



This is the **accepted version** of the article:

Lloret Maya, Francisco; Sapes, Gerard; Rosas Torrent, Teresa; [et al.]. Non-structural carbohydrate dynamics associated with drought-induced die-off in woody species of a shrubland community. DOI 10.1093/aob/mcy039

This version is avaible at https://ddd.uab.cat/record/203577 $\,$

under the terms of the $\textcircled{O}^{\texttt{N}}_{\texttt{COPYRIGHT}}$ license

```
Original article
 1
 2
 3
      Non-structural carbohydrate dynamics associated with drought-induced die-off in
 4
      woody species of a shrubland community
 5
 6
 7
      Francisco Lloret<sup>1,2</sup>*, Gerard Sapes<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Teresa Rosas<sup>1,2</sup>, Lucía Galiano<sup>1,2</sup>, Sandra Saura-
 8
      Mas<sup>1,2</sup>, Anna Sala<sup>3</sup>, Jordi Martínez-Vilalta<sup>1,2</sup>
 9
10
11
12
      <sup>1</sup> CREAF, Cerdanyola del Vallès E-08193 (Barcelona), Spain
13
      <sup>2</sup> Univ. Autònoma Barcelona, Cerdanyola del Vallès E-08193 (Barcelona), Spain
14
15
      <sup>3</sup> Division of Biological Sciences, University of Montana, Missoula, Montana 59812, USA
16
      * author for correspondence, email: francisco.lloret@uab.cat
17
18
19
      Running title: NSC dynamics in shrubland under drought-induced die-off
```

1 Abstract

2• Background and Aims

3•

The relationship between plant carbon economy and drought responses of co-occurring
woody species can be assessed by comparing carbohydrate (C) dynamics following drought
and rain periods, relating these dynamics to species' functional traits. We studied nine woody
species coexisting in a continental Mediterranean shrubland that experienced severe drought
effects followed by rain.

9

10• *Methods*

11•

We measured total non-structural carbohydrates (NSC) and soluble sugars (SS) in roots and stems during drought and after an autumn rain pulse in plants exhibiting leaf loss and in undefoliated ones. We explored whether their dynamics were related to foliage recovery and functional traits (height H; specific leaf area SLA; wood density WD).

16

17• Key Results

18•

19 During drought, NSC concentrations were overall lower in stems and roots of plants 20 experiencing leaf loss, while SS decreases were smaller. Roots had higher NSC 21 concentrations than stems. After the rain, NSC concentrations continued to decrease, while 22 SS increased. Green foliage recovered after rain, particularly in plants previously 23 experiencing higher leaf loss, independent of NSC concentrations during drought. Species 24 with lower WD tended to have more SS during drought and lower SS increases after rain. In 25 low-WD species, plants with severe leaf loss had lower NSC relative to undefoliated ones. 26 No significant relationship was found between H or SLA and C content or dynamics.

Conclusions 2

3	Our community-level study reveals that, while responses were species-specific, C stocks
4	overall diminished in plants affected by prolonged drought and did not increase after a pulse
5	of seasonal rain. SS showed faster dynamics than NSC. We found limited depletion of SS,
6	consistent with their role in basal metabolic, transport, and signalling functions. In a scenario
7	of increased drought under climate change, NSC stocks in woody plants are expected to
8	decrease differentially in coexisting species, with potential implications for their adaptive
9	abilities and community dynamics.
10	
11	Keywords: climate change, canopy dieback, die-off, drought, Mediterranean, non-structural
12	carbohydrates, shrubland, plant functional traits, soluble sugars, wood density.
13	
14	
15	
16	

1 Introduction

2

3 Climate change is increasing aridity in many parts of the world (Dai, 2012) and this trend is 4 predicted to continue in the near future, particularly in the Mediterranean Basin (Gao et al. 5 2006; Giannakopoulos et al., 2009). As a result, Mediterranean plant communities are 6 experiencing longer periods of drought, punctuated by precipitation events that usually 7 follow a seasonal pattern (Sarris et al., 2007; Briffa et al., 2009). The role of these pulses is 8 extremely relevant since they could determine the resilience of plant communities under 9 climate change (Lloret et al., 2012). Although drought events are known to lead to vegetation 10 die-off and canopy dieback in many regions of the world (Allen at al., 2010; Greenwood et 11 al., 2017), most studies have dealt with acute episodes of heatwaves and/or water deficit 12 (e.g., Breshears et al., 2005; Galiano et al., 2011; Lloret et al., 2016; Venturas et al., 2016) or prolonged drought periods (e.g., Sapes et al., 2017) and the more common scenario of 13 14 drought periods punctuated by pulses of rain has not been explored in any depth. 15 16 Although most of our knowledge on vegetation die-off has focused on forests, the 17 phenomenon also occurs in shrublands (e.g. Palacio et al., 2007; Lloret et al., 2016; Sapes et 18 al., 2017), a type of ecosystem of great importance in terms of global extension, diversity, 19 and ecosystem services (McKell, 1975; van Wilgen et al., 1996; Petri et al., 2015). The 20 diversity of the woody species coexisting in many shrubland communities provides an 21 opportunity to study the general processes involved in canopy dieback and the subsequent 22 recovery across species, as well as relating the range of responses (Breshears *et al.*, 2005; 23 Sapes et al., 2017) to species' traits, life history strategies (Lloret et al., 2016), drought

tolerance (Rosas et al. 2013), and carbon (C) economy strategies (Klein *et al.*, 2014; Galiano



2	The mechanistic basis underlying drought-induced canopy dieback and eventual mortality
3	has still not been completely identified, but there is agreement that it involves not only the
4	impairment of the long-distance transport connecting the supply and demand of water and C
5	but also alterations in the C balance (McDowell et al., 2008; Sala et al., 2010; McDowell et
6	al., 2011, 2013; Adams et al., 2017). In terms of the C economy, plants distribute recent C
7	assimilated by leaves (sources) into various C sinks such as growth, metabolic maintenance,
8	storage, defence, export, and reproduction (Chapin et al., 1990; Körner, 2003). It has been
9	suggested that stored non-structural carbohydrates (NSC) are never fully depleted under
10	average conditions because a certain concentration of soluble sugars (SS) is required to
11	sustain immediate plant functions such as osmoregulation, transport, and signalling (Sala et
12	al., 2010; Hartmann and Trumbore, 2016; Martínez-Vilalta et al., 2016). The storage
13	component is of major importance for plant function and survival under stressful conditions
14	(O'Brien et al., 2014; Sala and Mencuccini, 2014). More particularly, stored NSC such as
15	soluble sugars and starch provide a buffer against periods of water limitation in which
16	stomatal closure prevents photosynthetic C uptake (McDowell et al., 2008, 2011) as they
17	provide the C that maintains basic metabolism and defence during drought stress (McDowell
18	and Sevanto, 2010; Sala et al., 2012). However, the numerous observational and
19	experimental studies undertaken to date on NSC dynamics in response to drought have
20	yielded mixed results, from decreases (Galiano et al., 2011; Mitchell et al., 2013; Sevanto et
21	al., 2014; Aguadé et al., 2015) to increases in NSC concentrations, or no change at all (Sala
22	and Hoch, 2009; Anderegg et al., 2012; Gruber et al., 2012; Hartmann et al., 2013). There
23	are also some contrasting results with respect to stems and roots (Klein et al., 2014). Because
24	photosynthesis is less sensitive to water stress than growth, increases in NSC are often
25	observed during the early stages of drought (McDowell, 2011; Mitchell et al., 2013;

Hagedorn *et al.*, 2016). Nevertheless, modelling and experimental research indicate that NSC
 reserves should eventually decline if drought lasts for sufficiently long periods (cf. above;
 McDowell *et al.*, 2013).

4

5 A species' C economy can also be related to growth and survival strategies associated with 6 particular combinations of traits. Species' traits are in turn crucial to understanding how 7 environmental filters such as water availability determine the assemblage of species in a local 8 community (Shipley, 2010). A general, two-dimensional global spectrum of plant form and 9 function has been identified, with the two main axes corresponding to leaf economics and 10 plant size (Díaz et al., 2016). The leaf economics spectrum highlights the trade-off between 11 carbon and nutrient investments in leaf construction and the duration of returns on those 12 investments. The spectrum runs from species with conservative leaf traits (i.e., long leaf 13 lifespan, low specific leaf area (SLA), expensive construction, and slow returns on 14 investments of carbon and nutrients) to species with acquisitive leaf traits (i.e., short lifespan, 15 high SLA values, cheap construction, and fast returns on investment) (Wright et al., 2004, 16 Shipley et al., 2006, Westoby et al., 2013). Resource-conservative species are often 17 considered to be more resistant to C loss and drought stress (Saura-Mas and Lloret, 2007), 18 although a species' response often involves many interconnected traits (Anderegg et al., 19 2012, McDowell et al., 2011). More conservative species, for example, tend to have higher 20 wood density and low growth rates (Chave et al., 2009). Wood density is also a moderately 21 good predictor of resistance to drought-induced embolism (Hacke et al., 2001). The second 22 main axis, plant size or maximum height, is related to life-history features and the ability to 23 use resources over a continuum of colonization-exploitation (Díaz et al., 2016). Accordingly, 24 specific functional traits have been correlated with different responses to extreme drought episodes in coexisting species (e.g., Skelton et al., 2015, Pivovaroff et al., 2016). In a recent 25

1 global synthesis, Greenwood et al. (2017) reported that species with higher SLA or lower 2 wood density were more susceptible to drought-induced mortality. In Mediterranean 3 shrublands from SW Spain, Lloret et al. (2016) found that species' resistance and resilience 4 to drought was explained by water economy and recruitment-related traits, while another 5 study in Californian chaparral highlighted the relevance of plant size (Venturas et al., 2016), 6 probably associated with deeper roots. However, the link between functional traits, plant 7 carbon economy, and drought-induced die-off merits further exploration. To our knowledge, 8 no studies have addressed C dynamics over a sequence of drought and subsequent rain at the 9 plant community level, where different species with contrasting functional traits coexist.

10

11 Here, our main aim was to assess NSC dynamics in the aerial (stems) and underground 12 organs (roots) of woody species coexisting in a Mediterranean semi-arid shrubland during a 13 drought-induced dieback event and subsequent recovery after a rain episode. Canopy-dieback 14 was estimated via the loss of leaves because leaf-shedding often occurs during drought 15 episodes as an avoidance mechanism that maintains a favourable water status by reducing the 16 transpiring leaf area (Dobbertin and Brang, 2001; Poyatos et al., 2013; Jump et al., 2017). 17 We hypothesized that: (1) within species, plants with leaf loss exhibit lower NSC 18 concentrations compared to undefoliated plants due to their reduced capacity to assimilate 19 carbon over the duration of the drought episode; (2) SS are less variable than total NSC over 20 time when plants with different levels of canopy dieback (leaf loss) are compared within 21 species, reflecting the need to maintain some minimum concentrations of SS to sustain 22 metabolism and osmoregulation; (3) an increase in green foliage following a post-drought 23 rainy period is associated with a reduction in overall NSC concentrations because the carbon 24 supply from the recovered canopy is insufficient to compensate for investment in new tissues or replenish stored reserves in a context of long-term drought, and (4) species characterized 25

1	by high growth potential (low wood density), less longevity and ability to compete (small
2	size), or acquisitive leaf traits (high SLA) have lower and more variable NSC concentrations,
3	reflecting a faster response to environmental variability (Trumbore et al., 2015).
4	
5	
6	Methods
7	
8	Site description
9	
10	The study took place in the Barranco de Valcuerna (Monegros, central Ebro valley, Spain,
11	41°25'N, 0°4'E, Figure 1), at <i>ca</i> . 280 m above sea level (Figure 1a). The climate is
12	continental Mediterranean with a mean annual temperature of 14.8 °C and mean annual
13	precipitation of 390 mm; there are strong contrasts between the seasons, particularly with
14	respect to temperatures, which range from mean values of 6.1 °C in January to 23.8°C in
15	July. In recent decades, the combination of higher temperatures and low precipitation has
16	increased aridity in the region (Figure 1b), resulting in a loss of vegetation cover (Vicente-
17	Serrano et al., 2012; Sapes et al., 2017). However, the general trend towards increasing
18	aridity is periodically interrupted by rainfall episodes that exceed the historical average
19	precipitation, particularly in spring and autumn (Figure1c).
20	
21	The vegetation in this area is a mosaic of grassland, shrubland, and Pinus halepensis open
22	forest (Braun-Blanquet and Bolós, 1957; Terradas, 1986). We focused our study on an open
23	continental-Mediterranean shrubland dominated by suffruticose shrubs (Thymus vulgaris L.,
24	Lithospermum fruticosum L., Thymelaea tinctoria (Pourr.) Endl., Helianthemum sp pl), small
25	shrubs (Rosmarinus officinalis L., Genista scorpius (L.) DC., Globularia alypum L., Cistus

1 libanotis L., Helianthemum syriacum (Jacq.) Dum. Cours.), large shrubs (Quercus coccifera 2 L., Rhamnus lycioides L., Pistacia lentiscus L.), with an occasional presence of short 3 (Juniperus phoenicea L.) and taller trees (Pinus halepensis Mill). These species are generally 4 found in a Mediterranean climate, although in this particular area some, such as J. phoenicea 5 and Q. coccifera, are close to their tolerance limits with respect to aridity and low winter 6 temperatures. This vegetation develops on leptosols over marls and limestones that are rich in 7 gypsum. 8 9 10 **Recording field canopy dieback** 11 12 One particularly severe drought period lasted from 2009 to summer 2012 (Figure 1 B, C). 13 This drought triggered widespread leaf loss (canopy dieback) in most woody species in the 14 community. A pulse of rainfall occurred in autumn 2012, although temperatures remained 15 above average and the drought persisted (Sapes et al., 2017), as indicated by the Standardized 16 Precipitation-Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI) (Vicente-Serrano et al. 2010). We selected two 17 sampling times: April 2012, at the peak of the drought period, and February 2013, which 18 followed the autumn rainy season. 19 20 Adults from nine woody species were selected according to the following criteria: i) 21 perennial species that comprise a wide range of life forms, from suffruticose and small shrubs 22 to trees; ii) species amenable to reliable visual estimates of drought-induced leaf loss; and iii) 23 species comprising a wide range of leaf morphologies and wood densities. The species used 24 in this study were P. halepensis (Ph), J. phoenicea (Jp), Q. coccifera (Qc), R. lycioides (Rl), R. officinalis (Ro), L. fruticosum (Lf), G. scorpius (Gs), T. tinctoria (Ti), T. vulgaris (Th) 25

1 (Table 1).

2

3 Visual estimates of green canopy level were considered a proxy for species' response to 4 drought. This method is standard practice for measures of drought-induced impact on 5 ecosystems dominated by woody vegetation (e.g., Nakajima et al., 2011; Carnicer et al., 6 2011; Galiano et al., 2011; Bohemer et al., 2013). In order to facilitate comparisons between 7 species that may exhibit different leaf phenologies, the green canopy was estimated by 8 considering the percentage of young, thin tips of branches with no signs of decay and 9 regularly holding up leaves (as well as checking recent leaf scars in species that drop leaves 10 early after unfolding, such as G. scorpius). Thus, although we considered the current levels of 11 leaf loss, these also reflected the drought experienced in the study area in the previous years 12 (Fig. 1 B, C). The visual estimates of green canopy in this community correlated well with 13 the actual amounts of green leaves (leaves to branch weight ratio) (see Sapes et al., 2017 for a 14 more detailed description of green canopy estimates). Individuals with signs of decay prior to 15 the drought period (stumps, decomposing stems, branches with no thin tips or buds) were 16 excluded from the sampling. Then, for each species, we selected twenty undefoliated plants 17 (> 80% green canopy, except in *R. lycioides* and *L. fruticosum*, in which these values were > 18 70 and 40%, respectively, because regardless of drought they commonly show tips of 19 branches with no leaves) and twenty plants affected by canopy dieback (< 50% green canopy, 20 except in L. fruticosum, in which this value was set to 25%, as explained above) and we 21 categorized them as "undefoliated" or with "leaf loss", respectively. All the sampled plants 22 affected by leaf loss maintained some green leaves, vital buds, and green bark under the 23 periderm and were considered to be alive in most cases, as only 1.7% of the plants in the 24 "leaf loss" category appeared as dead (without the above-mentioned signs) at the end of the

1 study.

- 2
- 3

4 Half the plants from each canopy dieback category were randomly sampled in April 2012, at 5 the peak of the drought period (hereafter, drought), while the other half were sampled in 6 February 2013, after the autumn rain pulse (hereafter, post-rain) (Fig. 1C), just before the 7 start of the new growing season. Our study does not focus on phenological NSC dynamics, 8 but rather on its variations in water availability during an extreme drought episode and after 9 subsequent rainfall. At each sampling time, leaf loss levels were visually estimated as a 10 percentage for each individual plant in relation to the undefoliated individuals found in the 11 study area, as in Sapes et al., (2017). 12

13

14

15 Functional trait measures

16 Study species were sampled to collect information about several functional traits: (1) wood 17 density (WD), which is related to conductive efficiency, as well as to growth potential and 18 resistance to several stress and disturbance agents (Chave et al., 2009; Pérez-Harguindeguy et 19 al., 2013); (2) plant height (H_{max}), which is related to growth form, competitive vigour, and 20 potential lifespan, and (3) specific leaf area (SLA, leaf area per unit of dry leaf mass), which 21 is related to resource acquisition and leaf turnover (Wright et al., 2004). Functional trait data 22 were obtained from six representative individuals with no signs of canopy dieback per 23 species of the studied community in May 2014. All trait measurements were conducted 24 following standardized protocols (Pérez-Harguindeguy et al., 2013). WD (mg mm⁻³) was 25 measured after removing the bark from 10-cm long stem segments from each plant. In the

1 case of *P. halepensis*, we used cores obtained with tree-ring borers. We used the water 2 displacement method to determine fresh volume and we dried all the samples in an oven at 70 3 °C for 72h until a constant weight was obtained. Plant height (cm) was measured as the 4 shortest distance between the upper limit of the main photosynthetic tissues (excluding 5 inflorescences) and the ground level, and H_{max} was determined as the maximum of these 6 values for a given species. SLA ($cm^2 g^{-1}$) was estimated for each individual as the mean value 7 of 20 fully expanded, undamaged sun leaves from the current year. Fresh leaves were 8 digitally scanned and analyzed with Image-J software (from the US National Institute of 9 Health; http://www.nih.gov/, accessed 22 February 2013). Leaves were then oven-dried at 70 10 °C for at least 72h and weighed to the nearest 0.0001 g. SLA was calculated as the ratio 11 between the area of the leaf lamina and its dry mass.

- 12
- 13

14 Carbohydrate sampling and analyses

15 For each plant, we collected stem samples that were located within the first ten centimetres 16 above ground surface. Similarly, root samples were collected within the ten first centimetres 17 below ground surface. Root and stem samples were transported in a cooler over ice until 18 sample processing. On the same day of collection, samples were microwaved for 90 s to stop 19 enzymatic activity and subsequently oven-dried for 72 h at 65 °C. Heartwood and inner bark 20 were removed from the sample before grinding into fine powder for the non-structural 21 carbohydrate (NSC) analyses. NSCs were defined as low molecular weight soluble sugars 22 (SS) (glucose and fructose, sucrose, and other free sugars) plus starch, and they were 23 analyzed following the procedures described by Hoch et al., (2002) and Galiano et al., 24 (2012). Sapwood powder (~12–14 mg) was extracted with 1.6 ml distilled water at 100 °C for 25 60 min. After centrifugation, an aliquot of the extract was used to determine SS content after

1 the enzymatic conversion of sucrose and fructose into glucose (using invertase from 2 Saccharomyces cerevisiae and glucose hexokinase (GHK) assay reagent, I4504 and G3293, 3 Sigma-Aldrich, Spain). NSC content was obtained from another aliquot that was incubated in 4 amyloglucosidase from Aspergillus niger (10115 Sigma-Aldrich) at 50 °C overnight, to break 5 down all NSCs (starch included) to glucose. The concentration of free glucose was 6 determined photometrically in a 96-well microplate reader (SunriseTM Basic Tecan, 7 Männedorf, Switzerland) after enzymatic (GHK assay reagent) conversion of glucose into 8 gluconate-6-phosphate. Then, the dehydrogenation of glucose causes an increase in optical 9 density at 340 nm. All the NSC and SS contents were expressed as percent dry matter 10 (%DM).

11

12 We computed several indices at the species level to describe the proportion of NSC and SS in 13 the different organs, canopy dieback states, and periods. We calculated the ratio of NSC and 14 SS in roots relative to stems (considering the values of all plants, regardless of leaf loss) in 15 both the drought and post-rain periods; this ratio indicates the differences in C allocation 16 between organs in the various species. We also calculated the ratio of NSC and SS between 17 undefoliated plants and those with leaf loss (by averaging stems and root values) for both 18 periods; this ratio indicates differences associated with canopy dieback in the various species. 19 Finally, we calculated the species' difference and relative change (value in the post-rain 20 period minus value in the drought period / value in the drought period) in NSC and SS (by 21 averaging the stem and root values of all the plants, regardless of leaf loss) and green canopy 22 (again by averaging all the plants) between the two studied periods.

23

24

1 Statistical analyses

2 We tested the existence of differences in NSC and SS concentrations between roots and 3 stems, between species, and between green and defoliated plants using General Linear Mixed 4 Models (GLMMs). Separate models were built for samples collected in April 2012 (drought) 5 and in February 2013 (post-rain). Both models included either NSC (log-transformed) or SS 6 concentration (log-transformed) as the dependent variable and organ (root, stem), canopy 7 dieback status (undefoliated, leaf loss), and species as fixed factors. Two-way interactions 8 between fixed factors were included in the model to test whether canopy dieback status 9 influenced NSC and SS contents differentially between organs and species, and whether 10 differences in NSC and SS concentrations at the organ level were species-specific. Plant 11 identity was also included in the models as a random factor to account for plant effects on 12 NSC concentration in the different organs of a single individual. Post-rain models also 13 included percentage of green canopy, since we assumed that this percentage largely reflected 14 autumn rain and could explain NSC concentrations after rain. Samples in which chemical 15 analyses failed to obtain photometrical readings above zero (probably due to low NSC 16 concentrations) were excluded from data analyses.

17

We tested for differences in NSC and SS concentration between drought and post-rain periods using GLMMs. The models included NSC and SS (log-transformed) as dependent variables; sampling time (drought, post-rain), organ, canopy dieback status during the drought period, and species as fixed factors; and plant identity as a random factor. Two-way interactions were included in the models, for the reasons explained above. We conducted within-species comparisons of the main factors of the different models for each sampling date and between sampling dates using least square means difference (LSMD) Student's t-tests.

1 We also tested for relationships between the recovery of species' green canopy between the 2 two periods (difference in green foliage) and both NSC and SS concentration in the drought 3 period and changes in NSC and SS between the two periods, using Spearman's non-4 parametric correlations. Relationships between species' functional traits (log-transformed) 5 and NSC and SS concentrations (averaging the stem and root values of all plants) during 6 drought and post-rain periods were explored using Phylogenetic Generalized Least Squares 7 (PGLS). We separately analyzed each variable describing species' functional traits since no 8 significant correlation was observed between them. We also used PGLS to relate functional 9 traits to (1) the proportion of NSC and SS existing in roots relative to stems; (2) the ratio of 10 NSC and SS between undefoliated plants and those with leaf loss; (3) the species' difference 11 and relative change in NSC and SS between the two studied periods, determined as explained 12 above.

13

14 Statistical analyses were performed with JMP10.0 (SAS Institute Inc.), except for PGLS 15 analyses, which were performed with R software (version 3.1, R Foundation for Statistical 16 Computing, Vienna, AT) using phylotools (Zhang et al., 2012), ape (Paradis et al., 2004), 17 and caper (Orme et al., 2013) packages. Phylogenetic relatedness between species was 18 estimated with Phylomatic (Webb and Donoghe, 2004) and Phylocom (Webb et al., 2008). 19 20 21 Results 22 23 Carbohydrates during the drought period 24 Plants with leaf loss exhibited a significantly lower NSC concentration (15.7%) compared to

25 undefoliated plants (averaging the stem and root values) (Figure 2) [Supplementary

1	Information – Table 1]. This effect was consistent in both stems and roots. The species in
2	which these differences were largest were <i>Q. coccifera</i> (LSMD t ratio=2.59, <i>P</i> =0.010), <i>R</i> .
3	lycioides (LSMD t ratio=2.90, P=0.029), G. scorpius (LSMD t ratio=3.02, P=0.003), and R.
4	officinalis (LSMD t ratio=4.70, P<0.001) (Table 2) [Supplementary Information – Table 1].
5	In Q. coccifera low NSC were mostly due to low root concentration in plants with leaf loss,
6	while in G. scorpius they were due to stems. In R. lycioides and R. officinialis the differences
7	between plants with leaf loss and undefoliated ones were not significant when the organs
8	were considered separately.
9	
10	In contrast to NSC, the overall effect of leaf loss on SS was only marginally significant. In
11	plants with leaf loss SS decreased by only 5.9%, compared to undefoliated plants (averaging
12	the stem and root values) (Figure 2) [Supplementary Information – Table 1]. The differences
13	were only significant in <i>R. lycioides</i> (LSMD t ratio=2.85, <i>P</i> =0.005) and <i>R. officinalis</i> (LSMD
14	t ratio=4.18, P<0.001), mostly due to lower SS values in the roots of plants with leaf loss
15	(Table 2) [Supplementary Information – Figure 1].
16	
17	Overall, NSC concentration was 1.4 times higher in roots than in stems (Table 2, Figure 2)
18	[Supplementary Information – Table 1]. The exceptions were L. fruticosum and T. vulgaris,
19	where NSC concentration did not differ in the roots and stems, and in <i>R. officinalis</i> , where the
20	values were higher in stems (LSMD t ratio=4.23, P<0.001) [Supplementary Information –
21	Figure 1].
22	
23	The overall SS concentration did not vary significantly between organs. The exceptions were
24	<i>R. lycioides</i> and <i>R. officinalis</i> , which had a lower SS content in roots than in stems (LSMD t
25	ratio=2.28, P=0.024 and LSMD t ratio=3.50, P<0.001, respectively), and T. tinctoria, which

1	exhibited the opposite pattern (LSMD t ratio=2.25, P=0.020) (Table 2) [Supplementary
2	Information – Figure 1].
3	
4	
5	Carbohydrates and green foliage in the post-rain period
6	
7	After the rain, plants with leaf loss in the drought period did not differ significantly in NSC
8	concentration from undefoliated ones (Table 2; Figure 2). In contrast, the SS concentration
9	was higher overall in plants that exhibited leaf loss, compared to undefoliated ones (Table 2,
10	Figure 2), particularly in stems of <i>J. phoenicea</i> (LSMD t ratio=2.91, <i>P</i> =0.002) and roots of <i>Q</i> .
11	coccifera (LSMD t ratio=2.09, P=0.019) [Supplementary Information – Figure 1].
12	
13	As during the drought period, the overall NSC concentration was 1.4 times higher in roots
14	relative to stems (averaging all the plants) but this pattern varied strongly between species: in
15	<i>T. vulgaris</i> , the roots had lower NSC than the stems (LSMD t ratio=4.33, <i>P</i> <0.001) but the
16	differences were not significant in Q. coccifera, L. fruticosum, or R. officinalis (Table 2)
17	[Supplementary Information – Figure 1].
18	
19	Overall, SS concentration did not vary significantly between organs, but some species did
20	present different patterns. The SS concentration was lower in roots, compared to stems, in J.
21	phoenicea (LSMD t ratio=2.14, P=0.034) and Quercus coccifera (LSMD t ratio=2.21,
22	<i>P</i> =0.029), but the reverse was true in <i>P. halepensis</i> (LSMD t ratio=3.41, <i>P</i> <0.001) and <i>T.</i>
23	<i>tinctoria</i> (LSMD t ratio=3.36, P=0.001) (Table 2) [Supplementary Information – Figure 1].
24	

1	In the post-rain sampling, the percentage of green canopy had a stronger positive effect on
2	both NSC and SS concentrations than canopy dieback status (Table 2).
3	
4	
5	
6	Comparison between periods
7	
8	Green canopy increased more in plants with leaf loss. This recovery was particularly strong
9	in P. halepensis, L. fruticosum, R. officinalis, and T. vulgaris. In contrast, G. scorpius showed
10	a clear decrease in the green foliage of undefoliated plants (Figure 3).
11	
12	Overall, the NSC concentration decreased from the drought to the post-rain period (Table 3)
13	[Supplementary Information – Table 1]. Generally speaking, this decrease was consistent
14	between organs, canopy dieback status during the drought, and species (Table 3), in spite of
15	some discrepancies in specific species [Supplementary Information – Figure 1]. Exceptions
16	to this overall pattern were found, for example, in R. officinalis, where root NSC increased in
17	plants with leaf loss (LSMD t ratio=5.47, P<0.001), and in P. halepensis, where NSC
18	decreased in stems (LSMD t ratio=2.19, P=0.014) but not in roots (LSMD t ratio=0.32,
19	<i>P</i> =0.745).
20	
21	In contrast to NSC, SS concentration generally increased in the post-rain period
22	[Supplementary Information – Table 1]. This trend occurred in both organs and plants with
23	different canopy dieback status in the drought period. However, SS increase varied between
24	species (Table 3): it was significant in <i>Q. coccifera</i> (LSMD t ratio=5.82, <i>P</i> <0.001), <i>R</i> .
25	<i>lycioides</i> (LSMD t ratio=4.23, <i>P</i> <0.001), <i>G. scorpius</i> (LSMD t ratio=2.89, <i>P</i> =0.004), and <i>L.</i>

1	fruticosum (LSMD t ratio=2.95, P=0.003) [Supplementary Information – Figure 1]. In R.
2	officinalis, SS increased in plants with leaf loss (LSMD t ratio=3.13, P=0.002) but not in
3	undefoliated ones (LSMD t ratio=0.39, P=0.700).
4	
5	The recovery of green canopy in plants with leaf loss was negatively correlated with root
6	NSC concentration in the drought period across the species (Spearman ρ = -0.882, <i>P</i> =0.002)
7	(Figure 4A). This pattern was also observed in the stems (Spearman ρ = -0.683, <i>P</i> =0.042) and
8	roots (Spearman ρ = -0.683, <i>P</i> =0.042) of undefoliated plants. The recovery of species' green
9	canopy was not significantly related to SS concentration in the drought period or to the
10	change in either NSC or SS between periods.
11	
12	
13	
14	Relationships between traits and carbohydrate content
15	
16	WD was related to some aspects of C dynamics. During the drought period, low WD species
17	(particularly T. tinctoria, L. fruticosum, R. officinalis, and T. vulgaris) had a significantly
18	higher fraction of NSC composed of SS, compared to high WD species (P. halepensis, J.
19	phoenicea, Q. coccifera, R. lycioides and G. scorpius; PGLS, λ =0.993, t=2.45, P=0.044; Fig.
20	5A). This relationship exhibited a phylogenetic signal, probably associated with the different
21	behaviour of the gymnosperm P. halepensis. Similarly, higher WD was associated with greater
22	relative increases in SS from the drought to the post-rain periods, irrespective of lineage effect
23	(PGLS, $\lambda=0$, t=3.04, P=0.023) (Fig. 5B). Finally, during the post-rain period lower WD species

24 exhibited greater depletion of NSC in plants with leaf loss, compared to undefoliated ones,

1	irrespective of lineage effect (PGLS $\lambda=0$ t=2.40 P=0.047) (Fig. 5C). No other significant
1	
2	relationship was found between WD and NSC or green canopy change.
3	
4	Species' H_{max} and SLA were not statistically related to C concentration and dynamics or to
5	canopy recovery. However, small species tended to have higher concentrations of SS in
6	undefoliated plants than in plants with leaf loss after the rain period (negative relationship
7	between H_{max} and undefoliated plants to plants with leaf loss SS ratio, PGLS, λ =1, t=2.28,
8	P=0.057) and higher NSC concentration in stems than in roots (positive relationship between
9	H _{max} and root to stem ratio, PGLS, λ =1, t=2.32, <i>P</i> =0.054).
10	
11	
12	Discussion
13	
1/	
14	Our community-level study revealed that NSC as a whole and the SS fraction responded
15	Our community-level study revealed that NSC as a whole and the SS fraction responded differently to a sequence of intense drought followed by rain. There was a decrease in the
15 16	Our community-level study revealed that NSC as a whole and the SS fraction responded differently to a sequence of intense drought followed by rain. There was a decrease in the overall NSC stocks in shrubs with symptoms of canopy dieback due to prolonged drought,
15 16 17	Our community-level study revealed that NSC as a whole and the SS fraction responded differently to a sequence of intense drought followed by rain. There was a decrease in the overall NSC stocks in shrubs with symptoms of canopy dieback due to prolonged drought, compared to those of undefoliated shrubs. On average, NSC stocks continued to decrease
15 16 17 18	Our community-level study revealed that NSC as a whole and the SS fraction responded differently to a sequence of intense drought followed by rain. There was a decrease in the overall NSC stocks in shrubs with symptoms of canopy dieback due to prolonged drought, compared to those of undefoliated shrubs. On average, NSC stocks continued to decrease after the rain, likely because canopy recovery incurs initial carbon costs. In contrast to NSC,
14 15 16 17 18 19	Our community-level study revealed that NSC as a whole and the SS fraction responded differently to a sequence of intense drought followed by rain. There was a decrease in the overall NSC stocks in shrubs with symptoms of canopy dieback due to prolonged drought, compared to those of undefoliated shrubs. On average, NSC stocks continued to decrease after the rain, likely because canopy recovery incurs initial carbon costs. In contrast to NSC, SS concentrations were similar between plants with and without leaf loss during the drought,
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Our community-level study revealed that NSC as a whole and the SS fraction responded differently to a sequence of intense drought followed by rain. There was a decrease in the overall NSC stocks in shrubs with symptoms of canopy dieback due to prolonged drought, compared to those of undefoliated shrubs. On average, NSC stocks continued to decrease after the rain, likely because canopy recovery incurs initial carbon costs. In contrast to NSC, SS concentrations were similar between plants with and without leaf loss during the drought, and they increased after the rain event. Such SS dynamics are consistent with the critical role
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Our community-level study revealed that NSC as a whole and the SS fraction responded differently to a sequence of intense drought followed by rain. There was a decrease in the overall NSC stocks in shrubs with symptoms of canopy dieback due to prolonged drought, compared to those of undefoliated shrubs. On average, NSC stocks continued to decrease after the rain, likely because canopy recovery incurs initial carbon costs. In contrast to NSC, SS concentrations were similar between plants with and without leaf loss during the drought, and they increased after the rain event. Such SS dynamics are consistent with the critical role of SS in metabolism and water relationships during drought (O'Brien <i>et al.</i> , 2014; Sevanto <i>et</i>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Our community-level study revealed that NSC as a whole and the SS fraction responded differently to a sequence of intense drought followed by rain. There was a decrease in the overall NSC stocks in shrubs with symptoms of canopy dieback due to prolonged drought, compared to those of undefoliated shrubs. On average, NSC stocks continued to decrease after the rain, likely because canopy recovery incurs initial carbon costs. In contrast to NSC, SS concentrations were similar between plants with and without leaf loss during the drought, and they increased after the rain event. Such SS dynamics are consistent with the critical role of SS in metabolism and water relationships during drought (O'Brien <i>et al.</i> , 2014; Sevanto <i>et al.</i> , 2014; García-Forner <i>et al.</i> , 2016). Despite these general trends, coexisting species
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Our community-level study revealed that NSC as a whole and the SS fraction responded differently to a sequence of intense drought followed by rain. There was a decrease in the overall NSC stocks in shrubs with symptoms of canopy dieback due to prolonged drought, compared to those of undefoliated shrubs. On average, NSC stocks continued to decrease after the rain, likely because canopy recovery incurs initial carbon costs. In contrast to NSC, SS concentrations were similar between plants with and without leaf loss during the drought, and they increased after the rain event. Such SS dynamics are consistent with the critical role of SS in metabolism and water relationships during drought (O'Brien <i>et al.</i> , 2014; Sevanto <i>et al.</i> , 2014; García-Forner <i>et al.</i> , 2016). Despite these general trends, coexisting species presented different C dynamics, which were in part related to wood density, a trait related to

2 Carbohydrate dynamics

3

4 As hypothesized, plants exhibiting foliage loss (canopy dieback) during the drought (with 5 foliage loss) had lower NSC concentrations in both stems and roots than undefoliated plants, 6 as previously observed in the roots of other Mediterranean trees under water stress (Klein et 7 al., 2014). This decrease occurred in both stems and roots. Roots were the main NSC storage 8 organ, as expected in Mediterranean systems where above-ground disturbances are common 9 (Martínez-Vilalta et al., 2016). NSC depletion was similar in both organs, suggesting that C 10 demand or mobilization was also similar in above- and below-ground organs. These lower 11 NSC concentrations in plants with leaf loss during drought occurred despite potential NSC 12 accumulation that can occur when drought decreases growth more than photosynthesis 13 (Körner, 2003).

14

15 NSC concentration in most species did not increase after the autumn rain period. On the 16 contrary, on average, NSC decreased regardless of the degree of canopy dieback at the peak 17 of the drought. This suggests that newly assimilated carbon after seasonal pulses of rain was 18 insufficient to meet carbon demand for new tissue growth after a prolonged drought. The 19 low NSC concentrations found in the post-rain period (February) before the start of the new 20 growing season could also reflect temperature limitations on wintertime carbon assimilation 21 (Terradas, 1986; Camarero et al., 2010). Temperature-limited carbon assimilation is relatively common in some (De Lillis and Fontanella, 1992; Llorens et al., 2003; Asensio et 22 23 al., 2007; Vaz et al., 2010), though not all (Körner, 2003) Mediterranean-type ecosystems. 24

1 The significant increase in SS after the autumn rain does suggest a general increase in 2 physiological activity, as sugars were mobilized for growth and metabolic demands. An 3 autumnal increase in physiological activity occurs in Mediterranean-type ecosystems with 4 strong seasonal trends in precipitation, resulting in peaks of assimilation in both spring and 5 autumn, due to the high rainfall and mild temperatures (Larcher, 2000; Llorens et al., 2003). 6 The seasonality of Mediterranean-type ecosystems is essential to any interpretation of our 7 results, which were probably influenced by both the specific conditions of the year of the 8 study (within a multi-year drought period), and the timing of the second sampling, after a 9 peak of autumn activity and before a second peak of potential productivity in spring.

10

11 The observed values of SS in plants with leaf loss agree with experimental evidence 12 suggesting that NSC storage mitigates the effects of drought (Woodruff and Meinzer, 2011; 13 O'Brien et al., 2014). One consequence of drought is turgor loss (Bartlett et al. 2012), along 14 with potential loss of water transport, desiccation and death (Tyree et al. 2003). A main 15 mechanism by which cells maintain turgor under drought is via the accumulation of 16 osmotically active compounds such as SS (Sala and Mencuccini, 2014; O'Brien et al., 2014; 17 Sevanto et al., 2014), which are converted from starch (McDowell et al., 2011; García-Forner 18 et al., 2016). Furthermore, starch-derived SS might also play a critical role in xylem repair 19 and vascular transport (De Baerdemaeker et al., 2017). Our results are consistent with this 20 interpretation because, compared to NSC, SS remained relatively stable across species when 21 plants with leaf loss were compared with their undefoliated counterparts, even though SS is a 22 source of C for respiration (cf. Hartmann and Trumbore, 2016; Martínez-Vilalta et al., 2016). 23 Recent pulse-labelling experiments further suggest that SS may be actively accumulated 24 during water shortage and subsequent recovery at the expense of short-term growth, 25 presumably to optimize growth and survival in the long term (Hartmann et al., 2015; Galiano

et al., 2017). In our case, such accumulation appeared to be species- and organ-specific. For
 instance, in *R. lycioides* and *R. officinalis*, root SS were lower during the drought period than
 after the rain.

4

5 SS concentration increased after the rain pulse, which was not the case for total NSC. This 6 increase is expected when physiological activity resumes and sugars are used for growth and 7 metabolic demands, and may be due to: i) temporary increases in assimilation rates and/or 8 reductions in carbon demand or ii) the conversion of starch into SS. In the first case, these 9 adjustments would yield a net carbon gain, which would be observed first as SS, given that 10 SS are both the direct product of assimilation and the substrate of most anabolic reactions. In 11 the second case, a conversion of starch from stems and roots into SS would yield higher SS 12 concentrations without any increases in total NSC. Our results are consistent with this second 13 interpretation, as NSC either declined or remained constant in nearly all the species in our 14 study.

15

16 Recovery of green foliage

17

18 We hypothesized that the recovery of green foliage after the autumn rainy period would 19 consume stored NSC and would thus result in lowered NSC concentrations in both stems and 20 roots. Consistent with this, the NSC average across species did show lower concentrations 21 after the drought period, compared to those found during drought (Figure 2), coinciding with 22 an increase in green foliage in most species (Figure 3). Therefore, the decrease in NSC from 23 the drought to the post-rain period appeared to correspond to growth-related carbon demands. 24 Previous studies have documented reductions in NSC concentrations associated with the 25 production of new foliage after drought (Bréda et al., 2006; Galiano et al., 2011; 2012) or

other disturbances (e.g., Canadell and López Soria, 1998; Palacio *et al.*, 2012). NSC
concentrations were particularly low in plants with leaf loss, probably because they grew
more leaves in the autumn. There was no relationship, however, between the drop in NSC
from drought to post-rain and the canopy recovery across species (i.e., species with a higher
canopy recovery did not show stronger decreases in NSC), probably because the balance
between assimilation and growth or other demands varied across species.

7

8 Interestingly, foliage recovery tended to correlate negatively with NSC concentration during 9 the drought. This could occur if species that approached their lowest NSC thresholds during 10 drought subsequently maximized the production of new leaves. This response would 11 minimize the risk of complete depletion of reserves in the mid-term (Martínez-Vilalta et al., 12 2016). In fact, plants with leaf loss did not show greater reductions in NSC between the two 13 study periods, despite the fact that these plants had less NSC at the peak of the drought and 14 recovered more green foliage on average (Figure 4). That is, plants that experienced leaf loss 15 appeared to minimize NSC depletion during canopy recovery perhaps due to greater (or 16 faster) returns from new leaves. Variability between species, however, was substantial. In 17 general, NSC continued to decrease after the drought, concurrent with a lack of canopy 18 recovery; see P. halepensis, Q. coccifera G. scorpius, and T. vulgaris [Supplementary 19 Information – Figure 1], perhaps reflecting a legacy of drought effects. In *R. officinalis*, 20 which had severe leaf loss during the drought, root NSC increased during canopy recovery, 21 consistent with reports of a fast replenishment of carbon stores after disturbance (e.g., Palacio 22 et al., 2012). Our study shows that NSC use for rebuilding canopies generally exceeded any 23 new formation of reserves derived from relatively high rates of assimilation in autumn. 24 Therefore, despite occasional rain events, if drought persists in the long term, plants may fall 25 into a feedback loop in which storage is eventually insufficient to grow new leaves, in turn

- reducing canopy assimilation and NSC formation. Such dynamics could ultimately
 compromise the capacity to resist frequent droughts (Galiano *et al.*, 2011).
- 3
- 4

Relationships between traits and carbohydrate content

6

7 We did not find any clear association between acquisitive leaf traits (high SLA) or plant 8 longevity (high H_{max}) and higher NSC variability, as we had hypothesized. Only WD could 9 explain, to some extent, differences in C dynamics across species. Low WD is generally 10 correlated with high growth rates (Poorter et al., 2008; Greenwood et al., 2017), low 11 resistance to xylem embolism, but more efficient water transport relative to denser wood 12 which is more mechanically stable (Baas et al., 2004: Chave et al., 2009). The greater 13 proportion of SS relative to total NSC in low-WD species relative to high-WD species may 14 therefore reflect their overall greater metabolic activity. Consistently, most of the small trees 15 and large shrubs in the community (i.e. largest but presumably slower growing plants) had 16 high WD and a low proportion of SS relative to the total NSC (Fig. 5a). An exception was P. 17 halepensis, a fast-growing gymnosperm tree which despite its low WD, exhibited low SS 18 concentration compared to the total NSC. However, relative to angiosperms, gymnosperms 19 have much less parenchyma tissue, and consequently lower C storage capacity and 20 subsequent SS depolymerization (Johnson et al., 2012; Morris et al., 2016). Within low-WD 21 species, we also found that during the drought NSC was similar between plants with and 22 without leaf loss, but after the rain plants with leaf loss exhibited lower NSC than 23 undefoliated plants. The generally faster growth of lower WD species may predispose those 24 that become defoliated under drought to consume more carbon for foliage recovery after the 25 autumn rain.

1 Patterns of growth and related C dynamics were expected to differ in the contrasting life 2 forms considered in our study (from suffruticose small shrubs to trees). Plant size - measured 3 in our study by H_{max} – constitutes one of the two major axes explaining trait variability at a 4 global scale (Díaz et al., 2016), and it is often associated with faster growth rates (Díaz et al., 5 2016), higher longevity, and higher competitive ability due to the pre-emption of light 6 resources. In our community, shorter species, (T. tinctoria, L. fruticosum, T. vulgaris) had a 7 shorter lifespan and lower long-term competitive ability. We failed to find any clear 8 relationship between Hmax and C dynamics, probably due to the low number of studied 9 species and the multiple functions associated with this single trait. Nevertheless, we observed 10 a negative but weak relationship between H_{max} and concentration of SS in undefoliated plants 11 after the rain period, which is consistent with a faster response to pulses of resources in 12 smaller, short-lived species.

13

14 SLA indicates the carbon cost to replace the canopy after leaf loss. It is also positively related 15 to growth rates and photosynthetic potential (Poorter et al., 2009). However, high SLA has 16 been recently related with susceptibility to drought-induced mortality (Greenwood et al., 17 2017). However, we found no relationship between SLA and NSC or SS dynamics. This is 18 likely because some species in arid ecosystems often use an avoidance-type strategy whereby 19 rapid leaf turnover allows the regulation of leaf area and water loss (Mooney and Dunn, 20 1970). This rapid leaf turnover in drought-avoiders often places these species at the low-cost, 21 rapid-investment-return end of the leaf-economy spectrum. Thus, plant communities in arid 22 ecosystems tend to be composed of a mixture of drought-avoidant (i.e., high SLA) and 23 drought-tolerant (i.e., low SLA) species, which makes the correlations between leaf-economy 24 traits and climate rather weak. In fact, Wright et al. (2004) found a strong relationship 25 between leaf mass per area (the inverse of SLA) and climate in evergreen species but not in

1 deciduous species, including summer deciduous species. Summer deciduousness is common 2 in Mediterranean communities like the one that we studied (Zunzunegui et al., 2005). In our 3 case, fast foliage recovery in several potentially drought-avoidant small species (T. vulgaris, 4 L. fruticosum) (see also Lloret et al., 2016) may have blurred any relationship between SLA 5 and NSC dynamics. Furthermore, the relationships between traits (including SLA) and 6 demographic rates often depend on the ontogenetic state and can also be related to plant size 7 (Gibert et al., 2016). This limits inferences, particularly when considering small sets of 8 species with a wide range of growth forms and phylogenies, as in our study.

9

10 Concluding remarks

11 Our study showed a decrease of NSC stocks in woody plants with canopy dieback in 12 response to a prolonged drought. Subsequent growth stimulation after stochastic pulses of 13 water availability coinciding with mild temperatures incurred further carbon costs. These 14 results indicate that recovery of NSC reserves would require subsequent periods of average or 15 above-average precipitation in the mid-term. Persistent long-term drought, even when interrupted by occasional rain pulses, could eventually deplete NSC stocks to the point that 16 17 canopy recovery is no longer possible. Such an effect is likely to occur in many ecosystems 18 predicted to experience increasing aridity and climatic variability in the future where short-19 term canopy recovery and subsequent carbon assimilation after rain events may not ensure 20 long-term resilience. Because changes in plants' C dynamics may be difficult to detect via 21 integrative measures obtained at the stand or landscape levels, such as remote sensing 22 imagery, the assessment of vegetation conditions over extensive temporal or spatial scales 23 remains challenging.

24

1	Our study also shows substantial species-specific variability in C dynamics and highlights the
2	importance of taking into account phenological effects. Additional research is therefore
3	required to fully understand species-specific carbon dynamics under drought in
4	Mediterranean species and their consequences on resilience to drought. Nevertheless, the
5	variable responses we found in coexisting species suggests a range of adaptive abilities that
6	could promote coexistence in a changing climate. Recent studies have indeed highlighted the
7	role of functional diversity in enhancing resilience to extreme climatic episodes (de la Riva et
8	al., 2017). Plant functional traits are increasingly used as a tool to compare species'
9	functional responses and to upscale to community and ecosystem levels, where
10	environmental gradients are more conspicuous. In our study, however, only one specific trait
11	(WD) was related to ecophysiological responses (C economy) to particular climatic events,
12	highlighting the importance of selecting appropriate traits and the difficulty in making
13	generalizations based on the relationships between sets of traits (e.g., acquisitive vs.
14	conservative classifications) and plants' responses to climatic disturbance.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	Supplementary Information Table 1. Mean non-structural carbohydrates (NSC) and
2	soluble sugar (SS) concentrations in stems and roots of undefoliated and plants with leaf loss
3	from the set of species during the drought and post-rain periods.
4	
5	Supplementary Information Figure 1. Non-structural carbohydrates (NSC) and soluble
6	sugar (SS) concentrations of the different species in stems and roots of undefoliated plants
7	and those with leaf loss (dieback) during the drought (April 2012) and post-rain (February
8	2013) periods.
9	
10	
11	Funding
12	This study was supported by Spanish Government Grants CGL2012-32965, CGL2015-
13	67419-R, CGL2014-53840-REDT, CGL2013-46808-R, and Catalonian Government grant
14	AGAUR 2014-SGR-00453.
15	
16	Acknowledgements
17	We thank Iris Cobacho, Daniel Ponce, and Isabel Ourêlo for their field and laboratory
18	support.
19	
20	
21	

1	REFERENCES
---	------------

3 Adams HA, Zeppel MJB, Anderegg WRL, et al. 2017. A multi-species synthesis of 4 physiological mechanisms in drought-induced tree mortality. Nature Ecology and Evolution 1 5 : 1285–1291. 6 7 Aguadé D, Poyatos R, Gómez M, Oliva J, Martínez-Vilalta J. 2015. The role of 8 defoliation and root rot pathogen infection in driving the mode of drought-related 9 physiological decline in Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.). Tree Physiology 35: 229-242. 10 11 Allen CD, Macalady AK, Chenchouni H et al. 2010. A global overview of drought and 12 heat-induced tree mortality reveals emerging climate change risks for forests. Forest 13 Ecolology Management 259 : 660–684. 14 15 Anderegg, W. R. L., Berry, J.A., Smith, D.D., Sperry, J. S., Anderegg, L. D. L., Field, C. 16 B. 2012. The roles of hydraulic and carbon stress in a widespread climate-induced forest die-17 off. Proceedings National Academy Sciences U. S. A. 109: 233–237. 18 19 Asensio D, Peñuelas J, Ogaya R, Llusia J. 2007. Seasonal soil and leaf CO₂ exchange rates 20 in a Mediterranean holm oak forest and their responses to drought conditions. Atmospheric 21 *Environment* **41** : 2447-2455. 22 23 Baas P, Ewers FW, Davis SD, Wheeler EA. 2004. Evolution of xylem physiology. In: 24 Hemsley A, Poole I, eds. The Evolution of Plant Physiology, Academic Press, 273-295. 25

1	Bartlett MK, Scoffoni C, Sack, L. 2012. The determinants of leaf turgor loss point and
2	prediction of drought tolerance of species and biomes: A global meta-analysis. Ecology
3	<i>Letters</i> 15 : 393–405.
4	
5	Boehmer HJ, Wagner HH, Jacobi JD, Gerrish GC, Mueller-Dombois D. 2013.
6	Rebuilding after collapse: Evidence for long-term cohort dynamics in the native Hawaiian
7	rain forest. Journal Vegegetation Science 24: 639–650.
8	
9	Bolòs O, Vigo, J, Masalles RM, Ninot JM. 2005. Flora manual dels Països Catalans, 3rd
10	edn. Barcelona: Pòrtic.
11	
12	Braun-Blanquet J, Bolòs O. 1957. The plant communities of the Central Ebro Basin and
13	their dynamics. Anales Estación Experimental Aula Dei 5: 1–266.
14	
15	Bréda N, Huc R, Granier A, Dreyer E. 2006. Temperate forest trees and stands under
16	severe drought: a review of ecophysiological responses, adaptation processes and long-term
17	consequences. Annals Forest Science 63: 625-644.
18	
19	Breshears, D. D., Cobb NS, Rich PM, et al. 2005. Regional vegetation die-off in response
20	to global-change-type drought. Proceedings National Academy Sciences U. S. A. 102:
21	15144–15148).
22	
23	Briffa KR., Van Der Schrier G, Jones PD. 2009. Wet and dry summers in Europe since
24	1750. International Journal Meteorology 29 : 1894-1905.
25	

1	Camarero JJ, Olano JM, Paras A. 2010. Plastic bimodal xylogenesis in conifers from
2	continental Mediterranean climates. New Phytologist 185: 471-480.
3	
4	Canadell J, López-Soria L. 1998. Lignotuber reserves support regrowth following clipping
5	of two Mediterranean shrubs. Functional Ecology 12: 31-38.
6	
7	Carnicer J, Coll M, Ninyerola M, Pons X, Sánchez G, Peñuelas J. 2011. Widespread
8	crown condition decline, food web disruption, and amplified tree mortality with increased
9	climate change-type drought. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 108: 1474-8.
10	doi:10.1073/pnas.1010070108
11	
12	Chapin FS, Schulze E-D, Mooney HA. 1990. The ecology and economics of storage in
13	plants. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 21:423-447.
14	
15	Chave J, Coomes D, Jansen S, Lewis SL, Swenson NG, Zanne AE. 2009. Towards a
16	worldwide wood economics spectrum <i>Ecology Letters</i> 12 : 351-366.
17	
18	Dai, A. 2012. Increasing drought under global warming in observations and models. Nature
19	Climate Change 3 : 52-58.
20	
21	De Baerdemaeker NJF, Salomon RL, De Roo L, Steppe K. 2017. Sugars from woody
22	tissue photosynthesis reduce xylem vulnerability to cabitation. New Phytologist 216 : 720-
23	727.
24	

1	De la Riva E, Lloret F, Pérez-Ramos I et al. 2017. The importance of functional diversity
2	in the stability of Mediterranean shrubland communities after the impact of extreme climatic
3	events. Journal of Plant Ecology 10: 281-293.
4	
5	De Lillis M, Fontanella A. 1992. Comparative phenology and growth in different species of
6	the Mediterranean maquis of central Italy. Vegetatio 100: 83-96.
7	
8	Díaz S, Kattge J, Cornelissen JHC et al. 2016. The global spectrum of plant form and
9	function. <i>Nature</i> 529 : 167–171.
10	
11	Dobbertin M, Brang P. 2001. Crown defoliation improves tree mortality models. Forest
12	Ecology Management 141 : 271–284.
13	
14	Galiano L, Martínez-Vilalta J, Lloret F. 2011. Carbon reserves and canopy defoliation
15	determine the recovery of Scots pine 4yr after a drought episode. New Phytologist 190 : 750-
16	759.
17	
18	Galiano L, Martinez-Vilalta J, Sabate S, Lloret F. 2012. Determinants of drought effects
19	on crown condition and their relationship with depletion of carbon reserves in a
20	Mediterranean holm oak forest. Tree Physiology 32: 478–489.
21	
22	Galiano L, Timofeeva G, Saurer M et al. 2017. The fate of recently fixed carbon after
23	drought release: towards unravelling C storage regulation in Tilia platyphyllos and Pinus
24	sylvestris. Plant Cell Environment 40 : 1711-1724.

1	Gao XJ, Pal JS, Giorgi F. 2006. Projected changes in mean and extreme precipitation over	
2	the Mediterranean región from a high resolution double nested RCM simulation. Geophysical	
3	Research Letters 33 : Article Number: L03706. doi: 10.1029/2005GL024954.	
4		
5	García-Forner N, Sala A, Biel C et al. 2016. Individual traits as determinants of time to	
6	death under extreme drought in Pinus sylvestris L. Tree Physiology 36 : 1196-1209.	
7		
8	Giannakopoulos C. Le Sage P, Bindi M, Moriondo M, Kostopoulou E, Goodess CM.	
9	2009. Climatic changes and associated impacts in the Mediterranean resulting from a 2 $^{\circ}$ C	
10	global warming. Global Planetary Change 68: 209-224.	
11		
12	Gibert A, Gray EF, Westoby M, Wright IJ, Falster DS. 2016. On the link between	
13	functional traits and growth rate: meta-analysis shows effects change with plant size, as	
14	predicted. Journal of Ecology 104: 1488–1503.	
15		
16	Greenwood S, Ruiz-Benito P, Martínez-Vilalta J et al. 2017. Tree mortality across biomes	
17	is promoted by drought intensity, lower wood density and higher specific leaf area. Ecology	
18	Letters, in press. doi:10.111/ele.12748.	
19		
20	Gruber A, Pirkebner D, Florian C, Oberhuber W. 2012. No evidence for depletion of	
21	carbohydrate pools in Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.) under drought stress. Plant Biology 14 :	
22	142–148.	

1	Hacke UG, Sperry JS, Pockman WT, Davis SD, Mcculloh KA. 2001. Trends in wood	
2	density and structure are linked to prevention of xylem implosion by negative pressure.	
3	<i>Oecologia</i> 126 : 457–461.	
4		
5	Hagedorn F, Joseph J, Peter M et al. 2016. Recovery of trees from drought depends on	
6	belowground sink control. Nature Plants 2 : art 16111. doi:10.1038/nplants.2016.111.	
7		
8	Hartmann H, Ziegler W, Kolle O, Trumbore S. 2013. Thirst beats hunger – declining	
9	hydration during drought prevents carbon starvation in Norway spruce saplings. New	
10	<i>Phytologist</i> 200 : 340–349.	
11		
12	Hartmann H, McDowell NG, Trumbore S. 2015. Allocation to carbon storage pools in	
13	Norway spruce saplings under drought and low CO2. <i>Tree Physiology</i> 35 : 243–252.	
14		
15	Hartmann H, Trumbore S. 2016. Understanding the roles of nonstructural carbohydrates in	
16	forest trees - from what we can measure to what we want to know. New Phytologist 211 :	
17	386-403.	
18		
19	Hoch G, Popp M, Körner C. 2002. Altitudinal increase of mobile carbon pools in Pinus	
20	<i>cembra</i> suggests sink limitation of growth at the Swiss treeline. <i>Oikos</i> 98 : 361–374.	
21		
22	Johnson DM, McCulloh KA, Woodruff DR, Meinzer FC. 2012. Hydraulic safety margins	
23	and embolism reversal in stems and leaves: Why are conifers and angiosperms so different?	
24	<i>Plant Science</i> 195 : 48–53.	
25		

1	Jump AS, Ruiz-Benito P, Greenwood S, Allen CD, Kitzberger T, Fensham R, Martínez-
2	Vilalta J, Lloret F. 2017. Structural overshoot of tree growth with climate variability and the
3	global spectrum of drought-induced forest dieback. Global Change Biology, 23: 3742-3757.
4	
5	
6	Klein T, Hoch G, Yakir D, Körner C. 2014. Drought stress, growth and nonstructural
7	carbohydrate dynamics of pine trees in a semi-arid forest. Tree Physiology 34 : 981-992.
8	
9	Körner C. 2003. Carbon limitation in trees. <i>Journal of Ecology</i> 91 : 4–17.
10	
11	Larcher W. 2000. Temperature stress and survival ability of Mediterranean sclerophyllous
12	plants. Plant Biosystems 134 : 279-295.
13	
14	Llorens L, Peñuelas J, Filella I. 2003. Diurnal and seasonal variations in the photosynthetic
15	performance and water relations in two co-occurring in two Mediterranean shrubs, Erica
16	multiflora and Globularia alypum. Physiologia Plantarum 118: 84-95.
17	
18	Lloret F, Escudero A, Iriondo JM, Martinez-Vilalta J, Valladares F. 2012. Extreme
19	climàtic events and vegetation: the role of stabilizing processes. Global Change Biology 18 :
20	797-805.
21	
22	Lloret F, de la Riva E, Pérez-Ramos I et al. 2016. Climatic events inducing die-off in
23	Mediterranean shrublands: Are species responses related to their functional traits? Oecologia
24	180 : 961–973.
25	

1	
2	Martinez-Vilalta J, Sala A, Asensio MD, et al. 2016. Dynamics of non-structural
3	carbohydrates in terrestrial plants: a global synthesis. Ecological Monographs 86: 495-516.
4	
5	McDowell NG, WT Pockman, Allen CD et al. 2008. Mechanisms of plant survival and
6	mortality during drought: why do some plants survive while others succumb to drought? New
7	Phytologist 178 :719–739.
8	
9	McDowell NG, Beerling DJ, Breshears DD, Fisher RA, Raffa KF, Stitt M. 2011. The
10	interdependence of mechanisms underlying climate-driven vegetation mortality. Trends in
11	<i>Ecology and Evolution</i> 26 : 523–532.
12	
13	McDowell NG, Fisher R, Xu C et al. 2013. Uncertainties and opportunities in modeling
14	drought-associated vegetation mortality. New Phytologist 200: 304-321.
15	
16	McDowell, NG, Sevanto S. 2010. The mechanisms of carbon starvation: how, when, or does
17	it even occur at all? New Phytologist 186 : 264-266
18	
19	McKell CM. 1975. Shrubs – a neglected resource for arid lands. Science 187 : 803-809.
20	
21	Mitchell PJ, O'Grady AP, Tissue DT, White DA, Ottenschlaeger ML, Pinkard EA.
22	2013. Drought response strategies define the relative contributions of hydraulic dysfunction
23	and carbohydrate depletion during tree mortality. New Phytologist 197: 862-872.
24	

1	Mooney HA, Dunn L. 1970. Photosynthetic systems of Mediterranean-climate shrubs and	
2	trees of California and Chile. American Naturalist 104: 447-453.	
3		
4	Morris H, Plavcová L, Cvecko P et al. 2016. A global analysis of parenchyma tissue	
5	fractions in secondary xylem of seed plants. New Phytologist 209 : 1553-1565.	
6		
7	Nakajima H, Kume A, Ishida M, Ohmiya T, Mizoue N. 2011. Evaluation of estimates of	
8	crown condition in forest monitoring: Comparison between visual estimation and automated	
9	crown image analysis. Annals Forest Science 68: 1333–1340.	
10		
11	O'Brien MJ, Leuzinger S, Philipson CD, Tay J, Hector A. 2014. Drought survival of	
12	tropical tree seedlings enhanced by non-structural carbohydrate levels. Nature Climate	
13	<i>Change</i> 4 : 1–5.	
14		
15	Orme D, Freckleton R, Thomas G et al. 2013. CAPER: Comparative Analyses of	
16	Phylogenetics and Evolution in R. R package version 0.5. Methods in Ecology and	
17	<i>Evolution</i> 3 : 145-151.	
18		
19		
20	Palacio S, Maestro M, Montserrat-Martí G. 2007. Relationship between shoot-rooting and	
21	root-sprouting abilities and the carbohydrate and nitrogen reserves of Mediterranean dwarf	
22	shrubs. Annals of Botany 100: 865-874.	
23		

1	Palacio S, Hernández R, Camarero, JJ, Maestro-Martí M. 2012. Fast replenishment of	
2	initial carbon stores after defoliation by the pine processionary moth and its relationship to	
3	the re-growth ability of trees. <i>Trees</i> 26 : 1627–1640.	
4		
5	Paradis E, Claude J, Strimmer K. 2004. APE: analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in	
6	R language. Bioinformatics 20: 289–290.	
7		
8	Pérez-Harguindeguy N, Díaz S, Garnier E et al. 2013. New handbook for standardised	
9	measurement of plant functional traits worldwide. Australian Journal of Botany 61: 167-234.	
10		
11	Petri MD, Collins SL, Swann AM, Ford PL, Litvak ME. 2015. Grassland to shrubland	
12	state transitions enhance carbon sequestration in the northern Chihuahuan Desert. Global	
13	<i>Change Biology</i> 21 : 1226-1231.	
14		
15	Pivovaroff AL, Pasquini SC, De Guzman ME, Alstad KP, Stemke JS, Santiago LS.	
16	2016. Multiple strategies for drought survival among woody plant species. Functional	
17	<i>Ecology</i> 30 : 517–526.	
18		
19	Poorter L, Wright SJ, Paz H et al. 2008. Are functional traits good predictors of	
20	demographic dates? Evidence from five Neotropical forests. <i>Ecology</i> 89 : 1908–1920.	
21		
22	Poorter H, Niinemets, Ü, Poorter L, Wright IJ, Villar F. 2009. Causes and consequences	
23	of variation in leaf mass per area (LMA): a meta-analysis. New Phytologist 182 : 565–588.	
24		

1	Poyatos R, Aguadé D, Galiano L, Mencuccini M, Martínez-Vilalta J. 2013. Drought-
2	induced defoliation and long periods of near-zero gas exchange play a key role in
3	accentuating metabolic decline of Scots pine. New Phytologist 200: 388-401.
4	
5	Rosas T, Galiano L, Ogaya R, Peñuelas J, Martínez-Vilalta J. 2013. Dynamics of non-
6	structural carbohydrates in three Mediterranean woody species following long-term
7	experimental drought. Frontiers in Plant Science 4, Art No. 400, doi:
8	10.3389/fpls.2013.00400
9	
10	Sala A, Hoch G. 2009. Height-related growth declines in ponderosa pine are not due to
11	carbon limitation. <i>Plant Cell Environment</i> 32 : 22-30.
12	
13	Sala A, Piper F, Hoch G. 2010. Physiological mechanisms of drought-induced tree mortality
14	are far from being resolved. New Phytologist 186 : 274-281.
15	
16	Sala A, Woodruff DR, Meinzer FC. 2012. Carbon dynamics in trees: feast or famine? Tree
17	<i>Physiology</i> 32 : 764-775.
18	
19	Sala A, Mencuccini M. 2014. Plump trees win under drought. Nature Climate Change 4 :
20	666–667.
21	
22	Sapes G, Serra-Diaz, JM, Lloret F. 2017. Species climatic niche explains drought-induced
23	die-off in a Mediterranean woody community. <i>Ecosphere</i> 8 : e01833.
24	

1	Sarris D, Christodoulakis D, Körner C. 2007. Recent decline in precipitation and tree
2	growth in the eastern Mediterranean. Global Change Biology 13: 1287-1300.
3	
4	Saura-Mas S, Lloret F. 2007. Leaf and Shoot Water Content and Leaf Dry Matter Content
5	of Mediterranean woody species with different post-fire regenerative strategies. Annals of
6	<i>Botany</i> 99 : 545-554.
7	
8	Selya AS, Rose JS, Dierker LC, Hedeker D, Mermelstein RJ. 2012. A Practical Guide to
9	Calculating Cohen s f^2 , a Measure of Local Effect Size, from PROC MIXED. Frontiers in
10	Psychology 3 : 111.
11	
12	
13	Sevanto S, McDowell NG, Dickman LT, Pangle R, Pockman WT. 2014. How do trees
14	die? A test of the hydraulic failure and carbon starvation hypotheses. Plant, Cell Environment
15	37 : 153–61.
16	
17	Shipley B. 2010. From plant traits to vegetation structure. Cambridge University Press,
18	Cambridge, UK.
19	
20	Shipley B, Lechowics MJ, Wright I, Reich PB. 2006. Fundamental trade-offs generating
21	the worldwide leaf economics spectrum. <i>Ecology</i> 87 : 535–541.
22	
23	Skelton RP, Westa AG, Todd E. Dawson TE. 2015. Predicting plant vulnerability to
24	drought in biodiverse regions using functional traits. Proceedings National Academy of
25	Sciences USA 115 : 5744–5749.

1		
2	Terradas J. 1986. El paisatge vegetal dels Monegros: assaig d'interpretació. Orsis 2 : 71-95.	
3		
4	Trumbore S, Czimczik CI, Sierra CA, Muhr J, Xu X. 2015. Non-structural carbon	
5	dynamics and allocation relate to growth rate and leaf habit in California oaks. Tree	
6	<i>Physiology</i> 35 : 1206-1222 .	
7		
8	Tyree MT, Engelbrecht BMJ, Vargas G, Kursar TA. 2003. Desiccation tolerance of five	
9	tropical seedlings in Panama. Relationship to a field assessment of drought performance.	
10	<i>Plant Physiology</i> 13 : 1439–1447.	
11		
12	vanWilgen BW, Cowling RM, Burgers CJ. 1996. Valuation of ecosystem services.	
13	<i>Bioscience</i> 46 :184-189.	
14		
15	Vaz M, Pereira JS, Gazarini LC, David TS, David JS, Rodrigues A, Maroco J, Chaves	
16	MM. 2010. Drought-induced photosynthetic inhibition and autumn recovery in two	
17	Mediterranean oak species (Quercus ilex and Quercus suber). Tree Physiology 30 : 946-956.	
18		
19	Venturas MD, Mackinnon ED, Dario HL, Jacobsen AL. 2016. Chaparral shrub hydraulic	
20	traits, size, and life history types relate to species mortality during California's historic	
21	drought of 2014. PLoS One 11: e0159145. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0159145.	
22		
23	Vicente-Serrano SM, Beguería S, López-Moreno JI. 2010. A multiscalar drought index	
24	sensitive to global warming: The standardized precipitation evapotranspiration index. Journal	
25	<i>of Climate</i> 23 : 1696–1718.	

-

2	Vicente-Serrano S, Zouber A, Lasanta T, Pueyo Y. 2012. Dryness is accelerating
3	degradation of vulnerable shrublands in semiarid Mediterranean environments. Ecological
4	Monographs 82 : 407–428.
5	
6	Webb CO, Ackerly DD, Kembel SW. 2008. Phylocom: software for the analysis of
7	phylogenetic community structure and character evolution. <i>Bioinformatics</i> 24 : 2098-2100.
8	
9	Webb CO, Donoghe MJ. 2004. Phylomatic: tree assembly for applied phylogenetics.
10	Molecular Ecology Resources 5: 181-183.
11	
12	Westoby M, Reich PB, Wright I. 2013. Understanding ecological variation across species:
13	area- based vs mass-based expression of leaf traits. New Phytologist 199 : 322-323.
14	
15	Woodruff DR, Meinzer FC. 2011. Water stress, shoot growth and storage of non-structural
16	carbohydrates along a tree height gradient in a tall conifer. Plant Cell Environment 11: 1920-
17	1930.
18	Wright II Reich PR Westohy M at al 2004. The worldwide leaf economics spectrum
10	Nature 12 · 821 827
19	Nature 12 . 021-027.
20	Zhang J, Pei N, Mi X. 2012. phylotools: Phylogenetic tools for Eco-phylogenetics.
21	https://rdrr.io/cran/phylotools/man/phylotools-package.html.
22	Zunzunagui M. Parradas MD. Ain I haut F. Clavija A. Nova FC. 2005. Ta liva ar ta
22	survive in Doñana dunas: adaptive regnonses of woody species under a Maditerrancer
23	survive in Donana dunes, adaptive responses of woody species under a Meditellanean
<i>2</i> 4	Chinate. Fiuni una Soli 213.11-89.

Table 1. Description of studied species. Values of height, SLA, and wood density were
obtained as explained in Methods. Values of mean green canopy and plant density were
obtained from Sapes et al (2017), except for *P. halepensis*, *L. fruticosum* and *T. tinctoria*. For
these three species, mean green canopy was obtained from 50 plants randomly selected from
the sampled population, while values of plant density were obtained from five 100m² plots
located in the study area. The biogeographical range description follows Bolòs et al., 2005
(W: Western, SW: Southwestern).

Species	Family	Biogeographical	Mean green	Plant	Height	SLA	Wood density
Species	Failiny	range	canopy	(ind/ha)	(cm)	(cm g ⁻¹)	(mg
			(%)	()		8)	mm ⁻³)
Pinus halepensis	Pinaceae	Mediterranean	67.2	20.0	690	57.6	0.647
Juniperus phoenicia	Cupressaceae	Mediterranean	40.7	343.6	172	23.3	0.723
Quercus coccifera	Fagaceae	W Mediterranean	71.0	30.4	165	59.7	0.726
Rhamnus lycioides	Rhamnaceae	SW Mediterranean	64.6	172.4	136	91.0	0.763
Genista scorpius	Fabaceae	W Mediterranean	50.2	1443.6	52	134.8	0.765
Rosmarinus officinalis	Lamiaceae	Mediterranean	49.6	4164.0	93	69.4	0.663
Thymus vulgaris	Lamiaceae	W Latemediterranean	68.2	4308.8	21	124.7	0.670
Lithospermum fruticosum	Boraginaceace	W Mediterranean	32.9	116.0	37	129.6	0.666
Thymelaea tinctoria	Thymelaceae	Latemediterranean	76.6	36.0	38	74.5	0.627

- 1
- 2

Table 2. Results of GLMMs of non-structural carbohydrate (NSC) and soluble sugar (SS) concentrations in the drought (April 2012) and post-rain (February 2013) periods as a function of organ (stem, root), dieback state (undefoliated, with leaf loss), species, and second-level interactions between these factors. NSC and SS values were log-transformed. Cohen's f_b^2 effect size was calculated following Selya (2012).

	ŝ	5	
	ç	1	l

	Drought						
	N	SC			SS		
	$(R^2 = 0.906)$			$(R^2 =$	$(R^2 = 0.787)$		
	F	Р	f^2 b	F	Р	f^2 b	
Organ	33.56	<0.001	0.2527	1.65	0.201	0.0039	
Dieback state	22.55	<0.001	0.0135	3.71	0.056	0.0016	
Species	101.18	<0.001	-0.0336	68.39	<0.001	-0.0094	
Organ x Dieback	0.28	0.596	-0.0068	0.51	0.477	-0.0023	
Organ x Species	12.01	<0.001	0.6070	3 456	0.001	0.1340	
Dieback x Species	2.46	0.016	0.0199	3.14	0.003	0.0226	

	Post-rain					
-	N	ISC			SS	
	$(R^2 =$	0.842)		$(R^2 =$		
-	F	Р	f^2 b	F	Р	f^2 b
Organ	32.67	<0.001	0.2126	0.20	0.659	-0.0100
Dieback state	2.76	0.099	0.0014	5.20	0.024	0.0051
Species	66.80	<0.001	0.0191	64.19	<0.001	-0.1043
Green canopy	25.82	<0.001	-0.0157	15.40	<0.001	0.0071
Organ x Dieback	3.62	0.059	0.0191	1.75	0.188	0.0012
Organ x Species	9.63	<0.001	0.4074	4.43	<0.001	0.2062
Dieback x Species	0.49	0.65	-0.0017	0.28	0.971	0.0113

9

10

11

Table 3. Results of GLMMs of non-structural carbohydrate (NSC) and soluble sugar (SS) concentration as a function of period (drought, post-rain), organ (stem, root), dieback state (undefoliated, with leaf loss), species, and second-level interactions between these factors. NSC and SS values were log-transformed. Cohen's f^2_b effect size was calculated following Selya (2012).

	NSC			SS			
		$(R^2 =$	0.863)	$(R^2 = 0.780)$			
	F	Р	f²b	F	Р	f^2 b	
Period	10.27	<0.001	-0.0026	27.82	<0.001	0.0002	
Organ	59.60	<0.001	0.1929	0.08	0.781	-0.0026	
Dieback state	24.52	<0.001	0.0012	2.82	0.094	0.0006	
Species	145.40	<0.001	-0.0008	129.74	<0.001	-0.0503	
Period x Organ	1.85	0.174	0.0030	2.41	0.122	0.0011	
Period x Dieback	0.43	0.512	0.1974	0.85	0.358	0.0011	
Period x Species	1.44	0.180	0.0091	3.60	<0.001	-0.0006	
Organ x Dieback	0.76	0.385	0.0019	2.41	0.122	-0.0031	
Organ x Species	17.08	<0.001	0.3971	6.30	<0.001	0.1354	
Dieback x Species	1.91	0.057	0.0019	2.58	0.010	0.0030	

1 Figure 1. (A) Location of the study site. (B) Standardized Precipitation-Evapotranspiration 2 Index (SPEI) for years 1951 to 2013, calculated using a time scale of 12 months. Negative 3 values (black) indicate water deficit (i.e. drought periods) while positive ones (grey) indicate 4 water surplus relative to reference climatic conditions. Each SPEI value is calculated from 5 the data of the last 12 months. (C) Difference between historical (1951-2000) and current 6 (2009-2013) mean monthly temperature and precipitation. Arrows indicate the two sampling 7 dates (drought in April 2012 and post-rain in February 2013). Climatic data corresponds to 8 Zaragoza Airport, 90 km west of the Valcuerna study site. Source: Agencia Española de 9 Meteorología (AEMET), Ministerio de Agricultura, Alimentación y Medio Ambiente, 10 Gobierno de España.



Source: @d-maps-com, @Google Map



- 12
- 13

1 Figure 2. Changes between the drought (April 2012) and the post-rain (February 2013) 2 periods of non-structural carbohydrate (NSC) and soluble sugar (SS) concentrations of the 3 different species in stems and roots of plants with leaf loss and in undefoliated plants. Bold 4 lines correspond to average values of all nine species (see Supplementary information -5 Figure 1 for detailed information for each species). + or - symbol indicates significant 6 increase or decrease (P < 0.001), respectively, between periods, overall considering 7 undefoliated plants and plants with leaf loss; asterisks indicate significant differences (P < 8 0.001) between undefoliated plants and plants with leaf loss, overall considering drought and 9 post-rain periods (ns: no significant differences) (Table 3).



11





1 Figure 4. Relationship between change in green canopy (difference in percentage between the 2 post-rain and drought periods) and (A) mean non-structural carbohydrates (NSC) (left panels) 3 and soluble sugars (SS) (right panels) during the drought period, and (B) change in NSC (left 4 panels) and SS (right panels) between periods. Upper panels correspond to stems and lower 5 panels to roots. NSC and SS values correspond to % dry matter content. Open and filled 6 circles correspond to undefoliated and plants with leaf loss, respectively. Only statistical 7 significant relationships are shown (Spearman's non-parametric correlation, P<0.05). Ph: P. 8 halepensis, Jp: J. phoenicea, Qc: Q. coccifera, Rl: R. lycioides, Gs: G. scorpius, Ti: T.

9 *tinctoria*, Lf: *L. fruticosum*, Ro: *R. officinalis*, Th: *T. vulgaris*. Species abbreviations in italics

10 indicate plants with leaf loss.



1 Figure 5. Relationship between wood density (WD) and (A) soluble sugars (SS) during the 2 drought period (as a percentage of total non-structural carbohydrates, NSC); (B) SS relative 3 change between post-rain and drought periods (value in the post-rain period minus value in 4 the drought period / value in the drought period), and (C) NSC depletion in plants with leaf 5 loss, estimated as the ratio of NSC between undefoliated and plants with leaf loss in the post-6 rain period for each species. NSC and SS values were obtained averaging stem and root values of all undefoliated and plants with leaf loss. R^2 values correspond to linear correlation 7 8 coefficients. Species abbreviations as in Fig. 4.



9

Supplementary Information Table 1. Mean (SE) non-structural carbohydrates (NSC) and
 soluble sugars (SS) content (% dry matter) in stems and roots of unaffected and plants with
 leaf loss (dieback) of the overall set of species during the drought (April) and post-rain
 (February) periods.

	N	SC	S	SS
	Drought Post-rain		Drought	Post-rain
With leaf loss				
Stems	3.03(0.33)	2.53(0.29)	1.40(0.14)	1.58(0.15)
Roots	4.32(0.45)	4.03(0.44)	1.48(0.20)	1.81(0.21)
Unaffected				
Stems	3.64(0.45)	3.12(0.44)	1.47(0.14)	1.66(0.13)
Roots	5.06(0.59)	4.70(0.44)	1.59(0.23)	1.73(0.18)

Supplementary Information Figure 1. (A) Non-structural carbohydrate (NSC) content and (B) soluble sugar (SS) of the different species in stems and roots of undefoliated plants and those with leaf loss (dieback) during the drought (April 2012) and post-rain (February 2013) periods. Asterisks indicate significant differences between undefoliated and plants with leaf loss (dieback) of the same species in a given period (*: P < 0.05, **: P < 0.01). Bold lines indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) between the two periods. NSC and SS values

- 7 correspond to % dry matter content.
- 8
- 9
- 10

