

Section of Radiation Oncology  
Department of Oncology  
Aga Khan University  
Karachi, Pakistan

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2016.07.014>

## References

1. Takiar V, Garden AS, Ma D, et al. Reirradiation of head and neck cancers with intensity modulated radiation therapy: Outcomes and analyses. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2016;95:1117-1131.
2. Wittekindt C, Gultekin E, Weissenborn SJ, et al. Expression of p16 protein is associated with human papillomavirus status in tonsillar carcinomas and has implications on survival. *Adv Otorhinolaryngol* 2005;62:72-80.

## In Reply to Mansha



*To the Editor:* We thank Dr. Mansha for the kind words and interest in our recent study (1, 2). We agree that human papillomavirus (HPV) status does predict for disease outcome, even in the reirradiation setting, as demonstrated by Davis et al (3). These are challenging analyses to do given the differences in recurrence patterns in patients who are HPV positive, in terms of location, time to recurrence, and the changing epidemiology of HPV positivity. In our study, p16 status was used as a surrogate for HPV positivity. p16 protein status was available for 27 of the 173 head and neck squamous cell cancer patients and did not significantly influence survival or tumor control, as demonstrated in Supplementary Figure 1 and Supplementary Table S2 in our article (1).

Patients with an initial diagnosis of nasopharyngeal carcinoma overall constituted a minority of patients in the dataset. As Dr. Mansha alluded, tumor outcomes among nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients were notably better than in those with tumors involving other head and neck subsites, with 2-year locoregional control and progression-free survival rates of 86% and 73%, respectively. This is consistent with prior reports (4-7). Of the 16 patients with initial nasopharyngeal presentation, 3 presented with intracranial extension (T4) disease.

Last, in regard to relevant organ-at-risk doses, we believe detailed volumetric analyses are required for this information to be useful. These investigations are the subject of future research. Previous publications from other institutions have addressed maximum point doses, although the clinical applicability of this information remains less clear (8, 9). Although we have attempted to present a thorough and coherent analysis of our data using intensity modulated radiation therapy for head and neck reirradiation, there are clearly many questions that remain to be explored in future studies.

Vinita Takiar, MD, PhD  
Department of Radiation Oncology  
University of Cincinnati  
Cincinnati, Ohio

Jack Phan, MD, PhD  
Department of Radiation Oncology  
M. D. Anderson Cancer Center  
University of Texas  
Houston, Texas

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2016.07.016>

## References

1. Abbasi AN, Mansha MA, Qureshi BM, Hafiz A, Ali N. In Regard to Takiar et al. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2016;96:708-709.
2. Takiar V, Garden AS, Ma D, et al. Reirradiation of head and neck cancers with intensity modulated radiation therapy: Outcomes and analyses. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2016;95:1117-1131.
3. Davis KS, Vargo JA, Ferris RL, et al. Stereotactic body radiotherapy for recurrent oropharyngeal cancer—influence of hpv status and smoking history. *Oral Oncol* 2014;50:1104-1108.
4. Chua DT, Sham JS, Hung KN, et al. Predictive factors of tumor control and survival after radiosurgery for local failures of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2006;66:1415-1421.
5. Dawson LA, Anzai Y, Marsh L, et al. Patterns of local-regional recurrence following parotid-sparing conformal and segmental intensity-modulated radiotherapy for head and neck cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2000;46:1117-1126.
6. Ohizumi Y, Tamai Y, Imamiya S, et al. Prognostic factors of reirradiation for recurrent head and neck cancer. *Am J Clin Oncol* 2002;25:408-413.
7. Yu KH, Leung SF, Tung SY, et al. Survival outcome of patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma with first local failure: A study by the hong kong nasopharyngeal carcinoma study group. *Head Neck* 2005;27:397-405.
8. Al-Wassia R, Vakilian S, Holly C, et al. A retrospective study of head and neck re-irradiation for patients with recurrent or second primary head and neck cancer: The McGill University experience. *J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2015;44:31.
9. Farace P, Piras S, Porru S, et al. Preventive sparing of spinal cord and brain stem in the initial irradiation of locally advanced head and neck cancers. *J Appl Clin Med Phys* 2014;15:4399.

## “Freezing” the Tumor in a Known Position During Radiation Therapy

### In Regard to Boda-Heggemann et al



*To the Editor:* It was always hoped that if patients held their breath, tumors would stay still. The introduction of multiple short (roughly 20-second) breath-holds in air, to reduce the movement of target organs, is improving radiation therapy delivery for breast cancer (1) and ought to improve the delivery for other thoracic and abdominal tumors. It is important, however, to be aware that tumors do not stay completely still during breath-holding (2-4). First, there is settlement of

The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the National Health Service, National Institute for Health Research, or Department of Health (UK).

Conflict of interest: J.B.-H. and F.L. have received personal fees from Elekta AB, Sweden. F.L. has received grants and personal fees from IBA and personal fees from C-RAD and has been a board member of C-RAD. The other authors report no conflict of interest.

the chest, diaphragm, and abdominal organs when the breath-hold is first established (3, 5, 6). Second, there is shrinkage of the chest volume throughout breath-holding because oxygen continues to be extracted from alveolar gas and is not replaced by an equal volume of carbon dioxide (7). These physiological changes are in addition to the issues of reproducibility of organ position between each breath-hold.

Colleagues should also be aware that patients with cancer can already breath-hold for >10 times longer than in these multiple short breath-holds using air (1). Parkes et al (3) have just achieved single prolonged breath-holds for >5 minutes using a non-invasive mechanical ventilation technique with 60% oxygen. Here, the initial settlement movement over the first 10 to 15 seconds of the breath-hold was typically 3 mm, and in 15 patients the chest deflated by about 2 mm/min in the inferior-superior direction (the direction of largest motion in this study). Peguret et al (2) have achieved single “apnea-like breath-holds” for >11 minutes using a high-frequency percussive ventilation technique with 100% oxygen. Movements during their ventilation technique were measured with computed tomography and evaluated in detail in 2 patients, showing movement from the start to the end of the ventilation that was typically 2 to 4 mm and occasionally larger.

Whereas such prolonged breath-holding techniques have further clinical potential to optimize both imaging and delivery of x-ray and particle beam therapy in a single breath-hold, they also emphasize the urgent need for more research on the position changes of both tumors and healthy tissue throughout breath-holding.

Michael John Parkes, MA, DPhil.

*School of Sport  
Exercise & Rehabilitation Sciences  
University of Birmingham  
Birmingham, UK  
National Institute for Health Research (NIHR)/Wellcome Trust  
Birmingham Clinical Research Facility  
Birmingham, UK*

Stuart Green, BSc, PhD

*Hall Edwards Radiotherapy Group  
University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust  
Birmingham, UK*

Jason Cashmore, BSc, PhD

*Hall Edwards Radiotherapy Group  
University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust  
Birmingham, UK*

Andrea Mary Stevens, FRCR

*Hall Edwards Radiotherapy Group  
University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust  
Birmingham, UK*

Thomas Henry Clutton-Brock, MB, ChB, MRCP, FRCA  
*National Institute for Health Research (NIHR)/Wellcome Trust  
Birmingham Clinical Research Facility  
Birmingham, UK  
Department Anaesthesia and Intensive Care Medicine  
University of Birmingham and University Hospitals Birmingham  
NHS Foundation Trust  
Birmingham, UK*

Arjan Bel, PhD

*Department of Radiation Oncology  
Academic Medical Centre/University of Amsterdam  
Amsterdam, The Netherlands*

Eelco Lens, MSc

*Department of Radiation Oncology  
Academic Medical Centre/University of Amsterdam  
Amsterdam, The Netherlands*

Frank Lohr, MD

*Struttura Complessa di Radioterapia  
Dipartimento di Oncologia  
Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria di Modena  
Modena, Italy*

Judit Boda-Heggemann, MD, PhD

*Department of Radiation Oncology  
University Medical Centre Mannheim  
University of Heidelberg  
Mannheim, Germany*

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2016.07.001>

## References

1. Boda-Heggemann J, Knopf AC, Simeonova-Chergou A, et al. Deep inspiration breath hold-based radiation therapy: A clinical review. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2016;94:478-492.
2. Peguret N, Ozsahin M, Zeverino M, et al. Apnea-like suppression of respiratory motion: First evaluation in radiotherapy. *Radiother Oncol* 2016;118:220-226.
3. Parkes MJ, Green S, Stevens AM, et al. Safely achieving single breath-holds of >5 minutes in cancer patients: Feasibility and applications for radiotherapy. *Br J Radiol* 2016;89:20160194.
4. Lens E, Van der Horst A, Versteijne E, et al. Considerable pancreatic tumor motion during breath-holding. *Acta Oncol* 2016. in press; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0284186X.2016.1221532>.
5. Lens E, Gurney-Champion OJ, Van der Horst A, et al. Abdominal organ motion during breath-hold measured in volunteers on MRI: Inhale and exhale compared. Poster presented at the European Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology Conference, April 29–May 3, 2016, Turin, Italy.
6. Lens E, Gurney-Champion OJ, Van der Horst A, et al. Towards an optimal breath-holding procedure for radiotherapy: Differences in organ motion during inhalation and exhalation breath-holds. *Med Phys* 2016;43:3711.
7. Parkes MJ. Breath-holding and its breakpoint. *Exp Physiol* 2006;91:1-15.