, in Laplace domain, is $V_{k,k} = \int_l \rho \; \vec{J} \cdot d\vec{l} + s^{\alpha} \int_S \mu \; \vec{H} \cdot d\vec{s}$.

Solving V_{kk} for $r_k < r < r_k + d$:

$$V_{k,k} = \int_0^{2\pi} \rho \; r \; \vec{J} \cdot \vec{a}_{\phi} \; d\phi + s^{\alpha} \int_{r_k}^{r} \int_0^{2\pi} \mu \; r \; \vec{H} \cdot \vec{a}_z \; d\phi dr \tag{24}$$

Substituting $\vec{J}$ from (21), $\vec{H}$ from (19), and the F_{mm} value from (14) and (15), (25) is obtained, where coth is the hyperbolic co-tangent, and csch is the hyperbolic co-secant. Equation (25) defines the voltage per turn due to resistance and self-flux.

$$V_{k,k} = \frac{2 \; \pi \; \rho}{a\delta} \left[r_k k \coth\left(\frac{d}{\delta} \right) - \left[(k-1) \sqrt{r_k (r_k + d)} \right] \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{d}{\delta} \right) \right] i_s \tag{25}$$

Next, the voltage per turn due to the leakage flux of the remaining turns is determined.

Voltage at turn k due to iii) the leakage fluxes Φ_j. To calculate the voltage $V_{k\,j}$ of turn k (fig. 5) due to the flux Φ_j across turn j, start with (23) without the term for the resistive voltage drop, since it is already included in (25), to write $V_{k,j} = s^{\alpha} \int_{S_j} \mu \; \vec{H} \cdot d\vec{s}$ for $k \neq j$.

The magnetic flux is evaluated at the surface S_j of turn j to give $V_{k,j} = s^{\alpha} \int_{r_j}^{r_j + d} \int_0^{2\pi} \mu \; r \; \vec{H} \cdot \vec{a}_z d\phi \; dr$ for $k \neq j$.

Using $\vec{H} = H(r) \cdot \vec{a}_z$ in the previous equation, and the F_{mm} value from (14) and (15), for $k \neq j$, $V_{k\,j}$ is:

$$V_{k,j} = \frac{2\pi \; \rho}{a \; \delta} \left[\begin{array}{l} \left[r_j (2j-1) + d(j-1) \right] \coth\left(\frac{d}{\delta} \right) - \\ - \left[(2j-1) \sqrt{r_j (r_j + d)} \right] \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{d}{\delta} \right) \end{array} \right] i_s \quad \text{for } k \neq j \tag{26}$$

3. 5. Winding Fractional Dispersion Impedance

The total voltage V_b at the winding of fig. 3, is obtained adding the voltages $V_{k\,k}$ and $V_{k\,j}$ of all the winding turns, $V_b = m \sum_{k=1}^{q} \left[V_{k,k} + \sum_{j=k+1}^{q} V_{k,j} \right]$, giving, from (25) and (26), V_b as:

$$V_b = \frac{2\pi\rho m}{a \; \delta} \sum_{k=1}^{q} \left[\begin{array}{l} r_k \; k \; \coth\left(\frac{d}{\delta} \right) - \left[(k-1) \sqrt{r_k \; (r_k + d)} \right] \cdot \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{d}{\delta} \right) + \\ + \sum_{j=k+1}^{q} \left[r_j (2j-1) + d(j-1) \right] \coth\left(\frac{d}{\delta} \right) - \\ - \sum_{j=k+1}^{q} \left[(2j-1) \sqrt{r_j (r_j + d)} \right] \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{d}{\delta} \right) \end{array} \right] i_s \tag{27}$$

Since (25) and (26) do not include the induced voltage due the main flux (core flux, fig. 1), then, the ratio V_b/i_s is the winding fractional dispersion impedance Z_σ, in the Laplace domain:

$$Z_\sigma = \frac{2\pi\rho m}{a\,\delta}\sum_{k=1}^{q}\left[\begin{array}{l} r_k\, k\, \coth\left[\frac{d}{\delta}\right] - (k-1)\sqrt{r_k\,(r_k+d)}\,\mathrm{csch}\left(\frac{d}{\delta}\right) + \\ + \sum_{j=k+1}^{q}\left[r_j(2j-1) + d(j-1)\right]\coth\left(\frac{d}{\delta}\right) - \\ - \sum_{j=k+1}^{q}(2j-1)\sqrt{r_j(r_j+d)}\,\mathrm{csch}\left(\frac{d}{\delta}\right) \end{array}\right] \quad (28)$$

To simplify (28) Z_σ is written:

$$Z_\sigma = P_1\,\frac{d}{\delta}\left[P_2\,\coth\left(\frac{d}{\delta}\right) + P_4\,\mathrm{csch}\left(\frac{d}{\delta}\right)\right] \quad (29)$$

where δ is given by (10), P_1, P_2 and P_4 are real terms only dependent on the turn dimensions and conductivity:

$$P_1 = \frac{2\pi\ \rho\ m}{a\ d};\quad P_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{q}\left[(k-1)^2(r_k+d) + k^2 r_k\right] \quad (30)$$

$$P_4 = \sum_{k=1}^{q}\left[2\ k(1-k)\ \sqrt{r_k(r_k+d)}\right] \quad (31)$$

4. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF THE WINDING FRACTIONAL DISPERSION IMPEDANCE

4. 1. High Frequency Asymptotic Behavior

Considering the fractional skin depth δ of (10) in the frequency domain,

$$\delta = \sqrt{\rho/\left[(i\omega)^{\alpha}\,\mu\right]}, \quad (32)$$

and frequencies ω high enough to satisfy $d >> |\delta|$, the use in (29) of (30), $coth(d/\delta) \approx 1$ for $d >> |\delta|$ and $cosh(d/\delta) \approx 0$ for $d >> |\delta|$, gives the high-frequency asymptotic behavior (valid for $d >> |\delta|$) of the fractional dispersion impedance Z_σ:

$$Z_\sigma = \sqrt{d^2\mu/\rho}\left[\omega^{\alpha/2}\ e^{i\pi\alpha/4}\right]P_1\ P_2 \quad (33)$$

Observe that Z_σ is proportional to $\omega^{\alpha/2}\, e^{i\pi\alpha/4}$, or, in the Laplace domain, to $s^{\alpha/2}$. Therefore, even in the classical diffusion phenomenon ($\alpha = 1$) the high frequency dispersion impedance Z_σ shows a fractional derivative behavior of order 1/2 (Johnk, 1996), being $Z_\sigma \propto \omega^{1/2}\, e^{i\pi/4}$. Moreover, if a given impedance, related to diffusion phenomena, departs from the behavior expressed in $Z_\sigma \propto s^{\alpha/2}$, one can say it might obey a fractional order differential diffusion equation (5), in which $\alpha \neq 1$.

However, this approximation is only valid for high frequency. For dc and low frequency, (33) is no longer valid, as can be seen in the next section.

4. 2. Winding dc Resistance

To obtain the dc resistance, consider the differential conductance of the dashed path shown in fig. 4 to be $dG = \dfrac{a\,d\,r}{\rho\,2\pi\,r}$ and integrate to obtain the conductance G_k of one turn:

$$G_k = \int_{r_k}^{r_k+d}\frac{a\ dr}{\rho\ 2\ \pi\ r} = \frac{a}{\rho\ 2\pi}\ln\left(\frac{r_k+d}{r_r}\right) \quad (34)$$

From (34), where ln is the natural logarithm, $R_k = 1/G_k$

$$R_k = \frac{\rho\ 2\pi}{a\,d}\,d\bigg/\ln\left(\frac{r_k+d}{r_r}\right) \quad (35)$$

The winding resistance R_{dc} is obtaining adding the resistances of all turns:

$$R_{dc} = \frac{\rho\ 2\pi\ m}{a\ d}\sum_{k=1}^{q}\left[d\bigg/\ln\left(\frac{r_k+d}{r_r}\right)\right] = P_1\ P_3 \quad (36)$$

where P_1 is given in (30) and P_3 is:

$$P_3 = \sum_{k=1}^{q}\left[d\bigg/\ln\left(\frac{r_k+d}{r_r}\right)\right] \quad (37)$$

Equation (36) is useful to show that Bessel asymptotic approximations (17) and (18) lead to low frequency errors in (27) and (29), since R_{dc} should be the limit of (29) as $\omega \to 0$, or $R_{dc} = \lim_{\omega\to 0} Z_\sigma$, giving:

$$R_{dc} = \lim_{\omega\to 0}\left[P_1\left[\frac{d}{\delta}\right]\left[P_2\coth\left[\frac{d}{\delta}\right] + P_4\mathrm{csch}\left[\frac{d}{\delta}\right]\right]\right] = P_1P_2 + P_1P_4 \quad (38)$$

This result does not equal (36), since the originating equation (29) is not valid for low frequencies, due to the high frequency Bessel asymptotic approximations.

4. 3. Fractional Transfer Function High and Low Frequency Approximation for the Winding Fractional Dispersion Impedance

As seen, the fractional dispersion impedance Z_σ, obtained in (29) or (33), is valid only for high frequencies (Malpica, Chassande, 2001). However, it would be very convenient to obtain an approximation to (29) able to describe both the high frequency and low frequency behavior. To investigate a possible solution, an equation approximating both the low frequency and the high frequency behavior, must contain the contribution of the self and mutual inductance of the windings, (given in 39), must give the low frequency term $R_{dc} = P_1 P_3$ when $\omega \to 0$, and the high frequency factor $\omega^{\alpha/2} e^{i\pi\alpha/4}$ of (33) when $\omega \to \infty$. A useful candidate transfer function contains one zero and one pole, both fractional (40).

$$L_{dc} = \frac{m^2\pi\mu}{2l}\sum_{k=1}^{q}\left[\frac{1}{\ln\left(\frac{r_j+d}{r_j}\right)}\cdot\left\{\left[1+2(j-1)\cdot\ln\left(\frac{r_j+d}{r_j}\right)\right](r_j+d)^2-\left[1+2j\cdot\ln\left(\frac{r_j+d}{r_j}\right)\right]r_j^2\right\}\right]+$$
$$+\frac{m^2\pi\mu}{2l}\sum_{k=1}^{q}\left[\frac{k-1}{\left[\ln\left(\frac{r_k+d}{r_k}\right)\right]^2}\cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}\left[1+(k-1)\cdot\ln\left(\frac{r_k+d}{r_k}\right)\right]\cdot(r_k+d)^2+\ldots\\-\left\{1+\left[2k\cdot\ln\left(\frac{r_k+d}{r_k}\right)+(k+1)\right]\cdot\ln\left(\frac{r_k+d}{r_k}\right)\right\}\cdot r_k^2\end{array}\right]\right] \quad (39)$$

$$Z_\sigma = R_{dc}\frac{(1+s\tau_1)^\alpha}{(1+s\tau_2)^{\alpha/2}} = P_1P_3\frac{(1+s\tau_1)^\alpha}{(1+s\tau_2)^{\alpha/2}} \quad (40)$$

where τ_1 is:

$$\tau_1 = \left(L_{dc}/R_{dc}\right)^{1/\alpha} \quad (41)$$

The constant τ_2 is calculated for high frequency, considering $i\omega\tau_1 >> 1$ and $i\omega\tau_2 >> 1$ in (40):

$$Z_\sigma = P_1P_3\frac{(1+i\omega\tau_1)^\alpha}{(1+i\omega\tau_2)^{\alpha/2}} \approx P_1P_3\frac{(i\omega\tau_1)^\alpha}{(i\omega\tau_2)^{\alpha/2}} \quad (42)$$

This equation must equal (33). Thus:

$$Z_\sigma \approx P_1P_3\frac{(i\omega\tau_1)^\alpha}{(i\omega\tau_2)^{\alpha/2}} = \sqrt{\frac{d^2\mu}{\rho}}\,(i\omega)^{\alpha/2} P_1 P_2 \quad (43)$$

$$\tau_2 = \left[P_3\,\tau_1^{\alpha}\Big/\left(P_2\sqrt{\frac{d^2\mu}{\rho}}\right)\right]^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} \quad (44)$$

Using (41) and (44), the fractional model (40) tries to reproduce the low frequency behavior, without disturbing the high frequency validity. The fractional order α can be estimated using a non-linear regression.

5. RESULTS: EVALUATION OF SHORT-CIRCUIT IMPEDANCE OF POWER TRANSFORMERS

Data from a single-phase toroidal power transformer of 25 kVA, 7200 V in the high voltage side and 240 V/120 V in the low voltage secondary was used (Malpica, 2000). The proposed model for the transformer short-circuit impedance (fig. 6) includes an equivalent capacitor C, associated with the high frequency displacement currents, not considered in the previous analysis, L, the frequency independent inductance, and Z_σ, the fractional dispersion impedance associated with (40).

The values of L and C were calculated in a previous work (Malpica, Chassande, 2001; Malpica, 2000):

$$L = 45 \text{ mH} \quad (45)$$
$$C = 890 \text{ pF} \quad (46)$$

From the dimensions and short-circuit experimental data of the transformer (Malpica, Chassande, 2001; Malpica, Pérez, 2001), R_{dc}, τ_1 and τ_2 were calculated and a non-linear regression was used to obtain the fractional order α that characterizes the Z_σ impedance in (40). Table 1 shows the obtained results for fractional α (fractional order diffusion) and for $\alpha = 1$ (integer order diffusion). The best fit for short-circuit experimental data was obtained with $\alpha = 0.949$.

The magnitude, angle and real part values of the fractional impedance Z_σ, obtained using (40) and table 1 values, are shown respectively in figures 7, 8 and 9.

It can be seen (fig. 7) that the Z_σ magnitude does not show significant variations for the two values of α ($\alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = 0.949$), both integer and fractional approximations giving good results.

The Z_σ angle is slightly better approximated with $\alpha = 0.949$ (fig. 8, solid curve), mainly in the medium frequency range (300 Hz to 6000 Hz). The Z_σ real part is clearly better approximated taking $\alpha = 0.949$ (fig. 9, solid curve), also in the medium frequency range (300 Hz to 6000 Hz), a range of interest for power quality studies.

Table 1 Parameters of Z_σ (α obtained by non-linear regression)

Parameter	$\alpha = 0.949$	$\alpha = 1$
R_{dc}	20.02 [Ω]	20.02[Ω]
τ_1	1.59 [ms]	1.44 [ms]
τ_2	5.27 [µs]	9.73 [µs]



Fig. 6. Frequency dependent short-circuit impedance model for the power transformer.



Fig. 7. Measured (Zmed) and calculated magnitude of the dispersion impedance Z_σ versus frequency, showing integer (Zcc_Nor) and fractional (Zcc_Frac) approaches.



Fig. 8. Measured (AngMed) and calculated angle of the fractional dispersion impedance Z_σ versus frequency, showing integer (Ang_Zcc_Nor) and fractional (Ang_Zcc_Frac) approaches.



Fig. 9. Measured (Rcc_Med) and calculated real part value of the fractional dispersion impedance Z_σ versus frequency, showing integer (Rcc_Nor) and fractional (Rcc_Frac) approaches.

6. CONCLUSION

The assumption that electromagnetic fields diffusion phenomena in conducting media obeys differential equations of fractional order, can be worked out with the same mathematics used to solve the problem using integer derivatives. The analytical results obtained extend the existing studies, offer an extra degree of freedom, and enable better diffusion modeling, when compared to models with integer differential equations.

In the measured power transformer, the obtained fractional order is very close to unity ($\alpha = 0.949$), suggesting that the classical integer approximation is good enough for most purposes. However, the fractional zero-pole model, here parameterized, enables a better approximation, suggesting that this approach can be used to optimize the design and estimation of short-circuit transformer resistance, specially in the medium frequency range (300 Hz to 6000 Hz) where harmonics, transformer heating and power quality related problems can be significant.

REFERENCES

Bisquert, Juan and Comte Albert (2001). Theory of electrochemical impedance of anomalous diffusion, *J.l of Electroanalytical Chemistry*, **499**, pp 112-120.

Johnk, Carl (1996). *Teoría Electromagnética*, Editorial Limusa, S. A. , Octava reimpresión.

Kleinz, Marcia and Thomas Osler (2000). A child's garden of fractional derivatives, *The College Mathematics Journal*, **31**, Number 2, pp. 82-88.

Machado, J. T. (2003). A Probabilistic Interpretation of the Fractional-Order Differentiation", *Journal of Fractional Calculus & Applied Analysis*, **6**, n. 1, pp. 73-80.

Malpica, N. (2000). Síntesis analítica de una red tipo Foster, para el modelaje de impedancias de fuga en transformadores, para estudios de fenómenos transitorios", *Memorias del II Congreso Venezolano de Ing. Eléctrica*, Mérida Venezuela.

Malpica, W. and J. Chassande (2001a). Cálculo analítico en el dominio de la frecuencia de las impedancias de dispersión en transformadores monofásicos, *Centro de Información Tecnológica*, **12**, Nº 4, pp. 161-170.

Malpica, N. and Enrique Pérez (2001b). Desarrollo de un modelo analítico para transformadores monofásicos, para estudios en redes que operan con cargas no lineales o en régimen transitorio, *VII Jornadas Hispano Lusas de Ing. Eléctrica*, Madrid.

Panofsky, Wolfgang and Melba Phillips (1955). *Classical Electricity and Magnetism*, Addison Wesley Publishing Company Inc.

Perry, M., (1985). *Low Frequency Electromagnetic Design*; Marcel Dekker Inc.

Spiegel, Murray (1963). *Manual de fórmulas técnicas*; Mc Graw Hill.

Samko, S. G., Anatoly A. Kilbas and Oleg I. Marichev, (1993). *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers.