



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

***VDT WORKPLACE DESIGN AND EFFECTS ON MUSCLE DISORDERS  
AND EYE STRAIN AMONG STUDENTS AT AN EDUCATIONAL  
INSTITUTE***

**ZINAH MUAYAD KHALEEL**

**FK 2018 51**



**VDT WORKPLACE DESIGN AND EFFECTS ON MUSCLE DISORDERS  
AND EYE STRAIN AMONG STUDENTS AT AN EDUCATIONAL  
INSTITUTE**

By

**ZINAH MUAYAD KHALEEL**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,  
in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

**November 2017**

## **COPYRIGHT**

All material contained within the thesis including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise adapted. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



## **DEDICATION**

"And they ask you about the Spirit. Say: "The Spirit by command of my Lord: and you are not given aught of knowledge but a little."  
(Al-Quran Al-Kareem, Surat Al-Israa, 85)

Every challenging work needs self-efforts as well as the guidance of elders especially those who are close to our heart. Whose affection, love, encouragement and praise for day and night make me able to get such success and honor and the reason of what I become today. My humble effort I dedicate to my sweet and loving

### **Father & Mother**

Who have always been my epitome of strength.

### **My Husband (Muhammad)**

Who has been very understanding and patient.

### **My kids, Huthaifa & Adam**

My Family, My Siblings

I am really very grateful to all of you.

© COPYRIGHT

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**VDT WORKPLACE DESIGN AND EFFECTS ON MUSCLE DISORDERS  
AND EYE STRAIN AMONG STUDENTS AT AN EDUCATIONAL  
INSTITUTE**

By

**ZINAH MUAYAD KHALEEL**

**November 2017**

**Chairman : Professor Rosnah binti Mohd Yusuff, PhD**  
**Faculty : Engineering**

The advancement of information technology encouraged schools, universities and other educational institutions to use visual display terminal (VDT) in conducting lectures rendering services and developing various systems. The use of personal computer (PC) and laptops are common among students. Classrooms are equipped with liquid crystal display (LCD) screens for lecturers to display their lectures for students. Many cases have not been reported because of lack of awareness and understanding on ergonomic. However, Poor ergonomics of the physical environment of VDT can cause musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs); the inappropriateness of school design may influence student achievement negatively. The viewing distance and position of the screens have been found as the main factors causing MSDs. The students' satisfactions on VDT used in the education institute were determined. The effects of VDT workplace design parameters on muscle disorder and eye strain among students were determined. Ergonomically positions of VDT for safer and comfortable use among students were proposed. Data obtained from 215 LCD projector users and 103 desktop monitor users were analyzed using frequency tables, one sample t-test and Spearman's rank order correlation coefficient. The frequency analysis for the level of satisfaction among LCD projector users showed that most of the users (88.4%) were satisfied with the viewing distance, and were least satisfied with the lighting(51.6%). For the monitor user, the users were highly satisfied with user/ screen position (80.6%) and least satisfied with the viewing distance (61.2%). Most of the LCD projector users (36.7%), experienced eye pain while performing study tasks on the projector screen, and the least pain were for head pain (22.8%). Most of the desktop monitor users (54.9%) were experienced head pain while performing study tasks on the monitors, and only (32%) experienced back pain. The relationship between the level of satisfaction for physical environment and MSDs was determined using Spearman's rank order correlation coefficient. Results showed that, there were a significant and

negative relationship between satisfaction of lighting and the level of back pain ( $r_s = -0.213$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), head pain ( $r_s = -0.266$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), neck pain ( $r_s = -0.119$ ,  $p < 0.034$ ) and eye pain ( $r_s = -0.292$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) levels. Significant relationships were found between the level of distance satisfaction and the level of back pain ( $r_s = -0.148$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ) and eye pain ( $r_s = -0.151$ ,  $p < 0.04$ ). The results of association between satisfaction of position and MSDs pain indicated that only the relationship between satisfaction of position and the eye pain ( $r_s = -0.151$ ,  $p < 0.005$ ) was significant and negative. The results implied that the eye is the part of the body which is affected by all the other variables (lighting, distance, and position), but particularly more affected by problems with lighting in the study hall. In conclusion, this study has demonstrated that there is a lack of satisfaction with respect to some items within the physical environment, and there were MSDs disorders associated with VDT use, especially the lighting. These all likely to play a significant role in affecting the user's health, and subsequently their performance. Thus providing enough lighting sources above the students and nearby the ( monitor/ p- screen), added to the windows in the classrooms and libraries. Also, ensure the proper distance like an arm's length for monitor users and (2 to 10 ) meters for the presentation screen users added to the students position directly in front of the monitor or p- screen with considering the study hall design ( sloped or flat) to provide viewing angle (  $0^\circ$  to  $-20^\circ$ ), which allow the students to read the text at or slightly below eyes level, all of those are suggested to reduce the MSDs among UPM students.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**REKA BENTUK VDT TEMPAT KERJA DAN KESAN KE ATAS  
GANGGUAN OTOT, KETEGANGAN MATA DAN KEPUASAN PELAJAR  
DI UPM**

Oleh

**ZINAH MUAYAD KHALEEL**

**November 2017**

**Pengerusi : Profesor Rosnah binti Mohd Yusuff, PhD**  
**Faculty : Kejuruteraan**

Kemajuan teknologi maklumat mendorong sekolah, universiti dan institusi pendidikan lain untuk menggunakan terminal paparan visual (VDT) dalam menjalankan khidmat rendering kuliah dan membangunkan pelbagai sistem. Penggunaan komputer peribadi (PC) dan komputer riba adalah perkara biasa di kalangan pelajar. Bilik darjah dilengkapi dengan skrin paparan kristal cecair (LCD) untuk pensyarah untuk memaparkan kuliah mereka untuk pelajar. Ramai kes tidak dilaporkan kerana kurang kesedaran dan pemahaman tentang ergonomik. Walau bagaimanapun, ergonomik Miskin persekitaran fizikal VDT boleh menyebabkan gangguan muskuloskeletal (MSD); ketidaksamaan reka bentuk sekolah boleh mempengaruhi pencapaian pelajar secara negatif. Jarak paparan dan kedudukan skrin telah dijumpai sebagai faktor utama yang menyebabkan MSDs. Kepuasan pelajar terhadap VDT yang digunakan dalam institut pendidikan telah ditentukan. Kesan dari parameter reka bentuk tempat kerja VDT pada gangguan otot dan ketegangan mata di kalangan pelajar telah ditentukan. Kedudukan ergonomis VDT untuk penggunaan yang lebih selamat dan selesa di kalangan pelajar dicadangkan. Data yang diperolehi daripada 215 pengguna projektor LCD dan 103 pengguna monitor desktop dianalisis menggunakan jadual kekerapan, satu sampel ujian t dan pekali korelasi pesanan peringkat Spearman. Analisis kekerapan bagi tahap kepuasan di kalangan pengguna projektor LCD menunjukkan bahawa kebanyakan pengguna (88.4%) berpuas hati dengan jarak tontonan, dan kurang berpuas hati dengan pencahayaan (51.6%). Untuk pengguna monitor, para pengguna sangat berpuas hati dengan kedudukan pengguna / skrin (80.6%) dan kurang berpuas hati dengan jarak tontonan (61.2%). Kebanyakan pengguna projektor LCD (36.7%), kesakitan mata yang berpengalaman semasa menjalankan tugas kajian pada skrin projektor, dan kesakitan paling sedikit adalah untuk sakit kepala (22.8%). Kebanyakan pengguna pemantau desktop (54.9%) mengalami kesakitan kepala semasa menjalankan tugas kajian pada monitor, dan hanya (32%) mengalami sakit belakang. Hubungan antara

tahap kepuasan untuk persekitaran fizikal dan MSD ditentukan menggunakan pekali korelasi pesanan peringkat Spearman. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa terdapat hubungan yang signifikan dan negatif antara kepuasan pencahayaan dan tahap sakit belakang ( $r_s = - 0.213$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), sakit kepala ( $r_s = - 0.266$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), sakit leher ( $r_s = - 0.119$ ,  $p < 0.034$ ) dan tahap kesakitan mata ( $r_s = - 0.292$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). Hubungan yang ketara didapati antara tahap kepuasan jarak dan tahap sakit belakang ( $r_s = - 0.148$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ) dan kesakitan mata ( $r_s = - 0.151$ ,  $p < 0.04$ ). Keputusan hubungan antara kepuasan kedudukan dan kesakitan MSD menunjukkan bahawa hanya hubungan antara kepuasan kedudukan dan kesakitan mata ( $r_s = - 0.151$ ,  $p < 0.005$ ) adalah signifikan dan negatif. Hasilnya menunjukkan bahawa mata adalah bahagian tubuh yang dipengaruhi oleh semua pemboleh ubah lain (pencahayaan, jarak, dan kedudukan), tetapi terutamanya lebih terjejas dengan masalah pencahayaan di dewan belajar. Kesimpulannya, kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa terdapat kekurangan kepuasan berhubung beberapa perkara dalam persekitaran fizikal, dan terdapat gangguan MSD yang berkaitan dengan penggunaan VDT, terutama pencahayaan. Ini semua mungkin memainkan peranan penting dalam menjejaskan kesihatan pengguna, dan seterusnya prestasi mereka. Oleh itu menyediakan sumber pencahayaan yang mencukupi di atas pelajar dan berdekatan (monitor / p-screen), ditambah kepada tingkap di bilik darjah dan perpustakaan. Juga, pastikan jarak yang sepatutnya seperti panjang lengan untuk pengguna pemantau dan (2 hingga 10) meter untuk pengguna skrin persembahan yang ditambah kepada kedudukan pelajar secara langsung di hadapan monitor atau skrin dengan mempertimbangkan reka bentuk dewan pengajian (sloped atau flat) untuk menyediakan sudut tontonan ( $0^\circ$  hingga  $-20^\circ$ ), yang membolehkan para pelajar membaca teks pada atau sedikit di bawah paras mata, semua yang dicadangkan untuk mengurangkan MSD di kalangan pelajar UPM.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Praise be to Allah Almighty, the most beneficent the most merciful who keep inspiring me, guiding me and always looking after me and directing me toward the utmost goodness.

I also would like to express my sincere gratitude and appreciations to my supervisor Professor Dr. Rosnah Binit Mohd Yusuff for the priceless guidance, their continued supervision, advice, comments, encouragement and support throughout the research period.

Many thanks and gratitude also goes to Associate Professor Dr. Faieza Bt. Abdul Aziz.

I would like to express my utmost appreciation and gratitude to Universiti Putra Malaysia, School of Graduate Studies and Ministry of Higher Education for giving me the opportunity to pursue this study. My thanks and appreciations also go to Faculty of Engineering, Faculty of Modern language, Faculty of Food science, Faculty of Veterinary, UPM, Sultan Abdul Samad Library, UPM, Complex Academic, UPM.....ETC

I must sincerely thank all the lecturers in the mentioned faculties for their help and support. Many thanks also go to the library staff and maintenance staff in academic complexes, for their help and technical assistance during the experiment work.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 16 November 2017 to conduct the final examination of Zinah Muayad Khaleel on her thesis entitled "VDT Workplace Design and Effects on Muscle Disorders and Eye Strain among Students at an Educational Institute" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

**Suraya binti Mohd Tahir, PhD**

Senior Lecturer  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Norzima binti Zulkifli, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Baba bin Md Deros, PhD**

Professor  
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia  
Malaysia  
(External Examiner)



---

**NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD**  
Professor and Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 27 February 2018

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Rosnah Binit Mohd Yusuff, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Faieza Bt. Abdul Aziz, PhD**

Associated Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

## Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced
- the thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be owned from supervisor and deputy vice –chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name and Matric No: Zinah Muayad Khaleel, GS41498

## Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- The research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- Supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of  
Chairman of  
Supervisory  
Committee: Professor Dr. Rosnah Binti Mohd Yusuff

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of  
Member of  
Supervisory  
Committee: Associated Professor Dr. Faieza Bt. Abdul Aziz

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   | <b>Page</b> |
|---|-------------|
| <b>ABSTRACT</b>                                       | i           |
| <b>ABSTRAK</b>  | iii         |
| <b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>                               | v           |
| <b>APPROVAL</b>                                       | vi          |
| <b>DECLARATION</b>                                    | viii        |
| <b>LIST OF TABLES</b>                                 | xiii        |
| <b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>                                | xvii        |
| <b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>                          | xxi         |
| <br>  |             |
| <b>CHAPTER</b>  |             |
| <b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>                                 | <b>1</b>    |
| 1.1 Problem Statement                                 | 2           |
| 1.2 Objectives  | 2           |
| 1.2.1 The objectives of this study are:               | 2           |
| 1.3 Research Scope                                    | 2           |
| 1.4 Thesis Outline                                    | 3           |
| <br>  |             |
| <b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>                            | <b>4</b>    |
| 2.1 Overview  | 4           |
| 2.2 Definition of Ergonomics                          | 4           |
| 2.2.1 Educational Ergonomics                          | 6           |
| 2.3 VDT definition                                    | 7           |
| 2.3.1 The computers                                   | 8           |
| 2.3.1.1 The Monitor (desktop computer)                | 9           |
| 2.3.1.2 Laptops                                       | 9           |
| 2.3.1.3 Presentation screens                          | 9           |
| 2.4 Human- Computer Interface                         | 10          |
| 2.5 Workplace Physical Environment                    | 11          |
| 2.5.1 Lighting  | 11          |
| 2.5.2 Viewing distance                                | 14          |
| 2.5.3 Students' screen posture and viewing angle      | 16          |
| 2.6 Visual ergonomics definition                      | 17          |
| 2.7 Musculoskeletal pain associated with computer use | 20          |
| 2.7.1 Back pain                                       | 24          |
| 2.7.2 Neck and shoulder musculoskeletal disorders     | 24          |
| 2.7.3 Headache  | 25          |
| 2.7.4 Computer Vision Syndrome (CVS)                  | 26          |
| 2.8 The vision  | 27          |
| 2.8.1 Eye strain                                      | 28          |
| 2.8.2 Visual fatigue and Eye fatigue                  | 28          |
| 2.9 The student's satisfaction                        | 28          |
| 2.10 The summery                                      | 30          |

|          |  |           |
|----------|--|-----------|
| <b>3</b> | <b>METHODOLOGY</b>   | <b>32</b> |
| 3.1      | Introduction   | 32        |
| 3.2      | The Study Area   | 32        |
| 3.3      | Location   | 34        |
| 3.4      | Population   | 34        |
| 3.5      | Research Design  | 35        |
| 3.6      | Sampling   | 36        |
| 3.7      | Determination of Sample Size   | 36        |
| 3.8      | Instrumentation  | 37        |
| 3.9      | Questionnaire Design   | 37        |
|          | 3.9.1 Demographic background and duration of VDT usage                             | 38        |
|          | 3.9.2 Determination of the level of muscle disorders and eye strain                | 38        |
|          | 3.9.3 The lighting   | 42        |
|          | 3.9.4 The viewing distance   | 44        |
|          | 3.9.5 The position of the p-screen/monitor and the user                            | 45        |
| 3.10     | Validity and Reliability of Research Instruments                                   | 46        |
| 3.11     | Test and Retest Reliability (pilot study)  | 46        |
| 3.12     | Pilot study  | 47        |
| 3.13     | Data collection  | 48        |
|          | 3.13.1 Distribution of respondents based on location                               | 48        |
| 3.14     | Statistical analysis   | 50        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>  | <b>51</b> |
| 4.1      | Introduction   | 51        |
| 4.2      | Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents                                     | 51        |
| 4.3      | The Duration and Purpose of Desktop Monitor and P-screen Usage                     | 53        |
| 4.4      | The level of muscle disorders/eye strain in the workplace                          | 53        |
| 4.5      | P-screen users (Classroom students)  | 54        |
|          | 4.5.1 The level of back pain among students in the classroom                       | 54        |
|          | 4.5.2 The level of neck pain among students in the classroom                       | 55        |
|          | 4.5.3 The level of head pain among students in the classroom                       | 57        |
|          | 4.5.4 The level of eye pain among students in the classroom                        | 58        |
|          | 4.5.5 Comparison of the level of MSDs and eye pain among students in the classroom | 58        |
| 4.6      | Evaluating the level of students' satisfaction with the physical environment       | 72        |
|          | 4.6.1 The students' satisfaction with the lighting in the classroom                | 72        |
|          | 4.6.2 The students' satisfaction with viewing distance in the classroom            | 74        |
|          | 4.6.3 The Students' satisfaction with screen/ users position in the classroom      | 76        |
|          | 4.6.4 Total satisfaction with lighting, distance and position in the classroom     | 77        |
| 4.7      | Monitor users  | 93        |
|          | 4.7.1 The level of back pain among the students in the library                     | 93        |
|          | 4.7.2 The level of neck pain among monitor users                                   | 94        |
|          | 4.7.3 The level of head pain among library students                                | 96        |
|          | 4.7.4 The level of eye pain among the students in the library                      | 96        |

|          |  |            |
|----------|--|------------|
| 4.7.5    | Comparison of the level of MSDs and eye pain among students in the library                   | 97         |
| 4.8      | Evaluating the level of student's satisfaction with the physical environment in the library  | 104        |
| 4.8.1    | The students' satisfaction with lighting in the library                                      | 104        |
| 4.8.2    | The students' satisfaction with viewing distance in the library                              | 106        |
| 4.8.3    | Student's satisfaction with monitor/ users position in the Library                           | 108        |
| 4.8.4    | Total satisfaction with lighting, distance and position in the library                       | 109        |
| 4.9      | Relationship between the physical environment and appearance of muscles disorders / eye pain | 119        |
| 4.10     | The lighting sources in the workplace  | 120        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK</b>  | <b>122</b> |
|          | <b>REFERENCES</b>  | <b>126</b> |
|          | <b>APPENDICES</b>  | <b>143</b> |
|          | <b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>  | <b>163</b> |
|          | <b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>  | <b>164</b> |



## LIST OF TABLES

| <b>Table</b> |  | <b>Page</b> |
|--------------|--|-------------|
| 2.1          | The literatures summery  | 30          |
| 3.1          | the total number of students in UPM  | 34          |
| 3.2          | t tests - Means: Difference from constant (one sample case)                                | 36          |
| 3.3          | Exact - Correlation: Bivariate normal model  | 37          |
| 3.4          | Structure of the Questionnaire   | 38          |
| 3.5          | questions and its coding for back pain   | 39          |
| 3.6          | questions and its coding for neck pain   | 40          |
| 3.7          | questions and its coding for head pain   | 41          |
| 3.8          | questions and its coding for eye pain  | 42          |
| 3.9          | questions and its coding for the lighting  | 43          |
| 3.10         | the questions and its coding for the viewing distance                                      | 44          |
| 3.11         | Table : the questions and its coding for the position of the p-screen/monitor and the user | 45          |
| 3.12         | The distributed form for checking the validity   | 46          |
| 3.13         | Correlation between test and retest survey for all items                                   | 47          |
| 3.14         | Distribution of respondents (p-screen users) based on location                             | 50          |
| 3.15         | Distribution of respondents (desktop monitor users)) based on location                     | 50          |
| 4.1          | Frequency distribution of respondents' demographic variables                               | 52          |
| 4.2          | Frequency distribution of items related to back pain among the students in the classroom   | 54          |
| 4.3          | Frequency distribution of related items to neck pain among the students in the classroom   | 56          |
| 4.4          | Frequency distribution of items related to head pain among students                        | 57          |

|      |   |    |
|------|---|----|
|      | in the classroom  |    |
| 4.5  | Frequency distribution of items related to eye pain among students in the classroom   | 58 |
| 4.6  | the scoring of MSD and eye pain according to the questionnaire  | 59 |
| 4.7  | average score of the level of MSD and eye pain among students in the classroom  | 60 |
| 4.8  | Comparison of the level of back pain with the median of scale (test value) among students in four faculties and student complex in UPM                  | 61 |
| 4.9  | Comparison of the level of neck pain with median of scale (test value) among students in the four faculties and three complexes in UPM                  | 62 |
| 4.10 | Comparison of the level of head pain among students with median of scale (test value) in the four faculties and three complexes in UPM                  | 63 |
| 4.11 | Comparison of the level of eye pain among students with median of scale (test value) in the four faculties and three complexes in UPM                   | 65 |
| 4.12 | Comparison of the level of back pain among students with median of scale (test value) in the 10 classrooms of four faculties and three complexes in UPM | 66 |
| 4.13 | Comparison of the level of neck pain among students with median of scale (test value) in the 10 classrooms of four faculties and three complexes in UPM | 67 |
| 4.14 | Comparison of the level of head pain among students with median of scale (test value) in the 10 classrooms of four faculties and three complexes in UPM | 68 |
| 4.15 | Comparison of the level of eye pain among students with median of scale (test value) in the 10 classrooms of four faculties and three complexes in UPM  | 70 |
| 4.16 | Frequency of Symptoms among the students in the four faculties and three complexes in UPM   | 71 |
| 4.17 | Frequency of Symptoms among the students in the four faculties and three complexes in UPM   |    |
| 4.18 | Students' satisfaction with the lighting in the classroom   | 74 |
| 4.19 | The students' satisfaction with the viewing distance in the classroom   | 75 |

|      |  |     |
|------|--|-----|
| 4.20 | Students' satisfaction with the position in the classroom  | 77  |
| 4.21 | The scoring of physical environment according to the questionnaire   | 78  |
| 4.22 | Comparison of the level of satisfaction of the students with physical environment in the classroom   | 78  |
| 4.23 | Comparison of the level of satisfaction of the students in the 5 colleges in UPM with the lighting with median of scale  | 79  |
| 4.24 | Comparison of the level of satisfaction of the students in the 5 colleges in UPM for the viewing distance with median of scale   | 81  |
| 4.25 | Comparison of the level of satisfaction of the students in the 5 colleges in UPM for the students' p-screen position with median of scale  | 82  |
| 4.26 | Comparison of the level of satisfaction of the students in 10 classrooms within four faculties and three complexes in UPM regarding lighting with median of scale                        | 83  |
| 4.27 | Comparison of the level of satisfaction of the students in 10 classrooms within four faculties and three complexes in UPM regarding the viewing distance with median of scale            | 85  |
| 4.28 | Comparison of the level of satisfaction of the students in 10 classrooms within four faculties and three complexes in UPM regarding the students /p-screen position with median of scale | 87  |
| 4.29 | Frequency distribution of items related to back pain and the students in the library   | 94  |
| 4.30 | Frequency distribution of related items to neck pain and the students in the library   | 95  |
| 4.31 | Frequency distributions of related items to head pain and the students in the library  | 96  |
| 4.32 | Frequency distribution of items related to eye pain and the students in the library  | 97  |
| 4.33 | The scoring of MSD and eye pain according to the questionnaire   | 98  |
| 4.34 | Comparison of the level of MSD and eye pain among students in the library  | 98  |
| 4.35 | Comparison of the level of back pain with the median of scale (test value) among students in at the three libraries  | 100 |

|      |  |     |
|------|--|-----|
| 4.36 | Comparison of the level of neck pain with the median of scale (test value) among students in at the three libraries                    | 101 |
| 4.37 | Comparison of the level of head pain with the median of scale (test value) among students in at the three libraries                    | 102 |
| 4.38 | Comparison of the level of eye pain with the median of scale (test value) among students in at the three libraries                     | 103 |
| 4.39 | Frequency of Symptoms among the students in three library in UPM   | 104 |
| 4.40 | Students' satisfaction with the lighting in the library  | 105 |
| 4.41 | Students' satisfaction with the viewing distance in the library  | 107 |
| 4.42 | Students' satisfaction with the position in the library  | 109 |
| 4.43 | The scoring of physical environment according to the questionnaire   | 110 |
| 4.44 | Comparison of the level of satisfaction of the students with physical environment in the library                                       | 110 |
| 4.45 | Comparison of the level of satisfaction with the median of scale regarding the lighting three libraries in UPM                         | 111 |
| 4.46 | Comparison of the level of satisfaction of the with the median of scale regarding the viewing distance at three libraries in UPM       | 112 |
| 4.47 | Comparison of the level of satisfaction of the with the median of scale regarding students/ monitor position at three libraries in UPM | 114 |
| 4.48 | Criteria for interpreting strength of relationship between two variables   | 120 |

## LIST OF FIGURES

| <b>Figure</b> |  | <b>Page</b> |
|---------------|--|-------------|
| 2.1           | VDT models   | 8           |
| 2.2           | The workplace arrangement  | 13          |
| 2.3           | Arrangement of workstation   | 13          |
| 2.4           | Different screen sizes and viewing distances   | 15          |
| 2.5           | Definition of the environment  | 18          |
| 2.6           | Visual ergonomics – a joint venture of illuminating engineering, vision research, optometry, and ergonomics  | 19          |
| 2.7           | Guide to a good work environment   | 21          |
| 2.8           | Incorrect monitor position   | 24          |
| 2.9           | Correct monitor position Bali et al., (2014)   | 24          |
| 2.10          | Vision- VDT interfaces   | 27          |
| 3.1           | P-screen, seating and lighting arrangement in the classrooms   | 33          |
| 3.2           | monitor, seating and lighting arrangement in the libraries   | 34          |
| 3.3           | Flowchart of research methodology  | 35          |
| 3.4           | Flow chart of the selection procedure  | 49          |
| 4.1           | Demographics of the respondents (the highest frequency)  | 52          |
| 4.2           | Purposes of using desktop monitor/p-screen   | 53          |
| 4.3           | Trunk forward and backward posture   | 55          |
| 4.4           | Neck posture in some classes in UPM  | 56          |
| 4.5           | Frequency distribution of the level of MSD and eye pain among students in the classroom                      | 61          |
| 4.6           | Frequency distribution of the level of back pain among students in four faculties and three complexes in UPM | 62          |

|      |   |    |
|------|---|----|
| 4.7  | Frequency distribution of the level of neck pain among students in four faculties and three complexes in UPM  | 63 |
| 4.8  | Frequency distribution of the level of head pain among students in four faculties and three complexes in UPM  | 64 |
| 4.9  | Frequency distribution of the level of eye pain among students in four faculties and three complexes in UPM   | 65 |
| 4.10 | Frequency distribution of the level of back pain among students in at 10 classrooms within four faculties and three complexes in UPM                | 66 |
| 4.11 | Frequency distribution of the level of neck pain among students in at 10 classrooms within four faculties and three complexes in UPM                | 68 |
| 4.12 | Frequency distribution of the level of head pain among students in at 10 classrooms within four faculties and three complexes in UPM                | 69 |
| 4.13 | Frequency distribution of the level of eye pain among students in at 10 classrooms within four faculties and three complexes in UPM                 | 70 |
| 4.14 | Classrooms without windows  | 73 |
| 4.15 | Classrooms with windows behind and in front   | 73 |
| 4.16 | Dark places in the classroom due to inappropriate artificial lighting distribution  | 73 |
| 4.17 | The distance to the projector   | 75 |
| 4.18 | Twisting neck while looking at the p-screen   | 76 |
| 4.19 | Frequency distribution of the level of satisfaction of the students with physical environment in the classroom                                      | 79 |
| 4.20 | Frequency distribution of the level of satisfaction with the lighting among the students in five faculties  | 80 |
| 4.21 | Frequency distribution of the level of satisfaction regarding the viewing distance among the students in four faculties and three complexes         | 81 |
| 4.22 | Frequency distribution of the level of satisfaction p-screen/students' position among the students in four faculties and three complexes            | 82 |
| 4.23 | Frequency distribution of the level of satisfaction with the lighting among the students in 10 classrooms within four faculties and three complexes | 84 |

|      |  |     |
|------|--|-----|
| 4.24 | a- the place where the highest level of satisfaction about lighting  | 84  |
| 4.25 | Frequency distribution of the level of satisfaction with the viewing distance among the students in 10 classrooms within four faculties and three complexes        | 86  |
| 4.26 | Frequency distribution of the level of satisfaction with students/ p-screen position among the students in 10 classrooms within four faculties and three complexes | 87  |
| 4.27 | The lowest level of satisfaction about lighting among the ten classrooms   | 88  |
| 4.28 | The highest level of satisfaction about lighting among the ten classrooms  | 89  |
| 4.29 | The lowest level of satisfaction about viewing distance among the ten classrooms   | 90  |
| 4.30 | The highest level of satisfaction about viewing distance among the ten classrooms  | 90  |
| 4.31 | The lowest level of satisfaction about position distance among the ten classrooms  | 91  |
| 4.32 | The highest level of satisfaction about position distance among the ten classrooms   | 91  |
| 4.33 | The suggested tips to avoid or reduce the MSDs and eye strain in the flat classroom  | 92  |
| 4.34 | The suggested tips to avoid or reduce the MSDs and eye strain in the sloped classroom  | 93  |
| 4.35 | Awkward posture leading to awkward neck- head posture  | 95  |
| 4.36 | Frequency distribution of the level of MSD and eye pain among students in the library  | 99  |
| 4.37 | Frequency distribution of the level of back pain among students in three libraries in UPM  | 100 |
| 4.38 | Frequency distribution of the level of neck pain among students in three libraries in UPM  | 101 |
| 4.39 | Frequency distribution of the level of head pain among students in three libraries in UPM  | 102 |

|      |  |     |
|------|--|-----|
| 4.40 | Frequency distribution of the level of eye pain among students in three libraries in UPM                                       | 103 |
| 4.41 | The lighting sources in the libraries  | 106 |
| 4.42 | Inappropriate viewing distances  | 107 |
| 4.43 | Student's posture in the library   | 108 |
| 4.44 | Frequency distribution of the level of satisfaction of the students with physical environment in the library                   | 111 |
| 4.45 | Frequency distribution of the level of satisfaction with the lighting among the students at three libraries in UPM             | 112 |
| 4.46 | Frequency distribution of the level of satisfaction the viewing distance among the students at three libraries in UPM          | 113 |
| 4.47 | Frequency distribution of the level of satisfaction the students/monitor position among the students at three libraries in UPM | 114 |
| 4.48 | The lowest level of the satisfaction about the lighting among three libraries  | 115 |
| 4.49 | The highest level of the satisfaction about the lighting among three libraries   | 116 |
| 4.50 | The lowest level of the satisfaction about the viewing distance among three libraries  | 116 |
| 4.51 | The highest level of the satisfaction about the viewing distance among three libraries   | 117 |
| 4.52 | The lowest level of the satisfaction about the position among three libraries  | 117 |
| 4.53 | The highest level of the satisfaction about the position among three libraries   | 118 |
| 4.54 | The suggested tips to avoid or reduce the MSDs and eye strain among the monitor users  | 119 |
| 4.55 | Satisfaction percentages of students with the lighting in classrooms   | 121 |
| 4.56 | Satisfaction percentages of students with the lighting in library  | 121 |



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

|             |  |
|-------------|--|
| MSDs        | Musculoskeletal disorders  |
| VDTs        | Visual display units   |
| VDU         | Visual display units   |
| CRTs        | Cathode Ray Tubes  |
| NIOSH       | National institute for occupations safety and health                                       |
| CVS         | Computer vision syndrome   |
| PCs         | Personal computers   |
| PDA's       | Personal digital assistants  |
| OSHA        | Occupational safety and health administration  |
| HCI         | Human computer interface   |
| SWEA        | Swedish work environment   |
| Lux         | Illuminance  |
| LCD         | Liquid crystal display   |
| ICT         | Information and communication technologies   |
| IWBs        | Interactive whiteboards  |
| FITS        | Name of model  |
| ISO         | International organization for standardization   |
| AS          | Australian standards   |
| CFF         | Critical fusion frequency, critical ticker frequency                                       |
| TFT-LCD     | thin-film-transistor liquid-crystal display  |
| PDPs        | plasma display panels  |
| FHP         | Forward head posture   |
| HUD         | Head- up display   |
| HDD         | Head- down display   |
| UPM         | Universiti putra Malaysia  |
| ANSI/ IESNA | American National Standards Institute Illuminating<br>Engineering Society of North America |
| SS- EN      | Singapore Standards eShop  |
| OR          | Odds ratio   |
| IT          | Information technology   |
| p- screen   | Projection screen  |

|           |   |
|-----------|---|
| SPSS      | Statistical Package for the Social Sciences |
| BMI       | High body mass index                        |
| WHO       | World Health Organization                   |
| SD        | Standard division                           |
| <i>DV</i> | <i>Depended variables</i>                   |



# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

The rapid development of digital science and technology has brought the VDT to the forefront of teaching aids. Personal computer and LCD projector users have increased immensely in both schools and companies, and more people are now relying on VDT in order to brief plans, present papers, demonstrate products, hold meetings and conferences as well as a teaching aid.

Personal computer and LCD projectors have become commonplace in different work and teaching/learning environments (Cheng et al., 2015). While the Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) was almost always used in computer displays in the early days of the computer, technological advances have now made it possible for the introduction and use of several types of different displays (Tannas, 2012).

An important environment in which the display monitor is used daily is in the university. As such, students will be affected by any problems which may occur with screen ergonomics and which lead to poor human-machine interface. A combination of factors determines the readability in lecture halls and classrooms. As computer uses are visually demanding, the result can be vision problems and their symptoms. Most of the research done in this area has indicated that office workers who use computers are prone to eye-related problems while non-computer using workers do not experience such problems (Woodson et al., 1992).

Some studies (Hayes et al., 2007; Ranasinghe et al., 2016), have reported visual symptoms occurring in 75 to 90% of computer workers. On the contrary, the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) indicated that only 22% of computer workers suffer muscular disorders (Smith et al., 1981; Collins et al., 1991). Optometrists surveyed have stated that in excess of 10 million primary care eye examinations are carried out every year in one country, mainly due to visual problems resulting from computer use. This led to a compilation of symptoms now referred to as Computer Vision Syndrome (CVS). This condition happens when the visual ability of the computer user is unable to cope with the viewing demand of the task (Kiekenapp, 1926). The American Optometric Association describes CVS as that “complex of eye and vision problems related to near work that is experienced during or related to computer use.” There are different symptoms exhibited but generally include eyestrain, headaches, blurred vision (distance or near), dry and irritated eyes, delayed refocusing, neck and backache, unusual sensitivity to light, double vision, and colour distortion (Sheedy, 1992).

## **1.1 Problem Statement**

The rapid advances in digital science and technology have elevated the computer and digital projector to a level of great significance as teaching aids (Wu et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2011). Computer users, especially students, often perform prolonged seated tasks that involve focusing on a computer screen that could lead to a static head-neck posture and sustained muscle activity all of which also increase the likelihood of developing musculoskeletal pain, loading and visual fatigue (Tamrin et al., 2016). There is an increase in complaints of neck-shoulder pain following the use of computer screen (Legg et al., 2015; Scuffham et al., 2010).

Poor ergonomics of the physical environment of VDT can cause musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs), The inappropriateness of school design may influence student achievement negatively (Tanner, c. k. (2008)). However, many cases have not been reported because of lack of awareness and understanding on ergonomic (Mohd Yusuff et al., 2016). Hence, it is imperative for researcher to focus on studying this phenomenon as the occurrence of MSD and eye pain has become a vital issue, especially for the student.

## **1.2 Objectives**

### **1.2.1 The objectives of this study are:**

1. To determine the students' satisfaction on VDT used in the education institute.
2. To determine the effects of VDT workplace design parameters on muscle disorder and eye strain among students.
3. To propose ergonomically position of VDT for safer and comfortable use among students.

## **1.3 Research Scope**

This research focuses on the students' satisfaction with VDTs in four faculties, three complexes and three libraries in UPM as an example of an educational institute and whether they are suffering from any health disorders because of using VDTs, such as muscle disorders or eye strain. Also, this study investigates the relationship between the MSDs and eye strain and the lighting, viewing distance and student's/screen's position.

The data was collected from different physical environments to ensure greater understanding of the students' performance in different study conditions, such as different screen sizes, different viewing distances, and different positions. The participants included students of sexes, different age groups, nationalities, and different levels of study. This current research investigated how they were affected

by the use of desktop monitors and projectors and how their health was threatened regardless of their gender age, nationality, or study levels. the findings are generally useful for all other educational institutes.

#### **1.4 Thesis Outline**

Chapter 1 of this thesis identifies and presents the Problem Statement, Objectives, and Scope of this research. Chapter 2 ( literature review) covers relevant topics related to this work and also the background such as education ergonomics, the different kinds of VDT, MSDs and eye strain because of computer usage and the physical environment items, which may affect the student's performance such as the lighting, viewing distance and the student's/screen's position. Chapter 3 explains the data collection protocol, data preparation and proposed research methodology. Details of the results and discussion are provided in Chapter 4, while the conclusion of the current work and potential future investigations are presented in Chapter 5.

## REFERENCES

- Aarås, A., Horgen, G., Bjørset, H. H., Ro, O., & Walsøe, H. (2001). Musculoskeletal, visual and psychosocial stress in VDU operators before and after multidisciplinary ergonomic interventions. A 6 years prospective study—Part II. *Applied ergonomics*, 32(6), 559-571.
- Aarås, A., Horgen, G., & Helland, M. (2007, July). Can visual discomfort influence on muscle pain and muscle load for visual display unit (VDU) workers?. In *International Conference on Ergonomics and Health Aspects of Work with Computers* (pp. 3-9). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Aaras A, Horgen G, Ro O. 2000. Work with the Visual Display Unit: health consequences. *Int J Hum-Comput Int*;12: 107–134.
- Abib AH, Dutta SP. 1998. Epidemiological investigation of workdays lost due to VDT related injuries. *Occup Ergon*;1:285–290.
- Adedoyin, R. A., Idowu, B. O., Adagunodo, R. E., Owoyomi, A. A., & Idowu, P. A. (2005). Musculoskeletal pain associated with the use of computer systems in Nigeria. *Technology and Health Care*, 13(2), 125-130.
- Ainley, J. (2010). Monitoring and assessing the use of ICT in education. *Educational Research and Innovation*, 67-88.
- Akinbinu, T. R., & Mashalla, Y. J. (2013). Knowledge of computer vision syndrome among computer users in the workplace in Abuja, Nigeria. *Journal of Physiology and Pathophysiology*, 4(4), 58-63.
- Ankrum, D. R. (2008). New Visual Consideration at Computer Workstations.
- Anshel, J. (Ed.). (2005). *Visual ergonomics handbook*. CRC Press.
- ANSI/IESNA. (2004). Office Lighting, ANSI/IESNA RP-1-04, Illuminating Engineering Society of North America, ISBN # 0-87995-200-8.
- Aqlan, F., Boldrin, W., Ramakrishnan, S., & Testani, M. V. (2014). *U.S. Patent Application No. 14/549,640*.
- Ariëns, G. A. M., Bongers, P. M., Douwes, M., Miedema, M. C., Hoogendoorn, W. E., van der Wal, G., ... & van Mechelen, W. (2001). Are neck flexion, neck rotation, and sitting at work risk factors for neck pain? Results of a prospective cohort study. *Occupational and environmental medicine*, 58(3), 200-207.
- Awad, A. L., Soliman, M. S., & Ahmed, I. A. (2006). Health complaints associated with prolonged computer use as perceived by computer users. *Alexandria*

*Scientific Nursing Journal*, 5(1), 65-76.

Bali, J., Neeraj, N., & Bali, R. (2014). Computer vision syndrome: A review. *Journal of Clinical Ophthalmology and Research*, 2(1),61–68.

Bali, J., Navin, N., & Thakur, B. R. (2007). Computer vision syndrome: A study of the knowledge, attitudes and practices in Indian Ophthalmologists. *Indian journal of ophthalmology*, 55(4), 289-293.

Bendix, T. (1994). Low back pain and seating. *Hard Facts about Soft Machines: The ergonomics of seating*. Philadelphia: Taylor and Francis, 147-156.

Bennett, C. (2002). Changing education ergonomics, 1–5.

Bergqvist, U. O., & Knave, B. G. (1994). Eye discomfort and work with visual display terminals. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment, and Health*, 20, 27–33.

Bergqvist, U. O., Wolgast, E., Nilsson, B., & Voss, M. (1995). Musculoskeletal disorders among visual display terminal workers: Individual, ergonomic, and work organizational factors. *Ergonomics*, 38, 763–776.

Blehm, C., Vishnu, S., Khattak, A., Mitra, S., & Yee, R. W. (2005). Computer vision syndrome: a review. *Survey of ophthalmology*, 50(3), 253-262.

Boampong, E., & Effah, B. (2015). A correlational Study of the Functional Utility of Students' Furniture in Senior High Schools in Ghana.

British Standards Institution. (1998). *Ergonomic Requirements for Office Work with Visual Display Terminals (VDTs): Guidance on Usability*. International Organization for Standardization.

Brown, C. (2013). Are We Becoming More Socially Awkward? An Analysis of the Relationship Between Technological Communication Use and Social Skills in College Students.

Bryant, J. L. (2006). Assessing expectations and perceptions of the campus experience: The Noel-Levitz Student Satisfaction Inventory. *New Directions for Community Colleges*, 2006(134), 25-35.

Bulmer, M. 2004: Questionnaires, 1st edition, Sage Benchmarks in Social Science Research Methods, edited by: Bulmer, M., Sage Publications, London, 354 pp.

Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching (1995). *The Basic School*. Princeton, NJ:Carnegie Foundation.

Chakrabarti M (2007). What is Computer Vision Syndrome?. *Kerala journal of*

*ophthalmology*. 19(3), 323-328.

- Chakrabarty, S., Sarkar, K., Dev, S., Das, T., Mitra, K., Sahu, S., & Gangopadhyay, S. (2016). Impact of rest breaks on musculoskeletal discomfort of Chikan embroiderers of West Bengal, India: a follow up field study. *Journal of occupational health*, 58(4), 365-372.
- Cheng, T. S., Lu, Y. C., & Yang, C. S. (2015). Using the Multi-Display Teaching System to Lower Cognitive Load. *Educational Technology & Society*, 18(4), 128-140.
- Cheng, W., Zheng, X., Li, M., & Chen, G. (2014, July). Which is the Best for Reading: Paper, Computer, or Tablet Computer?. In *Advanced Learning Technologies (ICALT), 2014 IEEE 14th International Conference on* (pp. 655-656). IEEE.
- Chim, J. M. (2014). Ergonomics for the Prevention of Musculoskeletal Disorders of Computer Users in Hong Kong, Singapore and Japan. *Journal of Ergonomics*, 4, 1-3.
- Chin-Chiuan Lina\* and Kuo-Chen Huangb. 2014."Effects of Lighting Color, Illumination Intensity, and Text Color on Visual Performance"3(12): 193-202.
- Clabaugh, S. (2004). Classroom Design Manual, 20742(301).
- Collins, M. J., Brown, B., Bowman, K. J., & Caird, D. (1991). Task variables and visual discomfort associated with the use of VDT's. *Optometry & Vision Science*, 68(1), 27-33.
- Collins, M. J., Brown, B., Bowman, K. J., & Carkeet, A. (1990). Symptoms associated with VDT use. *Clinical and Experimental Optometry*, 73(4), 111-118.
- Context, L. (2015). Presenting in the Classroom, (March), 1-6.
- Cook, C., & Burgess-Limerick, R. (2003). Guidelines for computer workstations. *Ergonomics Australia*, 17(1), 19-37.
- Da Costa, B. R., & Vieira, E. R. (2010). Risk factors for work-related musculoskeletal disorders: a systematic review of recent longitudinal studies. *American journal of industrial medicine*, 53(3), 285-323.
- David L. Goetsch . 2015. "Occupational safety and health for technologists, engineering and managers", eighth edition, pp.224-225. Oregon OSHA . 2009., "Evaluating your computer workstation" pp-38-34.
- Decarli, J. M. (2008). Office Ergonomics : Preventing Office - Related, (April).



- Denning, P. J. (1983). A nation at risk: the imperative for educational reform. *Communications of the ACM*, 26(7), 467–478.
- Department of Labour. (2010). Guidelines for using computers : Preventing and managing discomfort, pain and injury, (November).
- Department of Industrial Relations ,Cal/OSHA Consultation Service, Research and Education Unit. (1996).“Easy Ergonomics For Desktop Computer Users”, page 7. Guidelines for using computers “Preventing and managing discomfort, pain and injury”, pp.59-64.
- Dillon, A. (1992). Reading from paper versus screens: A critical review of the empirical literature. *Ergonomics*, 35(10), 1297-1326.
- Dimberg, L., Laestadius, J. G., Ross, S., & Dimberg, I. (2015). The Changing Face of Office Ergonomics. *The Ergonomics Open Journal*, 8, 38-56.
- Displays, V. (1983). Work, and Vision. *National Academy of Sciences*,(National Academy Press, Washington, DC 1983).
- De Moor, K., Andrén, B., Guo, Y., Brunnström, K., Wang, K., Drott, A., & Hermann, D. S. (2015, March). Influence of high ambient illuminance and display luminance on readability and subjective preference. In *SPIE/IS&T Electronic Imaging* (pp. 93941E-93941E). International Society for Optics and Photonics.
- Dul, J., & Weerdmeester, B. (2008). *Ergonomics for beginners: A quick reference guide*. CRC press.
- Dunn, J. (2011). The evolution of classroom technology. *Edudemic: connecting education & technology*.
- Ellahi, A., Khalil, M. S., & Akram, F. (2011). Computer users at risk: Health disorders associated with prolonged computer use. *Journal of Business Management and Economics*, 2(4), 171-182.
- Economist. (2008). The Future of Higher Education: How Technology Will Shape Learning. Economist Intelligence Unit, 1–31. Retrieved from [http://www.nmc.org/pdf/Future-of-Higher-Ed-\(NMC\).pdf](http://www.nmc.org/pdf/Future-of-Higher-Ed-(NMC).pdf)
- Estember, R. D., Panugot, R. T., & Vale, A. D. (2015, March). The musculoskeletal disorder effects on the use of single and dual monitor workstations. In *Industrial Engineering and Operations Management (IEOM), 2015 International Conference on* (pp. 1-7). IEEE.
- Flaspöler E., Hauke A, Pappachan P., Reinert D., E. Al. (2010). Literature review - The human machine interface as an emerging risk. doi:10.2802/21813.

- Fogleman, M., & Lewis, R. J. (2002). Factors associated with self-reported musculoskeletal discomfort in video display terminal (VDT) users. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 29(6), 311-318.
- Gerr, F., Marcus, M., Ensor, C., Kleinbaum, D., Cohen, S., Edwards, A., & Monteilh, C. (2002). A prospective study of computer users: I. Study design and incidence of musculoskeletal symptoms and disorders. *American journal of industrial medicine*, 41(4), 221-235.
- Gerr, F., Marcus, M., & Ortiz, D. J. (1996). Methodological limitations in the study of video display terminal use and upper extremity musculoskeletal disorders. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 29(6), 649-656.
- Glimne, S., Tornquist, A., Nylen, P., Eklund, J., & Tear Fahnehjelm, K. (2012). Lighting and Task Analysis in an Eye Hospital. *NES2012 Proceedings*.
- Goetsch, D. L. (2011). Occupational Safety and Health for Technologists, Engineers, and Mnagers.
- Hagen, K., Einarsen, C., Zwart, J. A., Svebak, S., & Bovim, G. (2002). The co-occurrence of headache and musculoskeletal symptoms amongst 51 050 adults in Norway. *European journal of Neurology*, 9(5), 527-533.
- Hakala, P. T., Rimpelä, A. H., Saarni, L. A., & Salminen, J. J. (2006). Frequent computer-related activities increase the risk of neck–shoulder and low back pain in adolescents. *The European Journal of Public Health*, 16(5), 536-541.
- Hakala, P. T., Saarni, L. A., Punamäki, R. L., Wallenius, M. A., Nygård, C. H., & Rimpelä, A. H. (2012). Musculoskeletal symptoms and computer use among Finnish adolescents-pain intensity and inconvenience to everyday life: a cross-sectional study. *BMC musculoskeletal disorders*, 13(1), 41.
- Harris, C., & Straker, L. (2000). Survey of physical ergonomics issues associated with school childrens' use of laptop computers. *International journal of industrial ergonomics*, 26(3), 337-346.
- Harle, D. E., & Evans, B. J. (2004). The optometric correlates of migraine. *Ophthalmic and Physiological Optics*, 24(5), 369-383.
- Hayes, J. R., Sheedy, J. E., Stelmack, J. A., & Heaney, C. A. (2007). Computer use, symptoms, and quality of life. *Optometry & Vision Science*, 84(8), E738-E755.
- Hellier, J. L. (Ed.). (2016). The Five Senses and Beyond: The Encyclopedia of Perception: The Encyclopedia of Perception. ABC-CLIO.
- Hemphälä, H. (2014). How visual ergonomics interventions influence health and performance-with an emphasis on non-computer work tasks.

- Hennessy, S., Onguko, B., Harrison, D., Kiforo, E., Namalefe, S., & Naseem, A. (2010). Centre for Commonwealth Education & Aga Khan University Institute for Educational Development – Eastern Africa Research Report No. 1 Developing the Use of Information and Communication Technology to Enhance Teaching and Learning in East African Schools: Review of the Literature, (May).
- Hillevi Hemphälä and Jörgen Eklund. 2012. “A visual ergonomics intervention in mail sorting facilities: Effects on eyes, muscles and productivity”, Elsevier Ltd and The Ergonomics Society, Vol.43, pp.217-229.
- Hopkinson, R. G., & Collins, J. B. (1970). The ergonomics of lighting.
- Hsu, Y.W., Chen, L., Chung, Y.C., Liu, C.C., & Tsai, C.H. (2012). A study of the influences of screen sizes and viewing distances the performance of Nintendo Wii. *Information technology journal*, 11(9), 1279-1285.
- Hunting, W., Laubli, T., & Grandjean, E. (1981). Postural and visual loads at VDT workplaces: I. Constrained postures. *Ergonomics*, 24, 917–931.
- Hygge, S., & Knez, I. (2001). Effects of noise, heat and indoor lighting on cognitive performance and self-reported affect. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 21(3), 291-299.
- Ihemedu, C. O. (2014). The level of awareness and utilization of computer shields among computer users in a Nigerian community. *Asian Journal of Medical Sciences (E-ISSN 2091-0576; P-ISSN 2467-9100)*, 1(2), 49-52.
- Index, C. P. (2012). Bureau of Labor Statistics, Most common uses for computers at work. US Department of Labor. Washington, DC. <https://www.bls.gov/opub/ted/2005/aug/wk5/art05.htm>
- Injuries, M. (2010). Office Ergonomics.
- Iwakiri, K., Mori, I., Sotoyama, M., Horiguchi, K., Ochiai, T., Jonai, H., & Saito, S. (2004). Survey on visual and musculoskeletal symptoms in VDT workers. *Sangyo eiseigaku zasshi. Journal of occupational health*, 46(6), 201-212.
- Jäger, P. D. I. M., Griefahn, B., Liebers, F., Steinberg, D. I. U., & für Arbeitsschutz, B. (2003). Preventing musculoskeletal disorders in the workplace.
- Jaschinski-Kruza, W. (1991). Eyestrain in VDU users: viewing distance and the resting position of ocular muscles. *Human factors*, 33(1), 69-83.

- Jayanthi, S. V., Balakrishnan, S., Ching, A. L. S., Latiff, N. A. A., & Nasirudeen, A. M. A. (2014). Factors contributing to academic performance of students in a tertiary institution in Singapore. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 2(9), 752-758.
- Jensen, C., Ryholt, C. U., Burr, H., Villadsen, E., & Christensen, H. (2002). Work-related psychosocial, physical and individual factors associated with musculoskeletal symptoms in computer users. *Work & Stress*, 16(2), 107-120.
- Jha, S. K., Deb, R. K., Jha, V. C., & Khan, I. A. (2010). Academic Performance of the Students, 1(1), 46-52.
- Julius, N., & Mustapha, E. E. (2014, November). Take-A-Break Notification: An ergonomic application. In *Information Technology and Multimedia (ICIMU), 2014 International Conference on* (pp. 390-395). IEEE.
- Juul-Kristensen, B., Kadefors, R., Hansen, K., Byström, P., Sandsjö, L., & Sjøgaard, G. (2006). Clinical signs and physical function in neck and upper extremities among elderly female computer users: the NEW study. *European journal of applied physiology*, 96(2), 136-145.
- Kahneman, D. (2011). *Thinking, fast and slow*. Macmillan.
- Kanchanomai, S., Janwantanakul, P., Pensri, P., & Jiamjarasrangsi, W. (2011). Risk factors for the onset and persistence of neck pain in undergraduate students: 1-year prospective cohort study. *BMC public health*, 11(1), 566.
- Karray, F., Alemzadeh, M., Saleh, J. A., & Arab, M. N. (2008). Human-Computer Interaction: Overview on State of the Art. *international journal of smart sensing and intelligent systems*, 1(1), 137-159.
- Karwowski, W. (2006). The discipline of ergonomics and human factors. *Handbook of human factors and ergonomics*, 3.
- Kiekenapp, E. (1926). American Optometric Association. *Optometry & Vision Science*, 3(11), 349.
- Khalaj, M., Ebrahimi, M., Shojai, P., Bagherzadeh, R., Sadeghi, T., & Ghalenoei, M. (2015). Computer Vision Syndrome in Eleven to Eighteen-Year-Old Students in Qazvin. *Biotechnology and Health Sciences*, 2(3).
- Khan, R., Surti, A., Rehman, R., & Ali, U. (2012). Knowledge and practices of ergonomics in computer users. *JPMA-Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association*, 62(3), 213.

- Knave, B. G., Wibom, R. I., Voss, M., Hedström, L. D., & Bergqvist, U. O. (1985). Work with video display terminals among office employees: I. Subjective symptoms and discomfort. *Scandinavian journal of work, environment & health*, 457-466.
- Kothiyal, K., & Bjørnerem, A. M. (2009). Effects of computer monitor setting on muscular activity, user comfort and acceptability in office work. *Work*, 32(2), 155-163.
- Kothiyal, K., & Bjørnerem, A. M. (2009). Effects of computer monitor setting on muscular activity, user comfort and acceptability in office work. *Work*, 32(2), 155-163.
- Kowacs, P. A., Piovesan, E. J., Werneck, L. C., Fameli, H., & Pereira da Silva, H. (2004). Headache related to a specific screen flickering frequency band. *Cephalalgia*, 24(5), 408-410.
- Kozeis, N. (2009). Impact of computer use on children's vision. *Hippokratia*, 13(4), 230-1.
- Lee, D. S. (2012). Preferred viewing distance of liquid crystal high-definition television. *Applied ergonomics*, 43(1), 151-156.
- Lee, S., Lee, Y., & Chung, Y. (2017). Effect of changes in head postures during use of laptops on muscle activity of the neck and trunk. *Physical therapy rehabilitation science*, 6(1), 33-38.
- Lee, T. H., & Liu, T. Y. (2013). Postural and muscular responses while viewing different heights of screen. *International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics*, 19(2), 251-258.
- Legg, S. J., Devereux, J. J., & Jones, G. (2015). Prevalence of low back symptoms and its consequences in relation to occupational group. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 41(5), 561-572.
- Leslie, R. P. (2003). Capturing the daylight dividend in buildings: why and how?. *Building and Environment*, 38(2), 381-385.
- Lin, C. C. (2014). Effects of illumination conditions and chromaticity contrast on reading performance. *Red*, 40(6387), 3505.
- Lin, C. C., & Huang, K. C. (2006). Effects of ambient illumination and screen luminance combination on character identification performance of desktop TFT-LCD monitors. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 36(3), 211-218.

- Lin, C. C., & Huang, K. C. (2009). Effects of color combination and ambient illumination on visual perception time with TFT-LCD. *Perceptual and motor skills*, 109(2), 607-625.
- Lin, C. C., & Huang, K. C. (2013). Effects of ambient illumination conditions and background color on visual performance with TFT-LCD screens. *Displays*, 34(4), 276-282.
- Lin, C., Chen, M., & Huang, K. (2015). Effects of Viewing Angle and Contrast Ratio on Visual Performance using TFT-LCD, 5(4), 55–59.
- Lin, C., & Huang, K. (2014). Effects of Lighting Color , Illumination Intensity , and Text Color on Visual Performance, (April), (12) 3, 193-202.
- Lin, C., & Huang, K. (2014). Effects of Lighting Color , Illumination Intensity , and Text Color on Visual Performance, (April), 193–202.
- Lin, C. J., Wen-Yang, F. E. N. G., Chin-Jung, C., & Tseng, F. Y. (2008). Effects of VDT workstation lighting conditions on operator visual workload. *Industrial health*, 46(2), 105-111.
- Loh, K. Y., & Redd, S. C. (2008). Understanding and preventing computer vision syndrome. *Malaysian family physician: the official journal of the Academy of Family Physicians of Malaysia*, 3(3), 128.
- Long, J., & Richter, H. (2014). Visual ergonomics at work and leisure. *Work*, 47(3), 419-420.
- Long, J. (2014). What is visual ergonomics?. *Work (Reading, Mass.)*, 47(3), 287.
- Luczak H, Cakir AE, Cakir G, eds. WWDU .2002—World Wide Work. Proceedings of the 6th International Scientific Conference on Work with Display Units. Berlin: Ergonomic Institut fur Arbeits und Sozialforschung, Forschungsgesellschaft mbH; 676–678.
- MacKinnon, G. R. (2007). A decade of laptop computers: The impact on the pedagogy of university faculty. *Journal of Instruction Delivery Systems*, 21(3), 7-21.
- Mandal, A. C. (1994). The prevention of back pain in school children. *Hard Facts About Soft Machines: The Ergonomics of Seating*. Taylor & Francis, London, 269-277.
- Masako Omoria, Tomoyuki Watanabea, Jo Takaia, Hiroki Takadab & Masaru Miyaoc, 2015, “An Attempt at Preventing Asthenopia Among VDT Workers”, *International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics (JOSE)*, 2003< Vol9, No.4, 453-462.

- Mashalla, Y. J. (2014). Impact of computer technology on health: Computer Vision Syndrome (CVS). *Medical Practice and Reviews*, 5(3), 20-30.
- McNeely, B. (2005). Using technology as a learning tool, not just the cool new thing. *Educating the net generation*, 4-1.
- Melorose, J., Perroy, R., & Careas, S. (2015). Sustainable Development in Low-Income Housing: A New Technology. *Statewide Agricultural Land Use Baseline 2015, 1*.
- Mork, R., & Thorud, H. S. (2014). Associations between glare , eyestrain and neck and shoulder pain during reading on a computer screen, 999–1000.
- Mott, M. S., Robinson, D. H., Walden, A., Burnette, J., & Rutherford, A. S. (2012). Illuminating the effects of dynamic lighting on student learning. *Sage Open*, 2(2), 2158244012445585.
- Nakazawa, T., Okubo, Y., Suwazono, Y., Kobayashi, E., Komine, S., Kato, N., & Nogawa, K. (2006). Association between duration of daily VDT use and subjective symptoms. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 42(5), 421-426.
- National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. NIOSH. (1999). publications on video display terminals. 3rd ed. Cincinnati: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
- Nations, U., & On, C. (2006). United nations conference on trade and development the digital divide report : ICT diffusion index 2005.
- Newsham, G. R., Veitch, J. A., Reinhart, C. F., & Sander, D. M. (2004). Lighting design for open-plan offices. *Construction Technology Update*, 62.
- Noor-Ul-Amin, S. (2013). An effective use of ICT for education and learning by drawing on worldwide knowledge, research, and experience: ICT as a change agent for education. *Scholarly Journal of Education*, 2(4), 38-45.
- Okunribido, O., & Wynn, T. (2010). Ageing and work-related musculoskeletal disorders: A review of the recent literature. *Health and Safety Executive Research Report RR799*. Buxton: Health and Safety Executive.
- OSHA, (2000).
- OSHA 3092 .1997. “Working Safely with Video Display Terminals”.
- Omori, M., Watanabe, T., Takai, J., Takada, H., & Miyao, M. (2003). An attempt at preventing asthenopia among VDT workers. *International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics : JOSE*, 9(4), 453–462.

- Özgüngör, S. (2010). Identifying dimensions of students' ratings that best predict students' self efficacy, course value and satisfaction. *Eurasian Journal of Educational Research*, 38, 146-163.
- Palm, P., Risberg, E. H., Mortimer, M., Pamerud, G., Toomingas, A., & Tornqvist, E. W. (2007). Computer use, neck and upper-extremity symptoms, eyestrain and headache among female and male upper secondary school students. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment and Health, Supplement*, 33(3), 33-41.
- Pelissier, C., Fontana, L., Emmanuel, F. O. R. T., Agard, J. P., Couprie, F., Delaygue, B., ... & Charbotel, B. (2014). Occupational risk factors for upper-limb and neck musculoskeletal disorder among health-care staff in nursing homes for the elderly in France. *Industrial health*, 52(4), 334-346.
- Pentti Seppala. 2001."Experience of Stress, Musculoskeletal Discomfort, and Eyestrain in Computer-Based Office Work: A Study in Municipal Workplaces": Vol 13, 279-304.
- Radulović, B., & Huršidić-Radulović, A. (2012). Frequency of musculoskeletal and eye symptoms among computer users at work. *Archives of Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology*, 63(2), 215-218.
- Ranasinghe, P., Wathurapatha, W. S., Perera, Y. S., Lamabadusuriya, D. A., Kulatunga, S., Jayawardana, N., & Katulanda, P. (2016). Computer vision syndrome among computer office workers in a developing country: an evaluation of prevalence and risk factors. *BMC research notes*, 9(1), 150.
- Rathore KS, Bagdi P, Rathore S (2010). Computer Vision Syndrome: An update, viewed 17 November 2011 <http://www.articlesbase.com>.
- Reddy, S. C., Low, C. K., Lim, Y. P., Low, L. L., Mardina, F., & Nursaleha, M. P. (2013). Computer vision syndrome: a study of knowledge and practices in university students. *Nepalese Journal of Ophthalmology*, 5(2), 161-168.
- Risberg, Eva Hansson, Monica Mortimer, Gunnar Palmerud, and Ewa Wigaeus Tornqvist. 2007. "original Article." (3): 33-41.
- Rose, L. (1987). Workplace video display terminals and visual fatigue. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 29(4), 321-324.
- Rosenfield, M. (1997). Tonic vergence and vergence adaptation. *Optometry & Vision Science*, 74(5), 303-308.
- Rossignol, A. M., Morse, E. P., Summers, V. M., & Pagnotto, L. D. (1987). Video display terminal use and reported health symptoms among Massachusetts clerical workers. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 29(2), 112-118.



- Rouse, M. (2011). VDT (video display terminal, visual display terminal).
- Sabeen, F., Bashir, M. S., Hussain, S. I., & Ehsan, S. (2013). Prevalance of neck pain in computer users. *Annals of King Edward Medical University*, 19(2), 137.
- Saito, S., Miyao, M., Kondo, T., Sakakibara, H., & Toyoshima, H. (1997). Ergonomic evaluation of working posture of VDT operation using personal computer with flat panel display. *Industrial health*, 35(2), 264-270.
- Sakamoto, K., Aoyama, S., Asahara, S., Yamashita, K., & Okada, A. (2009, January). Evaluation of viewing distance vs. TV size on visual fatigue in a home viewing environment. In *Consumer Electronics, 2009. ICCE'09. Digest of Technical Papers International Conference on* (pp. 1-2). IEEE.
- Samani, S. A., & Samani, S. A. (2012). The impact of indoor lighting on students' learning performance in learning environments: A knowledge internalization perspective. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 3(24),127–136.
- Sawyer, J. K., & Penman, J. (2011). *Ergonomics & Computer Use: Increasing the Awareness of Rural Secondary School Students* (Doctoral dissertation, Human Factors and Ergonomics Society of Australia).
- Scuffham, A. M., Legg, S. J., Firth, E. C., & Stevenson, M. A. (2010). Prevalence and risk factors associated with musculoskeletal discomfort in New Zealand veterinarians. *Applied ergonomics*, 41(3), 444-453.
- Semenov, A. (2005). Information and communication technologies in schools. *A hand book for Teachers, UNESCO*, 1-240.
- Semenov, A. (2005). Information and communication technologies in schools. *A hand book for Teachers, UNESCO*, 1-240.
- Sen, A., & Richardson, S. (2007). A study of computer-related upper limb discomfort and computer vision syndrome. *Journal of human ergology*, 36(2), 45-50.
- S.K. Jha\*, Rupak Kumar Deb\*\*, Vinay Chandra Jha\*\*, Iqbal Ahmed Khan. 2010. “Effect of illumination and cross ventilation in the classroom on academic performance of the students” 1(1): 46-52.
- Shang, Z., & Jiang, J. (2010, June). Virtual simulation evaluation of the human-computer interface of a display and control device. In *Electrical and Control Engineering (ICECE), 2010 International Conference on* (pp. 2532-2535). IEEE.
- Sharma, A. K., Khera, S., & Khandekar, J. (2006). Computer related health problems among information technology professionals in Delhi. *Indian journal of community medicine*, 31(1), 36.

- Sheedy, J. E. (1992). Vision problems at video display terminals: A survey of optometrists. *Journal of the American Optometric Association*, 63(10), 687-692.
- Sheedy, J. E. (2007). The physiology of eyestrain. *Journal of modern optics*, 54(9), 1333-1341.
- Sheedy, J. E., Hayes, J., & Engle, J. (2003). Is all asthenopia the same?. *Optometry & Vision Science*, 80(11), 732-739.
- Shibata, T., Kim, J., Hoffman, D. M., & Banks, M. S. (2011). The zone of comfort: Predicting visual discomfort with stereo displays. *Journal of vision*, 11(8), 11-11.
- Shieh, K. K., & Lai, Y. K. (2008). Effects of ambient illumination, luminance contrast, and stimulus type on subjective preference of VDT target and background color combinations. *Perceptual and motor skills*, 107(2), 336-352.
- Shin, G., & Hegde, S. (2010). User-preferred position of computer displays: effects of display size. *Human factors*, 52(5), 574-585.
- Sillanpaa J, Huikko S, Nyberg M, et al. Effect of work with visual display units on musculoskeletal disorders in the office environment. *Occup Med (Lond)* 2003;53:443–451.
- S.K. Jha\*, Rupak Kumar Deb\*\*, Vinay Chandra Jha\*\*, Iqbal Ahmed Khan. 2010. “Effect of illumination and cross ventilation in the classroom on academic performance of the students” 1(1): 46-52.
- Smith, M. J., Cohen, B. G., Stammerjohn Jr, L. W., & Happ, A. (1981). An investigation of health complaints and job stress in video display operations. *Human factors*, 23(4), 387-400.
- Smith, T. J. (2001). Educational ergonomics: educational design and educational performance. *International Society for Occupational Ergonomics and Safety*.
- Smith, T. J. (1966). The main challenge in the science of human learning is to understand the requirements of educational design at all levels ”.
- Sommerich, C. M., Joines, S. M. B., Carolina, N., Carolina, N., Psihogios, J. P., & Corporation, N. C. R. (2001). Effects of Computer Monitor Viewing Angle and Related Factors on Strain , Performance , and Preference Outcomes, 43(1), 39–55.
- Sommerich, C. M., Joines, S. M., & Psihogios, J. P. (2001). Effects of computer monitor viewing angle and related factors on strain, performance, and preference outcomes. *Human factors*, 43(1), 39-55.

SS-EN 124641. ( 2011). Light and lighting – Lighting of work places – Part 1: Indoor work places, Swedish standards institute.

Swedish work environment authority.( 2015). Correct work at the monitor.

Szeto, G. P., Chan, C. C., Chan, S. K., Lai, H. Y., & Lau, E. P. (2012). A study on neck-shoulder muscle activity when using a single computer display screen versus two screens concurrently. In *Network of Ergonomics Societies Conference (SEANES), 2012 Southeast Asian* (pp. 1-4). IEEE.

Szeto, G. P., & Sham, K. S. (2008). The effects of angled positions of computer display screen on muscle activities of the neck–shoulder stabilizers. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 38(1), 9-17.

Szeto, G. P., Straker, L., & Raine, S. (2002). A field comparison of neck and shoulder postures in symptomatic and asymptomatic office workers. *Applied ergonomics*, 33(1), 75-84.

Szeto, G. P., Straker, L. M., & O’Sullivan, P. B. (2005). A comparison of symptomatic and asymptomatic office workers performing monotonous keyboard work—2: neck and shoulder kinematics. *Manual Therapy*, 10(4), 281-291.

Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton, Florida, USA. ISBN 1-56670-682-3.

Tamrin, S. B., Akir, N. F., Guan, N. Y., How, V., & Zolkifli, N. Z. (2016). The Effect of Using Dual Screen Computer Panel to the Neck-Shoulder Muscle Activity among Group of Students. *Iranian Journal of Public Health*, 45(1), 61.

Tannas, L. E. (2012). Flat-panel displays and CRTs. Springer Science & Business Media.

Tannas, L. E. (2012). Flat-panel displays and CRTs. Springer Science & Business Media.

Tanner, C. K. (2008). Explaining relationships among student outcomes and the school's physical environment. *Journal of Advanced Academ.*

Tessema, M. T., Ready, K., & Yu, W. (2012). Factors affecting college students' satisfaction with major curriculum: Evidence from nine years of data. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 2(2), 34-44.

Thomas, E. (2011). Screen addicts: Children spend more time in front of a computer or television every day than they spend exercising every week.

The ergonomics of lighting: R. G. Hopkinson and J. B. Collins. Macdonald & Co., Ltd. 1970. 272 pages.

Torrey J (2003). Understanding Computer Vision Syndrome. *Employ. Relat. Today*

30(1):45-51.

- T. J. (2001). Educational ergonomics: educational design and educational performance. Paper presented at the International Society for Occupational Ergonomics and Safety, Fairfax, VA. Retrieved from <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.136.733>.
- Turville, K. L., Psihogios, J. P., Ulmer, T. R., & Mirka, G. A. (1998). The effects of video display terminal height on the operator: a comparison of the 15 and 40 recommendations. *Applied Ergonomics*, 29(4), 239-246.
- Tyrrell, R. A., & Leibowitz, H. W. (1990). The relation of vergence effort to reports of visual fatigue following prolonged near work. *Human factors*, 32(3), 341-357.
- Visual Ergonomics Technical Committee. (2013). What is Visual Ergonomics?. *International Ergonomics Association (IEA)*.
- Vos, J. J. (2009). From visual performance to visual ergonomics: a personal historic view. *Clinical and Experimental Optometry*, 92(2), 126-132.
- Vyavahare, R. T. (2015). An Ergonomic Evaluation of an Industrial Workstation : A Review, 5(3), 1820–1826.
- Wærsted, M., Hanvold, T. N., & Veiersted, K. B. (2010). Computer work and musculoskeletal disorders of the neck and upper extremity: a systematic review. *BMC musculoskeletal disorders*, 11(1), 79.
- Wahlström, J. (2005). Ergonomics, musculoskeletal disorders and computer work. *Occupational Medicine*, 55(3), 168-176.
- Wang, A. H., Lee, K. W., & Hu, Y. C. (2006, July). What is the effect of position for VDT dynamic/static displays on users' reading comprehension?. In *Information Visualization, 2006. IV 2006. Tenth International Conference on* (pp. 835-838). IEEE.
- Watten, R. G. (1994). Reinvention of visual fatigue: accumulation of scientific knowledge or neglect of scientific history?. *Ophthalmic and Physiological Optics*, 14(4), 428-432.
- Weston, T. J., & Barker, L. J. (2002). A profile of student computer use, training, and proficiency. *Journal of Computing in Higher Education*, 14(1), 87-112.
- Weston, H.C. (1962). *Sight Light and Work*, Second Edition, H.K. Lewis & Co. Ltd., London, The White friars Press Ltd., London and Tonbridge.
- Wigdor, D., Shen, C., Forlines, C., & Balakrishnan, R. (2006, April). Effects of display position and control space orientation on user preference and

performance. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human Factors in computing systems* (pp. 309-318). ACM.

- Wilkins, A., Veitch, J., & Lehman, B. (2010, September). LED lighting flicker and potential health concerns: IEEE standard PAR1789 update. In *Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition (ECCE), 2010 IEEE* (pp. 171-178). IEEE.
- Wimalasundera, S. (2009). Computer vision syndrome. *Galle Medical Journal*, 11(1).
- Winterbottom, M., & Wilkins, A. (2009). Lighting and discomfort in the classroom. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 29(1), 63-75.
- Woods, V. (2005). Musculoskeletal disorders and visual strain in intensive data processing workers. *Occupational medicine*, 55(2), 121-127.
- Woodson, W. E., Tillman, B., & Tillman, P. (1992). Human factors design handbook: information and guidelines for the design of systems, facilities, equipment, and products for human use.
- World Health Organization. (1990). Environment and health: the European Charter and commentary. *Environment and health: the European Charter and commentary.*, (35), P-18.
- Wu, H.-C. (2012). Visual Fatigue and Performances for the 40-min Mixed Visual Work with a Projected Screen. *The Ergonomics Open Journal*, 5, 10–18.
- Wu, H.C., Chen, H.C., & Lee, C.L. (2007). Effects of illumination and viewing distance on visual fatigue of the digital projector users. *International Conference on Human Aspect in Advanced Manufacturing and Hybrid Automation*.
- Wu, H.C., Cheng, Y.C., Uang, S.T. (2011). Effects of Viewing Distance and Local Illumination on Projection Screen Visual Performance, *International Journal of Applied Science and Engineering*, 1(9), 1-11.
- Wu, H., Cheng, Y., Chen, H., & Lee, C. (2000). Effects of viewing distance and local illumination on visual performances with a large projection screen.
- Yan, Z., Hu, L., Chen, H., & Lu, F. (2008). Computer Vision Syndrome: A widely spreading but largely unknown epidemic among computer users. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 24(5), 2026-2042.
- Yusuff, R. M., Baba, Z., Dawal, S. Z. M., & Tan, E. (2016). Malaysian Ergonomics Standards-Its Development, Awareness and Implementation-A Review Article. *Iranian Journal of Public Health*, 45(1), 1.

Zetterberg, C., Forsman, M., & Richter, H. O. (2013). Effects of visually demanding near work on trapezius muscle activity. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology*, 23(5), 1190-1198.



## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

### Journal

Zinah Muayad Khaleel, Rosnah bt. Mohd. Yusuff, Faieza bt. Abdul Aziz and Muhammad A.Fakhri. 2017. Computer- related eye pain: A case study from Malaysian public (UPM) for the effect of lighting on eye strain among university students. *Sci.Int.(Lahore)*. Volume 29. Issue 1. pp. 93–98. ISI. Published.

Zinah Muayad Khaleel, Rosnah bt. Mohd. Yusuff, Faieza bt. Abdul Aziz and Muhammad A.Fakhri. 2017. MSD and visual discomfort associated with performing study tasks on computer among university students. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*. Under review.



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**STATUS CONFIRMATION FOR THESIS / PROJECT REPORT AND COPYRIGHT**

**ACADEMIC SESSION :** \_\_\_\_\_

**TITLE OF THESIS / PROJECT REPORT :**

VDT WORKPLACE DESIGN AND EFFECTS ON MUSCLE DISORDERS AND EYE STRAIN AMONG STUDENTS AT AN EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTE

**NAME OF STUDENT:** ZINAH MUAYAD KHALEEL

I acknowledge that the copyright and other intellectual property in the thesis/project report belonged to Universiti Putra Malaysia and I agree to allow this thesis/project report to be placed at the library under the following terms:

1. This thesis/project report is the property of Universiti Putra Malaysia.
2. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia has the right to make copies for educational purposes only.
3. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia is allowed to make copies of this thesis for academic exchange.

I declare that this thesis is classified as :

\*Please tick (✓)

**CONFIDENTIAL**

(Contain confidential information under Official Secret Act 1972).

**RESTRICTED**

(Contains restricted information as specified by the organization/institution where research was done).

**OPEN ACCESS**

I agree that my thesis/project report to be published as hard copy or online open access.

This thesis is submitted for :

**PATENT**

Embargo from \_\_\_\_\_ until \_\_\_\_\_  
(date) (date)

**Approved by:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature of Student)  
New IC No/ Passport No.:

Date :

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature of Chairman of Supervisory Committee)  
Name:

Date :

**[Note : If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization/institution with period and reasons for confidentially or restricted. ]**