



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

***ESTIMATION OF NITROUS OXIDE, CARBON DIOXIDE AND METHANE  
EMISSIONS FROM SELECTED RICE SOILS IN MALAYSIA USING DNDC  
MODEL***

**RABAIL GANDAH**

**FP 2015 83**



**ESTIMATION OF NITROUS OXIDE, CARBON DIOXIDE AND METHANE  
EMISSIONS FROM SELECTED RICE SOILS IN MALAYSIA USING DNDC  
MODEL**

By  
**RABAIL GANDAH**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra  
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of  
Science**

**January 2015**

## COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



**DEDICATION**

**SPECIAL DEDICATION**

**TO MY LOVELY FAMILY**

**MY MOTHER, FATHER AND MY YOUNGER SISTER ANMOL AND MY  
BROTHERS, MEHTAB AND SHIRAZ**

**Thank you for the inspiration and encouragement in everything I do**



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in the fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**ESTIMATION OF NITROUS OXIDE, CARBON DIOXIDE AND METHANE EMISSIONS FROM SELECTED RICE SOILS IN MALAYSIA USING DNDC MODEL**

By

**RABAIL GANDAH**

January 2015

**Chairman : Professor Mohd. Khanif Yusop, PhD**

**Faculty : Agriculture**

Greenhouse gases (GHG) such as carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) are the main cause of global warming. In Malaysia, all these gases can be assessed through Denitrification and Decomposition (DNDC) model in various agricultural systems. Three soils and agriculture system studied for simulation were located in Kota Bharu (Kelantan) situated between 6°8'N 102°15'E, Alor Setar (Kedah) situated between 06°07'N, 100°22'E and Selangor, Malaysia situated at 2°43'N 101°57'E. All the three sites have double cropping system in a year. The objectives of these studies were to examine and forecast the agricultural practices involved in N<sub>2</sub>O, CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from various rice fields and to utilize the modeling approach to estimate changes in N<sub>2</sub>O, CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from rice soils of Malaysia.

Through DNDC model, four interacting sub-models: thermal/hydraulic, crop growth, decomposition, and denitrification were simulated. (Rice cultivation is an important source of GHGs that cause global warming. Rice systems contribute over 25% of total global anthropogenic CH<sub>4</sub> emissions currently). The model efficiently treats nitrogen inputs from atmospheric deposition, fertilizer use and nitrogen fixation and represents soil inorganic turnover to enable calculation of gas emissions. The farmers of Kelantan, Kedah and Selangor apply 248, 280 and 300 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The model validation was found satisfactory and gave correct simulations when compared with other studies reported elsewhere. In Kelantan, simulated CO<sub>2</sub> flux rate was 4392 kg C ha<sup>-1</sup>, 33.7 N<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> with -2 CH<sub>4</sub> flux kg ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>. The Global Warming Potential (GWP) for CO<sub>2</sub> flux was 16105 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>2</sub>O 16403 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq ha<sup>-1</sup>. However, CH<sub>4</sub> was found as sink (-66 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq ha<sup>-1</sup>). Bulk of all these gases had 32442 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq ha<sup>-1</sup> net GWP. In Kedah, the simulated CO<sub>2</sub> flux rate was 4675 kg C

ha<sup>-1</sup> and 15.2 kg N<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> recording -3 CH<sub>4</sub> flux kg ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>. The GWP for CO<sub>2</sub> flux was 17141 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>2</sub>O 454412 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq ha<sup>-1</sup>.

However, CH<sub>4</sub> was found as sink (-92 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq ha<sup>-1</sup>) and thus, bulk of all these gases had 471460 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq ha<sup>-1</sup> net GWP. In Selangor, CO<sub>2</sub> flux rate was 1489 kg C ha<sup>-1</sup>, 152.1 N<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> with -2 CH<sub>4</sub> flux. The GWP for CO<sub>2</sub> flux was 5460 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq ha<sup>-1</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>O 74085 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq ha<sup>-1</sup>. However, CH<sub>4</sub> was found as sink (-66 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq ha<sup>-1</sup>). Bulk of all these gases had 79440 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq ha<sup>-1</sup> net GWP. The simulations for field uncertainties were tested with variable nitrogen rates at 20% less than recommended and 20, 40 and 60% more N than recommended along with soil organic carbon (SOC) rates at 4, 3, 2 and 1.93% kg C kg<sup>-1</sup> in Kelantan, 2, 3, 4 and 5% SOC rates in Kedah and 2.31, 3, 4 and 5% in Selangor. In all the rice sites, the unit increase in N rate as well as SOC correspondingly increased N<sub>2</sub>O flux by 10.06, 6.80, 6.51 and 1.16 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. NO flux by 0.76, 3.25, 3.14 and 2.03 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>. N<sub>2</sub> flux 17.87, 18.21, 21.75 and 25.22 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>. N<sub>2</sub>O GWP flux rate by 3495.3, 1614.6, 6.3.0 and 499.4. In Kedah, the unit increase in N rate as well as SOC correspondingly increased N<sub>2</sub>O flux by 0.25, 0.42, 2.51 and 0.96 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, NO flux by 1.04, 1.17, 1.33, 1.51 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> and N<sub>2</sub> flux by 0.12, 0.83, 1.19 and 0.99 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>. N<sub>2</sub>O GWP flux rate by 30.6, 23033, 110302 and 154765. Similarly, in Selangor, the unit increase in N rate as well as SOC correspondingly increased N<sub>2</sub>O flux by 2.86, 3.83, 7.61 and 1.95 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. NO flux by 5.41, 5.0, 4.39 and 3.78 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>. N<sub>2</sub> flux by 5.22, 9.76, 18.46 and 30.44 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>. N<sub>2</sub>O GWP flux rate by 1385.3, 1865.3, 2701.5 and 3411.5. In conclusion, the DNDC model validations were accurate for Malaysian rice. The farmers of these three sites are applying more nitrogen fertilizer against the crop demand corresponding more yearly NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization loss and increased fluxes of N<sub>2</sub>O, NO and N<sub>2</sub> in the environment and excess fertilizer leach down in the soil by polluting underground water. In Malaysian rice, the simulated CH<sub>4</sub> values were negative indicating it as sink. In these sites, the GWP is also increasing due to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, ongoing management practices especially cropping system, straw incorporation, irrigation/flooding and N fertilizer management as well as C storage potential of the soil which is increasing with the passage of time due to left over residues and soil flooding condition.

The DNDC, was modified to enhance its capacity of predicting GHG emissions from rice ecosystems. The major modifications focused on simulations of anaerobic biogeochemistry and rice growth as well as parameterization of rice management. The new model was tested for its sensitivities to management alternatives and variations in natural conditions including weather and soil properties. The test results indicated that (1) varying management practices could substantially affect CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, or N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from rice; (2) soil properties affected the impacts of management alternatives on GHG emissions; and (3) the most sensitive management practices or soil factors varied for different GHGs. For estimating GHG emissions under certain management conditions at regional scale, the spatial heterogeneity of soil properties (e.g., texture, SOC content, pH) are the major source of uncertainty.

abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia  
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**ANGGARAN PELEPASAN NITRUS OKSIDA, KARBON DIOKSIDE DAN  
METANA DARI TANAH SAWAH DI MALAYSIA MODEL DNDC**

Oleh

**RABAIL GANDAH**

**Januari 2015**

**Pengerusi : Profesor Mohd Khanif Yusop, PhD**

**Fakulti : Pertanian**

Gas rumah hijau (GRH) iaitu karbon dioksida ( $\text{CO}_2$ ), metana ( $\text{CH}_4$ ) dan nitrus oksida ( $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ) adalah punca utama pemanasan global. Di Malaysia, semua gas ini boleh dinilai melalui proses dinitrifikasi dan penguraian (DNDC) dalam pelbagai sistem pertanian. Tiga jenis tanah dan sistem pertanian dikaji untuk simulasi dijalankan di Malaysia yang terletak di Kota Bharu (Kelantan) di antara  $6^\circ 8' \text{N}$   $102^\circ 15' \text{E}$ , Alor Setar (Kedah) di antara  $06^\circ 07' \text{N}$ ,  $100^\circ 12' \text{E}$  dan Sabak Bernam (Selangor) antara  $2^\circ 43' \text{N}$   $101^\circ 57' \text{E}$ . Ketiga-tiga lokasi ini mempunyai sistem penanaman dua kali setahun. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji dan meramal amalan pertanian yang terlibat dalam  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{CO}_2$  dan pengeluaran  $\text{CH}_4$  dari pelbagai bidang beras dan menggunakan pendekatan model untuk menganggarkan perubahan dalam  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{CO}_2$  dan pengeluaran  $\text{CH}_4$  dari tanah padi Malaysia.

Melalui model DNDC, empat interaksi sub-model: haba/hidraulik, pertumbuhan tanaman, penguraian, dan proses dinitrifikasi telah disimulasikan. (Penanaman padi merupakan sumber penting (GHGs) yang menyebabkan pemanasan global. Sistem tanaman padi menyumbang lebih 25% daripada jumlah pengeluaran antropogenik global ( $\text{CH}_4$ ) pada masa ini). Model ini berkesan merawat input nitrogen dari pemendapan atmosfera, penggunaan baja dan pengikatan nitrogen dan menterjemahkan melalui pengiraan pelepasan gas dari tanah bukan organik. Petani Kelantan, Kedah dan Selangor Malaysia menggunakan  $248 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$ ,  $280 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$  dan  $300 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$  setahun masing-masing. Pengesahan model didapati memuaskan dan memberikan simulasi yang betul berbanding dengan kajian-kajian lain dilaporkan di sebelum ini. Di Kelantan, simulasi kadar fluks  $\text{CO}_2$  adalah  $4392 \text{ kg C ha}^{-1}$ ,  $33.7 \text{ N}_2\text{O kg ha}^{-1}$  setahun dengan  $-2 \text{ CH}_4 \text{ fluks kg ha}^{-1}$  setahun. Potensi Pemanasan Global (GWP) untuk fluks  $\text{CO}_2$  adalah  $16.105 \text{ kg CO}_2\text{-eq ha}^{-1}$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$   $16.403 \text{ kg CO}_2\text{-eq ha}^{-1}$ . Walau bagaimanapun,  $\text{CH}_4$  didapati sebagai penenggelam ( $-66 \text{ kg CO}_2\text{-eq ha}^{-1}$ ). Sebahagian besar daripada



semua gas-gas ini mempunyai 32.442 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq ha<sup>-1</sup> potensi pemanasan global bersih.

Di Kedah, kadar CO<sub>2</sub> simulasi fluks adalah 4675 kg C ha<sup>-1</sup> dan 15.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> setahun direkod -3 CH<sub>4</sub> fluks kg ha<sup>-1</sup> setahun. Yang (GWP) untuk fluks CO<sub>2</sub> adalah 17.141 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>2</sub>O 454.412 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq ha<sup>-1</sup>. Walau bagaimanapun, CH<sub>4</sub> didapati meneggelamkan (-92 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq ha<sup>-1</sup>) dan dengan itu, sebahagian besar daripada semua gas-gas ini mempunyai 471.460 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq ha<sup>-1</sup> GWP bersih. In Selangor, CO<sub>2</sub> flux rate was 1489 kg C ha<sup>-1</sup>, 152.1 N<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> with -2 CH<sub>4</sub> flux. Di Selangor, kadar fluks CO<sub>2</sub> adalah 1489 kg C ha<sup>-1</sup>, 152.1 N<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup> setahun dengan -2 CH<sub>4</sub> fluks. Yang (PPG) untuk fluks CO<sub>2</sub> adalah 5460 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq ha<sup>-1</sup> dan N<sub>2</sub>O 74085 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq ha<sup>-1</sup>. Walau bagaimanapun, CH<sub>4</sub> didapati menenggelamkan (-66 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq ha<sup>-1</sup>). Sebahagian besar daripada semua gas-gas ini mempunyai 79.440 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq ha<sup>-1</sup> GWP bersih. Simulasi untuk ketidaktentuan lapangan diuji dengan pelbagai kadar nitrogen iaitu pada 20% kurang daripada yang disyorkan, 20, 40 dan 60% lebih daripada yang disyorkan N bersama-sama dengan dan kadar organik karbon dalam tanah SOC pada 4, 3, 2 dan 1.93% kg C kg<sup>-1</sup> di Kelantan, 2, 3, 4 dan 5% kadar SOC di Kedah dan 2.31, 3, 4 dan 5% di Sabak bernam. Di semua lokasi tanaman padi, peningkatan unit kadar N serta SOC meningkat seiring dengan pemeruapan N<sub>2</sub>O fluks oleh 10.06, 6.80, 6.51 dan 1.16 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. NO flux by 0.76, 3.25, 3.14 dan 2.03 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> setahun. N<sub>2</sub> fluks 17.87, 18.21, 21.75 dan 25.22 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> setahun. Kadar PPG N<sub>2</sub>O fluks terlepas adalah 3495, 3, 1614, 6, 499,4 dan 6.3.0. Di Kedah, kadar unit N serta SOC meningkatkan selari dengan peningkatan kadar. N<sub>2</sub>O fluks sebanyak 0.25, 0.42, 2.51 dan 0.96 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>2</sub>O fluks oleh 1.04, 1.17, 1.33, 1.51 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> setahun dan N<sub>2</sub> fluks oleh 0.12, 0.83, 1.19 dan 0.99 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> setahun. Kadar N<sub>2</sub>O PPG flux ialah 30.6, 23033, 110302 dan 154765. Begitu juga di Selangor, peningkatan unit dalam kadar N serta SOC meningkat selari dengan pemeruapan N<sub>2</sub>O fluks ialah 2.86, 3.83, 7.61 dan 1.95 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. NO fluks ialah 5.41, 5.0, 4.39 dan 3.78 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> setahun. N<sub>2</sub> fluks ialah 5.22, 9.76, 18.46 dan 30.44 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> tahun<sup>-1</sup>. PPG N<sub>2</sub>O fluks terlepas ialah 1385,3, 1865,3, 2701,5 dan 3411,5. Kesimpulannya, model DNDC adalah sesuai untuk sawah Malaysia. Petani di ketiga-tiga tapak kajian membaja nitrogen lebih daripada keperluan tanaman selari dengan pemeruapan NH<sub>3</sub> dan peningkatan fluks daripada N<sub>2</sub>O, NO dan N<sub>2</sub> dalam persekitaran dan baja yang berlebihan dilarut lesap ke dalam tanah dengan mencemarkan air di bawah tanah. Di sawah Malaysia, nilai CH<sub>4</sub> simulasi adalah negatif yang menunjukkan ia sebagai terlarut. Di dalam kajian ini, (GWP) juga meningkat kerana CO<sub>2</sub> tinggi, amalan pengurusan tanaman terutamanya system penanaman, pengurusan jerami, pengairan/saliran dan pengurusan baja N serta simpanan C berpotensi untuk tanah yang semakin meningkat dari semasa ke semasa oleh sisa-sisa buangan dan selepas banjir. The DNDC, telah diubahsuai untuk meningkatkan keupayaan meramal pelepasan gas rumah hijau (GHG) daripada ekosistem padi. Pengubahsuaian utama tertumpu kepada simulasi anaerobik biogeokimia dan pertumbuhan padi mengikut parameter pengurusan tanaman padi. Model baru telah diuji untuk sensitiviti kepada pengurusan alternatif dan variasi dalam keadaan semula jadi termasuk cuaca dan tanah hartanah.



Keputusan ujian menunjukkan bahawa (1) amalan pengurusan yang berbeza-beza boleh memberi kesan yang ketara kepada pelepasan karbon dioksida ( $\text{CO}_2$ ), metana ( $\text{CH}_4$ ), atau nitrus oksida ( $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ) daripada sawah padi; (2) Kandungan tanah memberi kesan alternatif pengurusan ke atas pengeluaran GHG; dan (3) amalan pengurusan tanah atau yang paling sensitif faktor diubah untuk GHG yang berbeza. Untuk menganggarkan pelepasan GHG di bawah syarat-syarat pengurusan tertentu di skala serantau, kepelbagaian ruang harta tanah (contohnya, tekstur, kandungan SOC, pH) adalah sumber utama ketidakpastian.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

“In the Name of Allah, the Most Gracious and Most Merciful”. Peace and blessings of Allah be upon Prophet Muhammad. Praised be to Allah SWT, with His grace I am able to complete this thesis.

Special appreciation and gratitude are extended to my conscientious and caring advisors, Professor Dr. Mohd Khanif Yusop, Professor Dr. Mohamed Hanafi Musa, and Associate Prof. Dr. Radziah Othman. The author would like to acknowledge Universiti Putra Malaysia and the Ministry of the Higher Education, Malaysia for the Special Graduate Research Allowance Scheme Fund for Efficacy of Efficiency Enhanced Fertilizer (EEF) of One Baja Urea on Crop Production (Project ID-5525200).

I am grateful to Dr. Fateh Chand Oad for his special attention and guidance, regarding report writing and data processing. Sincere thanks and appreciation are also extended to Ms Umi Kalthum Bt Asmaon and Mr. Ab Aziz Abdullah for their valuable help and guidelines on soil and plant analysis and all the persons who helped to make these studies successful.

I would like to express my deepest apperception to my Mother for her love and prayers. My deep gratitude is extended to my Father Dr. Allah Wadhyo Gandahi for his patience encouragement and support. His constructive ways of life, patience and advice inspired me for a greater achievement in life. Finally, I would like to thank to my younger sister Anmol and my brothers, Mehtab and Shiraz for their encouragement and moral support throughout this educational journey.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 28 January 2015 to conduct the final examination of Rabail Gandahi on her thesis entitled "Estimation of Nitrous Oxide, Carbon dioxide and Methane Emissions from Selected Rice Soils in Malaysia using Dndc Model" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

**Shamshuddin b Jusop, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Aminuddin b Hussin, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Md Rafiqul Islam, PhD**

Professor  
Department of Soil Science  
Bangladesh Agricultural University  
(External Examiner)



---

**ZULKARNAIN ZAINAL, PhD**  
Professor and Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 19 March 2015

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Mohd Khanif Yusop, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Mohamed Hanafi Musa, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Radziah Othman, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

## Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced
- the thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be owned from supervisor and deputy vice – chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name and Matric No.: Rabail Gandahi (GS34987)

## Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of  
Chairman of  
Supervisory  
Committee: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of  
Member of  
Supervisory  
Committee: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of  
Member of  
Supervisory  
Committee: \_\_\_\_\_

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	i
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	iii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	vi
<b>APPROVAL</b>	vii
<b>DECLARATION</b>	ix
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xiv
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xiv
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xvii
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1. Environmental Issues of Malaysia	6
2.2. Weather Issues and Agriculture in Malaysia	6
2.3. Agriculture Adaption	7
2.4. Crop Production Practices in Relation to Climate Change	8
2.5. Greenhouse Gas Emission	9
2.6. CO <sub>2</sub> Emission and Mitigation practices	9
2.6.1 CO <sub>2</sub> Mitigation Practices	10
2.7. Sources and management of Soil organic carbon	11
2.8. CH <sub>4</sub> Emission and Mitigation Practices	12
2.8.1 CH <sub>4</sub> Mitigation Through Drainage	13
2.8.2 Field Mitigation Practices for Reduction of CH <sub>4</sub> Emission	14
2.9. Nitrous oxide Emission and Mitigation Practices	15
2.9.1 Mitigation Practices of N <sub>2</sub> O Emission	16
2.10 Estimation of GHG Emission from Selected Rice Soils using DNDC Model	18
<b>3. GENERAL METHODOLGY</b>	
3.1. Study areas	21
3.1.1 Cropping system	24
3.2. The DNDC Model Description	24
3.2.1 Model Calibration and Sensitivity	25
3.2.2 Climate, Crop and land management	25
3.3. Soil Analysis and Analytical Procedures	26
3.4. Plant sampling and Analysis	26
3.5. Soil Physico-chemical properties of Kelantan, Kedah and Selangor	27



<b>4.</b>	<b>GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION FROM SELECTED RICE SOILS IN KELANTAN, KEDAH AND SELANGOR</b>	<b>30</b>
4.1.	Introduction	30
4.2.	Results	32
4.2.1	Model Validation	32
4.2.2	soil N balance of study locations	34
4.2.3	Yearly soil C balance of study locations	34
4.3.	Greenhouse Gas Emission from selected rice soils of Malaysia	35
4.3.1	CO <sub>2</sub> Flux rate	35
4.3.2	N <sub>2</sub> O Emissions	35
4.3.3	CH <sub>4</sub> Flux rate	35
4.3.4	Global Warming Potential (GWP)	35
4.4.	Fields Uncertainties at study Kelantan, Kedah and Selangor locations	36
4.4.1	Uncertainties in N <sub>2</sub> O flux	36
4.4.2	Uncertainties in NO flux	38
4.4.3	Uncertainties in N <sub>2</sub> flux	41
4.4.4	Uncertainties in N <sub>2</sub> O GWP flux	43
4.5 .	Discussion	45
4.5.1	DNDC model validation of selected rice areas	45
4.5.2	Greenhouse gas emission from selected rice fields	46
4.5.3	Global Warming Potential	48
<b>5</b>	<b>SUMMARY</b>	<b>51</b>
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>54</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>73</b>
	<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	<b>78</b>
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>79</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>		<b>Page</b>
3.1.	Soil Physico-chemical properties of Kelantan, Kedah and Selangor	27
3.2.	Input data to the DNDC model ( Kelantan)	28
3.3.	Input data to the DNDC model (Kedah)	28
3.4.	Input data to the DNDC model (Selangor)	29
4.1.	Validation studies comparing DNDC predictions against experimental measurement	32
4.2.	DNDC model field validation for observed and simulated crop traits for study locations	33
4.3.	Soil N balance ( $\text{kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$ ) of study locations	34
4.4.	Soil C balance ( $\text{kg C ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$ ) of study locations	35
4.5.	Greenhouse gas in selected study locations	36

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>		<b>Page</b>
2.1.	Areas affected the most by climate change.	5
2.2.	Trace Gas Evolution driven by Redox Potential (Eh)	20
3.1.	Map showing locations of the study sites	21
3.2.	Map Showing location of sampling from Rice field of Kelantan, Malaysia	22
3.3.	Map Showing location of sampling from Rice field of Kedah, Malaysia	23
3.4.	Map Showing location of sampling from Rice field of Selangor, Peninsular Malaysia	24
3.5.	Schematic diagram of DNDC model structure (adapted from Li, 2000).	25
4.1.	Linear regression between N rates and SOC (a) 4 (b), 3 (c), 2 (d) 1.93 % for N <sub>2</sub> O flux rate in Kelantan.	37
4.2.	linear regression between N rates and SOC (a) 2 (b) 3, (c) 4, (d) 5 %for N <sub>2</sub> O flux in Kedah, Malaysia	38
4.3.	Linear regression between N rates and SOC (a) 2.31 (b), 3 (c), 4(d) 5% for N <sub>2</sub> O flux rate in Selangor , Malaysia.	38
4.4.	Linear regression between N rates and SOC (a) 4 (b), 3 (c), 2 (d) 1.93 % for NO flux rate in Kelantan, Malaysia	39
4.5.	Linear regression between N rates and SOC (a) 2 (b), 3 (c), 4 (d) 5 % for NO flux rate Kedah	40
4.6.	Linear regression between N rates and SOC (a) 2.31 (b), 4 (c), 2 (d) 5 % for NO flux rate in Selangor, Malaysia.	40
4.7.	Linear regression between N rates and SOC (a) 4 (b), 3 (c), 2 (d) 1.93 % for N <sub>2</sub> flux rate in Kelantan, Malaysia	41
4.8.	Linear regression between N rates and SOC (a) 2 (b), 3 (c), 4 (d) 5 % for N <sub>2</sub> flux rate in Kedah, Malaysia	42
4.9.	Linear regression between N rates and SOC (a) 2.31 (b), 3 (c), 4 (d) 5 % for N <sub>2</sub> flux rate in Selangor, Malaysia	42

- 4.10: Linear regression between N rates and SOC (a) 4 (b), 3 (c), 2 (d) 1.93 % for N<sub>2</sub>O GWP flux rate in Kelantan, Malaysia. 43
- 4.11: Linear regression between N rates and SOC (a) 2 (b), 3 (c), 4 (d) 5% for N<sub>2</sub>O GWP flux rate in Kedah, Malaysia. 44
- 4.12: Linear regression between N rates and SOC (a) 2.31 (b), 3 (c), 4 (d) 5 % for N<sub>2</sub>O GWP flux rate in Selangor, Malaysia. 44



## LIST OF APPENDICES

<b>Appendix</b>		<b>Page</b>
A.1.	DNDC simulation for climate of Kelantan, Malaysia	73
A.2.	DNDC simulation for crop traits in Kelantan, Malaysia	73
A.3.	DNDC simulation for soil traits in Kelantan, Malaysia	74
A.4.	Greenhouse gas flux in Kelantan, Malaysia	74
B.1.	DNDC simulation for crop traits in Kedah, Malaysia	75
B.2.	DNDC simulation for soil traits in Kedah, Malaysia	75
B.3.	Greenhouse gas flux in Kedah, Malaysia	75
C.1.	DNDC simulation for climate of Selangor, Malaysia	76
C.2.	DNDC simulation for crop traits in Selangor, Malaysia	76
C.3.	DNDC simulation for soil traits in Selangor, Malaysia	76
C.4.	Greenhouse Gas fluxes in Selangor ,Malaysia peninsular site	77

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon dioxide
CH <sub>4</sub>	Methane
DNDC	DeNitrification and Decomposition
DOC	Dissolved Organic Carbon
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
GWP	Global warming potential
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
GHGE	Greenhouse gas emissions
GDAT	Growth Duration after Transplanting
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GAIN	Global Adaptation Index
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
ISSS	International Soil Science Society
LAI	Leaf Area Index
NO <sub>2</sub>	Nitrous oxide
NH <sub>3</sub>	Ammonia
N <sub>2</sub>	Nitrogen Gas
NO	Nitric Oxide
NH <sub>4</sub>	Ammonium
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	Nitrate
SOC	Soil Organic Carbon
SOM	Soil Organic Matter
TDD	Thermal Degree Days
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

Greenhouse gas (GHG) absorbs infrared light in the atmosphere, thereby trap heat and cause a warming of the earth's surface. In terms of their global warming potential, the three important GHG are carbon dioxide ( $\text{CO}_2$ ), methane ( $\text{CH}_4$ ) and nitrous oxide ( $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ). Agriculture releases to the atmosphere significant amounts of these three gases (Paustian et al., 2004). Agricultural activities have a massive impact on the climate. Carbon dioxide,  $\text{CH}_4$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  contributing 60, 15 and 5%, respectively, towards enhanced global warming. Concentrations of these gases are increasing at 0.4, 3.0 and 0.22% year<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Battle et al., 1996). Apart from causing global warming  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  is also responsible for the destruction of the stratospheric ozone (Rodhe, 1990). Quantification of GHG emission from soil is needed for global modeling studies in the context of ecosystem modification and climate change (Li et al., 1997). They also have considerable indirect effects arising from changes in land use (Bellarby et al., 2008). Concentrations of atmospheric GHGs, such as  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{CO}_2$ , and  $\text{CH}_4$  which can alter the earth's climate have risen dramatically during the past century. Soil  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions often indicate an inefficient use of nitrogen (N) in agricultural soils. Although amounts of N lost as  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  from agricultural systems may be small relative to fertilizer inputs and crop outputs,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions may be symptoms of N losses more difficult to detect, such as  $\text{N}_2$  and water soluble nitrate ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ). Furthermore,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions have adverse effects on the atmosphere, because they enhance radiative forcing and catalyze ozone destruction in the stratosphere (Bouwman et al., 2002). Carbon dioxide is released largely from microbial decay or burning of plant litter and soil organic matter (Janzen, 2004). Methane is produced when organic materials decompose in oxygen-deprived conditions, notably from fermentative digestion by ruminant livestock, from stored manures, and from rice grown under flooded conditions (Mosier et al. 1998). Nitrous oxide is generated by the microbial transformation of nitrogen in soils and manures, and is often enhanced where available N exceeds plant requirements, especially under wet conditions (Oenema et al., 2005) Agricultural GHG fluxes are complex and heterogeneous, but the active management of agricultural systems offers possibilities for mitigation. Many of these mitigation opportunities use current technologies and can be implemented immediately.

The N loss from agriculture through emissions is insignificant in terms of agronomy however, the emissions of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  has an enormous environmental impact. The  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  is a GHG, as well as  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{CH}_4$ , halogenated fluorocarbon, and per fluorocarbon and sulfur hexafluoride. Investigations of the Inter governmental Panel Climate Change (IPCC) have shown that the atmospheric concentration of GHGs, like  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{CH}_4$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  have increased by 31, 151 and 17%, respectively within less than 150 years (IPCC, 2001). The atmospheric concentration of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  has increased from 270 ppb at the



pre-industrial time to 314 ppb (IPCC, 2001), thus it causes between 5–6% of the global warming.

Today, there is no doubt that the change in atmospheric composition is mainly caused by human activities (Houghton, 1997). The increase in N<sub>2</sub>O and other trace gases emissions is attributed to the increased N input in the biosphere (IFA and FAO, 2001). It is widely known that the intense N fertilization in agriculture led to increased N leaching and to increased N emissions (Haag and Kaupenjohann, 2001). The Kyoto protocol is set the stage to reduce the emissions of GHGs worldwide. The N<sub>2</sub>O and NO are both environmentally significant trace gases produced in soils by the processes of nitrification and denitrification (Bremner and Robertson et al., 1997).

The production of rice in Asia has increased markedly with the introduction and widespread adoption of modern crop production technologies, such as early maturing and N responsive semi-dwarf cultivars; high use of inorganic fertilizers, especially N fertilizers, and pesticides; and the expansion of irrigation facilities. The chemical environment of reduced soil and the extremely limited O<sub>2</sub> supply in the soil-floodwater system has a large influence on the soil nutrient dynamics of irrigated rice systems. Global and regional estimates of GHG emission from rice fields vary greatly with the assumptions made on the importance of different factors affecting the emissions. Only a few studies (Bachelet and Neue, 1993) have attempted to calculate detailed regional GHG emissions. Emissions from rice production and burning of biomass were heavily concentrated in the group of developing countries, with 97 and 92% of world totals, respectively. While CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from rice occurred mostly in South and East Asia, where it is a dominant food source, those from biomass burning originated in Sub-Saharan Africa and Latin America and the Caribbean (74% of total). Manure management was the only source for which emissions were higher in the group of developed regions than in developing regions (US-EPA, 2006a).

Crop growth simulation models provide a means to quantify the effects of climate, soil and management on crop growth and biogeochemical processes in soil. Several models have been developed in recent years to predict GHG emissions from agricultural fields. Early models used regression relationships between rates of emissions and either the crop biomass (Kern et al., 1997) or grain yield. These relationships were based on the assumption that higher the biomass production of the crop, the more substrate would be available for CH<sub>4</sub> production, either from increased crop residues or from higher rates of rhizo-deposition. Lu et al. (2000) developed a model for CH<sub>4</sub> production derived from incubation studies. They developed Methane Emission in Rice Ecosystems model for simulating CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from rice fields. The model was based on CERES-Rice model but did not cover N<sub>2</sub>O or CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Other models, however, include the entire set of GHG, for example, CENTURY (Parton, 1996), and Info Crop (Aggarwal et al., 2004) but are not yet at a stage where their predictive ability is satisfactory. Moreover, the models have hardly been used in tropical regions.

## Problem statement

In Malaysia, yet, no research has been conducted on greenhouse gas emissions from agricultural lands as influenced by climate, soil characteristics and management practices to clarify the magnitude and controlling factors of emissions coming from our agricultural systems, and in the development of region-specific emission coefficients. The increasing concentration of greenhouse gases (e.g. CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O) have led to changes in the earth's climate and a warming of the earth's surface due to human activities.

It has long been known that rice soil is an important emitter of methane which may vary due to environmental and agronomic conditions (e.g. climate, soil types and farming practices). In rice soils, CH<sub>4</sub> is produced by methanogens through anaerobic decomposition of organic matter, and part of the CH<sub>4</sub> is oxidized by methanotrophic bacteria in aerobic regions of the soil (i.e. the surface soil layer and the rice rhizosphere). The modern agricultural practices are strongly linked to GHG emission. These practises have raised average temperatures, patterns of precipitation, water logging, soil acidity, soil fertility, water quality, flooding incidences, high concentrations of tropospheric ozone, risk of pests, diseases, weeds, emergence of poisonous residues in the food chain and shift of optimal production zones towards specific crops and farming practices. It is vital need to study Malaysian agricultural activities and entire suite of greenhouse gases (CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O) needs to be properly monitored, estimated and interpreted through DNDC model and outcomes could be set for future planning.

DeNitrification-DeComposition is a computer simulation model of C and N biogeochemistry in agro-ecosystems. The model can be used for predicting emissions of trace gases including N<sub>2</sub>O, NO, N<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>. The DNDC model has been widely used over the last 10 years by many researchers (Cai et al., 2003; Li et al., 1997, 2000, 2004). Simulated results showed that DNDC was able to simulate the basic patterns of NO, N<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>4</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> fluxes simultaneously (Li, 2000). This feature could be valuable in assessing the net effect of the changing climate or alternative agricultural management on either the atmosphere or agriculture. Recently the DNDC model has been modified for predicting GHG emissions from rice rice ecosystems (Li et al., 2004). The modified model was tested for its sensitivities to management alternatives and variations in natural conditions including weather and soil properties. When estimating GHG emissions under specific management conditions at regional scale, the spatial heterogeneity of soil properties (e.g., texture, SOC content, pH) are the major sources of uncertainty. The modified DNDC model was used for estimating emissions of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O from all of the rice paddies in China with two different water management practices, i.e., continuous flooding and midseason drainage that were the dominant practices before 1980 and in 2000, respectively (Li et al., 2004).

DNDC consists of two components. The first component entails three sub-models and converts primary drivers (i.e., climate, soil, vegetation and anthropogenic activity) to soil environmental factors (i.e., temperature, moisture, pH, Eh and substrate concentration gradient). The second component consists of nitrification, denitrification and fermentation sub-models; and simulates production/consumption of  $N_2O$ ,  $NO$ ,  $N_2$ ,  $NH_3$  and  $CH_4$  driven by the modeled soil environmental conditions. With the biogeochemical reactions embedded in the model framework, DNDC can predict the turnover of soil organic matter and the consequent trace gas emissions and nitrate leaching losses.

In Malaysia, a limited data analysis GHG's emissions from agricultural lands as influenced by climate, soil characteristics and management practices to clarify the magnitude and controlling factors of emissions coming from our agricultural systems, and in the development of region-specific emission coefficients.

The objectives of the present study were

1. To evaluate the use of DNDC model to estimate greenhouse gas ( $N_2O$ ,  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$ ) emission from Malaysian rice fields
2. To assess the greenhouse gas emission from different rice (Kedah, Kelantan and Selangor) growing areas in Malaysia using DNDC model.
3. To investigate the responses of greenhouse gas emissions and global warming potential of rice fields to different management practices.

## REFERENCES

Adhya, T.K., Bharati, K., Mohanty, S.R., Ramakrishnan, B., Rao, V.R., Sethunathan N. and Wassmann. R. 2000. Methane emission from rice field at Cuttack, India. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*. 58: 95–105.

Aggarwal, P., Kalra, K., Chander, N.S., and Pathak, H.2004. InfoCrop: A Generic Simulation Model For Annual Crops in Tropical Environments, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, p.132.

Alam I., Akhtar S., Naher, K., Alam J., Anisuzzaman, M. and Alam F. 2013. Elimination and detection of viruses in meristem-derived plantlets of sweet potato as a low-cost option toward commercialization. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 3(2): 153-164.

Alam M.M., Siwar C., and Toriman M.E. 2010a. Socio-economic Study of Climate Change: An Assessment of Agriculture and Livelihood Sustainability on Paddy Farming in Malaysia. LAP Lambert Academic, Saarbrucken.

Alam M.M., Toriman M.E., Siwar C., Molla R.I., and Talib B. 2011d. The impacts of agricultural supports for climate change adaptation: farm level assessment study on paddy farmers. *American Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 7(2): 178-182.

Alam, M.M., Siwar C., Toriman M.E., Molla R.I., and Talib B. (2012a) Climate change induced adaptation by paddy farmers in Malaysia. *Mitigation and Adaptation for Global Change*, 17(2): 173-186.

Ali, R. and A.K. Ali. 2009. Estimating the prospective impacts of global warming on Malaysian agriculture. Proceeding of 2<sup>nd</sup> National Conference on Agro-Environment, MARDI, Malaysia, pp. 24-26.

Alvarez D. and Abanades J. C. 2005. Pore-size and shape effects on the recarbonation performance of calcium oxide submitted to repeated calcinations /recarbonation cycles. *Energy and Fuels*, 19: 270–278.

Anderson, J.M. and Ingram, J.S.I. 1993. Tropical Soil Biology and Fertility- A Handbook of Methods. 2<sup>nd</sup> edn. CAB International, Wallingford, UK.

Bachelet, D. and Neue, H. U. 1993. Methane emissions from wetland rice areas of Asia. *Chemosphere*, 26: 219–237.

Battle, M., Bender, M., Sowers, T., Tans, P. P., Butler, J. H., Elkins, J. W., Ellis, J. T., Conway, T., Zhang, N., Lang, P., and Clarke, A. D. 1996. Atmospheric gas concentrations over the past century measured in air from fin at the south pole. *Nature*, 383:231–235.

Bauer, A., Bosch, P., Friedl, A. and Amon, T. 2009. Analysis of methane potentials of steam-exploded wheat straw and estimation of energy yields of combined ethanol and methane production. *J Biotechnol.*, 142: 50-55.

Bellarby, J., Foereid, B., Hastings, A. and Smith, P. 2008. Cool farming: Climate impacts of agriculture and mitigation potential. *Greenpeace International, Amsterdam*.

Benton Jones Jr. 2001. Laboratory Guide for Conducting Soil Tests and Plant Analysis. *CRC Press, New York*, p. 363.

Berg, W., Brunsch, R. and Pazsiczki I. 2006. Greenhouse gas emissions from covered slurry compared with uncovered during storage. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 112:129-134.

Bernoux, M., Branca, G., Carro, A., Lipper, L., Smith, G. and Bockel, L. 2010. Proposal for ex- ante greenhouse gas balance of agriculture and forestry development programs Ex- Act . *Scientia Agricola*, 67: 31-40.

Blake, G.R. 1965. Particle density. In: Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 1. Physical and Mineralogical Methods (ed.: Klute, A.) 371–373. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am., Madison*.

Blake, G.R and Hartge, K.H. 1986. Bulk density. In Klute, A. (ed). Methods of soil analysis. Part 1. Physical and mineralogical methods .2<sup>nd</sup> Edn. ASA-SSSA, *Wisconsin*, pp.363-375.

Bouwman, A.F., Boumans, L.J.M. and Batjes. N.H. 2002. Emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O and NO from fertilized fields: Summary of available measurement data. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 16: 6-1–6-13.



Bouyoucos, G.J. 1962. Hydrometer method improved for making particle size analysis of soils. *Agron J.* 54: 464-465

Bremner, J.M. 1960. Determination of nitrogen in soil by the Kjeldahl method. *J. Agr. Sci.* 55:11-31.

Bremner, J.M., and Blackmer, A.M. 1978. Nitrous oxide: emissions from soils during nitrification. *Science*, 199: 295–296.

Bremner, J.M. 1997. Sources of nitrous oxide in soils. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* 49: 7–16.

Brown, H.A., Waggner-Riddle, C., and Thurtell, G.W. 2000. Nitrous oxide flux from solid dairy manure in storage as affected by water content and redox potential. *J. Environ. Qual.*, 29: 630–638.

Burton, A.J., Pregitzer, K.S., Crawford, J.N., Zogg, G.P. and Zak, D.R. 2004. Simulated chronic  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -deposition reduces soil respiration in Northern hardwood forests. *Global Change Biol.*, 10: 1080–1091.

Cai, Z. C., Tsuruta, H., Rong, X. M., Xu, H. and Yuan, Z. P. 2001.  $\text{CH}_4$  emissions from rice paddies managed according to farmer's practice in Hunan, China, *Biogeochemistry*, 56: 75 – 91.

Cai, Z. C., Xing, G. X., Yan, X. Y., Xu, H., Tsuruta, H., Yagi, K. and Minami, K. 1997. Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from rice paddy fields as affected by nitrogen fertilizers and water management. *Plant Soil*, 196: 7–14.

Cai, Z., Xu, H. Zhang, H. and Jin, J. 1994. Estimation of methane emission from rice paddy fields in Taihu region. *Pedosphere*, 4: 297-306.

Cai, Z., Sawamoto, T., Li, C., Kang, G., Boonjawat, J., Mosier, A., Wassmann, R. and Tsuruta, H. 2003. Field validation of the DNDC model for greenhouse gas emissions in East Asian cropping systems. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 17(4): 1107 , doi:10.1029/2003GB002046, 4.

Cao, M., Gregson, K., Marshall, S. J., Dent, J. B. and Heal, O. W. 1996. Global methane from rice paddies, *Chemosphere*, 33: 879 – 897.

Cassman, K.G., Dobermann, A.D., Walters D.T. and Yang, H. 2003. Meeting cereal demand while protecting natural resources and improving environmental quality. *Ann. Rev. Environ. Resour.* 28:315–358.

Chan, A. S. K., and Parkin, T. B. 2001a. Effect of land use on methane flux from soil. *J. Environ. Qual.* 30:786-797.

Chapin, F.S., Matson, III, P.A. and Mooney. H.A. 2002. Principles of Terrestrial Ecosystem Ecology. *Springer-Verlag, New York* ISBN 0-387-95439-2.

Choudhary, M.A., Akramkhanov A. and Saggarr, S. 2001. Nitrous oxide emissions in soils cropped with maize under long-term tillage and under permanent pasture in New Zealand. *Soil Till. Res.* 62: 61-71.

Conant, G.C., Wagner, G.P. and Stadler, P.F. 2007. Modeling amino acid substitution patterns in orthologous and paralogous genes. *Mol Phylogenet Evol.*, 42:298–307.

Cox, P.M., Betts, R.A., Jones, C.D., Spall, S.A. and Totterdell, I.J. 2000. Acceleration of global warming due to carbon-cycle feed-backs in a coupled climate model. *Nature*, 408:184–187.

Čuhel, J., and Šimek.M. 2011. Effect of pH on the denitrifying enzyme activity in pasture soils in relation to the intrinsic differences in denitrifier communities. *Folia Microbiologica*, 56:230-235.

De Bruijn, A.M.G., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Blagodatsky, S., and Grote, R. 2009. Model evaluation of different mechanisms driving freeze-thaw N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment*, 133: 196-207.

Del Grosso, Stephen J., William J., Parton, Arvin, R., Mosier, Marie K., Walsh., Ojima, D.S. and Thornton. P.E. 2006. DAYCENT national scale simulations of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from cropped soils in the USA.” *Journal of Environmental Quality* 35:1451-1460.

Deng, Q., Zhou,G., Liu, J., Liu, S., Duan, H., and Zhang, D., 2010. Responses of soil respiration to elevated carbon dioxide and nitrogen addition in young subtropical forest ecosystems in China. *Biogeosci.* 7: 315-328.



Dick, R.P. 1992. A review: long term effects of agricultural systems on soil biochemical and microbial parameters. *Agric Ecosyst Environ.*, 40: 25–36.

Dietiker, D., Buchmann, and Eugster, W. 2010. Testing the ability of DNDC model to predict CO<sub>2</sub> and water vapour fluxes of a Swiss crop land site. *Agric. Ecosyst Environ.*, 139: 396-401.

Duong, T. T. T., Baumann, K. and Marschner, P. 2009. Frequent addition of wheat straw residues to soil enhances carbon mineralization rate. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 41: 1475–1482.

Elder, J.W. and Lal. R. 2008. Tillage effects on gaseous emissions from an intensively farmed organic soil in north central Ohio. *Soil Tillage Res.* 98:45-55.

EPA 2010. Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from natural sources (PDF). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, USA.

Escaño, C.R. and Buendia, L.V. 1994. Climate impact assessment for agriculture in the Philippines: simulation of rice yield under climate change scenarios. In: Implications of climate change for international agriculture: Crop modelling Study In: Rosenzweig, C. and Iglesias, A. (eds.). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Philippines chapter, Washington, DC, pp. 1-13

FAO/IFA, 2001. Global estimates of gaseous emissions of NH<sub>3</sub>, NO and N<sub>2</sub>O from agricultural land. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO)/International Fertilizer Industry Association (IFA), Rome, pp. 106.

Folger, P. 2009. Carbon Capture and Sequestration (CCS) (RL33801). Congressional Research Service.

Giltrap, D.L., Li, C., and Sagar, S. 2010. DNDC: A process-based model of greenhouse gas fluxes from agricultural soils. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 136, 292-300.

Gliński J., Stępniewski, W., Stępniewska, Z., Włodarczyk, T. and Brzezińska, M. 2000. Characteristics of aeration properties of selected soil profiles from Central Europe. *Int. Agrophys.* 14: 17-31.

Goossens, A., De Visscher, A., Boeckx, P., and Cleemput, O. Van. 2001. Two-year field study on the emission of N<sub>2</sub>O from coarse and middle-textured Belgian soils with different land use. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.* 60: 23-34.

Guo, L. B., and Gifford, R. M. 2002. Soil carbon stocks and land use change: a meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology*, 8: 345–360.

Haag, D., and Kaupenjohann, M., 2001. Landscape fate of nitrate fluxes and emissions in Central Europe. A critical review of concepts, data, and models for transport and retention. *Agriculture Ecosystem and Environment*, 86: 1–21.

Halvorson, A.D., Wienhold, B.J. and Black, A.L. 2002. Tillage, nitrogen, and cropping system effects on soil carbon sequestration. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 66: 906-912.

Hansen, J., Sato, M., Kharecha, P., Russell, G. and Lea, D.W. 2007. Climate change and trace gases. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Agric.*, 365: 1925-1954.

Hao, X., Chang, C., Larney, F.J. and Travis, G.R. 2001. Greenhouse gas emissions during cattle feedlot manure composting. *J. Environ. Qual.* 30: 376-386.

Harden, J.W., Berhe, A.A. Torn, M. and Harte, J. 2008. Soil erosion: data say C sin. *Science*, 320 (5873):178-9.

Haverkort, A.J. and MacKerron, D.K.L. 2000. Recommendations and trends in research and practice of application of nitrogen and water to potato crops. In: Haverkort, A.J. and MacKerron, D.K.L. (Eds.), *Management of nitrogen and water in potato production. Wageningen Pers, Wageningen*, 301-310.

Hosono, T. and Nouchil, I. 1997. The dependence of methane transport in rice plants on the root zone temperature. *Plant Soil*, 191: 233–240.

Houghton, J.T., Ding, Y. Griggs, D.J. Noguera, M. and Winden, P.J.V.D. 2001. Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis. Contribution of working group 1 to the Third assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change. *Int. J. Epidemiol.*, 32: 321-321.

Houghton, R.A. 2002. Magnitude, distribution and causes of terrestrial carbon sinks and some implication for policy. *Clim. Policy*, 2: 71-88.

Huang, Y. and He, Q. 2008. Study on the status of output and utilization of landfill gas in China. Tibet University, Linzhi.

IFA/FAO, 2001. Global estimates of gaseous emissions of NH<sub>3</sub>, NO, and N<sub>2</sub>O from agricultural land. *International Fertilizer Industry Association and the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations*, Rome, Italy, pp.106.

IPCC (International Panel on Climate Change), 1996. Climate change 1995: The science of climate change. Contribution of working group I to the 2<sup>nd</sup> Assessment Report of the IPCC. Cambridge: *Cambridge University Press*.

IPCC (International Panel on Climate Change), 2007. The IPCC Fourth Assessment Report, Working Group II, Chapter 5: Food, Fibre and Forest Products. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

IPCC, 2006. Cecile D. K., Rafael S. A. N., Stephen O., Keith A. S., Philippe R., and Thomas C. W. 2006. IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Vol. 4. Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use. Chapter 11: N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from managed soils, and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from lime and urea application. Institute for Global Environmental Strategies (IGES), Hayama, Japan 4, 1-54.

IPCC. 2001. Climate change 2001: the scientific basis, contribution of Working Group I to the third assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Houghton, J.T., Ding, Y., Griggs, D.J., Noguer, M. van der Linden, P.J., Dai, X., Maskell, K. and Johnson, C.A., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

IPCC-Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.1992. The Supplementary Report to IPCC Scientific Assessment, edited by: Houghton, J. T., Callander, B. A., and Varney, S. K., *Cambridge University Press*, Cambridge.

Iqbal, M.F., Cheng, Y.F., Zhu, W.Y., and Zeshan, B. 2008. Mitigation of ruminant methane production: current strategies, constraints and future options. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 24: 2747-2755.

Jabro, J.D., Sainju, U., Stevens, W.B., and Evans, R.G., 2008. Carbon dioxide flux as affected by tillage and irrigation in soil converted from perennial forages to annual crops. *J. Environ. Manag.*, 88: 1478-1484.

Jacinthe, P.A. and Dick, W.A. 1997. Soil management and nitrous oxide emissions from cultivated fields in Southern Ohio. *Soil Till. Res.* 41:221-235.

Janzen H.H. 2004 Carbon cycling in earth systems—a soil science perspective. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*,104:399–417.

Jensen, E. S.1997. Nitrogen immobilization and mineralization during initial decomposition of <sup>15</sup>N-labelled pea and barley residues. *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 24: 39–44.

Jiang, J.Y., Huang, Y., Zong, and L.G. 2003. Influence of water controlling and straw application on CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O from rice field. *China Environ. Sci.* 23, 552–556.

Johnson, D.W., and Curtis, P.S. 2001. Effects of forest management on soil C and N storage: meta-analysis. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 140, 227–238.

Johnson, J. M. E., Franzluebbers, A. J. S. Weyers, L. and Reicosky, D. C. 2007. Agricultural opportunities to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions. *Environ. Pollut.* 150:107-124.

Kaiser, E.A. and Ruser. R. 2000. Nitrous oxide emissions from arable soils in Germany-An evaluation of six long-term field experiments. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.* 163:249-260.

Kebreab, E., Clark, K.,WagnerRiddle,C.and France. J. 2006. Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from Canadian animal agriculture: A review. *Canadian J. Animal Sci.*, 86 (2):135-158.

Kemanian, A.R., Stockle, C.O., Huggins, D.R. and Viega, L.M. 2007. A simple method to estimate harvest index in grain crops. *Field Crop Res.* 103: 208-216.

Kern, J. S., Gong, Z., Zhang, G., Zhuo, H., and Luo, G. 1997. Spatial analysis of methane emissions from paddy soils in China and the potential for emissions reduction. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.*, 49: 181–195.

Klute, A. and Dirksen, C. 1986. Hydraulic conductivity and diffusivity; laboratory methods: In Klute, A. (ed). *Methods of soil analysis, Part 1. Rev. Physical and Mineralogical Methods. Amer. Soc. of Agron. Monogr.* 9:687-732.

Kool, D.M., Dolfing, J., Wrage, N., and van Groenigen, J.W. 2011. Nitrifier denitrification as a distinct and significant source of nitrous oxide from soil. *Soil Biol Biochem.*, 43:174-178

Kurbatova<sup>1</sup>, J., Li, C., Tatarinov, F., Varlagin, A., Shalukhina, N. and Olchev, A. 2009. Modeling of the carbon dioxide fluxes in European Russia peat bogs. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 4: pp.045022.

Lal, R. 2003. Global potential of soil carbon sequestration to mitigate the greenhouse effect. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, 22:151–84.

Lecoeur, J. and Sinclair, T.R. 2001. Harvest index increase during seed growth of field pea. *Eur. J. Agron.* 14: 173-180.

Li, Y.S., Gurrieri, M. and Deuel, T.F. 1992a. Pleiotrophin gene expression is highly restricted and is regulated by platelet-derived growth factor. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*, 184:427–432.

Li, C. 2008. Modelling impacts of farming management on CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. In: *Non-CO<sub>2</sub> Greenhouse Gas Emissions Workshop (August 26-27, 2008) Stanford, CA.*

Li, C. 2000. Modeling trace gas emissions from agricultural ecosystems. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.* 58: 259–276.

Li, C. 2007. Quantifying greenhouse gas emissions from soils: Scientific basis and modeling approach. *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 53: 344–352.

Li, C., Aber, J., Stange, F., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Papen, H., 2000. A process-oriented model of N<sub>2</sub>O and NO emissions from forest soils: 1. Model development. *J. Geophys. Res.*105: 4369-4384.

Li, C., Frolking, S., and Harriss, R. C.1994. Modeling carbon biogeochemistry in agricultural soils. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 8: 237–254.

Li, C., Frolking, S., Crocker, G. J., Grace, P. R., Klir, J., Korcdhens, M. and Poulton, P. R.1997. Simulating trends in soil organic carbon in long-term experiments using the DNDC model, *Geoderma*, 81: 45–60.

Li, C., Frolking, S., Frolking, T.A., 1992. A model of nitrous oxide evolution from soil driven by rainfall events.1. Model structure and sensitivity. *J. Geophys. Res.* 97: 9759-9776.

Li, C.S., Frolking, S.E., Frolking, D.A. 1992a. A model of nitrous oxide evolution from soil driven by rainfall events: I. model structure and sensitivity, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97: 9759–9776.

Li, C.S., Frolking, S.E., Frolking, D.A. 1992b. A model of nitrous oxide evolution from soil driven by rainfall events: II. model applications. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97: 9777–9783.

Li, C., Frolking, S., Harris, R. 1994. Modelling carbon biogeochemistry in agricultural soils. *Global Biogeochem. Cycl.* 8: 237-254.

Li, C., Mosier, A., Wassmann, R., Cai, Z., Zheng, X., Huang, Y., Tsuruta, H., Boonjawat, J. and Lantin, R. 2004. Modeling greenhouse gas emissions from rice-based production systems: Sensitivity and upscaling, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 18: 1–19.

Li, C., Frolking, S., Crocker, G.J., Grace P.R., Klir, J., Korcdhens M. and Poulton. P.R. 1997. Simulating trends in soil organic carbon in long-term experiments using the CNDC model. In: Smith, P., Powlson, D.S. Smith, J.U. and Elliot, E.T.(eds.) Evaluation and comparison of soil organic matter models. *Special issue of Geoderma*. 81:1-2.

Li, C., Salas, W., DeAngelo, B., Rose, S. 2006. Assessing alternatives for mitigating net greenhouse gas emissions and increasing yields from rice



production in china over the next twenty years. *J. Environ. Qual.* 35: 1554-1565.

Li, H., Qiu, J., Wang, L., Tang, H., Li, C., Van ranst, E. 2010. Modelling impacts of alternative farming management practices on greenhouse gas emissions from a winter wheat-maize rotation system in China. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 135:24-33.

Linguist, B.A., van Groenigen, K.J., Adviento-Borbe, M.A., Pittelkow, C.M., van Kessel, C. 2012. An agronomic assessment of greenhouse gas emissions from major cereal crops. *Global Change Biol.* 18:194–209.

Ma, J., Ma, E. D., Xu, H., Yagi, K., and Cai, Z. C. 2009. Wheat straw management affects CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from rice fields. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 41: 1022–1028.

Ma, J., Xu, H., Yagi, K., and Cai, Z. C. 2008. Methane emission from paddy soils as affected by wheat straw returning mode. *Plant Soil*, 313: 167–174.

Ma, K. Qiu, Q. and Lu, Y. 2010. Microbial mechanism for rice variety control on methane emission from rice field soil. *Glob. Change Biol.*, 16: 3085–3095.

Machado, S.L., Carvalho, M.F., Gourc, J.P. Vilar, O.M. and Nascimento, J.C.F. 2009. Methane generation in tropical landfills: Simplified methods and field results. *Waste Manage.*, 29:153-161.

Marquina S., Donoso L., Pérez T., Gil J. and Sanhueza E. 2013. Losses of NO and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Venezuelan and other worldwide tropical N-fertilized soils. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences* 118:1094-1104.

Marshall Cavendish Corporation. 2008. *World and Its Peoples: Malaysia, Philippines, Singapore, and Brunei*. New York: Marshall Cavendish Corporation. pp. 1218–1220.

Merry, R.H. and L.S. Spouncer. 1988. The measurement of carbon in soils using a microprocessor-controlled resistance furnace. *Communication in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*. 19:707-720.



Mishra, C. 1997. Livestock depredation by large carnivores in the Indian trans-Himalaya: conflict perceptions and conservation prospects. *Environmental Conservation*, 24:338-343.

Mogge, B., Kaiser, E.A. and Munch, J.C. 1999. Nitrous oxide emissions and denitrification N-losses from agricultural soils in the Bornhöved Lake region: influence of organic fertilizers and land-use. *Soil Biol Biochem.* 31:1245-1252.

Monteny, G.J., Bannink, A. and Chadwick, D. 2006. Greenhouse gas abatement strategies for animal husbandry. *Agr. Ecosys. Environ.* 112:163-170.

Montoya-González, A., González-Navarro, O. E., Govaerts, B., Sayre, K. D., Estrada, I. and Luna-Guido, M. 2009. Straw management, crop rotation and nitrogen source effect on carbon and nitrogen dynamics: a laboratory study. *Plant and Soil*, 325: 243–253.

Moore, T. R. and Dalva, M. 1993. The influence of temperature and water table position on methane and carbon dioxide emissions from laboratory columns of peatland soils. *Journal of Soil Science*, 44:651–664.

Mosier, A., Kroeze, C., Nevison, C., Oenema, O., Seitzinger, S. and van Cleemput, O. 1998. Closing the global N<sub>2</sub>O budget: nitrous oxide emissions through the agricultural nitrogen cycle - OECD/IPCC/IEA phase II development of IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventory methodology. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* 52: 225-248.

NAHRIM 2006. Final Report: Study of the impact of climate change on the hydrologic regime and water resources of Peninsular Malaysia. *Min. Nat. Res. Environ*, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

Naser, H.M., Nagata, O., Tamura, S. and Hatano, R. 2007. Methane emissions from five paddy fields with different amount of rice straw application in Central Hokkaido, Japan. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition.*, 53:95-101.

Neufeldt, H., Schafer, M., Angenendt, E., Li, C., Kaltschmitt, M., and Zeddies, J. 2006. Disaggregated greenhouse gas emission inventories from agriculture via a coupled economic-ecosystem model. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 112: 233–240.

Nie, J., Zhou, J. M., Wang, H. Y., Chen, X. Q., & Du, C. W. 2007. Effect of long-term rice straw return on soil glomalin, carbon and nitrogen. *Pedosphere*, 17:295–302.

Nordt, L.C., Wilding, L.P. and Drees, L.R. 2000. Pedogenic carbonate transformations in leaching soil system: implications for the global C cycle. In: Global climate change and pedogenic carbonates (Eds Lal R, Kimble, J.M, Eswaran, H. and Stewart, BA. pp. 43-64.

Norton, J.M. 2008. Nitrification in agricultural soils. In: Schepers, J.S., Raun, W.R. (Eds.), Nitrogen in Agricultural Systems. Agron. Monogr. 49. American Society of Agronomy, Crop Science Society of America, *Soil Science Society of America*, Madison, Wisconsin, (Ch. 6), pp. 173–199.

NRS 2001. National response strategies to climate change. Ministry of Science, Technology and Environment, Malaysia.

Oenema, O., Wrage, N. Velthof, G.L., van Groenigen, J.W., Dolfing, J and Kuikman, P.J. 2005. Trends in global nitrous oxide emissions from animal production systems. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 72: 51-65.

Ogle, S.M., Breidt, F.J. and Paustian, K. 2005. Agricultural management impacts on soil organic carbon storage under moist and dry climatic conditions of temperate and tropical regions. *Biogeochemistry* 72:87–121.

Ogle, S.M., Archibeque, S.L., Gurung, R and Paustian, K. 2010. Report on GHG Mitigation literature review for agricultural systems: Submitted to USDA Climate Change Office.

Omonode, R. A., Vyn, T. J., Smith, D. R., Hegymegi, P., Gál, A. 2007. Soil carbon dioxide and methane fluxes from long-term tillage systems in continuous corn and corn-soybean rotations. *Soil Tillage Res.* 95: 182–195

Oorts K., Merckx, R., Grehan, E., Labreuche, J. and Nicolardot, B. 2007. Determinants of annual fluxes of CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O in long-term no-tillage and conventional tillage systems in northern France. *Soil Tillage Res.*, 95:133 - 148.

Parton, W. J. 1996. The CENTURY model, in: Evaluation of soil organic matter models using existing long-term datasets, edited by: Powlson, D. S., Smith, P., and Smith, J. U., NATO ASI Series I. 38: 283–293.

Pathak, H., Li, C., and Wassmann, R. 2005. Greenhouse gas emissions from Indian rice fields: calibration and upscaling using the DNDC model, *Biogeoscience*, 2:113–123.

Paustian, K., Babcock, B.A., Hatfield, J., Lal, R., McCarl, B.A., McLaughlin S., Mosier A., Rice C., Robertson, G.P., Rosenberg, N.J., Rosenzweig, C., Schlesinger, W.H. and Zilberman, D. 2004. Agricultural Mitigation of Greenhouse Gases: Science and Policy Options, Council on Agricultural Science and Technology (CAST) Report, R141, ISBN 1-887383-26-3, pp.120.

Paustian, K. 2009. Soil carbon and performance standards. Report to the United States Environmental Protection Agency.

Peterson, C., Barrera, C. and Azizova, Z. 2010. Waste and the World Bank. PennWell Corporation.

Pilegaard, K., Skiba, U., Ambus, P., Beier, C., Brüggemann, N., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Dick, J., Dorsey, J., et al., 2006. Factors controlling regional differences in forest soil emission of nitrogen oxides (NO and N<sub>2</sub>O). *Biogeosciences* 3, 651–661.

Robertson, G.P., Paul, E.A. and Harwood, R.R. 2000. Greenhouse gases in intensive agriculture: contributions of individual gases to the radiative forcing of the atmosphere. *Science*, 289: 1922–1925.

Robertson, G.P., Groffman, P.M. 2007. Nitrogen transformation. In: Paul, E.A. (Ed.), *Soil Microbiology, Bio-chemistry, and Ecology*. Springer, New York, NY, pp. 341–364.

Rodhe, H. 1990. A comparison of the contributions of various gases to the greenhouse effect. *Science*, 248: 1217–1219.

Sass, R.L., and Fisher, F.M. Jr. 1997. Methane emissions from rice paddies: A process study summary. *Nutrient Cycling in Agro ecosystems* 49:119–27.

Sass, R.L., Fisher, F.M., Wang, Y.B., Turner F.T. and Jund M.F. 1992. Methane emission from rice fields: The effect of flood water management. *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 6: 249-262.

Saw, Swee-Hock. 2007. The population of Peninsular Malaysia. Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies. pp. 1–2. ISBN 978-981-230-730-9.

Schmidt, J.P., Lamb, J.A., Schmitt, M.A., Randall, G.W., Orfm J.H. and Gollany, H.T. 2001. Soybean varietal response to liquid swine manure application. *Agron. J.* 93: 358-363.

Schulze, E.D, Ciais, P., Luysaert, S. 2010. The European carbon balance. Part 4: integration of carbon and other trace-gas fluxes. *Global Change Biology*, 16: 2399-2399.

Setyanto, P., Rosenani, A.B., Makarim, A.K. Fauziah, C.I., Bidin, A., and Suharsih. 2002. Soil controlling factors of methane gas production from flooded rice fields in Pati District, Central Java. *Indonesia J. Agric. Sci.*,3:20-31.

Shahabadi, M.B., Yerushalmi, L. and Haghghat, F. 2010. Estimation of greenhouse gas generation in wastewater treatment plants-Model development and application. *Chemosphere*, 78: 1085-1092.

Shan, Y. H., Cai, Z. C., Han, Y., Sarah, E. J. and Roland, J. B. 2006. Accumulation of organic acids in relation to C:N of straws and N application in flooded soil. *Acta Pedol. Sin.*, 43:941–947.

Singh, J.S., Singh, S., Raghubanshi, A.S. 1996. Methane flux from rice/wheat agroecosystem as affected by crop phenology, fertilization and water level. *Plant and Soil*, 183: 323-327.

Siwar C., Alam, M.M, Murad, M.W. and Al-Amin, A.Q. 2009. A review of the linkages between climate change, agricultural sustainability and poverty in Malaysia. *Int. Rev. Bus. Res. Pap.*, 5: 309-321.

Six, J., Ogle, S.M., Breidt, F.J. and Conant, R.T. 2004. The potential to mitigate global warming with no-tillage management is only realized when practised in the long term. *Global Change Biology* 10:155–60.

Skiba, U.M., Drewer, J., Tang, Y.S. 2009. Biosphere-atmosphere exchange of reactive nitrogen and greenhouse gases at the Nitro Europe core flux measurement sites: Measurement strategy and first data sets. *Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment* 133: 139-149.

Skiba, U. and Ball. B. 2002. The effect of soil texture and soil drainage on emissions of nitric oxide and nitrous oxide. *Soil Use Manage.*18: 56–60.

Smith, P., Martino, D., Cai, Z., Gwary, D., Janzen, H., Kumar, P., McCarl, B., Ogle, S., O'Mara, F., Rice, C., Scholes, B. and Sirotenko, O. 2007. Agriculture. In: *Climate Change 2007: Mitigation. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (B. Metz, O.R. Davidson, P.R. Bosch, R. Dave and L.A. Meyer (eds.)). *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge and New York*.

Snyder, C.S., Bruulsema, T.W. Jensen, T.L. and Fixen. P.E. 2009. Review of greenhouse gas emissions from crop production systems and fertilizer management effects. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 133 (3–4):247–66.

Stern, N. 2007. *The Economics of Climate Change: The Stern Review*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Tanaka, F., Ono, S. and Hayasaka, T. 1990. Identification and evaluation of toxicity of rice root elongation inhibitors in flooded soils with added wheat straw. *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 36: 97–103.

Tashiro, T. and Wardlaw, I.F. 1989. A comparison of the effect of high temperature on grain development in wheat and rice. *Annals of Botany*,64: 59-65.

Tokuda, S. and Hayatsu, M. 2001. Nitrous oxide emission potential of 21 acidic tea field soils in Japan. *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 47: 637-642.

Tonitto, C., David, M. B., Li, C., and Drinkwater, L. E. 2007. Application of the DNDC model to tile-drained Illinois agroecosystems: model comparison of conventional and diversified rotations, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.*, DOI 10.1007/s10705-006-9074-2,2007.

Torano, J., Torno, S., Menendez, M., Gent, M. and Velasco, J. 2009. Models of methane behaviour in auxiliary ventilation of underground coal mining. *Int. J. Coal Geol.*, 80: 35-43.

Trenberth, K.E., Fasullo, J.T., O'Dell, C. and Wong, T. 2010. Relationships between tropical sea surface temperature and top-of-atmosphere radiation. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 37: L03702.

US-EPA. 2006a. Global Anthropogenic Non-CO<sub>2</sub> Greenhouse Gas Emissions: 1990 - 2020, United States Environmental Protection Agency, EPA 430-R-06-003, June 2006. Washington, DC: US- EPA.

van Groenigen, J.W., Velthof, G.L., Oenema O., van Groenigen, K.J. and van Kessel C. 2010. Towards an agronomic assessment of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions: a case study for arable crops. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 61: 903–913.

Wang, J.Y., Jia, J.X., Xiong, Z.Q., Khalil, M.A.K., Xing, G.X. 2011. Water regime-nitrogen fertilizer-straw incorporation interaction: field study on nitrous oxide emissions from a rice agroecosystem in Nanjing, China. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 141:437–446.

Wang, K.B., Shao, R.X. and Shangguan, Z.P. 2010. Changes in species richness and community productivity during succession on the Loess Plateau (China). *Polish Journal of Ecology*, 58: 549–558.

Wang, Z. P., Crozier, C. R., Kludze, H. K., and Patrick Jr., W. H.1995. Soil characteristics affecting methane production and emission in flooded rice fields, in: *Climate Change and Rice*, edited by: Peng, S., Ingram, K. T., Neue, H. U., and Ziska, L. H., Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, New York, 80–90.

Wassmann, R., Wang, M. X., Shangguan, X. J., Xie, X. L., Shen, R. X., Papen, H., Rennenberg, H. and Seiler, W. 1993b. First records of a field experiment on fertilizer effects on methane emission from rice fields in Hunan Province (PR China). *Geophysical Research Letters* 20:2071-2074.

Widén, B. 2002. Seasonal variation in a forest-floor CO<sub>2</sub> exchange in a Swedish coniferous forest. *Agr. forest Meteo.* 111:283–297.

Wilhelm, W.W., Johnson, J.M.F., Douglas, K.L. and Lightle, D.T. 2007. Corn stover to sustain soil organic carbon further constrains biomass supply. *Agron. J.* 99:1665–1667.

Wilson, H.M. and Al-Kaisi, M.M. 2008. Crop rotation and nitrogen fertilization effect on soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in central Iowa. *Appl Soil Ecol.*,39: 264–270.



Włodarczyk, T., St\_Pniewski W. and Brzezi\_Ska, M. 2002. Dehydrogenase activity, redox potential, and emission of carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide from Cambisols under flooding conditions. *Biol. Fertil. Soil.* 36: 200-206.

World Resources Institute, UN Environment Programme, UN Development Programme. 1994. World Resources. 1994-1995. New York: Oxford University Press.

Xiaoli, C., Ziyang, L., Shimaoka, T., Nakayama H. and Ying Z. 2010. Characteristics of environmental factors and their effects on CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from a closed landfill: An Ecological case study of Shanghai. *Waste Manage.*, 30: 446-451.

Xing, G.X., 1998. N<sub>2</sub>O emission from cropland in China. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.* 52; 249–254.

Xing, G.X., Shi, S.L., Shen, G.Y., Du, L.J. and Xiong, Z.Q. 2002. Nitrous oxide emissions from paddy soil in three rice- based cropping systems in China. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.* 64:135-143.

Xing, G.X., Zhu, Z.L., 1997. Preliminary studies on N<sub>2</sub>O emission fluxes from upland soils and paddy soils in China. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.* 49, 58–63.

Xu, M. G., Luo, Y. L., Sun, X. L., Wang, W., Baniyamuddin, B. and Zhao, K. 2011. Soil organic carbon active fractions as early indicators for total carbon change under straw incorporation. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 47: 745–752.

Yan, X., Ohara, T. and Akimoto. H. 2003. Development of region-specific emission factors and estimation of methane emission from rice field in East, Southeast and South Asian countries. *Global Change Biol.* 9: 237–254.

Yan X, Akiyama, H, Yagi, K. and Akimoto, H. 2009. Global estimations of the inventory and mitigation potential of methane emissions from rice cultivation conducted using the 2006 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change guidelines. *Global Biogeochem Cycles*, 10.1029/2008GB003299.

Yoshida, S.1976. Physiological consequences of altering plant type and maturity, in: Proceedings of the International Rice Research Conference, International Rice Research Institute, Los Bafios, Philip- pines.



Yu, K. W., Wang, Z. P., and Chen, G. X. 1997. Nitrous oxide and methane transport through rice plants. *Biol. Fert. Soils*, 24: 341–343.

Zhang, L., Yu, D., Shi, X., Weindorf, D.C. and Zhao, L. 2009. Simulation of global warming potential (GWP) from rice fields in the Tai-Lake region, China by coupling 1:50,000 soil database with DNDC model. *Atmos. Environ.*, 43: 2737-2746.

Zheng, X.H., Han, S.H., Huang, Y., Wang, Y.S., Wang, M.X. 2004. Re-quantifying the emission factors based on field measurements and estimating the direct N<sub>2</sub>O emission from Chinese croplands. *Global Biogeochem. Cy.* 18: GB2018.

Zheng, X.H., Wang, M.X., Wang, Y.S., Shen, R., Gou, J., Li, J., Jin, J., Li, L., 1997. N<sub>2</sub>O emission from rice wheat ecosystem in Southeast China. *Chin. J. Appl. Ecol.* 8: 495–499.

Zheng, X.H., Wang, M.X., Wang, Y.S., Shen, R., Gou, J., Li, J., Jin, J., 2000. Impacts of soil moisture on nitrous oxide emission from croplands: a case study on the rice-based agro-ecosystem in Southeast China. *Chemosphere – Global Change Sci.* 2: 207–224.

Zou, J., Huang, Y., Jiang, J., Zheng, X., and Sass, R. L. 2005. A 3 year field measurement of methane and nitrous oxide emissions from rice paddies in China: effects of water regime, crop residue, and fertilizer application, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 19, GB2021, doi:10.1029/2004GB002401.

Zou, J., Huang, Y., Zheng, X., and Wang, Y. 2007. Quantifying direct N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in paddy fields during rice growing season in mainland China: dependence on water regime. *Atmos. Environ.*, 41: 8030–8042.

Zou, J.W., Huang, Y., Zong, L.G., Jiang, J.Y., Zheng, X.H., Wang, Y.S., 2003. Effects of water regime and straw application in paddy rice season on nitrous oxide emission from following wheat growing season. *Agr. Sci. Chin.* 36: 409–414.

Zwiers, F. W. (2002), The 20-year forecast. *Nature*, 416, 690–691.