

DTU Library

Collective dynamics of Fermi-Bose mixtures with an oscillating scattering length

Abdullaev, F. Kh; Ögren, M.; Sørensen, M. P.

Published in: Physical Review A

Link to article, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.99.033614](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.99.033614)

Publication date: 2019

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](http://orbit.dtu.dk/en/publications/collective-dynamics-of-fermibose-mixtures-with-an-oscillating-scattering-length(aeac0068-b48a-4005-b4c1-5990c38f40ca).html)

Citation (APA): Abdullaev, F. K., Ögren, M., & Sørensen, M. P. (2019). Collective dynamics of Fermi-Bose mixtures with an oscillating scattering length. Physical Review A, 99(3), [033614]. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.99.033614

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Collective dynamics of Fermi-Bose mixtures with an oscillating scattering length

F. Kh. Abdullaev, 1,2 M. Ögren, 3,4 and M. P. Sørensen^{5,*}

¹*Physical-Technical Institute, Uzbek Academy of Sciences, 2-b G. Mavlyanov Street, 100084 Tashkent, Uzbekistan*

²*CCNH, Universidade Federal do ABC, 09210-170, Santo André, Brazil*

³*School of Science and Technology, Örebro University, 701 82 Örebro, Sweden*

⁴*Nano Science Center, Department of Chemistry, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 5, 2100 København Ø, Denmark* ⁵*Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark*

(Received 19 April 2018; published 20 March 2019)

Collective oscillations of superfluid mixtures of ultracold fermionic and bosonic atoms are investigated while varying the fermion-boson scattering length. We study the dynamics with respect to excited center of mass modes and breathing modes in the mixture. Parametric resonances are also analyzed when the scattering length varies periodically in time, by comparing partial differential equation models and ordinary differential equation models for the dynamics. An application to the recent experiment with fermionic ⁶Li and bosonic ⁷Li atoms, which approximately have the same masses, is discussed.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.99.033614](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.99.033614)

I. INTRODUCTION

Fermi-Bose mixtures have attracted much attention lately, starting with Ref. [\[1\]](#page-9-0), where the first observation of the mixture of a Bose-Einstein condensate in a Fermi sea has been performed. Among the different types of Fermi-Bose mixtures, particular interest is devoted to a mixture where both components are superfluids. One important example is the 6 Li- 7 Li mixture [\[2,3\]](#page-9-0). Recently, experimental production of a mixture of fermionic and bosonic atoms, where both components are superfluids, has been reported for 6Li-7Li mixture [\[4,5\]](#page-9-0). Other interesting systems are the 40 K- 41 K mixture with a tunable interaction between species [\[6–8\]](#page-9-0) and the mixture of ^{133}Cs and ^{6}Li with broad interspecies Feshbach resonances [\[9,10\]](#page-9-0). Recently, the two-species superfluid ${}^{6}Li^{-41}K$ and ${}^{6}Li^{-176}Yb$ has been experimentally realized [\[11,12\]](#page-9-0). Among the new effects predicted for mixtures of Bose-Fermi superfluids we mention the existence of vortices in a rotating quasi-two-dimensional Fermi-Bose mixtures [\[13\]](#page-9-0), Faraday waves [\[14\]](#page-9-0), a prediction of the existence of super-counter-fluid phase [\[15\]](#page-9-0), the existence of dark-bright solitons [\[16\]](#page-9-0), and the multiple periodic domain formation [\[17\]](#page-9-0). Collective oscillations of the Fermi-Bose mixtures for different settings has earlier been analyzed in Refs. [\[18–21\]](#page-9-0). Dipole modes in the BCS-BEC crossover regime has been analytically considered in Ref. [\[21\]](#page-9-0). In Ref. [\[22\]](#page-9-0) the dipole oscillations of the Fermi-Bose mixture with a large mass imbalance have been experimentally investigated. It was observed that when the interspecies interaction strength is varied, the dipole oscillations frequency shifts and the 41 K and 6 Li components display a resonancelike behavior in the upward and downward (radial and axial) directions.

The aim of the present paper is to investigate the collective dynamics of the Fermi-Bose mixture when the scattering length is varied periodically in time. We start in Sec. II with a description of the model. To analyze the collective oscillations we then introduce a variational approach. In Sec. [III](#page-2-0) we investigate the dynamics for the center of mass and for breathing modes. There are different possible resonant regimes depending on what kind of scattering length, which are varied. In particular, the variation of the fermion-boson scattering length $a_{s,fb}$ will lead to parametric resonance in the oscillations of the relative distance between the centers of mass of both superfluids. Also we study the resonance dynamics of breathing modes of both superfluids. The numerical simulations are discussed in Sec. [IV.](#page-7-0) Finally, we conclude in Sec. [V](#page-8-0) that the resonances should be clear experimental signals to search for. The details of the variational approach are described in the Appendix.

II. THE MODEL

The time-dependent model is described by the system of partial differential equations (PDEs) [\[14,23\]](#page-9-0),

$$
i\psi_{b,t} = -\psi_{b,xx} + \alpha_b x^2 \psi_b + g_b |\psi_b|^2 \psi_b + g_{fb} |\psi_f|^2 \psi_b,
$$

\n
$$
i\psi_{f,t} = -\psi_{f,xx} + \alpha_f x^2 \psi_f + \kappa \pi^2 |\psi_f|^4 \psi_f + g_{fb} |\psi_b|^2 \psi_f,
$$
\n(1)

where the parameters g_b , g_{fb} are proportional to atomic scattering lengths $a_{s,b}$, $a_{s,fb}$, respectively, while α_b , α_f determines the harmonic trapping. Furthermore, $g_b = 2\hbar a_{s,b} \omega_{\perp}$ is called the one-dimensional coefficient of mean-field nonlinearity for bosons, where $a_{s,b}$ is the scattering length and ω_{\perp} is the perpendicular frequency of the trap. Similarly, g_{fb} is called the interspecies interaction coefficient [\[23\]](#page-9-0). We are interested in weak Bose-Bose interactions (we consider small positive *gb*) and attractive Fermi-Fermi interactions such that the superfluid Fermi-Bose system is described by the nonlinear Schrödinger-like Eq. (1) [\[24–28\]](#page-9-0). In the BCS weak attractive coupling limit the fermionic subsystem coefficient is $\kappa = 1/4$,

^{*}Corresponding author: mpso@dtu.dk

while in the molecular unitarity limit it is $\kappa = 1/16$ [\[23\]](#page-9-0). Finally, for the bosonic Tonks-Girardeau limit [\[29\]](#page-9-0) with the components ψ_b (ψ_f) being a weakly (strongly) repulsive bosonic species we have $\kappa = 1$. The system Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) is written in dimensionless form using the variables

$$
l_{\perp} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m_b \omega_{\perp}}}, \quad \psi_{b,f} = \sqrt{l_{\perp}} \Psi_{b,f}, \quad t = \tau \omega_{\perp},
$$

$$
x = \frac{X}{l_{\perp}}, \quad g_n = \frac{2m_b l_{\perp}}{\hbar^2} G_n,
$$

where G_n ($n = b$, fb) is the coefficient of the mean-field nonlinearity. We also implicitly assume that $m_b = m_f$ in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0). As mentioned, such a condition is approximately realized in the 6 Li- 7 Li and 40 K- 41 K mixtures.

Below we will also consider the situation of a sinusoidal time dependence of the scattering length $a_{s,fb}$. This can be achieved using the Feshbach resonance technics [\[30–33\]](#page-9-0). According to these schemes, we can manipulate the scattering lengths by varying an external magnetic field in time near the resonant value [\[34\]](#page-9-0). For the Fermi-Bose mean-field nonlinearity in the model Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) it means that the interaction parameter will be varied in time as

$$
g_{fb}(t) = g_{fb}^{(0)}[1 + c_{fb}\sin(\Omega_{fb}t)].
$$
 (2)

To analyze collective oscillations of the mixture with the scattering lengths varying in time, we will employ the variational approach (VA). According to this method we first calculate the averaged Lagrangian,

$$
\bar{L} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L(x, t) dx,
$$
 (3)

where $L(x, t)$ is the Lagrangian for the system Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0)

$$
L(x,t) = \sum_{n=b,f} \left[\frac{i}{2} (\psi_n \psi_{n,t}^* - \text{c.c.}) + |\psi_{n,x}|^2 + \alpha_n x^2 |\psi_n|^2 \right] + \frac{g_b}{2} |\psi_b|^4 + \frac{\kappa \pi^2}{3} |\psi_f|^6 + g_{fb} |\psi_b|^2 |\psi_f|^2.
$$
 (4)

For the Bose and Fermi wave functions we employ the Gaussian ansatz

$$
\psi_n = A_n \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\zeta_n)^2}{2a_n^2}\right) e^{i(b_n(x-\zeta_n)^2 + k_n(x-\zeta_n) + \phi_n)},\tag{5}
$$

for $n = b$, f and where the parameters A_n , a_n , b_n , k_n , ζ_n , ϕ_n are all real functions of time *t*. Substituting the ansatz Eq. (5) into Eq. (4) and performing the average in Eq. (3) , we obtain the following averaged Lagrangian:

$$
\bar{L}(t) = \sum_{n=b,f} \left\{ \sqrt{\pi} A_n^2 \left(\frac{1}{2a_n} + 2b_n^2 a_n^3 + a_n k_n^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} a_n^3 b_{n,t} - a_n k_n \zeta_{n,t} + a_n \phi_{n,t} \right\} + \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \alpha_n}{2} A_n^2 a_n^3 + \sqrt{\pi} \alpha_n A_n^2 a_n \zeta_n^2 \right\} + \frac{\sqrt{\pi} g_b}{2\sqrt{2}} A_b^4 a_b + \frac{\pi^{5/2} \kappa A_f^6 a_f}{3\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\sqrt{\pi} g_{fb} a_b a_f A_b^2 A_f^2}{\sqrt{a_b^2 + a_f^2}} e^{-\frac{(s_b - \zeta_f)^2}{a_b^2 + a_f^2}}.
$$
\n(6)

The numbers of atoms corresponding to the Gaussian wave functions are equal to

$$
N_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi_n|^2 dx = \sqrt{\pi} A_n^2 a_n, \quad n = b, f. \tag{7}
$$

Variation of the Lagrangian in Eq. (6) with respect to ϕ_b and ϕ_f shows that N_b and N_f are constants. The dynamical equations for the parameters, or collective coordinates, $\xi_i \in {\zeta_n, k_n, b_n, A_n, a_n}$ with $n = b, f$ can be derived from the Euler-Lagrange equations for the averaged Lagrangian Eq. (6), leading to the system of ordinary differential equations (ODEs):

$$
\frac{\partial \bar{L}}{\partial \xi_i} = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \bar{L}}{\partial \xi_{i,t}}.
$$
 (8)

Variation with respect to ϕ_n has already been taken care off leading to a constant *Nn*.

III. COLLECTIVE DYNAMICS

A. Centers of mass oscillations modes

For the center of mass coordinates (ζ_b, ζ_f) , we obtain from Eq. (8) the coupled differential equations (see the Appendix for details):

$$
\zeta_{b,tt} = -4\alpha_b \zeta_b + \frac{4g_{fb}N_f}{\sqrt{\pi} (a_b^2 + a_f^2)^{3/2}} (\zeta_b - \zeta_f) e^{-\frac{(z_b - z_f)^2}{a_b^2 + a_f^2}},
$$

$$
\zeta_{f,tt} = -4\alpha_f \zeta_f + \frac{4g_{fb}N_b}{\sqrt{\pi} (a_b^2 + a_f^2)^{3/2}} (\zeta_f - \zeta_b) e^{-\frac{(z_b - z_f)^2}{a_b^2 + a_f^2}}.
$$
(9)

We note that for small $|\zeta_b - \zeta_f| \ll 1$ the above equations have the same form as the system Eqs. (4) and (5) considered in Ref. [\[4\]](#page-9-0):

$$
\zeta_{b,tt} = -\Omega_b^2 \zeta_b - K_b \zeta_f, \n\zeta_{f,tt} = -\Omega_f^2 \zeta_f - K_f \zeta_b,
$$
\n(10)

where

$$
\Omega_{b,f}^2 = 4\alpha_{b,f} - K_{b,f}, \ K_{b,f} = \frac{4g_{fb}N_{f,b}}{\sqrt{\pi} (a_b^2 + a_f^2)^{3/2}}.
$$
 (11)

1. Total center of mass

The total center of mass (COM) is given by (assuming the same atomic mass for the two components)

$$
X(t) = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(|\psi_b|^2 + |\psi_f|^2) dx}{N_b + N_f},
$$
 (12)

which, within the Gaussian ansatz Eq. (5) , takes the form

$$
X(t) = \frac{N_b \zeta_b(t) + N_f \zeta_f(t)}{N_b + N_f}.
$$
 (13)

Forming the second-order derivative from Eqs. (9) while keeping the widths a_b and a_f constant, we obtain $X_{tt} =$ $-4[\alpha_bN_b\zeta_b(t) + \alpha_fN_f\zeta_f(t)]/(N_b+N_f)$. An interesting limiting case is: $\alpha_b = \alpha_f = \alpha$, for which $X_{tt} = -4\alpha X$. We can choose to center the trap $n = b$, f at position d_n that is to

FIG. 1. Examples of dynamics of center of mass modes (COM) of the densities from the full PDE model Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0). Colors in use are: blue (b), red (f), and green (tot). (a) At $t = 0$ we have moved the trap for both uncoupled ($g_{fb} = 0$) components by $d_b = d_f$ 0.05. We find only one frequency (equals to unity in the present parameters, $\omega_0 = 2\sqrt{\alpha_b} = 2\sqrt{\alpha_f} = 1$) in all of the three signals *b*, *f*, and tot, plotted on top of each other. (b) Coupled system (g_{fb} = 1/2) where only the bosonic trap have been translated $(d_b = 0.05$ and $d_f = 0$) at $t = 0$. Using $N_b = 10^3$ and $N_f = 2 \times 10^2$, Eq. [\(13\)](#page-2-0) gives the mean position $(X) \simeq 0.042$ for the total COM oscillations, shown by the dashed horizontal black line in the lower panel, while the black circles shows the results for the total COM oscillations from the analytic ODE based model Eq. (14). As indicated by the beating patterns in (b) we find an additional frequency $\tilde{\omega}_n$ in both the components *b* and *f* , but that cancels for the total density *tot* (lower panel) from the numerical PDE solution, in agreement with Eq. (14). See Fig. 2 for quantitative results. Physical parameters and the method for determining frequencies are discussed in Sec. [IV.](#page-7-0)

replace $\alpha_n x^2 \psi_n$ by $\alpha_n (x - d_n)^2 \psi_n$ in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) for $t \ge 0$. In this case the corresponding wave functions in Eq. [\(5\)](#page-2-0) are centered around d_n , i.e., we have the time averages $\langle \zeta_n \rangle = d_n$. So for $\alpha_b = \alpha_f = \alpha$, Eq. [\(13\)](#page-2-0) has the form

$$
X(t) = \frac{N_b \langle \zeta_b \rangle + N_f \langle \zeta_f \rangle}{N_b + N_f} [1 - \cos(2\sqrt{\alpha}t)]. \tag{14}
$$

Hence, we note that unlike the COM of the respective components, the total COM is not effected by the coupling parameter g_{fb} ; see the lower panel of Fig. 1(b). Note that we use the initial conditions $\zeta_n(0) = 0$ in Fig. 1, but as the centers of the traps are displaced from zero this do not imply that the averages $\langle \zeta_n \rangle$, $n = b$, f , vanish.

2. Relative center of mass coordinate

We define $\Delta \zeta = \zeta_b - \zeta_f$ and then, according to Eq. [\(9\)](#page-2-0) with a_b and a_f constant, we have for the case $|\Delta \zeta| \ll 1$, with $\alpha_b = \alpha_f = \alpha$ and $N = N_b + N_f$:

$$
\Delta \zeta_{tt} + 4\alpha_R \Delta \zeta = 0, \qquad (15)
$$

FIG. 2. Center of mass frequency for different coupling g_{fb} . The frequency $\tilde{\omega}_n$ normalized with $\omega_{0,n} = 2\sqrt{\alpha_n}$ (equal unity with the parameters in use) is the same for the Bose (b) and Fermi (f) components, but not present in the total COM; see Eq. (14). Rings are from simulations of the full PDE model Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0), the solid ($/dashed$) curve shows the ODE based approximation Eq. (17) with the widths $a_f = a_{f0}$ and $a_b = a_{b0}$ taken from Eqs. [\(36\)](#page-6-0) and [\(37\)](#page-6-0), respectively. The black squares at $g_{fb} = -1/2$ corresponds to the black squares in Fig. [4.](#page-4-0) Physical parameters and the method for determining frequencies are discussed in Sec. [IV.](#page-7-0)

where

$$
\alpha_R = \alpha - \frac{g_{fb}N}{\sqrt{\pi} (a_b^2 + a_f^2)^{3/2}}.
$$
 (16)

So for $g_{fb} = 0$ we have the frequency $\omega_0 = \omega_{\text{trap}} = 2\sqrt{\alpha}$ (equals unity in the parameters in use here). For $g_{fb} \neq 0$ we have the frequency

$$
\tilde{\omega} = 2\sqrt{\alpha - \frac{g_{fb}N}{\sqrt{\pi} (a_b^2 + a_f^2)^{3/2}}}.
$$
 (17)

Due to the definitions Eq. [\(13\)](#page-2-0) and $\Delta \zeta = \zeta_b - \zeta_f$, we see from Eq. (17), that the Bose-coordinate ζ_b and the Fermi-coordinate ζ_f both have the two common frequencies $\omega_{0,b,f}$ and $\tilde{\omega}_{b,f}$; see Figs. 1 and 2.

3. Parametric resonance for the center of mass mode

Note that the oscillations of the relative distance between centers of the fermionic and bosonic clouds depends on the fermion-boson scattering length, while the motion of the total center of mass of the system do not depend on g_{fb} (see above). Experiments can use this dependence to study the coupling between the dynamics of bosonic and fermionic superfluids. In particular, if we consider the periodic modulations in time for the fermion-boson scattering length g_{fb} according to Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-0), then we obtain for $\Delta \zeta$ the differential equation

$$
\Delta \zeta_{tt} + \omega_{\zeta}^2 [1 - h \sin(\Omega_{fb} t)] \Delta \zeta = 0, \qquad (18)
$$

where

$$
\omega_{\zeta} = 2\sqrt{\alpha_R}, \quad h = \frac{g_{fb}^{(0)}Nc_{fb}}{\alpha_R\sqrt{\pi}(\alpha_b^2 + \alpha_f^2)^{3/2}},\tag{19}
$$

with α_R taken from Eq. (16). This is the well-known Mathieu equation. Such that when

$$
\Omega_{fb} = 2\omega_{\zeta},\tag{20}
$$

FIG. 3. Parametric resonance for the relative center of mass modes $\Delta \zeta(t)$. Upper panel: Solution to the ODE model, from Eqs. [\(18\)](#page-3-0), [\(19\)](#page-3-0), and [\(20\)](#page-3-0), i.e., $\Omega_{fb} = 2.2388$, with parameters $g_{fb}^{(0)} =$ $-1/2$, $c_{fb} = 0.1$, and the initial value $\Delta \zeta(0) = 0.005$. The widths $a_f = a_{f0}$ and $a_b = a_{b0}$ are taken from Eqs. [\(36\)](#page-6-0) and [\(37\)](#page-6-0), respectively. Lower panel: Solution to the PDE model Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) with sinu-soidal interspecies coupling Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-0), with parameters $g_{fb}^{(0)} = -1/2$, $c_{fb} = 0.05$, $\Omega_{fb} = 2.1000$, and an initial translation of the trap for the Bose-component such that $d_b = 0.005$ (see text). Physical parameters are discussed in Sec. [IV.](#page-7-0)

we have a first parametric resonance in the oscillations of the relative distance between the clouds (upper panel of Fig. 3). The region of instability is the interval $-|h|\omega_{\zeta}/2 < \epsilon$ $|h|\omega_{\zeta}/2$, with *h* from Eq. [\(19\)](#page-3-0), around the frequency $2\omega_{\zeta}$, that is $\Omega_{fb} = 2\omega_{\zeta} + \epsilon$. For the full PDE model Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) parametric resonances can be found for driving frequences close to the prediction Eq. [\(20\)](#page-3-0); see the lower panel of Fig. 3.

4. Different trap frequencies

The frequencies of the normal modes to Eq. (10) are

$$
\Omega_{1,2}^2 = \frac{\Omega_b^2 + \Omega_f^2}{2} \mp \sqrt{\frac{\left(\Omega_b^2 - \Omega_f^2\right)^2}{4} + K_b K_f}.
$$
 (21)

An explicit expression is given by

$$
\Omega_{1,2}^{2} = 2(\alpha_{b} + \alpha_{f}) - \frac{K_{b} + K_{f}}{2}
$$

$$
\pm \sqrt{4(\alpha_{b} - \alpha_{f})^{2} + 2(\alpha_{b} - \alpha_{f})(K_{f} - K_{b}) + \frac{(K_{b} + K_{f})^{2}}{4}}.
$$
(22)

In Fig. 4 we compare the prediction for the frequencies $\Omega_{1,2}$ from Eq. (22) with simulations of the full PDE model Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0). The figure shows that the relative deviation between full numerical solutions and the prediction from Eq. (22) is less than about 5.4%. This is a good agreement supporting the validity of our collective coordinate approach in Sec. [II.](#page-1-0)

From Eq. (22) we also have the two important limiting cases from before for the following parameters:

a. Small $|\alpha_b - \alpha_f| \ll K_{b,f}$. Then we have from Eq. (22) the frequencies

$$
\Omega_{1,2}^2 \simeq 2(\alpha_b + \alpha_f) - \frac{K_b + K_f}{2} \mp \frac{K_b + K_f}{2}.
$$
 (23)

FIG. 4. Center of mass frequencies $\Omega_{1,2}$ for different traps $\alpha_b \neq$ α_f . Blue (Ω_1 , lower rings) and red (Ω_2 , upper rings) are from simulations of the full PDE model Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0), the solid curves shows the ODE based approximation Eq. (22) with the widths $a_f = a_{f0}$ and $a_b = a_{b0}$ taken from Eqs. [\(36\)](#page-6-0) and [\(37\)](#page-6-0), respectively. The dashed lines show the $K_{b,f} \ll |\alpha_b - \alpha_f|$ limits of Eq. (25). Since $g_{fb} = -1/2$ here, the black squares at $\alpha_b = \alpha_f$ corresponds to the data points in the black squares of Fig. [2.](#page-3-0) The top black square is also the $|\alpha_b - \alpha_f| \ll K_{b,f}$ limiting result for Ω_2 of Eq. (24), while $\Omega_1 = 1$. Physical parameters and the method for determining frequencies are discussed in Sec. [IV.](#page-7-0)

Hence, we have the two limiting frequencies ($\alpha = \alpha_b = \alpha_f$): Hence, we have the two limiting
 $\Omega_1 = 2\sqrt{\alpha}$ and $(N = N_b + N_f)$:

$$
\Omega_2 = 2\sqrt{\alpha - \frac{K_b + K_f}{4}} = 2\sqrt{\alpha - \frac{g_{fb}N}{\sqrt{\pi} (a_b^2 + a_f^2)^{3/2}}} = \tilde{\omega}.
$$
\n(24)

We note that the above limit agree with the results of Sec. [III A 2,](#page-3-0) see, e.g., Eq. [\(17\)](#page-3-0) and Fig. [2.](#page-3-0)

b. Small $K_{b,f} \ll |\alpha_b - \alpha_f|$. For which we get from Eq. (22) the frequencies

$$
\Omega_1^2 \simeq 4\alpha_b - K_b,
$$

\n
$$
\Omega_2^2 \simeq 4\alpha_f - K_f,
$$
\n(25)

i.e., the frequencies $\Omega_{b,f}$ from Eq. [\(11\)](#page-2-0); see Fig. 4.

5. Parametric resonance for the COM with different trap frequencies

In the case of a time-dependent fermion-boson scattering length, see Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-0), the system Eq. [\(10\)](#page-2-0) represents two coupled Mathieu equations:

$$
\zeta_{b,tt} + 4\alpha_b \zeta_b = +K_b(t)\Delta \zeta,
$$

$$
\zeta_{f,tt} + 4\alpha_f \zeta_f = -K_f(t)\Delta \zeta,
$$
 (26)

which in the case of $\alpha_b = \alpha_f$ leads to Eq. [\(15\)](#page-3-0) in Sec. [III A 2.](#page-3-0)

As is showed in Ref. [\[35\]](#page-9-0), parametric resonances in oscillations are possible at the following driving conditions in Eq. (26):

$$
\Omega_{fb} = 2\Omega_1; 2\Omega_2; |\pm \Omega_1 \pm \Omega_2|.
$$
 (27)

See Fig. [5](#page-5-0) for two numerical examples.

Let us finally estimate possible experimental parameters. The parameters for the system ${}^{6}Li$ ⁻⁷Li at the conditions of the experiment [\[4\]](#page-9-0) are: the fermion-boson scattering length is $a_{fb} = 40a_0$; the trap frequencies are $\omega_{trap,b} = 2\pi \times 15.2$ Hz and $\omega_{\text{trap},f} = 2\pi \times 16.8$ Hz; with the transverse frequency

FIG. 5. Parametric resonance for the relative center of mass modes $\Delta \zeta(t)$ for different traps $\alpha_b \neq \alpha_f$ within the ODE model. Upper panel: Solution to the ODE model, Eq. [\(26\)](#page-4-0), with the driving $\Omega_{fb} = 2\Omega_2 = 2.2638$, with parameters $g_{fb}^{(0)} = -1/2$, $c_{fb} = 0.1$, $\alpha_b = 1/4$, $\alpha_f = 1.08\alpha_b$, and the initial value $\Delta \zeta(0) = 0.005$. The widths $a_f = a_{f0}$ and $a_b = a_{b0}$ are taken from Eqs. [\(36\)](#page-6-0) and [\(37\)](#page-6-0), respectively. Lower panel: Solution to the ODE model, Eq. [\(26\)](#page-4-0), with the driving $\Omega_{fb} = 2\Omega_2 = 2.2152$, with the same parameters as in the upper panel except that $\alpha_f = 0.9\alpha_b$. No qualitative differences are seen for the $\alpha_b \neq \alpha_f$ case compared to Fig. [3,](#page-4-0) that shows both ODE and PDE results for the case $\alpha_b = \alpha_f$. Physical parameters are discussed in Sec. [IV.](#page-7-0)

 ω_{\perp} = 550 Hz, such that the transverse length is $l_{\perp} \simeq 4 \ \mu \text{m}$; the number of fermionic ⁶Li-atoms is $N_f = 3.5 \times 10^5$ and the number of bosonic ⁷Li-atoms is $N_b = 4 \times 10^4$; the widths of the bosonic and fermionic clouds are $a_b \simeq 2.5 l_{\perp}$ and $a_f \simeq$ $10l_{\perp}$; the coupling parameter in Eq. [\(10\)](#page-2-0), for the trapping parameters $\alpha_b = 0.001$ and $\alpha_f = 0.0011$, are $K_b \simeq 0.002$ and $K_f \simeq 10K_b$.

Then we can conclude that the frequency of the bosonic center of mass is reduced due to the fermi-bose interaction from 15.3 to 14.4 Hz, while the fermionic is not practically changed. This agrees with the experimental observation reported in Ref. [\[4\]](#page-9-0).

The atomic scattering length dependence on the external magnetic field *B* in the region of the Feshbach resonance is

$$
a_{fb} = a_{bg} \bigg[1 - \frac{\Delta}{B_0 - B(t)} \bigg],\tag{28}
$$

where B_0 is the Feshbach resonance position, Δ is the width of the resonance, and *abg* is the background atomic scattering length. For the ⁶Li-⁷Li mixture with $B_0 = 360$ G [\[2,3\]](#page-9-0) the atomic scattering length a_{fb} can be varied by applying the external magnetic field varying periodically in the time near the Feshbach resonance at B_0 , $B(t) = B_{av} + B_1 \sin(\Omega t)$, where B_{av} is the averaged value of the field.

Then for the frequencies of the parametric resonances in dimension variables, we find $2\Omega_1 = 2\pi \times 31$ Hz and $2\Omega_2 =$ $2\pi \times 32.1$ Hz.

B. Breathing modes

For the width of the bosonic subsystem we have from Eq. [\(8\)](#page-2-0) the equation (see the Appendix for details)

$$
a_{b,tt} = \frac{4}{a_b^3} + \frac{\sqrt{2}g_b N_b}{\sqrt{\pi}a_b^2} - 4\alpha_b a_b - 4N_f g_{fb} \frac{dF}{da_b}, \qquad (29)
$$

where

For the width of the fermionic subsystem we obtain the equation

$$
a_{f,tt} = \frac{4}{a_f^3} - 4\alpha_f a_f + \frac{8\pi \kappa N_f^2}{3\sqrt{3}a_f^3} - 4N_b g_{fb} \frac{dF}{da_f}.
$$
 (30)

The equilibrium values for the widths of bosonic and fermionic clouds, from here on denoted a_{b0} and a_{f0} , are given by the solutions to the equations

$$
\frac{4}{a_b^3} + \frac{\sqrt{2}g_b N_b}{\sqrt{\pi}a_b^2} - 4\alpha_b a_b - 4N_f g_{fb} \frac{dF}{da_b} = 0,
$$

$$
\frac{4}{a_f^3} - 4\alpha_f a_f + \frac{8\pi \kappa N_f^2}{3\sqrt{3}a_f^3} - 4N_b g_{fb} \frac{dF}{da_f} = 0.
$$
 (31)

We can find the frequencies of breathing modes by a linearization of Eqs. (29) and (30) near the fixed point (a_{b0}, a_{f0}) by the substitutions $a_b = a_{b0} + \delta a_b$ and $a_f = a_{f0} + \delta a_f$. From the equations for δ_b and δ_f we then obtain the following coupled system of equations:

$$
\delta a_{b,tt} + \omega_b^2 \delta a_b = -\epsilon_1(t) \delta a_f,
$$

$$
\delta a_{f,tt} + \omega_f^2 \delta a_f = -\epsilon_2(t) \delta a_b,
$$
 (32)

where

$$
\omega_b^2 = \frac{12}{a_{b0}^4} \left(1 + \frac{g_b N_b a_{b0}}{3\sqrt{2\pi}} \right) + 4\alpha_b + 4N_f g_{fb} \frac{d^2 F}{da_b^2} \Big|_{a_b = a_{b0}},
$$

$$
\omega_f^2 = \frac{12}{a_{f0}^4} \left(1 + \frac{2\pi \kappa N_f^2}{3\sqrt{3}} \right) + 4\alpha_f + 4N_b g_{fb} \frac{d^2 F}{da_f^2} \Big|_{a_f = a_{f0}},
$$
(33)

and

$$
\epsilon_1 = 4N_f g_{fb}(t) \frac{d^2 F}{da_b da_f}\Big|_{a_{b,f} = a_{b0,f0}},
$$

$$
\epsilon_2 = 4N_b g_{fb}(t) \frac{d^2 F}{da_b da_f}\Big|_{a_{b,f} = a_{b0,f0}}.
$$
 (34)

The time dependence in $\epsilon_{1,2}$ comes into play when the interspecies coupling g_{fb} is time-dependent, e.g., according to Eq. (2) . For Eq. (32) we then have that the frequencies of the normal modes for the coupled bosonic and fermionic systems are

$$
\omega_{1,2}^2 = \frac{\omega_b^2 + \omega_f^2}{2} \mp \sqrt{\frac{(\omega_f^2 - \omega_b^2)^2}{4} + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2}.
$$
 (35)

To compare the breathing mode frequencies given by Eq. (35) against full PDE simulations we study some special cases.

1. Breathing modes for uncoupled components ($g_{fb} = 0$ *)*

First we solve the system Eq. (31) , which is now uncoupled, and obtain the equilibrium value for the widths of the fermionic subsystem $a_{f0} = [(3\sqrt{3} + 2\pi\kappa N_f^2)/(3\sqrt{3}\alpha_f)]^{1/4}$. In particular, we see that the $N_f \to \infty$ limit,

$$
a_{f0} \rightarrow \left(\frac{2\pi\kappa}{3\sqrt{3}\alpha_f}\right)^{1/4} \sqrt{N_f},\tag{36}
$$

compares with the Thomas-Fermi width [\[36\]](#page-9-0) except for a numerical factor $\left[\frac{\pi}{6\sqrt{3}}\right]^{1/4} \approx 0.74$.

Now let us obtain an analytic expression also for a_{b0} by neglecting the term $4/a_b^3$ in the upper line of Eq. [\(31\)](#page-5-0), which can be motivated for large values of N_b and/or a_b . Then we have the real positive solution

$$
a_{b0} = \left(\frac{g_b}{2\sqrt{2\pi}\alpha_b} N_b\right)^{1/3},\tag{37}
$$

which compares with the Thomas-Fermi width [\[36\]](#page-9-0) except for a numerical factor $\left[2/(9\pi)\right]^{1/6} \simeq 0.64$.

Now we take the (simplified) analytic Eqs. (36) and (37) for the equilibrium widths and insert them into Eq. (33) , to obtain

$$
\omega_b^2 = \frac{12}{a_{b0}^4} \left(1 + \frac{g_b N_b a_{b0}}{3\sqrt{2\pi}} \right) + 4\alpha_b \simeq \frac{4g_b N_b}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{a_{b0}^3} + 4\alpha_b,
$$

$$
\omega_f^2 = \frac{12}{a_{f0}^4} \left(1 + \frac{2\pi \kappa N_f^2}{3\sqrt{3}} \right) + 4\alpha_f \simeq \frac{8\pi \kappa N_f^2}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{1}{a_{f0}^4} + 4\alpha_f,
$$
(38)

which gives $\omega_b^2 = 12\alpha_b$ and $\omega_f^2 = 16\alpha_f$. Now with $\alpha_n =$ $\frac{1}{2}m_n\omega_{\text{trap},n}^2$, and $m_n = 1/2$, we finally have

$$
\omega_b^2 = 3\omega_{\text{trap},b}^2, \ \omega_f^2 = 4\omega_{\text{trap},f}^2. \tag{39}
$$

This agrees with the result in the literature for Thomas-Fermi based models [\[37,38\]](#page-9-0), and hence it is an alternative derivation for the breathing mode frequency of each (uncoupled) component.

In Fig. $6(a)$, we illustrate this case, that was used to check the accuracy of the numerical procedures.

2. *Breathing modes for constant* $g_{fb} \neq 0$ *(not coupled to COM modes, i.e.,* $\zeta_b = \zeta_f = 0$ *)*

Also for the breathing modes the two same frequencies occurs in both components when there is a coupling $g_{fb} \neq 0$. Now we have two frequencies $\omega_{1,2}$ that both differs from the respective uncoupled values given by Eq. (39): $\omega_b =$ $\overline{3}\omega_{\text{trap},b}$ and $\omega_f = 2\omega_{\text{trap},f}$ ($\omega_{\text{trap},n} = 2\sqrt{\alpha_n}$ both equals unity in the PDE simulations here); see Fig. $6(b)$.

We now solve the coupled system Eq. (31) for real positive roots (numerically) for each value of g_{fb} to obtain the equilibrium values for the widths of bosonic and fermionic subsystems. Hence, by doing this we can evaluate Eqs. (33) , [\(34\)](#page-5-0), and [\(35\)](#page-5-0) numerically for different values of the coupling g_{fb} , see Fig. [7,](#page-7-0) and/or for different trap strengths α_b and α_f .

FIG. 6. Examples of breathing mode dynamics. We plot the RMS widths of the densities (anticorrelated to the peak density) of the two components, from the full PDE model Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0). Panel (a) is for the uncoupled system. Panel (b) is for the coupled system $(g_{fb} = 1/2)$. The straight lines in (a) show the RMS width of the Thomas-Fermi profiles [\[36\]](#page-9-0). We determined the single frequencies for the RMS signal in each component in (a) to $\omega_b = 1.732$ and $\omega_f = 2.000$, which is in agreement with Eq. (39). As indicated by the beating patterns in (b), we then have two frequencies for each RMS signal, the same in both component, which are in agreement with Eq. [\(35\)](#page-5-0). See Fig. [7](#page-7-0) for quantitative results. Physical parameters and the method for determining frequencies are discussed in Sec. [IV.](#page-7-0)

3. Parametric resonance of breathing modes

For the periodic modulations in time of the scattering length $a_{s,fb}$, i.e., with g_{fb} according to Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-0), the system Eq. [\(32\)](#page-5-0) is two coupled Mathieu-like equations. This system has been investigated in the work [\[35\]](#page-9-0). Applying the results to our case (with $\zeta_b = \zeta_f = 0$) we accordingly expect resonances in the breathing mode oscillations. The resonances can occur for a driving frequency Ω_{fb} near twice of the normal modes eigenfrequencies Eq. [\(35\)](#page-5-0) (and their subharmonics) $2\omega_n$, and also close to the combination frequencies

$$
\Omega_{fb} = |\pm \omega_1 \pm \omega_2|.
$$
 (40)

For small $\epsilon_{1,2} \ll \omega_{b,f}$ we have the following estimates from Eq. (35) :

$$
\omega_{1,2} \simeq \omega_{b,f} \Bigg[1 \mp \frac{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2}{2 \omega_{b,f}^2 (\omega_f^2 - \omega_b^2)} \Bigg],
$$

FIG. 7. Breathing mode frequencies for different couplings g_{fb} . The solid (/dashed) curves shows the predictions from the ODE model, from Eqs. (33) , (34) , and (35) . Rings shows results from the full PDE simulations. For the PDE calculations the initial state was chosen as the groundstate for $\omega_{\text{trap},n} = 0.995$, i.e., by about 1% lower values of α_n compared to the evolution in real time. Physical parameters and the method for determining frequencies are discussed in Sec. IV.

such that

$$
\omega_1 \pm \omega_2 \simeq (\omega_b \pm \omega_f) \bigg[1 \mp \frac{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2}{2 \omega_b \omega_f (\omega_b + \omega_f)^2} \bigg].
$$

As was shown with a multiscale analysis in the Appendix of Ref. [\[14\]](#page-9-0) $|\omega_1 - \omega_2|$ is stable. Furthermore, the driving frequency $\omega_1 + \omega_2$ have a higher gain than $2\omega_n$ and is therefore used in the numerical examples of parametric resonance in the breathing modes presented in Figs. 8 and 9. In the Appendix of Ref. [\[14\]](#page-9-0) also the region of instability for $\omega_1 + \omega_2$ was de-

FIG. 8. Parametric resonance of breathing modes, i.e., the change in the normalized RMS widths. Two upper panels $(n = b,$ blue; $n = f$, red): Solution to the ODE model, from Eqs. [\(32\)](#page-5-0) and [\(40\)](#page-6-0), with parameters $g_{fb}^{(0)} = 1/2$, $c_{fb} = 0.2$, i.e., $\Omega_{fb} = \omega_1 + \omega_2$ $\omega_2 = 3.6222$, and the initial values $\delta a_{b,f}(0) = 0.005$. The widths $a_f = a_{f0}$ and $a_b = a_{b0}$ are obtained numerically from Eq. [\(31\)](#page-5-0). Two lower panels: Solution to the PDE model Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) with sinusoidal interspecies coupling Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-0), with parameters $g_{fb}^{(0)} = 1/2$, $c_{fb} = 0.2$, $\Omega_{fb} = 3.6358$. An initial increase in the trapping frequencies for both components was used (see caption of Fig. 7), such that the RMS widths initially have a change in the order $\Delta w_{\text{TF},n} \simeq 0.005 w_{\text{TF},n}$ [\[36\]](#page-9-0). Physical parameters are discussed in Sec. IV.

FIG. 9. Parametric resonance of breathing modes for different traps $\alpha_b \neq \alpha_f$ within the ODE model. Two upper panels (*n* = *b*, blue; $n = f$, red): Solution to the ODE model for $\alpha_b = 1/4$ and $\alpha_f =$ 1.08 α_b , from Eqs. [\(32\)](#page-5-0) and [\(40\)](#page-6-0), with parameters $g_{fb}^{(0)} = 1/2$, $c_{fb} =$ 0.2, i.e., $\Omega_{fb} = \omega_1 + \omega_2 = 3.6980$, and the initial values $\delta a_{b,f}(0) =$ 0.005. The widths $a_f = a_{f0}$ and $a_b = a_{b0}$ are obtained numerically from Eq. [\(31\)](#page-5-0). Two lower panels ($n = b$, blue; $n = f$, red): Solution to the ODE model according to the same procedure as for the upper panels but with a weaker trap for the fermions $\alpha_f = 0.9\alpha_b$, i.e., $\Omega_{fb} = \omega_1 + \omega_2 = 3.5232$. Physical parameters are discussed in Sec. IV.

0 500 500 1000 t

termined. The exponentially increasing widths of the Bose and Fermi clouds demonstrate the instability of this resonance. However, for these large deviations of the widths, we cannot expect good agreement with full numerical simulations as is evident from the Fig. 8. We note that the oscillations in the lowest panel in Fig. 8 are unsymmetric with respect to $w_f(0)$ since the full spatial shapes (not presented here) of the clouds in the PDE simulations behaves quantitatively different at large amplitudes for fermions and bosons, as we have studied in detail numerically.

IV. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

Possible experimental parameters used for the calculations presented in the figures are the following: we have illustrated the BCS regime ($\kappa = 1/4$) for $N_b = 10^3$ number of bosons and $N_f = 2 \times 10^2$ fermions, trapped with the same strength $\alpha_b = \alpha_f = 1/4$ (except for in Figs. [4,](#page-4-0) [5,](#page-5-0) and 9); the intracoupling parameter in use was $g_b = g_b^{(0)} = 1$ (see Sec. [II](#page-1-0) for the translation to dimensionless variables).

Furthermore, phase separation for the components is here expected for a coupling in the order of $g_{fb} \sim 1$ [\[39\]](#page-9-0), which we have confirmed numerically. Therefore, the curves in Figs. [2](#page-3-0) and 7 based on the ODE model are dashed from this point on, and no rings from full PDE simulations are plotted, since we are not discussing the effect of phase separation here.

ODEs have been solved with Matlab's built in solver ode45 while PDEs have been solved with XMDS [\[40\]](#page-9-0) on dense enough $x - t$ -grids. The groundstates used for the initial conditions of the PDE [\(1\)](#page-1-0) was calculated using a damped second

 $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathsf{c}}$

 -20^{L}_{O} $\overline{0}$ 20

order equation (DFPM) [\[41\]](#page-10-0), using dynamical constraints [\[42\]](#page-10-0) to keep the number of particles constant. Frequences of the oscillating observables have been obtained using exponential fitting as described in Ref. [\[43\]](#page-10-0), which is a development of the well known ESPRIT [\[44\]](#page-10-0). In comparison with experimental data, additional noise reduction may be necessary in obtaining the frequencies [\[45\]](#page-10-0).

V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion we have investigated the collective oscillations of superfluid mixtures of ultra cold fermionic and bosonic atoms while varying the scattering lengths periodically in time. The case of varying the fermion-boson $a_{s,fb}$ scattering lengths are studied with respect to excited center of mass modes and breathing modes in the mixture. Parametric resonances in the oscillations are predicted and the properties are analyzed by comparing PDE and ODE models for the dynamics. The resulting oscillations with increasing amplitudes provides clear experimental signals to search for. A specific application is to the recent experiment with fermionic ⁶Li and bosonic 7Li atoms with oscillating fermion-boson scattering length, which can be realized using the Feshbach resonance technic.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

F.Kh.A. acknowledges the hospitality of Örebro University Sweden and partial support from a senior visitor fellowship from Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Cientifico e Tecnológico (CNPq-Brasil). The authors also acknowledge valuable comments from an anonymous referee.

APPENDIX: THE ODE MODEL

Variation with respect to each of the time-dependent parameters ξ_b , k_b , b_b , ξ_f , k_f , and b_f in the averaged Lagrangian Eq. [\(6\)](#page-2-0) and invoking the Euler Lagrange Eqs. [\(8\)](#page-2-0) results in the dynamical systems

$$
\frac{d\zeta_b}{dt} = 2k_b, \quad \frac{da_b}{dt} = 4a_b b_b,
$$
\n
$$
\frac{dk_b}{dt} = -2\alpha_b \zeta_b + 2g_{fb} \frac{N_f}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\zeta_b - \zeta_f}{(a_b^2 + a_f^2)^{3/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(\zeta_b - \zeta_f)^2}{a_b^2 + a_f^2}\right],
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d\zeta_f}{dt} = 2k_f, \quad \frac{da_f}{dt} = 4a_f b_f,
$$
\n
$$
\frac{dk_f}{dt} = -2\alpha_f \zeta_f + 2g_{fb} \frac{N_b}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\zeta_f - \zeta_b}{(a_b^2 + a_f^2)^{3/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(\zeta_f - \zeta_b)^2}{a_b^2 + a_f^2}\right].
$$
\n(A1)

These equations are coupled to the equations derived from variation with respect to A_b , a_b , A_f , and a_f . The four final equations constitute a rather sizable system. The first equation arises from variation with respect to a_b and reads

$$
\frac{3}{2}a_b^2 \frac{db_b}{dt} + \frac{d\phi_b}{dt} = k_b^2 + \frac{1}{2a_b^2} - 6a_b^2 b_b^2 - \frac{3}{2} \alpha_b a_b^2 - \alpha_b \zeta_b^2 - \frac{g_b}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{N_b}{\sqrt{\pi} a_b} - g_{fb} \frac{N_f}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(a_b^2 + a_f^2 \right)^{-1/2} \exp\left[-\frac{(\zeta_b - \zeta_f)^2}{a_b^2 + a_f^2} \right] + g_{fb} a_b^2 \frac{N_f}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left[1 - 2\frac{(\zeta_b - \zeta_f)^2}{a_b^2 + a_f^2} \right] \left(a_b^2 + a_f^2 \right)^{-3/2} \exp\left[-\frac{(\zeta_b - \zeta_f)^2}{a_b^2 + a_f^2} \right] = F_1(\xi_b, k_b, a_b, b_b, \xi_f, a_f). \tag{A2}
$$

The second equation is derived by variation with respect to a_f and reads

$$
\frac{3}{2}a_f^2 \frac{db_f}{dt} + \frac{d\phi_f}{dt} = k_f^2 + \frac{1}{2a_f^2} - 6a_f^2 b_f^2 - \frac{3}{2}\alpha_f a_f^2 - \alpha_f \zeta_f^2 - \frac{\kappa \pi}{3\sqrt{3}} \frac{N_f^2}{a_f^2} - g_{fb} \frac{N_b}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(a_b^2 + a_f^2\right)^{-1/2} \exp\left[-\frac{(\zeta_b - \zeta_f)^2}{a_b^2 + a_f^2}\right] + g_{fb} a_f^2 \frac{N_b}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left[1 - 2\frac{(\zeta_b - \zeta_f)^2}{a_b^2 + a_f^2}\right] \left(a_b^2 + a_f^2\right)^{-3/2} \exp\left[-\frac{(\zeta_b - \zeta_f)^2}{a_b^2 + a_f^2}\right] = F_2(\xi_f, k_f, a_f, b_f, \xi_b, a_b). \tag{A3}
$$

The third equation is obtained from variation with respect to the Bose amplitude A_b :

$$
a_b^2 \frac{db_b}{dt} + 2 \frac{d\phi_b}{dt} = 2k_b^2 - \frac{1}{a_b^2} - 4a_b^2 b_b^2 - \alpha_b a_b^2 - 2\alpha_b \zeta_b^2 - \frac{g_b \sqrt{2}N_b}{\sqrt{\pi}a_b} - 2g_{fb} \frac{N_f}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(a_b^2 + a_f^2\right)^{-1/2} \exp\left[-\frac{(\zeta_b - \zeta_f)^2}{a_b^2 + a_f^2}\right]
$$

= $F_3(\xi_b, k_b, a_b, b_b, \xi_f, a_f).$ (A4)

The final and fourth equation results from variation with respect to the Fermi amplitude A_f :

$$
a_f^2 \frac{db_f}{dt} + 2 \frac{d\phi_f}{dt} = 2k_f^2 - \frac{1}{a_f^2} - 4a_f^2 b_f^2 - \alpha_f a_f^2 - 2\alpha_f \zeta_f^2 - \frac{2\kappa \pi N_f^2}{\sqrt{3}a_f^2} - 2g_{fb} \frac{N_b}{\sqrt{\pi}} (a_b^2 + a_f^2)^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{(\zeta_b - \zeta_f)^2}{a_b^2 + a_f^2}\right)
$$

= $F_4(\xi_f, k_f, a_f, b_f, \xi_b, a_b).$ (A5)

For numerical implementation it is convenient to rewrite Eqs. [\(A2\)](#page-8-0) and [\(A4\)](#page-8-0) into the form

$$
\frac{db_b}{dt} = \frac{1}{a_b^2} F_1 - \frac{1}{2a_b^2} F_3, \quad \frac{d\phi_b}{dt} = -\frac{1}{2} F_1 + \frac{3}{4} F_3.
$$
\n(A6)

Similarly for Eqs. [\(A3\)](#page-8-0) and [\(A5\)](#page-8-0),

$$
\frac{db_f}{dt} = \frac{1}{a_f^2} F_2 - \frac{1}{2a_f^2} F_4, \quad \frac{d\phi_f}{dt} = -\frac{1}{2} F_2 + \frac{3}{4} F_4.
$$
\n(A7)

Note that these two final systems of equations are coupled to the system in Eq. [\(A1\)](#page-8-0).

- [1] F. Schreck, L. Khaykovich, K. L. Corwin, G. Ferrari, T. Bourdel, J. Cubizolles, and C. Salomon, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.080403) **[87](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.080403)**, [080403](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.080403) [\(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.080403).
- [2] [F. A. van Abeelen, B. J. Verhaar, and A. J. Moerdijk,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.55.4377) *Phys. Rev.* A **[55](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.55.4377)**, [4377](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.55.4377) [\(1997\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.55.4377).
- [3] E. G. M. v. Kempen, B. Marcelis, and S. J. J. M. F. Kokkelmans, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.70.050701) **[70](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.70.050701)**, [050701\(R\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.70.050701) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.70.050701).
- [4] I. Ferrier-Barbut *et al.*, [Science](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1255380) **[345](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1255380)**, [1035](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1255380) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1255380).
- [5] M. Delehaye, S. Laurent, I. Ferrier-Barbut, S. Jin, F. Chevy, and C. Salomon, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.265303) **[115](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.265303)**, [265303](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.265303) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.265303).
- [6] H. Wang, A. N. Nikolov, J. R. Ensher, P. L. Gould, E. E. Eyler, W. C. Stwalley, J. P. Burke, J. L. Bohn, C..H. Greene, E. Tiesinga, C. J. Williams, and P. S. Julienne, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.62.052704) **[62](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.62.052704)**, [052704](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.62.052704) [\(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.62.052704).
- [7] S. Falke, H. Knöckel, J. Friebe, M. Riedmann, E. Tiemann, and C. Lisdat, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.78.012503) **[78](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.78.012503)**, [012503](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.78.012503) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.78.012503).
- [8] C. H. Wu, I. Santiago, J. W. Park, P. Ahmadi, and M. W. Zwierlein, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.84.011601) **[84](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.84.011601)**, [011601](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.84.011601) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.84.011601).
- [9] M. Repp, R. Pires, J. Ulmanis, R. Heck, E. D. Kuhnle, M. Weidemüller, and E. Tiemann, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.010701) **[87](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.010701)**, [010701](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.010701) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.010701).
- [10] S.-K. Tung, C. Parker, J. Johansen, C. Chin, Y. Wang, and P. S. Julienne, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.010702) **[87](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.010702)**, [010702](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.010702) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.010702).
- [11] X. C. Yao, H. Z. Chen, Y. P. Wu, X. P. Liu, X. Q. Wang, X. Jiang, Y. Deng, Y. A. Chen, and J. W. Pan, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.145301) **[117](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.145301)**, [145301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.145301) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.145301).
- [12] R. Roy, A. Green, R. Bowler, and S. Gupta, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.055301)* **[118](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.055301)**, [055301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.055301) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.055301).
- [13] L. Wen and J. Li, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.90.053621) **[90](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.90.053621)**, [053621](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.90.053621) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.90.053621).
- [14] F. Kh. Abdullaev, M. Ögren, and M. P. Sørensen, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.023616) **[87](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.023616)**, [023616](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.023616) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.023616).
- [15] A. B. Kuklov and B. V. Svistunov, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.100401) **[90](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.100401)**, [100401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.100401) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.100401).
- [16] A. Mitra, [J. Low Temp. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10909-017-1820-0) **[190](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10909-017-1820-0)**, [90](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10909-017-1820-0) [\(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10909-017-1820-0).
- [17] M. Tylutki, A. Reatti, F. Dalfovo, and S. Stringari, [New J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/18/5/053014) **[18](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/18/5/053014)**, [053014](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/18/5/053014) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/18/5/053014).
- [18] F. Ferliano *et al.*, [J. Opt. B](https://doi.org/10.1088/1464-4266/5/2/351) **[5](https://doi.org/10.1088/1464-4266/5/2/351)**, [S3](https://doi.org/10.1088/1464-4266/5/2/351) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1464-4266/5/2/351).
- [19] A. Banerjee, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.76.023611) **[76](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.76.023611)**, [023611](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.76.023611) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.76.023611).
- [20] S. Nascimbene, N. Navon, K. J. Jiang, L. Tarruell, M. [Teichmann, J. McKeever, F. Chevy, and C. Salomon,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.170402) Phys. Rev. Lett. **[103](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.170402)**, [170402](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.170402) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.170402).
- [21] W. Wen, B. Chen, and X. Zhang, [J. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6455/50/3/035301) **[50](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6455/50/3/035301)**, [035301](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6455/50/3/035301) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6455/50/3/035301).
- [22] Y. P. Wu, X. C. Yao, X. P. Liu, X. Q. Wang, Y. X. Wang, H. Z. Chen, Y. Deng, Y. A. Chen, and J. W. Pan, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.97.020506) **[97](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.97.020506)**, [020506\(R\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.97.020506) [\(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.97.020506).
- [23] S. K. Adhikari and L. Salasnich, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.76.023612) **[76](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.76.023612)**, [023612](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.76.023612) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.76.023612); **[77](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.77.033618)**, [033618](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.77.033618) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.77.033618); **[78](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.78.043616)**, [043616](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.78.043616) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.78.043616).
- [24] H. Heiselberg, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.040402) **[93](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.040402)**, [040402](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.040402) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.040402); **[108](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.249904)**, [249904\(E\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.249904) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.249904).
- [25] A. Bulgac and G. F. Bertsch, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.070401) **[94](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.070401)**, [070401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.070401) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.070401).
- [26] N. Manini and L. Salasnich, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.71.033625) **[71](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.71.033625)**, [033625](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.71.033625) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.71.033625).
- [27] G. E. Astrakharchik, R. Combescot, X. Leyronas, and S. Stringari, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.030404) **[95](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.030404)**, [030404](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.030404) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.030404); G. E. Astrakharchik, J. Boronat, J. Casulleras, and S. Giorgini, *[ibid.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.200404)* **[93](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.200404)**, [200404](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.200404) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.200404).
- [28] S. K. Adhikari, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.77.045602) **[77](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.77.045602)**, [045602](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.77.045602) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.77.045602).
- [29] [E. B. Kolomeisky, T. J. Newman, J. P. Straley, and X. Qi,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.1146) *Phys.* Rev. Lett. **[85](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.1146)**, [1146](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.1146) [\(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.1146); M. Ögren, G. M. Kavoulakis, and A. D. Jackson, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.72.021603) **[72](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.72.021603)**, [021603\(R\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.72.021603) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.72.021603).
- [30] Yu. Kagan, E. L. Surkov, and G. V. Shlyapnikov, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.54.R1753) **[54](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.54.R1753)**, [R1753](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.54.R1753) [\(1996\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.54.R1753).
- [31] Yu. Kagan and L. A. Manakova, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.76.023601) **[76](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.76.023601)**, [023601](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.76.023601) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.76.023601).
- [32] S. Inouye, M. R. Andrews, J. Stenger, H.-J. Miesner, D. M. Stamper-Kurn, and W. Ketterle, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/32354) **[392](https://doi.org/10.1038/32354)**, [151](https://doi.org/10.1038/32354) [\(1998\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/32354).
- [33] H. Saito and M. Ueda, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.040403) **[90](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.040403)**, [040403](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.040403) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.040403); F. Kh. Abdullaev, A. M. Kamchatnov, V. V. Konotop, and V. A. Brazhnyi, *[ibid.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.230402)* **[90](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.230402)**, [230402](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.230402) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.230402); D. E. Pelinovsky, P. G. Kevrekidis, and D. J. Frantzeskakis, *[ibid.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.240201)* **[91](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.240201)**, [240201](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.240201) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.240201); F. Kh. Abdullaev, J. G. Caputo, R. A. Kraenkel, and B. A. Malomed, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.67.013605) **[67](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.67.013605)**, [013605](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.67.013605) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.67.013605).
- [34] F. Ferlaino, C. D'Errico, G. Roati, M. Zaccanti, M. Inguscio, G. Modugno, and A. Simoni, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.73.040702) **[73](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.73.040702)**, [040702](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.73.040702) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.73.040702).
- [35] J. Hansen, [Ingenieur-Archiv](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00537654) **[55](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00537654)**, [463](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00537654) [\(1985\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00537654).
- [36] With $g_{fb} = 0$ we have the standard Thomas-Fermi (TF) results for the atomic densities $n_{\text{TF},b}(x) = |\psi_b|^2 = \frac{1}{g_b}(\mu_b - \alpha_b x^2)$ and $n_{\text{TF},f}(x) = |\psi_f|^2 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\kappa \pi^2} (\mu_f - \alpha_f x^2)}$. Using the TF radius $R_{\text{TF},n} = \sqrt{\mu_n/\alpha_n}$, $n = f, b$ we can from the normalizations $N_n = \int n_{\text{TF},n} dx$ obtain the standard TF widths $R_{\text{TF},b}$ $\left[3g_bN_b/(4\alpha_b)\right]^{1/3}$ and $R_{\text{TF},f} = (\kappa/\alpha_f)^{1/4}\sqrt{2N_f}$, while the corresponding TF RMS values that we compared to the ODE √ model are $w_{\text{TF},b} = R_{\text{TF},b}/\sqrt{5}$ and $w_{\text{TF},f} = R_{\text{TF},f}/2$.
- [37] C. Menotti and S. Stringari, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.66.043610) **[66](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.66.043610)**, [043610](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.66.043610) [\(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.66.043610); **[67](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.67.069904)**, [069904\(E\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.67.069904) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.67.069904).
- [38] J. N. Fuchs, X. Leyronas, and R. Combescot, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.68.043610) **[68](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.68.043610)**, [043610](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.68.043610) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.68.043610); Laser Phys. **14**, 551 (2004).
- [39] L. Viverit, C. J. Pethick, and H. Smith, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.61.053605) **[61](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.61.053605)**, [053605](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.61.053605) [\(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.61.053605); Z. Q. Yu, S. Zhang, and H. Zhai *et al.*, *[ibid.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.041603)* **[83](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.041603)**, [041603\(R\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.041603) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.041603); **[86](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.069904)**, [069904\(E\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.069904) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.069904).
- [40] G. R. Collecutt and P. D. Drummond, [Comput. Phys. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4655(01)00309-5) **[142](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4655(01)00309-5)**, [219](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4655(01)00309-5) [\(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4655(01)00309-5); G. R. Dennis, J. J. Hope, and M. T. Johnsson, *[ibid.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2012.08.016)* **[184](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2012.08.016)**, [201](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2012.08.016) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2012.08.016).
- [41] P. Sandin, M. Ögren, and M. Gulliksson, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.93.033301) **[93](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.93.033301)**, [033301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.93.033301) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.93.033301).
- [42] M. Gulliksson, M. Ögren, Y. Zhang, and A. Oleynik, in *Handbook of the Mathematics of the Arts and Sciences*, edited by [B. Sriraman \(Springer, Cham, in press\),](http://www.springer.com/978-3-319-57071-6) www.springer.com/ 978-3-319-57071-6.
- [43] [F. Andersson, M. Carlsson, J.-Y. Tourneret, and H. Wendt,](https://doi.org/10.1109/TSP.2014.2358961) IEEE Trans. Signal Process. **[62](https://doi.org/10.1109/TSP.2014.2358961)**, [5761](https://doi.org/10.1109/TSP.2014.2358961) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1109/TSP.2014.2358961).
- [44] R. Roy and T. Kailath, [IEEE Trans. Acoust., Speech, Signal](https://doi.org/10.1109/29.32276) Process. **[37](https://doi.org/10.1109/29.32276)**, [984](https://doi.org/10.1109/29.32276) [\(1989\)](https://doi.org/10.1109/29.32276).
- [45] F. Andersson and M. Carlsson, [Appl. Comput. Harmonic Anal.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acha.2017.03.004) **[46](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acha.2017.03.004)**, [40](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acha.2017.03.004) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acha.2017.03.004).